ON THE INCLUSION RELATIONS BETWEEN GELFAND-SHILOV SPACES

ANDREAS DEBROUWERE, LENNY NEYT, AND JASSON VINDAS

Abstract. We study inclusion relations between Gelfand-Shilov type spaces defined via a weight (multi-)sequence system, a weight function system, and a translationinvariant Banach function space. We characterize when such spaces are included into one another in terms of growth relations for the defining weight sequence and function systems. Our general framework allows for a unified treatment of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces $\mathcal{S}^{[M]}_{[A]}$ $\mathbb{A}^{[M]}$ (defined via weight sequences M and A) and the Beurling-Björck spaces $\mathcal{S}_{\lceil n \rceil}^{[\omega]}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \n\alpha \\ \n\eta \n\end{bmatrix}$ (defined via weight functions ω and η).

1. Introduction

The problem of characterizing inclusion relations between ultradifferentiable classes goes back to a question of Carleman [\[6\]](#page-13-0) and was, among others, thoroughly studied by Mandelbrojt (see Chapitre VI of his thesis [\[16\]](#page-14-0) and the references therein). We refer to [\[11,](#page-13-1) [14,](#page-14-1) [17,](#page-14-2) [19\]](#page-14-3) for recent works related to this topic.

The goal of this article is to obtain characterizations of inclusion relations between Gelfand-Shilov spaces [\[13\]](#page-14-4) (= weighted spaces of ultradifferentiable functions defined on the whole of \mathbb{R}^n . These spaces, also known as spaces of type S, have been intensively studied over the past few years, see e.g. [\[2,](#page-13-2) [7,](#page-13-3) [8,](#page-13-4) [10,](#page-13-5) [18\]](#page-14-5).

The study of inclusion relations between Gelfand-Shilov spaces was recently initiated by Boiti et al. $[3, 4]$ $[3, 4]$. The main difference between $[3, 4]$ and our work is that in $[3, 4]$ only Fourier invariant Gelfand-Shilov spaces are considered, whereas we will also deal with Gelfand-Shilov spaces that do not necessarily satisfy this property. Moreover, our proof methods are completely different from the ones used in [\[3,](#page-13-6) [4\]](#page-13-7).

We shall work here with a novel broad class of Gelfand-Shilov spaces. Namely, our spaces are defined through a multi-indexed weight sequence system [\[10,](#page-13-5) [19\]](#page-14-3) (also sometimes called a weight matrix), a weight function system [\[10\]](#page-13-5), and a translation-invariant Banach function space (cf. [\[12\]](#page-14-6)) (generalizing the Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \in [1,\infty]$. This general framework leads to a unified treatment of Gelfand-Shilov

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46E10, 26E10.

Key words and phrases. Gelfand-Shilov spaces; Beurling-Björck spaces; inclusion relations; ultradifferentiable functions; weight sequence systems; weight function systems.

L. Neyt gratefully acknowledges support by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the Research Foundation – Flanders through the postdoctoral grant 12ZG921N.

J. Vindas was supported by the Research Foundation – Flanders through the grant G067621N.

spaces defined via weight sequences $[15]$ or weight functions $[5]$, and via different L^p norms. Moreover, as we consider multi-indexed weight sequence systems, our results cover the anisotropic case as well.

We now state two important samples of our results. Firstly, we consider Gelfand-Shilov spaces $\mathcal{S}_{(A)}^{(M)}$ $\mathcal{S}_{(A),p}^{(M)}$ (Beurling case) and $\mathcal{S}_{\{A\},p}^{\{M\}}$ ${A}_{\{A\},p}^{M}$ (Roumieu case), $p \in [1,\infty]$, defined via single isotropic weight sequences $M = (M_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $A = (A_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$, and the L^p-norm. We refer to Sections [2](#page-1-0) and [3](#page-6-0) for the precise definition of these spaces. We will use $\mathcal{S}_{[A]}^{[M]}$ $[A]$, p as a common notation for $\mathcal{S}_{(A)}^{(M)}$ $\mathcal{S}^{\left(M\right)}_{\left(A\right),p}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\left\{M\right\}}_{\left\{A\right\},p}$ ${A}_{\{A\},p}^{A_{\{A\}},i}$; a similar convention will be used for other spaces and notations.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p \in [1,\infty]$. Let M, N, A, B be isotropic log-convex weight sequences. *Suppose that* $S_{[A]}^{[M]}$ $\mathbb{E}_{[A],p}^{[M]} \neq \{0\}$. The following statements are equivalent:

(i) $M \prec N$ *and* $A \prec B$ *, i.e., there are* $C, H > 0$ *such that*

$$
M_q \leq CH^q N_q
$$
, and $A_q \leq CH^q B_q$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) $S_{[A],p}^{[M]} \subseteq S_{[B],p}^{[N]}$ as sets. (iii) $S_{[A],p}^{[M]} \subseteq S_{[B],p}^{[N]}$ continuously.

Next, we consider Beurling-Björck spaces $\mathcal{S}_{[n]}^{[\omega]}$ $\lim_{[\eta],p} [1]$ $\lim_{[\eta],p} [1]$ where ω is a Braun-Meise-Taylor weight function [\[5\]](#page-13-8) and $p \in [1,\infty]$. Again we refer to Sections [2](#page-1-0) and [3](#page-6-0) for the precise definition of these spaces.

Theorem 1.2. Let $p \in [1,\infty]$. Let ω, σ be Braun-Meise-Taylor weight functions and *let* $\eta, \rho : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ *be non-decreasing continuous functions. Suppose that* $S_{[n]}^{[\omega]}$ $\hat{p}_{[\eta],p}^{[\omega]}\neq$ {0}*. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\sigma(t) = O(\omega(t))$ *and* $\rho(t) = O(\eta(t)).$ (ii) $S_{[\eta],p}^{[\omega]} \subseteq S_{[\rho],p}^{[\sigma]}$ as sets. (iii) $S_{[\eta],p}^{[\omega]} \subseteq S_{[\rho],p}^{[\sigma]}$ continuously.

This article is organized as follows. In the preliminary Section [2](#page-1-0) we introduce the necessary notions to define the Gelfand-Shilov type spaces that we will be concerned with in this article. Our main results are stated in Section [3.](#page-6-0) Finally, the proofs of these results are given in Section [4.](#page-8-0)

2. Preliminaries

In this preliminary section, we introduce and discuss translation-invariant Banach function spaces, weight function systems, and weight sequence systems. These notions will be used in the next section to define the Gelfand-Shilov type spaces that we shall work with in this article. We denote translation by $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as $T_x f(t) = f(t - x)$ and reflection about the origin as $\check{f}(t) = f(-t)$. We write 1_A for the indicator function of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

2.1. Banach function spaces. The following definition is much inspired by the Banach function spaces used in the coorbit theory of Feichtinger and Gröchening $[12]$ (cf. [\[9,](#page-13-10) Sections 7 and 8]).

Definition 2.1. A Banach space E is called a *translation-invariant Banach function* space (TIBF) of bounded type ^{[1](#page-2-0)} on \mathbb{R}^n if E is non-trivial, the continuous inclusion $E \subseteq L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ holds, and E satisfies the following three conditions:

(A.1) $T_x E \subseteq E$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

 $(A.2)$ There exists $C_0 > 0$ such that $||T_x f||_E \leq C_0 ||f||_E$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f \in E$. $(A.3)$ $E * C_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq E$.

The Banach space E is called *solid* if for all $f \in E$ and $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have that

 $|g(x)| \leq |f(x)|$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \implies g \in E$ and $||g||_E \leq ||f||_E$.

Remark 2.2. Let E be a solid TIBF of bounded type. Then, every element of $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support belongs to E (cf. [\[12,](#page-14-6) Lemma 3.9]). In particular, $1_K \in E$ for every compact $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

Example 2.3. (i) The Lebesgue spaces $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, are solid TIBF of bounded type on \mathbb{R}^n . We define $L^0 = L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the space consisting of all $f \in L^\infty$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a compact $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash K$. We endow L^0 with the subspace topology induced by L^{∞} . Then, L^0 is a solid TIBF of bounded type on \mathbb{R}^n .

(ii) The mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces $L^{p_1,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), p_1,p_2 \in [1,\infty]$, are solid TIBF of bounded type on \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Following [\[12,](#page-14-6) Definition 3.4], we associate to each solid TIBF of bounded type a Banach sequence space in the following way.

Definition 2.4. Let E be a solid TIBF of bounded type. We define the space E_d as the space consisting of all $c = (c_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ such that

$$
\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n}c_jT_j1_{[0,1]^n}\in E
$$

and endow it with the norm $||c||_{E_d} = ||\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |c_j| T_j 1_{[0,1]^n}||_E$. Then, E_d is a Banach space.

Remark 2.5. Let E be a solid TIBF of bounded type. Then, $\ell^1 \subseteq E_d \subseteq \ell^{\infty}$ continuously (cf. $[12, \text{Lemma } 3.5(a)]$).

Example 2.6. (i) $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)_d = \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, and, $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)_d = c_0(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. (ii) $L^{p_1,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})_d = \ell^{p_1,p_2}(\mathbb{Z}^{2n}), p_1,p_2 \in [1,\infty].$

The following two results will be used later on.

Lemma 2.7 (cf. [\[12,](#page-14-6) Proposition 5.1]). *Let* E *be a solid TIBF of bounded type. For* $all f \in E$ and $\chi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *it holds that*

$$
S_{\chi}(f) = (f * \chi(j))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \in E_d.
$$

 $1_{Bounded\ type}$ essentially refers to property (A.2).

Proof. Let $f \in E$ and $\chi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be arbitrary. Since $E \subseteq L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f * \chi \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, the point values $f * \chi(j), j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, are well-defined. Choose $\psi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi \equiv 1$ on supp $\chi + [0,1]^n$. Then, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $x \in j + [0,1]^n$ it holds that

$$
f * \chi(j) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t)\psi(x - t)\chi(j - t)dt.
$$

Hence, we obtain that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$
\left|\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n}f*\chi(j)T_j1_{[0,1]^n}(x)\right|\leq \|\chi\|_{L^\infty}(|f|*|\psi|)(x).
$$

The result now follows from $E * C_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq E$ and the fact that E is solid.

As customary, we write $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We define $C_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{n+1}} = C_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the Banach space consisting of all $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$
||f||_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{n+1}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \langle x \rangle^{n+1} < \infty.
$$

Lemma 2.8 (cf. [\[12,](#page-14-6) Proposition 5.2]). *Let* E *be a solid TIBF of bounded type. The bilinear mapping*

$$
E_d \times C_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{n+1}} \to E, \quad (c, \psi) \mapsto R_{\psi}(c) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_j T_j \psi,
$$

is well-defined and continuous.

Proof. Let $c \in E_d$ and $\psi \in C_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{n+1}}$ be arbitrary. For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ it holds that

$$
\left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_j T_{j-k} \psi T_j 1_{[0,1]^n} \right| \leq ||\psi T_k 1_{[0,1]^n}||_{L^\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |c_j| T_j 1_{[0,1]^n}.
$$

As E is solid, we obtain that $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} c_j T_{j-k}\psi T_j 1_{[0,1]^n} \in E$ and

$$
\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_j T_{j-k} \psi T_j 1_{[0,1]^n} \right\|_E \leq \|\psi T_k 1_{[0,1]^n}\|_{L^\infty} \|c\|_{E_d}.
$$

Consequently,

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left\| T_k \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_j T_{j-k} \psi T_j 1_{[0,1]^n} \right) \right\|_E \leq C_0 \|c\|_{E_d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\psi T_k 1_{[0,1]^n}\|_{L^\infty}
$$

= $C_0 C \|c\|_{E_d} \|\psi\|_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{n+1}},$

where C_0 is the constant from condition (A.2) and $C = (n+1)^{(n+1)/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^{-(n+1)}$. Since we have the pointwise equality

$$
R_{\psi}(c) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} T_k \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_j T_{j-k} \psi T_j 1_{[0,1]^n} \right),
$$

and E is solid and complete, we may conclude that $R_{\psi}(c) \in E$ and

$$
||R_{\psi}(c)||_{E} \leq C_{0}C||c||_{E_{d}}||\psi||_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{n+1}}.
$$

 \Box

2.2. Weight function systems. By a *weight function* we mean a continuous function w on \mathbb{R}^n such that $w(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Following [\[10\]](#page-13-5), a family $\mathcal{W} = \{w^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ of weight functions is called a *weight function system* if $w^{\lambda}(x) \leq w^{\mu}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mu \leq \lambda$. We consider the following conditions on a weight function system W:

 $(wM) \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists C > 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in B(0,1) : w^{\lambda}(x+y) \leq Cw^{\mu}(x).$

- $\{wM\}$ $\forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \; \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \; \exists C > 0 \, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in B(0,1) : w^{\lambda}(x+y) \leq Cw^{\mu}(x).$
- $(M) \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists C > 0 \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n : w^{\lambda}(x+y) \leq Cw^{\mu}(x)w^{\nu}(y).$

 $\{M\} \forall \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \,\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \,\exists C > 0 \,\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n : w^\lambda(x+y) \leq C w^\mu(x) w^\nu(y).$

Note that [M] implies [wM].

For two weight function systems W and V we write

$$
\mathcal{W}(\subseteq)\mathcal{V} \iff \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : v^{\lambda}(t) = O(w^{\mu}(t)),
$$

$$
\mathcal{W}\{\subseteq\} \mathcal{V} \iff \forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ : v^{\lambda}(t) = O(w^{\mu}(t)).
$$

2.3. Weight sequence systems. A sequence $M = (M_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ of positive numbers is called a *weight sequence* if $M_0 = 1$ and $\lim_{|\alpha| \to \infty} (M_\alpha)^{1/|\alpha|} = \infty$. We define its *associated function* as

$$
\omega_M(x) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \log \frac{|x^{\alpha}|}{M_{\alpha}}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
$$

Note that $\exp \omega_M$ is a weight function.

A weight sequence M is said to be *log-convex* if there exists a convex function $F: [0, \infty)^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with $F(\alpha) = \log M_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. In [\[4,](#page-13-7) Theorem 5.2] it is shown that M is log-convex if and only if

(2.1)
$$
M_{\alpha} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|x^{\alpha}|}{\exp \omega_M(x)}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.
$$

A weight sequence M is called *isotropic* if $M = (M_{|\alpha|})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ for a sequence $(M_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Remark 2.9. Let e_j be the standard coordinate unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , $j = 1, ..., n$. If a weight sequence M is log-convex, then it satisfies

$$
M_{\alpha+e_j}^2 \le M_\alpha M_{\alpha+2e_j}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \ j = 1, \dots, n.
$$

The converse is true if M is isotropic, but false for general weight sequences $[4, \text{Example}]$ 5.4].

For two weight sequences M and N we define

 $M \subseteq N \iff \exists C > 0 \,\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n : M_\alpha \leq C N_\alpha.$

As in Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-1) we write

$$
M\preceq N\quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad \exists C,H>0\,\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n\,:\,M_\alpha\leq CH^{|\alpha|}N_\alpha.
$$

A family $\mathfrak{M} = \{ M^{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \}$ of weight sequences is called a *weight sequence system* if $M_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \leq M_{\alpha}^{\mu}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\lambda \leq \mu$. We call \mathfrak{M} log-convex if each M^{λ} is log-convex. We consider the following conditions on \mathfrak{M} :

 $\text{(L)}~\forall R>0~\forall \lambda\in\mathbb{R}_+~\exists \mu\in\mathbb{R}_+~\exists C>0~\forall \alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n~:~R^{|\alpha|}M^\mu_\alpha\leq CM^\lambda_\alpha.$

- $\label{eq:4.1} \{{\mathbf L}\} \ \forall R>0 \, \forall \mu \in {\mathbb R}_+ \, \exists \lambda \in {\mathbb R}_+ \, \exists C>0 \, \forall \alpha \in {\mathbb N}^n \, : \, R^{|\alpha|} M^\mu_\alpha \leq C M^\lambda_\alpha.$
- $(wI) \ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists H > 0 \ \forall R > 0 \ \exists C > 0 \ \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$: $M_{\alpha}^{\mu}R^{|\beta|} \leq CH^{|\alpha+\beta|}M_{\alpha+\beta}^{\lambda}.$ $\{wI\} \forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists H > 0 \forall R > 0 \exists C > 0 \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$:
	- $M^{\mu}_{\alpha}R^{|\beta|} \leq CH^{|\alpha+\beta|}M^{\lambda}_{\alpha+\beta}.$
	- (1) $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists C, H > 0 \, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n : M^{\mu}_{\alpha} M^{\nu}_{\beta} \leq C H^{|\alpha + \beta|} M^{\lambda}_{\alpha + \beta}.$
	- $\{I\} \ \forall \mu,\nu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \, \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \, \exists C,H>0 \, \forall \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \, : \, M^\mu_\alpha M^\nu_\beta \leq C H^{|\alpha+\beta|} M^\lambda_{\alpha+\beta}.$

Note that II implies [wI]. (We recall again that we employ $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ as a common notation for treating both symbols () and $\{\}\$ simultaneously.) The condition $[I]$ was introduced in [\[4,](#page-13-7) Section 6].

Remark 2.10. Every isotropic log-convex weight sequence M satisfies

$$
M_{\alpha}M_{\beta} \le M_{\alpha+\beta}, \qquad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d.
$$

In particular, every weight sequence system consisting of isotropic log-convex weight sequences satisfies [I]. However, there exist log-convex weight sequences that do not satisfy

$$
\exists C, H > 0 \,\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n : M_{\alpha} M_{\beta} \leq C H^{|\alpha + \beta|} M_{\alpha + \beta},
$$

see [\[4,](#page-13-7) Section 6]. We do not know whether every log-convex weight sequence satisfies $[wI].$

For two weight sequence systems \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{N} we write

$$
\mathfrak{M}(\subseteq)\mathfrak{N} \iff \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \,\exists \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : M^{\mu} \subseteq N^{\lambda},
$$

$$
\mathfrak{M}\{\subseteq\} \mathfrak{N} \iff \forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \,\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ : M^{\mu} \subseteq N^{\lambda}.
$$

We associate the following weight function system to a weight sequence system \mathfrak{M}

$$
\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{ \exp \omega_{M^{\lambda}} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \}.
$$

Lemma 2.11. Let $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}$ be two weight sequence systems. If $\mathfrak{M}[\subseteq] \mathfrak{N}$, then $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}[\subseteq] \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{N}}$. *If* M *and* N *are log-convex, the converse is true as well.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathfrak{M}[\subseteq] \mathfrak{N}$ implies $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}[\subseteq] \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{N}}$. If \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{N} are log-convex, the converse follows from (2.1) .

In the proof of the next result, we first state assertions for the Beurling case (i.e., the () case) followed in parenthesis by the corresponding statements for the Roumieu case ({ } case). We will use this convention throughout the rest of this article.

Lemma 2.12. *Let* M *be a weight sequence system satisfying* [L]*.*

- (i) If \mathfrak{M} *satisfies* [wI], then $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ *satisfies* [wM].
- (ii) If \mathfrak{M} *satisfies* [I], then $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ *satisfies* [M].

Proof. We only show (ii) as the proof of (i) is similar. Condition [L] implies that

(2.2)
$$
\forall R > 0 \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \left(\forall R > 0 \forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right) \exists C > 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n :
$$

$$
\exp \omega_{M^{\lambda}}(Rx) \leq C \exp \omega_{M^{\mu}}(x).
$$

For every $\lambda > 0$ there are $\mu, \nu > 0$ and $C, H > 0$ (for every $\mu, \nu > 0$ there are $\lambda > 0$ and $C, H > 0$) such that

$$
M^{\mu}_{\alpha} M^{\nu}_{\beta} \leq CH^{|\alpha+\beta|} M^{\lambda}_{\alpha+\beta}, \qquad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n}.
$$

Hence, we obtain that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$
\exp \omega_{M^{\lambda}}(x+y) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\left| \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} x^{\beta} y^{\alpha-\beta} \right|}{M_{\alpha}^{\lambda}}
$$

$$
\leq C \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} 2^{-|\alpha|} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \frac{|(2Hx)^{\beta}|}{M_{\beta}^{\mu}} \frac{|(2Hy)^{\alpha-\beta}|}{M_{\alpha-\beta}^{\nu}}
$$

$$
\leq C \exp \omega_{M^{\mu}}(2Hx) \exp \omega_{M^{\nu}}(2Hy).
$$

The result now follows from (2.2) .

To end this section, we present two important classes of weight sequence systems and weight function systems. Firstly, given a single weight sequence M , we define $\mathfrak{M}_M = \{ (\lambda^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \}$ and $\mathcal{W}_M = \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}_M} = \{ e^{\omega_M(\frac{1}{\lambda})} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \}$. Then, \mathfrak{M}_M is log-convex if and only if M is so and \mathfrak{M}_M always satisfies [L]. For two weight sequences M and N it holds that $\mathfrak{M}_M\subseteq \mathfrak{M}_N$ if and only if $M\preceq N$.

Next, following [\[19,](#page-14-3) Section 5], we introduce weight sequence systems and weight function systems generated by a weight function in the sense of [\[5\]](#page-13-8). We consider the following conditions on a non-decreasing continuous function $\omega : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$:

 $(\alpha) \omega(2t) = O(\omega(t)).$

$$
(\gamma) \log t = o(\omega(t)).
$$

 $(\delta) \phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty), \phi(x) = \omega(e^x)$ is convex.

We call ω a *Braun-Meise-Taylor weight function (BMT weight function)* if $\omega_{[0,1]} \equiv 0$ and ω satisfies the above conditions. In such a case, we define the *Young conjugate* of ϕ as

$$
\phi^*: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty), \quad \phi^*(y) = \sup_{x \ge 0} (yx - \phi(x)).
$$

We define $\mathfrak{M}_{\omega} = \{ M_{\omega}^{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \},$ where $M_{\omega}^{\lambda} = (\exp(\frac{1}{\lambda} \phi^*(\lambda|\alpha|)))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$. In [\[19,](#page-14-3) Corollary 5.15] it is shown that \mathfrak{M}_{ω} is a log-convex weight sequence system satisfying [L]. For two BMT weight functions ω and η , it holds that $\mathfrak{M}_{\omega}[\subseteq] \mathfrak{M}_{\eta}$ if and only if $\eta(t) = O(\omega(t))$ [\[19,](#page-14-3) proof of Corollary 5.17].

Given a a non-decreasing continuous function $\omega : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$, we define $\mathcal{W}_{\omega} =$ $\{e^{\frac{1}{\lambda}\omega(|\cdot|)}\,|\,\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^+\}$. Then, ω satisfies (α) if and only if \mathcal{W}_ω satisfies [M]. For two nondecreasing continuous function $\omega, \eta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ going to infinity it holds that $\mathcal{W}_{\omega}[\subseteq] \mathcal{W}_{\eta}$ if and only if $\eta(t) = O(\omega(t)).$

3. Statement of the main results

In this section, we give an overview of our main results. Let E be a solid TIBF of bounded type. For a weight sequence M and a weight function w we define E_w^M as the

Banach space consisting of all $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f^{(\alpha)}w \in E$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and

$$
||f||_{E,M,w} = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{||f^{(\alpha)}w||_E}{M_{\alpha}} < \infty.
$$

Given a weight sequence system \mathfrak{M} and a weight function system \mathcal{W} , we define the Gelfand-Shilov type spaces

(3.1)
$$
E_{(\mathcal{W})}^{(\mathfrak{M})} = \varprojlim_{\lambda \to 0^+} E_{w^{\lambda}}^{\Lambda^{\lambda}}, \qquad E_{\{\mathcal{W}\}}^{\{\mathfrak{M}\}} = \varinjlim_{\lambda \to \infty} E_{w^{\lambda}}^{\Lambda^{\lambda}}.
$$

Then, $E_{(W)}^{(\mathfrak{M})}$ $({\bf W}(\mathcal{W}))$ is a Fréchet space and $E{^{\{\mathfrak{W}\}}_{\{\mathcal{W}\}}}$ is an (LB) -space. If W satisfies [wM], the space $E_{[W]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ $[\mathbf{\hat{w}}]$ is translation-invariant. Let $p \in \{0\} \cup [1,\infty]$. We write $(L^p)_{[\mathcal{W}]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ $[\mathcal{W}], p$. Given two weight sequences M and A, we define $\mathcal{S}_{[A],p}^{[M]} = \mathcal{S}_{[W_A],p}^{[W_M]}$ $\lim_{[\mathcal{W}_A],p}$. Similarly, given a BMT weight function ω and a non-decreasing continuous function $\eta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$, we set $\mathcal{S}^{[\omega]}_{[\eta],p}=\mathcal{S}^{[\mathfrak{M}_\omega]}_{[\mathcal{W}_{\eta}]},$ $\mathcal{S}^{[\mathfrak{M}_{\omega}]}_{[\mathcal{W}_\eta],p}$. The spaces $\mathcal{S}^{[M]}_{[A],p}$ $\begin{bmatrix} [M] [A], p \end{bmatrix}$ (for isotropic weight sequences M and A) and $\mathcal{S}_{[\eta],p}^{[\omega]}$ were already considered in the introduction.

Fix a solid TIBF of bounded type E. Let $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}$ be weight sequence systems and let W, V be weight function systems. Note that if $\mathfrak{M}[\subseteq] \mathfrak{N}$ and $W[\subseteq]V$, then $E_{[W]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \subseteq E_{[V]}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$ [V] continuously. The main goal of this article is to prove the converse of this statement under minimal assumptions on the involved weight sequence systems and weight function systems. More precisely, we will show the following two results. Their proofs will be given in the next section.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that* M *satisfies* [L] *and* [wI]*,* N *satisfies* [L]*,* W *satisfies* [M]*,* and V satisfies [wM]. Suppose that $E_{\text{DW}}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ $\mathbb{E}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}_{[\mathcal{W}]} \neq \{0\}$. If $E^{[\mathfrak{M}]}_{[\mathcal{W}]} \subseteq E^{[\mathfrak{N}]}_{[\mathcal{V}]}$ $\lbrack \mathcal{V} \rbrack$, then $\mathcal{W}[\subseteq] \mathcal{V}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that* M *is log-convex and satisfies* [L] *and* [I]*,* N *is log-convex* and satisfies [L] and [wI], and W and V satisfy [wM]. Suppose that $E_{\text{[W]}}^{[m]}$ $\begin{align} \mathbb{E}^{[2N]} \neq \{0\}$. If $E_{[\mathcal{W}]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \subseteq E_{[\mathcal{V}]}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$ $\lim_{\nu\to 0}$, then $\mathfrak{M}[\subseteq]$ M.

Theorems [3.1](#page-7-0) and [3.2](#page-7-1) yield the following characterization of the inclusion relations for the type of spaces defined in [\(3.1\)](#page-7-2).

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that* M *is log-convex and satisfies* [L] *and* [I]*,* N *is log-convex and satisfies* [L] *and* [wI], W *satisfies* [M], *and* V *satisfies* [wM]*. Suppose that* $E_{[W]}^{[m]}$ $\frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{W}] \neq$ {0}*. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathfrak{M}[\subseteq] \mathfrak{N}$ and $\mathcal{W}[\subseteq] \mathcal{V}$. (ii) $E_{\vert\mathcal{W}\vert}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \subseteq E_{\vert\mathcal{V}\vert}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$ $\lbrack v\rbrack^{xy}$ *as sets.* (iii) $E_{[\mathcal{W}]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \subseteq E_{[\mathcal{V}]}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{V} \end{bmatrix}$ *continuously.*

Theorems [1.1](#page-1-1) and [1.2](#page-1-2) from the introduction are consequences of Theorem [3.3](#page-7-3) and the properties stated at the end of Subsection [2.3.](#page-4-1)

4. Proofs of the main results

The goal of this section is to show Theorems [3.1](#page-7-0) and [3.2.](#page-7-1) We fix a solid TIBF of bounded type E , two weight sequence systems $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}$, and two weight function systems W, V. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the weight function system $\mathcal{W}_k = \{ \langle \cdot \rangle^k w^{\lambda} | \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \}.$

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that* \mathfrak{M} *satisfies* [L] *and* [wI], *and* \mathcal{W} *satisfies* [wM]. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. *If* $E_{\text{[M]}}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ $\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{M}] \neq \{0\}, \text{ then } \mathcal{S}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}_{[\mathcal{W}_k], \infty} \neq \{0\}. \end{aligned}$

Proof. We claim that that there is $C > 0$ such that

(4.1)
$$
||f(\cdot)^{-(n+1)}||_{L^1} \le C||f||_E, \qquad f \in E.
$$

Before we prove this claim, let us show how it implies the result. Let $f \in E_{[W]}^{[m]}$ $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{W}|}\setminus{0}.$ The inequality [\(4.1\)](#page-8-1) yields

(4.2)
$$
\forall \lambda > 0 \, (\exists \lambda > 0) : \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\|f^{(\alpha)} \langle \cdot \rangle^{-(n+1)} w^{\lambda} \|_{L^1}}{M_{\alpha}^{\lambda}} < \infty.
$$

Choose $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\psi(-x)dx = 1$. Pick $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi(x) dx = 1$ and consider its Fourier transform $\hat{\chi}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx$. Set $g = (f * \psi)\hat{\chi}$ and note that $g(0) = 1$. By using that M satisfies [L] and [wI], and W satisfies [wM], one can see that [\(4.2\)](#page-8-2) implies that $g \in S_{[W_k],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$. We now return to the claim [\(4.1\)](#page-8-1). Since $E \subseteq L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ continuously, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$
||f1_{[0,1]^n}||_{L^1} \le C||f||_E, \qquad f \in E.
$$

Hence, for all $f \in E$

$$
||f\langle \cdot \rangle^{-(n+1)}||_{L^{1}} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} ||f\langle \cdot \rangle^{-(n+1)} T_{j} 1_{[0,1]^{n}}||_{L^{1}}
$$

\n
$$
\leq (n+1)^{(n+1)/2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \langle j \rangle^{-(n+1)} ||(T_{-j}f) 1_{[0,1]^{n}}||_{L^{1}}
$$

\n
$$
\leq CC_{0}(n+1)^{(n+1)/2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \langle j \rangle^{-(n+1)} ||f||_{E},
$$

where C_0 is the constant from (A.2), which shows the claim.

4.1. Proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-7-0) We divide the proof into several steps. Given a weight function w, we define $E_{d,w}$ as the Banach space consisting of all $c = (c_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ such that $(c_jw(j))_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \in E_d$ and endow it with the norm

$$
||c||_{E_{d,w}} = ||(c_j w(j))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n}||_{E^d}.
$$

We set

$$
E_{d,(\mathcal{W})} = \varprojlim_{\lambda \to 0^+} E_{d,w^{\lambda}}, \qquad E_{d,\{\mathcal{W}\}} = \varinjlim_{\lambda \to \infty} E_{d,w^{\lambda}}.
$$

Then $E_{d,(W)}$ is a Fréchet space and $E_{d,\{W\}}$ is an (LB) -space.

Proposition 4.2. Assume that W and V satisfy $[wM]$. Then, $E_{d,[W]} \subseteq E_{d,[V]}$ if and *only if* $W[\subseteq]V$.

Proof. Suppose that $W[\subseteq]V$. Since E is solid, we obviously have that $E_{d,[W]} \subseteq E_{d,[V]}$. Conversely, suppose that $E_{d,[\mathcal{W}]} \subseteq E_{d,[\mathcal{V}]}$. De Wilde's closed graph theorem yields that the inclusion $E_{d,[\mathcal{W}]} \subseteq E_{d,[\mathcal{V}]}$ holds continuously. Consequently (use the Grothendieck factorization theorem in the Roumieu case), for every $\lambda > 0$ there are $\mu > 0$ and $C > 0$ (for every $\mu > 0$ there are $\lambda > 0$ and $C > 0$) such that

$$
||c||_{E_{d,v^{\lambda}}} \leq C||c||_{E_{d,w^{\mu}}}, \qquad \forall c \in E_{d,(\mathcal{W})} \, (\forall c \in E_{d,w^{\mu}}).
$$

Applying this inequality to the sequences $c^{(k)} = (\delta_{k,j})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we obtain that

$$
\frac{\|1_{[0,1]^n}\|_E}{C_0}v^{\lambda}(k) \leq \|c^{(k)}\|_{E_{d,v^{\lambda}}} \leq C\|c^{(k)}\|_{E_{d,w^{\mu}}} \leq CC_0\|1_{[0,1]^n}\|_E w^{\mu}(k), \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}^n,
$$

where C_0 is the constant from condition (A.2). As W and V satisfy [wM], the above inequality yields the relation $W[\subset]V$.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that* W *satisfies* [M]. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}_{n+1}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$. For all $c \in E_{d,[\mathcal{W}]}$ it *holds that*

$$
R_{\psi}(c) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_j T_j \psi \in E_{\{\mathcal{W}\}}^{\text{[M]}}.
$$

Proof. Let $\nu > 0$ be such that $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{(.)^{n+1}w^{\nu},\infty}^{M^{\nu}}$; this means that ν is fixed in the Roumieu case but can be taken as small as needed in the Beurling case. As W satisfies [M], it holds that for every $\lambda > 0$ there are $\mu, \nu > 0$ and $C > 0$ (for every $\mu > 0$ there are $\lambda > 0$ and $C > 0$) such that $w^{\lambda}(x+y) \leq C w^{\mu}(x) w^{\nu}(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For every $c \in E_{d,w^{\mu}}$ it holds that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$

$$
w^{\lambda} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |c_j T_j \psi^{(\alpha)}| \le C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |c_j w^{\mu}(j) T_j (\psi^{(\alpha)} w^{\nu})| = C R_{|\psi^{(\alpha)}|w^{\nu}} ((|c_j| w^{\mu}(j))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n}),
$$

The result now follows from Lemma [2.8](#page-3-0) and the fact that E is solid. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that* V *satisfies* [wM]. For all $f \in E_{\text{[V]}}^{[3]}$ $\lbrack v \rbrack$ ^{*i*t} *holds that*

$$
S(f) = (f(j))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in E_{d,[\mathcal{V}]}.
$$

Proof. We employ the Schwartz parametrix method. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(B(0,1))$ be such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $B(0,1/2)$. For $l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ we denote by $F_l \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the fundamental solution of Δ^l , where Δ is the Laplacian. Then, $\Delta^l(\chi F_l) - \delta = \varphi_l \in \mathcal{D}(B(0,1))$. Note then that

$$
f = (\Delta^l f) * (\chi F_l) - f * \varphi_l, \qquad f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).
$$

Fix a sufficiently large l such that $\chi F_l \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For every $\lambda > 0$ there are $\mu > 0$ and $C > 0$ (for every $\mu > 0$ there are $\lambda > 0$ and $C > 0$) such that $v^{\lambda}(x + y) \leq C v^{\mu}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in B(0, 1)$. Hence, for all $f \in E_{v^{\mu}}^{M_{\mu}}$ $v^{\mu \mu}$,

$$
|fv^{\lambda}| \leq C \left(|(\Delta^l f)v^{\mu}| * |\chi F_l| + |fv^{\mu}| * |\varphi_l| \right).
$$

The result now follows from Lemma [2.7](#page-2-1) and the fact that E is solid \square

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that* M *satisfies* [L] *and* [wI]*, and* W *satisfies* [wM]*. Suppose that* $E_{\text{[W]}}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ $[\mathbb{W}] \neq \{0\}$. Then, there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{S}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}_{[\mathcal{W}_{n+1}], \infty}$ such that $\psi(j) = \delta_{j,0}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof. By Lemma [4.1](#page-8-3) there is $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}_{n+1}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \setminus \{0\}$. As the space $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}_{n+1}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is translationinvariant, we may assume that $\varphi(0) = 1$. Let $\chi(x) = \int_{[0,1]^n} e^{-2\pi i \xi x} d\xi$. Then, $\psi = \varphi \chi$ satisfies $\psi(j) = \delta_{j,0}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Since M satisfies [L] and [wI], we have that $\psi \in \mathcal{S}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}_{[\mathcal{W}_{n+1}], \infty}$.

Proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-7-0) Choose ψ as in Lemma [4.5.](#page-9-0) Since $E_{[\mathcal{W}]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \subseteq E_{[\mathcal{V}]}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$ $[\mathcal{V}]$, Lemmas [4.3](#page-9-1) and [4.4](#page-9-2) yield that $c = S(R_{\psi}(c)) \in E_{d,[\mathcal{V}]}$ for all $c \in E_{d,[\mathcal{W}]}$. Thus, $E_{d,[\mathcal{W}]} \subseteq E_{d,[\mathcal{V}]}$. The result now follows from Proposition [4.2.](#page-8-4)

4.2. Proof of Theorem [3.2.](#page-7-1) We again divide the proof into several steps.

Lemma 4.6. *Assume that* \mathfrak{M} *and* \mathfrak{N} *satisfy* [L] *and* [wI]. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $E_{[\mathcal{W}]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \subseteq E_{[\mathcal{V}]}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$ [V] *, then* $E_{\left[\mathcal{W}_{2k}\right]}^{\left[\mathfrak{M}\right]} \subseteq E_{\left[\mathcal{V}_{2}\right]}^{\left[\mathfrak{N}\right]}$ $\left[\mathcal{V}_{2k}\right]$ ' $\left[\mathcal{V}_{2k}\right]$

Lemma [4.6](#page-10-0) follows directly from the next result. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by \mathcal{P}_k the space of all polynomials on \mathbb{R}^n of degree at most k.

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that* \mathfrak{M} *satisfies* [L] *and* [wI]. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *belongs to* $E_{[W_0]}^{[{\mathfrak{M}}]}$ $\begin{align} \mathbb{E}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}_{[\mathcal{W}_{2k}]} \textit{ if and only if } fP \in E^{[\mathfrak{M}]}_{[\mathcal{W}]} \end{align}$ $[\mathcal{W}]$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{2k}$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : Since M satisfies [L] and [wI], it holds that for every $\lambda > 0$ there are $\mu > 0$ and $C > 0$ (for every $\mu > 0$ there are $\lambda > 0$ and $C > 0$) such that $M^{\mu}_{\alpha} \leq C2^{-|\alpha + \beta|} M^{\lambda}_{\alpha + \beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. We may assume that $\mu \leq \lambda$. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{2k}$ be arbitrary. There is $C' > 0$ such that $|P^{(\alpha)}| \leq C' \langle \cdot \rangle^{2k}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. For every $f \in E_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{2k}w^{\mu}}^{M^{\mu}}$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$
|(fP)^{(\alpha)}|w^{\lambda} \leq C' \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} |f^{(\beta)}| \langle \cdot \rangle^{2k} w^{\mu}.
$$

Since E is solid, we obtain that $(fP)^{(\alpha)}w^{\lambda} \in E$ and

$$
\|(fP)^{(\alpha)}w^\lambda\|_E\le CC'\|f\|_{E^{M^\mu}_{\langle\,\cdot\,\rangle^{2k}w^\mu}}M^\lambda_\alpha
$$

and thus $fP \in E_{w^{\lambda}}^{M^{\lambda}}$, as desired.

 \Leftarrow : For $\lambda > 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $E_{w^{\lambda}, p_{2j}}^{M^{\lambda}}$ for the space consisting of all $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ such that $fP \in E_{w^{\lambda}}^{M^{\lambda}}$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{2j}$. We claim that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that for every $\lambda > 0$ there is $\mu > 0$ (for every $\mu > 0$ there is $\lambda > 0$) such that $E_{w^{\mu}, p_{2j}}^{M^{\mu}} \subseteq E_{\langle ., 2^{j}w^{\lambda}}^{M^{\lambda}}$. This implies the result as for $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ one has that $fP \in E_{[W]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ $[\mathcal{W}]$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{2j}$ exactly means that $f \in E_{w^{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{2j}}^{M^{\mu}}$ for all $\mu > 0$ (for some $\mu > 0$). We now show the claim via induction on j. The case $j = 0$ is trivial. Suppose that the claim is true for j and let us verify it for $j + 1$. The induction hypothesis and the fact that M satisfies [L] and [wI] imply that for all $\lambda > 0$ there are $\mu, \nu > 0$ and $C > 0$ (for every $\mu > 0$ there are $\nu, \lambda > 0$ and $C > 0$) such that $E_{w^{\mu}, p_{2j}}^{M^{\mu}} \subseteq E_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{2j}w^{\nu}}^{M^{\nu}}$ and $M_{\alpha}^{\nu} \leq C3^{-|\alpha+\beta|} M_{\alpha+\beta}^{\lambda}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. We may assume that $\mu \leq \nu \leq \lambda$. Note that $\langle \cdot \rangle^{2j+2} \in \mathcal{P}_{2j+2}$ and that there is $C' > 0$ such that

$$
|(\langle \cdot \rangle^{2j+2})^{(\alpha)}| \le C' \langle \cdot \rangle^{2j+2-|\alpha|}, \qquad |\alpha| \le 2j+2.
$$

Hence, for every $f \in E_{w^{\mu}, \mathcal{P}_{2j+2}}^{\mathcal{M}^{\mu}}$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$$
|f^{(\alpha)}|\langle \cdot \rangle^{2j+2}w^{\lambda} \le |(f\langle \cdot \rangle^{2j+2})^{(\alpha)}|w^{\mu} + C' \sum_{\substack{\beta \le \alpha \\ 1 \le |\alpha - \beta| \le 2j+2}} {\alpha \choose \beta} |f^{(\beta)}|\langle \cdot \rangle^{2j+2-|\alpha-\beta|}w^{\nu}
$$

$$
\le |(f\langle \cdot \rangle^{2j+2})^{(\alpha)}|w^{\mu} + C' \sum_{\beta \le \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} |f^{(\beta)}|\langle \cdot \rangle^{2j+1}w^{\nu}.
$$

Furthermore, for each $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$
|f^{(\beta)}(x)|\langle x\rangle^{2j+1} \le |f^{(\beta)}(x)|\langle x\rangle^{2j} + \sum_{1 \le l \le n} |f^{(\beta)}(x)x_l|\langle x\rangle^{2j}
$$

$$
\le |f^{(\beta)}(x)|\langle x\rangle^{2j} + \sum_{1 \le l \le n} |(f(x)x_l)^{(\beta)}|\langle x\rangle^{2j} + \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ \beta_l \neq 0}} \beta_l |f^{(\beta - \epsilon_l)}(x)|\langle x\rangle^{2j}.
$$

The induction hypothesis and the fact that E is solid therefore imply that $f^{(\alpha)} \langle \cdot \rangle^{2j+2} w^{\lambda} \in$ E for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and

$$
||f^{(\alpha)}\langle \cdot \rangle^{2j+2}w^{\lambda}||_{E} \leq C''M_{\alpha}^{\lambda},
$$

where

$$
C'' = \|f(\cdot)^{2j+2}\|_{E_{w^{\mu}}^{M^{\mu}}} + CC'(n+1)\|f\|_{E_{(\cdot)^{2j}w^{\nu}}^{M^{\nu}}} + CC' \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \|fx_l\|_{E_{(\cdot)^{2j}w^{\nu}}^{M^{\nu}}},
$$

and thus $f \in E_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{2j+2}w^{\lambda}}^{M^{\lambda}}$. This shows the claim.

Given a weight sequence M, we define E_{per}^M as the Banach space consisting of all \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f^{(\alpha)}1_{[0,1]^n} \in E$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and

$$
||f||_{E_{\text{per}}^M} = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{||f^{(\alpha)} 1_{[0,1]^n}||_E}{M_{\alpha}} < \infty.
$$

We set

$$
E_{\rm per}^{({\mathfrak M})} = \varprojlim_{\lambda \to 0^+} E_{\rm per}^{M^\lambda}, \qquad E_{\rm per}^{\{{\mathfrak M}\}} = \varinjlim_{\lambda \to \infty} E_{\rm per}^{M^\lambda}.
$$

Then, $E_{\text{per}}^{(\mathfrak{M})}$ is a Fréchet space and $E_{\text{per}}^{\{\mathfrak{M}\}}$ is an (LB) -space.

Proposition 4.8. Assume that \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{N} are log-convex and satisfy [wI]. Then, $E_{\rm per}^{[{\mathfrak{M}}]} \subseteq$ $E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{M}[\subseteq] \mathfrak{N}$.

Proof. Clearly, $\mathfrak{M}[\subseteq] \mathfrak{N}$ implies that $E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \subseteq E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$. Now suppose that $E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \subseteq E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$. De Wilde's closed graph theorem yields that the inclusion $E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \subseteq E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$ holds continuously. Consequently (making use again of the Grothendieck factorization theorem in the Roumieu case), for every $\lambda > 0$ there are $\mu > 0$ and $C > 0$ (for every $\mu > 0$ there are $\lambda > 0$ and $C > 0$) such that

$$
||f||_{E_{\text{per}}^{N^\lambda}} \leq C||f||_{E_{\text{per}}^{M^\mu}}, \qquad \forall f \in E_{\text{per}}^{(\mathfrak{M})} \left(\forall f \in E_{\text{per}}^{M^\mu}\right).
$$

Taking the functions $f_k(x) = e^{2\pi i k x}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, in this inequality, we obtain that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

$$
||1_{[0,1]^n}||_E \exp \omega_{N^{\lambda}}(2\pi k) = ||f_k||_{E_{\text{per}}^{N^{\lambda}}} \leq C||f_k||_{E_{\text{per}}^{M^{\mu}}} = C||1_{[0,1]^n}||_E \exp \omega_{M^{\mu}}(2\pi k).
$$

Since both $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{N}}$ satisfy [wM] (Lemma [2.12\(](#page-5-1)i)), the previous inequality implies that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}[\subseteq]\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{N}}$. The result now follows from Lemma [2.11.](#page-5-2)

Lemma 4.9. *Assume that* \mathfrak{M} *satisfies* [L] *and* [I]. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and let* $\psi \in \mathcal{S}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}_{[\mathcal{W}_{k+n+1}],\infty}$. *Then,* $L_{\psi}(f) = \psi f \in E_{[W_{k}]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ $[\mathfrak{M}]$ for all $f \in E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$.

Proof. Let $\nu > 0$ be such that $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{(.)^{k+n+1}w^{\nu},\infty}^{M^{\nu}}$; this means that ν is fixed in the Roumieu case but can be taken as small as needed in the Beurling case. As \mathfrak{M} satisfies [L] and [I], for every $\lambda > 0$ we can find $\mu, \nu > 0$ and $C > 0$ (for every $\mu > 0$ there are $\lambda > 0$ and $C > 0$) such that $M_{\alpha}^{\mu} M_{\beta}^{\nu} \leq C 2^{-|\alpha+\beta|} M_{\alpha}^{\lambda}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$. We may assume that $\nu \leq \lambda$. For every $f \in E_{\text{per}}^{M^{\mu}}$ it holds that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$

$$
|(\psi f)^{(\alpha)}|\langle \cdot \rangle^{k} w^{\lambda} \leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\psi^{(\alpha-\beta)}|\langle \cdot \rangle^{k} w^{\nu} |f^{(\beta)}| T_j 1_{[0,1]^n}
$$

$$
\leq (n+1)^{(n+1)/2} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} |\psi^{(\alpha-\beta)}|\langle \cdot \rangle^{k+n+1} w^{\nu} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |f^{(\beta)}| T_j 1_{[0,1]^n} \langle j \rangle^{-(n+1)}.
$$

Since f is \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic, we have

$$
\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \|f^{(\beta)}T_j 1_{[0,1]^d}\|_E \langle j \rangle^{-(n+1)} \leq C_0 \|f^{(\beta)} 1_{[0,1]^d}\|_E \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle j \rangle^{-(n+1)},
$$

where C_0 is the constant from $(A.2)$. The fact that E is solid therefore implies that $(\psi f)^{(\alpha)} \langle \cdot \rangle^k w^{\lambda} \in E$ and (with $C' = C_0(n+1)^{(n+1)/2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle j \rangle^{-(n+1)}$)

$$
\begin{aligned} \|(\psi f)^{(\alpha)} \langle \, \cdot \, \rangle^{k} w^{\lambda} \|_{E} & \leq C' \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^{M^{\nu}}_{\langle \, \cdot \, \rangle^{k+n+1} w^{\nu}, \infty}} \|f\|_{E^{M^{\mu}}_{\text{per}}} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} M^{\mu}_{\beta} M^{\nu}_{\alpha-\beta} \\ & \leq C C' \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^{M^{\nu}}_{\langle \, \cdot \, \rangle^{k+n+1} w^{\nu}, \infty}} \|f\|_{E^{M^{\mu}}_{\text{per}}} M^{\lambda}_{\alpha} \end{aligned}
$$

and thus $\psi f \in E_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{k} w^{\lambda}}^{M^{\lambda}}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 4.10. For all $f \in E_{\mathcal{W}_n}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$ $\lbrack v_{n+1} \rbrack$ *it holds that*

$$
\Pi(f) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} T_j f \in E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}.
$$

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$ be arbitrary. For all $f \in E_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{n+1}v^{\lambda}}^{N^{\lambda}}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ it holds that

$$
1_{[0,1]^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |T_j f^{(\alpha)}| \le (n+1)^{(n+1)/2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |T_j (f^{(\alpha)} \langle \cdot \rangle^{n+1})| \langle j \rangle^{-(n+1)}.
$$

Moreover,

$$
\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n}||T_j(f^{(\alpha)}\langle\cdot\rangle^{n+1})||_E\langle j\rangle^{-(n+1)} \leq C_0||f^{(\alpha)}\langle\cdot\rangle^{n+1}v^{\lambda}||_E\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle j\rangle^{-(n+1)},
$$

where C_0 is the constant from (A.2). Hence, as E is solid, we obtain that $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n}T_jf\in$ $E_{\text{per}}^{N^{\lambda}}$, as claimed. $p_{\text{per}}^{N^{\lambda}}$, as claimed.

Lemma 4.11. *Assume that* M *satisfies* [L] *and* [I]*, and* W *satisfies* [wM]*. Suppose that* $E_{[{\cal W}]}^{[{\frak M}]}$ $[\mathbb{W}] \neq \{0\}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{S}^{[\mathbb{W}]}_{[\mathcal{W}_k],\infty}$ such that $\Pi(\psi) = \sum_{j\in \mathbb{Z}^n} T_j \psi \equiv \mathbb{Z}^n$ 1*.*

Proof. We may assume that $k \geq n+1$. By Lemma [4.1](#page-8-3) there is $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}_k],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \setminus \{0\}.$ Since M satisfies [L] and [I], we have that $|\varphi|^2 = \varphi \overline{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}_k],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, $\varphi_0 =$ $\|\varphi|^2 / \| |\varphi|^2 \|_{L^1} \in \mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}_k],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_0(x) dx = 1$. Set

$$
\psi(x) = \int_{[0,1]^n} \varphi_0(x - t) dt
$$

so that $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} T_j\psi \equiv 1$. As W satisfies [wM], we thus obtain $\psi \in \mathcal{S}^{[{\mathfrak{M}}]}_{[\mathcal{W}_k],\infty}$. *Proof of Theorem [3.2.](#page-7-1)* Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2k \geq n+1$. By Lemma [4.11](#page-13-11) there is $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}_{2k+n+1}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ such that $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^n} T_j \psi \equiv 1$. Lemma [4.6](#page-10-0) implies that $E_{[\mathcal{W}_{2k}]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \subseteq E_{[\mathcal{V}_2]}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$ $\frac{1}{\left[\mathcal{V}_{2k}\right]}$. In view of the latter inclusion, Lemmas [4.9](#page-12-0) and [4.10](#page-12-1) yield that $f = \Pi(L_{\psi}(f)) \in E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$ for all $f \in E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$. Thus, $E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \subseteq E_{\text{per}}^{[\mathfrak{N}]}$. The result now follows from Proposition [4.8.](#page-11-0)

REFERENCES

- [1] G. BJÖRCK, Linear partial differential operators and generalized distributions, Ark. Mat. 6 (1966), 351–407.
- [2] C. Boirt, D. JORNET, A. OLIARO, G. SCHINDL, Nuclearity of rapidly decreasing ultradifferentiable functions and time-frequency analysis, Collect. Math. **72** (2021), 423–442.
- [3] C. BOITI, D. JORNET, A. OLIARO, G. SCHINDL, On the inclusion relations of global ultradifferentiable classes defined by weight matrices, preprint, [arXiv:2401.11251.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2401.11251)
- [4] C. BOITI, D. JORNET, A. OLIARO, G. SCHINDL, Construction of the log-convex minorant of a sequence ${M_{\alpha}}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}$, preprint, [arXiv:2401.11245.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2401.11245)
- [5] R. W. Braun, R. Meise, B. A. Taylor, Ultradifferentiable functions and Fourier analysis, Results Math. 17 (1990), 206–237.
- [6] T. Carleman, Les fonctions quasi analytiques, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1926.
- [7] M. CAPPIELLO, J. TOFT, Pseudo-differential operators in a Gelfand-Shilov setting, Math. Nachr. 290 (2017), 738–755.
- [8] M. CAPPIELLO, T. GRAMCHEV, S. PILIPOVIĆ, L. RODINO, Anisotropic Shubin operators and eigenfunction expansions in Gelfand-Shilov spaces, J. Anal. Math. 138 (2019), 857–870.
- [9] A. DEBROUWERE, M.HUTTENER, J.VINDAS, Quasinormability and property (Ω) for spaces of smooth and ultradifferentiable vectors associated with Lie group representations, preprint, [arXiv:2403.08296.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.08296)
- [10] A. DEBROUWERE, L. NEYT, J. VINDAS, The nuclearity of Gelfand-Shilov spaces and kernel theorems, Collect. Math. 72 (2021), 203–227.
- [11] C. Essen, *Generic results in classes of ultradifferentiable functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 413 (2014), 378–391.
- [12] H. G. FEICHTINGER, K. H. GRÖCHENIG, Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. I, J. Funct. Anal. 86 (1989), 307–340.
- [13] I. M. Gel'fand, G. E. Shilov, Generalized functions. Vol. 2: Spaces of fundamental and generalized functions, Academic Press, New York-London, 1968.
- [14] J. JIMÉNEZ-GARRIDO, J. SANZ, G. SCHINDL, Equality of ultradifferentiable classes by means of indices of mixed O-regular variation, Results Math. 77 (2022), 28.
- [15] H. KOMATSU, Ultradistributions I. Structure theorems and a characterization, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 20 (1973), 25–105.
- [16] S. MANDELBROJT, Series adhérentes, régularisation des suites, applications, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1952.
- [17] D. N. NENNING, G. SCHINDL, *Ultradifferentiable classes of entire functions*, Adv. Oper. Theory 8 (2023), 67.
- [18] S. PILIPOVIĆ, B. PRANGOSKI, J. VINDAS, On quasianalytic classes of Gelfand-Shilov type. Parametrix and convolution, J. Math. Pures Appl. 116 (2018), 174-210.
- [19] A. RAINER, G. SCHINDL, Composition in ultradifferentiable classes, Studia Math. 224 (2014), 97–131.

Department of Mathematics and Data Science, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

Email address: andreas.debrouwere@vub.be

Universitat Trier, FB IV Mathematik, D-54286 Trier, Germany ¨ Email address: lenny.neyt@UGent.be

Department of Mathematics: Analysis, Logic and Discrete Mathematics, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281, 9000 Gent, Belgium

Email address: jasson.vindas@UGent.be