

Extinction profiles for the Sobolev critical fast diffusion equation in bounded domains. I. One bubble dynamics

Tianling Jin*, Jingang Xiong[†]

July 10, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the extinction behavior of nonnegative solutions to the Sobolev critical fast diffusion equation in bounded smooth domains with the Dirichlet zero boundary condition. Under the two-bubble energy threshold assumption on the initial data, we prove the dichotomy that every solution converges uniformly, in terms of relative error, to either a steady state or a blowing-up bubble.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 1$, with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and let $m \in (0, 1)$. Consider the fast diffusion equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta \rho^m \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \quad (1)$$

with the Cauchy-Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0 \geq 0, \quad \rho = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (2)$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator in the spatial variables $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\rho_0 \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ does not vanish identically. The equation is singular near the zero set ρ . Since the work of Sabinina [34], it has been known that the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem has a unique bounded nonnegative weak solution, and the solution will be extinct after a finite time $T^* > 0$. Namely, $\rho > 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T^*)$ but $\rho \equiv 0$ in $\Omega \times [T^*, \infty)$. Therefore, the equation is parabolic, and thus, smooth, in $\Omega \times (0, T^*)$. Since $\rho = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times (0, T^*)$, the equation is singular there. Chen-DiBenedetto [14] proved that the solution is Hölder continuous on $\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T^*)$. DiBenedetto-Kwong-Vespri [22] obtained a global Harnack inequality for its solutions, and showed the spatial Lipschitz continuity of ρ^m in $\overline{\Omega}$. In [26, 28], we established the optimal regularity

$$\partial_t^l \rho^m \in \begin{cases} C^{2+\frac{1}{m}}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T^*)) & \text{if } \frac{1}{m} \text{ is not an integer} \\ C^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T^*)) & \text{if } \frac{1}{m} \text{ is an integer} \end{cases} \quad \forall l \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

*T. Jin is partially supported by NSFC grant 12122120, and Hong Kong RGC grants GRF 16303822 and GRF 16306320.

[†]J. Xiong is partially supported by NSFC grants 12325104 and 12271028.

which in particular solved the first problem listed in Berryman-Holland [5]. Throughout this paper, the solutions of (1) and (2) are the classical ones before the extinction time and satisfy the above regularity (3).

The extinction behavior near T^* has been thoroughly characterized in the Sobolev subcritical regime $\frac{(n-2)_+}{(n+2)} < m < 1$. Under the assumption that $\rho^m \in C^2(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T^*))$, Berryman-Holland [5] proved that the function ρ^m converges to a separable solution along a sequence of times in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Feireisl-Simondon [23] proved the uniform convergence without the regularity assumption. Later, Bonforte-Grillo-Vázquez [8] proved the uniform convergence of the relative error, and Bonforte-Figalli [6] quantified the convergence rate of the relative error and obtained a sharp exponential rate in generic domains. Akagi [1] provided a different proof of this sharp exponential convergence result. Such convergence of the relative error in the C^2 topology then follows from the regularity (3). We also showed the polynomial convergence rate for all smooth domains in [27]. More recently, Choi-McCann-Seis [15] proved that the relative error either decays exponentially with the sharp rate, or else decays algebraically at a rate $1/t$ or slower. Furthermore, they obtained higher order asymptotics. See also the recent papers Choi-Seis [16] and Bonforte-Figalli [7] for more references. Asymptotics of (1) and (2) in the context of porous medium (where $m > 1$) have also been well studied; see Aronson-Peletier [2], Jin-Ros-Oton-Xiong [29] and references cited therein.

However, the critical and supercritical regimes $0 < m \leq \frac{(n-2)_+}{(n+2)}$ remain largely unexplored. The difficulty can be inferred from the associated elliptic problem. In [32], Pohozaev proved that the equation

$$-\Delta S = S^p \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad S > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad S = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (4)$$

has no solution if Ω is star-shaped, where $p = \frac{1}{m} \geq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ and $n \geq 3$. This is entirely distinct from the situation for m in the Sobolev subcritical regime.

In this paper, we focus on the Sobolev critical regime: $m = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $n \geq 3$. In contrast to the nonexistence result of Pohozaev in star-shaped domains, existence of solutions to (4) was obtained by Kazdan-Warner [30] if Ω is an annulus, and by Bahri-Coron [4] if Ω has a nontrivial homology with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients. In another direction, Brézis-Nirenberg [13] proved existence of (4) in dimension $n \geq 4$ when Δ replaced by $\Delta + b$, where b is a positive constant smaller than the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in Ω . Thus, we may analyze the extinction behavior when

- (i). Ω is star-shaped, or more generally, there is no solution of (4) in Ω with $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$;
- (ii). Brézis-Nirenberg's perturbation is imposed;
- (iii). Bahri-Coron's topological condition is imposed.

In the first case, Galaktionov-King [24] derived a sharp extinction profile when Ω is a ball and ρ is radially symmetric without bubble towers. However, the full characterization of the dynamics of the solutions remains unresolved for general domains, and is the main topic of the current paper. Nonetheless, Sire-Wei-Zheng [37] constructed a solution with an explicit extinction rate, and the normalized energy concentrates at a finite number of points. They used the parabolic gluing methods which aligns with those used by Cortázar-del Pino-Musso [19] and Dávila-del Pino-Wei [21]. Recently, the second case was fully addressed by the authors in [27]. We established compactness and proved that the extinction phenomenon is parallel to that in the subcritical regime. The third case is more challenging.

Let $K(n)$ be the best constant of the Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}^n . That is,

$$K(n) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \eta|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\eta|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}, \quad \forall \eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \eta \neq 0 \right\}.$$

It is well-known that $K(n)$ is achieved by

$$U_{a,\lambda} = [n(n-2)]^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2 |x-a|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda > 0, \quad (5)$$

which satisfies the equation

$$-\Delta U_{a,\lambda} = U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (6)$$

Furthermore, $\forall f \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $f \neq 0$,

$$Y_\Omega(f) := \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla f|^2 dx}{\left(\int_\Omega |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \geq K(n), \quad (7)$$

and $\inf\{Y_\Omega(u) : f \in H_0^1(\Omega), f \neq 0\} = K(n)$ but is never achieved.

Let $PU_{a,\lambda}$ be the projection of $U_{a,\lambda}$ into $H_0^1(\Omega)$ defined by

$$PU_{a,\lambda} = U_{a,\lambda} - h_{a,\lambda}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Delta h_{a,\lambda}(x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ h_{a,\lambda} = U_{a,\lambda} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

We will refer to both $U_{a,\lambda}$ and $PU_{a,\lambda}$ as bubbles centered at a .

Our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let ρ be a nonnegative solution of (1) and (2) with $m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $n \geq 3$, and let $T^* > 0$ be its extinction time. Let $\delta \in (0, T^*)$. Assume $Y_\Omega(\rho_0^m) \leq 2^{\frac{2}{n}} K(n)$. Then the following dichotomy holds:*

(i). *There exist a solution S of (4) with $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, and positive constants C_1 and γ_1 such that*

$$\left\| \frac{(T^* - t)^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \rho^m(\cdot, t)}{S} - \left(\frac{4}{n+2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \right\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C_1 |\ln(T^* - t)|^{-\gamma_1} \quad \forall t \in (\delta, T^*). \quad (10)$$

(ii). *There exist positive constants C_2 and γ_2 such that*

$$\left\| \frac{(T^* - t)^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \rho^m(\cdot, t)}{PU_{a(t), \lambda(t)}} - \left(\frac{4}{n+2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_2 |\ln(T^* - t)|^{-\gamma_2} \quad \forall t \in (\delta, T^*), \quad (11)$$

where $a : (0, T^*) \rightarrow \Omega$ and $\lambda : (0, T^*) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ are smooth functions satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow (T^*)^-} |\ln(T^* - t)|^{\frac{1}{n-2}} |a(t) - a_{T^*}| &= 0 \text{ for some } a_{T^*} \in \Omega, \text{ and} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow (T^*)^-} |\ln(T^* - t)|^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} \lambda(t) &\text{ exists and is positive.} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The constants C_1 and C_2 depend only on n, Ω, δ and ρ_0 . The constants γ_1 and γ_2 depend only on n, Ω and ρ_0 .

If there is no solution of (4) with $p = \frac{1}{m} = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, such as when Ω is star-shaped, then we know that the normalized solution $(T^* - t)^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \rho^m(\cdot, t)$ must blow up. Indeed, in Proposition 2.1 we showed the sharp blow-up criterion in Lebesgue norms: $(T^* - t)^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \|\rho^m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega)}$ converges to a positive real number, but $(T^* - t)^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \|\rho^m(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow (T^*)^-$ for every $q > \frac{2n}{n-2}$. By using the concentration compactness arguments in Struwe [38], Brézis-Coron [11] and Bahri-Coron [4], we showed earlier in [27] that the blow up must be in the form of the bubbles in (8), and consequently, there must be exactly one bubble present under the assumption $Y_\Omega(\rho_0^m) \leq 2^{2/n} K(n)$. This assumption is not perturbative in the sense that it does not necessarily imply that the initial data is close to a steady state or a bubble.

To obtain the one bubble dynamics of the solution delineated in part (ii) of Theorem 1.1, we make a change of variables, which transforms the fast diffusion equation (1) into the normalized Yamabe flow (20) on the smooth bounded domain Ω with the Dirichlet zero boundary condition. The Yamabe flow was introduced by Hamilton in 1980s on closed manifolds and its convergence has been established by Chow [18], Ye [40], Schwetlick-Struwe [35] and Brendle [9, 10]. Compared to these results, there are two significant differences in the context of our equation (20) as follows.

The first one is the zero boundary condition $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ in (20), which causes the conformal metric $g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$, associated with the flat metric g_0 , to become degenerate at the boundary. Nonetheless, this concern can be addressed by the regularity (3) that we established earlier.

The second one is that the solution u of the normalized Yamabe flow (20) in our situation blows up, rather than converges. We investigate the dynamics of the bubble in the time-evolving weighted space $L^2(\Omega, u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} dx)$, which accommodates the linearization of the flow. This weighted L^2 spaces can be decomposed as a direct sum of three subspaces: the one dimensional quasi-unstable space, the $(n+1)$ dimensional quasi-central space, and the quasi-stable space, which correspond to the eigen-space of the negative eigenvalue, the zero eigenvalue, and the positive eigenvalues of the linearized elliptic operator, respectively. Then we study the projections of the flow into these three spaces. We choose an optimal bubble approximation under the weighted L^2 norm, which results in that the projection of the flow onto the quasi-stable space is small. The project onto the quasi-unstable space is also small because the normalized Yamabe flow preserves the volume. The projection of the flow onto the $(n+1)$ dimensional quasi-central space is the leading part, and its dynamics is detected by the $(n+1)$ Pohozaev identities. In those calculations, since the bubble $U_{a,\lambda}$ does not satisfy the Dirichlet zero boundary condition on $\partial\Omega$, we need to apply the projection defined in (8), which introduces additional difficulties due to the error between $U_{a,\lambda}$ and $PU_{a,\lambda}$.

If the normalized Yamabe flow does not blow up along a sequence of times, then it converges to a solution of (4) along this sequence of times, and the uniform relative error convergence in part (i) of Theorem 1.1 can be proved using Łojasiewicz-Simon's inequality and the regularity (3).

Such classification results for the dynamics of solutions, as stated in Theorem 1, also occur in other parabolic equations. For example, this kind of results for the semilinear heat equation in high dimensional Euclidean spaces has been obtained by Collot-Merle-Raphaël [20] if the initial data is close to a bubble.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some preliminaries for the fast diffusion equation and show the sharp blow-up criterion in Lebesgue norms in Proposition 2.1.

In Section 3, we transform the fast diffusion equation (1) into the normalized Yamabe flow (20), and obtain some properties of the Yamabe flow, including the scalar curvature equation, the concentration compactness, the dichotomy phenomenon in Theorem 1.1, and the relative error convergence if there is no blow up. Section 4 is the main part of this paper, where we investigate the one bubble dynamics of the normalized Yamabe flow, and prove Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments: We dedicate this paper to the memory of Professor Haim Brezis, whose profound insights greatly influenced us. We are deeply grateful for the generous support he provided to us.

2 Preliminaries

Let ρ be a nonnegative solution of (1) and (2) with $m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $n \geq 3$, and let $T^* > 0$ be its extinction time. It was proved in Berryman-Holland [5] that, for every $0 < t < T^*$,

$$\frac{1}{C}(T^* - t)^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq \int_{\Omega} \rho(x, t)^{\frac{2n}{n+2}} dx \leq \left(1 - \frac{t}{T^*}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \rho_0(x)^{\frac{2n}{n+2}} dx,$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on n . As in [27], by the change of variables

$$v(x, t) := \left(\frac{n+2}{4(T^* - \tau)}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \rho^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}}(x, \tau), \quad t := \frac{n+2}{4} \ln\left(\frac{T^*}{T^* - \tau}\right), \quad (13)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} &= \Delta v + v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \int_{\Omega} v(x, t)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \leq C, \quad t \in (0, \infty). \quad (15)$$

Denote

$$F(t) := \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla v(x, t)|^2 - \frac{n-2}{n} v(x, t)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right) dx.$$

By the regularity in (3), we differentiate F in t and find

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(t) = -\frac{2(n+2)}{n-2} \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{4}{n-2}} |\partial_t v|^2 dx \leq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx &= \frac{2n}{n+2} \int_{\Omega} v \partial_t (v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}) dx \\ &= \frac{2n}{n+2} \int_{\Omega} (-|\nabla v|^2 + v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}) dx \\ &= -\frac{2n}{n+2} F(t) + \frac{4}{n+2} \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By Proposition 3.3 of [27],

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t) = F_\infty > 0.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}t} \int_{\Omega} v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right] = -\frac{2n}{n+2} e^{-\frac{4t}{n+2}} F(t),$$

and hence,

$$\int_{\Omega} v(x, t)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = e^{\frac{4}{n+2}t} \left[e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}} \int_{\Omega} v(x, 1)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx - \frac{2n}{n+2} \int_1^t e^{-\frac{4s}{n+2}} F(s) ds \right].$$

Since $\int_{\Omega} v(x, t)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx$ is uniformly bounded and $F(t)$ is positive, it forces that

$$e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}} \int_{\Omega} v(x, 1)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx - \frac{2n}{n+2} \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\frac{4s}{n+2}} F(s) ds = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\Omega} v(x, t)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = \frac{2n}{n+2} \int_t^{\infty} e^{\frac{4}{n+2}(t-s)} F(s) ds = \frac{2n}{n+2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{4\tau}{n+2}} F(t+\tau) d\tau.$$

Plugging this into (16), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v(x, t)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = -\frac{2n}{n+2} \frac{4}{n+2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{4\tau}{n+2}} [F(t) - F(t+\tau)] d\tau \leq 0. \quad (17)$$

This, together with (15), leads to the first part of the following sharp blow-up criterion in Lebesgue norms.

Proposition 2.1. *Let ρ be a nonnegative solution of (1) and (2) with $m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $n \geq 3$, and let $T^* > 0$ be its extinction time. Then we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow (T^*)^-} (T^* - t)^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} \|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\Omega)} = c_1$$

for some constant $c_1 > 0$. Furthermore, if there is no solution of (4) with $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow (T^*)^-} (T^* - t)^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} \|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} = \infty, \quad \forall q > 2n/(n+2).$$

To prove the second part, we need the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *If there exist $q > \frac{2n}{n-2}$ and a sequence $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ such that*

$$\limsup_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \|v(\cdot, t_j)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} < \infty,$$

then there exists a subsequence, which is still denoted by $\{t_j\}$, such that $v(t_j) \rightarrow v_\infty$ in $W^{2,\theta}$ for any $\theta \in [1, \infty)$, where v_∞ is a solution of (4).

Proof. First, we claim that for any $\theta \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\sup_{t_j} \|v(\cdot, t_j)\|_{W^{2,\theta}(\Omega)} < \infty.$$

Indeed, by Corollary 2.7 of [27],

$$\|\mathcal{R}_v - 1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (18)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_v := -v^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \Delta v$. Using the assumption of the proposition, $V_j := \mathcal{R}_v \cdot v^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \Big|_{t_j}$ satisfies $\|V_j\|_{L^{\frac{q(n-2)}{4}}} \leq C$ for some $C > 0$ independent of j . Since $\frac{q(n-2)}{4} > \frac{n}{2}$, applying the De Giorgi-Nash-Moser estimate (see Theorem 8.17 in Gilbarg-Trudinger [25]) to

$$-\Delta v(x, t_j) = V_j \cdot v(x, t_j) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad v(\cdot, t_j) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

we obtain

$$\|v(\cdot, t_j)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \|v(\cdot, t_j)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)} \leq C.$$

This implies that $\|V_j\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$. The claim then follows from the $W^{2,\theta}$ regularity theory of the linear elliptic equations (see Theorem 9.13 in Gilbarg-Trudinger [25]).

By the above claim, we can find a subsequence, which is still denoted by t_j , such that $v(\cdot, t_j) \rightarrow v_\infty$ uniformly on Ω , where $v_\infty \geq 0$. Together with (18), we have $\mathcal{R}_v \cdot v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \Big|_{t_j} \rightarrow v_\infty^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$ uniformly on Ω . This implies that

$$v(\cdot, t_j) \rightarrow v_\infty \quad \text{in } W^{2,\theta}(\Omega) \quad \text{as } t_j \rightarrow \infty,$$

and thus,

$$-\Delta v_\infty(x) = - \lim_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta v(x, t_j) = \lim_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_v \cdot v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \Big|_{t_j} = v_\infty^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

By (15) and the strong maximum principle, v_∞ must be positive. The proposition is proved. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.1. The first part follows from (15) and (17). To prove the second part, we argue by contradiction. Suppose that there exist $q > \frac{2n}{n-2}$ and a sequence $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\limsup_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \|v(\cdot, t_j)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} < \infty.$$

By Proposition 2.2, we find a solution of (4). This contradicts to the nonexistence assumption. Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} = \infty \quad \forall q > \frac{2n}{n-2}.$$

The conclusion follows from the definition of v in (13). \square

3 Dirichlet problem for the Yamabe flow

We may further normalize v as

$$u(x, s) := \frac{v(x, t)}{\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}}, \quad t = \beta(s) \quad \text{with } \beta'(s) = \frac{d}{ds}\beta(s) = \|v(\cdot, \beta(s))\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^{\frac{4}{n-2}}, \quad (19)$$

where we dropped Ω in $L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)$. By a direct computation, we obtain the normalized Yamabe flow

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} &= \Delta u + r(t) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where

$$r(s) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx}{\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx}. \quad (21)$$

Conversely, one can also transform this normalized Yamabe flow to the fast diffusion equation (1).

From now on we consider the Yamabe flow with the homogenous boundary condition. We may always assume that u is normalized from v in (19) so that we can directly use the results in [26, 27] for v . In fact, one can still prove all the results below without using v .

Let $g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$ and R_g be the scalar curvature of g , where g_0 is the flat metric. Set

$$M_q(t) = \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R} - r|^q u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \Big|_t,$$

where

$$\mathcal{R} := -u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \Delta u = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g.$$

Hence, the conformal transformation law of the conformal Laplacian leads to

$$(\Delta_g - \mathcal{R})\phi = u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \Delta(u\phi), \quad \forall \phi \in C^2(\Omega), \quad (22)$$

where Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator with respect to g . By (20), we also have

$$\mathcal{R} = r(t) - \frac{n+2}{n-2} \frac{u_t}{u}.$$

Using the regularity in (3), one has

$$\partial_t^l u \in C^{2+\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, \infty)), \quad \forall l \geq 0.$$

This implies that $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, t) \in C^{1+\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(\bar{\Omega})$ for all $t > 0$. Moreover, it follows from DiBenedetto-Kwong-Vespi [22] that for any $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ one can find $C > 0$ depending on t_1, t_2 and u such that

$$1/C \leq u/d \leq C \quad \text{on } \bar{\Omega} \times [t_1, t_2],$$

where $d(x) := \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$. As a result, we have the integration by parts formula (Lemma 2.2 of [27])

$$\int_{\Omega} h \Delta_g f \, d\text{vol}_g = - \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_g f, \nabla_g h \rangle_g \, d\text{vol}_g, \quad \forall f \in W^{2,2}(\Omega), \, h \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad (23)$$

where ∇_g the gradient vector field with respect to g . Note that there is no boundary term appeared in (23).

Lemma 3.1. *We have the following facts.*

(i) *There holds*

$$\partial_t u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} = -\frac{2n}{n+2}(\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}.$$

Consequently, the volume $Vol_g(\Omega) := \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx$ is preserved. Without loss of generality, we assume $Vol_g(\Omega) = 1$.

(ii) *There holds*

$$\partial_t(\mathcal{R} - r) = \frac{n-2}{n+2}\Delta_g(\mathcal{R} - r) + \frac{4}{n+2}\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R} - r) - \dot{r},$$

where $\dot{r}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}r(t)$.

(iii) *For the functional $Y_{\Omega}(u)$ defined in (7), there holds*

$$\frac{d}{dt}Y_{\Omega}(u) = \dot{r} = -\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2}M_2 \leq 0.$$

Hence, the limit $r_{\infty} := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)$ exists and $r_{\infty} \geq K(n)$.

Proof. The first item follows immediately from the definition of \mathcal{R} that

$$\frac{\partial_t u}{u} = \frac{n-2}{n+2}(-\mathcal{R} + r). \quad (24)$$

The second item follows from the computations that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{R} &= \frac{n+2}{n-2}u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \cdot \frac{\partial_t u}{u} \cdot \Delta u - u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \cdot \Delta(u \cdot \frac{\partial_t u}{u}) \\ &= -\mathcal{R}(-\mathcal{R} + r) - (\Delta_g - \mathcal{R})\left(\frac{\partial_t u}{u}\right) \\ &= \frac{n-2}{n+2}\Delta_g(\mathcal{R} - r) + \frac{4}{n+2}\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R} - r), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the conformal transformation law (22) in the second equality.

The third item follows from the calculations that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}Y_{\Omega}(u) &= 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \partial_t u \, dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \cdot \frac{\partial_t u}{u} \cdot u \, dx \\ &= \frac{2(n-2)}{n+2} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{R}(-\mathcal{R} + r)u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \, dx \\ &= -\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)^2 u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

Proposition 3.2. *We further have*

(i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{R} - r_\infty\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0$.

(ii) For any $t_\nu \rightarrow \infty$, $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, $u_\nu = u(\cdot, t_\nu)$ is a Palais-Smale sequence of the functional Y_Ω in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

(iii) There exist two positive constants δ_0 and C , depending on $u(\cdot, 1)$, such that,

$$u \leq Cd \quad \text{in } \{y \in \Omega : d(y) < \delta_0\} \times [1, \infty).$$

Proof. Using the change of variables (19), the proposition follows from Corollary 2.7, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 of [27] for v satisfying (14) and (15). \square

Recall the functions $U_{a,\lambda}$ defined in (5) which satisfies (6), and $PU_{a,\lambda} = U_{a,\lambda} - h_{a,\lambda}$, the projection of $U_{a,\lambda}$ into $H_0^1(\Omega)$, defined in (8). By the maximum principle, $PU_{a,\lambda}$ and $h_{a,\lambda}$ are positive in Ω .

Proposition 3.3. For any $t_\nu \rightarrow \infty$, $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, after passing to a subsequence if necessary, $u_\nu := u(\cdot, t_\nu)$ weakly converges to u_∞ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, where u_∞ is nonnegative and satisfies $-\Delta u_\infty = r_\infty u_\infty^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$ in Ω .

Moreover, we can find a non-negative integer ℓ and a sequence of ℓ -tuplets $(a_{k,\nu}^*, \lambda_{k,\nu}^*)_{1 \leq k \leq \ell}$ with $(a_{k,\nu}^*, \lambda_{k,\nu}^*) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k,\nu}^* = \infty$, $d(a_{k,\nu}^*) > \delta_0/2$, and for each pair $k \neq l$,

$$\frac{\lambda_{k,\nu}^*}{\lambda_{l,\nu}^*} + \frac{\lambda_{l,\nu}^*}{\lambda_{k,\nu}^*} + \lambda_{k,\nu}^* \lambda_{l,\nu}^* |a_{k,\nu}^* - a_{l,\nu}^*|^2 \rightarrow \infty, \quad (25)$$

where $\delta_0 > 0$ is the constant in Proposition 3.2, such that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left\| u_\nu - u_\infty - r_\infty^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} PU_{a_{k,\nu}^*, \lambda_{k,\nu}^*} \right\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = 0. \quad (26)$$

Consequently,

$$r_\infty = (Y_\Omega(u_\infty)^{\frac{n}{2}} + \ell K(n)^{\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

where we set $Y_\Omega(u_\infty) = 0$ if $u_\infty \equiv 0$.

Proof. Given Proposition 3.2, this compactness statement is standard by now; see Struwe [38], Brézis-Coron [11] and Bahri-Coron [4]. Due to item (iii) of Proposition 3.2, the bubbles' centers must uniformly stay away from the boundary, i.e., $d(a_{k,\nu}^*) > \delta_0/2$,

It remains to quantify r_∞ . By the strong maximum principle, we have that either $u_\infty > 0$ in Ω or $u_\infty \equiv 0$. By using (25), (26) and the inequality

$$(a+b)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} - a^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} - b^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \leq Ca^{\frac{n-2}{n-2}}b + Cab^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \quad \forall a, b \geq 0,$$

we have

$$\lim_{t_\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_\nu^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = \lim_{t_\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(u_\infty^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} + r_\infty^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (PU_{a_{k,\nu}^*})^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right) dx.$$

Hence,

$$1 = \left(\frac{Y_\Omega(u_\infty)}{r_\infty} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} + \ell \left(\frac{K(n)}{r_\infty} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}},$$

where we used the equation of u_∞ and the definition of $Y_\Omega(u_\infty)$ to obtain

$$r_\infty \left(\int_\Omega u_\infty^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} = Y_\Omega(u_\infty)$$

and used

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega (PU_{a_k^*, \nu})^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} = K(n)^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

It follows that $r_\infty = (Y_\Omega(u_\infty)^{\frac{n}{2}} + \ell K(n)^{\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}}$. The proposition is proved. \square

Proposition 3.4. *If there exists a sequence $t_\nu \rightarrow \infty$, $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, such that $u(\cdot, t_\nu)$ converges to u_∞ in $L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)$ for some positive C^2 function u_∞ satisfying $-\Delta u_\infty = r_\infty u_\infty^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$ in Ω and $u_\infty = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, then*

$$\left\| \frac{u(\cdot, t)}{u_\infty} - 1 \right\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega})} \leq Ct^{-\gamma} \quad \forall t > 1,$$

where C and γ are positive constants.

Proof. The idea of the proof is due to Simon [36].

We note that, for any $1 < a < b < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_\Omega |u(x, b) - u(x, a)|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{1/2} &\leq \left(\int_\Omega |u(x, b)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} - u(x, a)^{\frac{n}{n-2}}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_\Omega \left| \int_a^b \partial_t u(x, t)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} dt \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \int_a^b \left(\int_\Omega |\partial_t u(x, t)^{\frac{n}{n-2}}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} dt \\ &= \frac{n}{n+2} \int_a^b \left(\int_\Omega |\mathcal{R}(x, t) - r(t)|^2 u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{1/2} dt \\ &= \frac{n}{n+2} \int_a^b M_2(t)^{1/2} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Hence, our goal is to prove $M_2(t)^{1/2} \in L^1(1, \infty)$.

Step 1. Set up the framework.

(1.a) Let $C_0 > 0$ be a constant such that

$$\frac{1}{C_0} \leq \frac{u_\infty}{d} \leq C_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

and then set

$$\Xi_\sigma := \left\{ w \in W_0^{2, n+1}(\Omega) : \frac{1}{\sigma C_0} < \frac{w}{d} < \sigma C_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \right\}, \quad \sigma \geq 1.$$

(1.b) By Feireisl-Simondon [23], Y_Ω is analytic in Ξ_4 . Moreover, there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$|Y_\Omega(w) - Y_\Omega(u_\infty)| \leq C_1 \|DY_\Omega(w)\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{1+\theta} \quad (28)$$

for all $w \in \Xi_4$ satisfying $\|w - u_\infty\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon_0$, where $DY_\Omega(w)$ is the Frechét differential of Y_Ω at w .

(1.c) Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{R} - r_\infty\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0$, there exist $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $T_0 > 1$ such that whenever $\|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon_1$ with $t \geq T_0$, then $u(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, and thus, $u(\cdot, t) \in \Xi_2$ and

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{W^{2,n+1}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon_0/2.$$

This can be proved by using Moser's iteration with incorporating ideas of Brézis-Kato [12], together with higher order regularity theory for linear elliptic equations. See page 1321-1322 of [27] for more details.

(1.d) Without loss of generality, we may assume t_ν is an increasing sequence. Since $M_2^{1/2} \leq \|\mathcal{R} - r_\infty\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ and $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\cdot, t_\nu) - u_\infty\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} = 0$, by using (27), there exists $\nu_0 > 1$ with $t_{\nu_0} > T_0$ such that

$$\bar{\mu}_\nu := \sup \left\{ \mu > t_{\nu_0} : \|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} < \varepsilon_1/2, \quad \forall t \in [t_\nu, \mu] \right\}$$

is well defined for all $\nu \geq \nu_0$ and

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mu}_\nu = \infty. \quad (29)$$

Step 2. We claim that $\bar{\mu}_{\nu_1} = \infty$ for some $\nu_1 \geq \nu_0$.

Let $L > 0$ be a large number to be fixed. By (29) and arguing as in (1.d), we can find $\nu_1 \geq \nu_0$ such that $t_{\nu_1} + 2^3L < \bar{\mu}_{\nu_1}$ and

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} < \varepsilon_1/10 \quad \forall t \in [t_{\nu_1}, t_{\nu_1} + 2^3L]. \quad (30)$$

We may assume $\bar{\mu}_{\nu_1} < \infty$, otherwise we are done. Let J_0 be the largest number so that $t_{\nu_1} + L2^{J_0} < \bar{\mu}_{\nu_1}$. Let $a_l = t_{\nu_1} + L2^l$ for $l = 1, \dots, J_0$ and $a_{J_0+1} = \bar{\mu}_{\nu_1}$.

For $t \in (t_{\nu_1}, \bar{\mu}_{\nu_1})$, by Step 1, (28) and the monotonicity of $Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, t))$ we have

$$0 \leq Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, t)) - Y_\Omega(u_\infty) \leq C_1 \|DY_\Omega(u(\cdot, t))\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{1+\theta} \leq K(n)^{-1/2} C_1 M_2(t)^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}.$$

By item (iii) of Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, t)) - Y_\Omega(u_\infty)) &= -\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2} M_2(t) \\ &\leq -\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2} (K(n)^{-1/2} C_1)^{-\frac{2}{1+\theta}} (Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, t)) - Y_\Omega(u_\infty))^{\frac{2}{1+\theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\frac{d}{dt}(Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, t)) - Y_\Omega(u_\infty))^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta+1}} \geq \frac{2(n-2)}{n+2} (K(n)^{-1/2} C_1)^{-\frac{2}{1+\theta}} \frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta} =: c > 0.$$

It follows that for any $l = 2, \dots, J_0 + 1$,

$$Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, a_l)) - Y_\Omega(u_\infty) \leq c^{-\frac{1+\theta}{1-\theta}} (a_l - a_{l-1})^{-\frac{1+\theta}{1-\theta}}.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and item (iii) of Lemma 3.1 again, for $l \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{a_{l-1}}^{a_l} M_2(s)^{1/2} ds \right)^2 &\leq (a_l - a_{l-1}) \int_{a_{l-1}}^{a_l} M_2(s) ds \\
&\leq \frac{n+2}{2(n-2)} (a_l - a_{l-1}) (Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, a_{l-1})) - Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, a_l))) \\
&\leq \frac{n+2}{2(n-2)} (a_l - a_{l-1}) (Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, a_{l-1})) - Y_\Omega(u_\infty)) \\
&\leq \frac{n+2}{2(n-2)} (a_l - a_{l-1}) c^{-\frac{1+\theta}{1-\theta}} (a_{l-1} - a_{l-2})^{-\frac{1+\theta}{1-\theta}} \\
&\leq CL^{-\frac{2\theta}{1-\theta}} (2^{-\frac{2\theta}{1-\theta}})^{l-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on n, C_1 and θ . Hence,

$$\int_{\nu_1+L2^2}^{\bar{\mu}_{\nu_1}} M_2(s)^{1/2} ds \leq L^{-\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}} \cdot \frac{C}{2^{\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}} - 1}.$$

Choose L sufficiently large such that

$$L^{-\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}} \cdot \frac{C}{2^{\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}} - 1} < \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{10} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}}.$$

It is clear that L depends only on n, C_1, θ and ε_1 . By (30) and (27), we have for any $t \in [t_\nu + 2^2L, t_\nu + \bar{\mu}_{\nu_1}]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)} &\leq \|u(\cdot, t) - u(\cdot, t_\nu + 2^2L)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)} + \|u(\cdot, t_\nu + 2^2L) - u_\infty\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)} \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{10} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{10} = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{5}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\|u(\cdot, t_\nu + \bar{\mu}_{\nu_1}) - u_\infty\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon_1/5 < \varepsilon_1/2$. Since $\|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)}$ is continuous in t , we obtained a contradiction to the definition of $\bar{\mu}_{\nu_1}$. Hence, $\bar{\mu}_{\nu_1} = \infty$ and the above argument still leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_1^\infty M_2(s)^{1/2} ds &= \int_1^{\nu_1+L2^2} M_2(s)^{1/2} ds + \int_{\nu_1+L2^2}^\infty M_2(s)^{1/2} ds \\
&< \int_1^{\nu_1+L2^2} M_2(s)^{1/2} ds + \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{10} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)} = 0$ and $u(\cdot, t) \in \Xi_2$ for $t \geq t_{\nu_1}$.

The proof of the convergence in the C^2 topology with a polynomial rate is identical to the proof in Section 5 of [27]. We omit the details here. The proposition is proved. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let u_∞ and ℓ be those obtained in Proposition 3.3. If $Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, 0)) \leq 2^{\frac{2}{n}} K(n)$, then either*

(i) $u_\infty > 0$ in Ω and $\ell = 0$, or

(ii) $u_\infty \equiv 0$ in Ω and $\ell = 1$.

Furthermore, if item (i) happens, then there exist positive constants γ and C such that

$$\left\| \frac{u(\cdot, t)}{u_\infty} - 1 \right\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega})} \leq Ct^{-\gamma}, \quad \forall t > 1. \quad (31)$$

Proof. By item (iii) of Lemma 3.1, $Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, t)) = r(t) > K(n)$ is non-increasing, and hence,

$$(Y_\Omega(u_\infty)^{\frac{n}{2}} + \ell K(n)^{\frac{n}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq 2^{\frac{2}{n}} K(n).$$

If $u_\infty > 0$, then $Y_\Omega(u_\infty) > K(n)$ as the best constant of the Sobolev inequality can never be achieved in bounded domains. This forces that $\ell = 0$.

If $u_\infty \equiv 0$, then $\ell \in \{1, 2\}$. If $\ell = 2$, then

$$Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, t)) = 2^{\frac{2}{n}} K(n)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Hence,

$$0 \equiv \frac{d}{dt} Y_\Omega(u) = -\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2} M_2.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{R} \equiv 2^{\frac{2}{n}} K(n)$ and thus u is independent of t , which contradicts to $u_\infty \equiv 0$. Therefore, $\ell = 1$.

If the item (i) happens, by Proposition 3.3 there exists a sequence $t_i \rightarrow \infty$, $i \rightarrow \infty$, such that $u(\cdot, t_i)$ strongly converge to u_∞ in H_0^1 . The conclusion follows from Proposition 3.4. The corollary is proved. \square

4 One bubble dynamics

In the rest of the paper, we study the one bubble dynamics, that is, we assume that

$$u_\infty \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell = 1$$

for any sequence of times in Proposition 3.3. By Corollary 3.5, this assumption is fulfilled if $Y_\Omega(u(\cdot, 0)) \leq 2^{\frac{2}{n}} K(n)$ and there is no solution of (4) with $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$. Then, it follows that

$$r_\infty = K(n).$$

4.1 Choice of an optimal bubble approximation

Let us recall the neighborhood of critical points at infinity of Bahri [3]. For $\varepsilon > 0$, define a tuple (a, λ, α) with $a \in \Omega$ and $\lambda, \alpha \in (0, \infty)$ as

$$A_{u(t)}(\varepsilon) = \left\{ (a, \lambda, \alpha) : d(a) > \frac{\delta_0}{2}, \frac{1}{\lambda} < \varepsilon, |\alpha - 1| < \varepsilon, \left\| u(\cdot, t) - r_\infty^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \alpha P U_{a,\lambda} \right\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} < \varepsilon \right\},$$

where δ_0 is the constant in Proposition 3.2. It follows from Proposition 3.3 that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $\overline{T}_0(\varepsilon) > 1$ such that

$$A_{u(t)}(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{for all } t \geq \overline{T}_0(\varepsilon).$$

To accommodate the linearized operator $\frac{n+2}{n-2}\partial_t - u^{-\frac{4}{n-2}}\Delta - \frac{n+2}{n-2}r$, we introduce the weighted space $\mathcal{L}_t^2 := L^2(\Omega, u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} dx)$ with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_t^2} = \int_{\Omega} f g u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} dx. \quad (32)$$

By adapting the proof of Proposition 0.7 of Bahri [3] or Proposition 3.10 of Mayer [31] to our context, one can show

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, one can find $\overline{T}_1(\varepsilon) > \overline{T}_0(\varepsilon)$ such that, for $t \geq \overline{T}_1(\varepsilon)$, the variational problem*

$$\inf_{(a^*, \lambda^*, \alpha^*) \in A_{u(t)}(\varepsilon)} \left\| u - r_{\infty}^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \alpha^* P U_{a^*, \lambda^*} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2} \quad (33)$$

has a unique minimizer $(a, \lambda, \alpha) \in A_{u(t)}(\varepsilon)$ that smoothly depends on $t \in [\overline{T}_1(\varepsilon), \infty)$. Moreover, $\text{dist}(a, \partial\Omega) > \delta_0/2$, where δ_0 is the constant in Proposition 3.2.

Let

$$w = u - r_{\infty}^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \alpha P U_{a, \lambda}.$$

4.2 Spectrum analysis for the linearized operator

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} U_{a, \lambda}(x) &= [n(n-2)]^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{-2} + |x-a|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\ &\rightarrow [n(n-2)]^{\frac{n-2}{4}} |a-x|^{2-n} \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{a\}) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For $a \in \Omega$, we let $H(a, x)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta H(a, x) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ H(a, x) = [n(n-2)]^{\frac{n-2}{4}} |a-x|^{2-n}, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.2 (Proposition 1 of Rey [33]). *Suppose $a \in \Omega$ with $\text{dist}(a, \partial\Omega) \geq \delta > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$. Let $H(a, \cdot)$ be defined as above and $h_{a, \lambda}$ satisfy (9). Then*

$$f_{a, \lambda}(x) := h_{a, \lambda}(x) - \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} H(a, x), \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}$$

is a smooth function on $\overline{\Omega}$, also smooth in parameters a and λ . Moreover, there hold

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{a, \lambda}| &\leq C \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}, \quad |\partial_{a^j} f_{a, \lambda}| \leq C \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}, \quad |\partial_{\lambda} f_{a, \lambda}| \leq C \lambda^{-\frac{n+4}{2}} \\ |\partial_{a^j} \partial_{\lambda} f_{a, \lambda}| &\leq C \lambda^{-\frac{n+4}{2}}, \quad |\partial_{a^j} \partial_{a^k} f_{a, \lambda}| \leq C \lambda^{-\frac{n+4}{2}}, \quad |\partial_{\lambda}^2 f_{a, \lambda}| \leq C \lambda^{-\frac{n+6}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $j, k = 1, \dots, n$, where C depends only on n, Ω and δ .

Proof. The first order derivative estimates are in Proposition 1 of Rey [33]. The second order derivative estimates can be obtained similarly, using standard elliptic estimates for the Laplace equation. \square

It was also proved in Rey [33] that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\lambda}\partial_{a^j}U_{a,\lambda}(x) &= (n-2)U_{a,\lambda}\frac{\lambda(x_j - a^j)}{1 + \lambda^2|x - a|^2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \lambda\partial_\lambda U_{a,\lambda}(x) &= \frac{(n-2)}{2}U_{a,\lambda}\frac{1 - \lambda^2|x - a|^2}{1 + \lambda^2|x - a|^2},\end{aligned}$$

is a basis of the kernel of the Jacobi operator

$$-\Delta - \frac{n+2}{n-2}U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This inspires us to introduce

$$\begin{aligned}X_0 &= r_\infty^{-\frac{n-2}{4}}PU_{a,\lambda}, \\ X_j &= r_\infty^{-\frac{n-2}{4}}\frac{1}{\lambda}\partial_{a^j}PU_{a,\lambda}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \\ X_{n+1} &= r_\infty^{-\frac{n-2}{4}}\lambda\partial_\lambda PU_{a,\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$w = u - \alpha X_0.$$

For brevity, we let

$$\overline{X}_0 = r_\infty^{-\frac{n-2}{4}}U_{a,\lambda}.$$

Then by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}-\Delta X_0 &= -\Delta \overline{X}_0 = r_\infty \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}, \\ -\Delta X_j &= r_\infty \frac{n+2}{n-2} \overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} (X_j + O(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}})), \quad j = 1, \dots, n+1.\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

Proposition 4.3. *One has*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |X_i|^2 u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} &= \alpha^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \kappa_i + O(\lambda^{2-n} + \|w\|), \quad i = 1, \dots, n+1, \\ \int_\Omega X_i X_j u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} &= O(\lambda^{2-n} + \|w\|) \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, n+1, j \neq i,\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

where $\|w\| := \|w\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$, and κ_i are positive constants depending only on n .

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.2 and elementary calculations that are similar to the proof of (B.6) – (B.9) in Rey [33]. In fact, one can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_1 = \dots = \kappa_n &= K(n)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(n-2)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_{0,1}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \frac{|x|^2}{n(1+|x|^2)^2} dx, \\ \kappa_{n+1} &= K(n)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_{0,1}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \frac{(1-|x|^2)^2}{(1+|x|^2)^2} dx,\end{aligned}$$

where we used $r_\infty = K(n)$. \square

Set

$$\begin{aligned} E^{ut} &= \text{span}\{X_0\}, \\ E^c &= \text{span}\{X_j : j = 1, \dots, n+1\}, \\ E^s &= (E^{ut} \oplus E^c)^\perp \cap H_0^1(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

where \perp is with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}$. We call E^{ut} the quasi-unstable space, E^c the quasi-central space, and E^s the quasi-stable space, respectively. By the minimality of (33),

$$w = u - \alpha X_0 \in E^s.$$

4.3 Estimates of the errors

There are five small quantities as follows:

$$h_{a,\lambda} \sim \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}, \quad M_2, \quad w, \quad r(t) - r_\infty, \quad \alpha - 1.$$

In this subsection, we shall use the first two to bound the last three.

Proposition 4.4. *There exist $c \in (0, 1)$ and $t_0 \gg 1$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (1-c) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f(x)|^2 dx &\geq \frac{r_\infty(n+2)}{n-2} \int_{\Omega} f(x)^2 (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} dx, \\ (1-c) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f(x)|^2 dx &\geq \frac{r_\infty(n+2)}{n-2} \int_{\Omega} f(x)^2 u(x, t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} dx, \end{aligned}$$

for any $f \in E^s$.

Proof. Once we choose a sufficiently small ε in Proposition 4.1, it follows immediately from (3.14) of Rey [33]. \square

Lemma 4.5. *We have*

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w dx = O(\|w\|^2), \quad \int_{\Omega} X_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w dx = O(\|w\|^2).$$

Proof. Since $u = w + \alpha X_0$ and $w \in E^s$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w dx = \alpha \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} X_0 w dx + \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} w^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} w^2 dx.$$

Then the first estimate follows from Proposition 4.4. Making use of the inequality

$$\left| a^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - b^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \right| \leq C(a^{\frac{4}{n-2}} + b^{\frac{4}{n-2}}) |a - b| \quad \forall a, b > 0,$$

we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w dx - \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w dx \right| \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} w^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} w^2 dx \right).$$

Then the second one follows from Proposition 4.4 as well. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 4.6. *We have*

$$\|w\|^2 = O(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}).$$

Proof. Making use of the inequality

$$\left| a^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - b^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \frac{n+2}{n-2} b^{\frac{4}{n-2}}(a-b) \right| \leq C b^{\frac{4}{n-2}-\delta(n)} |a-b|^{1+\delta(n)} + C |a-b|^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$$

for all $a, b > 0$, where $\delta(n) = \min\{1, \frac{4}{n-2}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \\ &= (\alpha X_0 + w)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha X_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + \alpha X_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \\ &= \frac{n+2}{n-2} (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} w + O(X_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}-\delta(n)} |w|^{1+\delta(n)} + |w|^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}) + (\alpha^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha) X_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \\ &\quad + O(\overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta w &= -\Delta(u - \alpha X_0) \\ &= \mathcal{R} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha r_\infty \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \\ &= (\mathcal{R} - r_\infty) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + r_\infty (u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}) \\ &= (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + (r - r_\infty) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + r_\infty \frac{n+2}{n-2} (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} w + r_\infty (\alpha^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha) X_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \\ &\quad + O(X_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}-\delta(n)} |w|^{1+\delta(n)} + |w|^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}) + O(\overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Multiplying the above identity by w and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c\|w\|^2 &\leq \int_\Omega |\nabla w|^2 dx - \frac{n+2}{n-2} r_\infty \int_\Omega (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} w^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_\Omega |\mathcal{R} - r| |w| u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx + |r - r_\infty| \left| \int_\Omega u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w dx \right| + r_\infty \alpha |\alpha^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - 1| \left| \int_\Omega X_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w dx \right| \\ &\quad + C \|w\|^{2+\delta(n)} + C \int_\Omega \overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} |w| dx \\ &\leq C M_2^{1/2} \|w\| + C |r - r_\infty| \|w\|^2 + C \alpha |\alpha^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - 1| \|w\|^2 \\ &\quad + C \|w\|^{2+\delta(n)} + \frac{C}{8} \|w\|^2 + C \lambda^{1-n} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2} \|w\|^2 + C(\lambda^{1-n} + M_2), \end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we used Proposition 4.4, in the second inequality we used (36), in the

third inequality we used Lemma 4.5 and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} |w| \, dx &\leq 16\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} X_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} |w| \, dx + 16\lambda^{-\frac{2+n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |w| \, dx \\
&\leq C\lambda^{2-n} \int_{\Omega} \overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \, dx + \frac{c}{8} \|w\|^2 + C\lambda^{-2-n} \\
&\leq C\lambda^{1-n} + \frac{c}{8} \|w\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and in the fourth inequality we used

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2^{1/2} \|w\| &\leq \frac{c}{8} \|w\|^2 + \frac{2}{c} M_2 \quad \text{by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,} \\
C|r - r_{\infty}| + C\alpha|\alpha^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - 1| + \|w\|^{\delta(n)} &\leq \frac{c}{4} \quad \text{for large } t.
\end{aligned}$$

By cancelling the first term on the right-hand side, the lemma follows. \square

Remark 4.7. *The above estimate is not sharp if $n \geq 4$, since*

$$\lambda^{2-n} \int_{\Omega} \overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \leq C\lambda^{-n} |\ln \lambda|^{(5-n)_+}, \quad \text{if } n \geq 4.$$

Lemma 4.8. *There holds*

$$|1 - \alpha| = O(M_2^{\frac{1+\delta(n)}{2}} + \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} + \lambda^{2-n}), \quad \text{and} \quad r - r_{\infty} = O(M_2^{\frac{1+\delta(n)}{2}} + \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} + \lambda^{2-n}),$$

where $\delta(n) = \min\{1, \frac{4}{n-2}\}$.

Proof. Multiplying u to both sides of

$$-\Delta w = \mathcal{R}u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha r_{\infty} \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$$

and integrating over Ω , we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} w \mathcal{R}u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = r - \alpha^{-\frac{4}{n-2}} r_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} (\alpha \overline{X}_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} u,$$

where we used $\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} = 1$. For the left-hand side, by using Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, and also the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\Omega} w \mathcal{R}u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \right| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n}{n-2}} w u^{\frac{2}{n-2}} + r \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)^2 u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} w^2 u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \right| + \left| r \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w \right| \\
&= O(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}).
\end{aligned}$$

For the last term on the right-hand side,

$$\int_{\Omega} (\alpha \overline{X}_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} u - \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} = \int_{\Omega} [(\alpha \overline{X}_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}] u + \int_{\Omega} [(\alpha X_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}] u$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(\lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} + \lambda^{2-n}) - \frac{n+2}{n-2} \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} w u + O(\|w\|^{1+\delta(n)}) \\
&= O(\lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} + \lambda^{2-n}) + O(\|w\|^{1+\delta(n)}) \\
&= O(M_2^{\frac{1+\delta(n)}{2}} + \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} + \lambda^{2-n}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} [(\alpha \bar{X}_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}] u &\leq C \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} u \\
&\leq C \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \bar{X}_0^{\frac{8n}{(n-2)(n+2)}} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}} \\
&\leq C(\lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} + \lambda^{2-n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} = 1$, we obtain

$$r - \alpha^{-\frac{4}{n-2}} r_{\infty} = O(M_2^{\frac{1+\delta(n)}{2}} + \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} + \lambda^{2-n}). \quad (38)$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \bar{X}_0|^2 - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_0|^2 &= \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \bar{X}_0) \bar{X}_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} (-\Delta \bar{X}_0) \bar{X}_0 - \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta X_0) X_0 \\
&= r_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \bar{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (\bar{X}_0 - X_0) + r_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \bar{X}_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \\
&= O(\lambda^{2-n})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla X_0 \nabla w &= r_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \bar{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w \\
&= r_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} X_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w + O\left(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} |w| dx\right) \\
&= r_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} X_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w + O(\lambda^{1-n} + \|w\|^2) \quad (\text{by (37)}) \\
&= O(\lambda^{1-n} + \|w\|^2) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.5}),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq r - r_{\infty} &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \bar{X}_0|^2 \\
&= \int_{\Omega} (\alpha^2 |\nabla X_0|^2 + 2\alpha \nabla X_0 \nabla w + |\nabla w|^2) - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_0|^2 + O(\lambda^{2-n}) \\
&= (\alpha^2 - 1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_0|^2 + O(\lambda^{2-n} + M_2) \\
&= (\alpha^2 - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \bar{X}_0|^2 + O(\lambda^{2-n} + M_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= (\alpha^2 - 1)r_\infty + O(\lambda^{2-n} + M_2),$$

where we used Lemma 4.6 in the third equality. Hence,

$$r - \alpha^2 r_\infty = O(\lambda^{2-n} + M_2). \quad (39)$$

Subtracting (39) from (38), we obtain

$$\alpha^2 - \alpha^{-\frac{4}{n-2}} = O(M_2^{\frac{1+\delta(n)}{2}} + \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} + \lambda^{2-n}).$$

Since $|\alpha - 1|$ is very small,

$$\alpha - 1 = O(M_2^{\frac{1+\delta(n)}{2}} + \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} + \lambda^{2-n}).$$

Substituting it into (39), we obtain

$$r - r_\infty = (\alpha^2 - 1)r_\infty + O(\lambda^{2-n} + M_2) = O(M_2^{\frac{1+\delta(n)}{2}} + \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} + \lambda^{2-n}).$$

The lemma is proved. \square

A related estimate of $|\alpha - 1|$ in the whole space has been proved in Ciruolo-Figalli-Maggi [17].

Proposition 4.9. *We have*

$$\left\| \frac{u}{X_0} - 1 \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C(M_2^{\frac{2}{n+2}} + \lambda^{-\frac{2}{n+2}}).$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} u_\lambda(y, t) &= \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} u(a + \lambda^{-1}y, t), & Z &= \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} X_0(a + \lambda^{-1}y), \\ \mathcal{R}_\lambda(y, t) &= \mathcal{R}(a + \lambda^{-1}y, t), & \bar{Z} &= \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \bar{X}_0(a + \lambda^{-1}y) = r_\infty^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} U_{0,1} \end{aligned}$$

with $y \in \Omega(t) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : a + \lambda^{-1}y \in \Omega\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_\lambda &= \mathcal{R}_\lambda u_\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \text{ in } \Omega(t), & -\Delta Z &= r_\infty \bar{Z}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \text{ in } \Omega(t), & u_\lambda &= Z = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega(t), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega(t)} |u_\lambda - Z|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dy &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u - X_0|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

and $\|\mathcal{R}_\lambda - r_\infty\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(t))} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. For any $R > 2$ with $B_{2R} \subset \Omega(t)$, it is clear that $\frac{1}{C(R)} \leq Z \leq C$ in B_R , where $C(R) > 0$ depends only on n and R . By applying the Moser iterations, one also obtains

$$\frac{1}{C(R)} \leq u_\lambda \leq C \text{ in } B_R$$

with possibly different constants. Define the Kelvin transform:

$$\tilde{u}_\lambda(y, t) = \frac{1}{|y|^{n-2}} u_\lambda\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}, t\right), \quad \tilde{Z}(y, t) = \frac{1}{|y|^{n-2}} Z\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}, t\right).$$

Then

$$-\Delta \tilde{u}_\lambda = \mathcal{R}_\lambda \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}, t \right) \tilde{u}_\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad -\Delta \tilde{Z} = r_\infty \overline{Z}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$$

in $\tilde{\Omega}(t) := \{y : \frac{y}{|y|^2} \in \Omega(t)\}$.

Since

$$-\Delta(u_\lambda - Z) = (\mathcal{R}_\lambda - r_\infty) \overline{Z}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + \mathcal{R}_\lambda(u_\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - Z^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}) + \mathcal{R}_\lambda(Z^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \overline{Z}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}),$$

by applying the Moser iteration to this equation with the help of (40), we obtain

$$\|u_\lambda - Z\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq C\varepsilon(t), \quad (41)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(t) &= \left(\int_{\Omega(t)} |\mathcal{R}_\lambda - r_\infty|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \overline{Z}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{n+2}} + \|u_\lambda - Z\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega(t))} + \|Z - \overline{Z}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(t))} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R} - r_\infty|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \overline{X}_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{n+2}} + \|u - X_0\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega(t))} + \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \|X_0 - \overline{X}_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(t))} \end{aligned}$$

and C depends only on n . Applying the same argument to the equation of $\tilde{u}_\lambda - \tilde{Z}$, with noticing that $u_\lambda = Z = 0$ on $\partial\Omega(t)$ and with the help of (40), we have

$$\left\| \tilde{u}_\lambda - \tilde{Z} \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}(t) \cap B_1)} \leq C\varepsilon(t),$$

which implies that

$$\left\| |y|^{n-2} (u_\lambda - Z)(y, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(t) \setminus B_1)} \leq C\varepsilon(t). \quad (42)$$

Scaling the estimates (41) and (42) back to u , we have

$$\left\| \frac{u}{\overline{X}_0} - 1 \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/\lambda}(a))} \leq C\varepsilon(t), \quad (43)$$

$$|u(x, t) - X_0(x, t)| \leq C\varepsilon(t) \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} |x - a|^{2-n}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus B_{1/\lambda}(a).$$

If $x \in \Omega \setminus B_{1/\lambda}(a)$, then $\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} |x - a|^{2-n} \leq C\overline{X}_0$, and thus

$$|u(x, t) - X_0(x, t)| \leq C\varepsilon(t) \overline{X}_0 \leq C\varepsilon(t) (X_0 + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}).$$

Let δ_0 be the one in Proposition 3.2. Let $\delta_1 > 0$ be the uniform radius of interior balls tangent to each point on $\partial\Omega$. Let $\delta_2 = \min(\delta_1, \delta_0/8)$. Then $X_0 \geq C\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{a}}$ in $\Omega_{\delta_2/8} := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \delta_2/8\}$. Hence,

$$|u(x, t) - X_0(x, t)| \leq C\varepsilon(t) X_0(x, t), \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_2/8} \setminus B_{1/\lambda}(a).$$

Combining (43), we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{u}{X_0} - 1 \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\delta_2/8})} \leq C\varepsilon(t). \quad (44)$$

On one hand, by the Hopf lemma and elliptic estimates for the equation of X_0 , one has

$$X_0 \geq C^{-1} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2/8}.$$

On the other hand, by applying the $W^{2,2n+2}$ estimate (Theorem 9.13 in Gilbarg-Trudinger [25]) to

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta(u - X_0) &= (\mathcal{R} - r_\infty) \overline{X_0}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + \mathcal{R}(u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - X_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}) + \mathcal{R}(X_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \overline{X_0}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}) \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2/2}, \\ u - X_0 &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and using the Sobolev embeddings, we obtain

$$\|u - X_0\|_{C^1(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2/4})} \leq C \|u - X_0\|_{W^{2,2n+2}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2/2})} \leq C\varepsilon(t) \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} + C\lambda^{-\frac{2+n}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$\left\| \frac{u}{X_0} - 1 \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2/8})} \leq C\varepsilon(t) + C\lambda^{-2}.$$

Together with (44), it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{u}{X_0} - 1 \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.$$

Consequently, $\overline{X_0} \leq C(u + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}})$, and thus,

$$\varepsilon(t) \leq CM_2^{\frac{2}{n+2}} + C\lambda^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} + C|r - r_\infty| + \|w\| + C|1 - \alpha| + C\lambda^{2-n} \leq CM_2^{\frac{2}{n+2}} + C\lambda^{-\frac{2}{n+2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| \frac{u}{X_0} - 1 \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon(t) + C\lambda^{-2} \leq CM_2^{\frac{2}{n+2}} + C\lambda^{-\frac{2}{n+2}}.$$

□

Lemma 4.10. *We have for every $j = 1, \dots, n+1$ that*

$$\begin{aligned} |X_j| &\leq C(u + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}), \\ |\partial_t X_j| &\leq C \left| \left(\lambda \dot{a}, \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) \right| (u + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.9, we have for $i = 1, \dots, n$ that

$$|X_i| = r_\infty^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \lambda^{-1} |\partial_{a^i} U_{a,\lambda} - \partial_{a^i} h_{a,\lambda}| \leq C(U_{a,\lambda} + \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}}) \leq C(X_0 + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}) \leq C(u + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}),$$

and

$$|X_{n+1}| = r_\infty^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} \lambda |\partial_\lambda U_{a,\lambda} - \partial_\lambda h_{a,\lambda}| \leq C(U_{a,\lambda} + \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}) \leq C(X_0 + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}) \leq C(u + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}).$$

Similar calculations show that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} |\nabla_a X_j| + \lambda |\nabla_\lambda X_j| \leq C(u + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}})$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n+1$. Therefore,

$$|\partial_t X_j| = |\nabla_a X_j \cdot \dot{a} + \partial_\lambda X_j \cdot \dot{\lambda}| \leq C \left| \left(\lambda \dot{a}, \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) \right| (u + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}).$$

□

Lemma 4.11. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla X_0|^2 &= (1 + o(1)) r_\infty \|X_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2, \\ \int_\Omega |\nabla X_j|^2 &= (1 + o(1)) r_\infty \frac{n+2}{n-2} \|X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, n+1$, and

$$\int_\Omega \nabla X_j \cdot \nabla X_k = o(1) (\|X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + \|X_k\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2) \quad (46)$$

for every $k = 0, 1, \dots, n+1, k \neq j$.

Proof. For $j \geq 1$, we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla X_j|^2 = - \int_\Omega \Delta X_j \cdot X_j = r_\infty \frac{n+2}{n-2} \int_\Omega \overline{X_0}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} (X_j + O(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}})) X_j.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega (\alpha \overline{X_0})^{\frac{4}{n-2}} X_j^2 \\ &= \int_\Omega [(\alpha \overline{X_0})^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}] X_j^2 + \int_\Omega [(\alpha X_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}] X_j^2 + \int_\Omega u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} X_j^2 \\ &= o(1) \|X_j\|_{L^2}^2 + (1 + o(1)) \|X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 \\ &= o(1) \|X_j\|_{H_0^1}^2 + (1 + o(1)) \|X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_\Omega \overline{X_0}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} |X_j| &\leq \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_\Omega \overline{X_0}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_\Omega \overline{X_0}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} |X_j|^2 \\ &= o(1) + o(1) \|X_j\|_{H_0^1}^2 + o(1) \|X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

by using (35) and Lemma 4.8, we obtained (45) for $j \geq 1$. The estimate for $j = 0$ is similar.

For $k \geq 1, k \neq j$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla X_j \cdot \nabla X_k = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta X_j \cdot X_k = r_{\infty} \frac{n+2}{n-2} \int_{\Omega} \overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} (X_j + O(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}})) X_k.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (\alpha \overline{X}_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} X_j X_k \\ &= \int_{\Omega} [(\alpha \overline{X}_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}] X_j X_k + \int_{\Omega} [(\alpha X_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}] X_j X_k + \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} X_j X_k \\ &= o(1)(\|X_j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|X_k\|_{L^2}^2) + o(1)(\|X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + \|X_k\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2) + o(1) \\ &= o(1)(\|X_j\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|X_k\|_{H_0^1}^2) + o(1)(\|X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + \|X_k\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

together with (47) and (45), we obtain (46) for $k \geq 1$. The estimate for $k = 0$ is similar. \square

The following quantity will play as the leading term in the end.

Proposition 4.12. *There holds*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla PU_{a,\lambda}|^2 \langle x - a, \nu \rangle dS = C_2(n) H(a, a) \lambda^{2-n} + O(\lambda^{1-n}), \quad (48)$$

where

$$C_2(n) = \frac{(n-2)(n+2)}{n} [n(n-2)]^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{n+4}{2}} |x|^2 dx.$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of

$$-\Delta PU_{a,\lambda} = U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad PU_{a,\lambda} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

by $(x-a) \cdot \nabla PU_{a,\lambda}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} ((x-a) \cdot \nabla PU_{a,\lambda}) U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} ((x-a) \cdot \nabla PU_{a,\lambda}) \Delta PU_{a,\lambda} dx \\ &= -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla PU_{a,\lambda}|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla PU_{a,\lambda}|^2 \langle x-a, \nu \rangle dS \\ &= -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} PU_{a,\lambda} \cdot U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla PU_{a,\lambda}|^2 \langle x-a, \nu \rangle dS. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} ((x-a) \cdot \nabla PU_{a,\lambda}) U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx \\ &= -n \int_{\Omega} PU_{a,\lambda} \cdot U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx - \frac{n+2}{n-2} \int_{\Omega} PU_{a,\lambda} ((x-a) \cdot \nabla U_{a,\lambda}) U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& PU_{a,\lambda}((x-a) \cdot \nabla U_{a,\lambda}) \\
&= (U_{a,\lambda} - h_{a,\lambda})[(x-a) \cdot \nabla (PU_{a,\lambda} + h_{a,\lambda})] \\
&= ((x-a) \cdot \nabla PU_{a,\lambda})U_{a,\lambda} + ((x-a) \cdot \nabla h_{a,\lambda})U_{a,\lambda} - ((x-a) \cdot \nabla U_{a,\lambda})h_{a,\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} ((x-a) \cdot \nabla PU_{a,\lambda})U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} PU_{a,\lambda} \cdot U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx \\
&\quad - \frac{n+2}{2n} \int_{\Omega} \left[((x-a) \nabla h_{a,\lambda})U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - ((x-a) \nabla U_{a,\lambda})h_{a,\lambda}U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \right] dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} ((x-a) \nabla h_{a,\lambda})U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx &= \nabla h_{a,\lambda}(a) \int_{\Omega} (x-a)U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx + O(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |x-a|^2 U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx) \\
&= O(\lambda^{-n} \ln \lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

and by Proposition 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} ((x-a) \cdot \nabla U_{a,\lambda})h_{a,\lambda}U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} dx &= (2-n)h_{a,\lambda}(a) \int_{\Omega} U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \frac{\lambda^2|x|^2}{1+\lambda^2|x|^2} dx + O(\lambda^{1-n}) \\
&= -C(n)H(a,a)\lambda^{2-n} + O(\lambda^{1-n}),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C(n) = (n-2)[n(n-2)]^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{n+4}{2}} |x|^2 dx,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} ((x-a) \cdot \nabla PU_{a,\lambda})U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} PU_{a,\lambda} \cdot U_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx - \frac{n+2}{2n} C(n)H(a,a)\lambda^{2-n} + O(\lambda^{1-n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla PU_{a,\lambda}|^2 \langle x-a, \nu \rangle dS = \frac{n+2}{n} C(n)H(a,a)\lambda^{2-n} + O(\lambda^{1-n}).$$

This proves (48). □

Lemma 4.13. *We have*

$$\|w\|_{W_0^{2,2}(\Omega)} \leq C(\lambda^{\frac{1-n}{2}} + M_2^{1/2})\lambda.$$

Proof. Since

$$-\Delta w = \mathcal{R}u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha r_\infty \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = (\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + (r - r_\infty)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + r_\infty(u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}),$$

it suffices to estimate the L^2 norm of the right hand side, as the lemma then follows from the $W^{2,2}$ estimates for the Poisson equation.

By using Proposition 4.9, we obtain

$$\|(\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\|_{L^2} \leq C\lambda\|(\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\|_{L^2} = C\lambda M_2^{1/2}.$$

By using Proposition 4.9 and Lemma 4.8, we obtain

$$\|(r - r_\infty)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\|_{L^2} \leq C\lambda|r - r_\infty| \leq C\lambda(M_2^{1/2} + \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} + \lambda^{2-n}).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}| &= |u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + (\alpha X_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - (\alpha \overline{X}_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + (\alpha \overline{X}_0)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}| \\ &\leq C(\alpha X_0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}|w| + C\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}\overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} + C|\alpha - 1|\overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

by using Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.6, we obtain

$$\|u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\lambda\|w\| + C(\lambda^{3-n} + \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}}) + C|\alpha - 1|\lambda \leq C(\lambda^{\frac{1-n}{2}} + M_2^{1/2})\lambda.$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 4.14. *We have*

$$\|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq C(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}).$$

Proof. Let δ_0 be the one in Proposition 3.2, and $\Omega_{\delta_0} := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \delta_0\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta w &= (\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + (r - r_\infty)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + r_\infty(u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ w &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|u| + |X_0| + |\overline{X}_0| \leq C\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}$ in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_0})}^2 &\leq CM_2, \\ \|(r - r_\infty)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_0})} &\leq C\|r - r_\infty\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 + C\|u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_0})}^2 \leq C(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}), \\ \|r_\infty(u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} - \alpha \overline{X}_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}})\|_{L^2(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_0})}^2 &\leq C(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 4.8 in the second inequality, and Lemma 4.8 and (49) in the last inequality. Therefore, by the $W^{2,2}$ estimate (Theorem 9.13 in Gilbarg-Trudinger [25]) and the trace embedding, we obtain

$$\|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq \|w\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_0/2})}^2 \leq C(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}).$$

\square

4.4 Finite dimensional reduction

We shall project the L^2 gradient flow

$$\frac{n+2}{n-2}\partial_t u = -(\mathcal{R} - r)u$$

into E^{ut} , E^c and E^s , and single out the leading term in the total L^2 quantity M_2 . Let

$$b_j = -\langle (\mathcal{R} - r)u, X_j \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_i^2}, \quad j = 0, \dots, n+1, \\ B = (b_0, \dots, b_{n+1}).$$

Using Hölder's inequality and (35), we have the general upper bound

$$|b_j| \leq CM_2^{1/2}.$$

But the projection in the unstable direction is actually much smaller.

Lemma 4.15. *We have*

$$|b_0| \leq C(\lambda^{1-n} + M_2)^{1/2} \cdot M_2^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha b_0| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \alpha X_0 \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (u - w) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} w \right| \\ &\leq M_2^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} w^2 u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(\lambda^{1-n} + M_2)^{1/2} \cdot M_2^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 4.6 in the last inequality. The lemma is proved. \square

Remark 4.16. *It is Lemma 4.15 in which we used the volume preservation crucially.*

Next, we derive a finite dimensional flow.

Lemma 4.17. *There holds*

$$\left(\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha}, \lambda \dot{\alpha}, \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) = \left(\frac{n-2}{n+2} + o(1) \right) \left(\frac{b_0}{\kappa_0}, \dots, \frac{b_{n+1}}{\kappa_{n+1}} \right) + O(M_2^{1/2} + \lambda^{\frac{1-n}{2}})|B| + O(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}),$$

where $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_{n+1}$ are the positive constants in (35).

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Lemma 4.1 in Mayer [31]. First of all,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u &= \partial_t(\alpha X_0) + \partial_t w \\ &= \left(\dot{\alpha}, \alpha \lambda \dot{a}, \frac{\alpha \dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) \cdot X + \partial_t w,\end{aligned}$$

where $X = (X_0, \dots, X_{n+1})$. Differentiating $0 = \langle w, X_j \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}$ in t , and using (24) and Lemma 3.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \langle \partial_t w, X_j \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_t^2} + \int_{\Omega} w X_j \partial_t u \frac{4}{n-2} + \langle w, \partial_t X_j \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_t^2} \\ &= - \left\langle \left(\dot{\alpha}, \alpha \lambda \dot{a}, \frac{\alpha \dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) \cdot X + \frac{n-2}{n+2}(\mathcal{R}-r)u + \frac{4}{n+2}(\mathcal{R}-r)w, X_j \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}_t^2} + \langle w, \partial_t X_j \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_t^2} \\ &= - \left\langle \left(\dot{\alpha}, \alpha \lambda \dot{a}, \frac{\alpha \dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) \cdot X, X_j \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}_t^2} + \frac{n-2}{n+2} b_j - \left\langle \frac{4}{n+2}(\mathcal{R}-r)w, X_j \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}_t^2} + \langle w, \partial_t X_j \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega} |(\mathcal{R}-r)w X_j| u \frac{4}{n-2} &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R}-r| |w| u \frac{n+2}{n-2} + C \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R}-r| |w| u \frac{4}{n-2} \\ &\leq M_2^{1/2} \|w\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2} + C \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |w| \overline{X}_0 \frac{4}{n-2} \\ &\leq M_2 + C \|w\|^2 + C \lambda^{1-n} \\ &= O(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}),\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $w \in E^s$, Proposition 4.4, (37) and Lemma 4.6. By using the estimate

$$|\partial_t X| \leq C \left| \left(\dot{\alpha}, \alpha \lambda \dot{a}, \frac{\alpha \dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) \right| (u + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}})$$

in Lemma 4.10 and (37), we have

$$|\langle w, \partial_t X_j \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}| \leq C (\|w\| + \lambda^{1-n}) \left| \left(\dot{\alpha}, \alpha \lambda \dot{a}, \frac{\alpha \dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) \right| \leq C (M_2^{1/2} + \lambda^{\frac{1-n}{2}}) \left| \left(\dot{\alpha}, \alpha \lambda \dot{a}, \frac{\alpha \dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) \right|.$$

By using (35), that is, X_0, \dots, X_{n+1} is almost an orthogonal basis of $E^{ut} \oplus E^c$, the lemma then follows by solving a system of linear equations. \square

Next, we shall show that the projection onto the quasi-central space is the leading term of M_2 . We start from a lemma.

Lemma 4.18. *For every $j = 1, \dots, n+1$, there holds*

$$\frac{db_j}{dt} = o(1) M_2^{1/2} + O(\lambda^{-n}),$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. For $j = 1, \dots, n+1$, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{db_j}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{n-2}{n+2} \Delta_g (\mathcal{R} - r) + \frac{4}{n+2} (\mathcal{R} - r)^2 + \frac{4}{n+2} r (\mathcal{R} - r) - \dot{r} \right] u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)^2 u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \partial_t X_j \, dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\dot{r} \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \, dx = O(M_2).$$

Using the integrating by parts free formula (23) and the conformal transformation law (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \Delta_g (\mathcal{R} - r) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} [(\Delta_g - \mathcal{R})(\mathcal{R} - r)] \frac{X_j}{u} \, dvol_g + \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{R} (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) (\Delta_g - \mathcal{R}) \left(\frac{X_j}{u} \right) \, dvol_g + \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)^2 u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \, dx + \int_{\Omega} r (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u \Delta X_j \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)^2 u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \, dx + \int_{\Omega} r (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.10 and Lemma 4.17,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |(\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \partial_t X_j| \, dx &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |(\mathcal{R} - r)| u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (u + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}) \, dx \cdot \left| \left(\dot{\alpha}, \alpha \dot{\lambda}, \frac{\alpha \dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) \right| \\ &\leq CM_2^{1/2} (M_2^{1/2} + \lambda^{\frac{1-n}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{db_j}{dt} = -\frac{n-2}{n+2} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u \Delta X_j \, dx - \int_{\Omega} r (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \, dx + O(M_2).$$

By (34),

$$-\frac{n-2}{n+2} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u \Delta X_j \, dx = r_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u \bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} (X_j + O(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}})) \, dx.$$

The right-hand side can be estimated as follows. We have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u \bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} X_j \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u (\bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}) X_j \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} X_j \, dx.$$

Since

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u (\bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}) X_j \, dx \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)u(\overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - X_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}})X_j \, dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)u(X_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - u^{\frac{4}{n-2}})X_j \, dx \right| \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R} - r|(h_{a,\lambda}X_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} + uh_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}})|X_j| \, dx + o(1) \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}X_j \, dx \right| \\
&\leq C \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R} - r|(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} + \lambda^{-2}u)(u + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}) \, dx + o(M_2^{1/2}) \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-2} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R} - r|u^2 \, dx + C\lambda^{2-n} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R} - r|u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \, dx + C\lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R} - r|u \, dx + o(M_2^{1/2}) \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-2}M_2^{\frac{n-2}{n}} + C\lambda^{2-n}M_2^{\frac{2}{n}} + C\lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}M_2^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} + o(M_2^{1/2}) \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-2}M_2^{\frac{n-2}{n}} + C\lambda^{2-n}M_2^{\frac{2}{n}} + C\lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}M_2^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} + o(M_2^{1/2}) \\
&\leq C\lambda^{-n} + CM_2 + o(M_2^{1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Proposition 4.9 in the second inequality and Proposition 4.2 in the third inequality, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R} - r|u\overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \, dx &\leq C\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R} - r|u(u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} + \lambda^{-2}) \, dx \\
&= o(M_2^{1/2}) + \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R} - r|u \, dx \\
&\leq o(M_2^{1/2}) + C\lambda^{-n} + CM_2,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{db_j}{dt} &= r_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}X_j \, dx - r \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}X_j \, dx + o(M_2^{1/2}) + O(\lambda^{-n}) \\
&= (r - r_{\infty}) \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}X_j \, dx + o(M_2^{1/2}) + O(\lambda^{-n}) \\
&= o(M_2^{1/2}) + O(\lambda^{-n}).
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Proposition 4.19. *With $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$M_2 - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{b_j^2}{\kappa_j} = o(1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{b_j^2}{\kappa_j} + \lambda^{2-2n} \right),$$

where $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n+1}$ are the positive constants in (35).

Proof. The proof is inspired by that of Lemma 4.2 in Struwe [39]. Since X_0, \dots, X_{n+1} is a basis of $E^{ut} \oplus E^c$, let us write

$$-(\mathcal{R} - r)u = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \beta_j(t)X_j + \eta_u \quad \text{with } \eta_u \in E^s. \quad (50)$$

By using (35), $|\beta_j| \leq CM_2^{1/2}$ and Lemma 4.6, we obtain $|\beta_j| \leq CM_2^{1/2}$,

$$\beta_j \|X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 = b_j + O\left((\|w\| + \lambda^{2-n})M_2^{1/2}\right) = b_j + O(M_2 + \lambda^{\frac{1-n}{2}}M_2^{1/2})$$

and

$$M_2 = (1 + o(1)) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \beta_j^2 \|X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 \right) = (1 + o(1)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{b_j^2}{\kappa_j} + \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 \right), \quad (51)$$

where we used $b_0^2 = o(1)M_2$ by Lemma 4.15.

For $j = 1, \dots, n+1$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta_j \nabla X_j \nabla \eta_u = - \int_{\Omega} \beta_j \Delta X_j \eta_u = r_{\infty} \frac{n+2}{n-2} \int_{\Omega} \beta_j \bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} (X_j + O(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}})) \eta_u.$$

Since $\eta_u \in E^s$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \beta_j X_j \eta_u &= \int_{\Omega} (\bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}) \beta_j X_j \eta_u \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - X_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}}) \beta_j X_j \eta_u + \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\frac{X_0}{u} \right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - 1 \right] u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \beta_j X_j \eta_u \\ &= o(1) (\|\beta_j X_j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\eta_u\|_{L^2}^2) + o(1) (\|\beta_j X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2) \\ &= o(1) (\|\beta_j X_j\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|\eta_u\|_{H_0^1}^2) + o(1) (\|\beta_j X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Proposition 4.9 in the third equality. Also,

$$\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |\beta_j \bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \eta_u| \leq \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \beta_j^2 \bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \eta_u^2 \bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} = o(1) \beta_j^2 + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \eta_u^2 \bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \eta_u^2 \bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \eta_u^2 (\bar{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - X_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}}) + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \eta_u^2 (X_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}) + \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \eta_u^2 u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \\ &= o(1) \|\eta_u\|_{H_0^1}^2 + o(1) \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (35) and (45), we obtained

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta_j \nabla X_j \nabla \eta_u = o(1) (\|\beta_j X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + \|\eta_u\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2).$$

Similarly, one can show

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta_0 \nabla X_0 \nabla \eta_u = o(1) (\|\beta_0 X_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + \|\eta_u\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2).$$

Therefore, by taking the L^2 norm of the gradient of (50) and using Lemma 4.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |\nabla[(\mathcal{R} - r)u]|^2 dx \\
& \geq (1 + o(1)) \frac{n+2}{n-2} r_{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \beta_j^2 \|X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + (1 + o(1)) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_u|^2 dx + o(1) \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 \\
& \geq (1 + o(1)) \frac{n+2}{n-2} r_{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \beta_j^2 \|X_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + \frac{1}{1-c/2} \frac{n+2}{n-2} r_{\infty} \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where we used Proposition 4.4 in the last inequality that gives the constant $c > 0$.

By Lemma 3.1 and the conformal transform law (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} M_2(t) &= \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) \partial_t (\mathcal{R} - r) d\text{vol}_g - \frac{n}{n+2} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)^3 d\text{vol}_g \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{n+2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla[u(\mathcal{R} - r)]|^2 dx + \frac{2}{n+2} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)^3 d\text{vol}_g + r M_2(t) \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{n+2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla[u(\mathcal{R} - r)]|^2 dx + r_{\infty} M_2(t) + o(1) M_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $r - r_{\infty} = o(1)$ and $\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)^3 d\text{vol}_g = o(1) M_2$ by item (i) of Proposition 3.2. Using (51) and (52), we immediately obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} M_2(t) \leq -C_0 \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + o(1) M_2, \tag{53}$$

where $C_0 = \frac{cr_{\infty}}{2(2-c)} > 0$.

Let

$$\tilde{B} = \left(\frac{b_1}{\sqrt{\kappa_1}}, \dots, \frac{b_{n+1}}{\sqrt{\kappa_{n+1}}} \right).$$

We claim that if $|\tilde{B}(t_1)|^2 + \lambda^{2-2n} \geq \|\eta_u(t_1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2$ for some large t_1 , then

$$(1 + o(1)) (|\tilde{B}|^2 + \lambda^{2-2n}) = M_2 + \lambda^{2-2n} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Indeed, by (51), we have

$$M_2 + \lambda^{2-2n} = (1 + o(1)) \left(|\tilde{B}|^2 + \lambda^{2-2n} + \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 \right). \tag{54}$$

Then for t near t_1 , we may write

$$M_2 + \lambda^{2-2n} = (1 + \gamma(t)) (|\tilde{B}|^2 + \lambda^{2-2n}) \quad \text{with } -1/2 < \gamma(t) < 2.$$

By Lemma 4.17, we know $\dot{\lambda} = o(1)\lambda$. The using Lemma 4.18, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-C_0 \|\eta_u\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^2}^2 + o(1) (M_2 + \lambda^{2-2n}) &\geq \frac{d}{dt} (M_2 + \lambda^{2-2n}) \\
&= (|\tilde{B}|^2 + \lambda^{2-2n}) \frac{d\gamma}{dt} + 2(1 + \gamma) \tilde{B} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{B} + o(\lambda^{2-2n}) \\
&= (|\tilde{B}|^2 + \lambda^{2-2n}) \frac{d\gamma}{dt} + O(M_2^{1/2} \lambda^{-n}) + o(1) (M_2 + \lambda^{2-2n}) \\
&= (|\tilde{B}|^2 + \lambda^{2-2n}) \frac{d\gamma}{dt} + o(1) (M_2 + \lambda^{2-2n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Making use of (54), we have

$$(|\tilde{B}|^2 + \lambda^{2-2n}) \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \leq -(C_0\gamma(t) + o(1))(|\tilde{B}|^2 + \lambda^{2-2n}).$$

Canceling the factor $|\tilde{B}|^2 + \lambda^{2-2n}$, we find

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dt} \leq -(C_0\gamma(t) + o(1)).$$

Then $\gamma(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and the claim follows.

It remains to show that $|\tilde{B}(t_1)|^2 + \lambda(t_1)^{2-2n} \geq \|\eta_u(\cdot, t_1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{t_1}^2}^2$ for some arbitrarily large t_1 . If not, then (53) and (54) yield that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(M_2 + \lambda^{2-2n}) \leq -(C_0 + o(1))(M_2 + \lambda^{2-2n}).$$

It follows that $M_2(t) + \lambda(t)^{2-2n} \leq Ce^{-\frac{C_0}{2}t}$ for large t , which particularly implies

$$M_2^{1/2}(t) \in L^1[1, \infty).$$

By (27), $u(\cdot, t)$ is a Cauchy sequence in H_0^1 and thus converges to a steady solution. We obtained a contradiction. The proposition is proved. \square

4.5 Estimate of the projection to the quasi-central space

In this subsection, we shall estimate

$$b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}$$

via the $(n+1)$ identities in the proof of the Pohozaev identity.

Proposition 4.20. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 &= O(\lambda^{2(2-n)}), \\ b_j &= o(\lambda^{2-n}), \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \\ b_{n+1} &= \overline{C}(n)H(a, a)\lambda^{2-n} + o(\lambda^{2-n}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{C}(n) > 0$ is a constant depending only on n , and $H > 0$ is as in Proposition 4.2.

Proof. By the definition of \mathcal{R} , we have

$$-\Delta u = \mathcal{R}u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (55)$$

By Proposition 4.9, and local estimates of the Poisson equation, we have

$$|u|(x) + |\nabla u(x)| \leq C\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \quad \forall d(x) < \frac{\delta_0}{10},$$

where δ_0 is the constant in Proposition 3.2.

First, multiplying $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, to (55) and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx &= \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{R} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} dx \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_{\nu} u)^2 \cdot \nu_j dS \\
&= O(\lambda^{2-n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
O(\lambda^{2-n}) &= \frac{n-2}{n} \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \nabla_x \mathcal{R} dx \\
&= \frac{n-2}{n} \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \nabla_x (\mathcal{R} - r) dx \\
&= -2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (\alpha \nabla_x X_0 + \nabla_x w) dx \\
&= -2\alpha\lambda(b_1, \dots, b_n) - 2 \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \nabla w dx + O(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\nabla_x PU_{a,\lambda} = -\nabla_a PU_{a,\lambda} + O(\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}})$ thanks to Proposition 4.2, and

$$\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{R} - r| u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r)^2 u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx + \lambda^{2-n} \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} dx = M_2 + O(\lambda^{1-n}). \quad (56)$$

Using Lemma 4.13, we can find

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \nabla w dx \right| \leq CM_2^{1/2} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^{1/n} \|\nabla w\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} = o(1)M_2^{1/2}\lambda.$$

Therefore,

$$b_j = o(1)M_2^{1/2} + O(\lambda^{1-n}), \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (57)$$

Second, taking $(x - a) \cdot \nabla_x u$ as a test function against (55) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R} - r) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (x - a) \cdot \nabla u dx \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} (x - a) \cdot \nabla u \Delta u dx - r \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{\Omega} (x - a) \cdot \nabla u u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \langle (x - a), \nu \rangle dS + r \frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \langle (x - a), \nu \rangle dS,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of r in the last equality. Note that

$$(x - a) \cdot \nabla PU_{a,\lambda} = (x - a) \cdot \nabla (U_{a,\lambda} - h_{a,\lambda})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (2-n)U_{a,\lambda} \frac{\lambda^2|x-a|^2}{1+\lambda^2|x-a|^2} - (x-a) \cdot \nabla h_{a,\lambda} \\
&= \frac{n-2}{2}U_{a,\lambda} \frac{1-\lambda^2|x-a|^2}{1+\lambda^2|x-a|^2} + \frac{2-n}{2}U_{a,\lambda} - (x-a) \cdot \nabla h_{a,\lambda} \\
&= \lambda \partial_\lambda P U_{a,\lambda} + \lambda \partial_\lambda h_{a,\lambda} + \frac{2-n}{2}U_{a,\lambda} - (x-a) \cdot \nabla h_{a,\lambda} \\
&= r_\infty^{\frac{n-2}{4}} (X_{n+1} + \frac{2-n}{2}X_0) + (\lambda \partial_\lambda h_{a,\lambda} + \frac{2-n}{2}h_{a,\lambda} - (x-a) \cdot \nabla h_{a,\lambda}) \\
&= r_\infty^{\frac{n-2}{4}} (X_{n+1} + \frac{2-n}{2}X_0) + O(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Proposition 4.2 in the last inequality. It follows that

$$(x-a) \cdot \nabla u = \alpha (X_{n+1} - \frac{n-2}{2}X_0) + (x-a) \cdot \nabla w + O(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R}-r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(x-a) \cdot \nabla u \, dx \\
&= -\alpha b_{n+1} + \frac{(n-2)\alpha}{2}b_0 + \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R}-r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(x-a) \cdot \nabla w \, dx + O(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}) \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R}-r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}|x-a|^2 \leq C\overline{X}_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}}|x-a|^2 \leq C$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R}-r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(x-a) \cdot \nabla w \, dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R}-r)^2 u^{\frac{2(n+2)}{n-2}}(x-a)^2 \, dx + \|w\|^2 \leq CM_2 + \|w\|^2.$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.6, Lemma 4.15 and (56), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{R}-r)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(x-a) \cdot \nabla u \, dx = -\alpha b_{n+1} + O(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}).$$

Therefore,

$$b_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \langle (x-a), \nu \rangle \, dS + O(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}).$$

By Lemma 4.14, we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 \langle (x-a), \nu \rangle \, dS = O(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \langle (x-a), \nu \rangle \, dS \\
&= \alpha^2 \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla X_0|^2 \langle (x-a), \nu \rangle \, dS + 2\alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla X_0 \cdot \nabla w \langle (x-a), \nu \rangle \, dS + O(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}) \\
&= C_2(n)\alpha^2 r_\infty^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} H(a, a)\lambda^{2-n} + O\left(\lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}}(M_2^{1/2} + \lambda^{\frac{1-n}{2}})\right) + O(M_2 + \lambda^{1-n}), \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (48) in the last inequality, and

$$C_2(n) = \frac{(n-2)(n+2)}{n} [n(n-2)]^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{n+4}{2}} |x|^2 dx.$$

This in particular implies that

$$b_{n+1} = O(M_2 + \lambda^{2-n}).$$

Together with (57) and Proposition 4.19, we have

$$M_2 = (1 + o(1)) \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{b_j^2}{\kappa_j} + o(\lambda^{2-2n}) = o(1)M_2 + O(M_2^2 + \lambda^{2(2-n)}).$$

Since $M_2 \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$M_2 = O(\lambda^{2(2-n)}).$$

Then the conclusion of this lemma follows from plugging this estimate of M_2 to (57) and (58), with the help of the estimate $|\alpha - 1|$ in Lemma 4.8. \square

Proposition 4.21. *There exist $a_\infty \in \Omega$ with $d(a_\infty) > \delta_0/2$, and $C_3(n) > 0$ depending only on n , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |a(t) - a_\infty| &= o(t^{-\frac{1}{n-2}}), \quad \text{and} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} \lambda(t) &= C_3(n)H(a_\infty, a_\infty). \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.17 and Proposition 4.20, we have

$$\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} = \left(\frac{n+2}{n-2} + o(1) \right) \frac{b_{n+1}}{\kappa_{n+1}} + o(\lambda^{2-n}) = (C_3(n)H(a, a) + o(1))\lambda^{2-n}, \tag{60}$$

where $C_3(n) = \frac{(n+2)\overline{C}(n)}{(n-2)\kappa_{n+1}}$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{C} t^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \leq \lambda \leq C t^{\frac{1}{n-2}}.$$

Using Lemma 4.17 again,

$$\dot{a} = o(1)\lambda^{1-n} = o(1)t^{-\frac{n-1}{n-2}} \in L^1([1, \infty)).$$

Hence, there exists $a_\infty \in \Omega$ such that

$$|a(t) - a_\infty| = o(1)t^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} = o(1)\lambda^{-1}.$$

Applying this fact into (60), we obtain

$$\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} = (C_3(n)H(a_\infty, a_\infty) + o(1))\lambda^{2-n}.$$

The proposition follows. \square

Finally, we prove the main theorem of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall the change of variables in (19). It follows from (15) that

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{\beta(s)}{s} \leq C.$$

From (16) and (21), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(t) = -\frac{2n}{n+2} r(\beta^{-1}(t)) f(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} + \frac{2n}{n+2} f(t),$$

where

$$f(t) = \int_{\Omega} v(x, t)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx,$$

and β^{-1} is the inverse function of β . Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}t} f(t)^{\frac{2}{n}}) = -\frac{4}{n+2} r(\beta^{-1}(t)) e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}t}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}t} f(t)^{\frac{2}{n}} &= \int_t^{\infty} \frac{4}{n+2} (r(\beta^{-1}(\sigma)) - r_{\infty}) e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}\sigma} d\sigma + \int_t^{\infty} \frac{4}{n+2} r_{\infty} e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}\sigma} d\sigma \\ &= \int_t^{\infty} \frac{4}{n+2} (r(\beta^{-1}(\sigma)) - r_{\infty}) e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}\sigma} d\sigma + e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}t} r_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.8, Proposition 4.20 and Proposition 4.21, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}t} f(t)^{\frac{2}{n}} - e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}t} r_{\infty} &= \int_t^{\infty} \frac{4}{n+2} (r(\beta^{-1}(\sigma)) - r_{\infty}) e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}\sigma} d\sigma \\ &\leq C(\beta^{-1}(t))^{-1/2} \int_t^{\infty} \frac{4}{n+2} e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}\sigma} d\sigma \\ &\leq Ct^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{4}{n+2}t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $0 \leq f(t)^{\frac{2}{n}} - r_{\infty} \leq Ct^{-1/2}$. That is,

$$0 \leq \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} - r_{\infty}^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \leq Ct^{-1/2}. \quad (61)$$

Since $Y_{\Omega}(u(\cdot, 0)) = Y_{\Omega}(\rho_0^m) \leq 2^{\frac{2}{n}} K(n)$, then we have the dichotomy in Corollary 3.5.

If part (i) in Corollary 3.5 happens, then it follows from (31), (19) and (61) that

$$\left\| \frac{v(\cdot, t)}{S} - 1 \right\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C_1 t^{-\gamma_1}$$

for some $C_1, \gamma_1 > 0$, where $S = r_{\infty}^{\frac{n-2}{4}} u_{\infty}$ satisfying (4). Then, the estimate (10) follows from the change of variable in (13).

If part (ii) in Corollary 3.5 happens, then it follows from Proposition 4.9, Proposition 4.20 and Proposition 4.21, as well as (61) and the change of variables in (19), that

$$\left\| \frac{v(\cdot, t)}{PU_{a(t), \lambda(t)}} - 1 \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_2 t^{-\gamma_2},$$

for some $C_2, \gamma_2 > 0$, where $a : (0, T^*) \rightarrow \Omega$ and $\lambda : (0, T^*) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ are smooth functions satisfying (59). Then the estimates (11) and (12) follow from the change of variables in (13). \square

References

- [1] G. Akagi, *Rates of convergence to non-degenerate asymptotic profiles for fast diffusion via energy methods*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **247** (2023), no.2, Paper No. 23, 38 pp.
- [2] D. G. Aronson and L. A. Peletier, *Large time behaviour of solutions of the porous medium equation in bounded domains*. J. Differential Equations **39** (1981), no. 3, 378–412.
- [3] A. Bahri, *Critical points at infinity in some variational problems*. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 182. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1989.
- [4] A. Bahri and J.M. Coron, *On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: The effect of the topology of the domain*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), 253–294.
- [5] J. B. Berryman and C. J. Holland, *Stability of the separable solution for fast diffusion*. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **74** (1980), 379–388.
- [6] M. Bonforte and A. Figalli, *Sharp extinction rates for fast diffusion equations on generic bounded domains*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **74** (2021), 744–789.
- [7] M. Bonforte and A. Figalli, *The Cauchy-Dirichlet problem for the fast diffusion equation on bounded domains*. Nonlinear Anal. **239** (2024), Paper No. 113394, 55 pp.
- [8] M. Bonforte, G. Grillo and J. L. Vázquez, *Behaviour near extinction for the Fast Diffusion Equation on bounded domains*. J. Math. Pures Appl. **97** (2012), 1–38.
- [9] S. Brendle, *Convergence of the Yamabe flow for arbitrary initial energy*. J. Differential Geom. **69** (2005), no. 2, 217–278.
- [10] S. Brendle, *Convergence of the Yamabe flow in dimension 6 and higher*. Invent. Math. **170** (2007), 541–576.
- [11] H. Brézis and J.-M. Coron, *Convergence of solutions of H-systems or how to blow bubbles*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **89** (1985), no. 1, 21–56.
- [12] H. Brézis and T. Kato, *Remarks on the Schrödinger operator with singular complex potentials*. J. Math. Pures Appl. **58** (1979), 137–151.
- [13] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 437–477.
- [14] Y. Chen and E. DiBenedetto, *On the local behavior of solutions of singular parabolic equations*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **103** (1988), no. 4, 319–345.
- [15] B. Choi, R. McCann and C. Seis, *Asymptotics near extinction for nonlinear fast diffusion on a bounded domain*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **247** (2023), no.2, Paper No. 16, 48 pp.
- [16] B. Choi and C. Seis, *Finite-dimensional leading order dynamics for the fast diffusion equation near extinction*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **44** (2024), no. 9, 2697–2712.
- [17] G. Ciraolo, A. Figalli and F. Maggi, *A quantitative analysis of metrics on with almost constant positive scalar curvature, with applications to fast diffusion flows*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **21** (2018), 6780–6797.
- [18] B. Chow, *The Yamabe flow on locally conformally flat manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*. Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. **45** (1992), 1003–1014.
- [19] C. Cortázar, M. del Pino and M. Musso, *Green’s function and infinite-time bubbling in the critical nonlinear heat equation*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **22** (2020), no. 1, 283–344.
- [20] C. Collot, F. Merle and P. Raphaël, *Dynamics near the ground state for the energy critical nonlinear heat equation in large dimensions*. Comm. Math. Phys. **352** (2017), no.1, 215–285.
- [21] J. Dávila, M. del Pino and J. Wei, *Singularity formation for the two-dimensional harmonic map flow into S^2* . Invent. Math. **219** (2020), no. 2, 345–466.
- [22] E. DiBenedetto, Y.C. Kwong, and V. Vespri, *Local space-analyticity of solutions of certain singular parabolic equations*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (2) (1991), 741–765.
- [23] E. Feireisl and F. Simondon, *Convergence for semilinear degenerate parabolic equations in several space dimension*. J. Dynam. Differential Equations **12** (2000), 647–673.
- [24] V.A. Galaktionov and J.R. King, *Fast diffusion equation with critical Sobolev exponent in a ball*. Nonlinearity **15** (2002), no. 1, 173–188.

- [25] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. Reprint of the 1998 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. xiv+517 pp.
- [26] T. Jin and J. Xiong, *Optimal boundary regularity for fast diffusion equations in bounded domains*. Amer. J. Math. **145** (2023), no. 1, 151–220.
- [27] T. Jin and J. Xiong, *Bubbling and extinction for some fast diffusion equations in bounded domains*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B **10** (2023), 1287–1332.
- [28] T. Jin and J. Xiong, *Regularity of solutions to the Dirichlet problem for fast diffusion equations*. arXiv:2201.10091.
- [29] T. Jin, X. Ros-Oton and J. Xiong, *Optimal regularity and fine asymptotics for the porous medium equation in bounded domains*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **809** (2024), 269–300.
- [30] J. Kazdan and F. Warner, *Remarks on some quasilinear elliptic equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1975), 557–569.
- [31] M. Mayer, *A scalar curvature flow in low dimensions*. Thesis 2015, Justus Liebig University Giessen. arXiv:1509.00766.
- [32] S. I. Pohozaev, *On the eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$* . Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **165** (1965), 1408–1411.
- [33] O. Rey, *The role of the Green’s function in a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent*. J. Funct. Anal. **89** (1990), no. 1, 1–52.
- [34] E. S. Sabinina, *On a class of nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **143** (1962), 794–797.
- [35] H. Schwetlick and M. Struwe, *Convergence of the Yamabe flow for “large” energies*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **562** (2003), 59–100.
- [36] L. Simon, *Asymptotics for a class of nonlinear evolution equations, with applications to geometric problems*. Ann. of Math. **118** (1983), 525–571.
- [37] Y. Sire, J. Wei and Y. Zheng *Extinction behavior for the fast diffusion equations with critical exponent and Dirichlet boundary conditions*. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **106** (2022), no.2, 855–898.
- [38] M. Struwe, *A global compactness result for elliptic boundary value problems involving limiting nonlinearities*. Math. Z. **187** (1984), no. 4, 511–517.
- [39] M. Struwe, *A flow approach to Nirenberg’s problem*. Duke Math. J. **128** (2005), no. 1, 19–64.
- [40] R. Ye, *Global existence and convergence of the Yamabe flow*. J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), 35–50.

T. Jin

Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology
 Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
 Email: tianlingjin@ust.hk

J. Xiong

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University
 Beijing 100875, China.
 Email: jx@bnu.edu.cn