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In this paper, we study the manifestation of exact diffeomorphism in warped extra dimension, with an emphasis on the Randall-Sundrum model. Utilizing the covariant form of variation $\delta g_{M N}=\nabla_{M} \xi_{N}+\nabla_{N} \xi_{M}$, we derive the nonlinear transformation rules for the metric fields in the unitary gauge. As an off-shell symmetry, diffeomorphism governs the interaction structure by connecting the neighboring orders of bulk action expansions. Our analysis unveils that an on-shell diffeomorphism exists prior to radion stabilization, but is broken by the Goldberger-Wise mechanism. This on-shell symmetry enforces a mass-related equation $F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G=0$ to eliminate one degree of freedom, while ensuring the 5 D action invariant up to a surface term. Therefore, we conjecture that the on-shell diffeomorphism actually protects the radion field from acquiring mass.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Warped extra dimension models within the context of Anti-de Sitter (AdS) space offer a compelling framework for addressing long-standing puzzles in particle physics, such as the Planck hierarchy problem and the weakness of gravity coupling [1-4]. A fascinating aspect of these models is the correspondence between the weakly coupled five-dimensional theory and an approximately conformal field theory (CFT) residing on the boundary [5-7]. From the AdS/CFT perspective, a mass scale should break the conformal symmetry, which is achieved in the extra dimension through either a hard wall IR cut-off, as in the Randall-Sundrum model [1, 2], or a dynamical IR cut-off, as in the soft-wall model [3, 4]. These models have been extensively explored in the literature, particularly due to their profound influence on our understanding of gravitational wave, early universe cosmology and dark matter [8-10]. In addition to distinct phenomena, symmetry plays a pivotal role in warped extra dimension models. This paper aims to elucidate the concept of diffeomorphism, i.e. the invariance originating from $x^{M} \rightarrow x^{M}+\xi^{M}$ and its consequences in relevant physics. For convenience, we will focus our discussion on the Randall-Sundrum (RS) model with the Goldberger-Wise (GW) mechanism [11, 12], although general conclusions apply equally to other warped theories such as the soft-wall models.

The diffeomorphism in extra dimension has been primarily discussed in its linearized approximation $13-15]$, where gauge parameters are used to eliminate redundant degrees of freedom. One specific

[^0]interpretation relates to the geometric Higgs mechanism for massive gravitons. It has been discovered that the Kaluza-Klein (KK) spectrum exhibits an infinite parameter symmetry, which is spontaneously broken into Poincaré $\otimes \mathrm{U}(1)$ by the vacuum configuration of the RS metric $g_{M N}^{(0)}[15]$. Alternatively, this is interpreted in [16-18] as two $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetries hidden in the 4 D mass spectrum. Utilizing the linearized 5D diffeomorphism, the spurious KK modes of $g_{\mu 5}$ and scalar field can be eliminated by the broken gauge parameters, equivalently absorbed by the corresponding spin- 2 KK graviton. In this framework, the residual symmetry is reduced to the 4D diffeomorphism observed by the zero mode of the graviton, with the physical radion left invariant. However, this interpretation is limited to the quadratic order expansion of the 5 D action.

Analogous to the 4D diffeomorphism for the graviton action [19], the exact 5D diffeomorphism includes a nonlinear component involving the derivative form of $\xi^{M}$ multiplied by metric perturbation fields, which is crucial for ensuring that the full action remains invariant. Unlike the linearized diffeomorphism depicted in [15], the exact diffeomorphism is observed in an off-shell manner that does not require to obey the equations of motion and imposes no constraints on the Kaluza-Klein spectrum. In this paper, we derive the nonlinear diffeomorphism for the metric fields without approximation in the unitary gauge, specifically $g_{\mu 5}=0$ in the RS model. As anticipated, our result shows that the diffeomorphism in the unitary gauge does not mix physical fields with different spins. Moreover, due to nonlinearity, this off-shell symmetry connects the neighboring orders of bulk action expansions via a recurrence relation [20], effectively governing the interaction structure in the theory.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we present the proof that the 5 d action of RS model is invariant under the diffeomorphism without expanding the Lagrangian. Following that proof, we detail the derivation of the nonlinear transformation rules for the metric fields in Section III. We deduce that for the bulk Lagrangian $\sqrt{g} \mathcal{L}=\sum_{n} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}$, the nonlinear variation of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}$ accompanied with the linear variation of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(n+1)}$ results in a total derivative of $\xi^{M}$ times the lower order expansion. Consequently, after summing over all the orders, the diffeomorphism variation of the full action amounts to a surface term. Then in Section IV, we define an on-shell diffeomorphism by imposing a specific equation of motion constraint and show that the breaking of this on-shell symmetry leads to the radion acquiring its mass. Finally, we present our conclusions in Section V

## II. DIFFEOMORPHISM INVARIANCE OF 5D ACTION

We start with a brief review of the Randall-Sundrum model stabilized by the GW mechanism. The

5 d action is written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=-\frac{1}{2 \kappa^{2}} \int d^{5} x \sqrt{g} \mathcal{R}+\frac{1}{2} \int d^{5} x \sqrt{g} g^{I J} \partial_{I} \phi \partial_{J} \phi  \tag{1}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} \int d^{5} x \sqrt{g} V(\phi)+S_{\mathrm{brane}} \\
& S_{\text {brane }}=-\int d x^{5} \sum_{i} \sqrt{g_{4}} \lambda_{i}(\phi) \delta\left(y-y_{i}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $y$ is the fifth dimension coordinate and the the capital Latin indices $(I, J) \in(\mu, 5)$ span all the dimensions, with the Greek index $\mu$ assigned for the Minkowski spacetime. Eq. (1) consists of Einstein-Hilbert action accompanied with the GW scalar terms. In particular, the brane action Eq.(2) is required to satisfy the jump condition at the boundary $y_{i}=\{0, L\}[21,22]$. By adjusting $V(\phi)$ and $\lambda_{i}(\phi)$ in this set up, the bulk scalar will develop a $y$-dependent VEV $\phi_{0}(y)$, which reacts on the metric such that the radion field acquires its mass [11, 12, 21, 23]. The line element in the RS model is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d s^{2}=e^{-2 A-2 F} \hat{g}_{\mu \nu} d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu}-[1+G]^{2} d y^{2}  \tag{3}\\
& \hat{g}_{\mu \nu}=\eta_{\mu \nu}+h_{\mu \nu}
\end{align*}
$$

where the metric observes an $S^{1} / Z_{2}$ orbifold symmetry and $\eta_{\mu \nu}=(+,-,-,-)$ is for the Minkowski spacetime. Note that this is most general parametrization in the unitary gauge which can decouple the graviton from the radion.

Now we are going to demonstrate that Eq.(11) is invariant under 5d diffeomorphism. In fact, diffeomorphism involves a pushforward followed by coordinate transformation back. Under the pushforward $X^{I} \rightarrow X^{I}+\xi^{I}(X)$, an infinitesimal diffeomorphism variation of tensor field is generated by Lie derivative. Since Eq.(11) merely depends on the metric $g_{M N}$ and the GW scalar $\phi$, we will start with the corresponding transformation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta g^{M N}=-\left(\nabla^{M} \xi^{N}+\nabla^{N} \xi^{M}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \delta \phi=\xi^{K} \partial_{K} \phi \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla^{M} \equiv g^{M N} \nabla_{N}$ denotes the covariant derivative and $\xi^{M}$ is arbitrary in the bulk but vanishes at the boundary. Assuming the bulk action is $S_{\text {bulk }}=\int d^{5} x \sqrt{g} \hat{\mathcal{L}}$, with $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ being a scalar constructed out of tensors, the transformation Eq.(4) will lead to $\delta(\sqrt{g} \hat{\mathcal{L}})=\partial_{M}\left(\xi^{M} \sqrt{g} \hat{\mathcal{L}}\right)$. Firstly, it is easy to prove that the square root of metric determinant is a total derivative under the infinite diffeomorphism, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \sqrt{g} & =-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} g_{M N}\left(\xi^{K} \partial_{K} g^{M N}-g^{N K} \partial_{K} \xi^{M}-g^{M K} \partial_{K} \xi^{N}\right) \\
& =\xi^{M} \partial_{M} \sqrt{g}+\sqrt{g} \partial_{M} \xi^{M}=\partial_{M}\left(\xi^{M} \sqrt{g}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where we apply $\partial_{M} \sqrt{g}=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} g_{I J} \partial_{M} g^{I J}$ for the first term. Then we need to prove that the transformation of Lagrangian density is a directional derivative $\delta \hat{\mathcal{L}}=\xi^{M} \partial_{M} \hat{\mathcal{L}}$ under the 5 d diffeomorphism. In the following we explicitly show that each term in Eq.(1) observes this property.
(1) We will start with the variation of Ricci scalar:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{R}=\delta g^{M N} \mathcal{R}_{M N}+g^{M N} \delta \mathcal{R}_{M N} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our strategy is to recast Eq.(77) in terms of covariant derivatives. Using Eq.(4), the first term can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta g^{M N} \mathcal{R}_{M N}=-\left(\nabla^{M} \xi^{N}+\nabla^{N} \xi^{M}\right) \mathcal{R}_{M N} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

With lengthy algebra, the second term in Eq.(77) is transformed to be:

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{M N} \delta \mathcal{R}_{M N} & =\nabla_{M} \nabla_{N}\left(-\delta g^{M N}+g^{M N} g_{I J} \delta g^{I J}\right) \\
& =\nabla_{M} \nabla_{N}\left(\nabla^{M} \xi^{N}+\nabla^{N} \xi^{M}-2 g^{M N} \nabla^{K} \xi_{K}\right) \\
& =2 \nabla^{M}\left(R_{M N} \xi^{N}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(\nabla_{M} \nabla_{N}-\nabla_{N} \nabla_{M}\right) \xi^{M}=\mathcal{R}_{M N} \xi^{M}$ is applied to the last step. Substituting Eq. (8) 9) into Eq.(7), we find that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{R}=2 \xi^{M} \nabla^{N} \mathcal{R}_{M N} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we use the contracted Bianchi identity $\nabla^{N} \mathcal{R}_{M N}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{M} \mathcal{R}$ to perform simplification and this yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{R}=\xi^{M} \nabla_{M} \mathcal{R}=\xi^{M} \partial_{M} \mathcal{R} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that since the 5 th dimensional diffeomorphism corresponds to the $\xi^{5} \partial_{5}$ operation, it is necessary to subtract the hidden boundary contribution arising from $A^{\prime \prime}$ terms in the EinsteinHilbert action. While the 4d diffeomorphism works for the brane terms as well.
(2) Using Eq.(4)5), the variation of the scalar kinetic term can be derived straightforwardly:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(g^{M N} \partial_{M} \phi \partial_{N} \phi\right) & =2 g^{M N} \partial_{M} \phi \partial_{N}\left(\xi^{K} \partial_{K} \phi\right)+\xi^{K} \partial_{K} g^{M N} \partial_{M} \phi \partial_{N} \phi \\
& -2 g^{M K} \partial_{K} \xi^{N} \partial_{M} \phi \partial_{N} \phi \\
& =\xi^{K} \partial_{K}\left(g^{M N} \partial_{M} \phi \partial_{N} \phi\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

(3) Because $\phi$ is a fundamental scalar, the variation of the GW potential $V(\phi)$ is simply a directional derivative:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta V(\phi)=\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi=\xi^{K} \partial_{K} V(\phi) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Eq. (11-13) with Eq.(6), one can deduce that $\sqrt{g} \hat{\mathcal{L}}$ indeed transforms as a total derivative under the infinitesimal diffeomorphism. Therefore the variation of 5 d action becomes a surface term:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(\int d^{5} x \sqrt{g} \hat{\mathcal{L}}\right)=\int d^{5} x \partial_{M}\left(\xi^{M} \sqrt{g} \hat{\mathcal{L}}\right)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is zero because of $\xi^{M}=0$ at the volume boundary.

## III. NONLINEAR TRANSFORMATION

When only the VEVs of the metric and scalar are taken into account in Eq. (4)5), one obtains the linearized diffeomorphism. However the linear approximation is not valid beyond the quadratic order of action expansion. In fact, the exact diffeomorphism contains a nonlinear part that is crucial to render the full action invariant. In this section, we will derive the transformation of metric perturbation field from the covariant form of diffeomorphism in Eq.(4). Due to the fact $g^{M K} g_{N K}=\delta_{N}^{M}$, Eq.(4) can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta g_{M N}=\nabla_{M} \xi_{N}+\nabla_{N} \xi_{M} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\xi_{M}=g_{M N} \xi^{N}$. For convenience, we split the gauge parameter into $\xi^{\mu}=\hat{\xi}^{\mu}$ and $\xi^{5}=\epsilon$. As we demonstrate in the previous section, as long as the variation of metric observes Eq.(15), the Einstein Hilbert action is invariant under the diffeomorphism transformation. Hence, in order to derive the exact transformation rules for the perturbation fields in the unitary gauge, i.e. $g_{\mu 5}=0$, we will not adopt any approximation. Evaluating the right hand of Eq.(15), the ( $\mu \nu$ )-part gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\mu} \xi_{\nu} & =\partial_{\mu}\left(g_{\nu \rho} \hat{\xi}^{\rho}\right)-\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{N} g_{N M} \xi^{M} \\
& =g_{\nu \rho} \partial_{\mu} \hat{\xi}^{\rho}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{\mu} g_{\rho \nu}-\partial_{\nu} g_{\rho \mu}\right] \hat{\xi}^{\rho} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \xi^{M} \partial_{M} g_{\mu \nu} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M, N$ are for all the dimensions, while the Greek index is confined for Minkowski spacetime. Note that although $g_{\mu 5}=0$ is imposed on Eq.(16), the last term still includes a $\xi^{5}=\epsilon$ transformation, which originates from the Christoffel connection component. Similarly the fifth dimension (55)-part of Eq.(15) is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{5} \xi_{5} & =\partial_{5}\left(g_{55} \epsilon\right)-\Gamma_{55}^{N} g_{N M} \xi^{M} \\
& =g_{55} \partial_{5} \epsilon+\frac{1}{2} \xi^{M} \partial_{M} g_{55} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (16, 17) into Eq. 15, one obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta g_{\mu \nu} & =g_{\mu \rho} \partial_{\nu} \hat{\xi}^{\rho}+g_{\nu \rho} \partial_{\mu} \hat{\xi}^{\rho}+\xi^{M} \partial_{M} g_{\mu \nu}  \tag{18}\\
\delta g_{55} & =2 g_{55} \partial_{5} \epsilon+\xi^{M} \partial_{M} g_{55} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the expansion of left-hand of Eq.(15) yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta g_{\mu \nu} & =e^{-2 A-2 F} \delta h_{\mu \nu}-2 e^{-2 A-2 F} \delta F \hat{g}_{\mu \nu}  \tag{20}\\
\delta g_{55} & =-2(1+G) \delta G \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

By comparing Eq. (18-19) with Eq.(20+21), we work out the transformation rules:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta h_{\mu \nu} & =\left(\partial_{\mu} \hat{\xi}_{\nu}+\partial_{\nu} \hat{\xi}_{\mu}\right)+\hat{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\mu \nu}  \tag{22}\\
& +\partial_{\mu} \hat{\xi}^{\alpha} h_{\alpha \nu}+\partial_{\nu} \hat{\xi}^{\alpha} h_{\alpha \mu}+\epsilon h_{\mu \nu}^{\prime} \\
\delta F & =A^{\prime} \epsilon+\epsilon F^{\prime}+\hat{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} F  \tag{23}\\
\delta G & =\epsilon^{\prime}+\partial_{5}(\epsilon G)+\hat{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} G \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\hat{\xi}_{\mu}=\eta_{\mu \nu} \hat{\xi}^{\nu}$ and the prime standing for $\partial_{5}=\partial / \partial y$. in Appendix A , we also dervie the exact diffeomorphism transformation in the conformal coordinate, which confirms that the choice of coordinate does not impact the physics discussed in Section IV. And in terms of $\hat{\xi}^{\mu}$ and $\epsilon$, the transformation for the GW scalar reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \varphi=\epsilon \phi_{0}^{\prime}+\epsilon \varphi^{\prime}+\hat{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \varphi . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (22-25) indicates that the diffeomorphism is a re-parametrization symmetry which do not mix physical fields with different spins. However the gauge parameters are under certain constraints in the unitary gauge. Since the transformation is necessary to keep the metric in its original form, the variation of $g_{\mu 5}$ must vanish, which results in:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\delta g_{\mu 5}=g_{55} \partial_{\mu} \epsilon+g_{\mu \nu} \partial_{5} \hat{\xi}^{\nu}=0 \\
\Rightarrow \quad \partial_{\mu} \epsilon=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{5} \hat{\xi}^{\nu}=0 \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

Thus the unitary gauge diffeomorphism implies that $\epsilon$ has only $y$-dependence while $\hat{\xi}^{\mu}$ depends merely on the Minkowski coordinate. Note that the diffeomorphism is observed in an off-shell manner. It is not appropriate to impose $\partial^{\mu} h_{\mu \nu}=h=0$ or $G=2 F$ on Eq. $(\overline{22} \cdot \underline{24})$ due to the nonlinearity.

This off-shell symmetry defines a recurrence relation for the variation of 5d action, by connecting two neighboring order expansions. In Eq. $(22 \cdot(25)$, the first term is the linear approximation, with the remaining terms belonging to the nonlinear part. Schematically, one can decompose the variation into $\delta=\delta_{\xi}+\delta_{\epsilon}=\delta^{(1)}+\delta^{(2)}$, where $\delta_{\xi} / \delta_{\epsilon}$ contain different gauge parameters and the upper indices (1)/(2) stand for the linear or nonlinear part respectively. Let us expand the bulk action of RS model in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {bulk }}=\int d^{5} x \sqrt{g} \hat{\mathcal{L}}=\int d^{5} x \sum_{n} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}$ is the $n$-th order Lagrangian expansion that absorbs the metric perturbations from $\sqrt{g}$. Due to the orbifold symmetry, the $A^{\prime \prime}$ term in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ has to be subtracted out when the fifth dimensional diffeomorphism is invoked. Then for $\delta(\sqrt{g} \hat{\mathcal{L}})=\partial_{M}\left(\xi^{M} \sqrt{g} \hat{\mathcal{L}}\right)$ to hold true, if and only if the Lagrangian expansions observe a recurrence relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{(2)} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}+\delta^{(1)} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(n+1)}=\partial_{M}\left(\xi^{M} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is a total derivative will be obtained when one compensates the nonlinear variation of $n$-th order expansion with the linear variation of $(n+1)$-th order expansion. Eq.(28) was explicitly verified for the case of $n=2$ in [20]. Hence after the summation, we can derive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta S_{\mathrm{bulk}}=\int d^{5} x \partial_{M}\left(\xi^{M} \sum_{n} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(n)}\right)=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

that precisely agrees with Eq.(14) in Section III

## IV. SYMMETRY CONNECTION TO MASS

In this section, we proceed to investigate how diffeomorphism invariance constrains the interaction structure and the mass generation. Without loss of generality, we first expand the 5d Lagrangian given by $\sqrt{g} \mathcal{L}=-\frac{\sqrt{g}}{2 \kappa^{2}}\left(\mathcal{R}+2 \kappa^{2} \Lambda\right)$, with $\Lambda=-\frac{6}{\kappa^{2}} A^{\prime 2}$, up to the quadratic order. We will focus on the potential terms at the leading orders with two $\partial_{5}$ derivatives, which read [22, 24]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {tad }}^{(1)} & =\frac{3 e^{-4 A}}{2 \kappa^{2}}\left(h A^{\prime \prime}-8 F A^{\prime \prime}\right)  \tag{30}\\
\mathcal{L}_{m}^{(2)} & =-\frac{e^{-4 A}}{2 \kappa^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left[h_{\mu \nu}^{\prime} h^{\prime \mu \nu}-h^{\prime 2}\right]+\frac{3}{2}\left[h_{\mu \nu} h^{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}\right] A^{\prime \prime}\right.  \tag{31}\\
& \left.-12\left(\left[F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G\right]^{2}+4 F^{2} A^{\prime \prime}\right)+\mathcal{L}_{\text {mix }}^{(2)}\right\} \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text {mix }}^{(2)} & =3\left(\left[F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G\right] h^{\prime}+4 F h A^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $A^{\prime \prime}$ terms cancel the corresponding expansions from the brane action Eq.(2). The stabilized action Eq.(1) comprises the same part of Lagrangian, due to the fact $V_{0}=-\frac{6}{\kappa^{2}} A^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} \phi_{0}^{\prime 2}$ at the leading order [21, 25]. Note that tadpoles in Eq.(30) vanish in the bulk because of $A^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{i} \epsilon \lambda_{i} \delta\left(y-y_{i}\right)$, thus the quadratic terms serve as an initial condition for the bulk recurrence relation in Eq. (28). As a consequence, the potential terms at any order are combinations of derivative factors: $\left(F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G\right), A^{\prime}$, $A^{\prime \prime}, h_{\mu \nu}^{\prime}, h^{\prime}$ and non-derivative factors: $F, G, h_{\mu \nu}, h$. While the $G^{\prime}$ term can always be recasted into this structure using partial integration, as verified by the cubic expansion in [20]. In fact, an onshell diffeomorphism exists prior to Goldberger-Wise stabilization, if we impose the massless radion
equation $F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G=0$ on the 5 d action. Examining the $F, G$ transformation in Eq.(23.24), we find that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G\right) & =\partial_{5}\left[\epsilon\left(F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G\right)\right]+\hat{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}\left(F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G\right) \\
& +A^{\prime \prime} \epsilon(1+G) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

For $F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G=0$ and $\epsilon\left(y_{i}\right)=0$ at the branes, we obtain $\delta\left(F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G\right)=0$ from Eq.(33). Thus substituting $G=F^{\prime} / A^{\prime}$ into the 5 d action effectively removes one degree of freedom, while keeping the constrained action invariant under diffeomorphism. However, the GW mechanism breaks this on-shell diffeomorphism, as the corresponding EOM is modified to be $F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G=\frac{\kappa^{2}}{3} \phi_{0}^{\prime} \varphi$ for a massive radion. Using Eq.(22+25), we can derive that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\delta\left(F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G-\frac{\kappa^{2}}{3} \phi_{0}^{\prime} \varphi\right)\right|_{F^{\prime}-A^{\prime} G=\frac{\kappa^{2}}{3} \phi_{0}^{\prime} \varphi} \\
= & \frac{\kappa^{2}}{3}\left(\epsilon^{\prime} \phi_{0}^{\prime} \varphi+\epsilon \phi_{0}^{\prime \prime} \varphi+\epsilon \phi_{0}^{\prime 2} G\right) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

which is nonzero because of $\phi_{0}^{\prime} \neq 0$. This implies if we eliminate $G$ from the 5 d action with that Einstein equation, the invariance is no long valid. From this perspective, we can conjecture that the breaking of this on-shell diffeomorphism causes the radion to acquire mass.

Analogously, the massless nature of graviton zero mode is partially own to the 4D diffeomorphism, which guarantees the absence of a gauge-invariant mass term in the bulk. However, given that $\delta_{\xi}^{(2)}(2 h)-\delta_{\xi}^{(1)}\left(h_{\mu \nu} h^{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}\right)=\partial_{\mu}\left(\hat{\xi}^{\mu} h\right)$, a brane mass $\propto\left(h_{\mu \nu} h^{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}\right) A^{\prime \prime}$ for the graviton in Eq.(31) is actually permitted by the 4 D diffeomorphism. But thanks to the $A^{\prime \prime}$ cancellation with Eq.(21), a massless graviton is finally secured in the RS model. Moreover, the GW stabilization maintains this property, as the $A^{\prime \prime}$ term for the graviton is precisely removed by the GW potential and brane actions [24]. Note that KK gravitons with non-constant 5D profiles are not influenced, as their masses originate from $\left(h_{\mu \nu}^{\prime} h^{\prime \mu \nu}-h^{\prime 2}\right)$, that is consistent with diffeomorphism.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have presented the proof of exact diffeomorphism in the unitary gauge of RS model, highlighting its nonlinearity that ensures the full action invariant up to a surface term. In [15], the authors argued that the radion is massless because it is the Goldstone boson associated with a global scale invariance. As a novel explanation for this problem as well, our analysis reveals the presence of an on-shell symmetry that guarantees the massless nature of radion prior to the GW stabilization. Our results contribute to a better comprehension of the interaction structure in the RS model.

## Appendix A: Diffeomorphism in conformal coordinate

The metric in the RS model can be parametrized in the context of the conformal coordinate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2} s=e^{-2 A(z)}\left[e^{-2 F}\left(\eta_{\mu \nu}+h_{\mu \nu}\right) d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu}-(1+G)^{2} d^{2} z\right] \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{-A} d z=d y$ is employed to transform from the metric in Eq.(3). In the conformal coordinate, Eq. (18) (19) are explicitly written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta g_{\mu \nu} & =g_{\mu \rho} \partial_{\nu} \hat{\xi}^{\rho}+g_{\nu \rho} \partial_{\mu} \hat{\xi}^{\rho}+\hat{\xi}^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} g_{\mu \nu} \\
& +\zeta\left[\partial_{z} e^{-2 A-2 F}\left(\eta_{\mu \nu}+h_{\mu \nu}\right)+e^{-2 A-2 F} \partial_{z} h_{\mu \nu}\right] \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta g_{55} & =-2(1+G)^{2} e^{-2 A} \partial_{z} \zeta-\hat{\xi}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}\left[(1+G)^{2} e^{-2 A}\right] \\
& -\zeta \partial_{z}\left[(1+G)^{2} e^{-2 A}\right] \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eq. (A2 A3), one can extract out the component field transformation rules:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta h_{\mu \nu} & =\left(\partial_{\mu} \hat{\xi}_{\nu}+\partial_{\nu} \hat{\xi}_{\mu}\right)+\partial_{\mu} \hat{\xi}^{\alpha} h_{\alpha \nu}+\partial_{\nu} \hat{\xi}^{\alpha} h_{\alpha \mu}  \tag{A4}\\
& +\hat{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\mu \nu}+\zeta \partial_{z} h_{\mu \nu} \\
\delta F & =\zeta \partial_{z} A+\zeta \partial_{z} F+\hat{\xi}^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} F  \tag{A5}\\
\delta G & =\partial_{z}[\zeta(1+G)]-\zeta \partial_{z} A(1+G)+\hat{\xi}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} G \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

where only the variation of $G$ changes due to the coordinate transformation. The fifth dimensional shift is parametrized as $\xi^{5} \rightarrow z+\zeta$, related to the $y$-coordinate in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{5}=e^{A} \partial_{z}, \quad \epsilon=e^{-A} \zeta \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To verify that Eq. (A4 (A6) are correct infinitesimal transformation, we directly calculate the variation of $\sqrt{g}$ in the conformal coordinate, which yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{\zeta} \sqrt{g} & =\delta_{\zeta}\left(e^{-5 A-4 F} \sqrt{\hat{g}}(1+G)\right) \\
& =e^{-5 A-4 F}\left[\left(\delta_{\zeta} G-4(1+G) \delta_{\zeta} F\right) \sqrt{\hat{g}}\right. \\
& \left.+(1+G) \delta_{\zeta} \sqrt{\hat{g}}\right] \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (A4 (A6) into Eq. (A8), then we obtains that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{\zeta} \sqrt{g} & =e^{-5 A-4 F}\left[\partial_{z}(\zeta(1+G))-5 \partial_{z} A \zeta(1+G)\right] \sqrt{\hat{g}} \\
& +e^{-5 A-4 F}(1+G) \zeta\left[-4 \partial_{z} F \sqrt{\hat{g}}+\partial_{z} \sqrt{\hat{g}}\right] \\
& =\partial_{z}(\zeta \sqrt{g}) \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$

As anticipated, the transformations of metric fields precisely recover Eq.(6). Note that Eq.(22)(24) in the $y$-coordinate also pass this simple verification:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{\epsilon} \sqrt{g} & =\delta_{\epsilon}\left(e^{-4 A-4 F} \sqrt{\hat{g}}(1+G)\right) \\
& =e^{-4 A-4 F}\left[\partial_{5}(\epsilon(1+G))-4 A^{\prime} \epsilon(1+G)\right] \sqrt{\hat{g}} \\
& +e^{-4 A-4 F}(1+G) \epsilon\left[-4 F^{\prime} \sqrt{\hat{g}}+\partial_{5} \sqrt{\hat{g}}\right] \\
& =\partial_{5}(\epsilon \sqrt{g}) \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the background Einstein equation $\partial_{z}^{2} A+\left(\partial_{z} A\right)^{2}=0$ and $(\mu 5)$ Einstein equation $\partial_{z} F-G \partial_{z} A=0$, we find that the transformation rules satisfy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(\partial_{z} F-G \partial_{z} A\right)=0 . \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus an on-shell diffeomorphism can be defined in the conformal coordinate as well.
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