

# THE ZETA-DETERMINANTS AND ANALYTIC TORSION OF A METRIC MAPPING TORUS

YOONWEON LEE

ABSTRACT. We use the BFK-gluing formula for zeta-determinants to compute the zeta-determinant and analytic torsion of a metric mapping torus induced from an isometry. As applications, we compute the zeta-determinants of the Laplacians defined on a Klein bottle  $\mathbb{K}$  and some compact co-Kähler manifold  $\mathbb{T}_\varphi$ . We also show that a metric mapping torus and a Riemannian product manifold with a round circle have the same heat trace asymptotic expansions. We finally compute the analytic torsion of a metric mapping torus for the Witten deformed Laplacian and recover the result of J. Marcsik in [16].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a compact oriented  $(m-1)$ -dimensional manifold and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  be a diffeomorphism.  $\varphi$  may be an orientation preserving or reversing map. For  $a > 0$ , we define a mapping torus  $M_\varphi$  by

$$M_\varphi = M \times [0, a] / (x, 0) \sim (\varphi(x), a). \quad (1.1)$$

Equivalently, we define a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\mathbb{Z} \times (M \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}, \quad m \cdot (x, u) = (\varphi^m(x), u + ma). \quad (1.2)$$

This action is properly discontinuous and  $M_\varphi$  is defined to be the quotient space. In fact,  $M_\varphi$  is a fiber bundle over  $S^1$  and every fiber bundle over  $S^1$  is obtained in this way. It is well known that  $M_\varphi$  is a trivial bundle if and only if  $\varphi$  is isotopic to the identity. To endow a Riemannian metric on  $M_\varphi$ , we are going to consider a more specific case called a metric mapping torus ([1]). Metric mapping tori play important roles in the study of the co-symplectic and co-Kähler manifolds ([2], [3], [7], [15], [17]), which are odd-dimensional analogues of symplectic and Kähler manifolds.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $(M, g^M)$  be a compact oriented  $(m-1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $\varphi : (M, g^M) \rightarrow (M, g^M)$  be an isometry. A mapping torus  $M_\varphi$  induced from the Riemannian product  $[0, a] \times M$  is called a metric mapping torus.*

A metric mapping torus  $M_\varphi$  has a natural Riemannian metric and in this paper a mapping torus means a metric mapping torus. We denote by  $\Omega^q(M_\varphi)$  the space of smooth  $q$ -forms and by  $\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q$  the Hodge Laplacian acting on  $\Omega^q(M_\varphi)$ . Equivalently,  $\Omega^q(M_\varphi)$  and  $\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q$  are defined in the following way. We define a map

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 58J52; Secondary: 58J50.

*Key words and phrases.* zeta-determinant, analytic torsion, metric mapping torus, BFK-gluing formula, Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.

This work was supported by INHA UNIVERSITY Research Grant.

$$F : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}, \quad F(x, u) = (\varphi(x), u + a) \quad (1.3)$$

Then,  $\Omega^q(M_\varphi)$  is identified with

$$\Omega_\varphi^q(M \times \mathbb{R}) := \{\omega \in \Omega^q(M \times \mathbb{R}) \mid F^*\omega = \omega\}, \quad (1.4)$$

and  $\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q$  is identified with  $-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \Delta_M^{q-1}$  acting on  $\Omega_\varphi^q(M \times \mathbb{R})$ . The zeta function  $\zeta_{\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q}(s)$  associated to  $\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q$  is defined by

$$\zeta_{\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q}(s) = \sum_{0 \neq \lambda_j \in \text{Spec}(\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q)} \lambda_j^{-s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \left( \text{Tr} e^{-t\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q} - \dim \ker \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q \right) dt, \quad (1.5)$$

which is holomorphic for  $\Re s > \frac{m}{2}$  and has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  having a regular value at  $s = 0$ . If  $\dim \ker \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q = 0$ , the zeta-determinant  $\text{Det} \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q$  is defined by

$$\log \text{Det} \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q := -\zeta'_{\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q}(0). \quad (1.6)$$

If  $\ker \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q$  is non-trivial, the modified zeta-determinant  $\text{Det}^* \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q$  is defined by the same way, *i.e.*  $\log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q := -\zeta'_{\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q}(0)$ , but we use the upper star to distinguish it from the invertible case. The analytic torsion  $T(M_\varphi)$  is defined by

$$\log T(M_\varphi) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} q \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q. \quad (1.7)$$

If  $\varphi = \text{Id}$ , it is easily seen that  $M_{\text{Id}} = M \times S^1(\frac{a}{2\pi})$ , where  $S^1(r)$  is the round circle of radius  $r$ . In this paper, we are going to use the BFK-gluings formula for zeta-determinants ([4], [8]) to describe  $\log \text{Det} \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q - \log \text{Det} \Delta_{M_{\text{Id}}}^q$  by a Fredholm determinant of some trace class operator defined on  $M$  and determined by  $\varphi$ . By using the product formula of the zeta-determinants given in Theorem 7.1 of [9], we can eventually compute  $\log \text{Det} \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q$ . As applications, we compute the zeta-determinants of the scalar Laplacians defined on a Klein bottle  $\mathbb{K}$  and some non-product compact co-Kähler manifold  $\mathbb{T}_\varphi$  given in [7]. We also prove that a metric mapping torus and a Riemannian product manifold with a round circle have the same heat trace asymptotic expansions. We finally apply this result to compute the analytic torsion of  $M_\varphi$  for the Witten deformed Laplacian and recover the result given by J. Marcsik in [16] and D. Burghelca and S. Haller in [5]. In fact, D. Burghelca and S. Haller proved a more general result but we here present an elementary computation with a stronger assumption.

## 2. THE ZETA-DETERMINANTS OF LAPLACIANS ON A METRIC MAPPING TORUS

In this section we use the BKF-gluings formula to express  $\log \text{Det} \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q - \log \text{Det} \Delta_{M_{\text{Id}}}^q$  in terms of a Fredholm determinant of some trace class operator defined on  $M$ . We begin with  $\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q + \lambda + \mu$  rather than  $\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q$  for  $0 \leq \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\lambda$  is a fixed real number and  $\mu$  is a parameter. On the  $S^1$ -bundle  $p : M_\varphi \rightarrow S^1$ , let  $\frac{d}{d\theta}$  and  $d\theta$  be the standard unit vector field and one form on  $S^1$  and let  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$  and  $du$  be the liftings of  $\frac{d}{d\theta}$  and  $d\theta$  on  $M_\varphi$ . Then, for  $(x, t) \in M_\varphi$  a  $q$ -form  $\omega$  on  $M_\varphi$  can be expressed by

$$\omega_{(x,t)} = \alpha_{(x,t)} + du \wedge \beta_{(x,t)}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\alpha_{(x,t)} \in \wedge^q T_{(x,t)} M_\varphi$  and  $\beta_{(x,t)} \in \wedge^{q-1} T_{(x,t)} M_\varphi$  with  $\iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \alpha_{(x,t)} = \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \beta_{(x,t)} = 0$ . For simplicity, we write  $x := (x, 0)$  for  $x \in M$ . We note that  $M \times \{0\}$  is a submanifold of  $M_\varphi$  and that

$$M_\varphi - M \times \{0\} = M_\varphi - M \times \{a\} = M \times (0, a), \quad (2.2)$$

and  $M \times \{0\}$  and  $M \times \{a\}$  are identified by  $(x, 0) \sim (\varphi(x), a)$ , where  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  is an isometry. This shows that for a smooth vector field  $X$  on  $M$  and  $x \in M$ ,  $X(x)$  is a vector in  $T_{(x,0)} M_\varphi$  and can be identified with  $\varphi_* X(x) \in T_{(\varphi(x),a)} M_\varphi$ . For  $\alpha \in \Omega^q(M)$  and  $x \in M$ ,  $\alpha_x$  is a  $q$ -form at  $(x, 0) \in M_\varphi$ , i.e.  $\alpha_x \in \wedge^q T_{(x,0)}^* M_\varphi$ . For smooth vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_q$  on  $M$  and  $x \in M$ , the vectors  $X_1(x), \dots, X_q(x)$  in  $T_{(x,0)} M_\varphi$  are identified with  $\varphi_* X_1(x), \dots, \varphi_* X_q(x)$  in  $T_{(\varphi(x),a)} M_\varphi$ . Since

$$\left( (\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha_x \right) (\varphi_* X_1(x), \dots, \varphi_* X_q(x)) = \alpha_x (X_1(x), \dots, X_q(x)), \quad (2.3)$$

it follows that  $\alpha_x \in \wedge^q T_{(x,0)}^* M_\varphi$  is identified with  $(\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha_x \in \wedge^q T_{(\varphi(x),a)}^* M_\varphi$ . Hence, for  $\alpha \in \Omega^q(M)$  and  $\beta \in \Omega^{q-1}(M)$ ,  $\omega_x := \alpha_x + du \wedge \beta_x \in \wedge^q T_{(x,0)}^* M_\varphi$  is identified with

$$(\varphi^{-1})^* \omega_x = (\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha_x + du \wedge (\varphi^{-1})^* \beta_x \in \wedge^q T_{(\varphi(x),a)}^* M_\varphi. \quad (2.4)$$

For  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , we identify  $\Omega^q(M_\varphi)|_{M \times \{u\}}$  with  $\Omega^q(M) \oplus \Omega^{q-1}(M)$ . We define  $\tilde{\Delta}_M^q$ ,  $(\tilde{\varphi}^*)_q$  and  $((\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})^*)_q$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_M^q, (\tilde{\varphi}^*)_q &: \Omega^q(M) \oplus \Omega^{q-1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^q(M) \oplus \Omega^{q-1}(M), \\ \tilde{\Delta}_M^q &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_M^q & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_M^{q-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\tilde{\varphi}^*)_q = \begin{pmatrix} (\varphi^*)_q & 0 \\ 0 & (\varphi^*)_{q-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = \pm 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where  $(\varphi^*)_q : \Omega^q(M) \rightarrow \Omega^q(M)$  is the pull-back of  $q$ -forms with respect to  $\varphi$  or  $\varphi^{-1}$ . For  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega^q(M) \oplus \Omega^{q-1}(M)$ , we embed  $(\alpha, \beta)$  to  $\wedge^q T_{(x,0)}^* M_\varphi$  and  $\wedge^q T_{(\varphi(x),a)}^* M_\varphi$  by

$$\omega_x := \alpha_x + du \wedge \beta_x \in \wedge^q T_{(x,0)}^* M_\varphi, \quad (\varphi^{-1})^* \omega_x = (\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha_x + du \wedge (\varphi^{-1})^* \beta_x \in \wedge^q T_{(\varphi(x),a)}^* M_\varphi. \quad (2.6)$$

Let  $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^q(M \times [0, a])$  be an arbitrary extension of  $\omega_x$  and  $(\varphi^{-1})^* \omega_x$  to  $M \times [0, a]$ . We define  $\psi(x, u) \in \Omega^q(M \times [0, a])$  by

$$\psi(x, u) = \tilde{\omega} - \left( -\frac{d^2}{du^2} + \left( \tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu \right) \right)_D^{-1} \left( -\frac{d^2}{du^2} + \left( \tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu \right) \right) \tilde{\omega},$$

where "D" stands for the Dirichlet boundary condition. Then  $\psi(x, u)$  satisfies the following properties.

$$\left( -\frac{d^2}{du^2} + \left( \tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu \right) \right) \psi(u, x) = 0, \quad \psi(x, 0) = \omega_x, \quad \psi(\varphi(x), a) = (\varphi^{-1})^* \omega_x. \quad (2.7)$$

**Definition 2.1.** For  $0 \leq \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator

$$R_\varphi^q(\lambda + \mu) : \Omega^q(M) \oplus \Omega^{q-1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^q(M) \oplus \Omega^{q-1}(M)$$

by

$$R_\varphi^q(\lambda + \mu)(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi^* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi(\varphi(x), a) \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi(x, 0) \in \Omega^q(M) \oplus \Omega^{q-1}(M),$$

where we identify  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi(\varphi(x), a) \in \wedge^q T_{(\varphi(x), a)}^* M_\varphi$  with  $\varphi^* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi(\varphi(x), a) \right) \in \wedge^q T_{(x, 0)}^* M_\varphi$  and identify  $A + du \wedge B$  with  $(A, B) \in \Omega^q(M) \oplus \Omega^{q-1}(M)$ .

It is well known that  $R_\varphi^q(\lambda + \mu)$  is a non-negative elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order 1 with the principal symbol  $\sigma_L(R_\varphi^q(\lambda + \mu))(x, \xi) = 2\sqrt{\|\xi\|^2 + \lambda + \mu}$ . We now compute  $R_\varphi^q(\lambda + \mu)$  precisely. Let  $\alpha \in \Omega^q(M)$  or  $\alpha \in \Omega^{q-1}(M)$  with  $\Delta_M \alpha = \nu^2 \alpha$ . We suppose that  $\alpha \in \Omega^q(M)$  and  $\omega_x = \alpha_x$ . Then, the solution to (2.7) is given by

$$\psi(x, u) = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}(u-a)} - e^{\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}(u-a)}}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}} \alpha_x + \frac{e^{u\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-u\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}} \left( (\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha \right)_x. \quad (2.8)$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi(x, u) = \quad (2.9)$$

$$\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu} \left\{ - \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}(u-a)} + e^{\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}(u-a)}}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}} \alpha_x + \frac{e^{u\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} + e^{-u\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}} \left( (\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha \right)_x \right\},$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi(x, 0) &= \frac{\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}} \left( - \left( e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} + e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} \right) \alpha_x + 2 \left( (\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha \right)_x \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi(\varphi(x), a) &= \frac{\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}} \left( -2\alpha_{\varphi(x)} + \left( e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} + e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} \right) \left( (\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha \right)_{\varphi(x)} \right) \\ &= (\varphi^{-1})^* \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}} \left( -2 \left( \varphi^* \alpha \right)_x + \left( e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} + e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} \right) \alpha_x \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following result.

$$\begin{aligned}
R_\varphi^q(\lambda + \mu) \alpha(x) &= \varphi^* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi(\varphi(x), a) \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi(x, 0) \\
&= \left( - \frac{2\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}} (\varphi^* \alpha)_x + \sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu} \frac{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} + e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}} \alpha_x \right) \\
&\quad - \left( - \sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu} \frac{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} + e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}} \alpha_x + \frac{2\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}} ((\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha)_x \right) \\
&= 2\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu} \frac{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - 1}{e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} + 1} \left\{ \alpha_x + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}}}{(e^{a\sqrt{\nu^2 + \lambda + \mu}} - 1)^2} \left( \alpha_x - \left( \frac{(\varphi^* \alpha)_x + ((\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha)_x}{2} \right) \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

*Remark 2.2.* If  $\lambda = \mu = 0$  and  $\nu = 0$ , then  $\psi(x, u)$  and  $R_\varphi^q(0)\alpha(x)$  are given by

$$\psi(x, u) = \frac{1}{a} \left( ((\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha)_x - \alpha_x \right) u + \alpha_x, \quad R_\varphi^q(0)\alpha(x) = \frac{2}{a} \left( \alpha_x - \frac{(\varphi^* \alpha)_x + ((\varphi^{-1})^* \alpha)_x}{2} \right).$$

The following lemma is well known (for example, Lemma 3.2 in [10]).

**Lemma 2.3.** *For a positive definite elliptic pseudodifferential operator  $A$  of positive order and a non-negative trace class operator  $Q$ , the following equality holds.*

$$\log \text{Det } A(I + Q) = \log \text{Det } A + \log \det_{Fr}(I + Q).$$

We have the following result.

**Lemma 2.4.** *The Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator  $R_\varphi^q(\lambda + \mu) : \Omega^q(M) \oplus \Omega^{q-1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^q(M) \oplus \Omega^{q-1}(M)$  is described as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned}
R_\varphi^q(\lambda + \mu) &= \\
&= 2\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu} \frac{e^{a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu}} - \text{Id}}{e^{a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu}} + \text{Id}} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu}}}{(e^{a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu}} - \text{Id})^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}_q^* - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})_q^* \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

*In particular, if  $\varphi = \text{Id}$ , then*

$$R_{\text{Id}}^q(\lambda + \mu) = 2\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu} \frac{e^{a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu}} - \text{Id}}{e^{a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu}} + \text{Id}} = 2\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{2}{e^{a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu}} + \text{Id}} \right),$$

*which shows that for  $\lambda + \mu > 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det } R_{\varphi}^q(\lambda + \mu) &= \log \text{Det } R_{\text{Id}}^q(\lambda + \mu) \\ &+ \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda + \mu}}}{\left(e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda + \mu}} - \text{Id}\right)^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\varphi}_q^* - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})_q^* \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We fix  $\lambda$  and take  $\mu$  as a parameter. By the BFK-gluing formula ([4], [6]) we have the following equality.

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{M_{\varphi}}^q + \lambda + \mu \right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} c_k(\varphi, \lambda) \mu^k + \log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{[0,a] \times M, D}^q + \lambda + \mu \right) + \log \text{Det } R_{\varphi}^q(\lambda + \mu) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} c_k(\varphi, \lambda) \mu^k + \log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{[0,a] \times M, D}^q + \lambda + \mu \right) + \log \text{Det } R_{\text{Id}}^q(\lambda + \mu) \\ &+ \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda + \mu}}}{\left(e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda + \mu}} - \text{Id}\right)^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\varphi}_q^* - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})_q^* \right) \right\}. \quad (2.11) \end{aligned}$$

For fixed  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ , the each term in the above equality has an asymptotic expansion. It is well known that the zero coefficients in the asymptotic expansions of  $\log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{M_{\varphi}}^q + \lambda + \mu \right)$  and  $\log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{[0,a] \times M, D}^q + \lambda + \mu \right)$  for  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$  are zero (Lemma 2.1 in [11], eq.(1.6) in [12], or eq.(5.1) in [20]). Since

$$\log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda + \mu}}}{\left(e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda + \mu}} - 1\right)^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\varphi}_q^* - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})_q^* \right) \right\} = O(e^{-a\sqrt{\mu}}), \quad (2.12)$$

it follows that  $-c_0(\varphi, \lambda)$  is the zero coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of  $\log \text{Det } R_{\text{Id}}^q(\lambda + \mu)$  for  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ , which shows that  $c_0(\varphi, \lambda)$  does not depend on  $\varphi$ . We compute  $c_0(\varphi, \lambda) = c_0(\lambda)$  in the following way. From Lemma 2.4 we obtain the following equality.

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det } R_{\text{Id}}^q(\lambda + \mu) &= \log 2 \cdot \zeta_{(\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu)}(0) + \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Det} \left( \tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu \right) \\ &+ \log \det_{Fr} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{2}{e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda + \mu}} + \text{Id}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Since  $\log \det_{Fr} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{2}{e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda + \mu}} + \text{Id}} \right) = O(e^{-a\sqrt{\mu}})$  and the zero coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of  $\log \text{Det} \left( \tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu \right)$  for  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$  is zero, the zero coefficient of  $\log \text{Det } R_{\text{Id}}^q(\lambda + \mu)$  is equal to the zero coefficient of  $\log 2 \cdot \zeta_{(\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu)}(0)$ . Denoting for  $t \rightarrow 0$

$$\mathrm{Tr} e^{-t\tilde{\Delta}_M^q} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_j t^{-\frac{m-1}{2}+j}, \quad (2.14)$$

it follows that

$$\log 2 \cdot \zeta_{(\tilde{\Delta}_M^q + \lambda + \mu)}(0) = \begin{cases} \log 2 \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}-\ell} \frac{(-1)^{k+\ell}}{k!\ell!} \mathbf{a}_{(\frac{m-1}{2}-(k+\ell))} \lambda^k \mu^\ell & \text{for } m \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{for } m \text{ even,} \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

which shows that

$$c_0(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -\log 2 \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathbf{a}_{(\frac{m-1}{2}-k)} \lambda^k & \text{for } m \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{for } m \text{ even.} \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

Taking  $\mu = 0$  in (2.11), we obtain the following result.

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathrm{Det} \left( \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q + \lambda \right) &= c_0(\lambda) + \log \mathrm{Det} \left( \Delta_{[0,a] \times M, D}^q + \lambda \right) + \log \mathrm{Det} R_{\mathrm{Id}}^q(\lambda) \\ &+ \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \mathrm{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda}}}{\left( e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda}} - \mathrm{Id} \right)^2} \left( \mathrm{Id} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}_q^* - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})_q^* \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

If  $\varphi = \mathrm{Id}$ , this formula is reduced to the following equality.

$$\log \mathrm{Det} \left( \Delta_{M \times S^1(\frac{a}{2\pi})}^q + \lambda \right) = c_0(\lambda) + \log \mathrm{Det} \left( \Delta_{[0,a] \times M, D}^q + \lambda \right) + \log \mathrm{Det} R_{\mathrm{Id}}^q(\lambda). \quad (2.18)$$

In fact, this equality can be obtained by using Theorem 7.1 of [9] and Proposition 5.1 of [19]. This leads to the following result.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\varphi : (M, g^M) \rightarrow (M, g^M)$  be an isometry on a compact oriented Riemannian manifold  $(M, g^M)$  and  $M_\varphi$  be the metric mapping torus associated to  $\varphi$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ , we have the following equality.*

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathrm{Det} \left( \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q + \lambda \right) &= c_0(\lambda) + \log \mathrm{Det} \left( \Delta_{[0,a] \times M, D}^q + \lambda \right) + \log \mathrm{Det} R_\varphi^q(\lambda) \\ &= \log \mathrm{Det} \left( \Delta_{M \times S^1(\frac{a}{2\pi})}^q + \lambda \right) + \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \mathrm{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda}}}{\left( e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + \lambda}} - \mathrm{Id} \right)^2} \left( \mathrm{Id} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}_q^* - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})_q^* \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_0(\lambda)$  is given in (2.16).

We use Theorem 2.5 to compare the heat trace asymptotics of  $M_\varphi$  with that of  $M \times S^1(\frac{a}{2\pi})$ . For  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we denote

$$\mathrm{Tr} e^{-t\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{b}_j t^{-\frac{m}{2}+j}, \quad \mathrm{Tr} e^{-t\Delta_{M \times S^1(\frac{a}{2\pi})}^q} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{c}_j t^{-\frac{m}{2}+j}. \quad (2.19)$$

Simple computation shows that  $\log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q + \lambda \right)$  has the following asymptotic expansion for  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  (Lemma 2.1 in [11], eq.(1.6) in [12]).

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q + \lambda \right) &\sim - \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq m}}^N \mathfrak{b}_j \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{m-j}{2})}{\Gamma(s)} \right) \Big|_{s=0} \lambda^{\frac{m-j}{2}} + \mathfrak{b}_m \log \lambda \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}_j \left( \frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{m-j}{2})}{\Gamma(s)} \right) \Big|_{s=0} \lambda^{\frac{m-j}{2}} \log \lambda + O(\lambda^{-\frac{N+1-m}{2}}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Similarly, replacing  $\mathfrak{b}_j$  with  $\mathfrak{c}_j$  yields the asymptotic expansion of  $\log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{M \times S^1(\frac{a}{2\pi})}^q + \lambda \right)$ . Since

$$\log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^a \sqrt{\Delta_{M+\lambda}^q}}{\left( e^a \sqrt{\Delta_{M+\lambda}^q} - 1 \right)^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}_q^* - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})_q^* \right) \right\} \text{ is } O(e^{-a\sqrt{\lambda}}) \text{ for } \lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

Theorem 2.5 shows that  $\log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q + \lambda \right)$  and  $\log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{M \times S^1(\frac{a}{2\pi})}^q + \lambda \right)$  have the same asymptotic expansions, which yields the following result.

**Corollary 2.6.** *For  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\text{Tr} e^{-t\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q}$  and  $\text{Tr} e^{-t\Delta_{M \times S^1(\frac{a}{2\pi})}^q}$  have the same asymptotic expansions, i.e.  $\mathfrak{b}_j = \mathfrak{c}_j$ .*

We next discuss the behavior of each term in Theorem 2.5 for  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . From the definition of  $R_\varphi^q(\lambda)$ , it follows that

$$\ker R_\varphi^q(0) = \{ \omega|_{M \times \{0\}} \mid \omega \in \ker \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q \}, \quad \dim \ker R_\varphi^q(0) = \dim \ker \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q. \quad (2.21)$$

Remark 2.2 shows that

$$\ker R_\varphi^q(0) = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{H}^q(M) \mid \varphi^* \alpha = \alpha \} \oplus \{ \beta \in \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(M) \mid \varphi^* \beta = \beta \}. \quad (2.22)$$

We define  $\mathcal{H}^q(M)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^q(M)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^q(M)^\perp$  and  $b_q$ ,  $\ell_\varphi^q$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^q(M) &:= \{ \sigma \in \Omega^q(M) \mid d\sigma = d^* \sigma = 0 \}, & b_q &:= \dim \ker \mathcal{H}^q(M), \\ \mathcal{H}_\varphi^q(M) &:= \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{H}^q(M) \mid \varphi^* \sigma = \sigma \}, & \ell_\varphi^q &:= \dim \ker \mathcal{H}_\varphi^q(M), \\ \mathcal{S}_\varphi^q(M) &:= \mathcal{H}^q(M) \ominus \mathcal{H}_\varphi^q(M), & b_q - \ell_\varphi^q &= \dim \ker \mathcal{S}_\varphi^q(M). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Then it follows that

$$\dim \ker R_\varphi^q(0) = \dim \ker \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q = \ell_\varphi^q + \ell_\varphi^{q-1}. \quad (2.24)$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q + \lambda \right) &= (\ell_\varphi^q + \ell_\varphi^{q-1}) \log \lambda + \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q + O(\lambda), \\ \log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{[0,a] \times M, D}^q + \lambda \right) &= \log \text{Det} \Delta_{[0,a] \times M, D}^q + O(\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$



For  $\alpha \in \ker R_\varphi^q(0)$  and  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , Lemma 2.4 shows that

$$R_\varphi^q(\lambda) \alpha = 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \frac{e^{a\sqrt{\lambda}} - 1}{e^{a\sqrt{\lambda}} + 1} \alpha = a\lambda (1 + O(\sqrt{\lambda})) \alpha, \quad (2.26)$$

which yields

$$\log \text{Det} R_\varphi^q(\lambda) = (\ell_\varphi^q + \ell_\varphi^{q-1}) \log(a\lambda) + \log \text{Det}^* R_\varphi^q(0) + O(\lambda). \quad (2.27)$$

Eq. (2.16) shows that

$$c_0(0) = -\log 2 \left( \zeta_{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q}(0) + \dim \ker \tilde{\Delta}_M^q \right) = -\log 2 \left( \zeta_{\Delta_M^q}(0) + \zeta_{\Delta_M^{q-1}}(0) + b_q + b_{q-1} \right). \quad (2.28)$$

Letting  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  in the first equality of Theorem 2.5 with (2.25) - (2.28), we have the following result.

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q &= (\ell_\varphi^q + \ell_\varphi^{q-1}) \log a - \log 2 \left( \zeta_{\Delta_M^q}(0) + \zeta_{\Delta_M^{q-1}}(0) + b_q + b_{q-1} \right) \\ &\quad + \log \text{Det} \Delta_{[0,a] \times M, D}^q + \log \text{Det}^* R_\varphi^q(0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Setting  $\varphi = \text{Id}$  and using  $\ell_{\text{Id}}^q = b_q$ , we have the following result.

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\text{Id}}^q &= (b_q + b_{q-1}) \log a - \log 2 \left( \zeta_{\Delta_M^q}(0) + \zeta_{\Delta_M^{q-1}}(0) + b_q + b_{q-1} \right) \\ &\quad + \log \text{Det} \Delta_{[0,a] \times M, D}^q + \log \text{Det}^* R_{\text{Id}}^q(0), \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

which leads to

$$\log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q = (\ell_\varphi^q + \ell_\varphi^{q-1} - b_q - b_{q-1}) \log a + \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\text{Id}}^q - \log \text{Det}^* R_{\text{Id}}^q(0) + \log \text{Det}^* R_\varphi^q(0). \quad (2.31)$$

Lemma 2.4 shows that

$$R_\varphi^q(0) = 2\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q} + \frac{4\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q}}{e^{2a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q}} - \text{Id}} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2} e^{a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q}} \left( \tilde{\varphi}_q^* + \widetilde{(\varphi^{-1})_q^*} \right) \right), \quad R_{\text{Id}}^q(0) = 2\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q} - \frac{4\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q}}{e^{a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q}} + \text{Id}},$$

which leads to the following result.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.5, the following equality holds.*

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q &= -(b_q + b_{q-1} - \ell_\varphi^q - \ell_\varphi^{q-1}) \cdot \log \frac{a^2}{2} + \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{M \times S^1(\frac{a}{2\pi})}^q \\ &\quad + \log \det_{Fr} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{\varphi}_q^* + \widetilde{(\varphi^{-1})_q^*} \right) \right) \Big|_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\varphi^q(M)} \\ &\quad + \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q}}}{(e^{a\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_M^q}} - \text{Id})^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_q^* + \widetilde{(\varphi^{-1})_q^*}}{2} \right) \right\} \Big|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^q(M)^\perp}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\varphi^q(M) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}_\varphi^q(M) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{S}_{\varphi^{-1}}^q(M) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^q(M)^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}^q(M)^\perp & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(M)^\perp \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the remaining part of this section, we are going to use Theorem 2.7 to compute the zeta-determinants of the scalar Laplacians defined on the Klein bottle  $\mathbb{K}$  and some compact co-Kähler manifold  $\mathbb{T}_\varphi$  given in [2] and [7]. The Klein bottle  $\mathbb{K}$  is obtained by the group of motions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  generated by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + 2\pi\rho)$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + a, 2\pi\rho - y)$  for some  $a > 0$  and  $\rho > 0$ . The fundamental domain of  $\mathbb{K}$  is  $(0, a) \times (0, 2\pi\rho)$ . We denote by  $\Omega_{\mathbb{K}}^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$  the set of all smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying

$$f(x, y) = f(x, y + 2\pi\rho) = f(x + a, 2\pi\rho - y). \quad (2.32)$$

Then, the Laplacian  $\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}$  is described by

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{K}} = - \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) \quad (2.33)$$

defined on  $\Omega_{\mathbb{K}}^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Let  $M = S^1(\rho)$  and  $\varphi : S^1(\rho) \rightarrow S^1(\rho)$  be defined by  $\varphi(\rho e^{i\theta}) = \rho e^{-i\theta}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{K} = M_\varphi$ . The eigenfunctions are given by

$$\cos \frac{2\pi mx}{a} \cos \frac{ny}{\rho}, \quad \sin \frac{2\pi mx}{a} \cos \frac{ny}{\rho}, \quad \cos \frac{2\pi(m - \frac{1}{2})x}{a} \sin \frac{ny}{\rho}, \quad \sin \frac{2\pi(m - \frac{1}{2})x}{a} \sin \frac{ny}{\rho}, \quad (2.34)$$

and their corresponding eigenvalues are

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{\rho^2} \mid m, n = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{a^2} \mid m = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \frac{m^2}{\rho^2} \mid m = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{4\pi^2(m - \frac{1}{2})^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{\rho^2} \mid m, n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

where the multiplicity of  $\frac{m^2}{\rho^2}$  is 1 and the multiplicities of other non-zero eigenvalues are 2. The zeta function on  $\mathbb{K}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}(s) &= 2 \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{-s} + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{a^2} \right)^{-s} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{m^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{-s} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4\pi^2(m - \frac{1}{2})^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{-s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

Since  $\ker \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}^0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^0(S^1(\rho))$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^0(S^1(\rho))$  consist of constant functions, it follows that

$$b_0 = \ell_\varphi^0 = 1, \quad \mathcal{S}_\varphi^0(S^1(\rho)) = \emptyset. \quad (2.37)$$

The flat torus  $\mathbb{T}$  is obtained by the group of motions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  generated by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + 2\pi\rho)$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + a, y)$ . The fundamental domain is  $(0, a) \times (0, 2\pi\rho)$ . The eigenfunctions are given by

$$\cos \frac{2\pi mx}{a} \cos \frac{ny}{\rho}, \quad \sin \frac{2\pi mx}{a} \cos \frac{ny}{\rho}, \quad \cos \frac{2\pi mx}{a} \sin \frac{ny}{\rho}, \quad \sin \frac{2\pi mx}{a} \sin \frac{ny}{\rho}, \quad (2.38)$$

and their corresponding eigenvalues are

$$\left\{0\right\} \cup \left\{\frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{\rho^2} \mid m, n = 1, 2, \dots\right\} \cup \left\{\frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{a^2} \mid m = 1, 2, \dots\right\} \cup \left\{\frac{m^2}{\rho^2} \mid m = 1, 2, \dots\right\}, \quad (2.39)$$

where the multiplicity of  $\frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{\rho^2}$  is 4 and the multiplicities of other non-zero eigenvalues are 2. The zeta function on  $\mathbb{T}$  is given by

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{T}}(s) = 4 \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{\rho^2}\right)^{-s} + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{a^2}\right)^{-s} + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m^2}{\rho^2}\right)^{-s}. \quad (2.40)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_{\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}}(s) - \zeta_{\Delta_{\mathbb{T}}}(s) \\ &= 2 \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4\pi^2 (m - \frac{1}{2})^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{\rho^2}\right)^{-s} - 2 \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{\rho^2}\right)^{-s} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m^2}{\rho^2}\right)^{-s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Theorem 2.7 with (2.37) shows that

$$\log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\mathbb{K}} - \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\mathbb{T}} = \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^0}}}{(e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^0}} - \text{Id})^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\varphi_0^* + (\varphi^{-1})_0^*}{2} \right) \right\} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}^0(S^1(\rho))^\perp}. \quad (2.42)$$

Since  $\varphi(\rho e^{i\theta}) = \rho e^{-i\theta}$ , we get  $\varphi(\rho e^{i\theta}) = \varphi^{-1}(\rho e^{i\theta}) = \rho e^{-i\theta}$  and hence

$$\left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2} (\varphi_0^* + (\varphi^{-1})_0^*) \right) \cos kx = 0, \quad \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2} (\varphi_0^* + (\varphi^{-1})_0^*) \right) \sin kx = 2 \sin kx. \quad (2.43)$$

From  $\Delta_M^0 = -\frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^0}}}{(e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^0}} - \text{Id})^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\varphi_0^* + (\varphi_0^{-1})^*}{2} \right) \right\} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}^0(S^1(\rho))^\perp} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 + \frac{4e^{\frac{ak}{\rho}}}{(e^{\frac{ak}{\rho}} - 1)^2} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \left( \frac{e^{\frac{ak}{\rho}} + 1}{e^{\frac{ak}{\rho}} - 1} \right)^2 = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 + \frac{2}{e^{\frac{ak}{\rho}} - 1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

It is known in Theorem 8.3 of [9] that

$$\log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\mathbb{T}} = 2 \log 2\pi\rho - \frac{2\pi^2\rho}{3a} + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{4\pi^2\rho k}{a}} \right), \quad (2.45)$$

which leads to the following result.

**Theorem 2.8.** *The zeta-determinant of the scalar Laplacian on the Klein bottle  $\mathbb{K}$  defined as above is given as follows.*

$$\log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\mathbb{K}} = 2 \log 2\pi\rho - \frac{2\pi^2\rho}{3a} + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{4\pi^2\rho k}{a}} \right) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 + \frac{2}{e^{\frac{ak}{\rho}} - 1} \right).$$

*Remark:* This result was obtained in [14] by using the different method proved in [13].

The co-Kähler manifolds are odd dimensional analogue of Kähler manifolds ([3], [7], [17]), and metric mapping tori of Kähler manifolds are good examples of compact co-Kähler manifolds ([2], [15]). The first example of a co-Kähler manifold  $\mathbb{T}_\varphi^2$  which is not a product with  $S^1$  is given in [7] as follows. Here  $\mathbb{T}^2 := S^1 \times S^1$ , where  $S^1$  is a circle of radius 1. We define

$$\varphi : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2, \quad \varphi(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}) = (e^{i\phi}, e^{i(\theta+\pi)}). \quad (2.46)$$

Then,  $\varphi$  is a Hermitian isometry and  $\mathbb{T}_\varphi^2$  is defined to be the metric mapping torus associated to  $\varphi$ , *i.e.*  $\mathbb{T}_\varphi^2 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 2\pi]/(x, y, 0) \sim (y, -x, 2\pi)$ . The Laplacian and its domain are given as follows.

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{T}_\varphi^2} = -\frac{d^2}{du^2} + \Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}, \quad \Omega_{\mathbb{T}_\varphi^2} = \{f : \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \mid f(x, y, u) = f(\varphi(x, y), u + 2\pi)\}. \quad (2.47)$$

Since  $b_0 = \ell_\varphi^0 = 1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_\varphi^0(\mathbb{T}^2) = \emptyset$ , Theorem 2.7 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\mathbb{T}_\varphi^2} - \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times S^1} \\ &= \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{2\pi\sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}^0}}}{\left(e^{2\pi\sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}^0}} - \text{Id}\right)^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\varphi_0^* + (\varphi^{-1})_0^*}{2} \right) \right\} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^2)^\perp}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

We denote  $\psi_{m,n}(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}) = e^{im\theta} e^{in\phi}$  for  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , which is an eigenfunction of  $\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$  with eigenvalue  $m^2 + n^2$ . It follows that

$$\left( \varphi_0^* \psi_{m,n} \right) (e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}) = (-1)^n \psi_{n,m}(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}), \quad \left( (\varphi^{-1})_0^* \psi_{m,n} \right) (e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}) = (-1)^m \psi_{n,m}(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}), \quad (2.49)$$

which shows that  $\text{Id} - \frac{\varphi_0^* + (\varphi^{-1})_0^*}{2}$  has three eigenvalues  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2$ . The corresponding eigenfunctions are given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa = 0 : & \left\{ \psi_{2k,2k} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cup \left\{ \psi_{2m,2n} + \psi_{2n,2m}, \psi_{2m+1,2n+1} - \psi_{2n+1,2m+1} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq m \right\}, \\ \kappa = 1 : & \left\{ \psi_{2m,2n+1}, \psi_{2n+1,2m} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \\ \kappa = 2 : & \left\{ \psi_{2k+1,2k+1} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cup \left\{ \psi_{2m,2n} - \psi_{2n,2m}, \psi_{2m+1,2n+1} + \psi_{2n+1,2m+1} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq m \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

Simple computation leads to the following result.

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\mathbb{T}_\varphi^2} - \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times S^1} &= 2 \sum_{(0,0) \neq (m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \log \left( 1 + \frac{2}{e^{2\pi\sqrt{m^2+n^2}} - 1} \right) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \log \left( 1 - \frac{2e^{2\pi\sqrt{4m^2+(2n+1)^2}}}{\left( e^{2\pi\sqrt{4m^2+(2n+1)^2}} + 1 \right)^2} \right) - 4 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 + \frac{2}{e^{4\sqrt{2}\pi m} - 1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

It is shown in Theorem 7.1 of [9] that

$$\log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times S^1} = 2 \log 2\pi + 2\pi\zeta_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) + 2 \sum_{(0,0) \neq (m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \log \left( 1 - e^{-2\pi\sqrt{m^2+n^2}} \right). \quad (2.52)$$

We finally obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.9.** *The zeta-determinant of the scalar Laplacian on  $\mathbb{T}_\varphi^2$  is given as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{\mathbb{T}_\varphi^2} &= 2 \log 2\pi + 2\pi\zeta_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) + 2 \sum_{(0,0) \neq (m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \log \left( 1 + e^{-2\pi\sqrt{m^2+n^2}} \right) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \log \left( 1 - \frac{2e^{2\pi\sqrt{4m^2+(2n+1)^2}}}{\left( e^{2\pi\sqrt{4m^2+(2n+1)^2}} + 1 \right)^2} \right) - 4 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 + \frac{2}{e^{4\sqrt{2}\pi m} - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. THE ANALYTIC TORSION ON A METRIC MAPPING TORUS

In this section we use Theorem 2.7 to compute the analytic torsion  $\log T(M_\varphi)$  of a metric mapping torus. Simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} \cdot q \cdot (b_q + b_{q-1} - \ell_\varphi^q - \ell_\varphi^{q-1}) \cdot \log \frac{a^2}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \cdot (b_q - \ell_\varphi^q) \cdot \log \frac{a^2}{2}, \quad (3.1) \\ &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} \cdot q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{\varphi}_q^* + \widetilde{(\varphi^{-1})_q^*} \right) \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_\varphi^q(M)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} \cdot q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi_q^* + (\varphi^{-1})_q^* \right) \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_\varphi^q(M)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} \cdot q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi_{q-1}^* + (\varphi^{-1})_{q-1}^* \right) \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_\varphi^{q-1}(M)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \varphi_q^* + (\varphi^{-1})_q^* \right) \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{S}_\varphi^q(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Hodge decomposition,  $\Omega^q(M)$  is decomposed into

$$\Omega^q(M) = \Omega_-^q(M) \oplus \mathcal{H}^q(M) \oplus \Omega_+^q(M), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\Omega_-^q(M) = \text{Im } d \cap \Omega^q(M)$  and  $\Omega_+^q(M) = \text{Im } d^* \cap \Omega^q(M)$ . Since  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  is an isometry,  $\varphi_q^*$  and  $(\varphi^{-1})_q^*$  preserve  $\Omega_{\pm}^q(M)$ . We denote by  $\Delta_M^{q,\pm}$ ,  $\varphi_q^{*,\pm}$ ,  $(\varphi^{-1})_q^{*,\pm}$  the restrictions of  $\Delta_M^q$ ,  $\varphi_q^*$ ,  $(\varphi^{-1})_q^*$  to  $\Omega^{q,\pm}(M)$ . Since  $\Delta_M^q$  and  $(\varphi^i)_q^*$  ( $i = 1, -1$ ) commute with  $d$ , it follows that  $\Delta_M^{q,+}$  and  $(\varphi^i)_q^{*,+}$  are isospectral with  $\Delta_M^{q+1,-}$  and  $(\varphi^i)_{q+1}^{*, -}$ , respectively. Taking these facts into consideration, we have the following equalities.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} \cdot q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q}}}{(e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q}} - \text{Id})^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}_q^* + (\varphi^{-1})_q^*}{2} \right) \right\} \Big|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^q(M)^\perp} \quad (3.3) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} \cdot q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q}}}{(e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q}} - \text{Id})^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\varphi_q^* + (\varphi^{-1})_q^*}{2} \right) \right\} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}^q(M)^\perp} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} \cdot q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^{q-1}}}}{(e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^{q-1}}} - \text{Id})^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\varphi_{q-1}^* + (\varphi^{-1})_{q-1}^*}{2} \right) \right\} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}^{q-1}(M)^\perp} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q}}}{(e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q}} - \text{Id})^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\varphi_q^* + (\varphi^{-1})_q^*}{2} \right) \right\} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}^q(M)^\perp} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^{q,-}}}}{(e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^{q,-}}} - \text{Id})^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\varphi_{q,-}^* + (\varphi^{-1})_{q,-}^*}{2} \right) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^{q,+}}}}{(e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^{q,+}}} - \text{Id})^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\varphi_{q,+}^* + (\varphi^{-1})_{q,+}^*}{2} \right) \right\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

When  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are compact oriented Riemannian manifolds, it is well known ((7.4) in [18]) that

$$\log T(M_1 \times M_2) = \chi(M_2) \log T(M_1) + \chi(M_1) \log T(M_2). \quad (3.4)$$

When  $S^1(r)$  is a round circle of radius  $r > 0$ , the Laplacian  $\Delta_{S^1(r)}$  and its associated zeta function  $\zeta_{S^1(r)}(s)$  are given by

$$\Delta_{S^1(r)} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}, \quad \zeta_{S^1(r)}(s) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{k^2}{r^2} \right)^{-s} = 2r^{2s} \zeta_R(2s), \quad (3.5)$$

which shows that

$$\zeta'_{S^1(r)}(0) = 4 \log r \zeta_R(0) + 4\zeta'_R(0) = -2 \log r - 2 \log 2\pi = -2 \log 2\pi r. \quad (3.6)$$

Hence, it follows that

$$T(S^1(r)) = \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Det}^* \Delta_{S^1(r)} = \log 2\pi r, \quad \log T\left(M \times S^1\left(\frac{a}{2\pi}\right)\right) = \chi(M) \cdot \log a. \quad (3.7)$$

Summarizing the above argument, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\varphi : (M, g^M) \rightarrow (M, g^M)$  be an isometry on a compact oriented Riemannian manifold  $(M, g^M)$  and  $M_\varphi$  be the metric mapping torus associated to  $\varphi$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \log T(M_\varphi) &= \frac{1}{2} \log 2 \cdot \chi(M) + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{a^2}{2} \cdot \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \ell_\varphi^q \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \log \det_{Fr} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{1}{2} (\varphi_q^* + (\varphi^{-1})_q^*) \right) \Big|_{S_\varphi^q(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $\dim M$  is odd and  $\varphi$  is orientation preserving, then  $\log T(M_\varphi) = 0$ .

In the remaining part of this section, we are going to compute the analytic torsion for the Witten deformed Laplacian ([21]) and recover the result of J. Marcsik given in [16]. Since a mapping torus is a fiber bundle over  $S^1$ , we write the fiber bundle by  $p : M_\varphi \rightarrow S^1(\frac{a}{2\pi})$ . Let  $d\theta$  be the standard one form on  $S^1(\frac{a}{2\pi})$  and put  $du = p^*d\theta$ . Setting  $d_q(t) := d + tdu \wedge : \Omega^q(M_\varphi) \rightarrow \Omega^{q+1}(M_\varphi)$ , it follows that  $d_{q+1}(t)d_q(t) = 0$ . We consider a complex  $(\Omega^*(M_\varphi), d(t))$  with  $\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q(t) := d_q^*(t)d_q(t) + d_{q-1}(t)d_{q-1}^*(t)$ . Since  $d_q^*(t) = d^* + t\iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$ , simple computation shows that

$$\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q(t) = \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q + t^2. \quad (3.8)$$

We define the analytic torsion  $T(M_\varphi, t)$  with respect to  $\Delta_{M_\varphi}^q(t)$  by

$$\log T(M_\varphi, t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} \cdot q \cdot \log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q + t^2 \right). \quad (3.9)$$

To describe the result of J. Marcsik, we define the Lefschetz number as follows. Let  $F : M \rightarrow M$  be a continuous map with the induced homomorphism  $F_q^* : H^q(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^q(M, \mathbb{R})$ . The Lefschetz number  $L(F)$  and Lefschetz zeta function  $\zeta_F(t)$  are defined by

$$L(F) = \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \text{Tr} F_q^*, \quad \log \zeta_F(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L(F^k) \frac{t^k}{k}. \quad (3.10)$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\psi : M \rightarrow M$  is an isometry, then  $L(\psi) = L(\psi^{-1})$ .*

*Proof.* We note that  $\psi_q^* : H^q(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^q(M, \mathbb{R})$  can be identified with  $\psi_q^* : \mathcal{H}^q(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^q(M)$ , where  $\mathcal{H}^q(M)$  is the set of harmonic  $q$ -forms. Since  $\psi$  is an isometry, it follows that for any  $v \in \mathcal{H}^q(M)$ ,  $\|v\| = \|\psi_q^* v\|$ . Hence, for any orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}^q(M)$ ,  $\psi_q^*$  is expressed by an orthogonal matrix, whose inverse is equal to its transpose. This shows that  $\text{Tr} \psi_q^* = \text{Tr}(\psi^{-1})_q^*$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

We are going to express  $\log T(M_\varphi, t)$  in terms of the Euler characteristic  $\chi(M)$  and Lefschetz zeta function  $\zeta_\varphi(t)$  for  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ . The second equality of Theorem 2.5 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{M_\varphi}^q + t^2 \right) &= \log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{M \times S^1 \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right)}^q + t^2 \right) \\ &+ \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + t^2}}}{\left( e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + t^2}} - \text{Id} \right)^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_q^* + (\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})_q^*}{2} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \log T(M_\varphi, t) &= \log T \left( M \times S^1 \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right), t \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} \cdot q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + t^2}}}{\left( e^{a\sqrt{\Delta_M^q + t^2}} - \text{Id} \right)^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_q^* + (\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})_q^*}{2} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

It is a well known fact ((7.4) in [18]) that

$$\begin{aligned} \log T \left( M \times S^1 \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right), t \right) &= \chi(M) \cdot \log T \left( S^1 \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right), t \right) + \chi \left( S^1 \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right) \right) \cdot \log T(M, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \chi(M) \cdot \log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{S^1 \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right)} + t^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

**Lemma 3.3.**

$$\log \text{Det} \left( \Delta_{S^1 \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right)} + t^2 \right) = at + 2 \log(1 - e^{-at}).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Spec} \left( \Delta_{S^1 \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right)} \right) = \left\{ \left( \frac{2\pi k}{a} \right)^2 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ , it follows that

$$\zeta_{\left( \Delta_{S^1 \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right)}^1 + t^2 \right)}(s) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \left( \frac{2\pi k}{a} \right)^2 + t^2 \right)^{-s} + t^{-2s} = \frac{2}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} u^{s-1} e^{-ut^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{a^2} u} du + t^{-2s}.$$

The Poisson summation formula shows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{a^2} u} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{4\sqrt{\pi u}} + \frac{a}{2\sqrt{\pi u}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{a^2 k^2}{4u}},$$

which yields that

$$\zeta_{\left( \Delta_{S^1 \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right)}^1 + t^2 \right)}(s) = \frac{a\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2})}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(s)} t^{-2s+1} + \frac{a}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} u^{s-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-(ut^2 + \frac{a^2 k^2}{4u})} du.$$

Hence,

$$\zeta'_{\left( \Delta_{S^1 \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right)}^1 + t^2 \right)}(0) = -at + \frac{a}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} u^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-(ut^2 + \frac{a^2 k^2}{4u})} du = -at - 2 \log(1 - e^{-at}).$$

□



Using the similar method as in (3.1) and (3.3), we have the following equalities.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^{q+1} \cdot q \cdot \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^a \sqrt{\Delta_M^q + t^2}}{\left(e^a \sqrt{\Delta_M^q + t^2} - \text{Id}\right)^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_q^* + (\tilde{\varphi}^{-1})_q^*}{2} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \log \det_{Fr} \left\{ \text{Id} + \frac{2e^{at}}{(e^{at} - 1)^2} \left( \text{Id} - \frac{\varphi_q^* + (\varphi_q^{-1})^*}{2} \right) \right\} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}^q(M)} \\
&= -\chi(M) \log(1 - e^{-at}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \log \det_{Fr} (\text{Id} - e^{-at} \varphi_q^*) (\text{Id} - e^{-at} (\varphi_q^{-1})^*) \\
&= -\chi(M) \log(1 - e^{-at}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \text{Tr} \log (\text{Id} - e^{-at} \varphi_q^*) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \text{Tr} \log (\text{Id} - e^{-at} (\varphi_q^{-1})^*) \\
&= -\chi(M) \log(1 - e^{-at}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \text{Tr} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-akt}}{k} (\varphi_q^*)^k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \text{Tr} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-akt}}{k} ((\varphi_q^{-1})^*)^k \\
&= -\chi(M) \log(1 - e^{-at}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-akt} L(\varphi^k)}{k} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-akt} L((\varphi^{-1})^k)}{k} \\
&= -\chi(M) \log(1 - e^{-at}) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{L(\varphi^k) e^{-akt}}{k},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where we used Lemma 3.3 in the last equality. Finally, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\varphi : (M, g^M) \rightarrow (M, g^M)$  be an isometry on a compact oriented Riemannian manifold  $(M, g^M)$  and  $M_\varphi$  be the metric mapping torus associated to  $\varphi$ . Then,*

$$\log T(M_\varphi, t) = \frac{a}{2} \chi(M) t - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{L(\varphi^k) e^{-akt}}{k} = \frac{a}{2} \chi(M) t - \log \zeta_\varphi(e^{-at}).$$

*In particular, if  $\dim M$  is odd and  $\varphi$  is orientation preserving, then  $\log T(M_\varphi, t) = 0$ .*

*Remark :* In Theorem 4.9 of [5], D. Burghelea and S. Haller obtained the result corresponding to Theorem 3.4 only when  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism. However, we give an elementary proof by using the BFK-gluing formula when  $\varphi$  is an isometry.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bazzoni, J. C. Marrero and J. Oprea, *A splitting theorem for compact Vaisman manifolds*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino. **74** (2016), 21-29.
- [2] G. Bazzoni and J. Oprea, *On the structure of co-Kähler manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata. **170** (2014), 71-85.
- [3] D. E. Blair, *The theory of quasi-Sasakian structures*, J. Diff. Geom. **1** (1967), 331-345.
- [4] D. Burghelea, L. Friedlander and T. Kappeler, *Mayer-Vietoris type formula for determinants of elliptic differential operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **107** (1992), 34-66.
- [5] D. Burghelea and S. Haller, *Euler structures, the variety of representations and Milnor-Turaev torsion*, Geom. Topol. **10** (2006), 1185-1238.
- [6] G. Carron, *Determinant relatif et fonction  $\Xi$* , Amer. J. Math. **124** (2002), 307-352.

- [7] D. Chinea, M. de Leon and J. C. Marrero, *Topology of cosymplectic manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **72** (1993), 567-591.
- [8] R. Forman, *Functional Determinants and geometry*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), 447-493.
- [9] K. Furutani and S. de Gosson, *Determinant of Laplacians on Heisenberg manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **48** (2003), 438-479.
- [10] K. Kirsten and Y. Lee, *The Burghelea-Friedlander-Kappeler-gluing formula for zeta-determinants on a warped product manifold and a product manifold*, J. Math. Phys. **56** (12) (2015), 123501-1-19.
- [11] K. Kirsten and Y. Lee, *The BFK-gluing formula and relative determinants on manifolds with cusps*, J. Geom. Phys. **117** (2017), 197-213.
- [12] K. Kirsten and Y. Lee, *The BFK-gluing formula and the curvature tensors on a 2-dimensional compact hypersurface*, J. Spectr. Theory. **10** (2020), 1007-1051.
- [13] K. Kirsten and Y. Lee, *The zeta-determinant of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on the Steklov Problem*, submitted; arXiv:2404.14562.
- [14] Y. Lee, *The zeta-determinants of Laplacians on the Möbius band and Klein bottle*, submitted.
- [15] H. Li, *Topology of co-symplectic/co-Kähler manifolds*, Asian J. Math. **12** (2008), 527-544.
- [16] J. Marcsik, *Analytic torsion and closed one forms*, Ph.D Thesis, Ohio State University, (1995).
- [17] J. C. Marrero and E. Padron, *New examples of compact cosymplectic solv-manifolds*, Arch. Math. **34** (1998), 337-345.
- [18] W. Müller, *Analytic torsion and R-torsion of Riemannian manifolds*, Adv. Math. **28** (1978), 233-305.
- [19] J. Müller and W. Müller, *Regularized determinants of Laplace type operators, analytic surgery and relative determinants*, Duke. Math. J. **133** (2006), 259-312.
- [20] A. Voros, *Spectral functions, special functions and Selberg zeta function*, Comm. Math. Phys. **110** (1987), 439-465.
- [21] E. Witten, *Supersymmetry and Morse Theory*, J. Diff. Geom. **17** (1982), 661-692.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, INHA UNIVERSITY, INCHEON, 22212, KOREA  
 Email address: yoonweon@inha.ac.kr