Dual minus partial order

Ju Gao^a, Hongxing Wang^{a,*}, Xiaoji Liu^b

^aSchool of Mathematics and Physics, Guangxi Minzu University, Nanning, 530006, China ^bSchool of Education, Guangxi Vocational Normal University, Nanning, 530007, China

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the Dual-minus partial order, get some characterizations of the partial order, and prove that both the dual star partial order and the dual sharp partial order are Dual-minus-type partial orders. Based on the Dual-minus partial order, we introduce the Dual-minus sharp partial order and the Dual-minus star partial order, which are also Dual-minus-type partial orders. In addition, we discuss relationships among the Dual-minus sharp partial order, the D-sharp partial order and the G-sharp partial order(the Dual-minus star partial order, the D-star partial order and the P-star partial order).

Keywords: Dual-minus partial order; Dual-minus star partial order; Dual-minus sharp partial order; dual star partial order; dual sharp partial order *2010 MSC:* 15A09, 15A24, 62G30

1. Introduction

In this paper, $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is used to represent the set of $m \times n$ real matrices. Let $\operatorname{rk}(E)$ represent the rank of matrix E, E^{T} represent transpose of $E \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\operatorname{Ind}(E)$ represent the index of E and I_n be the identity matrix of n order. Furthermore, denote

$$\mathbb{R}_{n}^{\text{CM}} = \{ E \mid E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \text{ rk}(E^{2}) = \text{rk}(E) \}.$$

The symbol ε is used to represent the hypercomplex unit basis, which satisfies $\varepsilon \neq 0$, $0\varepsilon = \varepsilon 0 = 0$, $1\varepsilon = \varepsilon 1 = \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^2 = 0$; $\hat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$ is used to represent an *m*-by-*n* dual matrix, where *E* and $E_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ are the standard part and the dual part of \hat{E} , respectively. The symbol $\mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$ is used to represent the set of $m \times n$ dual matrices. Recently, dual matrix theory and its applications attract much attention. Many researchers have yielded fruitful and interesting results [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 21, 22, 23].

It is well known that the Moore-Penrose inverse E^{\dagger} of $E \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is the unique matrix which satisfies the Penrose equations; see e.g. [2, 15]: $EE^{\dagger}E = E$, $E^{\dagger}EE^{\dagger} = E^{\dagger}$, $(EE^{\dagger})^{\mathrm{T}} = EE^{\dagger}$, $(E^{\dagger}E)^{\mathrm{T}} = E^{\dagger}E$. It is widely used in the study of singular linear systems. In order to study singular dual linear systems, several dual generalized inverses are introduced. This symbol $\hat{E}^p = E^{\dagger} - \varepsilon E^{\dagger}E_0E^{\dagger}$ [8] is used to represent the Moore-Penrose dual generalized inverse (MPDGI for short) of $\hat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$. For any dual matrix, its MPDGI always exists. Pennestri et al.[9] give algorithms/formulas about the computation of the MPDGI.

If there exists a unique matrix $\widehat{X} \in \mathbb{D}^{n \times m}$ satisfying the Penrose equations:

$$\widehat{E}\widehat{X}\widehat{E} = \widehat{E}, \ \widehat{X}\widehat{E}\widehat{X} = \widehat{X}, \ \widehat{E}\widehat{X} = \left(\widehat{E}\widehat{X}\right)^T, \ \widehat{X}\widehat{E} = \left(\widehat{X}\widehat{E}\right)^T,$$
(1.1)

then \widehat{X} is called the dual Moore-Penrose generalized inverse (DMPGI for short) of \widehat{E} [14], and denoted by $\widehat{X} = \widehat{E}^{\dagger}$. In [14], Udwadia et al. show that not all dual matrices have DMPGIs, and get some interesting properties of the DMPGI. In [16], Wang gets that the DMPGI of \widehat{E} exists if and only if $(I_m - EE^{\dagger}) E_0(I_n - E^{\dagger}E) = 0$.

It is well known that $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is group invertible if and only if the index of \hat{E} is 1. The group inverse $E^{\#}$ is the unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying EXE = E, XEX = X and EX = XE [2, 15], where $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathrm{Ind}(E) = 1$. In [24], Zhong and Zhang introduce the dual group generalized inverse (DGGI for short). Let $\hat{E} \in \mathbb{D}^{n \times n}$, if a dual matrix $\hat{X} \in \mathbb{D}^{n \times n}$ satisfies

$$\widehat{EXE} = \widehat{E}, \quad \widehat{XEX} = \widehat{X}, \quad \widehat{EX} = \widehat{XE},$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: gaoju120085@163.com (Ju Gao), winghongxing0902@163.com (Hongxing Wang), xiaojiliu72@126.com (Xiaoji Liu)

then \hat{X} is called DGGI of \hat{E} , and is denoted by $\hat{E}^{\#}$. Zhong and Zhang [24] also give some equivalent conditions for the existence of DGGI. The DGGI of \widehat{E} exists if and only if $\operatorname{Ind}(E) = 1$ and $(I_n - EE^{\#}) E_0(I_n - E^{\#}E) = 0$, [24]. Due to the special structure of dual matrix,

$$\widehat{E}^g = E^\# - \varepsilon E^\# E_0 E^\#$$

is called the group dual generalized inverse (GDGI for short) of \hat{E} . Obviously, \hat{E}^g always exists when the index of E is one, while DGGI may not exist under the same restriction. In [17], Wang and Gao introduce the dual index one and prove that the dual index $\operatorname{Ind}(\widehat{E})$ of \widehat{E} is one if and only if its DGGI exists. Denote

$$\mathbb{D}_{n}^{\text{\tiny CM}} = \left\{ \widehat{E} \left| \text{Ind} \left(\widehat{E} \right) = 1, \ \widehat{E} \in \mathbb{D}^{n \times n} \right. \right\}.$$

And it is easy to check that the DMPGI of any dual matrix in \mathbb{D}_n^{CM} exists.

As widely recognized, generalized inverse stands out as an essential tool for investigating matrix partial orders. A matrix partial order, constituting a binary relation on a non-empty set, is characterized by its reflexivity, transitivity, and antisymmetry properties. The matrix partial order theory is found widespread application diverse research domains, encompassing fields like data mining, engineering, genetics, physics, probability, and socioeconomic studies [3, 6, 7, 13]. Recently, Wang et al. [18, 20] introduce several dual partial orders by using dual generalized inverses.

Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and the DMPGIs of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} exist. Then

- (1) D-star partial order: $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle \text{D*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$: $\widehat{E}^{\scriptscriptstyle \text{T}} \widehat{E} = \widehat{E}^{\scriptscriptstyle \text{T}} \widehat{F}, \ \widehat{E} \widehat{E}^{\scriptscriptstyle \text{T}} = \widehat{F} \widehat{E}^{\scriptscriptstyle \text{T}};$ (2) P-star partial order: $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle \text{P*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$: $\widehat{E}^p \widehat{E} = \widehat{E}^p \widehat{F}, \ \widehat{E} \widehat{E}^p = \widehat{F} \widehat{E}^p;$
- Let $\hat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\hat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$. Then
- (3) D-sharp partial order: $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$: $\widehat{E}^{\#}\widehat{E} = \widehat{E}^{\#}\widehat{F}, \ \widehat{E}\widehat{E}^{\#} = \widehat{F}\widehat{E}^{\#};$
- (4) G-sharp partial order: $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{G-\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$: $\widehat{E}^g \widehat{E} = \widehat{E}^g \widehat{F}, \ \widehat{E}\widehat{E}^g = \widehat{F}\widehat{E}^g.$

As we know, in real(complex) field, minus partial order plays a crucial role, and many famous matrix partial orders belong to minus-type partial orders, such as the star partial order, the sharp partial order and so on. In the paper, we will introduce a new binary relation — the Dual-minus binary relation. Consider if it constitutes a matrix partial order. If so, can the Dual-minus partial order include dual sharp partial orders and dual star partial orders? The rest of this paper is as follows:

In Section 2, we present some preliminary knowledge. In Section 3, we introduce the Dual-minus partial order, provide its equivalent characterization, prove that the D-star, P-star, D-sharp, G-sharp partial orders are all Dual-minus-type partial orders, and provide equivalent characterizations of these partial orders. In Sections 4(5), we introduce a new dual sharp(star) partial order — the Dual-minus sharp(star) partial order. While providing some equivalent characterizations of this partial order, we discuss its relationships with the D-sharp partial order and the G-sharp partial order (the D-star partial order and the P-star partial order). The Dualminus sharp(star) partial order belongs to the Dual-minus-type partial order and includes the D-sharp partial order and the G-sharp partial order(the D-star partial order and the P-star partial order).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide preliminary knowledge about dual generalized inverses and matrix partial orders including the minus partial order, sharp partial order, star partial order, D-sharp partial order, G-sharp partial order, D-star partial order and P-star partial order.

LEMMA 2.1 ([5]). Let $E, F \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$ and $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

(1)
$$E \leq F;$$

- (2) $\operatorname{rk}(F E) = \operatorname{rk}(F) \operatorname{rk}(E);$
- (3) There exist orthogonal matrices U and V such that

$$E = U \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad F = U \begin{pmatrix} D_1 + RD_2S & RD_2 & O \\ D_2S & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible, $R \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times (r_f - r_e)}$ and $S \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times r_e}$ are some suitable matrices.

LEMMA 2.2 ([5]). Let $E, F \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$, $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$ and $\operatorname{Ind}(E) = \operatorname{Ind}(F) = 1$. Then $E \leq F$ if and only if there is a nonsingular matrix P such that

$$E = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad F = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 + RD_2S & RD_2 & O \\ D_2S & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible, $R \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times (r_f - r_e)}$ and $S \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times r_e}$ are some suitable matrices.

LEMMA 2.3 ([1, 5]). Let $E, F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$ and $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$. Then the following five conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $E \stackrel{*}{\leqslant} F;$
- (2) $E \leq F$, $EF^{\mathrm{T}} = FE^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $F^{\mathrm{T}}E = E^{\mathrm{T}}F$;
- (3) $E^{\dagger}E = E^{\dagger}F$ and $EE^{\dagger} = FE^{\dagger}$;
- (4) $E^{\mathrm{T}}E = E^{\mathrm{T}}F$ and $EE^{\mathrm{T}} = FE^{\mathrm{T}}$;
- (5) There are orthogonal matrices U and V such that

$$E = U \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}, \ F = U \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(2.3)

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible.

LEMMA 2.4 ([4, 5]). Let $E, F \in \mathbb{R}_n^{CM}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$ and $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F;$
- (2) E < F and EF = FE;
- (3) $E^{\#}E = E^{\#}F$ and $EE^{\#} = FE^{\#};$
- (4) $EF = E^2 = FE;$
- (5) There is a nonsingular matrix $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$E = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad F = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible.

LEMMA 2.5 ([18]). Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$, $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$ and the DMPGIs of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} exist. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{\tiny D**}}{\leq} \widehat{F};$
- (2) $\widehat{E}^{\dagger}\widehat{E} = \widehat{E}^{\dagger}\widehat{F}, \ \widehat{E}\widehat{E}^{\dagger} = \widehat{F}\widehat{E}^{\dagger};$
- (3) $\widehat{E}^{\mathrm{T}}\widehat{E} = \widehat{E}^{\mathrm{T}}\widehat{F}, \ \widehat{E}\widehat{E}^{\mathrm{T}} = \widehat{F}\widehat{E}^{\mathrm{T}};$
- (4) There are orthogonal matrices U and V such that

$$\begin{cases}
\widehat{E} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_{1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} E_{1} & E_{2} & E_{3} \\ E_{4} & O & O \\ E_{7} & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}, \\
\widehat{F} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_{1} & O & O \\ O & D_{2} & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} E_{1} & E_{2} - D_{1}^{-1} E_{4}^{\mathrm{T}} D_{2} & E_{3} \\ E_{4} - D_{2} E_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} D_{1}^{-1} & F_{5} & F_{6} \\ E_{7} & F_{8} & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(2.5)

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible.

LEMMA 2.6 ([18]). Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$, $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$ and the DMPGIs of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} exist. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{\tiny P**}}{\leq} \widehat{F};$

- (2) $\widehat{E}^p \widehat{E} = \widehat{E}^p \widehat{F}, \ \widehat{E} \widehat{E}^p = \widehat{F} \widehat{E}^p;$
- (3) $E^{\mathrm{T}}E = E^{\mathrm{T}}F, EE^{\mathrm{T}} = FE^{\mathrm{T}}, E^{\mathrm{T}}E_{0} = E^{\mathrm{T}}F_{0}, E_{0}E^{\mathrm{T}} = F_{0}E^{\mathrm{T}};$
- (4) There are orthogonal matrices U and V such that

$$\hat{E} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_{1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} E_{1} & E_{2} & E_{3} \\ E_{4} & O & O \\ E_{7} & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},
\hat{F} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_{1} & O & O \\ O & D_{2} & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} E_{1} & E_{2} & E_{3} \\ E_{4} & F_{5} & F_{6} \\ E_{7} & F_{8} & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(2.6)

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible.

From Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, it is easy to see that the D-star partial order and the P-star partial order are different. Interestingly, if $\hat{E}^{\dagger} = \hat{E}^{p}$, then the D-star partial order coincides with the P-star partial order.

LEMMA 2.7 ([20]). Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{CM}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$ and $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{\tiny D-\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F};$
- (2) $\hat{E}^{\#}\hat{E} = \hat{E}^{\#}\hat{F}, \ \hat{E}\hat{E}^{\#} = \hat{F}\hat{E}^{\#};$
- (3) $EF = E^2 = FE$, $EF_0 + E_0F = E_0E + EE_0 = FE_0 + F_0E$;
- (4) There is a nonsingular matrix P such that

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{E} = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \\ \widehat{F} = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 - D_1^{-1}E_2D_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 - D_2E_4D_1^{-1} & F_5 & F_6 \\ E_7 & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$

$$(2.7)$$

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible.

LEMMA 2.8 ([20]). Let $\hat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\hat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{CM}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$ and $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\mathrm{G}}{\leq} \widehat{F};$
- (2) $\widehat{E}^g \widehat{\widehat{E}} = \widehat{E}^g \widehat{F}, \quad \widehat{E} \widehat{E}^g = \widehat{F} \widehat{E}^g;$
- (3) $EF = E^2 = FE$, $EE_0 = EF_0$, $E_0E = F_0E$;
- (4) There is a nonsingular matrix P such that

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\widehat{E} = P \begin{pmatrix}
D_1 & O & O \\
O & O & O \\
O & O & O
\end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix}
E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\
E_4 & O & O \\
E_7 & O & O
\end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \\
\widehat{F} = P \begin{pmatrix}
D_1 & O & O \\
O & D_2 & O \\
O & O & O
\end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix}
E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\
E_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\
E_7 & F_8 & O
\end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$
(2.8)

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible.

These two types of dual sharp partial orders are not equivalent and their differences mainly lie in the dual part. When $EF_0 = EE_0 = FE_0$ and $E_0F = E_0E = F_0E$, the D-sharp partial order is equivalent to the G-sharp partial order.

LEMMA 2.9 ([16]). Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$. Then the DMPGI \widehat{E}^{\dagger} of \widehat{E} exists if and only if

$$\operatorname{rk}\begin{pmatrix} E_0 & E\\ E & O \end{pmatrix} = 2\operatorname{rk}(E).$$
(2.9)

Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$ and denote

$$\operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{E}\right) = \operatorname{rk}\begin{pmatrix}E_0 & E\\ E & O\end{pmatrix} - \operatorname{rk}(E).$$
(2.10)

By applying Lemma 2.9, it is easy to get the following Lemma 2.10.

LEMMA 2.10. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$. Then the DMPGI of \widehat{E} exists if and only if

$$\operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{E}\right) = \operatorname{rk}(E). \tag{2.11}$$

3. Dual-minus Partial Order

In this section, we introduce the dual-minus partial order by applying the minus partial order and the DMPGI, and discuss relationships between the Dual-minus partial order and the D-sharp partial order, D-star partial order, G-sharp partial order, P-star partial order respectively.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $\hat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\hat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \hat{E} and \hat{F} have the DMPGIs. Write $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \hat{F}$: $E \leq F$ and the DMPGI of $\hat{F} - \hat{E}$ exists. (3.1)

We call \hat{E} is below \hat{F} under the Dual-minus order.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{F}\right) - \operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{E}\right) = \operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}\right).$$
(3.2)

Proof. Since \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs, we have $\operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{E}\right) = \operatorname{rk}(E)$ and $\operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{F}\right) = \operatorname{rk}(F)$ according to Lemma 2.10.

Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ has the DMPGI and $E \leq F$ from Definition 3.1. Since the DMPGI of $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ exists, we have $\operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}\right) = \operatorname{rk}\left(F - E\right)$ by applying Lemma 2.10. And since $E \leq F$, we have $\operatorname{rk}\left(F\right) - \operatorname{rk}\left(E\right) = \operatorname{rk}\left(F - E\right)$ by applying Lemma 2.1. Thus, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{F}\right) - \operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{E}\right) = \operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}\right)$.

Conversely, let $\operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{F}\right) - \operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{E}\right) = \operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}\right)$. Since

$$\operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{F}\right) - \operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{E}\right) = \operatorname{rk}\left(F\right) - \operatorname{rk}\left(E\right) \le \operatorname{rk}\left(F - E\right), \tag{3.3}$$
$$\operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}\right) \ge \operatorname{rk}\left(F - E\right) \tag{3.4}$$

$$k\left(\widehat{F}-\widehat{E}\right) \ge rk\left(F-E\right),\tag{3.4}$$

we have $\operatorname{rk}\left(\widehat{F}-\widehat{E}\right) = \operatorname{rk}\left(F-E\right)$ and $\operatorname{rk}\left(F\right) - \operatorname{rk}\left(E\right) = \operatorname{rk}\left(F-E\right)$. Therefore, we get that the DMPGI of $\widehat{F}-\widehat{E}$ exists and $E \leq F$, that is, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\mathrm{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

THEOREM 3.2. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle D}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_0 & E\\ E & O \end{pmatrix} \le \begin{pmatrix} F_0 & F\\ F & O \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.5)

Proof. Let the DMPGIs of \hat{E} and \hat{F} exist and $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \hat{F}$. According to Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.9 and Definition 3.1, we have $\operatorname{rk}(F-E) = \operatorname{rk}(F) - \operatorname{rk}(E)$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} E_0 & E \\ E & O \end{smallmatrix}\right) = 2\operatorname{rk}(E)$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} F_0 & F \\ F & O \end{smallmatrix}\right) = 2\operatorname{rk}(F)$ and $\operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} F_0-E_0 & F-E \\ F-E & O \end{smallmatrix}\right) = 2\operatorname{rk}(F-E)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} F_0 & F \\ F & O \end{smallmatrix}\right) - \operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} E_0 & E \\ E & O \end{smallmatrix}\right) = 2\operatorname{rk}(F) - 2\operatorname{rk}(E) = 2\operatorname{rk}(F-E) = \operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} F_0-E_0 & F-E \\ F-E & O \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that we get (3.5).

Conversely, by applying (3.5), we have

$$\operatorname{rk}\begin{pmatrix}F_0 & F\\F & O\end{pmatrix} - \operatorname{rk}\begin{pmatrix}E_0 & E\\E & O\end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk}\begin{pmatrix}F_0 - E_0 & F - E\\F - E & O\end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.6)

Since \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs, we have $\operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} E_0 & E \\ E & O \end{smallmatrix}\right) = 2\operatorname{rk}(E)$ and $\operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} F_0 & F \\ F & O \end{smallmatrix}\right) = 2\operatorname{rk}(F)$. From (3.6), it follows that

$$\operatorname{rk}\begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & F - E \\ F - E & O \end{pmatrix} = 2\left(\operatorname{rk}(F) - \operatorname{rk}(E)\right).$$
(3.7)

Furthermore, applying

$$2\left(\operatorname{rk}(F) - \operatorname{rk}(E)\right) \le 2\operatorname{rk}\left(F - E\right) \le \operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{array}{cc}F_0 - E_0 & F - E\\F - E & O\end{array}\right) = 2\left(\operatorname{rk}(F) - \operatorname{rk}(E)\right),$$

we get

$$\operatorname{rk}(F) - \operatorname{rk}(E) = \operatorname{rk}(F - E) \text{ and } \operatorname{rk}\begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & F - E \\ F - E & O \end{pmatrix} = 2\operatorname{rk}(F - E)$$

Therefore, $E \leq F$ and the DMPGI of $\hat{F} - \hat{E}$ exists, that is, $\hat{E} \leq \hat{F}$.

Applying Theorem 3.2, we obviously obtain the following Theorem 3.3.

THEOREM 3.3. The Dual-minus order is a partial order.

REMARK 3.1. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs. If $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D**}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, according to Lemma 2.5, then we have the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} as in (2.5). The standard part E of \widehat{E} and the standard part F of \widehat{F} in equation (2.5) clearly satisfy $E \leq F$. Since

$$\widehat{F} - \widehat{E} = (F - E) + \varepsilon (F_0 - E_0) = U \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} O & -D_1^{-1} E_4^{\mathrm{T}} D_2 & O \\ -D_2 E_2^{\mathrm{T}} D_1^{-1} & F_5 & F_6 \\ O & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad (3.8)$$

then

$$\operatorname{rk}\begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & F - E \\ F - E & O \end{pmatrix} = 2\operatorname{rk}(F - E).$$

Thus, the DMPGI of $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ exists from Theorem 2.9. Therefore, according to Definition 3.1, the D-star partial order is a Dual-minus-type partial order.

Similarly, by applying Lemma 2.6, Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.8, Lemma 2.10, and Definition 3.1, we get that the P-star partial order, D-sharp partial order, and G-sharp partial order are all Dual-minus-type partial orders.

Next, we provide a characterization of the Dual-minus partial order.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$ and $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle D}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if there are orthogonal matrices U and V such that

$$\hat{E} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_1 + RD_2S & RD_2 & O \end{pmatrix} \qquad (E_1 + RM + NS - RF_5S & E_2 + N & E_3 + RF_6 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.9)

$$\hat{F} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_1 + hD_2S & hD_2 & O \\ D_2S & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} D_1 + hM + hS - hF_5S & D_2 + hV & D_3 + hF_6 \\ E_4 + M & F_5 & F_6 \\ E_7 + F_8S & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(3.10)

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$, $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible, and $M, S \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times r_e}$, $N, R \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are some suitable matrices.

Proof. For $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle D}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, we have $E \leq F$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that E and F are as in (2.1). Let \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs, and denote

$$\widehat{E} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},
\widehat{F} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_1 + RD_2S & RD_2 & O \\ D_2S & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & F_2 & F_3 \\ F_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\ F_7 & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}$$

where $E_1, F_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $F_5 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$. Then

$$\widehat{F} - \widehat{E} = (F - E) + \varepsilon (F_0 - E_0)$$

$$= U \begin{pmatrix} RD_2S & RD_2 & O \\ D_2S & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} F_1 - E_1 & F_2 - E_2 & F_3 - E_3 \\ F_4 - E_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\ F_7 - E_7 & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}.$$
(3.11)

For $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle D}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, it follows from Definition 3.1 that the DMPGI of $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ exists. By applying Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\operatorname{rk}\begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & F - E\\ F - E & O \end{pmatrix} = 2\operatorname{rk}(F - E).$$
(3.12)

Write $M = F_4 - E_4$ and $N = F_2 - E_2$. Substituting (3.11) into (3.12), we get

Then applying (3.12) gives

$$F_1 = E_1 + RM + NS - RF_5S, F_3 = E_3 + RF_6, F_7 = E_7 + F_8S_7$$

Therefore, we get the form (3.10) of \widehat{F} .

On the contrary, we have $E \leq F$ and (3.12) by (3.9) and (3.10). According to Lemma 2.9, equation (3.12) indicates the existence of DMPGI of $\hat{F} - \hat{E}$. Therefore, $\hat{E} \leq \hat{F}$ by Definition 3.1.

Next, we study relationships between the Dual-minus partial order and the D-star(P-star) partial order.

COROLLARY 3.5. Comparing Theorem 3.4 with Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 respectively, we get (1) In Theorem 3.4, giving R = O, S = O, $M = -D_2 E_2^T D_1^{-1}$ and $N = -D_1^{-1} E_4^T D_2$, it is obvious that the

(1) In Theorem 3.4, giving R = O, S = O, $M = -D_2 E_2^1 D_1^{-1}$ and $N = -D_1^{-1} E_4^1 D_2$, it is obvious that the form of \widehat{F} in (3.10) is the same as that in (2.5), Therefore, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

(2) In Theorem 3.4, giving R = O, S = O, M = O and N = O, it is obvious that the form of \hat{F} in (3.10) is the same as that in (2.6), Therefore, $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{Per}}{\leq} \hat{F}$.

In the following Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.7, we apply the Dual-minus partial order to provide characterizations of the D-star and P-star partial orders.

THEOREM 3.6. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and

$$EF^{T} = (EF^{T})^{T}, \ F^{T}E = (F^{T}E)^{T},$$

$$EE^{\dagger}(F_{0} - E_{0}) = (E^{T})^{\dagger}E_{0}^{T}(E - F), \ (F_{0} - E_{0})E^{\dagger}E = (E - F)E_{0}^{T}(E^{T})^{\dagger}.$$
(3.13)

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{\tiny D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} be as given in Theorem 3.4, where $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$ and $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$.

Since $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle D}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, we have $E \leq F$. Applying $EF^{\rm T} = (EF^{\rm T})^{\rm T}$ and $F^{\rm T}E = (F^{\rm T}E)^{\rm T}$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $E \stackrel{*}{\leq} F$. Furthermore, applying (2.3) gives S = O and R = O. Then

$$\hat{E} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(3.14)

$$\hat{F} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & N + E_2 & E_3 \\ M + E_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\ E_7 & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(3.15)

where M, N, F_5, F_6 and F_8 are matrices of appropriate orders.

Substituting (3.14) and (3.15) into $EE^{\dagger}(F_0 - E_0) = (E^{T})^{\dagger} E_0^{T}(E - F)$ and $(F_0 - E_0) E^{\dagger}E = (E - F) E_0^{T} (E^{T})^{\dagger}$ gives

$$U \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathrm{rk}(E)} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & N & O \\ M & F_5 & F_6 \\ O & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_1^{-1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1^{\mathrm{T}} & E_4^{\mathrm{T}} & E_7^{\mathrm{T}} \\ E_2^{\mathrm{T}} & O & O \\ E_3^{\mathrm{T}} & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & -D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$
$$U \begin{pmatrix} O & N & O \\ M & F_5 & F_6 \\ O & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathrm{rk}(E)} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} = U \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & -D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1^{\mathrm{T}} & E_4^{\mathrm{T}} & E_7^{\mathrm{T}} \\ E_2^{\mathrm{T}} & O & O \\ E_3^{\mathrm{T}} & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_1^{-1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$

that is, $N = -D_1^{-1} E_4^{\mathrm{T}} D_2$ and $M = -D_2 E_2^{\mathrm{T}} D_1^{-1}$. Therefore, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{\tiny D*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ from Corollary 3.5(1). " \Rightarrow " Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{\tiny D*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{\tiny D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as in Lemma 2.5. It follows that

$$EF^{\mathrm{T}} = (EF^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_{1}^{2} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} U^{\mathrm{T}}, \ F^{\mathrm{T}}E = (F^{\mathrm{T}}E)^{\mathrm{T}} = V \begin{pmatrix} D_{1}^{2} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$EE^{\dagger} (F_{0} - E_{0}) = (E^{\mathrm{T}})^{\dagger} E_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} (E - F) = U \begin{pmatrix} O & -D_{1}^{-1}E_{4}^{\mathrm{T}}D_{2} & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$
$$(F_{0} - E_{0}) E^{\dagger}E = (E - F) E_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} (E^{\mathrm{T}})^{\dagger} = U \begin{pmatrix} O & -D_{1}^{-1}E_{4}^{\mathrm{T}}D_{2} & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Therefore, (3.13) holds.

THEOREM 3.7. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{P-*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and

$$EF^{\mathrm{T}} = (EF^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}}, \ F^{\mathrm{T}}E = (F^{\mathrm{T}}E)^{\mathrm{T}}, \ EE^{\dagger}(F_{0} - E_{0}) = O, \ (F_{0} - E_{0})E^{\dagger}E = O.$$
 (3.16)

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\frown}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} be as given in Theorem 3.4. Let $EF^{\mathrm{T}} = (EF^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $F^{\mathrm{T}}E = (F^{\mathrm{T}}E)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Then we have (3.14) and (3.15). Substituting (3.14) and (3.15) into $EE^{\dagger}(F_0 - E_0) = O$ and $(F_0 - E_0) E^{\dagger}E = O$ gives N = O and M = O. According to Corollary 3.5(2), we get $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

"⇒" Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{P**}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, and the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as given in Lemma 2.6. It follows that $EF^{\text{T}} = (EF^{\text{T}})^{\text{T}} = U\begin{pmatrix} D_{1}^{2} & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} U^{\text{T}}$, $F^{\text{T}}E = (F^{\text{T}}E)^{\text{T}} = V\begin{pmatrix} D_{1}^{2} & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\text{T}}$, $EE^{\dagger}(F_{0} - E_{0}) = O$ and $(F_{0} - E_{0})E^{\dagger}E = O$. Therefore, (3.16) holds.

Next, we consider the relationships between the Dual-minus partial order and the D-sharp(G-sharp) partial order. And we apply the Dual-minus partial order to characterizing the D-sharp partial order and G-star partial order. Firstly, a characterization for the Dual-minus partial order of a dual matrix with an index one is given.

THEOREM 3.8. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if

$$\widehat{E} = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$
(3.17)

$$\widehat{F} = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 + RD_2S & RD_2 & O \\ D_2S & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} E_1 + RM + NS - RF_5S & N + E_2 & E_3 + RF_6 \\ M + E_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\ E_7 + F_8S & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad (3.18)$$

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$, $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible, and $M, S \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times r_e}$, $N, R \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are some suitable matrices.

Proof. According to Lemma 2.2, we have the forms of E and F as in (2.2). Let $\hat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{CM}$ and $\hat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{CM}$. Then

$$\begin{split} \widehat{E} &= P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \\ \widehat{F} &= P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 + RD_2S & RD_2 & O \\ D_2S & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & F_2 & F_3 \\ F_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\ F_7 & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Similar to the proof process of Theorem 3.4, we can get (3.17) and (3.18).

On the contrary, let the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} be as (3.17) and (3.18). Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle D}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ clearly holds by Definition 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.9. Comparing Theorem 3.8 with Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 respectively, we have

(1) In Theorem 3.8, giving R = O, S = O, $M = -D_2E_4D_1^{-1}$ and $N = -D_1^{-1}E_2D_2$, it is obvious that the form (3.18) of \hat{F} is the same as that in (2.7). Therefore, $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-\#}}{\leq} \hat{F}$.

(2) In Theorem 3.8, giving R = O, S = O and M = O, N = O, it is obvious that the form (3.18) of \widehat{F} is the same as that in (2.8). Therefore, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{G-\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

Next, we apply the Dual-minus partial order to provide characterizations of the D-sharp partial order and the G-sharp partial order, respectively.

THEOREM 3.10. Let
$$\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$$
, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and
 $EF = FE$, $(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = (E - F) E_0 E^{\#}$, $EE^{\#} (F_0 - E_0) = E^{\#} E_0 (E - F)$. (3.19)

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Let $\hat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\hat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$, $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \hat{F}$ and the forms of \hat{E} and \hat{F} be as in (3.17) and (3.18), respectively. It follows from EF = FE that S = O and R = O. Then

$$\widehat{E} = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$
(3.20)

$$\widehat{F} = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & N + E_2 & E_3 \\ M + E_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\ E_7 & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$
(3.21)

where $M \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times r_e}$ and $N \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times (r_f - r_e)}$.

Substituting (3.20) and (3.21) into $(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = (E - F) E_0 E^{\#}$ and $EE^{\#} (F_0 - E_0) = E^{\#} E_0 (E - F)$ gives

$$P\begin{pmatrix} O & N & O \\ M & F_5 & F_6 \\ O & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{\rm rk}(E) & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} = P\begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & -D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_1^{-1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$
$$P\begin{pmatrix} I_{\rm rk}(E) & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & N & O \\ M & F_5 & F_6 \\ O & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} = P\begin{pmatrix} D_1^{-1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & -D_2 & O \\ O & -D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

It follows that $M = -D_2 E_4 D_1^{-1}$ and $N = -D_1^{-1} E_2 D_2$. Therefore, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{D \not=\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ from Corollary 3.9(1).

" \Rightarrow " Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{{}_{\sim} \#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, and the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} be as given in (2.7). Then

$$EF = FE = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1^2 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$

$$(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = (E - F) E_0 E^{\#} = P \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ -D_2 E_4 D_1^{-1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$

$$EE^{\#} (F_0 - E_0) = E^{\#} E_0 (E - F) = P \begin{pmatrix} O & -D_1^{-1} E_2 D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

Therefore, (3.19) holds.

THEOREM 3.11. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{G-\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and

$$EF = FE, (F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = O, EE^{\#} (F_0 - E_0) = O.$$
 (3.22)

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Let $\hat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\hat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$, $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \hat{F}$ and the forms of \hat{E} and \hat{F} be as in (3.17) and (3.18), respectively. Since EF = FE, we have (3.20) and (3.21). Furthermore, substituting (3.20) and (3.21) into $EE^{\#}(F_0 - E_0) = O$ and $(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = O$ gives N = O and M = O. Therefore, $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{G}}{\leq} \hat{F}$ from Corollary 3.9(2).

"⇒" Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{G-\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} be as given in (2.8). Then $EF = FE = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1^2 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$, $(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = O$, and $EE^{\#} (F_0 - E_0) = O$. Therefore, (3.22) holds.

4. Dual-minus sharp Partial Order

In [20], Wang and Jiang introduce two Dual sharp partial orders, namely the D-sharp partial order and the G-sharp partial order, respectively. In this section, we introduce a new Dual sharp partial order — Dual-minus sharp partial order, which is different from the existing dual sharp partial orders. And the new kind of dual sharp partial orders include the D-sharp(G-sharp) partial orders.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let
$$\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$$
, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$. Write $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$:
 $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F$ and the DMPGI of $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ exists. (4.1)

We call \widehat{E} is below \widehat{F} under the Dual-minus sharp order.

THEOREM 4.1. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$ and $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if there is a nonsingular matrix P such that

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{E} = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \\ \widehat{F} = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & F_2 & E_3 \\ F_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\ E_7 & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$

$$(4.2)$$

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are nonsingular matrices.

Proof. Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{^{\mathrm{DM}\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F$ and the DMPGIs of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} exist. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that E and F are the forms as in (2.4). Since \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs, we can write

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{E} = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \\ \widehat{F} = P \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & O & O \\ O & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & F_2 & F_3 \\ F_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\ F_7 & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$

$$(4.3)$$

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are nonsingular, $E_1, F_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $F_5 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$. Since the DMPGI of $\hat{F} - \hat{E}$ exists, $\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & F - E \\ F - E & O \end{pmatrix} = 2\operatorname{rk}(F - E)$, that is,

Therefore, $F_1 = E_1$, $F_3 = E_3$ and $F_7 = E_7$. On the contrary, the conclusion is valid.

THEOREM 4.2. The Dual-minus sharp order is a partial order.

Proof. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$, $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$, and $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. 1. Reflexivity: It is evident.

2. Antisymmetry: Suppose that $\widehat{F} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{E}$. Since $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and $\widehat{F} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{E}$, we have that the DMPGI of $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ exists, $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F$ and $F \stackrel{\#}{\leq} E$. Since $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F$ and $F \stackrel{\#}{\leq} E$, we have E = F. It follows that

$$\operatorname{rk}\begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & F - E\\ F - E & O \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk}\begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & O\\ O & O \end{pmatrix} = 2\operatorname{rk}(F - E) = 0.$$

Therefore, $E_0 = F_0$. So $\widehat{E} = \widehat{F}$.

3. Transitivity: Suppose that $\widehat{G} = G + \varepsilon G_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$, $\operatorname{rk}(G) = r_g$, the \widehat{G} has the DMPGI and $\widehat{F} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{G}$. Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and $\widehat{F} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{G}$. Then $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F$ and $F \stackrel{\#}{\leq} G$. By applying Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 4.1, we get

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$, $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ and $D_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_g - r_f) \times (r_g - r_f)}$ are nonsingular matrices, and $E_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$, $F_6 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ and $G_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_g - r_f) \times (r_g - r_f)}$. It is easy to check that $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} G$ and the DMPGI of $\widehat{G} - \widehat{E}$ exists, that is, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM} \#}{\leq} \widehat{G}$.

Next, we consider the relationship between the Dual-minus sharp partial order and the Dual-minus partial order.

THEOREM 4.3. Let \widehat{E} , $\widehat{F} \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$. If $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM+\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$ and $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$. Since $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the forms (4.2). And then, $E \leq F$ and

$$\hat{F} - \hat{E} = (F - E) + \varepsilon (F_0 - E_0) = P \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} O & F_2 - E_2 & O \\ F_4 - E_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\ O & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$

Therefore, we get that $E \leq F$ and the DMPGI of $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ exists, that is, $\widehat{E} \leq \widehat{F}$.

The converse of Theorem 4.3 is not true.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let

$$\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the DMPGIs of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} exist and

$$\widehat{F} - \widehat{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & F - E \\ F - E & O \end{pmatrix} = 2\operatorname{rk} (F - E) = 2.$$

And since $\operatorname{rk}(F - E) = 1 = \operatorname{rk}(F) - \operatorname{rk}(E)$, we get that $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\mathrm{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Because

$$E^{\#}E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ E^{\#}F = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $E^{\#}E \neq E^{\#}F$. That means $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F$ does not hold. It is evident that \widehat{E} cannot be below \widehat{F} under the Dual-minus sharp partial order.

In the following Theorem 4.4, based on the Dual-minus partial order, we give a characterization of the Dual-minus sharp partial order.

THEOREM 4.4. Let
$$\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$$
, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and
 $EF = FE.$
(4.4)

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{CM}$, and $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle D}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then $E \leq F$ and the DMPGI of $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ exists. And since EF = FE, by applying Lemma 2.4(2), we get $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F$. Therefore, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{{}_{\rm DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

" \Rightarrow " Let $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \hat{F}$. We get $E \leq F$ and the DMPGI of $\hat{F} - \hat{E}$ exists by applying Definition 4.1. Therefore, $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \hat{F}$. Since $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \hat{F}$, we get EF = FE from Theorem 4.1.

Next, we consider the relationship between the Dual-minus sharp partial order and the D-sharp partial order.

THEOREM 4.5. Let
$$\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$$
, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$. If $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{E}, \widehat{F} \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$, $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$ and $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then we obtain that $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F$ and the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as in (2.7) by Lemma 2.7. It follows from

$$\widehat{F} - \widehat{E} = (F - E) + \varepsilon (F_0 - E_0) = P \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} O & -D_1^{-1}E_2D_2 & O \\ -D_2E_4D_1^{-1} & F_5 & F_6 \\ O & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$

that the DMPGI of $\hat{F} - \hat{E}$ exists. Therefore, according to Definition 4.1, we have $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \hat{F}$.

The converse of Theorem 4.5 is not true.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let

$$\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 \\ 3 & -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\widehat{F} - \widehat{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & F - E \\ F - E & O \end{pmatrix} = 2\operatorname{rk} (F - E) = 2.$$

Therefore, the DMPGI of $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ exists. Since $E^2 = EF = FE$, we have $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F$. It follows that $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM} \#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. And since

$$EF_0 + E_0F = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 8 & 7 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ E_0E + EE_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then $EF_0 + E_0F \neq E_0E + EE_0$. It is evident that \hat{E} cannot be below \hat{F} under the D-sharp partial order by applying Lemma 2.7(2).

In the following Theorem 4.6, based on the Dual-minus sharp partial order, we provide a characterization of the D-sharp partial order.

THEOREM 4.6. Let
$$\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$$
, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and
 $(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = (E - F) E_0 E^{\#}$, $EE^{\#} (F_0 - E_0) = E^{\#} E_0 (E - F)$. (4.5)

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$, and $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as in (4.2). It is easy to check that

$$(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = P \begin{pmatrix} O & F_2 - E_2 & O \\ F_4 - E_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\ O & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{\rm rk}(E) & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} = P \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ F_4 - E_4 & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$
 (4.6)
$$(E - F) E_0 E^{\#} = P \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & -D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ E_4 & O & O \\ E_7 & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_1^{-1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} = P \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ -D_2 E_4 D_1^{-1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}.$$

It follows from $(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = (E - F) E_0 E^{\#}$ that $F_4 = E_4 - D_2 E_4 D_1^{-1}$. Since

$$EE^{\#}(F_{0}-E_{0}) = P\begin{pmatrix}I_{\mathrm{rk}(E)} & O & O\\ O & O & O\\ O & O & O\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}O & F_{2}-E_{2} & O\\ F_{4}-E_{4} & F_{5} & F_{6}\\ O & F_{8} & O\end{pmatrix}P^{-1} = P\begin{pmatrix}O & F_{2}-E_{2} & O\\ O & O & O\\ O & O & O\end{pmatrix}P^{-1}, \quad (4.7)$$
$$E^{\#}E_{0}(E-F) = P\begin{pmatrix}D_{1}^{-1} & O & O\\ O & O & O\\ O & O & O\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}E_{1} & E_{2} & E_{3}\\ E_{4} & O & O\\ E_{7} & O & O\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}O & O & O\\ O & -D_{2} & O\\ O & O & O\end{pmatrix}P^{-1} = P\begin{pmatrix}O & -D_{1}^{-1}E_{2}D_{2} & O\\ O & O & O\\ O & O & O\end{pmatrix}P^{-1},$$

applying $EE^{\#}(F_0 - E_0) = E^{\#}E_0(E - F)$ we have $F_2 = E_2 - D_1^{-1}E_2D_2$.

Substituting $F_4 = E_4 - D_2 E_4 D_1^{-1}$ and $F_2 = E_2 - D_1^{-1} E_2 D_2$ into (4.2) gives (2.7). Therefore, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ holds. " \Rightarrow " Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. It follows from Theorem 4.5 that $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and the the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as in (2.7). Since

$$(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = (E - F) E_0 E^{\#} = P \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ -D_2 E_4 D_1^{-1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$
$$EE^{\#} (F_0 - E_0) = E^{\#} E_0 (E - F) = P \begin{pmatrix} O & -D_1^{-1} E_2 D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1},$$

then (4.5) holds.

Next, we consider the relationship between the Dual-minus sharp order and the G-sharp partial order.

THEOREM 4.7. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$. If $\widehat{E} \stackrel{G\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{E}, \widehat{F} \in \mathbb{D}_n^{CM}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$, $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$ and $\widehat{E} \stackrel{G \neq \#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F$ and the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as in (2.8) by Lemma 2.8. It follows from

$$\hat{F} - \hat{E} = (F - E) + \varepsilon (F_0 - E_0) = P \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} + \varepsilon P \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & F_5 & F_6 \\ O & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$

that the DMPGI of $\hat{F} - \hat{E}$ exists. Therefore, according to Definition 4.1, we have $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM+\#}}{\leq} \hat{F}$.

The converse of Theorem 4.7 is not true.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Let

$$\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 6 & 3 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\widehat{F} - \widehat{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & F - E \\ F - E & O \end{pmatrix} = 2 = 2\operatorname{rk} \left(F - E\right).$$
(4.8)

Therefore, the DMPGI of $\hat{F} - \hat{E}$ exists. Since $E^2 = EF = FE$, we have $E \stackrel{\#}{\leq} F$. It follows that $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM-\#}}{\leq} \hat{F}$. And since

$$E_0 E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ F_0 E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $E_0 E \neq F_0 E$. It is evident that \hat{E} cannot be below \hat{F} under the G-sharp partial order by Lemma 2.8(3).

In the following Theorem 4.8, based on the Dual-minus sharp partial order, we provide a characterization of the G-sharp partial order.

THEOREM 4.8. Let
$$\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$$
, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{\text{CM}}$. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{G-\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and
 $(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = O, EE^{\#} (F_0 - E_0) = O.$
(4.9)

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}_n^{CM}$, and $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as in (4.2). Since $EE^{\#}(F_0 - E_0) = O$ and $(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = O$, we get $F_4 = E_4$ and $F_2 = E_2$. Therefore, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{G}\#}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ holds by Lemma 2.8(4).

"⇒" Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{D_{-\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. It follows from Theorem 4.7 that $\widehat{E} \stackrel{D_{-\#}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and the the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as in (2.8). It is easy to check that $(F_0 - E_0) EE^{\#} = O$ and $EE^{\#} (F_0 - E_0) = O$. Therefore, (4.9) holds.

5. Dual-minus star Partial Order

In Section 4, we introduce the Dual-minus sharp partial order by applying the sharp partial order and the DMPGI. In this section, we introduce a new Dual star partial order — Dual-minus star partial order, which is different from the D-star partial order and the P-star partial order. The proofs in this section are similar to that in Section 4.

DEFINITION 5.1. Let
$$\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$$
, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs. Denote $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$:

$$E \le F$$
 and the DMPGI of $F - E$ exists. (5.1)

We call \widehat{E} is below \widehat{F} under the Dual-minus star order.

THEOREM 5.1. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$, $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM+*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if there are orthogonal matrices U and V such that

$$\begin{cases}
\widehat{E} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_{1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} E_{1} & E_{2} & E_{3} \\ E_{4} & O & O \\ E_{7} & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}, \\
\widehat{F} = U \begin{pmatrix} D_{1} & O & O \\ O & D_{2} & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix} E_{1} & F_{2} & E_{3} \\ F_{4} & F_{5} & F_{6} \\ E_{7} & F_{8} & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(5.2)

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible.

Proof. Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{^{\text{DM}*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then $E \stackrel{^{*}}{\leq} F$. According to Lemma 2.3, we obtain that E and F are the forms of in (2.3). Because \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs, we can denote

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\widehat{E} = U \begin{pmatrix}
D_1 & O & O \\
O & O & O \\
O & O & O
\end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix}
E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\
E_4 & O & O \\
E_7 & O & O
\end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}, \\
\widehat{F} = U \begin{pmatrix}
D_1 & O & O \\
O & D_2 & O \\
O & O & O
\end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} + \varepsilon U \begin{pmatrix}
F_1 & F_2 & F_3 \\
F_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\
F_7 & F_8 & O
\end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(5.3)

where $D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$ are invertible, $E_1, F_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r_e \times r_e}$ and $F_5 \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_f - r_e) \times (r_f - r_e)}$. And since the DMPGI of $\hat{F} - \hat{E}$ exists, $\operatorname{rk} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & F - E \\ F - E & O \end{smallmatrix} \right) = 2 \operatorname{rk}(F - E)$, that is,

It follows that $F_1 = E_1$, $F_3 = E_3$ and $F_7 = E_7$. Therefore, (5.2) holds. On the contrary, the conclusion is valid.

THEOREM 5.2. The Dual-minus star order is a partial order.

Proof. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$, $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$, \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs, and $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Next, we prove that Dual-minus star order is reflexive, anti-symmetric and transitive.

1. Reflexivity: It is trivial.

2. Antisymmetry: Suppose that $\widehat{F} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \widehat{E}$. Since $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and $\widehat{F} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \widehat{E}$, we get that the DMPGI of $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ exists, $E \stackrel{*}{\leq} F$ and $F \stackrel{*}{\leq} E$. Since $E \stackrel{*}{\leq} F$ and $F \stackrel{*}{\leq} E$, we have E = F. It follows that

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & F - E \\ F - E & O \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} F_0 - E_0 & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} = 2\operatorname{rk}(F - E) = O.$$

Therefore, $E_0 = F_0$. So $\widehat{E} = \widehat{F}$.

3. Transitivity: Suppose that $\hat{G} = G + \varepsilon G_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, $\operatorname{rk}(G) = r_g$, \hat{G} has the DMPGI and $\hat{F} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \hat{G}$. Since $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \hat{F}$ and $\hat{F} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \hat{G}$, then $E \stackrel{*}{\leq} F$ and $F \stackrel{*}{\leq} G$. It is easy to check that $E \stackrel{*}{\leq} G$ and the DMPGI of $\hat{G} - \hat{E}$ exists by applying Theorem 5.1. Therefore, $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \hat{G}$.

Next, we study the relationship between the Dual-minus star order and the Dual-minus order.

THEOREM 5.3. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs. If $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs, and $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM**}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then according to Theorem 5.1, it is obvious that $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

THEOREM 5.4. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and

$$EF^{\mathrm{T}} = \left(EF^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, \ F^{\mathrm{T}}E = \left(F^{\mathrm{T}}E\right)^{\mathrm{T}}.$$
(5.4)

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Let $\hat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\hat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$ and $\hat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle D}{\leq} \hat{F}$. Then $E \leq F$ and the DMPGI of $\hat{F} - \hat{E}$ exists. And because $EF^{\rm T} = (EF^{\rm T})^{\rm T}$ and $F^{\rm T}E = (F^{\rm T}E)^{\rm T}$, by applying Lemma 2.3(2), we get $E \stackrel{*}{\leq} F$. Therefore, $\hat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle DM*}{\leq} \hat{F}$.

 $\stackrel{L}{\cong} \stackrel{\cong}{\cong} \stackrel{T}{\cong} \stackrel{T}{\cong} \stackrel{DM*}{\cong} \stackrel{\widehat{F}}{\cong} F.$ It follows from Definition 5.1 that $E \leq F$ and the DMPGI of $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ exists. Therefore, $\widehat{E} \leq \widehat{F}.$ Since $\widehat{E} \leq \widehat{F}.$ we get $EF^{T} = (EF^{T})^{T}$ and $F^{T}E = (F^{T}E)^{T}$ from Theorem 5.1.

Next, we study the relationship between the Dual-minus star partial order and the D-star partial order.

THEOREM 5.5. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs. If $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM-*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

Proof. Let $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$, $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$. Since $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle D**}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, then by Lemma 2.5 we obtain that $E \stackrel{*}{\leq} F$ and the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as in (2.5). Then $\widehat{F} - \widehat{E}$ has the DMPGI by Lemma 2.9. Therefore, according to Definition 5.1, we have $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle DM*}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

THEOREM 5.6. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have DMPGIs. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and

$$EE^{\dagger}(F_0 - E_0) = (E^{\mathrm{T}})^{\dagger} E_0^{\mathrm{T}}(E - F), \ (F_0 - E_0) E^{\dagger}E = (E - F) E_0^{\mathrm{T}}(E^{\mathrm{T}})^{\dagger}.$$
(5.5)

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Let $\hat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\hat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$ and $\hat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \hat{F}$. Then the forms of \hat{E} and \hat{F} are as in (5.2). It is easy to check that

$$EE^{\dagger}(F_{0}-E_{0}) = U \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathrm{rk}(E)} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & F_{2}-E_{2} & O \\ F_{4}-E_{4} & F_{5} & F_{6} \\ O & F_{8} & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} = U \begin{pmatrix} O & F_{2}-E_{2} & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad (5.6)$$
$$(E^{\mathrm{T}})^{\dagger}E_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}(E-F) = U \begin{pmatrix} D_{1}^{-1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} & E_{4}^{\mathrm{T}} & E_{7}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ E_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} & O & O \\ C & 3^{\mathrm{T}} & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & -D_{2} & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}} = U \begin{pmatrix} O & -D_{1}^{-1}E_{4}^{\mathrm{T}}D_{2} & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\mathrm{T}}$$

It follows from $EE^{\dagger}(F_0 - E_0) = (E^{T})^{\dagger} E_0^{T}(E - F)$ that $F_2 = E_2 - D_1^{-1} E_4^{T} D_2$. Since

$$(F_0 - E_0) E^{\dagger} E = U \begin{pmatrix} O & F_2 - E_2 & O \\ F_4 - E_4 & F_5 & F_6 \\ O & F_8 & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{\rm rk}(E) & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\rm T} = U \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ F_4 - E_4 & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\rm T}, \quad (5.7)$$

$$E - F) E_0^{\rm T} (E^{\rm T})^{\dagger} = U \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & -D_2 & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1^{\rm T} & E_4^{\rm T} & E_7^{\rm T} \\ E_3^{\rm T} & O & O \\ E_3^{\rm T} & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_1^{-1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\rm T} = U \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ -D_2 E_2^{\rm T} D_1^{-1} & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix} V^{\rm T}$$

applying $(F_0 - E_0) E^{\dagger} E = (E - F) E_0^{\mathrm{T}} (E^{\mathrm{T}})^{\dagger}$, we have $F_4 = E_4 - D_2 E_2^{\mathrm{T}} D_1^{-1}$.

(

Substituting $F_4 = E_4 - D_2 E_4 D_1^{-1}$ and $F_2 = E_2 - D_1^{-1} E_2 D_2$ into (5.2) gives (2.5). Therefore, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ holds. " \Rightarrow " Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{D-*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. It follows from Theorem 5.5 that $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and the the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as in (2.5). Then (5.5) holds.

Next, we consider the relationship between the Dual-minus star partial order and the P-star partial order.

THEOREM 5.7. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have DMPGIs. If $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{P**}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$, then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM**}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{E}, \widehat{F} \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, $\operatorname{rk}(E) = r_e$, $\operatorname{rk}(F) = r_f$, \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} have the DMPGIs, and $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{P**}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then we obtain that $E \stackrel{*}{\leq} F$ and the forms of \hat{E} and \hat{F} are as in (2.6) by Lemma 2.6. Then $\hat{F} - \hat{E}$ has the DMPGI by Lemma 2.9. Therefore, according to Definition 5.1, we have $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{\tiny DM*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$.

THEOREM 5.8. Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$, and \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} has the DMPGIs. Then $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{Per}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ if and only if $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{\tiny DM*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and

$$EE^{\dagger}(F_0 - E_0) = O, \ (F_0 - E_0)E^{\dagger}E = O.$$
(5.8)

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Let $\widehat{E} = E + \varepsilon E_0$, $\widehat{F} = F + \varepsilon F_0 \in \mathbb{D}^{m \times n}$ and $\widehat{E} \stackrel{\text{DM}*}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. Then the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as in (5.2).

Since $EE^{\dagger}(F_0 - E_0) = O$ and $(F_0 - E_0)E^{\dagger}E = O$, we get $F_4 = E_4$ and $F_2 = E_2$. Therefore, $\widehat{E} \stackrel{P^{**}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ holds. " \Rightarrow " Let $\widehat{E} \stackrel{P^{**}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$. It follows from Theorem 5.7 that $\widehat{E} \stackrel{DM^{*}}{\leq} \widehat{F}$ and the the forms of \widehat{E} and \widehat{F} are as in (2.6). It is easy to check that $EE^{\dagger}(F_0 - E_0) = O$ and $(F_0 - E_0)E^{\dagger}E = O$. Therefore, (5.8) holds.

At last, we show the relationships among the Dual-minus partial order, the Dual-minus sharp partial order, the D-sharp partial order and the G-sharp partial order in Figure 1, and the relationships among the Dual-minus partial order, the Dual-minus star partial order, the D-star partial order and the P-star partial order in Figure 2.



Figure 1: The relationships among the Dual-minus partial order, the Dual-minus sharp partial order, the D-sharp partial order and the G-sharp partial order



Figure 2: The relationships among the Dual-minus partial order, the Dual-minus star partial order, and the D-star partial order and the P-star partial order

References

- [1] Baksalary J K, Baksalary O M, Liu X. Further properties of the star, left-star, right-star, and minus partial orderings. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 2003, 375: 83-94.
- [2] Ben-Israel A, Greville T N E. Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [3] Fattore M, Bruggemann R. Partial Order Concepts in Applied Sciences. Springer, Heidelberg, 2017.
- [4] Mitra S K. On group inverses and the sharp order. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 1987, 92: 17-37.

- [5] Mitra S K, Bhimasankaram P, Malik S B. Matrix Partial Orders, Shorted Operators and Applications. World Scientific, Singapore, 2010.
- [6] Mostajeran C, Sepulchre R. Ordering positive definite matrices. Information Geometry, 2018, 1(2): 287-313.
- [7] Peajcariaac J E, Tong Y L. Convex Functions, Partial Orderings, and Statistical Applications. Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1992.
- [8] Pennestrì E, Stefanelli R. Linear algebra and numerical algorithms using dual numbers. Multibody System Dynamics, 2007, 18(3): 323-344.
- [9] Pennestrì E, Valentini P P, De Falco D. The Moore-Penrose dual generalized inverse matrix with application to kinematic synthesis of spatial linkages. Journal of Mechanical Design, 2018, 140: 102303.
- [10] Qi L. Standard dual quaternion optimization and its applications in hand-eye calibration and SLAM. Communications on Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2023, 5(4): 1469-1483.
- [11] Qi L, Ling C, Yan H. Dual quaternions and dual quaternion vectors. Communications on Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2022, 4: 1494-1508.
- [12] Qi L, Luo Z, Wang Q W, Zhang X Z. Quaternion matrix optimization: motivation and analysis. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 2022, 193(1): 621-648.
- [13] Simovici D A, Djeraba C. Mathematical Tools for Data Mining: Set Theory, Partial Orders, Combinatorics. Springer-Verlag, London Limited, 2008.
- [14] Udwadia F E, Pennestri E, de Falco D. Do all dual matrices have dual Moore-Penrose generalized inverses?. Mechanism and Machine Theory, 2020, 151: 103878.
- [15] Wang G, Wei Y, Qiao S. Generalized Inverses: Theory and Computations. Springer, Singapore, 2018.
- [16] Wang H. Characterizations and properties of the MPDGI and DMPGI. Mechanism and Machine Theory, 2021, 158: 104212.
- [17] Wang H, Gao J. The dual index and dual core generalized inverse. Open Mathematics, 2023, 21(1): 20220592.
- [18] Wang H, Huang P. Characterizations and properties of dual matrix star orders. Communications on Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2023, DOI: 10.1007/s42967-023-00255-z.
- [19] Wang H, Huang P, Cui C. Perfect Hermitian matrix and dual quaternion Löwner partial orders. Pacific Journal of Optimization, 2024, 20(2): 249-263.
- [20] Wang H, Jiang T. Properties and characterizations of dual sharp orders. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 2023, 433: 115321.
- [21] Wang H, Jiang T, Ling Q, Wei Y. Dual core-nilpotent decomposition and dual binary relation. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 2024, 684: 127-157.
- [22] Wei T, Ding W Y, Wei Y M. Singular value decomposition of dual matrices and its application to traveling wave identification in the brain. SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 2024, 45(1): 634-660.
- [23] Xu R, Wei T, Wei Y, Yan H. UTV decomposition of dual matrices and its applications. Computational and Applied Mathematics, 2024, 43: 41.
- [24] Zhong J, Zhang Y. Dual group inverses of dual matrices and their applications in solving systems of linear dual equations. AIMS Mathematics, 2022, 7(5): 7606-7624.