WILLIAM K. ALLARD

## Contents

| 1. Introduction.   | 2  |
|--|----|
| 2. Preliminaries.  | 2  |
| 2.1. Some linear algebra.  | 2  |
| 2.2. A useful notational convention.   | 3  |
| 3. Submanifolds of Euclidean spaces.   | 4  |
| 3.1.   | 4  |
| 3.2. Smooth functions on $M$ .   | 4  |
| 3.3. More on differentiation.  | 6  |
| 3.4. Diffeomorphisms.  | 6  |
| 3.5. $\mathcal{L}_m, \mathcal{P}_m$ and $\mathcal{W}_m$ .                                    | 7  |
| 3.6. The second fundamental form of $M$ .  | 7  |
| 4. Vector bundles.   | 8  |
| 4.1. The mean curvature normal of $M$ .  | 10 |
| 5. Reach.  | 11 |
| 5.1.   | 13 |
| 5.2. $\theta$ and $\iota$ .  | 15 |
| 6. $\eta$ and $\mathbf{o}$ .   | 15 |
| 7. $f$ .   | 16 |
| 7.1. $\gamma$ .  | 17 |
| 7.2. F.  | 18 |
| 8. Families of submanifolds of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .  | 18 |
| 8.1. $\epsilon_1$ .  | 19 |
| 8.2. <u>M</u> .  | 22 |
| 8.3. $\underline{\tau}, \underline{\nu}, \underline{\gamma}, \underline{B}, \underline{A}$ . | 23 |
| 8.4. $\underline{N}, \underline{\Xi}, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\sigma}.$                  | 23 |
| 8.5. $\underline{f}, \underline{v}, \underline{\rho}, \underline{V}, \underline{g}$ .        | 24 |
| 9. $F_s^t$ .   | 24 |
| 9.1. $\epsilon_2$ and $\mathcal{G}$ .  | 26 |
| 9.2. $\mathcal{L}_m, \mathcal{W}_m \text{ and } \epsilon_3.$                                 | 28 |
| 10. The family of interest.  | 29 |
| 10.1. The symmetric quadratic functionals $P, Q, R, S$ .                                     | 30 |
| 10.2. $\Phi; \Psi; \mathbf{L}; \text{ and } E_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$            | 30 |
| 10.3. The Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\gamma)$ .                                   | 30 |
| 10.4. Construction of the family of interest.  | 31 |

Date: July 10, 2024.

Supported in part by Los Alamos National Laboratory.

| 10.5. Symmetric bilinear functions.                     | 35 |
|---|----|
| 11. $L_s^t$ and $\epsilon_4$ .                          | 35 |
| 12. $\epsilon_5$ .                                      | 39 |
| 12.1. $\Pi_s$ .   | 40 |
| 12.2. $\epsilon_6$ .                                    | 41 |
| 13. Proof of a statement similar to $5.4(4)$ of [AA81]. | 42 |
| 13.1. $\zeta_{Z,s}$ .                                   | 42 |
| 13.2. Finding a zero of $\zeta_{Z,s}$ .                 | 44 |
| References  | 45 |

#### 1. INTRODUCTION.

The paper referred to in the title is [AA81].

Several months ago Francesco Maggi emailed me saying that the inequalities 5.3(4),(5) of [AA81] were wrong. In fact, as he pointed out, their incorrectness is immediately apparent if one takes Z = 0 there. Maggi and his coauthor wanted to use these inequalities in their paper [MN]. They were able to obtain a version of these inequalities which suffice for the carrying out the work in [MN].

I started writing this paper in order to provide a version of these inequalities as needed in [AA81]. In thinking about this material I began to realize there were other problems with the paper. As a result I ended up *completely rewriting 5.1-5.4* on pages 243-248 of [AA81]; this rewrite is the contents of this paper. In addition to many annoying misprints many of the needed definitions and proofs in 5.1-5.4 are incomplete or absent. For example, it is not said where z in 5.1(2) comes from; this omission completely surprised me since I remember doing a lot of work to come up with z when [AA81] was being written. Also, much of the necessary material about the reach of a submanifold as in [FE2] is not provided in [AA81].

The table of contents can serve as an index. In particular one sees there where the constants  $\epsilon_1$  through  $\epsilon_6$  are introduced.

This material is extremely technical. One way to navigate this paper would be to start looking at Proposition 9.5 and work backwards.

2. Preliminaries.

If X is a set we let

$$i_X = \{(x, x) : x \in X\};$$

thus  $i_X : X \to X$  and  $i_X(x) = x$  for  $x \in X$ ; we call  $i_X$  the **identity map of** X; if  $X \subset Y$  the  $i_X$  is also called the **inclusion map of** X **into** Y.

If  $(X, \rho)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  are metric spaces and  $f: X \to Y$  we let

$$\mathbf{Lip}\,f = \sup\left\{\frac{\sigma(f(x_1), f(x_2))}{\rho(x, y)} : x_i \in X, \ i = 1, 2, \ \text{and} \ x_1 \neq x_2\right\}.$$

2.1. Some linear algebra. be the vector space of linear maps from V to W. We let

$$\operatorname{End}(V) = \operatorname{Lin}(V, V).$$

If  $V_1, \ldots, V_N$  and W are vector spaces we let

 $MultiLin(V_1,\ldots,V_N,W)$ 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

be the vector space of multilinear maps from  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_N$  into W.

If V and W are vector spaces we let

$$\operatorname{Lin}(V, W) = \operatorname{MultiLin}(V, W).$$

If V is a vector space we let

$$\operatorname{End}(V) = \operatorname{Lin}(V, V).$$

A **Euclidean space** is a finite dimensional inner product space. If E is a Euclidean space and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  we let

$$\mathbb{G}_l(E)$$
 and  $\mathbb{O}_l(E)$ 

be, respectively, the family of l dimensional linear subspaces of E and the family of orthogonal projections of E onto a member of  $\mathbb{G}_l(E)$ .

If  $E_i$ , i = 1, ..., m and F are Euclidean spaces and  $\mu : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \to F$  is multilinear we let

$$||\mu|| = \sup\{|\mu(v_1, \dots, v_m)| : v_i \in E_i \text{ and } |v_i| \le 1, i = 1, \dots, m\};$$

the vector space of multilinear maps from  $E_1 \times E_m$  into F has a natural Euclidean structure and the norm with respect to this structure of  $\mu$  as above will be larger than  $|| \cdot ||$  unless the  $E_i$  are one dimensional. For example, if i is the identity map of the Euclidean space E then ||i|| = 1 but the Euclidean norm of i is the square root of the dimension of E.

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose  $S \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $L \in \text{Lin}(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\text{ker } L = \{0\}$ . and T = rng L. and  $\dim T = m$ .

There are unique A and O such that  $A \in \text{End}(T)$ ,  $O \in \text{Lin}(S,T)$ ,  $A^* = A$ ,  $A(v) \bullet v > 0$  for  $v \in T$ ,  $A^2 = L \circ L^*$ , O is an isometry and  $L = A \circ O$ .

*Proof.*  $L \circ L^*$  is a positive definite and carries T isomorphically onto T. Let A be the unique positive definite square root of  $L \circ L^*$  and let  $O = A^{-1} \circ L$ .

Suppose S and T are finite dimensional inner product spaces. For  $L, M \in Lin(S,T)$ 

$$L \bullet M = \operatorname{trace} L^* \circ M.$$

This is an inner product on Lin(S,T)). If  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  is an orthonormal basis for S we have

$$L \bullet M = \sum_{i=1}^{m} L(u_i) \bullet M(u_i).$$

It follows that

 $|L \bullet M| \le m \, ||L|| \, ||M||;$ 

note that if L is an isometry then ||L|| = 1 and  $|L| = \sqrt{m}$ .

2.2. A useful notational convention. Suppose T, X and Y are nonempty sets and  $W \subset T \times X$ .

If  $h: T \times X \to Y$  and  $t \in \mathcal{J}$  we let

$$h_t = \{ (x, h(t, x)) : (t, x) \in W \}.$$

Thus

$$h_t : \{ x : (t, x) \in W \} \to Y \quad \text{for } t \in \mathcal{J};$$

of course  $h_t = \emptyset$  if  $W \cap (\{t\} \times X) = \emptyset$ .

3. Submanifolds of Euclidean spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** Suppose E is a Euclidean space,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $m \leq \dim E$ . We let

 $\mathcal{M}_m(E)$ 

be the family of nonempty subsets M of E such that for each  $a \in M$  there are  $U, V, \psi, \phi$  such that V is an open subset of E; U is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n, \psi: V \to U$ ,  $\psi$  is smooth,  $\phi: U \to V$ ,  $\phi$  is smooth,  $a \in V$  and

$$\psi(\phi(u)) = u \quad \text{for } u \in U.$$

**Remark 3.1.** This a slight variation of the definition in [FE, 3.1.19(4). Note that  $\phi = (\psi|M)^{-1}$ 

A member of  $\mathcal{M}_m(E)$  is called a smooth *m* dimensional submanifold of *E*. Evidently,  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\dim E}(E)$  if and only if M is an open subset of E. Also, if

 $L \subset M$  then  $L \in \mathcal{M}_m(E)$  if and only if L is nonempty and is open relative to M. It is elementary that

$$\operatorname{Tan} M, \phi(u) = \operatorname{rng} D\phi(u) \in \mathbb{G}_m(E) \quad \text{for } u \in U.$$

It follows that m is determined by M; we call it the **dimension** of M. We let

 $\tau: M \to \mathbb{G}_m(E) \text{ and } \nu: M \to \mathbb{G}_{\dim E - m}(E)$ 

be the **tangent map** and the **normal map** of M, respectively; by definition,  $\tau(x)$ is orthogonal projection of E onto  $\operatorname{Tan} M, x$  and  $\nu(x) = \tau(x)^{\perp}$  for  $x \in M$ . We now fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  with m < n.

3.1. For the remainder of this Section we fix  $M \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and a normed vector space Y.

3.2. Smooth functions on M. A function  $f: M \to Y$  is smooth if  $f \circ \phi$  is smooth if whenever  $a, U, V, \phi, \psi$  are as in Definition 3.1.

 $\mathcal{E}(M,Y)$ 

be the vector space of smooth maps from M into Y.

**Proposition 3.1.** Suppose Y is a Euclidean space,  $f: M \to Y$ , f is smooth and  $a \in M$ . There is and only one

$$Df: M \to \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^n, Y)$$

such that if  $a \in M$  then

$$Df(a)(D\phi(\psi(a))(v)) = D(f \circ \phi)(\psi(a))(v) \text{ for } v \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

and

$$Df(a) \circ \nu(a) = 0.$$

Moreover, if N is a smooth submanifold of some Euclidean space and  $F: N \to M$ is smooth then  $f \circ F$  is smooth and

$$D(f \circ F)(b) = Df(F(b)) \circ Df(b) \text{ for } b \in N.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $a, U, V, \phi, \psi$  are as in Definition 3.1. Then  $(f \circ F)|F^{-1}[V] = (f \circ F)|F^{-1}[V]$  $\phi) \circ (\psi \circ F) |F^{-1}[V].$ 

If  $f \in \mathcal{E}(M, Y)$ ,  $a \in M$  and  $v \in E$  we let

$$D_v f(a) = Df(a)(v).$$

If  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_k) \in E^k$  we let

$$D_v f(a) = D_{v_1} \cdots D_{v_k} f(a).$$

**Proposition 3.2.** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{E}(M, Y)$ ,  $a \in M$ , W is an open neighborhood of a in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $F : W \to Y$  and F|M = f|W. Then Df(a)(v) = DF(a)(v) whenever  $a \in M \cap W$  and  $v \in \operatorname{Tan} M, a$ .

*Proof.* If  $U, V, \phi, \psi$  are as in Definition 3.1 then  $F \circ \phi$  equals  $f \circ \phi$  in an open neighborhood of  $\psi(a)$ .

We leave the straightforward proof of the following Proposition to the reader.

**Proposition 3.3.** Suppose E is a Euclidean space,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $m \leq \dim E$ , M is a nonempty subset of E and for each  $a \in M$  there are  $U, V, \psi, \phi$  such that V is an open subset of E; U is an open subset of some m dimensional submanifold of some Euclidean space,  $\psi : V \to U$ ,  $\psi$  is smooth,  $\phi : U \to V$ ,  $\phi$  is smooth,  $a \in V$  and

$$\psi(\phi(u)) = u \quad for \ u \in U.$$

Then  $M \in \mathcal{M}_m(E)$ .

If  $i_M$  is the inclusion map of M in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then  $i_M$  is smooth and

$$Di_M(x) = \tau(x) \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

It follows that  $\tau$  is smooth and since  $\nu(x) = i_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \tau(x)$  for  $x \in M$  we find that  $\nu$  is smooth.

**Definition 3.2.** For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$D^k f(a) \in \operatorname{MultiLin}(\underbrace{E, \dots, E}_{k \text{ times}}, Y)$$

by letting  $D^0 f = f$ ,  $D^1 f = Df$  and, if  $k \ge 2$ , requiring that

$$D^{k}f(a)(D\phi(\psi(a))(v_{1}),\ldots,D\phi(\psi(a))(v_{k})) = D^{k}(f\circ\phi)(\psi(a))(v_{1},\ldots,v_{k})$$

whenever  $a, U, V, \phi, \psi$  are as in Definition 3.1 and  $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and that

$$D^k f(a)(w_1,\ldots,w_k) = 0$$
 whenever  $w_1,\ldots,w_k \in E$  and  $w_i \in NorM$ , a for some  $i = 1,\ldots,m$ 

As is well known  $D^k f(a)$  is symmetric. We have

$$D^{k}f(a)(w_{1},\ldots,w_{k})=D_{w_{1}}\cdots D_{w_{k}}f(a)$$
 whenever  $w_{1},\ldots,w_{k}\in E$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Suppose Y is a Euclidean space and  $f : M \to Y$  is smooth. For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we let

$$\chi^{k}(f) = \sup\{||D^{k}f(x)|| : x \in M\}$$

and we let

$$\chi^{[k]}(f) = \max\{\chi^j(f) : j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } j \le k\}.$$

Any of these numbers may be  $\infty$ .

**Remark 3.2.** We will not use  $\chi$  as on page 229 of [AA81].

3.3. More on differentiation. Suppose  $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and, for each i = 1, ..., N,  $E_i$  is a Euclidean space. For each  $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$  we let

$$\iota_i: E_i \to E_1 \times \cdots \times E_N$$

be such that for each  $u \in E_i$  and  $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ 

$$(\iota_i)_j(u) = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } j = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$  we let

$$\iota_i^! E_i^j \to (E_1 \times \dots \times E_N)^j$$

be such that

$$\iota_i^j(u_1,\ldots,u_j) = (\iota_i(u_1),\ldots,\iota_i(u_j)) \quad \text{for } (u_1,\ldots,u_j) \in E_i^j$$

Suppose Y is a normed vector space and  $f: M_1 \times \cdots \times M_N \to Y$  is smooth and  $(k_1, \ldots, k_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$  and K > 0 where K is the number of positive  $k_i, i = 1, \ldots, N$ . Let  $l_j, j = 1, \ldots, K$ , be such that

$$l_1 < \dots < l_K$$
 and  $\{l_j : j = 1, \dots, K\} = \{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : k_i > 0\}$ 

We let

$$D^{k_1,\ldots,k_N}f: M_1 \times \cdots \times M_N \to \operatorname{MultiLin}(E_{l_1}^{k_{l_1}},\ldots,E_{l_K}^{k_{l_K}},Y)$$

be such that

$$D^{k_1,\dots,k_N} f(x_1,\dots,x_N)(U_1,\dots,U_K) = D^{\sum_{i=1}^K k_i} f(x_1,\dots,x_N)(\iota_{l_1}^{k_{l_1}}(U_1),\dots,\iota_{l_K}^{k_{l_K}}(U_K))$$
  
for  $(U_1,\dots,U_K) \in E_{l_1}^{k_{l_1}},\dots,E_{l_K}^{k_{l_K}}$ . We also let  
 $\chi^{k_1,\dots,k_N}(f) = \sup\{||D^{k_1,\dots,k_N}f(x_1,\dots,x_N)|| : (x_1,\dots,x_N) \in M_1 \times \dots \times M_N\}.$ 

**Example 3.1.** Supposed N = 3, K = 2 and  $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (3, 0, 2)$  and

$$f: E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3 \to Y.$$

Then  $(l_1, l_2) = (1, 3)$  and, if  $U_1 \in E_1^3$  and  $U_2 \in E_3^2$  then  $D^{3,0,2}f(x_1, x_2, x_3)(U_1, U_2) = D^5f(x_2, x_2, x_3)((U_1)_1, (U_1)_2, (U_1)_3, (U_2)_1, (U_2)_2).$ for  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3.$ 

e

#### 3.4. Diffeomorphisms.

Definition 3.4. Suppose

$$f: X \to Y.$$

We say f carries X diffeomorphically onto Y if

- (i) there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and Euclidean spaces E and F such that  $X \in \mathcal{M}_m(E)$ and  $Y \in \mathcal{M}_m(F)$ ;
- (ii) f is smooth and univalent;
- (iii)  $\operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} f = Y$  and  $f^{-1}$  is smooth.

Proposition 3.4. Suppose

- (i)  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and E and F are Euclidean spaces;
- (ii)  $X \in \mathcal{M}_m(E), Y \in \mathcal{M}_m(F)$  and

 $f: X \to Y;$ 

- (iii) f is smooth and univalent;
- (iv) rank Df(a) = m for each  $a \in M$ ;
- (v) X is compact and X and Y are homeomorphic.

Then  $\operatorname{rng} f = Y$  and f carries X diffeomorphically onto Y.

**Remark 3.3.** This Proposition need not hold if X is not compact.

*Proof.* Let C be the family of connected components of Y. C is finite since Y is compact.

Suppose  $a \in X$ . Since f is smooth and  $\operatorname{rank} Df(a) = m$  is elementary that there are G and H such that G is a relatively open subset of X,  $a \in G$ , H is an open subset of F and  $f[G] = H \cap \operatorname{rng} f$ . Let  $d = \operatorname{dist}(a, Y \sim H)$ . Then d > 0since  $Y \sim H$  is compact and  $a \notin Y \sim H$ . So there is an open subset W of H such that  $a \in W$  and  $W \cap Y = W \cap f[G]$ . Thus  $\operatorname{rng} f$  is open relative to Y. So there is a subfamily  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\operatorname{rng} f = \bigcup \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\operatorname{rng} f$  is homeomorphic to X and X is homeomorphic to Y we find that  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$  so  $\operatorname{rng} f = Y$ . That  $f^{-1}$  is smooth follows from the Inverse Function Theorem. So f carries X diffeomorphically onto Y

3.5.  $\mathcal{L}_m$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_m$  and  $\mathcal{W}_m$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_m = \{L \in \mathbb{End}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \operatorname{rank} L = m\}$ ; as is well known,  $\mathcal{L}_m \in \mathcal{M}_{m(n-m)}(\mathbb{End}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Let

$$\mathcal{P}_m:\mathcal{L}_m\to\mathbb{O}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

be such that  $\mathcal{P}_m(L)$  is orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $\operatorname{rng} L$ ; as is well known,  $\mathcal{P}_m$  is smooth and for each  $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$  there is constant  $C_{\mathcal{P}_m,R}$  such that

(1) 
$$||\mathcal{P}_m(L) - \mathcal{P}_m(M)|| \le C_{\mathcal{P}_m,R}||L - M||.$$

whenever  $L, M \in \mathcal{L}_m$  and  $\max\{||L||, ||M||\} \leq R$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{W}_m:\mathcal{L}_m\to\mathbb{R}^+$$

be such that  $\mathcal{W}_m(L) = |\bigwedge_m L|$  for  $L \in \mathcal{L}_m$ . It is elementary that  $\mathcal{W}_m$  is smooth and that

$$|\mathcal{W}_m(L) - 1| \le (2^m - 1)||L - \mathcal{P}_m(L)||$$

3.6. The second fundamental form of M. We define

$$B: M \to \bigodot^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

by requiring that, for any  $a \in M$ ,

$$B(a)(D\phi(\psi(a)(s), D\phi(\psi(a)(t)) = \nu(a)(D^2\phi(\psi(a))(s, t)))$$

where  $U, V, \psi, \psi$  are as in Definition 3.1 and  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and that

$$B(a)(u, v) \bullet w = 0$$
 if either  $u \in \mathbf{Nor}M, a \text{ or } v \in \mathbf{Nor}M, a \text{ or } w \in \mathbf{Tan}M, a$ .

One calls B the second fundamental form of M.

**Proposition 3.5.** Suppose  $a \in M$ ,  $u, v \in \text{Tan}M$ , a. Then

$$D\tau(a)(u)(v) = B(a)(u, v) = -D\nu(a)(u)(v).$$

*Proof.* Let  $U, V, \phi, \psi$  are as in Definition 3.1, let  $b = \psi(a)$ , note that  $\phi(b) = a$  and let  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be such that  $u = D\phi(b)(s)$  and  $v = D\phi(b)(t)$ . Then

(2) 
$$\nu(\phi(x))(D\phi(x)(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in U.$$

We differentiate (2) at x = b in the direction s and find that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D\nu(a)(D\phi(b)(s))(D\phi(b)(t)) + \nu(a)(D(D\phi)(b)(s,t)) \\ &= D\nu(a)(D\phi(b)(s))(D\phi(b)(t)) + \nu(a)(D^2\phi(b)(s,t)) \\ &= D\nu(a)(u)(v) + B(a)(u,v). \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$A: M \to \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^n, \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

by requiring that, for any  $a \in M$ ,

$$A(a)(u)(v) \bullet w = u \bullet B(a)(v, w)$$
 whenever  $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

It follows that

$$A(a)(u)(v) \bullet w = A(a)(u)(w) \bullet v \quad \text{if } u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We have

$$||B|| = \sup\{|B(a)(u,v) \bullet w| : a \in M \text{ and } u, v, w \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}$$

It is elementary that  $||B|| < \infty$  if M is compact and that ||B|| = 0 if and only if for each point  $a \in M$  there are an open neighborhood V of a and  $S \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $M \cap V = (a + S) \cap V$ . We let

$$R = \frac{1}{||B||} \in [0,\infty];$$

it is elementary that  $0 < R < \infty$  if M is compact.

Theorem 4.1.  $\mathbb{O}_m(\mathbb{R}^n) \in \mathcal{M}_{m(n-m)}(\mathbb{E}nd(\mathbb{R}^n)).$ 

*Proof.* Let

$$\mathcal{P}(l) = l \circ l - l \quad \text{for } l \in \operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Suppose  $p \in \mathbb{O}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose  $l \in \ker D\mathcal{P}(p)$ . We have

$$0 = D\mathcal{P}(p)(l) = l \circ p + l \circ l - l = l \circ p - p^{\perp} \circ l;$$

this implies that  $p \circ l \circ p = 0$  and  $p^{\perp} \circ l \circ p^{\perp} = 0$  so  $l = p \circ l \circ p^{\perp} + p^{\perp} \circ l \circ p$  and since  $l^* = l$  we have  $p^{\perp} \circ l \circ p = (p \circ l \circ p^{\perp})^*$ .

Suppose l, N are integers and  $1 \le l \le N$ . Suppose

$$\gamma: M \to \mathbb{O}_l(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and  $\gamma$  is smooth. We let

$$\mathbf{E}(\gamma) = \{(x, y) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^N : y \in \mathbf{rng}\,\gamma(x)\}$$

and for  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  we let

$$\mathbf{E}(\gamma, r) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}(\gamma) : |y| < r\}.$$

We let

 $\operatorname{Tan} M = \mathbf{E}(\tau)$  and we let  $\operatorname{Nor} M = \mathbf{E}(\nu)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** Suppose  $a \in M$  and  $u \in \operatorname{Tan} M, a$ . Then

$$D\gamma(a)(u) = (D\gamma(a)(u))^*$$

and

$$D\gamma(a)(u) = \gamma(a) \circ D\gamma(a)(u) \circ \gamma(a)^{\perp} + \gamma(a)^{\perp} \circ D\gamma(a)(u) \circ \gamma(a).$$

*Proof.* The first equation follows from  $\gamma(x)^* = \gamma(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ . Since  $\gamma(x) \circ \gamma(x) = \gamma(x)$  for  $x \in M$  we have

$$D\gamma(a)(u) \circ \gamma(a) + \gamma(a) \circ D\gamma(a)(u) = D\gamma(a)(u)$$

for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Premultiplying and postmultiplying this equation by  $\gamma(a)$  we infer that  $\gamma(a) \circ D\gamma(a)(u) \circ \gamma(a) = 0$ . Premultiplying and postmultiplying this equation by  $\gamma(a)^{\perp}$  we infer that  $\gamma(a)^{\perp} \circ D\gamma(a)(u) \circ \gamma(a)^{\perp} = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.2.**  $\mathbf{E}(\gamma) \in \mathcal{M}_{m+l}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ ). Moreover, for any  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}(\gamma)$  we have (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{TanE}(\gamma), (x,y) &= \{(u,v) \in \mathbf{Tan}M, x \times \mathbb{R}^N : \gamma(x)^{\perp}(v) = D\gamma(x)(u)(y)\} \\ &= \{(u,D\gamma(x)(u)(y)) : u \in \mathbf{Tan}M, x\} \oplus \{(0,v) : v \in \mathbf{rng}\,\gamma(x)\} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\operatorname{Tan} M \times \mathbb{R}^N, (x, y) = \operatorname{Tan} M, x \oplus \mathbb{R}^N \text{ for } (x, y) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Let  $F: M \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$  be such that

$$F(x,y) = \gamma(x)^{\perp}(y) \text{ for } (x,y) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then  $\mathbf{E}(\gamma) = \{(x, y) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^N : F(x, y) = 0\}.$ Suppose  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}(\gamma)$ . We have

$$DF(x,y)(u,0) = -D\gamma(x)(u)(y) \in \operatorname{rng} \gamma(x)^{\perp}$$
 for  $u \in \operatorname{Tan} M, x$ 

as well as

$$DF(x,y)(0,v) = \gamma(x)^{\perp}(v) \text{ for } v \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

it follows that

$$\operatorname{rng} DF(x,y) = \operatorname{rng} \gamma(x)^{\perp}; \qquad \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{rng} DF(x,y) = N - l;$$

and

$$\ker DF(x,y) = \{(u,v) \in \operatorname{Tan}M, x \times \mathbb{R}^N : \gamma(x)^{\perp}(v) = D\gamma(x)(u)(y)\}$$

The Theorem now follows from the Implicit Function Theorem.

**Corollary 4.1.** Suppose  $Z \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ ,  $x \in M$  and  $u \in \operatorname{Tan} M, x$ . Then

$$D\gamma(x)(u)(Z(x)) = \gamma^{\perp}(x)(DZ(x)(u)).$$

Proof.  $(u, DZ(x)(u)) \in \operatorname{TanE}(\gamma), (x, Z(x)).$ 

If  $Z \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$  we let

$$D_{\gamma}Z: M \to \operatorname{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^l)$$

be such that  $D_{\gamma}Z(x) = \gamma(x) \circ DZ(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Evidently,  $\operatorname{rng} D_{\gamma}Z(x) \subset \operatorname{rng} \gamma(x)$  and  $\operatorname{Nor} M, x \subset \ker D_{\gamma}Z(x)$ . The preceding Corollary implies that

(4) 
$$DZ(x) = D_{\gamma}Z(x) + D\gamma(x)(u)(Z(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

**Corollary 4.2.** If  $(x, y) \in \text{Tan}M$  then

 $\mathbf{TanTan}M, (x, y) = \{(u, B(x)(u, y) + v) : (u, v) \in \mathbf{Tan}M, x \times \mathbf{Tan}M, x\}$ 

and if  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{Nor}M$  then

$$\mathbf{TanNor}M, (x, y) = \{(u, -A(x)(y)(u) + v) : u \in \mathbf{Tan}M, x \times \mathbf{Nor}M, x\}.$$

**Theorem 4.3** (Weingarten Equations). Suppose  $a \in M$  and  $u \in \text{Tan}M$ , a. Then

(5) 
$$\tau(a)(DN(a)(u)) = -A(a)(N(a))(u) \quad \text{if } N \in \mathcal{E}(\nu)$$

and

(6) 
$$\nu(a)(DT(a)(u)) = B(a)(T(a), u) \quad \text{if } T \in \mathcal{E}(\tau).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $N \in \mathcal{E}(\nu)$ . Then  $\tau(x)(N(x)) = 0$  for  $x \in M$ ; differentiating at x = a we find that

$$\tau(a)(DN(a)(u)) = -D\tau(a)(u)(N(a)) = -A(a)(N(a))(u).$$

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{E}(\tau)$ . Then  $\nu(x)(T(x)) = 0$  for  $x \in M$ . Differentiating at x = a we find that

$$\nu(a)(DT(a)(u) = -D\nu(a)(T(a))(u) = D\tau(a)(T(a))(u) = B(a)(T(a), u).$$

4.1. The mean curvature normal of M. We let

$$H \in \mathcal{E}(\nu)$$

be such that

$$H(x) \bullet u = \operatorname{trace} A(x)(u) \quad \text{for } x \in M \text{ and } u \in \operatorname{Nor} M, x.$$

H is called the **mean curvature normal of** M.

#### **Proposition 4.2.** Suppose

(i) I is an open interval,  $0 \in I$ ,

$$h: I \times M \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

and h is smooth;

- (ii) for each  $t \in I$ ,  $M_t = h_t[M] \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $h_t$  carries M diffeomorphically onto  $M_t$ ;
- (iii) for each  $t \in I$ ,  $X_t : M_t \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is such that

$$X_t(y) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} h_{t+\epsilon}(h_t^{-1}(y))|_{\epsilon=0}$$

for  $y \in M_t$ .

Then  $X_t$  is smooth and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H}^m(h_t[M]) = \int X_t \bullet H_t \, d||M_t||$$

for each  $t \in I$ .

For the proof see the literature.

5. Reach.

Suppose  $M \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Definition 5.1. We let

$$\Xi: \mathbf{Nor}M \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

be such that  $\Xi(x,y) = x + y$  for  $(x,y) \in \mathbf{Nor}M$  and we let

$$\mathbf{r} = \sup\left(\{0\} \cup \{r \in (0,\infty) : \Xi | \mathbf{E}(\nu, r) \text{ is univalent.}\}\right)$$

**Proposition 5.1.**  $\Xi$  is smooth.

*Proof.*  $\Xi$  is the restriction to  $\mathbf{E}(\nu)$  of the smooth map

$$\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (x, y) \mapsto x + y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Proposition 5.2.** Suppose  $0 < r < \infty$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $r < \mathbf{r}$ :
- (ii)  $M \cap \mathbf{U}(a+v,|v|) = \{a\}$  whenever  $(a,v) \in \mathbf{Nor}M$  and 0 < |v| < r; (iii)  $|\nu(a)(x-a)| \le \frac{|x-a|^2}{2r}$  whenever  $a, x \in M$ .

*Proof.* Suppose (i) holds,  $a \in M$  and  $u \in NorM, a \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Let  $I = \{s \in (0, r) :$  $a + su \notin M$ . Suppose I were not empty. Then  $0 \leq \inf I < r$ . We have  $\inf I > 0$ since  $u \notin \operatorname{Tan} M$ , a. Let  $t = \inf I/2$ . Then  $\Xi(a, tu) = a + tu = \Xi(a + 2tu, -tu)$  which is impossible. Suppose  $s \in I$  and  $x \in M$  is such that  $\operatorname{dist}(a+su, M) = |(a+su)-x|$ . Then  $(a + su) - x \in \mathbf{Nor}M$ ,  $x \text{ so } \Xi(x, a + su) = \Xi(a, su)$  so, as  $s \leq r \leq \mathbf{r}$  we have  $M \cap \mathbf{U}(a + su, s, =) \{a\}$  which implies that  $\mathbf{U}(a + ru, r, \cap)M = \emptyset$ . Thus (ii) holds.

It is a simple matter to verify that (ii) implies (i) and that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. 

# Proposition 5.3. $\mathbf{r} \leq \frac{1}{||B||}$

*Proof.* Suppose  $a \in M$ . Suppose  $U, V, \phi, \psi$  are as in Definition 3.1 and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . We have

$$B(a)(D\phi(0)(u), D\phi(0)(u)) = D^2\phi(0)(u, u)$$

as well as, for t sufficiently small,

$$\phi(tu) = \phi(0) + tD\phi(0)(u) + \frac{t^2}{2}D^2\phi(0)(u, u) + \rho(t)$$

where  $\rho(t)/t^2 \to 0$  as  $t \to 0$ . So

$$\frac{\nu(a)(\phi(tu) - \phi(0))}{t^2} = \frac{1}{2}\nu(a)(D^2\phi(0)(u, u)) + \frac{\nu(a)(\rho(t))}{t^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(B(a)(D\phi(0)(u), D\phi(0)(u) + \frac{\nu(a)(\rho(t))}{t^2}))$$

But

$$\frac{|\nu(a)(\phi(tu) - \phi(0))|}{t^2} \le \frac{|\phi(tu) - \phi(0)|^2}{2rt^2} \to \frac{|D\phi(0)(u)|^2}{2r} \quad \text{as } t \to 0.$$

Thus  $|B(a)(D\phi(0)(u), D\phi(0)(u)| \leq \frac{|D\phi(0)|}{r}$ 

**Theorem 5.1.** Suppose  $(a, b) \in \mathbf{Nor}M$  and  $(u, v) \in \mathbf{Tan}M, a \times \mathbf{Nor}M, a$ . Then

(7) 
$$D\Xi(a,b)(u - A(a)(b)(u), v) = u - A(a)(b)(u) + v$$

and

(8) 
$$|D\Xi(a,b)(u-A(a)(b)(u),v)|^2 = |u-A(a)(b)(u)|^2 + |v|^2.$$

In particular, if |b| < 1/||B||, then

(9) 
$$|D\Xi(a,b)(u - A(a)(b)(u), v)| \ge |u - A(a)(b)(u)| \ge 1 - ||B|| |v| > 0$$

and  $D\Xi(a, b)$  carries **TanNor**M, (a, b) isomorphically onto  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.*  $(u - A(a)(b)(u), v) \in \text{TanNor}M, a, (a, b)$  by Corollary 4.2.

Let F(x, y) = x + y for  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\Xi = F|\mathbf{Nor}M$ , (7) follows from Corollary 4.2. (8) holds since  $u - A(a)(b)(u)(v) \in \mathbf{Tan}M$ , a and  $v \in \mathbf{Nor}M$ , a. If |b| < 1/||B|| then |u - A(a)(b)(u)| > 1 - ||B|| |v| and (9) follows from (8). (9) implies  $D\Xi(a, b)|\mathbf{TanNor}M$ , (a, b) is univalent. This and the fact that  $\mathbf{Nor}M \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  implies the final conclusion.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 5.2.** For  $r \in (0, \infty]$  we set

$$N(r) = \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \operatorname{dist}(z, M) < r \}.$$

Obviously, N(r) is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Suppose  $\mathbf{r} > 0$ . Then  $\Xi$  carries  $\mathbf{E}(\nu, \mathbf{r})$  diffeomorphically onto  $N(\mathbf{r})$ .

*Proof.*  $\Xi | \mathbf{E}(\nu, \mathbf{r})$  is univalent by the definition of  $\mathbf{r}$ . If  $(a, b) \in \mathbf{E}(\nu, \mathbf{r})$  then  $D\Xi(a, b)$  carries **TanNor**M, (a, b) isomorphically onto **Tan** $N(\mathbf{r}), a + b = \mathbb{R}^n$  by the preceding Theorem.

**Proposition 5.4.**  $\mathbf{r} = \infty$  if and only if M is a subset of some member of  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathbf{r} = \infty$  and  $a \in M$ . It follows from (ii) of the preceding Proposition that  $M \subset \operatorname{Tan} M, a$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** Suppose  $M \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . If  $a \in M$  then A(a)(u) = u for  $u \in \operatorname{Tan} M, a$ ; in particular,  $||B|| \ge 1$  and  $\mathbf{r} \le 1$ .

*Proof.* Let X(x) = x for  $x \in M$ . Then  $X \in \mathcal{E}(\nu)$  and, if  $u \in \operatorname{Tan} M, a, DX(a)(u) = u$  so so A(a)(u) = u by a (5); also, if |u| = 1, then  $||B|| \ge ||A(a)(u)|| = 1$ .  $\Box$ 

### Lemma 5.1. Suppose

- (i)  $Y_i$ , i = 1, 2, is a Euclidean space;
- (ii)  $N_i \in \mathcal{M}_m(Y_i)$  for i = 1, 2;
- (iii) K is a compact subset of  $N_1$ ;  $F : N_1 \to N_2$  is smooth; F|K is univalent and, for each  $x \in K$ , DF(x) carries  $\operatorname{Tan} N_1, x$  isomorphically onto  $\operatorname{Tan} N_2, F(x)$ ;
- (iv)  $U_{\epsilon}$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < \infty$ , is an open subset of  $N_1$  such that
  - (a) if  $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \infty$  then  $U_{\epsilon_1} \subset U_{\epsilon_2}$ ;
  - (b) if V is an open subset of  $N_1$  and  $K \subset V$  then  $U_{\epsilon} \subset V$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ; (c)  $K = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} U_{\epsilon}$ .

Then

(v) there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that DF(x) carries  $\operatorname{Tan} N_1, x$  isomorphically onto  $\operatorname{Tan} N_2, F(x)$  for  $x \in U_{\epsilon}$  and such that  $F|U_{\epsilon}$  is univalent;

(vi) if  $\epsilon$  is as in (v) then  $F[U_{\epsilon}]$  is an open neighborhood in  $N_2$  of F[K] and F carries  $U_{\epsilon}$  diffeomorphically onto  $F[U_{\epsilon}]$ .

**Remark 5.1.** What follows is a paraphrase of the argument on page 116 of [MS].

*Proof.* Let  $V_1$  be the set of  $x \in N_1$  such that DF(x) carries  $\operatorname{Tan} N_1, x$  isomorphically onto  $\operatorname{Tan} N_2, F(x)$ . Then  $V_1$  is an open subset of  $N_1$  so there is by (iii)(b)  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $U_{\epsilon} \subset V_1$ .

Suppose (v) is false. Let  $N_*$  be a positive integer such that the closure of K of  $U_{1/N_*}$  in  $N_1$  is compact. For each integer N such that  $N \ge N_*$  there are  $a_N, b_N \in U_{1/N}$  such that  $a_N \ne b_N$  and  $F(a_N) = F(b_N)$ . Since K is compact there are  $a_*$  and  $b_*$  in K which are accumulation points of  $\{a_N : N = 1, 2, \ldots\}$  and  $\{b_N : N = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ , respectively. Then  $F(a_*) = F(b_*)$  which implies  $\lim_{N\to\infty} |a_N - b_N| = 0$ . Thus F would not be univalent in any open neighborhood of  $a_*$ . But as  $DF(a_*)$  carries  $\operatorname{Tan} N_1, a_*$  isomorphically onto  $\operatorname{Tan} N_2, F(a_*), F$  must be univalent in some open neighborhood of  $a_*$ .

(vi) is an elementary consequence of (v) and the smoothness of F.

**Corollary 5.1.** Suppose  $M \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and M is compact. Then  $\mathbf{r} > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$Y_1 = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \quad Y_2 = \mathbb{R}^n; \quad N_1 = \mathbf{Nor}M; \quad N_2 = \mathbb{R}^n;$$

let  $F = \Xi$  and, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $U_{\epsilon} = \mathbf{E}(\gamma, \epsilon)$ ; and let  $K = \{(x, 0) : x \in M\} \subset N_1$ . Then (i)-(iv) of Lemma 5.1 hold. So if  $\epsilon$  is as in Lemma 5.1 then **reach**  $M \ge \epsilon$ .  $\Box$ 

5.1. Suppose M is compact. Since  $\Xi$  carries  $\mathbf{E}(\nu, \mathbf{r})$  diffeomorphically onto  $N(\mathbf{r})$  we may define smooth functions

$$\xi: N(\mathbf{r}) \to \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \sigma: N(\mathbf{r}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

by requiring that

$$(\xi, \sigma) = (\Xi | \mathbf{E}(\nu, \mathbf{r})^{-1} : N(\mathbf{r}) \to \mathbf{E}(\nu, \mathbf{r}).$$

Note that

(10) 
$$z = \Xi(\xi(z), \sigma(z)) = \xi(z) + \sigma(z) \quad \text{for } z \in N(\mathbf{r})$$

**Theorem 5.3.** Suppose  $b \in N(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $a = \xi(b)$  and  $c = \sigma(b)$ . Then

- (i)  $a \in M$ ,  $c \in \mathbf{Nor}M$ , a, b = a + c and  $|c| = \mathbf{dist}(b, M)$ ;
- (ii)  $D\xi(b)(u A(a)(c)(u)) = u$  for  $u \in \operatorname{Tan} M$ , a and ker  $D\xi(b) = \operatorname{Nor} M$ , a;
- (iii)  $D\sigma(b)(u A(a)(c)(u)) = -A(a)(c)(u)$  for  $u \in \operatorname{Tan} M, a$  and  $D\sigma(b)(v) = v$ for  $v \in \operatorname{Nor} M, b$ ;
- (iv)  $||D\xi(b) \tau(a)|| \le \frac{||B|| |c|}{1 ||B|| |c|} \le \frac{\mathbf{r}|c|}{\mathbf{r} |c|}$

Remark 5.2. Keep in mind that

$$\mathbf{Tan}M, a \ni u \mapsto u - A(a)(c)(u) \in \mathbf{Tan}M, a$$

is a linear isomorphism since ||B|| |c| < 1.

*Proof.* We have  $\xi \circ \Xi(x, y) = x$  and  $\sigma \circ \Xi(x, y) = y$  for  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}(\nu, \mathbf{r})$ . (i)-(iii) now follow from (7) and the Chain Rule.

Let  $l \in \mathbb{E}nd(\mathbf{Tan}M, a)$  and  $L \in \mathbb{L}in(\mathbf{Tan}M, a, \mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that l(u) = A(a)(c)(u)and L(u) = u - l(u) for  $u \in \mathbf{Tan}M, a$ . Then  $||l|| \le ||B|| |c| < 1$  and  $||L|| \ge 1 - ||l||$  so L is invertible and  $||L^{-1}|| \leq (1 - ||l||)^{-1}$ . Let *i* be the identity map of **Tan**M, a. (ii) implies that  $D\xi(b) \circ L = i$  so  $D\xi_b|$ **Tan** $M, a = L^{-1}$  which implies that

$$||D\xi(b)|\mathbf{Tan}M, a-i|| = ||L^{-1} - i|| \le ||L^{-1}|| \, ||i - L|| \le \frac{||l||}{1 - ||l||} \le \frac{||B|| \, |c|}{1 - ||B|| \, |c|}.$$

(iv) follows since (ii) and (iii) imply that  $Nor M, a \subset \ker D\xi(b)$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** Suppose  $z \in N(\mathbf{r})$ . Then

(11) 
$$D\xi(\xi(z)) = \tau(\xi(z))$$

and

(12) 
$$||D\xi(z) - \tau(\xi(z))|| \le \chi^2(\xi) \operatorname{dist}(z, M).$$

*Proof.* (11) follows from (ii) of Theorem 5.3. Let  $C(\epsilon) = (1 - \epsilon)z + \epsilon\xi(z)$  for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Since  $\mathbf{U}(z, \mathbf{dist}z, M) \subset N(r) = \mathbf{dmn}\,\xi$  we find that  $\mathbf{rng}\,C \subset \mathbf{dmn}\,\xi$  so that

$$D\xi(z) - \tau(\xi(z)) = D\xi(z) - D\xi(\xi(z)) = \int_0^1 D(D\xi)(C(\epsilon))(C'(\epsilon)) \, d\epsilon$$

so, as  $C'(\epsilon) = z - \xi(z)$  for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , (12) holds.

**Theorem 5.5.** Suppose f is a smooth function on M with values in a normed space Y. Then

$$\operatorname{Lip} f \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}\right) \chi^{[1]}(f).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $|a - b| \le 2\lambda \mathbf{r}$ . Let C(t) = (1 - t)a + tb for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Then **dist**  $(C(t), M) < \lambda \mathbf{r}$  for  $t \in (0, 1)$  so **rng**  $C \subset$  **dmn**  $\xi$  and, by Theorem 5.3(ii),

$$||D\xi(C(t))|| \le \frac{1}{1 - ||B|| \operatorname{dist}(C(t), M)} \le \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\frac{d}{dt}(f \circ \xi \circ C)(t) \le \chi^{1}(f) ||D\xi(C(t))|| |C'(t)| \le \frac{\chi^{1}(f)}{1-\lambda} |a-b|.$$

Integrating this inequality from 0 to 1 we infer that

$$|f(a) - f(b)| \le \frac{\chi^1(f)}{1 - \lambda} |a - b|.$$

On the other hand, if  $|a - b| > 2\lambda \mathbf{r}$  then

$$|f(a) - f(b)| \le \operatorname{diam\, rng} f \le 2\chi^0(f) < \frac{\chi^0(f)}{\lambda \mathbf{r}} |a - b|.$$

Thus

$$|f(a) - f(b)| \le \chi^{[1]} \max\left\{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \frac{1}{\lambda \mathbf{r}}\right\} |a - b|$$

so we should set  $\lambda = 1/(1 + \mathbf{r})$ .

5.2.  $\theta$  and  $\iota.$  Let

$$\theta: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{O}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \iota: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{O}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

be the tangent map of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and normal map of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , respectively.

**Corollary 5.2.** Suppose  $(x, v) \in \mathbf{E}(\theta)$ . Then

$$D\iota(x)(u) =$$
  

$$\mathbf{TanE}(\theta), (x, v) = \{(u, w) \in \mathbf{TanS}^{n-1}, x \times \mathbb{R}^n : w \bullet x = -u \bullet v\}.$$

 $\mathbf{D}$ 

6. 
$$\eta$$
 and  $\mathbf{o}$ .

We define

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}: \mathbb{R}^n \sim \{0\} \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \text{ and } \mathbf{o}: \mathbb{R}^n \sim \{0\} \to \mathbb{G}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \sim \{0\}$  by letting  $\boldsymbol{\eta}(x) = |x|^{-1}x$  and letting  $\mathbf{o}(x)$  be orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $\operatorname{Tan}\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, x = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n : w \bullet x = 0\}.$ 

**Proposition 6.1.** Suppose  $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \sim \{0\}$ . Then

(13) 
$$|\boldsymbol{\eta}(x) - \boldsymbol{\eta}(y)| \le \frac{|x - y|}{\min\{|x|, |y|\}}$$

*Proof.* Let  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $u, v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be such that x = su and y = tv. Since both sides of (13) are symmetric in x and y we may suppose that  $0 < s = |x| \le |y| = t$ . Then (13) amounts to

$$|u-v| \le \frac{|su-tv|}{s} = |u-\lambda v|$$

where we have set  $\lambda = t/s \ge 1$ . We have  $|u - \lambda v| - |u - v| = 1 - 2\lambda u \bullet v + \lambda^2 - (1 - 2u \bullet v + 1) = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 1 - 2u \bullet v) \ge 0.$ 

**Proposition 6.2.** For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \sim \{0\}$  we have

$$D\boldsymbol{\eta}(x) = \frac{\mathbf{o}(x)}{|x|};$$

in particular,

$$D\boldsymbol{\eta}(x)(w) = |x|^{-3}(|x|^2w - (w \bullet x)x) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$D\boldsymbol{\eta}(x)(w) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \frac{x + \epsilon w}{|x + \epsilon w|}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} = \frac{w}{|x|} - \frac{1}{|x|^2} \left( w \bullet \frac{x}{|x|} \right) x = |x|^{-3} (|x|^2 w - (w \bullet x)x).$$

**Proposition 6.3.** Suppose  $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $v \bullet x = 0$ . Then  $|\boldsymbol{\eta}(x+v) - x| \leq |v|.$ 

*Proof.* We have

$$|x - \eta(x + v)|^2 = 2\left(1 - x \bullet \left(\frac{x + v}{|x + v|}\right)\right) = 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |v|^2}}\right) \le |v|^2.$$

7. f.

We let

$$f: \mathbf{E}(\nu, \mathbf{r}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

be such that

$$f(x,y) = (1+y \bullet x)\eta(x+y)$$
 for  $(x,y) \in \mathbf{E}(\nu,\mathbf{r})$ .

We let

$$v: N(\mathbf{r}) \to \mathbb{R}$$

be such that  $v(z) = z \bullet \xi(z)$  for  $z \in N(\mathbf{r})$ .

**Remark 7.1.** On page 221 of [AA81] f there is based on the exponential map of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  applied to  $\Xi | \mathbf{E}(\gamma)$ . The f here is as in [FN] which I believe is easier to work with. The modifications to required to accomodate this f in [AA81] are straightforward.

### **Proposition 7.1.** v > 0.

*Proof.* Suppose  $z \in N(\mathbf{r})$  and  $x = \xi(z)$ . Then

$$z \bullet \xi(z) = (x + (z - x)) \bullet x = 1 + (z - x) \bullet x \ge 1 - |z - x| > 1 - \mathbf{r} \ge 0.$$

We define the smooth function

$$\rho: N(\mathbf{r}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

by setting

$$\rho(z) = \frac{z}{\upsilon(z)} - \xi(z) \quad \text{for } z \in N(\mathbf{r}).$$

**Theorem 7.1.** f is a diffeomorphism with range an open subset V of  $N(\mathbf{r})$  and with inverse  $(\xi, \rho)|V$ . In particular, (14)

and

(15) 
$$D\rho(f(a,b))(Df(a,b)(u-A(a)(b)(u),v) = DQ(a,b)(u-A(a)(b)(u),v) = v$$

for any  $(a,b) \in \mathbf{E}(\nu,\mathbf{r})$  and  $(u,v) \in \mathbf{Tan}M, a \times \mathbf{Nor}M, a$  and where

$$P(a,b) = a$$
 and  $Q(a,b) = b$  for  $(a,b) \in \mathbf{E}(\nu,\mathbf{r})$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}(\nu, \mathbf{r})$  and z = f(x, y). Then

- (i)  $1 + y \bullet x > 1 \mathbf{r} \ge 0$  and  $|z| = 1 + y \bullet x$ ;
- (ii)  $z x \in \mathbf{Nor}M, x;$
- (iii)  $z \in \mathbf{dmn} \xi$  and  $x = \xi(z) = x$ ;
- (iv)  $y = \rho(z)$ .

 $y \bullet x \le |y| < \mathbf{r}$  and  $|z| = 1 + y \bullet x$  so (i) holds.

 $\{x, y\} \subset \mathbf{Nor}M, x \text{ so (ii) holds.}$ 

Let  $\alpha(r) = (1+r)^2 - 2\frac{(1+r)^2}{1-r} + 1$  for  $r \in [0,1)$ . Then  $(1-r)(r^2 - \alpha(r)) = 2(2|y|^2 + |y| + 1 > 0$  so  $\alpha(|y|) < |y|^2 < \mathbf{r}^2$ . We infer from  $1 + y \bullet x \le 1 + |y|$  and |x-y| > 1 - |y| that

$$\begin{aligned} |z - x|^2 &= \left| (1 + y \bullet x)^2 - 2(1 + y \bullet x) \frac{x + y}{|x + y|} \bullet x + 1 \right|^2 \\ &= (1 + |y|)^2 - 2\frac{(1 + |y|)^2}{1 - |y|} + 1 \\ &= \alpha(|y|) \\ &< \mathbf{r}^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\xi(z) = x$  and (iii) holds.

(i) implies that

$$z = |z| \frac{x+y}{|x+y|};$$

Taking the inner product with  $\xi(z) = x$  we find that

$$z \bullet \xi(z) = \frac{1+y \bullet x}{|x+y|} = \frac{|z|}{|x+y|}$$

so that  $|x+y| = \frac{|z|}{z \bullet \xi(z)}$  and

$$z = |z| \frac{z \bullet \xi(z)}{|z|} (x+y) = (z \bullet \xi(z))(\xi(z)+y)$$

so (iv) holds.

We have shown that  $(\xi, \rho) \circ f(x, y) = (x, y)$  for  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}(\nu, \mathbf{r})$ . Thus f is univalent and, by the Chain Rule, Df(x, y) carries  $\mathbf{TanE}(\nu, \mathbf{r}), (x, y)$  isomorphically onto  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so f is an open mapping. The Theorem follows.

7.1.  $\gamma.$  Let

$$\gamma(x) = \nu(x) - \iota(x) \quad \text{for } x \in M;$$

evidently,  $\gamma : M \to \mathbb{O}_{n-m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\gamma$  is smooth and  $\operatorname{rng} \gamma(x) = \operatorname{Nor} M, x \cap \operatorname{Tan} \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, x$  for any  $x \in M$ .

**Proposition 7.2.** Suppose  $a \in M$ ,  $u \in \text{Tan}M$ , a and  $y \in \text{Nor}M$ , a. Then

(16) 
$$D\gamma(a)(u)(y) = -A(a)(y)(u) - \begin{cases} u & \text{if } y = a, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in \operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} \gamma(a) \end{cases}$$

and

(17) 
$$DW(a) = D_{\gamma}W(a) - A(a)(W(a)) \quad \text{for } W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma).$$

Moreover,

(18) 
$$\mathbf{TanE}(\gamma), (a,v) = \{(u, A(a)(a)(u) : u \in \mathbf{Tan}M, a\} \oplus \{(0,w) : w \in \mathbf{rng}\,\gamma(a)\}$$

Proof. We have

$$\gamma(x)(y) = \nu(x)(y) - (y \bullet x)x \text{ for } x \in M \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

For  $u \in \mathbf{Tan}M, a$  we have

$$D\gamma(a)(u)(y) = -A(a)(y)(u) - (y \bullet u)a - (y \bullet a)u.$$

Since

$$(y \bullet u)a + (y \bullet a)u = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } y = a, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in \mathbf{rng} \gamma(a) \end{cases}$$

(4) implies (16). (18) now follows from (3).

The following Theorem is a Corollary of Theorem 7.1.

**Theorem 7.2.** f carries  $\mathbf{E}(\gamma, \mathbf{r})$  diffeomorphically onto  $V \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

**Proposition 7.3.** Suppose  $x \in M$ . Then

$$D\rho(x)(w) = \gamma(x)(w)$$
 whenever  $w \in \operatorname{Nor} M, x \cap \operatorname{Tan} \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, x$ .

*Proof.* We have  $D\xi(x) = \tau(x)$ .

Suppose  $w \in \mathbf{Nor}M, x \cap \mathbf{Tan}\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, x$ . Then

$$Dv(x)(w) = w \bullet x + x \bullet D\xi(x)(w) = 0.$$

so, as v(x) = 1,

$$D\rho(x)(w) = w - D\xi(x)(w) = \nu(x)(w) = \gamma(x)(w)$$

7.2. F. Whenever  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma, \mathbf{r})$  we define

$$F(W): M \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$$

by letting  $F(W) = f \circ (i_M, W)$ ; it follows from Theorem 7.1 that F(W) carries M diffeomorphically onto its range; in particular,

(19)  $\operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} F(W) \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \xi \circ F = i_M \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \circ F(W) = W.$ 

8. Families of submanifolds of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

We suppose throughout this section that  $\mathcal{J}$  is a Euclidean space. For each  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and  $s \in \mathcal{J}$  we let

 $\mathcal{J}(\epsilon) = \{t \in \mathcal{J} : |t| < \epsilon\} \text{ and we let } \mathcal{J}(s,\epsilon) = \{t \in \mathcal{J} : |s-t| < \epsilon\}.$ 

We suppose

(i) S is a open subset of  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $0 \in S$ ; (II)  $M \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}^n), M \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and M is compact; (III)  $\mathbf{z} : S \times M \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  is smooth; (IV)  $\mathbf{z}_s$  is a diffeomorphism for each  $s \in S$ ; (IV)  $\mathbf{z}_0(p) = p$  for  $p \in M$ . Let

be the reach of M.

Let

$$M_s = \mathbf{z}_s[M]$$
 for each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ ;

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

it follows that  $M_s \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $M_s$  is compact and  $M_s \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . We let

$$T: \mathcal{S} \times M \to \mathcal{L}_m$$

be such that  $T(s,p) = \mathcal{P}_m(D\mathbf{z}_s(p))$  for  $(s,p) \in \mathcal{S} \times M$ . For each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  we let

 $\tau_s, \nu_s, B_s, A_s$  be as in Section 3;

$$\mathbf{r}_s, \ \Xi_s, \ N_s(r), \ r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ \xi_s, \ \sigma_s$$
 be as in Section 5;  
 $\upsilon_s, \ \rho_s, \ f_s, \ V_s, F_s$  be as in Section 7

with M replaced by  $M_s$ .

We have

$$T(s,p) = \tau_s(\mathbf{z}_s(p)) \text{ for } (s,p) \in \mathbf{S} \times M.$$

8.1.  $\epsilon_1$ . We fix

$$\epsilon_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

such that the closure of  $\mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$  is a compact subset of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

For each  $(j,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  we let

(20) 
$$C_{j,k} = \chi^{j,k}(\mathbf{z}|(\mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \times M)) \text{ for any } (j,k) \in \mathbb{N}.$$

and note that  $C_{j,k} < \infty$ .

**Theorem 8.1.** There is a constant  $C_T$  such that (21)  $||T(s,p) - T(t,q)|| \leq C_T(\epsilon)(|s-t|+|p-q|)$  whenever  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$  and  $p, q \in M$ *Proof.* Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$  and  $p, q \in M$ . By (20) we have

$$T(s,p) - T(t,p) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\lambda} T((1-\lambda)t + \lambda s, p) \, d\lambda = \int_0^1 DT((1-\lambda)t + \lambda s, p)(s-t,0) \, d\lambda$$

and, by Theorem 5.5,

$$||T(t,p) - T(t,q)|| \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}\right) \chi^{[1]}(T_t)|p-q|.$$

Let

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}} = \inf\{\mathbf{r}_s : s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)\} \text{ and let } \underline{\mathbf{b}} = \sup\{||B_s|| : s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)\}.$$

Theorem 8.2. We have

$$0 < \underline{\mathbf{r}} \le 1$$
 and  $\underline{\mathbf{b}} \le \frac{1}{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$ . Let

$$Y_1 = (\mathcal{J} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n) \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \quad Y_2 = \mathcal{J} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \quad N_1 = \mathbf{E}(T), \quad N_2 = \mathcal{J} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n,$$

let  $F: N_1 \to N_2$  be such that  $F((s, p), v) = \mathbf{z}(s, p) + v$  for  $((s, p), v) \in \mathbf{E}(T)$ . Let  $K = \{((s, p), 0) : (s, p) \in \mathcal{H} \times M\}$  and for each  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$  let

$$U_{\epsilon} = \{ ((s, p), v) \in \mathbf{E}(T) : \mathbf{dist} (s, K) < \epsilon \text{ and } |v| < \epsilon \}.$$

Keeping in mind Theorem 4.2 we find that (i)-(iv) of Lemma 5.1 hold. Let  $\epsilon_*$  be as in (v) of Lemma 5.1. Then  $\epsilon_* \leq \mathbf{r}_s$  for any  $s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$ .

 $\underline{\mathbf{b}} \le 1/\underline{\mathbf{r}} \text{ since } ||B_s||\mathbf{r}_s \le 1 \text{ for } s \in \mathcal{J}.$ 

For each  $(s,t) \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$  we let

$$\mathbf{c}_{s,t}:[0,1]\to\mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$$

be such that

$$\mathbf{c}_{s,t}(\lambda) = t + \lambda(s-t)$$
 for  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ .

**Proposition 8.1.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$ . Then

$$|\mathbf{z}_s(p) - \mathbf{z}_t(p)| \le C_{1,0}|s - t| \quad for \ any \ p \in M.$$

Moreover,

$$M_t \subset N_s(r)$$
 if  $C_{1,0}|s-t| < r \leq \underline{\mathbf{r}}$ 

*Proof.* For any  $p \in M$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbf{z}_{s}(p) - \mathbf{z}_{t}(p) \right| \left| \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{c}_{s,t}(\lambda), p) \, d\lambda \right| &= \left| \int_{0}^{1} D\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{c}_{s,t}(\lambda), p)(s - t, 0) \, d\lambda \right| \leq C_{1,0} |s - t|. \end{aligned}$$
Suppose  $z \in M_{t}$ . Let  $p \in M$  be such that  $\mathbf{z}_{t}(p) = z$ . Then
 $\operatorname{dist}(z, M_{s}) \leq |\mathbf{z}_{t}(p) - \mathbf{z}_{s}(p)| \leq C_{1,0} |s - t|. \end{aligned}$ 

Let

$$\delta_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

be such that  $C_{1,0}\delta_1 < \underline{\mathbf{r}}$ .

**Corollary 8.1.** If  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$  and  $|s - t| < \delta_1$  then

 $M_t \subset N_s(\underline{\mathbf{r}}).$ 

We define

$$\mu: \mathcal{S} \times M \to \mathbb{R}^+$$

by letting

$$\mu(s,p) = \sup\{\lambda \in [0,\infty) : |D\mathbf{z}_s(p)(u)| \ge \lambda |u| \text{ for } u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap \mathbf{Tan}M, p\}$$

We let

$$\langle \mu \rangle = \inf \{ \mu(s, p) : (s, p) \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \times M \}$$

We leave as an elementary exercise for the reader to prove the following Proposition.

**Proposition 8.2.**  $\mu$  is positive and continuous and  $\langle \mu \rangle$  is positive.

Proposition 8.3. There is a constant

 $C_{\mu}$ 

such that if  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$  and  $|s - t| < \delta_1$  then  $M_t \subset N_s(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$  and  $||T(t, p) - \tau_s(\xi_s(\mathbf{z}_t(p)))|| \le C_\mu |s - t|$  for  $p \in M$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \cap \mathcal{J}(\delta_1)$ . From Corollary 8.1 we infer that

 $M_t \subset N_s(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \subset \mathbf{dmn}\,\xi_s.$ 

Suppose  $p \in M$ . Then

$$||D\mathbf{z}_s^{-1}(p)|| \le \frac{1}{\mu(s,p)} \le \frac{1}{\langle \mu \rangle}.$$

Let  $q \in M$  be such that  $\xi_s(\mathbf{z}_t(p)) = \mathbf{z}_s(q)$ . If

$$c = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\underline{\mu}}\right)$$

we infer from Theorem 5.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} p-q| &= |\mathbf{z}_s^{-1}(\mathbf{z}_s(p)) - \mathbf{z}_s^{-1}(\mathbf{z}_s(q))| \\ &\leq \operatorname{Lip}\left(\mathbf{z}_s^{-1}\right) |\mathbf{z}_s(p) - \mathbf{z}_s(q)| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}_s}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\underline{\mu}}\right) |\mathbf{z}_s(p) - \mathbf{z}_s(q)| \\ &\leq c |\mathbf{z}_s(p) - \mathbf{z}_s(q)| \\ &\leq c (|\mathbf{z}_s(p) - \mathbf{z}_t(p)| + |\mathbf{z}_t(p) - \xi_s(\mathbf{z}_t(p))| \\ &\leq 2c |\mathbf{z}_s(p) - \mathbf{z}_t(p)| \\ &\leq 2c C_{1,0} |s - t|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$||T(t,p) - \tau_s(\xi_s(\mathbf{z}_t(p)))|| = ||T(t,p) - T(s,q)|| \\\leq ||T(s,p) - T(t,p)|| + ||T(t,p) - T(t,q)|| \\\leq C_{1,0}\chi^1(T,\mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \times M)|s - t| + C_T|p - q| \\\leq C_\mu|s - t|$$

where

$$C_{\mu} = \chi^1(T, \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \times M) |s - t| + C_T(2cC_{1,0}).$$

**Theorem 8.3.** Suppose  $s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$ . There is  $\delta \in (0, \epsilon_1 - |s|]$  such that if  $t \in \mathcal{J}(s, \delta)$  then  $t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$ ,  $M_t \subset N_s(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$  and

 $\Lambda_t$  carries  $M_t$  diffeomorphically onto  $M_s$ 

where

$$\Lambda: \mathcal{J}(s,\delta) \times M \to M$$

is such that

$$\Lambda(t,q) = \mathbf{z}_s^{-1} \circ \xi_s \circ \mathbf{z}_t(q) \quad for \ (t,q) \in \mathcal{J}(s,\delta) \times M$$

*Proof.* That  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$  and  $|s-t| < \delta_1$ . Then  $M_t \subset N_s(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$  by Corollary 8.1.

For each  $(t, x) \in \mathcal{J}(s, \delta_1) \times M_t$  we let  $\mathcal{N}(t, x) = \{z \in M_t : \xi_s(z) = x\}$  and we let N(t, x) equal the cardinality of  $\mathcal{N}(t, x)$ .

We choose  $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1]$  such that  $C_{\mu}\delta_2 < 1$  where  $C_{\mu}$  is as in Proposition 8.3. Suppose  $p \in M$ . By Proposition 8.3 we have

$$||T(t,p) - \tau_s(\xi_s(\mathbf{z}_t(p)))|| < 1 \quad \text{if } t \in \mathcal{J}(s,\delta_2).$$

It follows from (ii) of Theorem 5.3 that  $\operatorname{Tan} M_t, \mathbf{z}_t(p)$  meets  $\ker D\xi_s(\mathbf{z}_t(p))$  transversely so that

 $D\xi_s(\mathbf{z}_t(p))$  carries  $\mathbf{Tan}M_t, \mathbf{z}_t(p)$  isomorphically onto  $\mathbf{Tan}M_s, \xi_s(\mathbf{z}_t)(q)$ .

This implies that there is an open neighborhood of  $\mathbf{z}_t(p)$  such that  $\mathbf{z}_s$  carries  $U \cap M_t$  diffeomorphically onto an open neighborhood of  $\mathbf{z}_s(\mathbf{z}_t(p))$  in  $M_s$ . Since  $M_t$  is compact we find that if  $x \in \mathbf{rng} \xi_s | M_t$  then  $N_t(x)$  is finite and there are an open neighborhood U of x in  $M_s$  and a map  $\mathcal{U}$  with domain  $\mathcal{N}_t(x)$  such that  $\mathcal{U}(z)$  is an open neighborhood of z in  $M_t$  which  $\xi_s$  carries diffeomorphically onto U. It follows that  $\mathcal{N}(t, x)$  is finite and that  $N_t$  is locally constant.

We choose  $\delta_3 \in (0, \delta_2]$  as follows. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the family of connected components of  $M_s$ ; since  $M_s$  is compact  $\mathcal{C}$  is finite. For  $x \in M_s$  we let  $C_x \in \mathcal{C}$  be such that  $x \in C_x$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  has one member we let  $\delta_2 = \delta_1$ . Otherwise we let

$$d = \inf\{|x - y| : x, y \in M_s \text{ and } C_x \neq C_y\}$$

We choose  $\delta_3 \in (0, \delta_2]$  such that  $C_{1,0}\delta_2 < d$ . Suppose  $|s - t| < \delta_3$  and  $x \in M_s$ . There is  $z \in M_t$  such that  $|x - z| = \text{dist}(x, M_t) \le C_{1,0}|s - t| < d$ . Hence  $|\xi_s(z) - x| = |\text{dist} z, M_s \le |x - z| < d$  so  $z \in C_x$  and  $N_t(\xi_s(z)) \ge 1$  Since  $N_t$  is locally constant we must have  $N_t(x) \ge 1$ . Thus  $N_t(x) \ge 1$  for all  $x \in M_s$ .

Let  $\mathbf{z}_s^t = \mathbf{z}_t \circ \mathbf{z}_s^{-1}$  for  $t \in \mathcal{J}(s, \delta_2)$ .

$$\int N_t \, d||M_s|| = \int \mathcal{W}_m(D\xi_s(z) \circ \tau_t(z)) \, d||M_t||z$$
$$= \int \mathcal{W}_m(D\xi_s(\mathbf{z}_s^t(x))) \mathcal{W}_m(D\mathbf{z}_s^t(x)) \, d||M_s||x \quad \to \ ||M_s||(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

since

$$\lim_{t \to s} \sup\{|\mathcal{W}_m(D\xi_s(\mathbf{z}_s^t(x)))\mathcal{W}_m(D\mathbf{z}_s^t(x)) - 1| : x \in M_s\} = 0$$

Thus there is  $\delta \in (0, \delta_3]$  such that

$$N_t(x) = 1$$
 if  $t \in \mathcal{J}(s, \delta)$  and  $x \in M_s$ .

It follows that  $\Lambda_t$  carries  $M_s$  diffeomorphically onto  $M_t$  for such t.

8.2.  $\underline{M}$ . We let

$$\underline{M} = \{(s, z) : s \in (0, \epsilon_1) \text{ and } z \in M_s\}.$$

Theorem 8.4.  $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{j}+m}(\mathcal{J} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n).$ 

*Proof.* Suppose  $s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$ . Let  $\delta = \epsilon_1 - |s|$ . Let

$$\Psi: \mathcal{J}(s,\delta) \times N_s(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \to \mathcal{J}(s,\delta) \times N_s(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$$

be such that  $\Psi(t,z) = (t, \mathbf{z}_s^{-1}(\xi_s(z)))$  for  $(t,z) \in \mathcal{J}(s,\delta) \times N_s(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$  and note that  $\Psi$  is smooth.

Let  $\delta$  and  $\Lambda$  be as in Theorem 8.3; note that  $\Lambda$  is smooth and let

$$\Gamma: \mathcal{J}(s,\delta) \times M \to \mathcal{J}(s,\delta) \times M$$

be such that  $\Gamma_t = \Lambda_t^{-1}$  for  $t \in \mathcal{J}(s, \delta)$  and note that  $\Gamma$  is smooth. Let

$$\Phi: \mathcal{J}(s,\delta) \times M \to \mathcal{J}(s,\delta) \times N_s(\mathbf{\underline{r}})$$

be such that

$$\Phi(t,p) = (t, \mathbf{z}_t(\Lambda_t^{-1}(p))) \quad \text{for } (t,p) \in \mathcal{J}(s,\delta) \times M.$$

Note that  $\Phi$  is smooth.

If  $(t, p) \in \mathcal{J}(s, \delta) \times M$  then

$$\Psi(\Phi(t,p)) = \Psi(t, \mathbf{z}_t(\Lambda_t^{-1}(p)))$$
$$= (t, \mathbf{z}_t(\mathbf{z}_s^{-1}(\xi_s(\Lambda_t^{-1}(p)))))$$
$$= (t, p).$$

The Theorem now follows from Proposition 3.3.

8.3.  $\underline{\tau}, \underline{\nu}, \underline{\gamma}, \underline{B}, \underline{A}$ . We define smooth maps

$$\underline{\tau}:\underline{M}\to\mathbb{O}_m(\mathbb{R}^n);\quad \underline{\nu}:\underline{M}\to\mathbb{O}_{n-m}(\mathbb{R}^n);\quad \underline{\gamma}:\underline{M}\to\mathbb{O}_{n-m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

by requiring that

$$\underline{\tau}(s,x)(u) = Di_{\underline{M}}(x,s)(u,0) \quad \text{for } (s,x) \in \underline{M} \text{ and } u \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and letting

$$\underline{\nu}(s,x) = i_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \underline{\tau}(x,s); \quad \underline{\gamma}(s,x) = \underline{\nu}(s,x) - \iota(x)$$

for  $(s, x) \in \underline{M}$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . It follows that

$$\underline{\tau}(s,x) = \tau_s(x); \quad \underline{\nu}(s,x) = \nu_s(x); \quad \underline{\gamma}(s,x) = \gamma_s(x)$$

for  $(x, s) \in \underline{M}$ .

We define the smooth maps

$$\underline{B}: \underline{M} \to \mathrm{Multi}\mathrm{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \quad \mathrm{and} \quad \underline{A}: \underline{M} \to \mathrm{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

by letting

$$\underline{B}(s,x)(u,v) = \underline{\nu}(s,x)(D\underline{\tau}(s,x)(0,u)(0,v)) \quad \text{whenever } (s,x) \in \underline{M} \text{ and } u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and requiring that

$$\underline{A}(s,x)(u)(v) \bullet w = u \bullet \underline{B}(s,x)(v,w) \quad \text{whenever } (s,x) \in \underline{M} \text{ and } u,v,w \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It follows that

$$\underline{B}(s,x)(u,v) = B_s(x)(u,v) \text{ and } \underline{A}(s,x)(u) = A_s(x)(u)$$

whenever  $(s, x) \in \underline{M}$  and  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

8.4. <u>N</u>,  $\underline{\Xi}$ ,  $\underline{\xi}$ ,  $\underline{\sigma}$ . For each  $r \in (0, \underline{\mathbf{r}}]$  we let

$$\underline{N}(r) = \{(s, z) \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \times \mathbb{R}^n : \operatorname{dist}(z, M_s) < r\}.$$

We let

$$\underline{\Xi}: \mathbf{E}(\underline{\nu}, \underline{\mathbf{r}}) \to \underline{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$$

be such that

$$\underline{\Xi}((s,x),v) = (s, \Xi_s(x,v)) \quad \text{for } ((s,x),v) \in \mathbf{E}(\underline{\nu}, \mathbf{r}).$$

It follows from Theorem 5.2 that  $\underline{\Xi}$  carries  $\mathbf{E}(\underline{\nu}, \underline{\mathbf{r}})$  diffeomorphically onto  $\underline{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$ ; in particular,  $\underline{N}(r)$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  whenever  $0 < r \leq \underline{\mathbf{r}}$ . Since  $\underline{\Xi}$  carries  $\mathbf{E}(\underline{\nu}, \underline{\mathbf{r}})$  diffeomorphically onto  $\underline{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$  we may define smooth functions

$$\underline{\xi} : \underline{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \to \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \underline{\sigma} : \underline{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

by requiring that

$$(\underline{\xi},\underline{\sigma}) = (\underline{\Xi}|\mathbf{E}(\underline{\nu},\mathbf{r}))^{-1} : \underline{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \to \mathbf{E}(\nu,\mathbf{r}).$$

Note that

(22) 
$$(s,z) = \underline{\Xi}(\underline{\xi}(s,z), \underline{\sigma}(s,z)) = (s, \underline{\xi}(s,z) + \underline{\sigma}(s,z)) \text{ for } (s,z) \in \underline{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}).$$

8.5.  $f, \underline{v}, \rho, \underline{V}, g$ . We define the smooth maps

$$\underline{f}: \mathbf{E}(\underline{\nu}, \underline{\mathbf{r}}) \to \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R}^n; \quad \underline{\nu}: \underline{M}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \to \mathbb{R}^+; \quad \underline{\rho}: \underline{M}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

by letting

$$\underline{f}((t,z),v) = (t, f_t(x,v); \quad \underline{v}((t,z),v) = (t, v_t(x,v); \quad \underline{\rho}((t,z),v) = (t, \rho_t(x,v))$$

for  $((t, x), v) \in \mathbf{E}(\underline{\nu})$ . We also set

$$\underline{V} = \mathbf{rng} \underline{f}.$$

**Theorem 8.5.**  $\underline{V}$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\underline{f}$  carries  $\mathbf{E}(\underline{\nu}, \underline{\mathbf{r}})$  diffeomorphically onto  $\underline{V}$  with inverse  $(\underline{\xi}, \underline{\rho})$ .

Moreover, f carries  $\mathbf{E}(\gamma, \underline{\mathbf{r}})$  diffeomorphically onto  $\underline{V} \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the results of Section 7.

For  $r \in (0, \underline{\mathbf{r}})$  we let

$$\underline{O}(r) = \{(s, t, z) \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \times \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \times \mathbb{R}^n : (s, z) \in \underline{N}(r) \text{ and } (t, z) \in \underline{N}(r)\}.$$

Since  $\underline{N}(r)$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  it follows that  $\underline{O}(r)$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$ ,  $q, r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $q + r \leq \mathbf{r}$  and  $(s, t, z) \in \underline{O}(r)$ . If  $\chi^1(\mathbf{z})|s-t| \leq q$  then

$$\{(\mathbf{c}_{s,t}(\lambda), z) : \lambda \in [0,1]\} \subset \underline{O}(q+r)$$

*Proof.* Since  $(s, z) \in \underline{N}(r)$ ,  $\operatorname{dist}(z, M_s) < r \leq \underline{\mathbf{r}} \leq \mathbf{r}_s$  so  $z \in \operatorname{dmn} \xi_s$  which implies that there is  $w \in M_s$  such that  $\operatorname{dist}(z, M_s) = |z - w|$ . Suppose  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $u = (1 - \lambda)s + \lambda t$ . Then

dist 
$$(z, M_u) \leq |z - \mathbf{z}_u(p)| \leq |z - w| + |\mathbf{z}_s(p) - \mathbf{z}_u(p)| < r + \chi^1(\mathbf{z})\lambda|t - s| \leq r + q$$
  
so  $z \in \underline{N}(q + r)$ . By the same argument with s replaced by t we find that  $z \in \underline{N}(q + r)$ .

9.  $F_s^t$ .

Suppose  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s, \mathbf{r}_s)$ . Let

$$F_s(W): M_s \to V_s$$

be such that

$$F_s(W) = f_s \circ (i_{M_s}, W).$$

Then  $\operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} F_s(W)$  is a smooth compact submanifold of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,  $F_s(W)$  carries  $M_s$  diffeomorphically onto  $\operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} F_s(W)$ ,

$$\xi_s \circ F_s(W) = i_{M_s}$$
 and  $\rho_s \circ F_s(W) = 0.$ 

**Proposition 9.1.** Suppose  $t \in S$ ,  $W_i \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s, \mathbf{r}_s)$ , i = 1, 2 and  $\operatorname{rng} F_s(W_1) = \operatorname{rng} F_s(W_2)$ . Then  $W_1 = W_2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $x_1 \in M_s$ . There is  $x_2 \in M_s$  such that  $F_s(W_2)(x_2) = F_s(W_1)(x_1)$ . Thus

$$f_s(x_1, W_1(x_1)) = F_s(W_1)(x_1) = F_s(W_2)(x_2) = f_s(x_2, W_2(x_2)).$$
  
Applying  $g_s$  we find that  $(x_1, W_1(x_1)) = (x_2, W_2(x_2)).$ 

24

**Proposition 9.2.** Suppose  $s \in S$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $X \subset V_s$ . There is  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s, \mathbf{r}_s)$  such that  $\operatorname{rng} F_s(W) = X$  if and only if  $\xi_s | X$  carries X diffeomorphically onto  $M_s$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s, \mathbf{r}_s)$  and  $\operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} F_s(W) = X$ . Then  $F_s(W) = f_s \circ (i_{M_s}, W)$  so

$$(\xi_s \circ F_s(W), \rho_s \circ F_s(W)) = g_s \circ F_s(W) = g_s \circ f_s \circ (i_{M_s}, W) = (i_{M_s}, W)$$

so  $\xi_s \circ F_s(W) = i_{M_s}$ . It follows that  $\xi_s | X$  carries X diffeomorphically onto  $M_s$ . Suppose  $\xi_s | X$  carries X diffeomorphically onto  $M_s$ . Let  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s, \mathbf{r}_s)$  be such

that  $W \circ (\xi_s | X) = \rho_s | X \in \mathcal{E}(M_s, \gamma_s)$ . Then

$$F_s(W) \circ (\xi_s|X) = f_s \circ (i_{M_s}, W) \circ (\xi_s|X) = f_s \circ (\xi_s|X, \rho_s|X) = i_X$$

so  $\operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} F_s(W) = X$ .

**Definition 9.1.** For  $s, t \in S$  we let

$$F_s^t = \{ (W, Z) \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s, \mathbf{r}_s) \times \mathcal{E}(\gamma_t, \mathbf{r}_t) : \mathbf{rng} \ F_s(W) = \mathbf{rng} \ F_t(Z) \}.$$

**Proposition 9.3.** Suppose  $s, t \in S$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s, \mathbf{r}_s)$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_t, \mathbf{r}_t)$ . The following statements are equivalent.

(I)  $(W, Z) \in F_s^t$ ;

(II)  $\xi_t \circ F_s(W)$  carries  $M_s$  diffeomorphically onto  $M_t$  and

(23) 
$$Z \circ \xi_t \circ F_s(W) = \rho_t \circ F_s(W).$$

*Proof.* Suppose (I) holds. Let  $g = F_t(Z)^{-1} \circ F_s(W)$ . Then g carries  $M_s$  diffeomorphically onto  $M_t$  and  $F_t(Z) \circ g = F_s(W)$  so for any  $y \in M_t$  we have

$$(i_{M_t}, Z) \circ g = (\xi_t, \rho_t) \circ F_t(Z) \circ g = (\xi_t, \rho_t) \circ F_s(W)$$

so  $g = \xi_t \circ F_s(W)$  and  $Z \circ g = \rho_t \circ F_s(W)$  so (II) holds.

Suppose (II) holds. Let  $X = \operatorname{rng} F_s(W)$ . (23) implies that  $Z \circ (\xi_t | X) = \rho_t | X$ . So

$$F_t(Z) \circ (\xi_t | X) = f_t \circ (i_{M_t}, Z) \circ (\xi_t | X) = f_t \circ (\xi_t | X, \rho_t | X) = f_t \circ g_t \circ i_X = i_X$$

so  $\operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} F_t(Z) = \operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} i_X = X = \operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} F_s(W).$ 

**Corollary 9.1.** If  $s, t \in S$  then  $0 \in \operatorname{dmn} F_s^t$  if and only if  $\xi_t$  carries  $M_s$  diffeomorphically onto  $M_t$ .

**Proposition 9.4.** Suppose  $s \in S$  and  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Lip} W \le \left(1 + \frac{8}{\mathbf{r}_s}\right) \chi^{[1]}(W) \quad and \quad \operatorname{Lip} F_s(W) \le 1 + \left(1 + \frac{8}{\mathbf{r}_s}\right) \chi^{[1]}(W)$$

*Proof.* The first inequality follows from Proposition 5.5.

Suppose  $x_i \in M_s$ , i = 1, 2. Using Proposition 6.1 we find that

$$|F_s(W)(x_1) - F_s(W)(x_2)| = |\boldsymbol{\eta}(x_1 + W(x_2)) - \boldsymbol{\eta}(x_2 + W(x_2))|$$
  
$$\leq |x_1 - x_2| + |W(x_1) - W(x_2)|$$

so the second inequality holds.

**Proposition 9.5.** Suppose E is a Euclidean space,  $h : \underline{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \to E$ , h is smooth and  $\Box h : \underline{O}(\mathbf{r}/2) \to \mathbb{Lim}(\mathcal{J}, E)$ 

is such that

$$\Box h(s,t,z)(u) = \int_0^1 D^{1,0} h(\mathbf{c}_{s,t}(\lambda),z)(u) \, d\lambda \quad \text{for } (s,t,z) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2) \text{ and } u \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Then  $\Box h$  is smooth and

(24) 
$$h(s,z) - h(t,z) = \Box h(s,t,z)(s-t) \quad for \ (s,t,z) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2).$$

If 
$$(s, t, z_i) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2)$$
 for  $i = 1, 2$  then

(25) 
$$||\Box h(s,t,z_1) - \Box h(s,t,z_2)|| \le C_{1,\Box}(h)|z_1 - z_2|$$

where we have set

$$C_{1,\Box}(h) = \max\left\{\chi^2(h), 8\frac{\chi^0(h)}{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}\right\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\underline{O}(\mathbf{r})$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \times \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \times \mathbb{R}^n$  it is elementary that  $\Box h$  is smooth. (24) follows since

$$h(s,z) - h(t,z) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\lambda} h(\mathbf{c}_{s,t}(\lambda), z) \, d\lambda = \int D^{1,0} h(\mathbf{c}_{s,t}(\lambda), z)(s-t) \, d\lambda$$

whenever  $(s, t, z) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2)$ .

Suppose $(s, t, z_i) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2)$  for i = 1, 2. If  $|z_2 - z_1| < \underline{\mathbf{r}}/4$  then Corollary 8.1 implies that  $(s, t, w) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2)$  if  $w \in \mathbf{U}(z_1, \underline{\mathbf{r}}/4)$  so that

$$|\Box h(s,t,z_1) - \Box h(s,t,z_2)|| \le \chi^1(\Box h) |z_1 - z_2| \le \chi^2(h) |z_1 - z_2|.$$

On the other hand, if  $|z_1 - z_2| \ge \underline{\mathbf{r}}/4$  then

$$||\Box h(s,t,z_1) - \Box h(s,t,z_2)|| \le 2\chi^1(h) \le 8\frac{\chi^1(h)}{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}|z_1 - z_2|.$$

So (25) holds.

### 9.1. $\epsilon_2$ and $\mathcal{G}$ . Let

$$\epsilon_2 \in (0, \epsilon_1]$$

be such that

$$C_{1,\Box}(\underline{\xi})(2\epsilon_2) < \frac{1}{2}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ (s, W) \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \times \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s) : \left(1 + \frac{8}{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}\right) \chi^{[1]}(W) < 1 \right\}$$

**Proposition 9.6.** Suppose  $(s, W) \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then

$$\chi^0(W) < \frac{\mathbf{r}}{8}, \ \mathbf{Lip} W < 1 \ and \ \mathbf{Lip} F_s(W) < 2.$$

*Proof.*  $\chi^0(W) \leq \chi^{[1]}(W) < \underline{\mathbf{r}}/8$ . The second and third inequalities follow directly from Proposition 9.4.

**Proposition 9.7.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_2)$  and  $(s, W) \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then (26)  $\{(s, t, F_s(W)(x)) : x \in M_s\} \subset \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2);$ 

in particular,  $\operatorname{rng} F_s(W) \subset \operatorname{dmn} \xi_t$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in M_s$ . There is  $p \in M$  such that  $\mathbf{z}_s(p) = x$ . By Proposition 6.3 we have

$$\operatorname{dist}\left(F_{s}(W)(x), M_{s}\right) \leq |\boldsymbol{\eta}(x+W(x)) - x| \leq \chi^{0}(W) \leq \frac{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}{4}$$

and

$$\operatorname{dist} \left(F_s(W)(x), M_t\right) \leq |\boldsymbol{\eta}(x+W(x))-x|+|\mathbf{z}(s,p)-\mathbf{z}(t,p)| \leq \chi^0(W)+C_{1,0}|s-t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$
 so (26) holds and, since  $s,t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_2)$ ,  $\operatorname{rng} F_s(W) \subset \operatorname{dmn} \xi_t$  since  $C_{1,\Box}(\underline{\xi})(2\epsilon_2) < 1/2.$ 

For  $(s, W) \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $(t, x) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2)$  we let

$$A_s^t(W)(x) = \Box \underline{\xi}(s, t, F_s(W)(x))(s-t)$$

and we let

$$B_s^t(W)(x) = \Box \underline{\rho}(s, t, F_s(W)(x))(s-t)$$

**Theorem 9.1.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_2)$  and  $(s, W) \in \mathcal{G}$ .

(27) 
$$\xi_t(F_s(W)(x)) = x - A_s^t(W)(x);$$

(28)  $\rho_t(F_s(W)(x)) = W(x) - B_s^t(W)(x);$ 

(29)  

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}|x_1 - x_2|}{\leq (1 - 2C_{1,\Box}(\underline{\xi})|s - t|)|x_1 - x_2|} \\
\leq |\xi_t(F_s(W)(x_1)) - \xi_t(F_s(W)(x_2))| \\
\leq (1 + 2C_{1,\Box}(\underline{\xi})|s - t|)|x_1 - x_2| \\
\leq \frac{3}{2}|x_1 - x_2|$$

if  $x_i \in M_s$ , i = 1, 2; and

(30) 
$$W \in \operatorname{\mathbf{dmn}} F_s^t$$

*Proof.* (27) and (28) follow from (24) since  $\xi_s(F_s(W)(x)) = x$  and  $\rho_s(F_s(W)(x)) = W(x)$  for  $x \in M_s$ .

Suppose  $x_i \in M_s$ , i = 1, 2. From (27) we infer that

$$\frac{\underline{\xi}(t,F_s(W)(x_1)) - \underline{\xi}(t,F_s(W)(x_2))}{= x_1 - x_2 - (\Box \underline{\xi}(s,t,F_s(W)(x_1))(s-t) - \Box \underline{\xi}(s,t,F_s(W)(x_2))(s-t))}$$

and, by Proposition 9.5 and Proposition 9.4,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Box \underline{\xi}(s,t,F_s(W)(x_1))(s-t) - \Box \underline{\xi}(s,t,F_s(W)(x_2))(s-t)| \\ &\leq C_{1,\Box}(\underline{\xi})|F_s(W)(x_2) - F_s(W)(x_1)||s-t| \\ &\leq C_{1,\Box}(\underline{\xi})2|x_1 - x_2||s-t| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Proposition 3.4 that  $W \in \mathbf{dmn} F_s^t$ .

-

**Theorem 9.2.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_2)$  and  $(s, W) \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then

(31) 
$$D(\xi_t \circ F_s(W))(x) = \tau_s(x) + \Box(D^{0,1}\underline{\xi})(t,s,F_s(W)(x))(t-s) \circ DF_s(W)(x);$$

(32) 
$$D(\rho_t \circ F_s(W))(x) = DW(x) + \Box(D^{0,1}\underline{\rho})(t,s,F_s(W)(x))(t-s) \circ DF_s(W)(x);$$

(33) 
$$\chi^{0}(F_{s}^{t}(W)) \leq \chi^{0}(D^{1,0}\underline{\rho})|s-t| + \chi^{0}(W)$$

and

(34) 
$$\chi^{0}(DF_{s}^{t}(W)) \leq \chi^{0}(D^{1,1}\underline{\rho})|s-t| + \chi^{0}(DW).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in M_s$ . Using (24) we find that

$$D(\xi_t \circ F_s(W))(x) - D(\xi_s \circ F_s(W))(x) = (D^{0,1}\underline{\xi}(t, F_s(W)(x)) - D^{0,1}\underline{\xi}(s, F_s(W)(x))) \circ DF_s(W)(x) = (\Box(D^{0,1}\underline{\xi})(t, s, F_s(W))(t-s)) \circ DF_s(W)(x).$$

Moreover,  $\xi_s \circ F_s(W) = i_{M_s}$  so  $D(\xi_s \circ F_s(W))(x) = \tau_s(x)$  so (31) holds. With regard to (32) we have  $\rho_s \circ F_s(W) = W$  so a similar analysis yields (32). By (23) we have

$$F_s^t(W) \circ \xi_t \circ F_s(W) = \rho_t \circ F_s(W).$$

(33) follows from (28). The Chain Rule implies that for any  $x \in M_s$  we have

$$DF_s^t(W)((\xi_t \circ F_s(W)(x))) \circ D(\xi_t \circ F_s(W))(x) = D(\rho_t \circ F_s)(x);$$

(34) now follows from (31) and (32).

9.2.  $\mathcal{L}_m$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_m$  and  $\epsilon_3$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_m = \{L \in \mathbb{E}nd(\mathbb{R}^n) : \operatorname{rank} L = m\}$  and let

$$\mathcal{W}_m: \mathcal{L}_m \to \mathbb{R}^+$$

be such that  $\mathcal{W}_m(L) = |\bigwedge_m L|$  for  $L \in \mathcal{L}_m$ . It is elementary that if  $L \in \mathcal{L}_m$  and p is orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto the kernel of L then

$$\mathcal{W}_m(L) - 1 \le (2^m - 1) ||L - p||.$$

Suppose  $(s, W) \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $(s, t, x) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2)$ . Let

$$\mathbf{c}_{s}^{t}(W)(x) = \mathcal{W}_{m}(D(\xi_{t} \circ F_{s}(W))(x)) - 1.$$

Since  $D(\xi_t \circ F_s(W))(x) \in \mathcal{L}_m$  we infer from (9.4) and (32) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{c}_{s}^{t}(W)(x)| &\leq (2^{m}-1)||D(\xi_{t}\circ F_{s}(W))(x) - D(\xi_{s}\circ F_{s}(W))(x)|| \\ (35) &\leq (2^{m}-1)||D\xi_{t}(F_{s}(W)(x)) - D\xi_{s}(F_{s}(W)(x))|| ||D(F_{s}(W))(x)|| \\ &\leq C_{\mathcal{W}_{m}}|s-t| \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$C_{\mathcal{W}_m} = (2^m - 1)2\chi^{1,1}(\underline{\xi}).$$

Let

$$\epsilon_3 \in (0, \epsilon_2]$$

be such that  $C_{\mathcal{W}_m}(2\epsilon_3) < 1$ . Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_3)$ . Then  $-|\mathbf{c}_s^t(W)| \leq \mathbf{c}_s^t(W) \leq \mathbf{c}_s^t(W)$  $|\mathbf{c}_{s}^{t}(W)|$  so

$$(36) \ 0 \le 1 - C_{\mathcal{W}_m} |s - t| \le 1 - |\mathbf{c}_s^t(W)| \le 1 - \mathbf{c}_s^t(W) \le 1 + |\mathbf{c}_s^t(W)| \le 1 + C_{\mathcal{W}_m} |s - t|)$$

**Theorem 9.3.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_3)$  and  $(s, W) \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then

$$\{(s,t,F_s(W)(x)): x \in M_s\} \subset \underline{O}(\mathbf{r}/2)$$

and, for any  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$$|P_t(F_s^t(W), F_s^t(W)) - P_s(W, W)| \le (\epsilon + C_{F,1}|s-t|)P_s(W, W) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)C_{F,2}|s-t|^2$$

where

$$C_{F,1} = C_{\mathcal{W}_m}$$
 and  $C_{F,2} = \chi^0(\Box \underline{\rho})^2 ||M_s||(\mathbb{R}^n)(1+C_{\mathcal{W}_m})(2\epsilon_3).$ 

*Proof.* Keeping in mind (36) we have that

$$\begin{split} P_t(F_s^t(W), F_s^t(W)) &= \int |F_s^t(W)|^2 \, d||M_t|| \\ &= \int |F_s^t(W) \circ \xi_t \circ F_s(W)|^2 (1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(W)) \, d||M||_s \\ &= \int |\rho_t \circ F_s(W)|^2 (1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(W)) \, d||M||_s \\ &= \int |W - B_s^t(W)|^2 (1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(W)) \, d||M||_s \\ &= \int (|W|^2 - 2W \bullet B_s^t(W) + |B_s^t|^2) (1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(W)) \, d||M||_s \end{split}$$

so, using (35), we find that

$$\begin{split} ||P_t(F_s^t(W), F_s^t(W)) - P_s(W, W)| \\ &= \left| \int \mathbf{c}_s^t(W) |W|^2 + (-2W \bullet B_s^t(W) + |B_s^t|^2) (1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(W)) \, d||M||_s \right| \\ &\leq \int (C_{\mathcal{W}_m} |s - t| + \epsilon) |W|^2 \\ &+ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) |B_s^t(W)|^2) (1 + C_{\mathcal{W}_m} |s - t|) \, d||M_s||. \end{split}$$

Since  $|B_s^t(W)|^2 \leq (\chi^0(\Box \underline{\rho}))^2 |s - t|^2$  our estimate holds.

10. The family of interest.

Suppose  $M \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $M \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and

(37) 
$$H(x) = -x \quad \text{for } x \in M$$

where H is the mean curvature normal of M. As is well known and is implied by Proposition 4.2 (37) is equivalent to the statement that

(38) 
$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{H}^m(h_t[M])\Big|_{\epsilon=0} = 0$$

whenever I is an open interval I containing 0,  $h: I \times M \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , h is smooth and  $h_0(x) = x$  for  $x \in M$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be as in 7.1 and let **r** be the reach of M.

10.1. The symmetric quadratic functionals P, Q, R, S. We define symmetric quadratic functionals

$$P, Q, R, S: \mathcal{E}(\gamma) \times \mathcal{E}(\gamma) \to \mathbb{R}$$

by letting

$$P(X,Y) = \int X \bullet Y \, d||M||;$$
  

$$Q(X,Y) = \int D_{\gamma} X \bullet D_{\gamma} Y \, d||M||;$$
  

$$R(X,Y) = \int A(x)(X(x)) \bullet A(x)(Y(x)) \, d||M||x;$$
  

$$S(X,Y) = Q(X,Y) - mP(X,Y) - R(X,Y)$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ .

10.2.  $\Phi$ ;  $\Psi$ ; **L**; and  $E_{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $Z \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma, \mathbf{r})$  we let F(Z) be as in (7.2) and we let

$$\Phi(Z) = \mathcal{H}^m(\operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} F(Z))$$

We define

$$\Psi: \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}(\gamma,\mathbf{r}) \to \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\gamma)$$

by requiring that

$$P(\Psi(Z), W) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Phi(Z + \epsilon W) \big|_{\epsilon=0}.$$

(In fact, the right hand side of this formula is an integral over M of linear function of DW and the preceding formula follows by an integration by parts in the integral to obtain an integral over M of a linear function of W.) Let

$$\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{Lin}(\mathcal{E}(\gamma), \mathcal{E}(\gamma))$$

be the linearization at 0 of  $\Psi$ ; that is,

$$\mathbf{L}(Z) = \frac{d}{d\eta} \Psi(\eta Z) \big|_{\eta=0} \quad \text{for } Z \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma).$$

As is well known,

(39) 
$$P(\mathbf{L}(Z), W) = P(Z, \mathbf{L}(W)) = S(Z, W) \text{ whenever } Z, W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma).$$

For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we let

$$E_{\lambda} = \{ Z \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma) : \mathbf{L}(Z) = \lambda Z \};$$

so  $Z \in E_{\lambda}$  if and only if

$$S(Z, W) = \lambda P(Z, W) \text{ for } W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma).$$

10.3. The Hölder spaces  $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\gamma)$ . Let us fix  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we let  $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\gamma)$  be the vector space of maps  $Z: M \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\gamma(x)(Z(x)) = Z(x)$  for each  $x \in M$ ;  $D^j Z$  exists and is continuous for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $j \leq k$ ; and  $D^k Z$  is Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$ .  $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\gamma)$  has a natural Banach space structure. Clearly, P and R have uniqued continuous extensions, still denoted by P and R, to  $\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\gamma)$  and Q and S have natural continuous extensions, still denoted by Q and S, to  $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\gamma)$ . L has an unique extension, still denoted by L to a continuous map from  $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}(\gamma)$  to  $\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\gamma)$ . Moreover, (39) holds when  $Z, W \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}$ .

 $\Phi$  has a unique continuous extension to  $\{Z \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\gamma) : |Z| < \mathbf{r}\}$  and  $\Psi$  has a unique extension to a continuous map from  $\{Z \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}(\gamma)\}$  to  $\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\gamma)$ . These extensions are real analytic.

Let

$$\mathcal{J} = \ker \mathbf{L}$$
 and let  $\mathbf{j} = \dim \mathcal{J}$ .

The members of  $\mathcal{J}$  are called **Jacobi fields relative to**  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . The inner product on  $\mathcal{J}$  is the restriction to  $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}$  of P. So if  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}$  then

$$s \bullet t = \int s(x) \bullet t(x) \, d||M||.$$

By standard elliptic theory,

## $\mathbf{j}$ is finite;

for each  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$  there is a constant C such that

$$\sum_{\lambda|\leq N} \dim E_{\lambda} \leq (1+N)^{m/2+\epsilon} \quad \text{whenever } N \in \mathbb{R}^+;$$

in particular, **dim rng**  $E_{\lambda}$  is finite for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : E_{\lambda} \neq 0\}$  is discrete; for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and each  $Z \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ ,

$$\Sigma_s(Z) = P(E_0(Z), E_0(Z)) + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \sim \{0\}} |\lambda|^2 P(E_\lambda(Z), E_\lambda(Z)) < \infty$$

and  $\{\Sigma_s : s \in \mathbb{R}\}\$  is a family of seminorms which define the strong topology on  $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ .

10.4. Construction of the family of interest. For k = 0, 2 let  $\mathcal{H}_k$  be the P orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\gamma)$ ; since **j** is finite  $\mathcal{H}_k$  is closed; let  $\Pi_k$  be the P orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\gamma)$  onto  $\mathcal{J}$  and let  $\Pi_k^{\perp}$  be the complementary projection; thus  $Z = \Pi_k(Z) + \Pi_k^{\perp}(Z)$  for  $Z \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\gamma)$ . By standard elliptic theory,

 $\mathbf{L}|\mathcal{H}_2$  is Banach space isomorphism from  $\mathcal{H}_2$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

Since  $\Psi(0) = 0$  and since  $\Psi$  is real analytic it follows from the Implicit Function Theorem that there are open neighborhoods S of 0 in  $\mathcal{J}$  and of 0 in  $\mathcal{V}$  of 0 in  $\operatorname{rng} \Pi_0^{\perp} \tilde{\Gamma}$  and a real analytic function  $\Upsilon : S \to \operatorname{rng} \Pi_0^{\perp}$  such that  $\{s + \Upsilon(s) : s \in S\} \subset \mathcal{V}$  and such that if  $s \in S$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{J}^{\perp}$  and  $s + W \in \mathcal{V}$  then

$$\Pi_0^{\perp}(\Psi(s+W)) = 0 \iff W = \Upsilon(s).$$

We let  $\Omega: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{J}$  be such that

$$\Omega(s) = \Pi_0(\Psi(s + \Upsilon(s)) \quad \text{for } s \in \mathcal{S}$$

and we let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ s \in \mathcal{S} : \Omega(s) = 0 \}$$

Note that  $\Omega$  is a real analytic function so  $\mathcal{A}$  is a real analytic set. Evidently,

$$\{Z \in \mathcal{V} : \Psi(Z) = 0\} = \{s + \Upsilon(s) : s \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \Omega(s) = 0\}.$$

Since  $\Psi(0) = 0$  we have

$$\Upsilon(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(0) = 0$$

We now assume that

(40) 
$$\mathbf{Tan}\mathcal{A}, 0 = \mathcal{J};$$

owing to the real analyticity of  $\mathcal{A}$  this implies that

 $\Omega$  vanishes near 0 in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

That  $\operatorname{Tan}\mathcal{A}, 0 = \mathcal{J}$  is clearly implied by the assumption about Jacobi fields made in (1) of the Introduction of [AA81]. So we have

$$\{Z \in \mathcal{V} : \Psi(Z) = 0\} = \{s + \Upsilon(s) : s \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

We define

$$\mathbf{z}: \mathcal{S} \times M \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$$

by letting

$$\mathbf{z}(s,x) = F(s+\Upsilon(s))(x) = f(x,(s+\Upsilon(s))(x)) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \quad \text{for } (s,x) \in \mathcal{S} \times M.$$

Letting

$$M_s = \operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} F(s + \Upsilon(s))$$

we find that

 $M_s$  is  $\Phi$  stationary.

It follows from Proposition 4.2 that

(41)  $\mathcal{H}^m(M_s) = \mathcal{H}^m(M)$  for s in the connected component of 0 in S.

**Remark 10.1.** In fact (41) holds without assuming (40) owing to the fact that the real analyticity of A implies that A is locally rectifiably arcwise connected.

Let

 $\mathcal{J}_s$ 

be the Jacobi fields on  $M_s$  relative to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

For  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  we let

$$\gamma_s: M_s \to \mathbb{O}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

be such that  $\gamma_s(y)$  equals orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $\mathbf{Nor}M_s, y \cap \mathbf{Tan}\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, y$ . For each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  let

$$\mathbf{J}_s \in \mathbb{Lin}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s))$$

be such that

$$\mathbf{J}_{s}(t)(\mathbf{z}(s,x)) = \gamma_{s}(\mathbf{z}(s,x)) \left( \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathbf{z}(s+\epsilon t,x) \big|_{\epsilon=0} \right) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Since  $M_{s+\epsilon t}$  is stationary for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$  we find that

$$\operatorname{rng} \mathbf{J}_s \subset \mathcal{J}_s$$

**Theorem 10.1.**  $D\Upsilon(0) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{J}_0(t) = t$  for  $t \in \mathcal{J}$ . Moreover,

(42) 
$$s \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow \ker \mathbf{J}_s = \{0\}.$$

Proof. Suppose  $s \in S$ ,  $t \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $W = s + \Upsilon(s) \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$ . Let  $X = t + D\Upsilon(s)(t) \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$ . Suppose  $x \in M$  and  $y = \mathbf{z}(s, x)$ . Using Proposition 6.2) we find that  $\mathbf{J}_s(t)(y)$ 

$$\begin{split} &= \gamma_s(y) \left( \frac{d}{d\epsilon} (F(s+\epsilon t))(x) \big|_{\epsilon=0} \right) \\ &= \gamma_s(y) \left( \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \eta(x+(s+\epsilon t+\Upsilon(s+\epsilon t))(x)) \big|_{\epsilon=0} \right) \\ &= \gamma_s(y) D\eta(x+W(x))(X(x)) \\ &= \gamma_s(y) \left( 1+|W(x)|)^{-3/2} \left( (1+|W(x)|^2)X(x) - (X(x) \bullet W(x))(x+W(x)) \right) \right) \\ &= (1+|W(x)|)^{-3/2} \left( (1+|W(x)|^2)X(x) - (X(x) \bullet W(x))(W(x)) \right) . \end{split}$$

In particular, if s = 0 then W = 0 so that

$$\mathbf{J}_0(t) = X = t + D\Upsilon(0)(t)$$

Since  $\mathbf{J}_0(t) \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $D\Upsilon(0)(t) \in \mathcal{H}_0$  we find that  $D\Upsilon(0)(t) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{J}_0(t) = t$ . Now suppose  $t \in \ker \mathbf{J}_s$ . Then

$$X(x) = \frac{(X(x) \bullet W(x))W(x)}{1 + |W(x)|^2}$$

which implies that

$$|X(x)| \le |X(x)| \frac{|W(x)|^2}{1+|W(x)|^2}$$

which in turn implies that X(x) = 0 which implies that t(x) = 0.

**Corollary 10.1.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then

$$s \bullet t = P_0(\mathbf{J}_0(s), \mathbf{J}_0(t)).$$

Proof. We have

$$P_0(\mathbf{J}_0(s), \mathbf{J}_0(t)) = \int \mathbf{J}_0(s)(x) \bullet \mathbf{J}_0(t)(x) \, d||M_0||x = \int s(x) \bullet t(x) \, d||M|| = s \bullet t.$$

**Theorem 10.2.** Suppose  $t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $x \in M_t$ . Then

$$\mathbf{J}_t(u)(x) = -D\underline{\rho}(t,x)(u,0).$$

**Remark 10.2.** This is 5.4(7) of [AA81].

*Proof.* Let  $x = \mathbf{z}(t, p) \in M_t$ . Let  $I = \{\epsilon \in \mathbb{R} : t + \epsilon u \in \mathcal{J}\}$  and note that I is an open neighborhood of 0 in S. For  $\epsilon \in I$  let

 $g(\epsilon) = \underline{f}(t + \epsilon u, (\mathbf{z}(t + \epsilon u, p), 0)) = \boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{z}(t + \epsilon u, p)).$ 

We have  $g(0) = \mathbf{z}(t, p) = x$  and, by Proposition 6.2,

$$g'(0) = \mathbf{o}(\mathbf{z}(t,p))(D\mathbf{z}(t,p)(u,0)) = D\mathbf{z}(t,p)(u,0)$$

since  $|\mathbf{z}(t,p)| = 1$  for  $(t,p) \in \mathcal{S} \times M$ . Since  $g(\epsilon) \in M_{t+\epsilon u}$  we have  $0 = \rho(t+\epsilon u, g(\epsilon))$  for  $\epsilon \in I$ ; Differentiating at  $\epsilon = 0$  we find using Proposition 7.3 that

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \underline{\rho}(t + \epsilon u, g(\epsilon)) \big|_{\epsilon=0} \\ &= D \underline{\rho}(t, \mathbf{z}(t, p))(u, g'(0)) \\ &= D \underline{\rho}(t, \mathbf{z}(t, p))(u, D\mathbf{z}(t, p)(u, 0)) \\ &= D \underline{\rho}(t, \mathbf{z}(t, p))(u, 0) + \gamma_t(\mathbf{z}(t, p))(D\mathbf{z}(t, p)(u, 0)). \end{split}$$

**Lemma 10.1.** There is a constant  $C_{\mathbf{J},0}$  such that

$$|\mathbf{J}_{s}(u)(x) - \mathbf{J}_{t}(u)(\xi_{t}(x))| \le C |s - t| |u|$$

Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1), u \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $x \in M_s$ . Then

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{s}(u)(x) &- \mathbf{J}_{t}(u)(\xi_{t}(x)) \\ &= (D\underline{\rho}(s,x) - D\underline{\rho}(t,\xi_{t}(x)))(u,0) \\ &= (D\underline{\rho}(s,\xi_{s}(x)) - D\underline{\rho}(t,\xi_{t}(x)))(u,0) \\ &= (D\underline{\rho}(s,\xi_{s}(x)) - D\underline{\rho}(s,\xi_{t}(x)))(u,0) + (D\underline{\rho}(s,\xi_{t}(x)) - D\underline{\rho}(t,\xi_{t}(x)))(u,0). \end{aligned}$$

Now apply Proposition 9.5.

# Corollary 10.2. There is a constant $C_{\mathbf{J}}$ such that

$$|P_s(\mathbf{J}_s(u), \mathbf{J}_s(v)) - P_t(\mathbf{J}_t(u), \mathbf{J}_t(v))| \leq C_{\mathbf{J}} |s-t| |u| |v| \quad for \ s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1) \ and \ u, v \in \mathcal{J}.$$
  
Proof. Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$  and  $u, v \in \mathcal{J}$ . For each  $x \in M_s$  let

$$\Gamma_{1}(x) = \mathbf{J}_{s}(u)(x);$$

$$\Gamma_{2}(x) = \mathbf{J}_{s}(v)(x);$$

$$\Gamma_{3}(x) = \mathbf{J}_{t}(u)(\xi_{t}(x));$$

$$\Gamma_{4}(x) = \mathbf{J}_{t}(v)(\xi_{t}(x));$$

$$\Gamma_{5}(x) = \mathbf{J}_{t}(u)(\xi_{t}(x)) \bullet \mathbf{J}_{t}(v)(\xi_{t}(x))\mathbf{c}_{s}^{t}(0)(x)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |P_s(\mathbf{J}_s(u), \mathbf{J}_s(v)) - P_t(\mathbf{J}_t(u), \mathbf{J}_t(v))| \\ &= \int \Gamma_1 \bullet \Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3 \bullet \Gamma_4 - \Gamma_5 \, d||M_s|| \\ &= \int (\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2) \bullet \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_3 \bullet (\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_4) - \Gamma_5 \, d||M_s||. \end{aligned}$$

Now apply the preceding Lemma and (35).

The following Corollary follows by letting t = 0 above.

**Corollary 10.3.** If  $u \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$  then

$$P_s(\mathbf{J}_s(u), \mathbf{J}_s(u)) \le (1 + C_{\mathbf{J}})|s| |u|.$$

10.5. Symmetric bilinear functions. Suppose V is an inner product space, **B** is the vector space of real value symmetric bilinear functions on  $V \times V$ . We say  $T \in \mathbf{B}$  is nonnegative if  $T(v, v) \ge 0$  for  $v \in V$ . and  $\mathbf{B}^+$  is the set of those  $T \in \mathbf{B}$  such that  $T(v, v) \ge 0$  for  $v \in V$ . Then

(43) 
$$T(v,w) = \frac{1}{2}(T(v+w,v+w) - T(v,v) - T(w,w))$$

and, if T is nonnegative,

(44) 
$$|T(v,w)| \le \sqrt{T(v,v)T(w,w)} \le \frac{1}{2}(T(v,v) + T(w,w))$$

for  $v, w \in V$ .

**Proposition 10.1.** Suppose  $T_i \in \mathbf{B}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, U \in \mathbf{B}$ , U is nonnegative and  $|T_1(v, v) - T_2(v, v)| \le U(v, v)$  for  $v \in V$ .

Then

$$|T_1(v,w) - T_2(v,w)| \le \frac{3}{2}(U(u,u) + U(v,v)) \text{ for } u, v \in V.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $v, w \in V$ . Then

$$\begin{split} |T_1(v,w) - T_2(v,w)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |(T_1(v+w,v+w) \\ &- T_1(v,v) - T_1(w,w)) - (T_2(v+w,v+w) - T_2(v,v) - T_2(w,w))| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |(T_1(v+w,v+w) \\ &- T_2(v+w,v+w)) - (T_1(v) - T_2(v)) - (T_1(w) - T_2(w))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (U(v+w,v+w) + U(v,v) + U(w,w)) \\ &= U(v,v) + U(w,w) + U(v,w) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2} (U(v,v) + U(w,w)), \end{split}$$

# 11. $L_s^t$ AND $\epsilon_4$ .

Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_2)$ . We define a linear map

$$L_s^t: \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s) \to \mathcal{E}(\gamma_t)$$

as follows. Keeping in mind that Proposition 9.1 implies that  $\xi_t$  carries  $M_s$  diffeomorphically onto  $M_t$  we require that

(45) 
$$L_s^t(W)(\xi_t(x)) = \gamma_t(\xi_t(x))(W(x))$$
 for  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$  and  $x \in M_s$ .

**Remark 11.1.** This is not the  $L_s^t$  of Part Two of the proof of Lemma 5.4 in [AA81]. There we see

$$L_s^t(W) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} F_s^t(\epsilon W) \big|_{\epsilon=0}$$

This has the pleasant property that  $L_s^u = L_t^u \circ L_s^t$  which  $L_s^t$  above does not have but it is harder to work with.

We let

$$h_L: \underline{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \to \mathbb{End}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

be such that  $h_L(s, z) = \gamma_s(\xi_s(z))$  for  $(s, z) \in \underline{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$ ; note that  $h_L$  is smooth. We infer from Proposition 9.5 that

$$\gamma_s(\xi_s(z)) - \gamma_t(\xi_t(z)) = \Box h_L(s,t,z)(s-t) \quad \text{for } (s,t,z) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2).$$

If  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$  and  $x \in M_s$  we have

(46) 
$$L_s^t(W)(\xi_t(x)) = W(x) - \Box h_L(s, t, \xi_t(x))(s-t)(W(x))$$

whenever  $x \in M_s$  since  $\gamma_s(\xi_s(x))(W(x)) = \gamma_s(x)(W(x)) = W(x)$ . Let

$$C_L = \sup\{||\Box h_L(s,t,z)(u)|| : (s,t,z) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}), \ u \in \mathcal{J} \text{ and } |u| \le 1\}.$$

Then  $C_L < \infty$  and

(47) 
$$||\gamma_s(\xi_s(z)) - \gamma_t(\xi_t(z))|| \le C_L |s - t| \quad \text{whenever } z \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2)$$

and

(48) 
$$(1 - C_L |s - t|) |W(x)| \le |L_s^t(W)(\xi_t(x))| \le (1 + C_L |s - t|) |W(x)|.$$
 Let

 $\epsilon_4 \in (0, \min\{1, \epsilon_3\}]$ 

be such that  $2C_L(2\epsilon_4) < 1$ .

The following Theorem is an immediate consequence of (48).

**Theorem 11.1.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_4)$ . Then  $L_s^t$  carries  $\mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$  isomorphically onto  $\mathcal{E}(\gamma_t)Su$ 

**Theorem 11.2.** and there is a constant  $C_{L,P}$  such that

$$|P_t(L_s^t(X), L_s^t(Y)) - P_s(X, Y)| \le C_{L,P}|s - t|)P_s(X, Y).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$  and  $\mathbf{c}_s^t$  is as in (9.2). Using 46 we find that

$$\begin{split} \int |L_s^t(W)|^2 &- |W|^2 \, d||M_t|| \\ &= \int |L_s^t(W) \circ \xi_t|^2 \mathcal{W}_m(D(\xi_t|M_s)) - |W|^2 \, d||M_s|| \\ &= \int |L_s^t(W) \circ \xi_t|^2 (1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(0)) \, d||M_s|| \\ &= \int |W(x) - \Box h_L(s, t, \xi_t(x)(s-t))|^2 (1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(0)(x)) - |W(x)|^2 \, d||M_s|| \end{split}$$

Now use (36) and Proposition 10.1 to complete the proof.

**Proposition 11.1.** Suppose  $s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1)$  and  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$ . Then  $|R_s(W,W)| \le m\underline{\mathbf{r}}^2 P_s(W,W).$ 

Proof. Suppose  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $x \in M_s$  we have  $|A_s(x)(W(x))|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m |A_s(x)(W(x))(u_i)|^2 \le m ||B_s(x)||^2 ||W(x)|^2 \le m \underline{\mathbf{b}}^2 |W(x)|^2.$ 

**Theorem 11.3.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_4)$ . There is a constant  $C_{L,R}$  such that

$$|R_t(L_s^t(X), L_s^t(Y)) - R_s(X, Y)| \le C_{L,R}|s - t|)P_s(X, Y) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s).$$

*Proof.* We let

$$h_A(s,z) = \underline{A}(s,\underline{\xi}(s,z))(\underline{\gamma}(s,\underline{\xi}(s,z))) \text{ for } (s,z) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}).$$

Suppose  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$ . Since  $(s, t, x) \in \underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2)$  we have

$$A_{s}(x)(W(x)) = A_{s}(\xi_{s}(x))(\gamma_{s}(\xi_{s}(x))(W(x))) = h_{A}(s,x)(W(x))$$

as well as

$$A_t(\xi_t(x))(L_s^t(W)(\xi_t(x)))$$
  
=  $A_t(\xi_t(x))(\gamma_t(\xi_t(x))(W(x)))$   
=  $\underline{A}(t, \underline{\xi}(t, x))(\underline{\gamma}(t, \underline{\xi}(t, x))(W(x)))$   
=  $h_A(t, x)(W(x))$ 

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} R_t(L_s^t(W), L_s^t(W)) &- R_s(W, W) \\ &= \int |A_t(y)(L_s^t(W)(y))|^2 d||M_t||y - \int |A_s(x)(W(x) d||M_s||x) \\ &= \int |A_t(\xi_t(x))(L_s^t(W)(\xi_t(x)))|^2 (1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(0)(x)) d||M_s||x) \\ &= \int h_A(t, x)(W(x))(1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(0)(x)) - h_A(s, x)(W(x)) d||M_s||x| \\ &= \int (h_A(t, x) - h_A(s, x))(W(x)) + h_A(t, x)(W(x))\mathbf{c}_s^t(0)(x)) d||M_s||x. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of the constant  $C_{L,R}$  now follows from Proposition 9.5, (35) and Proposition 10.1.

**Theorem 11.4.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_4)$ . There is a constant  $C_{L,Q}$  such that for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s, \underline{\mathbf{r}})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_t(L_s^t(X), L_s^t(Y)) - Q_s(X, Y)| &\leq C_{L,Q}|s - t| \left( (P_t + Q_t)(X, X) + (P_t + Q_t)(Y, Y) \right) \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$Proof. \text{ For } u \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_4) \text{ and } U, V \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_u, \underline{\mathbf{r}}) \text{ we set}$$

$$T_u(U,V) = \int DU \bullet DV \, d||M_u||$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} T_u(U,V) &= \int (D_{\gamma}U(y) + A_u(y)(U(y))) \bullet (D_{\gamma}V(y) + A_u(y)(V(y))) \, d||M_u||y\\ &= \int D_{\gamma}U(y) \bullet D_{\gamma}V(y) + A_u(y)(U(y)) \bullet A_u(y)(V(y)) \, d||M_u||y\\ &= Q_u(U,V) + R_u(U,V). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s, \underline{\mathbf{r}})$ . We calculate

$$Q_{t}(L_{s}^{t}(W), L_{s}^{t}(W)) = \int DL_{s}^{t}(W)(y) \bullet DL_{s}^{t}(W)(y) d||M_{t}||y = \int DL_{s}^{t}(W)(\xi_{t}(x)) \bullet DL_{s}^{t}(W)(\xi_{t}(x))(1 + \mathbf{c}_{s}^{t}(x)) d||M_{s}||x.$$

Now by (ii) of Theorem 5.3 and (46) we have for any  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s, \underline{\mathbf{r}})$  that

$$DL_{s}^{t}(W)(\xi_{t}(x))(u) = DL_{s}^{t}(W)(\xi_{t}(x)) \circ \tau_{t}(\xi_{t}(x))(u) = DL_{s}^{t}(W)(\xi_{t}(x)) \circ D\xi_{t}(\xi_{t}(x))(u) = DL_{s}^{t}(W) \circ \xi_{t})(\xi_{t}(x))(u) = D(L_{s}^{t}(W) \circ \xi_{t})(\xi_{t}(x))(u) = DW(x)(u) - D^{0,0,1} \Box h_{L}(s, t, \xi_{t}(x))(u)(s - t)(W(x))) - \Box h_{L}(s, t, \xi_{t}(x))(s - t)(DW(x)(u)) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \Gamma_{i}(s, t, x)(DW(x), s - t, W(x))(u)$$

where

 $\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{E}(\underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2), \mathbb{MultiLin}(\mathbb{E}nd(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{E}nd(\mathbb{R}^n))) \quad i = 0, 1, 2,$  are such that

$$\Gamma_0(s,t,x)(l,v,w)(u) = l(u); 
\Gamma_1(s,t,x)(l,v,w)(u) = -D^{0,0,1} \Box h_L(s,t,\xi_t(x))(u)(v)(w); 
\Gamma_2(s,t,x)(l,v,w)(u) = -\Box h_L(s,t,\xi_t(x))(v)(l(u))$$

For i, j = 0, 1, 2 we let

$$Z_{i,j}(W,W) \in \mathcal{E}(\underline{O}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}/2))$$

be such that

$$\begin{split} &Z_{i,j}(W,W)(s,t,x)=\Gamma_i(s,t,x)(DW(x),s-t,W(x))\bullet\Gamma_j(s,t,x)(DW(x),s-t,W(x))\\ \text{for }i,j=0,1,2 \text{ and } (s,t,x)\in\underline{O(\mathbf{r}/2)}. \text{ Then (49) implies that} \end{split}$$

$$T_t(L_s^t(W), L_s^t(W)) = \int DL_s^t(W)(\xi_t(x)) \bullet DL_s^t(W)(\xi_t(x))(1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(0)) \, d||M_s||x||$$
$$= \int \sum_{i,j=0}^2 Z_{i,j}(W, W)(s, t, x)(1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(0)(x)) \, d||M_s||x|.$$

We have

$$|Z_{0,0}(W,W) - |DW|^2| = |\mathbf{c}_s^t||DW|^2 \le C_{\mathcal{W}_m}|s-t||DW|^2.$$

If  $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and not both i and j are 0 there is a constant  $c_1$  such that

$$|Z_{i,j}(W,W)(s,t,x)(1+\mathbf{c}_s^t(x))| \le c_1|s-t|(|W|^2+|DW|^2).$$

It follows that

$$|T_t(L_s^t(W), L_s^t(W)) - T_s(W, W)| \le (C_{\mathcal{W}_m} + 5c_1)C_{\mathcal{W}_m}|s - t|(P_s(W, W) + T_s(W, W)).$$

Since  $Q_s = T_s - R_s$  the Theorem now follows from Theorem 11.3 and Proposition 10.1.

**Theorem 11.5.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_4)$ . There is a constant  $C_{L,S}$  such that  $|S_t(L_s^t(X), L_s^t(Y)) - S_s(X, Y)| \leq C_{L,S} |s-t| ((P_s+Q_s)(X, X) + (P_s+Q_s)(Y, Y))$  for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$ . *Proof.* Suppose  $X, Y \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$ . Then

$$|S_t(L_s^t(X), L_s^t(Y)) - S_s(X, Y)|$$
  
=  $|(Q_t - R_t - mP_t)(L_s^t(X), L_s^t(Y)) - (Q_s - R_s - mP_s)(X, Y)|$   
 $\leq |Q_t(L_s^t(X), L_s^t(Y)) - Q_s(X, Y)|$   
+  $|R_t(L_s^t(X), L_s^t(Y)) - R_s(X, Y)|$   
+  $m|P_t(L_s^t(X), L_s^t(Y)) - P_s(X, Y)|$ 

Now apply Theorems 11.2, 11.3 and 11.4.

 $1 \mathrm{b}$ 

12.  $\epsilon_5$ .

Let

$$\epsilon_5 \in (0, \epsilon_4]$$

be such that

$$(50) 2C_{L,Q}\epsilon_5 < \frac{1}{2}$$

**Remark 12.1.** The following Lemma is a replacement for Part Four of Lemma 5.4 of [AA81] which is false; to see this let  $\lambda$  there equal zero. Here is a corrected version for which the inequalities derived in the proof of Part Four of Lemma 5.4 of [AA81] do not suffice to prove the replacement.

**Lemma 12.1.** There is a constant  $C_{L,*}$  such that if  $s,t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_5)$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$ ,  $X^* = X - E_{s,0}(X)$  and

$$S_t(L_s^t(X), Y) = \lambda P_t(L_s^t(X), Y) \text{ for } Y \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_t)$$

then

$$\kappa_s P_s(X^*, X^*) \le (|\lambda|(1 + C_{L,*}|s - t|) + C_{L,*}|s - t|) P_s(X, X).$$

Proof. We have

$$\kappa_s P_s(X^*, X^*) \le |S_s(X, X^*)| \le \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$$

where

$$\Gamma_1 = |S_t(L_s^t(X), L_s^t(X^*))|$$
 and  $\Gamma_2 = |S_t(L_s^t(X), L_s^t(X^*)) - S_s(X, X^*)|.$ 

$$\Gamma_{1} = |\lambda||P_{t}(L_{s}^{t}(X), L_{s}^{t}(X^{*}))| \\
\leq |\lambda|(|P_{s}(X, X^{*}) + C_{L,P}|s - t|(P_{s}(X, X) + P_{s}(X^{*}, X^{*}))) \\
\leq |\lambda|(1 + 2C_{L,P}|s - t|)P_{s}(X, X)$$

and

$$\Gamma_2 \leq C_{L,S}|s-t| \left( (P_s+Q_s)(X,X) + (P_s+Q_s)(X^*,X^*) \right) \\ \leq C_{L,S}|s-t| (2P_s(X,X) + Q_s(X,X) + Q_s(X^*,X^*)).$$

We estimate

$$\begin{split} Q_s(X,X) \\ &\leq Q_t(L_s^t(X),L_s^t(X)) + C_{L,Q}|s - t|(P_s(X,X) + Q_s(X,X)) \\ &= (S_t + mP_t + R_t)(L_s^t(X),L_s^t(X) + C_{L,Q}|s - t|(P_s(X,X) + Q_s(X,X)) \\ &= \lambda P_t(L_s^t(X),L_s^t(X) + (mP_t + R_t)(L_s^t(X),L_s^t(X)) \\ &+ C_{L,Q}|s - t|(P_s(X,X) + Q_s(X,X)) \\ &\leq ((|\lambda| + m)(1 + C_{L,P}|s - t|) + (1 + C_{L,R}|s - t|))P_s(X,X) \\ &+ C_{L,Q}|s - t|(P_s(X,X) + Q_s(X,X)) \end{split}$$

so, as  $1 - C_{L,Q}|s - t| \ge 1 - C_{L,Q}(2\epsilon_5) \ge 1/2$  we have

$$Q_s(X,X) \le 2((|\lambda|+m)(1+C_{L,P}|s-t|) + (1+C_{L,R}|s-t|))P_s(X,X).$$

Since  $S_s(X_0, Y) = 0$  for any  $Y \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$  we estimate

$$Q_s(X^*, X^*) = Q_s(X, X) - 2Q_s(X, X_0) + Q_s(X_0, X_0)$$
  
=  $Q_s(X, X) + mP_s(X, X_0) + R_s(X, X_0) + mP_s(X_0, X_0) + R_s(X_0, X_0)$   
 $\leq Q_s(X, X) + (2m + 2m^2 \mathbf{b}^2) P_s(X, X).$ 

The existence of  $C_{L,*}$  follows.

12.1.  $\Pi_s$ . For each  $s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_5)$  let

 $\Pi_s$ 

be  $Pi_s$  orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$  onto  $\mathbf{rng} \mathbf{J}_s$ .

**Lemma 12.2.** There is a constant  $C_{\Pi}$  such that

$$|P_t(\Pi_t(L_s^t(W), \Pi_t(L_s^t(W))) - P_s(\Pi_s(W), \Pi_s(W))| = C_{\Pi}|s - t|P_s(W, W)$$

whenever  $s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_5)$  and  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A_s = \mathbf{J}_s^* \circ \mathbf{J}_s$ . Then  $\mathbf{J}_s \circ A_s^{-1/2}$  is an isometry from  $\mathcal{J}$  to  $\mathbf{rng} \mathbf{J}_s$ . Indeed, if  $u, v \in \mathcal{J}$  we have

(51)  

$$P_{s}(\mathbf{J}_{s} \circ A_{s}^{-1/2}(u), \bullet \mathbf{J}_{s} \circ A_{s}^{-1/2}(v))$$

$$= \mathbf{J}_{s}^{*} \circ \mathbf{J}_{s} \circ A_{s}^{-1/2}(u) \bullet v$$

$$= A_{s} \circ A_{s}^{-1/2}(u) \bullet A_{s}^{-1/2}(v)$$

$$= u \bullet v.$$

Suppose  $W \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$ . If  $u_1, \ldots, u_j$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $U_{s,i} = \mathbf{J}_s^* \circ A^{-1/2}(u_i) \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$  then

$$\Pi_s(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{j}} P_s(W, U_{s,i}) U_{s,i}$$

which implies that

$$\Pi_t(L_s^t(W), L_s^t(W)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{j}} P_t(L_s^t(W), U_{t,i})^2.$$

Thus

$$P_t(L_s^t(W), U_{t,i}) = \int L_s^t(W) \bullet U_{t,i} \, d||M_t||$$
  
=  $\int L_s^t(W)(\xi_t(x)) \bullet U_{t,i}(\xi_t(x))(1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(x)) \, d||M_s||x$   
=  $\int \gamma_t(\xi_t(x))(W(x)) \bullet U_{t,i}(\xi_t(X))(1 + \mathbf{c}_s^t(x)) \, d||M_s||x$ 

The Lemma now follows from the estimates occurring in the proof of Theorem 11.3.  $\hfill \Box$ 

12.2.  $\epsilon_6$ .

**Remark 12.2.** The following Lemma is, essentially, Part Six of Lemma 5.4 of [AA81].

**Lemma 12.3.** Suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_5)$ ,  $\Pi_s = E_{s,0}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Pi_t \neq E_{t,\lambda}$ . Then

$$\kappa_s(1 - C_{L,P}|s - t|) \le |\lambda|(1 + C_{L,*}|s - t|) + C_{L,*}|s - t|.$$

*Proof.* Choose Y such that  $Y \in \operatorname{rng} E_{t,\lambda} \sim \{0\}$  and  $\Pi_t(Y) = 0$ ; if  $\lambda = 0$  this is possible since  $\operatorname{rng} \Pi_t \subset E_{t,0}$  and if  $\lambda \neq 0$  this is possible since  $\operatorname{rng} \Pi_t \subset E_{t,0}$  which does not meet  $E_{t,\lambda}$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma_s) \sim \{0\}$  be such that  $Y = L_s^t(X)$ ; this is possible since  $L_s^t$  carries  $\mathcal{E}(\gamma_s)$  isomorphically onto  $\mathcal{E}(\gamma_t)$ . Rescaling Y if necessary may assume that  $P_s(X, X) = 1$ .

We have

$$P_{s}(\Pi_{s}(X),\Pi_{s}(X)) = |P_{t}(Y,Y) - P_{s}(\Pi_{s}(X),\Pi_{s}(X))|$$
  
=  $|P_{t}(L_{s}^{t}(X),L_{s}^{t}(X)) - P_{s}(\Pi_{s}(X),\Pi_{s}(X))|$   
 $\leq C_{L,P}|s-t|P_{s}(X,X)$   
=  $C_{L,P}|s-t|$ 

so that, as  $\operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} \Pi_s \subset \operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} E_{s,0}$ ,

$$\kappa_s(1 - C_{L,P}|s - t|) \leq \kappa_s(P_s(X, X) - P_s(E_{s,0}(X), E_{s,0}(X))); \leq \kappa_s(P_s(X, X) - P_s(E_{s,0}(X), E_{s,0}(X)));$$

now apply Lemma 12.1.

Let

$$\epsilon_6 \in (0, \epsilon_5]$$

be such that  $\kappa_0 > (C_{L,P} + C_{L,*})\epsilon_6$ .

Corollary 12.1. rng 
$$\mathbf{J}_t = \operatorname{rng} E_{t,0}$$
 for  $t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6)$ .

Proof. Suppose  $t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6)$  and  $\Pi_t \neq E_{t,0}$ . Applying Lemma 12.3 with  $\lambda = 0$  we would have  $\kappa_0(1 - C_{L,P}|t|) \leq C_{L,*}|t|$  which would imply  $\kappa_0 \leq (C_{L,S}(1+C)|t| < (C_{L,P} + C_{L,*})\epsilon_6$ .

**Corollary 12.2.** There is a constant  $C_{\kappa}$  such that

$$s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6) \Rightarrow |\kappa_s - \kappa_t| \le C_{\kappa} |s - t|.$$

*Proof.* For  $u \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6)$  let  $\Lambda_u = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \sim \{0\} : E_{t,\lambda} \neq 0\}.$ 

Suppose  $u \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6)$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_u$ . Since  $\Pi_0 = E_{0,0}$  and  $\Pi_u = E_{u,0} \neq E_{u,\lambda}$  Lemma 12.3 implies

$$\kappa_u(1 - C_{L,P}|u|) \le |\lambda|(1 + C_{L,*}|u|) + C_{L,*}|u|$$

Since  $\kappa_0 = \inf \Lambda_0$  we have

 $\kappa_u(1 - C_{L,P}|u|) \le \kappa_0(1 + C_{L,*}|u|) + C_{L,*}|u|.$ 

Now suppose  $s, t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6)$ ,  $\kappa_t \geq \kappa_s$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_s$ . Since  $\Pi_s = E_{s,\lambda}$ , Lemma 12.3 implies that

$$\kappa_t(1 - C_{L,P}|s - t|) \le |\lambda|(1 + C_{L,*}|s - t|) + C_{L,*}|s - t|.$$

Since  $\kappa_s = \inf \Lambda_s$  we find that

$$\kappa_t (1 - C_{L,P}|s - t|) \le \kappa_s (1 + C_{L,*}|s - t|) + C_{L,*}|s - t|;$$

subtracting  $\kappa_s(1 - C_{L,P}|s - t|)$  from both sides we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\kappa_t - \kappa_s)(1 - C_{L,P}|s - t|) \\ &\leq \kappa_s (C_{L,*} + C_{L,P})|s - t| + C_{L,*}|s - t| \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa_0 (1 + C_{L,*}|s|) + C_{L,*}|s|}{1 - C_{L,P}|s|} (C_{L,*} + C_{L,P})|s - t| + C_{L,*}|s - t| \end{aligned}$$

so the Corollary holds.

13. Proof of a statement similar to 5.4(4) of [AA81].

**Theorem 13.1.** There is  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that if  $(s, Z) \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $|s| < \epsilon_6/2$  and  $\chi^{[1]}(Z) < \delta$  there is  $t \in \mathcal{J}(s, \epsilon_6/2)$  such that

$$|s-t|^2 \le 2(1+C_{\mathbf{J}}|s|)P_s(E_{s,0}(Z), E_{s,0}(Z))$$

and

 $E_{t,0}(F_s^t(Z)) = 0.$ 

13.1.  $\zeta_{Z,s}$ . For  $(s, Z) \in \mathcal{G}$  with  $|s| < \epsilon_6/2$  we define

$$\zeta_{Z,s}:\mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6)\to\mathcal{J}$$

by requiring that

$$\zeta_{Z,s}(t) \bullet v = \int F_s^t(Z) \bullet \mathbf{J}_t(v) \, d||M_t|| \quad \text{for } t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6) \text{ and } v \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Since  $F_s^s(Z) = Z$  we infer from Corollary 10.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_{Z,s}(s) \bullet v| &= \leq \chi^0(Z) \int |\mathbf{J}_s(v)| \, d||M_s|| \\ &\leq \chi^0(Z) P_s(\mathbf{J}_s(v), \mathbf{J}_s(v))^{1/2} \\ &\leq \chi^0(Z) (1 + C_{\mathbf{J}}|s|)^{1/2} |v| \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

(52) 
$$|\zeta_{Z,s}(s)| \leq \frac{\epsilon_6}{4} \quad \text{if} \quad \chi^0(Z) \left(1 + C_{\mathbf{J}} \frac{\epsilon_6}{2}\right)^{1/2} < \frac{\epsilon_6}{4}$$

**Lemma 13.1.** There is a constant  $C_{1,\zeta}$  such that

$$||D\zeta_{Z,s}(t) - D\zeta_{0,s}(t)|| \le C_{1,\zeta}\chi^{[1]}(Z).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{Z,s}(t) \bullet v &= \int F_s^t(Z)(\xi_t(F_s(Z)(x))) \bullet \mathbf{J}_t(v)(\xi_t(F_s(Z)(x))) \mathcal{W}_m(D(\xi_t \circ F_s(Z))(x)) \, d||M_s| \\ &= \int \rho_t(F_s(Z)(x) \bullet \mathbf{J}_t(v)(\xi_t(F_s(Z)(x))) \mathcal{W}_m(D(\xi_t \circ F_s(Z) \, d||M_s||x) \\ &= \int A_s(Z)(t,x) B_s(Z)(t,x)(v) C_s(Z)(t,x) \, d||M_s||x \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{s}(Z)(t,x) &= \rho_{t}(F_{s}(Z)(x)) = \underline{\rho}(t,F_{s}(Z)(x)); \\ B_{s}(Z)(t,x)(v) &= \mathbf{J}_{t}(v)(\xi_{t}(F_{s}(Z)(x))) = -D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(t,\underline{\xi}(t,F_{s}(Z)(x)))(v); \\ C_{s}(Z)(t,x) &= \mathcal{W}_{m}(D(\xi_{t}\circ F_{s}(Z))(x)) = \mathcal{W}_{m}(D^{0,1}\underline{\xi}(t,F_{s}(Z)(x))\circ DF_{s}(Z)(x)); \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$D^{1,0}A(Z)(t,x)(u) = D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(t,F_s(Z)(x))(u);$$
  

$$D^{1,0}B(Z)(t,x)(u)(v) = -D(D^{1,0}\underline{\rho})(t,\underline{\xi}(t,F_s(Z)(x)))(u,D^{1,0}\xi(t,F_s(Z)(x))(u))(v);$$
  

$$D^{1,0}C(W)(t,x)(u) = D\mathcal{W}_m(D^{0,1}\underline{\xi}(t,F_s(Z)(x)) \circ DF_s(Z)(x)))$$
  

$$(D^{1,0}(D^{0,1}\underline{\xi})(t,F_s(Z)(x)(u) \circ DF_s(Z)(x)))).$$

In particular,

$$D^{1,0}A(0)(t,x)(u) = D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(t,x)(u);$$
  

$$D^{1,0}B(0)(t,x)(u)(v) = -D(D^{1,0}\underline{\rho})(t,\underline{\xi}(t,x))(u,D^{1,0}\xi(t,x)(u))(v);$$
  

$$D^{1,0}C(W)(t,x)(u) = D\mathcal{W}_m(D^{0,1}\underline{\xi}(t,x)\circ\tau_s(x))$$
  

$$(D^{1,0}(D^{0,1}(\xi)(t,x)(u)\circ\tau_x(x))).$$

**Lemma 13.2.** There are constants  $c_A, c_B, c_C$  such that

$$||D^{1,0}A(Z)(t,x) - D^{1,0}A(0)(t,x)|| \le c_A \chi^{[1]}(Z);$$
  
$$||D^{1,0}B(Z)(t,x) - D^{1,0}B(0)(t,x)|| \le c_B \chi^{[1]}(Z);$$
  
$$||D^{1,0}C(Z)(t,x) - D^{1,0}C(0)(t,x)|| \le c_C \chi^{[1]}(Z).$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $F_s(Z)(x) = f_s(x, Z(x))$  and  $F_s(0)(x) = f_s(x, 0)$ . This implies that  $DF_s(Z)(x) = Df_s(x, Z(x)) \circ (\tau_s(x), DZ(x))$  and  $DF_s(0)(x) = Df_s(x, 0) \circ (\tau_s(x), 0)$ .

**Lemma 13.3.** There is a constant  $C_{2,\zeta}$  such that

$$||D\zeta_{0,s}(t) - D\zeta_{0,s}(s)|| \le C_{2,\zeta}|s-t| \quad for \ s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6) \ and \ t \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\zeta_{0,s}(t) \bullet v = \int \rho_t(x) \bullet D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(t,x)(v) \mathcal{W}_m(D\xi_t(x) \circ \tau_s(x)) \, d||M_s||.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} D\zeta_{0,s}(t)(u) \bullet v \\ &= \int D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(t,x)(u) \bullet D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(t,x)(v)\mathcal{W}_m(D\xi_t(x)\circ\tau_s(x)) \\ &+ \rho_t(x) \bullet D^{2,0}\underline{\rho}(t,x)(u\odot v)\mathcal{W}_m(D\xi_t(x)\circ\tau_s(x)) \\ &+ \rho_t(x) \bullet D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(t,x)(v)D\mathcal{W}_m(D\xi_t(x)\circ\tau_s(x))(D^{1,0}\underline{\xi}(t,x)(u)\circ\tau(x)\,d||M_s||x| \\ \text{Since } \rho_s(x) = 0 \text{ and } D\xi_s(x)\circ\tau_s(x) = \tau_s(x) \text{ for } x \in M_s \text{ we have} \end{aligned}$$

(53) 
$$D\zeta_{0,s}(s)(u) \bullet v = \int D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(s,x)(u) \bullet D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(s,x)(v) \, d||M_s||x||$$

If 
$$(t,x) \in \underline{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$$
 then  $\underline{\rho}(t,x) = -\Box \underline{\rho}(s,t,x)(s-t)$  and  
 $D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(t,x) = D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(s,x) - \Box (D^{1,0}\underline{\rho})(s,t,x)(s-t).$ 

## Lemma 13.4. We have

$$||D\zeta_{0,s}(s) - i_{\mathcal{J}}|| \le C_{\mathbf{J}}|s| \text{ for } s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_1).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $s \in \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6)$  and  $u, v \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then

$$D\zeta_{0,s}(s)(u) \bullet v - u \bullet v$$

$$= \int D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(s,x)(u) \bullet D^{1,0}\underline{\rho}(s,x)(v) d||M_s||x - \int \mathbf{J}_0(u) \bullet \mathbf{J}_0(v) d||M_0||$$

$$= \int \mathbf{J}_s(u) \bullet \mathbf{J}_s(v) d||M_s|| - \mathbf{J}_0(u) \bullet \mathbf{J}_0(v) d||M_0||$$

$$= P_s(\mathbf{J}_s(u), \mathbf{J}_s(v)) - P_0(\mathbf{J}_0(u), \mathbf{J}_0(v));$$

Now apply Corollary 10.2.

13.2. Finding a zero of  $\zeta_{Z,s}$ . Let  $B = \{u \in \mathcal{J} : |u - s| \leq \zeta_6/2\} \subset \mathcal{J}(\epsilon_6)$ ; let  $g : B \to \mathcal{J}$  be such that  $g(u) = \zeta_{Z,s}(u)$  for  $u \in B$ ; and let It follows from Lemmas (13.1), (13.3) 13.4 that

 $||D\zeta_{Z,s}(t) - i_{\mathcal{J}}|| \le ||D\zeta_{Z,s}(t) - D\zeta_{0,s}(s)|| + ||D\zeta_{0,s}(s) - i_{\mathcal{J}}|| \le C_{1,\zeta}\chi^{[1]}(Z) + C_{2,\zeta}|s - t| + C_{\mathbf{J}}|s|.$ Suppose (52); then

$$|g(s)| < \frac{\epsilon_6}{4}.$$

Suppose

(54) 
$$C_{1,\zeta}\chi^{[1]}(Z) + C_{2,\zeta}|s-t| + C_{\mathbf{J}}|s| \le \frac{1}{2}$$

 $p: B \to \mathcal{J}$  be such that p(u) = (g(u) - g(s)) - (u - s) for  $u \in B$ . Then p(s) = 0and  $\operatorname{Lip} p \leq 1/2$ . Let  $C: B \to \mathcal{J}$  be such that C(u) = s - g(s) - p(u) for  $u \in B$ . Then  $\operatorname{Lip} C = \operatorname{Lip} p \leq 1/2$ .

Suppose  $u \in B$ . Then

 $|C(u) - s| = |g(s) + p(u)| = |g(s) + p(u) - p(s)| \le |g(s)| + \operatorname{Lip} p|u - s| \le \epsilon_6 - |s|$ so  $C[B] \subset B$ . Since B is closed and  $\operatorname{Lip} C \le 1/2 < 1$ , C has a unique fixed point  $t \in B$ . Since t = C(t) = s - g(s) - p(t) = s - g(s) - (p(t) - p(s)) we have

$$|s - t| = |g(s) + (p(t) - p(s))| \le |g(s)| + \frac{|s - t|}{2}$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$|s-t| \le 2|g(s)|.$$

Suppose  $u \in \mathcal{J}$  and |u| = 1. Then

$$g(s) \bullet u = \int Z \bullet \mathbf{J}_s(u) \, d||M_s|| = P_s(Z, \mathbf{J}_s(u)) = P_s(E_{s,0}(Z), \mathbf{J}_s(u))$$

so by Corollary 10.2 we have

$$|g(s) \bullet u|^{2} \leq P_{s}(E_{s,0}(Z), E_{s,0}(Z))P_{s}(\mathbf{J}_{s}(u), \mathbf{J}_{s}(u))$$
  
$$\leq P_{s}(E_{s,0}(Z), E_{s,0}(Z))(P_{0}(\mathbf{J}_{0}(u), \mathbf{J}_{0}(u)) + C_{\mathbf{J}}|s|)$$
  
$$\leq P_{s}(E_{s,0}(Z), E_{s,0}(Z))(1 + C_{\mathbf{J}}|s|)$$

so that

$$|s-t|^2 \le 2|g(s)| \le P_s(E_{s,0}(Z), E_{s,0}(Z))(1+C_{\mathbf{J}}|s|).$$

#### References

[AW1] W. K. Allard. On the first variation of a varifold. Ann. Math., 95:417–491, 1972.
 [AA81] William K. Allard and Frederick J. Almgren, Jr. On the radial behavior of minimal surfaces

and the uniqueness of their tangent cones. Ann. of Math. (2), 113(2):215–265, 1981.

[FE1] H. Federer: Geometric Measure Theory, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einszeldarstellungen, Band 153, Springer Verlag, (1969)

[FE2] H. Federer: Curvature measures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 95:3 (1959), pp. 418-491.

[MN] Francesco Maggi and Michael Novack, Isoperimetric Residues and a Mesoscale Flatness Criterion for Hypersurfaces with Bounded Mean Curvature, preprint.

[MS] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff, *Characteristic Classes*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Number 76, Princeton University Press.