

LINEAR COMPLEMENTARY DUAL CODES AND LINEAR COMPLEMENTARY PAIRS OF AG CODES IN FUNCTION FIELDS

ALONSO S. CASTELLANOS, ADLER V. MARQUES, AND LUCIANE QUOOS

ABSTRACT. In recent years, linear complementary pairs (LCP) of codes and linear complementary dual (LCD) codes have gained significant attention due to their applications in coding theory and cryptography. In this work, we construct explicit LCPs of codes and LCD codes from function fields of genus $g \geq 1$. To accomplish this, we present pairs of suitable divisors giving rise to non-special divisors of degree $g - 1$ in the function field. The results are applied in constructing LCPs of algebraic geometry codes and LCD algebraic geometry (AG) codes in Kummer extensions, hyperelliptic function fields, and elliptic curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is dedicated to the effective construction of families of Algebraic Geometry (AG) codes over algebraic function fields of genus $g \geq 1$ with desirable properties, Linear Complementary Pairs (LCP) of codes and Linear Complementary Dual (LCD) codes. These families include some of the best error-correcting codes currently known. It has also recently been proven by Beelen, Rosenkilde and Solomatov that the family of AG codes admits an efficient list decoding algorithm (see [6]).

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of order q , where $q = p^m$ and p is prime. A linear code \mathcal{C} over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q is a \mathbb{F}_q -subspace of dimension k in \mathbb{F}_q^n , $n \geq 1$. We say the code \mathcal{C} has length n , dimension k and (Hamming) minimum distance $d = d(\mathcal{C})$ and denote the parameters by $[n, k, d]$. For a linear code $\mathcal{C} := [n, k]$, the hull of \mathcal{C} is defined as $\text{Hull}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^\perp$, where \mathcal{C}^\perp denotes the dual code with respect to the Euclidean inner product in \mathbb{F}_q^n . This notion was first presented by Assmus and Key in [2]. More specifically, if $\dim(\text{Hull}(\mathcal{C})) = \ell$, we call \mathcal{C} is an ℓ -dimensional hull. In particular, if $\ell = 0$, \mathcal{C} is an LCD code and if $\ell = k$, \mathcal{C} is self-orthogonal, i.e., $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\perp$. In the case of $\ell = n - k$, $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Then it can be concluded that for a linear code $\mathcal{C} := [n, k]$ we have $\dim(\text{Hull}(\mathcal{C})) = \ell$, $0 \leq \ell \leq \min\{k, n - k\}$.

A pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ of linear codes over \mathbb{F}_q of length n is called LCP if $\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D} = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{D} = \{0\}$. In the special case that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$ the code \mathcal{C} is an LCD. LCD codes have been, in fact, firstly studied by Massey in 1964 [21], and in [22], he demonstrated that asymptotically good LCD codes exist. In 2004, Sendrier [31] improved this result by showing that LCD

Keywords: LCP of codes, LCD codes, Function fields, AG codes, algebraic curves.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 94B05, 14G50, 11T71, 14H05.

The first author was partially supported by FAPEMIG: Grant APQ 00696-18 and Grant RED-0013-21.

The second author was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brasil (CAPES) – Finance Code 001.

The third author was partially financed by *Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil* (CAPES) - Finance Code 001, and *Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - Brasil* (CNPq) - Project 307261/2023-9.

codes meeting the asymptotic Gilbert-Varshamov bound exist. Over the last decade, LCD codes and LCP of codes have gained more attention due to their applications in cryptography, such as in direct sum masking as a countermeasure against side-channel (SCA) and fault injection attacks (FIA) [8,9,27], and to improve the robustness of encoded circuits against hardware Trojan horses [27,28].

In 2018, Carlet, Mesnager, Tang, Qi, and Pellikaan proved in [12] that when $q > 3$, any linear code over \mathbb{F}_q is equivalent to an LCD code, implying that any set of parameters $[n, k, d]$ achievable by linear codes over \mathbb{F}_q with $q > 3$ is also achievable by Euclidean LCD codes. Jin and Xing [19] demonstrated that an algebraic geometry code over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} ($m \geq 7$) is equivalent to an LCD code. The classification of LCD codes has been recently extended to codes of higher hull dimensions (see [20]).

Numerous studies have been devoted to the characterization and construction of LCPs of codes and LCD codes (see, for instance, [10,11,22,33,37] and references therein). In the context of AG codes, Beelen and Jin [5] provided an explicit construction of several classes of MDS LCD codes over the rational function field. Mesnager, Tang, and Qi [23] studied LCD AG codes and provided some examples over the projective line, elliptic and hyperelliptic curves, and Hermitian curve. Some years later, Bhowmick, Dalai, and Mesnager [7] provided conditions for constructing LCPs of codes with examples. Chen, Ling, and Liu [14] determined the dimension of the hull of Reed-Solomon Codes via AG codes. In 2024, Moreno, López, and Matthews [25] provided an explicit construction of non-special divisors of "small" degree over Kummer extensions. These divisors adequately described LCD algebraic geometry codes from some families over Kummer extensions.

In this work, we focus on effectively constructing LCPs of codes and LCD codes over function fields of genus $g \geq 1$ over \mathbb{F}_q . Our construction is based on the existence of non-special divisors of degree g and $g - 1$ with support on the rational places of the function field, as proven in 2006 [3]. Theoretical conditions on non-special divisors for constructing LCP codes over general function fields were given in [7, Theorem 3.5] (see Theorem 2.7), with these constructions heavily depending on the existence of non-special divisors of degree $g - 1$ over the function field. Additionally, for Kummer extensions, an explicit construction of such divisors was provided in [25, Theorems 8, 12, 13] (see Theorem 2.8), and some LCD codes were constructed over certain function fields.

We focus on the effective construction of a pair of divisors suitable for obtaining LCPs of codes and LCD codes over three types of function fields over finite fields of genus $g \geq 1$: Kummer extensions, a certain hyperelliptic function field, and elliptic curves. The case of Kummer extensions allows us to explore constructions over families of function fields with many rational places, including maximal function fields, which hold significant interest in coding theory. Some of the best parameter codes come from explicit constructions over such function fields (see [4,13,15] and references therein).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notation used in this paper. We also recall some necessary background about function fields, linear and algebraic geometry codes and some recent results on LCP and LCD AG codes. In Section 3, we propose effective construction of LCP of AG codes and LCD AG codes over Kummer extensions; see Theorems 3.1, 3.2 for LCP of codes and Theorem 3.3 for LCD codes. We conclude the section applying the obtained results for two families of maximal function fields, a generalisation of the Hermitian function field [16], and a function field covered by the Hermitian function field [17]. In Section 4, we explicitly construct LCP of codes and

LCD codes from the function field of the hyperelliptic curve $y^{q+1} = x^2 + x$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} with q odd, see Theorems 4.2 and 4.5. Finally, in Section 5, we describe explicit LCP of codes from elliptic curves that are MDS or near MDS; see Theorems 5.1 and 5.4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we briefly introduce function fields, linear and algebraic geometry codes over finite fields and a background on LCD AG codes and LCP of codes.

Throughout the paper, let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field with cardinality $q = p^m$ for a prime p and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ its algebraic closure. At first, we fix some arithmetical notations; we let $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ and $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ stand for the floor and ceil function respectively, and recall a useful equation about floor functions

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{km}{r} \right\rfloor = \frac{1}{2}(m-1)(r-1).$$

2.1. Function fields. Let \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q be an algebraic function field over \mathbb{F}_q of genus $g = g(\mathcal{F})$ with field of constants \mathbb{F}_q . We denote by $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the set of places of \mathcal{F} . A *divisor* D is defined by

$$D = \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}} n_P P, \quad n_P \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n_P = 0 \text{ for almost all } P \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

The *degree of a divisor* $D \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$ is defined by $\deg D = \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}} n_P \deg(P)$ and the *support of a divisor* D by $\text{Supp}(D) = \{P \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}} \mid n_P \neq 0\}$. To each place, $P \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}$, the corresponding discrete valuation associated with P is v_P . For a function $z \in \mathcal{F}$, we let (z) , $(z)_0$ and $(z)_{\infty}$ stand for the principal, zero and pole divisors of z , respectively.

Two divisors $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$ are said to be *equivalent*, denoted by $D_1 \sim D_2$, if there is a function $z \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $D_1 - D_2 = (z)$.

We let $\text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$ be the free abelian additive group generated by the divisors in \mathcal{F} . Given a divisor $G \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$, the Riemann-Roch space associated to G is the finite-dimensional \mathbb{F}_q -vector space

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \{z \in \mathcal{F} \mid (z) \geq -G\} \cup \{0\},$$

whose dimension is denoted by $\ell(G)$. We notice the dimension of Riemann-Roch spaces remains unchanged under the equivalence relation of divisors.

Let $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the module of Weil differentials of \mathcal{F} . For any nonzero $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ we can associate a canonical divisor $(\omega) = \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}} v_P(\omega)P$. Given $x \in \mathcal{F}$ a separating element, by [34, Remark 4.3.7 (c)], the divisor of the differential dx is

$$(2) \quad (dx) = -2(x)_{\infty} + \text{Diff}(\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q(x)),$$

where $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q(x))$ is the different of the extension field $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$.

For a divisor $A \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$, we define

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \{\omega \in \Omega_{\mathcal{F}} \mid (\omega) \geq A\}$$

and denote the dimension of $\Omega(A)$ by $i(A)$, the index of speciality of A . It holds that $i(A) = \ell(W - A)$ for all canonical divisors W of \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q .

The Riemann-Roch Theorem states that for any divisor A

$$\ell(A) = \deg A + 1 - g + i(A).$$

A divisor $A \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$ is called *non-special* if $i(A) = 0$ and *special*, otherwise. If $\deg(A) > 2g - 2$, then A is non-special. And if A is non-special, it has a degree of at least $g - 1$. If A has a degree exactly $g - 1$, then A is non-special if and only if $\ell(A) = 0$.

Now, we establish a notation for places in a function field based on the algebraic curve associated with it, which will be employed in all subsequent results in the paper. Consider a function field \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q defined by the non-singular absolutely irreducible curve \mathcal{X} defined by the affine equation

$$\mathcal{X} : f(x, y) = 0.$$

For $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ such that $f(a, b) = 0$, we denote the place P_{ab} in $\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ as the common zero of $x - a$ and $y - b$ in \mathcal{F} . If $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$, the place is rational.

An important family of function fields suitable for applications in coding theory is the family of *maximal function fields*. These are function fields $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ of genus g attaining the famous Hasse-Weil upper bound for the number $N(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} rational points (places of degree one over \mathbb{F}_{q^2})

$$N(\mathcal{F}) = q^2 + 1 + 2gq.$$

2.2. Linear and Algebraic Geometry Codes. A *linear code* \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{F}_q of length $1 \leq n$ is an \mathbb{F}_q -subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n . Associated to a linear code, we have three parameters: the *length* n , the *dimension* k of \mathcal{C} as an \mathbb{F}_q -vector space, and the *minimum distance* (Hamming distance)

$$d = \min\{\text{wt}(\mathbf{c}) \mid \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}\},$$

where $\text{wt}(\mathbf{x}) = \#\{i \mid x_i \neq 0\}$ for any n -tuple $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. We denote such code \mathcal{C} as a $[n, k, d]$ or just $[n, k]$ code. The simplest relation among the parameters of a code is the Singleton Bound

$$k + d \leq n + 1.$$

A code is said to be MDS if $n = k + d - 1$.

If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a linear code and \cdot is the standard inner product in \mathbb{F}_q^n , the set

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

is also a subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n , it is called the *dual code* of \mathcal{C} .

Definition 2.1. Two codes $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ are said to be *equivalent* if there is a vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathcal{C}_1 = \{(a_1 c_1, \dots, a_n c_n) \mid (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathcal{C}_1\}.$$

Observe that equivalent codes have the same dimension and minimum distance.

Definition 2.2. A pair of linear codes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 in \mathbb{F}_q^n is said to be a *linear complementary pair* (LCP for short) if the direct sum $\mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 = \mathbb{F}_q^n$.

For an LCP of codes $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$, the minimum distance $d(\mathcal{C}_1)$ measures the protection against FIA, while $d(\mathcal{C}_2^\perp)$ measures the protection against SCA. The joint security against the two attacks is provided by $\min\{d(\mathcal{C}_1), d(\mathcal{C}_2^\perp)\}$, which is called the security parameter for $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$.

Definition 2.3. A linear code \mathcal{C} is said to be a *Linear Complementary Dual* (LCD for short) if $\mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathbb{F}_q^n$.

We now recall linear algebraic geometry codes (abbreviated as AG codes) we consider in this work. Let \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q be a function field of genus g . Consider $P_1, \dots, P_n \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}$ pairwise distinct rational places of \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q and denote $D := \sum_{i=1}^n P_i$. Given a divisor $G \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$ with $\text{Supp } G \cap \text{Supp } D = \emptyset$, the *algebraic geometry code* (AG code for short) $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G) := \{(f(P_1), \dots, f(P_n)) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G)\}$$

The parameters of AG codes satisfy the following well-known bounds.

Proposition 2.4. [34, Theorem 2.2.2] *The code $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ has parameters $[n, k, d]$ which satisfy*

$$k = \ell(G) - \ell(G - D) \quad \text{and} \quad d \geq n - \deg G.$$

In particular, for $2g - 2 < \deg G < n$ it holds that $k = \ell(G) = \deg(G) + 1 - g$.

The next proposition tells us that the dual code of a linear AG code is still a linear AG code and how it can be computed.

Proposition 2.5. [34, Proposition 2.2.10] *Let ω be a Weil differential such that $v_{P_i}(\omega) = -1$ and $\omega_{P_i}(1) = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)^\perp = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H) \quad \text{with} \quad H := D - G + (\omega).$$

Next, we observe that equivalent divisors give rise to equivalent AG codes.

Proposition 2.6. [34, Proposition 2.2.14] *Let P_1, \dots, P_n be n pairwise distinct rational places in \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q , and consider $D = P_1 + \dots + P_n$. Consider G and H equivalent divisors such that $\text{Supp}(D) \cap \text{Supp}(G) = \text{Supp}(D) \cap \text{Supp}(H) = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)$ are equivalent. More specifically, if $G = H + (a)$ where $v_{P_i}(a) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G),$$

where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$.

2.3. LCP of algebraic geometry codes. Let \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q be an algebraic function field over \mathbb{F}_q of genus g . For two divisors A, B in $\text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$, we define the *greatest common divisor* of A and B by

$$\gcd(A, B) := \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}} \min\{v_P(A), v_P(B)\}P,$$

and the *least multiple divisor* A and B by

$$\text{lmd}(A, B) := \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}} \max\{v_P(A), v_P(B)\}P.$$

The $\gcd(A, B)$ and $\text{lmd}(A, B)$ fulfil these two fundamental properties

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L}(A) \cap \mathcal{L}(B) = \mathcal{L}(\gcd(A, B)) \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(A, B) + \text{lmd}(A, B) = A + B.$$

Let P_1, \dots, P_n be pairwise distinct rational places of \mathcal{F} and consider the divisor $D := \sum_{i=1}^n P_i$. Let G and H be two other divisors of \mathcal{F} such that

$$\text{Supp}(G) \cap \text{Supp}(D) = \text{Supp}(H) \cap \text{Supp}(D) = \emptyset.$$

The pair $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)$ of AG codes is an LCP of AG codes over \mathbb{F}_q if

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H) = \mathbb{F}_q^n.$$

In other words, a pair $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)$ of AG codes is an LCP if and only if

$$(4) \quad \dim(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)) + \dim(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)) = n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H) = \{0\}.$$

In [7], Bhowmick, Dalai, and Mesnager provided some adequate conditions on the divisors G and H to obtain a pair of LCP AG codes.

Theorem 2.7. [7, Theorem 3.5] *Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)$ be two algebraic geometry codes over a function field \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q of genus $g \neq 0$. Suppose D has degree n and the divisors G and H satisfy*

- i) $2g - 2 < \deg(G), \deg(H) < n, \ell(G) + \ell(H) = n,$
- ii) $\deg(\gcd(G, H)) = g - 1,$ and
- iii) *both divisors $\gcd(G, H)$ and $\text{lmd}(G, H) - D$ are non-special.*

Then the pair $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)$ is LCP.

We notice that condition i) in Theorem 2.7 is equivalent stating that $\deg(G) + \deg(H) = n + 2g - 2$ by Riemann-Roch Theorem. In most cases, it is simpler to calculate the degree of a divisor than the dimension of the Riemann-Roch space associated with it.

Considering Theorem 2.7, to derive the LCP of AG codes, one must identify non-special divisors of degree $g - 1$. For this purpose, we employ the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8. [25, Theorems 8, 12] *Let $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$ be a Kummer extension given by $y^m = \prod_{i=1}^r (x - a_i)$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, r < m$ and $\gcd(q, m) = \gcd(m, r) = 1$. Then, for $P_{a_i 0}$ the only zero of $x - a_i$ in \mathcal{F} , the divisor*

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left[\frac{im}{r} \right] P_{a_i 0} - P$$

is non-special of degree $g - 1$ for all $P \in \{P_{ab} \mid b \neq 0 \text{ or } a \neq a_i\} \cup \{P_{\infty}\}$.

3. LCD AG CODES AND LCP OF AG CODES OVER KUMMER EXTENSIONS

In this section, we consider Kummer extensions $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$ defined by

$$(5) \quad y^m = f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r (x - \alpha_i),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{F}_q$ are pairwise distinct elements, $2 \leq r < m$ satisfies $\gcd(m, r) = 1$ and m is a divisor of $q - 1$. The function field \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q has genus $g = (r - 1)(m - 1)/2$. For $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$, we denote by P_{ab} the common zero of $x - a$ and $y - b$ in \mathcal{F} . For each $1 \leq i \leq r$, let $Q_i = P_{\alpha_i 0}$ be the (only) rational place of \mathcal{F} associated to the zero of $x - \alpha_i$, and Q_{∞} as the unique place at infinity of \mathcal{F} . Note that $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_r, Q_{\infty}\}$ is the set of all totally ramified places in the function field extension $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$. Then we have the following divisors in \mathcal{F}

- i) $(x - \alpha_i) = mQ_i - mQ_{\infty}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$;
- ii) $(y) = Q_1 + \dots + Q_r - rQ_{\infty}$.

The next theorem provides a method for constructing linearly complementary pairs of codes over such Kummer extensions.

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be the function field defined by Equation (5) with at least $2g + r + 1$ rational places. Suppose a_1, \dots, a_t in \mathbb{F}_q are such that P_{a_i} is a rational place in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(x)$ completely split in the extension $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$. Let*

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^t P_{a_i b} | P_{a_i} \quad \text{of degree } n.$$

For s an integer with $m \leq s < (n - g + 2)/r$, define the divisors

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{im}{r} \right\rfloor Q_i + (n - rs)Q_\infty, \text{ and}$$

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(s + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor \right) Q_i + (s-1)Q_r - Q_\infty.$$

Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is a $[n, n - rs + 1]$ -code, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)$ is a $[n, rs - 1]$ -code and the pair $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is an LCP of AG codes. Moreover, the security parameter of $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is $d(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G))$.

Proof. We will show the divisors D, G , and H satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.7. At first, we notice that

- i) $\text{Supp}(G) \cap \text{Supp}(D) = \text{Supp}(H) \cap \text{Supp}(D) = \emptyset$,
- ii) $2g - 2 < \deg(G) = g + n - rs$, $\deg(H) = rs + g - 2 < n$ (by Equation 1 and the condition $m \leq s < (n - g + 2)/r$),
- iii) $\gcd(G, H) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{im}{r} \right\rfloor Q_i - Q_\infty$ since $\min\{\left\lfloor \frac{im}{r} \right\rfloor, s + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor\} = \left\lfloor \frac{im}{r} \right\rfloor$ for $s \geq m$, and
- iv) $\text{lmd}(G, H) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(s + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor \right) Q_i + (s-1)Q_r + (n - rs)Q_\infty$.

By the Riemann-Roch Theorem and Equations (1) and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(G) + \ell(H) &= \deg(G + H) + 2 - 2g \\ &= \deg(\gcd(G, H) + \text{lmd}(G, H)) + 2 - 2g \\ &= (g - 1) + ((r - 1)s + g + s - 1 + n - rs) + 2 - 2g \\ &= n. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.8 gives $\gcd(G, H)$ is a non-special divisor of degree $g - 1$. It is left to show that $\text{lmd}(G, H) - D$ is also a non-special divisor. The divisor of the function $h = \prod_{i=1}^t (x - a_i)$

is $(h) = D - nQ_\infty$, and $(y^s) = s(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_r) - rsQ_\infty$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{lmd}(G, H) - D &= \text{lmd}(G, H) - (h) - nQ_\infty \\
&\sim \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(s + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor \right) Q_i + (s-1)Q_r + (n-rs)Q_\infty - nQ_\infty \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor Q_i - Q_r + \sum_{i=1}^r sQ_i - rsQ_\infty \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor Q_i - Q_r + (y^s) \\
&\sim \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor Q_i - Q_r.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain that $\text{lmd}(G, H) - D$ is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor Q_i - Q_r$, a non-special divisor by Theorem 2.8. This concludes $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is an LCP of codes by Theorem 2.7.

By Proposition 2.5, the canonical divisor $W := \left(\frac{dh}{h}\right)$ is such that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)^\perp = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, D + W - G).$$

From $\text{Diff}(F/\mathbb{F}_q(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^r (m-1)Q_i + (m-1)Q_\infty$ and Equation (2), we obtain

$$(6) \quad W = \left(\frac{dh}{dx}\right) + (dx) - D + nQ_\infty = -D + \left(\frac{dh}{dx}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^r (m-1)Q_i + (n-m-1)Q_\infty,$$

On the other hand, as $\left\lfloor \frac{im}{r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor = m-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G + H &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (s+m-1)Q_i + (s-1)Q_r + (n-rs-1)Q_\infty \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r (s+m-1)Q_i - mQ_r + (n-rs-1)Q_\infty \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r (m-1)Q_i + (n-m-1)Q_\infty + \left(\frac{y^s}{x-\alpha_r}\right) \\
&= D + W - \left(\frac{dh}{dx}\right) + \left(\frac{y^s}{x-\alpha_r}\right) \\
&= D + W + \left(\frac{y^s}{h'(x-\alpha_r)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where h' denotes the function dh/dx . Thus

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)^\perp = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(D, H - \left(\frac{y^s}{h'(x-\alpha_r)}\right)\right) \sim \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H),$$

by Proposition 2.6. Therefore the security parameter of $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is $d(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G))$. \square

In the next theorem, we show that an alternative choice of the divisors G and H in Theorem 3.1 also results in a pair of linear complementary codes.

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathcal{F} be the function field as defined in Equation (5) with at least $2g+r+1$ rational places. Suppose a_1, \dots, a_t in \mathbb{F}_q are such that P_{a_i} is a rational place in $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$ completely split in the extension $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$. Let*

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^t P_{a_i} | P_{a_i} \quad \text{of degree } n.$$

For s an integer with $m \leq s < \min\{(n-g+1)/(r-1), (n+m-1)/r\}$, define the divisors

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{im}{r} \right\rfloor Q_i + sQ_r + (n-rs-1)Q_\infty$$

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(s + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor \right) Q_i + (m-1)Q_r - mQ_\infty.$$

Then the pair $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is an LCP of AG codes with parameters

$$[n, n - (r-1)s, \geq (r-1)s + g - 1] \quad \text{and} \quad [n, (r-1)s, n - (r-1)s + g - 1],$$

respectively. Moreover, the security parameter of $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is $d(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G))$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, so we prove the divisors $\gcd(G, H)$ and $\text{lmd}(G, H) - D$ are non-specials. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(G, H) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{im}{r} \right\rfloor Q_i + (m-1)Q_r - mQ_\infty \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{im}{r} \right\rfloor Q_i - Q_r + (x - \alpha_r) \\ &\sim \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{im}{r} \right\rfloor Q_i - Q_r. \end{aligned}$$

is a non-special divisor from Theorem 2.8.

The divisor of the function $h = \prod_{i=1}^t (x - a_i)$ is $(h) = D - nQ_\infty$, and $(y^s) = s(Q_1 + \dots + Q_r) - rsQ_\infty$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lmd}(G, H) - D &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(s + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor \right) Q_i + sQ_r + (n - rs - 1)Q_\infty - D \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor \right) Q_i + (n-1)Q_\infty + (y^s) - D \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor \right) Q_i - Q_\infty + (y^s) - (h) \\ &\sim \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)m}{r} \right\rfloor \right) Q_i - Q_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

is also a non-special divisor from Theorem 2.8. Analogous to Theorem 3.1, we can show that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)^\perp = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(D, H - \left(\frac{y^s}{h^r}\right)\right)$ and hence $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)^\perp$. Hence the security parameter of $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is $d(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G))$. \square

We notice that a class of codes satisfying that if $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is LCP, then \mathcal{C} is equivalent to \mathcal{D}^\perp is, for instance, the λ -constacyclic codes [10].

In the following theorem, we show that for certain maximal curves, the LCP codes obtained in Theorem 3.2 can sometimes be LCD codes. We consider a maximal function field given by a Kummer extension $y^{q+1} = f(x)$, $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[x]$ a separable polynomial with all its roots in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . We point out that these two conditions are not really restrictive conditions for maximal function fields of Kummer type; see [1, Theorem 4.3] and [35, Theorem 3.2]. In [25, Theorem 13] the authors gave necessary conditions on the divisors G and H such that a code over a maximal function field $y^{q+1} = f(x)$ is an LCD code. In what follows, we construct examples of such divisors.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}(x)$ be a maximal Kummer extension defined by*

$$y^{q+1} = \prod_{i=1}^r (x - \alpha_i)$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $2 \leq r < q+1$ co prime with $q+1$. Let $t \geq 2q+2$ be a divisor of $q^2 - 1$. Define the divisors

$$\begin{aligned} D &= (y^t - 1)_0, \quad \text{and} \\ G &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{i(q+1)}{r} \right\rfloor Q_i + (t - q - 1)Q_r + (r(q+1) - 1)Q_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is an $[rt, r(q+1) + t - q - 1, \geq (r-1)(t - q - 1) - g + 1]$ -LCD code.

Proof. As $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ is a maximal function field of genus $g = q(r-1)/2$, we have $N(\mathcal{F}) = rq^2 + 1$ rational places in \mathcal{F} . In particular, in the degree r extension $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}(y)$, all rational places over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}(y)$ except the only pole of y are split. Thus, the divisor $D = (y^t - 1)_0$ has

degree $\deg(D) = rt$. Let

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left((t - q - 1) + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)(q+1)}{r} \right\rfloor \right) Q_i + qQ_r - (q+1)Q_\infty.$$

Now, following the notation as in Theorem 3.2 for $s = t - q - 1$, we have the pair $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is LCP. We are left to prove $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is an LCD code, we are going to show that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)^\perp = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)$. Notice that for any $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$, we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{i(q+1)}{r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)(q+1)}{r} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{i(q+1)}{r} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{-i(q+1)}{r} \right\rfloor + q + 1 = q.$$

Thus, by the choice of divisors D, G and H , we have

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left((t - q - 1) + \left\lfloor \frac{(r-i)(q+1)}{r} \right\rfloor \right) Q_i + qQ_r - (q+1)Q_\infty.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} G + H - D &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (t-1)Q_i + (t-1)Q_r + (r(q+1) - q - 2)Q_\infty - (y^t - 1)_0 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (t-1)Q_i + (qr - q + r - 2 - rt)Q_\infty - (y^t - 1) \\ &= (t-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^r Q_i - rQ_\infty \right) + (qr - q - 2)Q_\infty - (y^t - 1) \\ &= (y^{t-1}) + (2g - 2)Q_\infty - (y^t - 1) \\ &= \left(\frac{d(y^t - 1)}{y^t - 1} \right) \quad (\text{by (2)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\left(\frac{d(y^t - 1)}{y^t - 1} \right)$ is a canonical divisor with simple poles at D and residue 1 at all P in $\text{Supp}(D)$. By Proposition 2.5, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)^\perp = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is an LCD code. \square

In the following subsections, we apply Theorems 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 to construct LCP of codes and LCD codes over certain function fields.

3.1. LCD Ag codes and LCP of Ag codes over a generalization of the Hermitian function field. For $u \geq 1$ an odd integer, consider $\mathcal{F}_u := \mathbb{F}_{q^{2u}}(x, y)$ the algebraic function field defined by

$$(7) \quad y^{q^u+1} = x^q + x$$

over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2u}}$. From [16, Example 1.3] \mathcal{F}_u is a maximal function field of genus $g = \frac{q^u(q-1)}{2}$. The $q^{2u+1} + 1$ rational places in \mathcal{F}_u consist of a unique place at infinity Q_∞ , and q^{2u+1} rational places P_{ab} with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2u}}$ satisfying $a^q + a = b^{q^u+1}$.

Proposition 3.4. *For $q^u + 1 \leq s \leq \frac{2q^{2u+1} - q^{u+1} + q^u - 2q + 4}{2q}$ there exists a pair of LCP AG codes with parameters*

$$[q^{2u+1} - q, q(q^{2u} - s - 1) + 1, \geq qs - q^u(q-1)/2]$$

and

$$[q^{2u+1} - q, qs - 1, \geq q(q^{2u} - s - 1) - q^u(q - 1)/2 + 2].$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{F}_u be the function field over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} defined by Equation (7) and the notation as above. Consider the divisor $D = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2u}}^*} P_{ab}$ of degree $q^{2u+1} - q$. For $q^u + 1 \leq s \leq \frac{2q^{2u-1} - q^u + q^{u-1} - 2}{2}$, consider the following divisors

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} iq^{u-1}Q_i + (q^{2u+1} - qs - q)Q_\infty, \text{ and}$$

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (s + q^u - iq^{u-1})Q_i + (s - 1)Q_q - Q_\infty.$$

Then the pair $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is an LCP of AG codes by Theorem 3.1. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $u \geq 1$ be an odd number. Then there exists an LCD code over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2u}}$ with parameters*

$$[q^{2u+1} - q, q^{2u} + q^{u+1} - q^u + q - 2, \geq (q - 1)(2q^{2u} - q^{u+1} - q^u - 2)/2 + 1].$$

Proof. Let $D = (y^{q^{2u}-1} - 1)_0 = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2u}}^*} P_{ab}$ be the sum of all affine rational places P_{ab} with $b \neq 0$ of \mathcal{F}_u . Then D has degree $q^{2u+1} - q$. Consider

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} iq^{u-1}Q_i + (q^{2u} - q^u - 2)Q_q + (q^{u+1} + q - 1)Q_\infty.$$

Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is an LCD code over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2u}}$ by Theorem 3.3. \square

3.2. LCD AG codes and LCP of AG codes over a maximal curve covered by the Hermitian function field. Let \mathbb{F}_{q^2} be a finite field of characteristic p . Recently, in a very nice paper [17], Gatti and Korchmáros provided explicit equations and classified all Galois subcovers of the Hermitian curve \mathcal{H} given as quotient curves by subgroups of the automorphism of \mathcal{H} of order p^2 . In this subsection, we describe certain LCPs of codes and LCD codes on one of the curves described in [17].

Let $q = p^h$ be a power prime with $h \geq 3$. Let \mathcal{F}_b be the function field of the curve

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{X}_b : \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} (b - b^{p^i})x^{p^{i-1}} + wy^{q+1} = 0$$

for $b \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$, where $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ is a fixed element such that $w^{q-1} = -1$.

The function field \mathcal{F}_b has genus $g(\mathcal{F}_b) = \frac{1}{2}q(p^{h-2} - 1)$ and it is a maximal function field over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , that is, it has $N(\mathcal{F}_b) = p^{3h-2} + 1$ places of degree one. Denote Q_∞ the only place at infinity of \mathcal{F}_b and $Q_1, \dots, Q_{p^{h-2}}$ the (rational) zeros of

$$f(x) = -\frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} (b - b^{p^i})x^{p^{i-1}}$$

in \mathcal{F}_b .

Proposition 3.6. *For s an integer such that $q+1 \leq s \leq q^2 - \frac{q-p^2}{2} - 1$, there exists a pair $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ of LCP codes with parameters*

$$[p^{h-2}(q^2 - 1), p^{h-2}(q^2 - s - 1) + 1, \geq \frac{p^{h-2}(2s - q) + q}{2}], \text{ and}$$

$$[p^{h-2}(q^2 - 1), p^{h-2}s - 1, p^{h-2}(q^2 - s - 1) - \frac{q(p^{h-2} - 1)}{2} + 2],$$

respectively.

Proof. Consider the divisor $D = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} P_{\alpha\beta}$ be the sum of all rational places $P_{\alpha\beta}$ of \mathcal{F}_b with $\beta \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} (b - b^{p^i}) \alpha^{p^{i-1}} = -w\beta^{q+1} \neq 0$. Then $D = (y^{q^2-1} - 1)_0$ and has degree $\deg(D) = p^{h-2}(q^2 - 1)$. Now, considering the divisors

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{p^{h-2}-1} p^2 i Q_i + p^{h-2}(q^2 - s - 1) Q_{\infty}, \text{ and}$$

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{p^{h-2}-1} (s + q - p^2 i) Q_i + (s - 1) Q_{p^{h-2}} - Q_{\infty},$$

the result follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let $q = p^h$ be a prime power, where $h \geq 3$ is an integer. Let $1 \leq v \leq (q - 1)/2$ be a divisor of $q^2 - 1$. Then there exists a*

$$\left[\frac{p^{h-2}(q^2-1)}{v}, p^{h-2}(q+1) + \frac{q^2-1}{v} - q - 1, \geq (p^{h-2} - 1) \left(\frac{q^2-1}{v} - q - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{2} q (p^{h-2} - 1) + 1 \right]$$

LCD code over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} .

Proof. Consider $\mathcal{F}_b/\mathbb{F}_{q^{2v}}$ the function field over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} defined by the curve (8). Let $t = \frac{q^2-1}{v}$. Since $q \geq 2v + 1$, we have $t > 2q + 1$. Now, we define

$$D = (y^t - 1)_0 \quad \text{and} \quad G = \sum_{i=1}^{p^{h-2}-1} p^2 i Q_i + (t - q - 1) Q_{p^{h-2}} + (p^{h-2}(q+1) - 1) Q_{\infty}.$$

From Theorem 3.3, we obtain that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is an LCD code. \square

Example 3.8. *For $p = 3$ and $h = 3$, consider $\mathcal{F}_b/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ the function field over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} of the curve (8). We have $q^2 - 1 = 27^2 - 1 = 2^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$. In Proposition 3.7, we can take $v = 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 13$.*

For instance, for $v = 4$ we have $t = (q^2 - 1)/4 = 182$ so we obtain a $[546, 238, \geq 282]$ -LCD code over \mathbb{F}_{27^2} , namely $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ with

$$D = (y^{182} - 1)_0 \quad \text{and} \quad G = 9Q_1 + 18Q_2 + 154Q_3 + 83Q_{\infty}.$$

For $v = 7$, we have $t = 8 \cdot 13 = 104$. So we obtain a $[312, 160, \geq 126]$ -LCD code $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ over \mathbb{F}_{27^2} , where

$$D = (y^{104} - 1)_0 \quad \text{and} \quad G = 9Q_1 + 18Q_2 + 76Q_3 + 83Q_{\infty}.$$

Analogously, for $v = 1, 2, 8, 13$, we get LCD codes with parameters

$$[2184, 784, \geq 1374], [1092, 420, \geq 646], [273, 147, \geq 100] \quad \text{and} \quad [168, 112, \geq 30],$$

respectively.

For $p = 2$ and $h = 3$, we have $q^2 - 1 = 8^2 - 1 = 3^2 \cdot 7$. In Proposition 3.7, choosing $v = 3$ we have $t = (q^2 - 1)/3 = 21$ and obtain a $[42, 30, \geq 9]$ LCD code over \mathbb{F}_{64} . And for $v = 1$ we obtain a $[126, 72, \geq 51]$ LCD code over \mathbb{F}_{64} , that is a code with the best-known parameters according [24].

4. LCP OF AG CODES AND LCD CODES OVER THE CURVE $y^{q+1} = x^2 + x$, q ODD

For P a rational place in a function field \mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_q of genus g , the Weierstrass semigroup at P is

$$H(P) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists z \in \mathcal{F}, (z)_\infty = nP\}.$$

The elements in the semigroup are closely related to the dimension of certain Riemann-Roch spaces; in fact, it is easy to notice that $n \in H(P)$ if and only if $\ell((n-1)P) = \ell(nP)$. In particular, $0 \in H(P)$, and any integer larger than $2g - 1$, is in the semigroup by the Riemann-Roch Theorem.

This section considers a special type of function fields for which exists a rational place P with Weierstrass semigroup $H(P) = \{0, g + 1, g + 2, \dots\}$. This is characteristic of hyperelliptic curves. In fact, in 1939, it was proved that for a rational place P of a hyperelliptic function field that is not fixed by the hyperelliptic involution, the Weierstrass semigroup $H(P) = \{0, g + 1, g + 2, \dots\}$, see [30, Satz 8].

Consider the function field $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(x, y)/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ of the hyperelliptic curve defined by

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{X} : y^{q+1} = x^2 + x.$$

If q is even, this Kummer extension has a unique place at infinity Q_∞ and was already considered in the previous section. Here, we investigate the case when q is odd. In this case, the curve has genus $g = (q - 1)/2$. In [35, Proposition 4.11], Tafazolian and Torres proved \mathcal{X} is a maximal curve over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . The $2q^2 - q + 1$ rational places can be characterized in the following way:

- (1) two places totally ramified, the roots of $x^2 + x$ in \mathcal{F} denoted by $\{Q_0, Q_1\}$,
- (2) two places at infinity $\{Q_\infty, Q'_\infty\}$, and
- (3) $(2q - 3)(q + 1)$ rational places from $2q - 3$ elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ such that $\alpha^2 + \alpha$ is a $(q + 1)$ -power in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. Observe that $0 \neq \alpha^2 + \alpha$ is a $(q + 1)$ -power in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ if and only if $(\alpha^2 + \alpha)^{q-1} = 1$ if and only if $\alpha^{2q} + \alpha^q - \alpha^2 - \alpha = 0$ or, equivalently, if α is a root of $(x^q - x)(x^q + x + 1) = 0$. Since $x^2 + x$ is a factor of $x^q - x$ and $2x + 1$ is a factor of both $x^q - x$ and $x^q + x + 1$, we obtain that the rational places $P_{\alpha\beta}$ in \mathcal{F} with $\beta \neq 0$ arise from the $2q - 3$ elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ such that α is a root of

$$(10) \quad \frac{(x^{q-2} - x^{q-3} + \dots - x + 1)(x^q + x + 1)}{2x + 1}.$$

Consider the function $h := \frac{y^{q^2-1}-1}{2x+1}$ in \mathcal{F} . Defining D as the zero divisor of h we have

$$(11) \quad D := (h)_0 = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*} P_{ab},$$

where the sum runs over all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $a^2 + a = b^{q+1} \neq 0$. We also have $\deg D = (2q - 3)(q + 1)$.

Now we construct non-special divisors of degree g and $g - 1$ on \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 4.1. *Let q be odd, and \mathcal{F} be the function field defined by Equation (9). Let $P \in \{P_{ab} \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}} \mid 2a + 1 \neq 0\}$ be a rational place of \mathcal{F} . Then, gP is a non-special divisor of degree g . In particular, $gP - P' \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$ is a non-special divisor of degree $g - 1$ for all rational places $P' \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}}$ distinct from P .*

Proof. The hyperelliptic involution of $y^{q+1} = x^2 + x$ is given by $\varphi(x, y) = (-x - 1, y)$, so the fixed points of φ are those $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $2a + 1 = 0$. Hence for $P \in \{P_{ab} \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}} \mid 2a + 1 \neq 0\}$, we have $H(P) = \{0, g + 1, g + 2, \dots\}$. Therefore $\mathcal{L}(gP) = \{0\}$ and gP is a non-special divisor of degree g . Finally, given a rational place $P' \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \{P\}$, we have that $gP - P'$ is also a non-special divisor by [3, Lemma 3]. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(x, y)$ be the function field defined by $y^{q+1} = x^2 + x$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Let Q_{∞} and Q'_{∞} be the two places at infinity, and Q_0, Q_1 be the two totally ramified places in $\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}(x)$. Let $D := (h)_0$ as in (11), and $n := \deg(D) = 2q^2 - q - 3$. Suppose that $G, H \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$ are two divisors satisfying*

- i) $2g - 2 < \deg(G), \deg(H) < n$;
- ii) $\gcd(G, H) = gQ_0 - Q_{\infty}$;
- iii) $\text{lmd}(G, H) = gQ_0 + qQ_1 + (q^2 - q - 2)(Q_{\infty} + Q'_{\infty})$.

Then the pair $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is an LCP of AG codes.

Proof. We shall show that D, G and H satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.7. By condition ii) and iii), we have $\deg(\gcd(G, H)) = g - 1$ and $\deg(\text{lmd}(G, H)) = 2q^2 - q + g - 4$. From Riemann-Roch Theorem and Equation (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(G) + \ell(H) &= \deg(G + H) + 2 - 2g \\ &= \deg(\gcd(G, H) + \text{lmd}(G, H)) + 2 - 2g \\ &= 2q^2 - q - 3 = n. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1 yields that $\gcd(G, H) = gQ_0 - Q_{\infty}$ is a non-special divisor of degree $g - 1$. We are left to show that $\text{lmd}(G, H) - D$ is also a non-special divisor. We have D is the zero divisor of the function $h = \frac{y^{q^2-1}-1}{2x+1}$, and the principal divisor of h is $(h) = D - \frac{2q^2-q-3}{2}(Q_{\infty} + Q'_{\infty})$. Furthermore, we have the divisor $(y) = Q_0 + Q_1 - Q_{\infty} - Q'_{\infty}$ and, after some computations, we conclude

$$\text{lmd}(G, H) - D \sim -Q_0 + gQ_1,$$

which is a non-special divisor of degree $g - 1$ by Lemma 4.1. Therefore, by Theorem 2.7, the pair $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is an LCP of AG codes. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let \mathcal{F} and D be as in theorem 4.2. Consider the divisors*

$$G = gQ_0 + qQ_1 + (q^2 - q - 2)Q_{\infty}$$

and

$$H = gQ_0 - Q_{\infty} + (q^2 - q - 2)Q'_{\infty}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is an LCP of AG codes with parameters

$$\begin{aligned} &[2q^2 - q - 3, q^2 - 1, \geq q^2 - q - 1 - \frac{q-1}{2}] \text{ and} \\ &[2q^2 - q - 3, q^2 - q - 2, q^2 - \frac{q-1}{2}], \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

We finish this section giving conditions to an LCP $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ of AG codes to be such that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)$, i.e., $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is an LCD code.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $h = \frac{y^{q^2-1}-1}{2x+1}$ a rational function in \mathcal{F} . Then we have*

$$\left(\frac{dh}{h}\right) = -D + q(Q_0 + Q_1) + (q^2 - q - 3)(Q_{\infty} + Q'_{\infty}) + \left(y^{q^2-q-2} + \frac{2h}{2x+1}\right).$$

Proof. Since $y^{q+1} = x^2 + x$, the product rule yields $y^q dy = (2x+1)dx$, that is, $dy = \frac{2x+1}{y^q} dx$. Hence, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} dh &= d\left(\frac{y^{q^2-1}-1}{2x+1}\right) \\ &= \frac{-y^{q^2-2}(2x+1)dy - 2(y^{q^2-1}-1)dx}{(2x+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{-y^{q^2-q-2}(2x+1)^2 - 2(y^{q^2-1}-1)}{(2x+1)^2} dx \\ &= -\left(y^{q^2-q-2} + \frac{2h}{2x+1}\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This yields,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{dh}{h}\right) &= -(h) + \left(y^{q^2-q-2} + \frac{2h}{2x+1}\right) + (dx) \\ &= -D + \frac{2q^2 - q - 3}{2}(Q_{\infty} + Q'_{\infty}) + \left(y^{q^2-q-2} + \frac{2h}{2x+1}\right) \\ &\quad + q(Q_0 + Q_1) - \frac{q+3}{2}(Q_{\infty} + Q'_{\infty}) \\ &= -D + q(Q_0 + Q_1) + (q^2 - q - 3)(Q_{\infty} + Q'_{\infty}) + \left(y^{q^2-q-2} + \frac{2h}{2x+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(x, y)$ be the function field over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} defined by $y^{q+1} = x^2 + x$. Consider $D = (h)_0$. If $G, H \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$ are divisors of \mathcal{F} such that*

- i)* $2g - 2 < \deg(G), \deg(H) < \deg(D)$;
- ii)* $\gcd(G, H) = gQ_0 - Q_{\infty}$; and
- iii)* $G + H = q(Q_0 + Q_1) + (q^2 - q - 3)(Q_{\infty} + Q'_{\infty})$.

Then the pair $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is an LCP of AG codes. Moreover, the code $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is equivalent to an LCD code.

Proof. Suppose $G, H \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{F})$ are divisors of \mathcal{F} satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii). By Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 4.4, we have $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)^{\perp} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H')$ where

$$H' := D - G + \left(\frac{dh}{h}\right) = -G + q(Q_0 + Q_1) + (q^2 - q - 3)(Q_{\infty} + Q'_{\infty}) + \left(y^{q^2-q-2} + \frac{2h}{2x+1}\right).$$

Let $z = y^{q^2-q-2} + \frac{2h}{2x+1} \in \mathcal{F}$. Note that $z(P_{ab}) \neq 0$ for all $P_{ab} \in \text{Supp}(D)$.

By assumption (iii), $H = H' - (z)$. Since $\gcd(G, H) = gQ_0 - Q_\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lmd}(G, H) - D &= G + H - \gcd(G, H) - D \\ &= \frac{q+1}{2}Q_0 + qQ_1 + (q^2 - q - 2)Q_\infty + (q^2 - q - 3)Q'_\infty - (h)_0 \\ &\sim \frac{q+1}{2}Q_0 + qQ_1 + (q^2 - q - 2)Q_\infty + (q^2 - q - 3)Q'_\infty \\ &\quad - \frac{2q^2-q-3}{2}(Q_\infty + Q'_\infty) \\ &= \frac{q+1}{2}Q_0 + qQ_1 - \frac{q+1}{2}Q_\infty - \frac{q+3}{2}Q'_\infty \\ &= \frac{q-1}{2}Q_1 - Q'_\infty + (y^{(q+1)/2}) \\ &\sim gQ_1 - Q'_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\text{lmd}(G, H) - D$ is equivalent to $gQ_1 - Q'_\infty$. Hence $\gcd(G, H) = gQ_0 - Q_\infty$ and $\text{lmd}(G, H) - D$ are non-special divisors of degree $g-1$, by Lemma 4.1. Therefore, the pair $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is an LCP of AG codes.

Now we show $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is equivalent to an LCD code. By Equation (10), we have

$$h = \frac{y^{q^2-1} - 1}{2x+1} = \frac{(x^{q-2} - x^{q-3} + x^{q-4} - \dots + x - 1)(x^q + x + 1)}{2x+1} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{F},$$

and we get

$$\frac{h}{2x+1} = \tilde{h}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x],$$

For $P_{ab} \in \text{Supp}(D)$ and $2a+1 \neq 0$, we have

$$z(P_{ab}) = b^{q^2-q-2} + \frac{h(a, b)}{2a+1} = (b^{-1})^{q+1} = N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}(b^{-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q;$$

and for $P_{ab} \in \text{Supp}(D)$ with $2a+1 = 0$, we have

$$z(P_{ab}) = b^{q^2-q-2} + \tilde{h}(a) = (b^{-1})^{q+1} + \tilde{h}(a) = N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}(b^{-1}) + \tilde{h}(a) \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

As $z(P_{ab}) \neq 0$ and $z(P_{ab}) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for all $P_{ab} \in \text{Supp}(D)$, we obtain that $z(P_{ab})$ is a nonzero square in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} for all $P_{ab} \in \text{Supp}(D)$. Thus, for $w \in \mathcal{F}$ a function such that $w(P_i)^2 = z(P_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and as $(dh/h) = (G + (w)) + (H + (w)) - D$, by Proposition 2.5 we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G + (w))^\perp = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H + (w)).$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G + (w)) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H + (w)) = \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)) = \{0\},$$

where $\mathbf{w} = (w(P_1), \dots, w(P_n))$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G + (w))$ is an LCD code, and the theorem follows. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let q be odd. Then there are LCD codes over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} with parameters*

$$\begin{aligned} &[2q^2 - q - 3, q^2 - 3, \geq q^2 - q + 1 - \frac{q-1}{2}], \\ &[2q^2 - q - 3, q^2 - q - 3, \geq q^2 + 1 - \frac{q-1}{2}], \\ &[2q^2 - q - 3, q, \geq 2q^2 - 2q - 2 - \frac{q-1}{2}] \quad \text{and} \\ &[2q^2 - q - 3, q^2 - q - 1, q^2 - 1 - \frac{q-1}{2}] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq 4$, we define $\mathcal{C}_i := \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G_i)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= gQ_0 + qQ_1 - Q_\infty + (q^2 - q - 3)Q'_\infty, \\ G_2 &= gQ_0 - Q_\infty + (q^2 - q - 3)Q'_\infty, \\ G_3 &= gQ_0 + qQ_1 - Q_\infty \\ G_4 &= gQ_0 + (q^2 - q - 2)Q_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G = G_1$. We have

$$\deg(G) = \frac{q-1}{2} + q - 1 + q^2 - q - 3 = \frac{2q^2 + q - 9}{2},$$

thus

$$q - 3 = 2g - 2 < \deg(G) < 2q^2 - q - 3 = \deg(D).$$

Take $H = (g+1)Q_0 + (q^2 - q - 2)Q_\infty$, and hence $2g - 2 < \deg(H) < \deg(D)$. Moreover

$$\gcd(G, H) = gQ_0 - Q_\infty$$

and

$$G + H = \gcd(G, H) + \text{lmd}(G, H) = q(Q_0 + Q_1) + (q^2 - q - 3)(Q_\infty + Q'_\infty).$$

Therefore, by the Theorem 4.5, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G_1)$ is equivalent to a $[2q^2 - q - 3, q^2 - 3, \geq q^2 - q + 1 - \frac{q-1}{2}]$ LCD code over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . The proof for the other G_i 's follows analogously, and we omit the details. \square

5. LCP OF AG CODES OVER ELLIPTIC FUNCTION FIELDS

In this section, we present the construction of LCP codes over elliptic curves. Let \mathcal{E}/\mathbb{F}_q be an elliptic function field over \mathbb{F}_q , and \mathcal{O} be the only place at infinity of $\mathcal{E}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. It is well known that there is an isomorphism between $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$, the Jacobian of \mathcal{E} , and $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the set of rational places of \mathcal{E}/\mathbb{F}_q . In particular, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ can be viewed as the abelian group $(\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}), \oplus)$ with \mathcal{O} as the neutral element (see [34, Section 6.1] or [32]). It is also well known that the group $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ has the form $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/mk\mathbb{Z}$ for some positive integers m and k . For a description of all possible groups, see [29, 36].

Let $P_1, \dots, P_n \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ be n distinct rational places, and let $D = P_1 + \dots + P_n$. For a divisor $G \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $0 < \deg(G) < n$ and $\text{Supp}(G) \cap \text{Supp}(D) = \emptyset$, by Proposition 2.4, the elliptic code $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is an $[n, k, d]$ -code where

$$(12) \quad k = \deg(G) \quad \text{and} \quad d = n - k \quad \text{or} \quad d = n - k + 1.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is not MDS if and only if there are k distinct places $P_{i_1}, \dots, P_{i_k} \in \text{Supp}(D)$ such that $G \sim P_{i_1} + \dots + P_{i_k}$ or, equivalently, $G = P_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus P_{i_k}$ in $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$.

In an elliptic function field, we observe a divisor $A \in \text{Div}(\mathcal{E})$ of degree zero is non-special if and only if A is not principal. Next, we describe some LCP of elliptic codes.

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathcal{E} be the function field of an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q . Suppose the number $\#\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ of \mathbb{F}_q -rational places in \mathcal{E} is odd or $\#\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ is even and $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ contains more than one element of order 2. Fix $P_0 \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ a rational place of \mathcal{E} distinct from \mathcal{O} and denote $\alpha = \text{ord}(P_0) > 1$ its order in $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$. Let $D := \sum_{P \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus \{P_0, \mathcal{O}\}} P$ and $n := \deg(D)$. For any two positive integers a, b such that*

$$0 < b - a < n, \quad a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad b \not\equiv -1 \pmod{\alpha},$$

we have that

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, aP_0 + (n-b)\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, bP_0 - a\mathcal{O}))$$

is an LCP of elliptic codes over \mathbb{F}_q .

Proof. It is enough to prove that the divisors $G = aP_0 + (n-b)\mathcal{O}$ and $H = bP_0 - a\mathcal{O}$ satisfy the hypothesis in Theorem 2.7. From $0 < a < b < n + a$, we have $0 < \deg(G), \deg(H) < n$, $\gcd(G, H) = aP_0 - a\mathcal{O}$ and $\text{lmd}(G, H) = bP_0 + (n-b)\mathcal{O}$. Clearly, $\dim(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)) + \dim(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H)) = \deg(G) + \deg(H) = n$.

As $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ is an abelian group with $\#\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ being odd or $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ containing more than one element of order 2, we have

$$(13) \quad \sum_{P \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})} P = \mathcal{O} \quad \text{in } \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Moreover, $\text{lmd}(G, H) - D$ is a principal divisor if and only if $\text{lmd}(G, H) \ominus D = \mathcal{O}$ in $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$. On the other hand, by equation (13) we have

$$\text{lmd}(G, H) \ominus D = bP_0 \ominus D = (b+1)P_0 \ominus \sum_{P \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})} P = (b+1)P_0 \neq \mathcal{O},$$

since $b+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha}$. Since $\deg(\text{lmd}(G, H) - D) = 0$ we conclude $\text{lmd}(G, H) - D$ is non-special.

Now, since $\gcd(G, H) = a(P_0 - \mathcal{O})$ and $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha}$, we have $a(P_0 - \mathcal{O}) \neq \mathcal{O}$ in $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$, that is, $\gcd(G, H)$ is also a non-special divisor. This concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let \mathcal{E} and D be as in Theorem 5.1. Suppose $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ is a cyclic group of odd order $\alpha \geq 5$. Let P_0 be a generator of $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$. Then for any positive integers a and b with $a < b < \alpha - 1$, we have*

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, aP_0 + (\alpha - b - 2)\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, bP_0 - a\mathcal{O}))$$

is an LCP of elliptic codes over \mathbb{F}_q .

Proof. Note that $a < b < \alpha - 1$ implies $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha}$ and $b \not\equiv -1 \pmod{\alpha}$. Now apply Theorem 5.1 with $n = \alpha - 2$. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let \mathbb{F}_q be such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) > 2$ and \mathcal{E} be the function field of $y^2 = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$ over \mathbb{F}_q , where $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ are pairwise distinct. Define $D := \sum_{P \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus \{P_0, \mathcal{O}\}} P$ of degree n . For any a, b positive integers such that*

$$0 < b - a < n, \quad a \text{ odd and } b \text{ even,}$$

and P_0 in $\{P_{e_1 0}, P_{e_2 0}, P_{e_3 0}\}$ we have

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, aP_0 + (n-b)\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, bP_0 - a\mathcal{O}))$$

is an LCP of elliptic codes.

Proof. The $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ contains more than one element of order 2, namely rational places $P_{e_1 0}$, $P_{e_2 0}$ and $P_{e_3 0}$. Moreover, since $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, the result follows from Theorem 5.1. \square

In Theorem 5.1, we use the fact that $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ contains more than one element of order 2 or has order odd. Next, we consider the case when $\#\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ is even and $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ contains exactly one element of order 2.

Theorem 5.4. *Let \mathcal{E} be the function field of an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q . Suppose that $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ contains exactly one element of order 2. Fix $P_0 \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}$ with $\alpha := \text{ord}(P_0)$. Let $D = \sum_{P \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus \{P_0, \mathcal{O}\}} P$ and $n = \deg(D)$. Let a, b be two positive integers such that $0 < b - a < n$ and $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha}$. If*

- i) α is odd; or*
- ii) α is even with $\gcd(\alpha, b + 1) \neq \frac{\alpha}{2}$,*

then

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, aP_0 + (n - b)\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, bP_0 - a\mathcal{O}))$$

is an LCP of elliptic codes.

Proof. We will show that $D, G = aP_0 + (n - b)\mathcal{O}$ and $H = bP_0 - a\mathcal{O}$ satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.7. Observe that $\ell(G) + \ell(H) = n$. Since $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ contains exactly one element of order 2,

$$(14) \quad \sum_{P \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})} P = \tilde{P} \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}),$$

where \tilde{P} is the only element in $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ of order 2. Adding on $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ and using (14), we obtain

$$\text{lmd}(G, H) \ominus D = (b + 1)P_0 \ominus \tilde{P},$$

where the last equality follows from Equation (14). Hence $\text{lmd}(G, H) \ominus D = \mathcal{O}$ on $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ if and only if $(b + 1)P_0$ has order 2 in $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$. Note that if α is odd, then $\tilde{P} \notin \langle P_0 \rangle$. Thus $(b + 1)P_0 \neq \tilde{P}$ for any b . Suppose α is even. Then $\tilde{P} \in \langle P_0 \rangle$ as $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ contains only one element of order 2, so

$$\text{ord}((b + 1)P_0) = \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, b + 1)}.$$

If α is odd or α is even with $\gcd(\alpha, b + 1) \neq \frac{\alpha}{2}$, then

$$\gcd(G, H) = a(P_0 \ominus \mathcal{O}) \neq \mathcal{O} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{lmd}(G, H) \ominus D \neq \mathcal{O} \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}),$$

that is, $\gcd(G, H)$ and $\text{lmd}(G, H) - D$ are both non-special divisors. This concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.5. *With assumptions as in Theorem 5.4, let $P_0 \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ be the only element of order 2 in $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$. Then for positive integers $0 < b - a < n$ such that a and b are odd numbers, we have*

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, aP_0 + (n - b)\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, bP_0 - a\mathcal{O}))$$

is an LCP elliptic code.

Proof. Let $P_0 \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ be an element of order $\alpha = 2$. Since a and b are odd, $\gcd(\alpha, b + 1) \neq 1 = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha}$ and $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha}$, so the result follows by Theorem 5.4. \square

Corollary 5.6. *With the same assumptions of the Theorem 5.4, let $P_0 \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ be an element of odd order greater than 1 in $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$. Then for positive integers $1 < b < n + 1$, we have*

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, P_0 + (n - b)\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, bP_0 - \mathcal{O}))$$

is an LCP of elliptic codes. In particular, we obtain LCP with $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ having all possible dimensions $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

Proof. Take $a = 1$ in Theorem 5.4 and observe that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, P_0 + (n-b)\mathcal{O})$ is an $[n, n+1-b]$ -code over \mathbb{F}_q . \square

Proposition 5.7. *Let $p > 3$ be a prime such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Let \mathcal{E}/\mathbb{F}_p be the elliptic function field of the curve $y^2 = x^3 + x$ over \mathbb{F}_p . Assume that $P_0 \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ is the common zero of x and y in \mathcal{E} . For a, b positive integers such that $0 < b - a < p - 1$, a and b are odd, define*

$$D = \sum_{P \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}, P_0\}} P,$$

$$G = aP_0 + (p-1-b)\mathcal{O} \quad \text{and} \quad H = bP_0 - a\mathcal{O}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ is an LCP of codes over \mathbb{F}_p .

Proof. For $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, the elliptic curve given by $y^2 = x^3 + x$ over \mathbb{F}_p is such that $\#\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}) = p + 1$ and $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ contains only one element of order 2, since -1 is not a quadratic residue modulo p , see [32, Example 4.5]. Therefore, the result follows from Theorem 5.4. \square

We have constructed codes $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ over \mathbb{F}_q from elliptic curves with $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}) = \{\mathcal{O}, P, P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ and $D = P_1 + \dots + P_n$. According to [18, Theorem 6.3], for $q+1 < n < \#\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$, the elliptic code $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G)$ is an optimal code. Hence, there exist many different LCPs of optimal elliptic codes, since elliptic curves have more than $q+2$ \mathbb{F}_q -rational points.

Example 5.8. *Let \mathcal{E}/\mathbb{F}_8 be the function field of the elliptic curve $y^2 + y = x^3 + x + 1$ over \mathbb{F}_8 . We have $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{E})$ is a group of order 13, so it is a cyclic group and every $P \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}$ has order 13. Let $P_0 \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}$ and $D = \sum_{P \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}, P_0\}} P$.*

Let $G = P_0 + (11-b)\mathcal{O}$ and $H = bP_0 - \mathcal{O}$. From Theorem 5.1 and [26, Example 4.3], for any $2 \leq b \leq 10$ there exist an LCP of codes $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, H))$ with parameters $[11, 12-b, b-1]$ and $[11, b-1, 12-b]$ over \mathbb{F}_8 . For $b = 11$, we can also verify that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, P_0 + 9\mathcal{O})$ is a $[11, 1, 11]$ -code and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, 2P_0 - \mathcal{O})$ is a $[11, 10, 2]$ -code. Therefore,

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, P_0 + (11-b)\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}(D, bP_0 - \mathcal{O}))$$

is an LCP of non-MDS optimal elliptic codes for any $2 \leq b \leq 10$ and an LCP of MDS elliptic optimal codes for $b = 11$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abdón, H. Borges, and L. Quoos. Weierstrass points on Kummer extensions. *Advances in Geometry*, 19(3):323–333, 2019.
- [2] E.F. Assmus Jr. and J.D. Key. Affine and projective planes. *Discrete Math.*, **83**(2-3):161–187, 1990.
- [3] S. Ballet and D. Le Brigand. On the existence of non-special divisors of degree g and $g-1$ in algebraic function fields over \mathbb{F}_q . *Journal of Number Theory*, 116(2):293–310, 2006.
- [4] E. Barelli, P. Beelen, M. Datta, V. Neiger, and J. Rosenkilde. Two-point codes for the generalized gk curve. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64(9):6268–6276, 2017.
- [5] P. Beelen and L. Jin. Explicit mds codes with complementary duals. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64(11):7188–7193, 2018.
- [6] P. Beelen, J. Rosenkilde, and G. Solomatov. Fast decoding of ag codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 68(11):7215–7232, 2022.
- [7] S. Bhowmick, D. K. Dalai, and S. Mesnager. On linear complementary pairs of algebraic geometry codes over finite fields, 2023. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2311.01008>.

- [8] J. Bringer, C. Carlet, H. Chabanne, S. Guilley, and H. Maghrebi. Orthogonal direct sum masking. In D. Naccache and D. Sauveron, editors, *Information Security Theory and Practice. Securing the Internet of Things*, pages 40–56, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [9] C. Carlet and S. Guilley. Complementary dual codes for counter-measures to side-channel attacks. In R. Pinto, P. Rocha Malonek, and P. Vettori, editors, *Coding Theory and Applications*, pages 97–105, Cham, 2015. Springer International Publishing.
- [10] C. Carlet, C. Guneri, F. Ozbudak, B. Özkaya, and P. Solé. On linear complementary pairs of codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64(10):6583–6589, 2018.
- [11] C. Carlet, S. Mesnager, C. Tang, and Y. Qi. Euclidean and hermitian LCD MDS codes. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 86(11):2605–2618, Nov 2018.
- [12] C. Carlet, S. Mesnager, C. Tang, Y. Qi, and R. Pellikaan. Linear codes over \mathbb{U}_q are equivalent to lcd codes for $q > 3$. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64(4):3010–3017, 2018.
- [13] A. S. Castellanos and G. C. Tizziotti. Two-point ag codes on the gk maximal curves. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 62(2):681–686, 2015.
- [14] B. Chen, S. Ling, and H. Liu. Hulls of reed-solomon codes via algebraic geometry codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 69(2):1005–1014, 2023.
- [15] S. Fanali and M. Giulietti. One-point ag codes on the gk maximal curves. *IEEE transactions on information theory*, 56(1):202–210, 2009.
- [16] A. Garcia. On goppa codes and artin—schreier extensions. *Communications in Algebra*, 20(12):3683–3689, 1992.
- [17] B. Gatti and G. Korchmáros. Galois subcovers of the Hermitian curve in characteristic p with respect to subgroups of order p^2 . *Finite Fields and Their Applications* 98, 102450, 2024.
- [18] H. Janwa. Some optimal codes from algebraic geometry and their covering radii. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 11(3):249–266, 1990.
- [19] L. Jin and C. Xing. Algebraic geometry codes with complementary duals exceed the asymptotic Gilbert-Varshamov bound. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64(9):6277–6282, 2018.
- [20] G. Luo, M-F. Ezerman, M. Grassl, and S. Ling. Constructing quantum error-correcting codes that require a variable amount of entanglement, *Quantum Information Processing*, **23** Article 4, 2024.
- [21] J. L. Massey. Reversible codes. *Information and Control*, 7(3):369–380, 1964.
- [22] J. L. Massey. Linear codes with complementary duals. *Discrete Mathematics*, 106-107:337–342, 1992.
- [23] S. Mesnager, C. Tang, and Y. Qi. Complementary dual algebraic geometry codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64(4):2390–2397, 2018.
- [24] MintT. Online database for optimal parameters of (t, m, s) -nets, (t, s) -sequences, orthogonal arrays and linear codes. Available: <http://mint.sbg.ac.at>.
- [25] E. C. Moreno, H. H. López, and G. L. Matthews. Explicit non-special divisors of small degree, algebraic-geometric hulls, and lcd codes from Kummer extensions. *SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry*, 8(2):394–413, 2024.
- [26] C. Munuera. On mds elliptic codes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 117(1):279–286, 1993.
- [27] X. T. Ngo, S. Bhasin, J.-L. Danger, S. Guilley, and Z. Najm. Linear complementary dual code improvement to strengthen encoded circuit against hardware trojan horses. In *2015 IEEE International Symposium on Hardware Oriented Security and Trust (HOST)*, pages 82–87, 2015.
- [28] X. T. Ngo, S. Guilley, S. Bhasin, J.-L. Danger, and Z. Najm. Encoding the state of integrated circuits: a proactive and reactive protection against hardware trojans horses. In *Proceedings of the 9th Workshop on Embedded Systems Security*, pages 1–10, 2014.
- [29] H.-G. Rück. A note on elliptic curves over finite fields. *Mathematics of Computation*, 49(179):301–304, 1987.
- [30] F. K. Schmidt. Zur arithmetischen Theorie der algebraischen Funktionen. II. Allgemeine Theorie der Weierstraßpunkte. *Math. Z.*, 45(1):75–96, 1939.
- [31] N. Sendrier. Linear codes with complementary duals meet the gilbert–varshamov bound. *Discrete Mathematics*, 285(1):345–347, 2004.
- [32] J. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2009.
- [33] L. Sok, M. Shi, and P. Solé. Constructions of optimal lcd codes over large finite fields. *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, 50:138–153, 2018.

- [34] H. Stichtenoth. *Algebraic Function Fields and Codes*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.
- [35] S. Tafazolian and F. Torres. On the curve $yn = xm + x$ over finite fields. *Journal of Number Theory*, 145:51–66, 2014.
- [36] J. Voloch. A note on elliptic curves over finite fields. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 116(4):455–458, 1988.
- [37] X. Yang and J. L. Massey. The condition for a cyclic code to have a complementary dual. *Discrete Mathematics*, 126(1):391–393, 1994.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE UBERLÂNDIA, SANTA MÔNICA,
CEP 38408-100, UBERLÂNDIA, MG, BRAZIL
Email address: `alonso.castellanos@ufu.br`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA,
CEP 21941-909, RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, BRAZIL
Email address: `adler@ufrj.br`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA,
CEP 21941-909, RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, BRAZIL
Email address: `luciane@im.ufrj.br`