

# MAXIMAL INEQUALITY ASSOCIATED TO DOUBLING CONDITION FOR STATE PRESERVING ACTIONS

PANCHUGOPAL BIKRAM AND DIPTESH SAHA

ABSTRACT. In this article, we prove maximal inequality and ergodic theorems for state preserving actions on von Neumann algebra by an amenable, locally compact, second countable group equipped with the metric satisfying the doubling condition. The key idea is to use Hardy–Littlewood maximal inequality, a version of the transference principle and certain norm estimates of differences between ergodic averages and martingales.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we aim to study non-commutative maximal ergodic inequalities and pointwise ergodic theorems for certain group actions. The origin of ergodic theorems on classical measure spaces traces back to the 1930s due to Birkhoff and von Neumann. The subject has evolved a lot since then. The non-commutative analogue of the ergodic theorems first appeared in the works of Lance ([Lan76]) in 1976. In ([Lan76]), the author proved a pointwise ergodic theorem for the average of a single automorphism preserving a faithful, normal state in von Neumann algebra. These results are then generalised by Kummerer, Conze, Dang-Ngoc and many others (cf. [K78], [CDN78] and references therein). Eventually, it became evident that the standard approach to establishing an ergodic theorem is to show a maximum inequality and the accompanying Banach principle. However, establishing maximal inequality is one of the hardest and most delicate part in the non-commutative setting.

In the seminal works [Yea77] and [Yea80], Yeadon obtained maximal ergodic theorems for actions of positive sub-tracial, sub-unital maps on the preduals of semifinite von Neumann algebras and proved associated pointwise ergodic theorems. Later on, Junge and Xu ([JX07]) extended Yeadon’s maximal inequalities to prove Dunford-Schwartz maximal inequalities in the non-commutative  $L^p$ -spaces using interpolation techniques.

On the other hand, various results are obtained regarding the ergodic theorems for actions of various groups on classical measure spaces (cf. [AAB<sup>+</sup>10], [Cal53]). Among them, [Lin01] is particularly important for our purpose. He proved pointwise ergodic theorems for tempered Følner sequences, which is considered as the generalisation of Birkhoff’s ergodic theorem for actions of second countable, amenable groups. In the non-commutative realm, the first significant breakthrough is made by Hong, Liao and Wang in [HLW21]. In this article, the authors obtained several maximal inequalities on non-commutative  $L^p$ -spaces for the actions of locally compact group metric measure spaces satisfying doubling conditions and compactly generated groups of polynomial growth and used them to prove associated pointwise ergodic theorems. Furthermore, these results are extended to the general setting of amenable groups in [CW22]. It is worth mentioning here that both these articles deal with actions preserving a faithful, normal, semifinite trace. However, in [JX07], the authors studied maximal

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L53, 46L55; Secondary 37A55, 46L40.

*Key words and phrases.* Maximal ergodic theorem, Pointwise ergodic theorem, von Neumann algebras.

inequalities for ergodic averages in Haagerup's  $L^p$ -spaces ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) associated to state preserving actions of the group  $\mathbb{Z}$  and semigroup  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . However, the maximal inequality for state preserving actions in the endpoint case ( $p = 1$ ) was not studied until [BS23b], [BS23a].

In [BS23b], the authors studied maximal inequalities and pointwise ergodic theorems on non-commutative  $L^1$ -spaces for locally compact polynomial growth group actions preserving a faithful, normal state on a von Neumann algebra. To obtain the aforesaid maximal inequality, we basically used an inequality obtained in [HLW21, Proposition 4.8] to dominate the associated ergodic averages for groups by an ergodic average of Markov operator. In [BS23a], the authors have established similar maximal inequality for the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$  and  $\mathbb{R}_+^d$  by using a similar method. In this setup, obtaining maximal inequality for actions of general amenable groups is known to be challenging in the literature, and it requires delicate analysis. However, in this article, we obtain maximal inequalities for actions of group metric measure spaces satisfying the doubling condition using suitable analogues of Calderon's transference principle and maximal inequality for Martingales.

Let  $G$  be an amenable, locally compact, second countable group equipped with a right invariant Haar measure  $\mu$ . We further assume  $G$  is a metric space that comes with an invariant metric (cf. Eq. 3.7)  $d$  satisfying a doubling condition (cf. Eq. 3.6). Suppose there exists an increasing sequence of real numbers  $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the balls  $V_{r_n} := \{s \in G : d(e, s) < r_n\}$  satisfy for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$

- (i)  $2^i \leq r_i < 2^{i+1}$  and
- (ii)  $\frac{\mu(V(x, r_i) \setminus V(x, r_i - (3/2)^i))}{\mu(V(x, r_i))} \leq C'(3/4)^i$  for all  $x \in G$ ,

where  $C'$  is a constant. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra and consider the non-commutative dynamical system  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha)$  (cf. Definition 2.5). Further suppose that  $\mathcal{M}$  has a faithful, normal state  $\rho$  such that  $\rho \circ \alpha_s = \rho$  for all  $s \in G$ . Now one of the main results of this article states the following maximal inequality and ergodic theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_*$ . Consider the averages  $(A_k(\phi))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where*

$$A_k(\phi)(x) := A_{r_k}(\phi)(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_k})} \int_{V_{r_k}} \phi(\alpha_s(x)) d\mu(s), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then,

- (1)  $(A_k(\phi))_{k \geq 1}$  has the property: there exists  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon, \delta, v > 0$ , there exists projections  $\{e_{n, \varepsilon}^{i, \delta, v} : i \in [\kappa]\}$  such that for all  $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$ 
  - (a)  $\rho(1 - e_{n, \varepsilon}^{i, \delta, v}) < \frac{1+v}{\varepsilon} \|\phi\| + v$
  - (b)  $A_k(\phi)(x) \leq C\delta \|\phi\| \|x\| + C\varepsilon\rho(x)$  for all  $x \in e_{n, \varepsilon}^{i, \delta, v} \mathcal{M}_+ e_{n, \varepsilon}^{i, \delta, v}$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

The constant  $C$  only depends on the group  $G$ .

- (2) Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is equipped with a faithful, normal tracial state  $\tau$ , then there exists  $\bar{\phi} \in \mathcal{M}_*$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a projection  $e \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\tau(1 - e) < \varepsilon$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in e\mathcal{M}_+e, x \neq 0} \frac{|(A_k(\phi) - \bar{\phi})(x)|}{\tau(x)} = 0,$$

i.e.,  $A_n(\phi)$  converges b.a.u. to  $\bar{\phi}$  (cf. Definition 4.9).

We note that compared to our previous maximal inequality obtained in [BS23b] for the polynomial growth group, this maximal inequality is much more intricate due to the dependency of the projection on more variables and for the error term ( $C\delta \|\phi\| \|x\|$  1.1(b)).

Now, we describe the layout of the article. In the next section, we recollect some basics of von Neumann algebra and the linear functionals defined in it. Further, we define non-commutative  $L^1$ -spaces and discuss b.a.u topology and end the section with the definition of non-commutative dynamical systems and predual actions. §3 contains the proof of part (1) of Theorem 1.1. §4 is devoted to the proof of pointwise ergodic theorem associated with the ball averages corresponding to a lacunary sequence, which proves part (2) of Theorem 1.1. We also deduce an appropriate Banach principle in this setup. In the final section, we collect the results from §3 and §4 and combine them with the Neveu decomposition result from [BS23b] to obtain a Stochastic ergodic theorem in this setup.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article,  $\mathcal{M}$  will denote a von Neumann algebra represented in a standard form on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The norm on  $\mathcal{M}$  induced from  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  will be denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$ .  $\mathcal{M}'$  will denote the commutant of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We will denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ , the lattice of projections in the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{M}) := \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \setminus \{0\}$ .

The predual of the von Neumann algebra is a closed linear subspace of  $\mathcal{M}^*$ , the Banach dual of  $\mathcal{M}$ , and is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_*$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{M}_*$  are actually the  $w$ -continuous linear functionals on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Moreover, the norm on  $\mathcal{M}_*$  will be denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_1$ . A linear functional  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^*$  is called positive if  $\phi(x^*x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\phi$  is called self-adjoint if  $\phi(x) = \overline{\phi(x^*)}$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . The positive and self-adjoint elements of  $\mathcal{M}_*$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{*+}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{*s}$  respectively.

**2.1. Non-commutative  $L^1$ -spaces.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful, normal, semifinite (f.n.s.) trace  $\tau$ . A (possibly unbounded) operator  $X : \mathcal{D}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  which is affiliated to  $\mathcal{M}$  (that is,  $u^*Xu = X$  for all unitary operator  $u$  in  $\mathcal{M}'$ ), closed and densely defined, will be denoted by  $X\eta\mathcal{M}$ . Now for  $X\eta\mathcal{M}$ , it is called  $\tau$ -measurable if for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $\tau(1 - e) < \epsilon$  and  $e\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X)$  and one defines the set

$$L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) := \{X\eta\mathcal{M} : X \text{ is } \tau\text{-measurable}\}.$$

Notice that  $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a  $*$ -algebra with respect to adjoint operation, strong sum (that is  $X + Y := \overline{X + Y}$ ) and strong product (that is  $X \cdot Y := \overline{X \cdot Y}$ ), where  $\overline{X}$  denote the closure of the operator  $X$  for all  $X \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . For more information, we refer to [SZ19], [Hia21].

There are several topologies on  $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Two of them, which are relevant to this article, are discussed in the following. The measure topology is given by the neighbourhood system  $\{X + \mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \delta) : X \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \epsilon, \delta > 0\}$ , where

$$\mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \delta) := \{X \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \exists e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ such that } \tau(1 - e) < \delta, \text{ and } \|eXe\| < \epsilon\}.$$

Clearly, a net  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  converges in measure topology to  $X \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  if for all  $\epsilon, \delta > 0$  there exists  $i_0 \in I$  such that for all  $i \geq i_0$  there exists  $e_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  with  $\tau(1 - e_i) < \delta$  and

$$\|e_i(X_i - X)e_i\| < \epsilon.$$

Note that it follows from [Nel74, Theorem 1], that  $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is complete with respect to the measure topology. Moreover,  $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  becomes a metrizable Hausdorff space with respect to this topology in which  $\mathcal{M}$  is dense. On the other hand, we have the following definition for bilateral almost uniform topology.

**Definition 2.1.** A net  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  converges in bilateral almost uniform (b.a.u.) topology to  $X \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  if for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  with  $\tau(1 - e) < \delta$  such that

$$\lim_i \|e(X_i - X)e\| = 0.$$

We have the following result from [Lit24, Theorem 2.2], which is important to our purpose.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The space  $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is complete with respect to b.a.u. topology.*

Let us also observe the following.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$  and  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$  are two nets in  $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  such that  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$  converges in measure (resp. b.a.u.) to  $X$  and  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$  converges in measure (resp. b.a.u.) to  $Y$ . Then, for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\{cX_i + Y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$  converges in measure (resp. b.a.u.) to  $cX + Y$ .*

The trace  $\tau$  on  $M_+$  can be extended to  $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$  via

$$\tau(X) := \int_0^\infty \lambda d\tau(e_\lambda),$$

where  $X = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda$  is the spectral decomposition of  $X \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ . The non-commutative  $L^1$ -space associated to  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is defined as

$$L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) := \{X \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(|X|) < \infty\},$$

and  $\|\cdot\|_1 := \tau(|\cdot|)$  defines a norm on  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Now, we state a few properties of  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , which will be recursively used in the subsequent sections. For proof of the facts, we refer to [Hia21, Chapter 4].

**Theorem 2.4.** (1)  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$ .

(2) For  $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $Xy, yX \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $\tau(Xy) = \tau(yX)$ .

(3) We have  $\mathcal{M}_* = L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  with respect to the correspondence  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_* \leftrightarrow X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  given by  $\phi(x) = \tau(Xx)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . Furthermore, the above correspondence is a surjective linear isometry, which preserves the positive cone.

**2.2. Non-commutative dynamical systems.** Throughout this article,  $G$  is assumed to be an amenable, locally compact, second countable, Hausdorff group with right invariant Haar measure  $\mu$ . Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real ordered Banach space.

**Definition 2.5.** A non-commutative dynamical system is a triple  $(E, G, \gamma)$ , where  $\gamma$  is a map from  $G$  to  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ , the space of bounded operators on  $E$ , satisfying  $\gamma_s \circ \gamma_t = \gamma_{st}$  for all  $s, t \in G$  and

(1) for all  $a \in E$ , the map  $G \ni s \rightarrow \gamma_s(a) \in E$  is continuous, here we take norm topology on  $E$  and  $w^*$ -topology when  $E = \mathcal{M}$ ,

(2)  $\sup_{s \in G} \|\gamma_s\| < \infty$ , and,

(3)  $\gamma_s(a) \geq 0$  for all  $a \geq 0$  and  $s \in G$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra with f.n.s trace  $\tau$ . Consider the non-commutative dynamical system  $(L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), G, \gamma)$ . Since  $\mathcal{M} = (L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^*$ , for every  $s \in G$ , we recall the dual of  $\gamma_s$ , denoted by  $\gamma_s^*$ , is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \tau(\gamma_s^*(x)Y) = \tau(x\gamma_s(Y)), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad Y \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

Furthermore, for the non-commutative dynamical system  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \beta)$ , for all  $s \in G$ , the predual transformation of  $\beta_s$ , denoted by  $\hat{\beta}_s$ , is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \tau(\beta_s(x)Y) = \tau(x\hat{\beta}_s(Y)), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad Y \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

Observe that in this case, we have  $(\hat{\beta}_s)^* = \beta_s$  for all  $s \in G$ . Sometimes, we write the same notation for  $\gamma_s$ , and it's the predual map, and if we write  $\gamma_s(\phi)$  for  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_*$ , then in this case,  $\gamma_s$  is understood to be the predual map.

### 3. Weak type maximal inequality

In this section, we will prove a weak type maximal inequalities for certain predual actions. Let  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha)$  be a non-commutative dynamical system. In [BS23b], the authors established a weak type maximal inequality for the ergodic averages associated with a state preserving action of a group of polynomial growth with a compact, symmetric generating set ([BS23b, see Theorem 4.13]).

In this section, we prove the maximal inequality when the group  $G$  is equipped with a metric  $d$  (in this case,  $d$  is also assumed to be a measurable function on  $G \times G$ ) satisfying the doubling condition. We make use of appropriate Calderon's transference principle and a Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequality to obtain our result (cf. Theorem 3.13).

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra with a f.n positive linear functional  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Assume that  $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a  $w$ -continuous positive linear map satisfying

- (1)  $T(1) \leq 1$ , and
- (2)  $\rho \circ T = \rho$ .

The associated dual map  $T^* : \mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^*$  keeps the predual  $\mathcal{M}_*$  invariant. Therefore, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can define a positive linear map  $S_n : \mathcal{M}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$  by

$$(3.1) \quad S_n(\nu) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (T^*)^k(\nu), \quad \nu \in \mathcal{M}_*.$$

For simplicity, we introduce the following notations. For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write

- (1)  $[m] := \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ , and
- (2)  $[-m \dots m] := \{-m, -(m-1), \dots, 0, \dots, m-1, m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now, we recall the following result from [BS23b, Theorem 4.2], which we will use recursively in this section; here we include a slick proof.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$  and also suppose that  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists  $e_{n,\epsilon} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  such that*

- (1)  $\rho(1 - e_{n,\epsilon}) < \frac{\|\nu\|}{\epsilon}$  and
- (2)  $S_k(\nu)(x) \leq \epsilon \rho(x)$  for all  $x \in (e_{n,\epsilon} \mathcal{M} e_{n,\epsilon})_+$  and  $k \in [n]$ .

*Proof.* Consider the  $w$ -compact subset  $\mathcal{L}$  of the von Neumann algebra  $R := \bigoplus_{k=1}^n M$  defined by

$$\mathcal{L} := \left\{ \underline{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R : x_i \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Now consider the ultraweakly (  $\sigma$ -weakly ) continuous function  $\kappa$  on  $R$  defined by

$$\kappa(\underline{x}) := \sum_{k=1}^n k \left[ S_k(\nu')(x_k) - \rho(x_k) \right], \text{ for all } \underline{x} \in R,$$

where  $\nu' := \nu/\epsilon$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly compact, the supremum value of the function  $\kappa$  on the set  $\mathcal{L}$  will be attained. Let  $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{L}$  be such that  $\kappa(\underline{x}) \geq \kappa(\underline{a})$  for all  $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{L}$ .

We define  $c := 1 - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$ . Note that  $c \geq 0$ . Let  $c'$  be an element in  $M$  such that  $0 \leq c' \leq c$ . For  $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , take  $\underline{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x_m + c', \dots, x_n)$ . Then note that  $\underline{x}' \in \mathcal{L}$ , Then we have,

$$\kappa(\underline{x}) \geq \kappa(\underline{x}').$$

Therefore, we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa(\underline{x}) - \kappa(\underline{x}') \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow & \sum_{k=1}^n k \left[ S_k(\nu')(x_k) - \rho(x_k) \right] \\ & - \sum_{k=1}^n k \left[ S_k(\nu')(x_k) - \rho(x_k) \right] - m \left[ S_m(\nu')(c') - \rho(c') \right] \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow & -m \left[ S_m(\nu')(c') - \rho(c') \right] \geq 0 \\ (3.2) \quad \Rightarrow & S_m(\nu')(c') \leq \rho(c'). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the spectral projections  $p_l := \chi_{(\frac{1}{l}, \infty)}(c)$  of  $c$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now for  $y \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $0 \leq y \leq 1$  write  $y_l = p_l y p_l$  and observe that

$$y_l \leq p_l \leq lc.$$

Now since Eq. 3.2 is true for all  $m \in [n]$  and  $0 \leq c' \leq c$ , in particular, if we take  $c' = \frac{y_l}{l}$ , we obtain  $S_m(\nu')(y_l) \leq \rho(y_l)$  which implies

$$(3.3) \quad S_m(\nu')(p_l y p_l) \leq \rho(p_l y p_l).$$

Furthermore,  $p_l \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} e_{n,\varepsilon}$  in  $\sigma$ -weak topology. Therefore, taking limit as  $l \rightarrow \infty$  in Eq. 3.3 we obtain, for all  $m \in [n]$

$$(3.4) \quad S_m(\nu')(e_{n,\varepsilon} y e_{n,\varepsilon}) \leq \rho(e_{n,\varepsilon} y e_{n,\varepsilon}).$$

Now, for  $m = 1$  and  $y = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$ , we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \nu'(e_{n,\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k) \leq \rho(e_{n,\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k), \text{ since, } e_{n,\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right) e_{n,\varepsilon} = e_{n,\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k.$$

On the other hand, consider the point  $(T(x_2), \dots, T(x_n), 0) \in R$ . Since  $T(1) \leq 1$ , we note that  $(T(x_2), \dots, T(x_n), 0) \in \mathcal{L}$ . Hence, we have

$$\kappa(\underline{x}) \geq \kappa(T(x_2), \dots, T(x_n), 0).$$

From this we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa(\underline{x}) \geq \kappa(T(x_2), \dots, T(x_n), 0) \\ \Rightarrow & \sum_{k=1}^n k \left[ S_k(\nu')(x_k) - \rho(x_k) \right] \\ & \geq \\ & \sum_{k=1}^n k \left[ S_k(\nu')(T(x_{k+1})) - \rho(T(x_{k+1})) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n k \left[ S_k(\nu')(x_k) - \rho(x_k) \right] \\
 &\geq \\
 &\sum_{k=1}^n k \left[ S_k(T^*(\nu')(x_{k+1})) - \rho(x_{k+1}) \right] \\
 &\Rightarrow \nu' \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right) \geq \rho \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, combined with Eq. 3.5 we obtain

$$\nu' \left( (1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}) \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right) \geq \rho \left( (1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}) \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right),$$

which implies

$$\|\nu'\| \geq \rho((1 - e_{n,\varepsilon})), \quad \text{since } (1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}) \sum_{k=1}^n x_k = 1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

We note that to obtain our result, we must know the maximal inequality of an associated sequence of Martingales. In [Cuc71], the authors obtain weak type maximal inequality for a sequence of  $L^1$ -bounded Martingales for a tracial von Neumann algebra. However, the techniques used in the proof of [Cuc71, Proposition 5] or Theorem 3.1 are not useful to obtain similar inequalities for certain Martingales in the state preserving dynamical system. To overcome such difficulties we make use of the following result of Neveu ([Nev64]) [also see [DN79, Lemma 2]].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra with a f.n. positive linear functional  $\rho$ . Also, suppose  $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a decreasing sequence of von Neumann subalgebras of  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with a sequence of f.n. conditional expectations*

$$\mathcal{E}_n : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \text{ with } \rho \circ \mathcal{E}_n = \rho \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If  $0 = a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < \dots < 1$  and  $\lim a_n = 1$ , then the operator

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_{n+1} - a_n) \mathcal{E}_n$$

satisfies  $\mathcal{T}(1) = 1$  and  $\rho(\mathcal{T}(a)) = \rho(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{M}$ . Further, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists such a sequence  $(a_n)$  and a sequence of integers  $q_1 < q_2 < \dots$ , such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{q_n} \sum_{k=0}^{q_n} \mathcal{T}^k - \mathcal{E}_n \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

A metric measure space  $(X, d, \mu)$  is a metric space  $(X, d)$  equipped with a radon measure  $\mu$  satisfying  $0 < \mu(V(s, r)) < \infty$  for all  $s \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , where  $V(s, r) := \{t \in X : d(s, t) \leq r\}$ . The measure  $\mu$  is said to satisfy the *doubling condition* if there exists an universal constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad \mu(V(s, 2r)) \leq c_1 \mu(V(s, r)) \text{ for all } s \in X \text{ and } r > 0.$$

We now recall the following result from [HK12, Corllary 7.4] (also cf. [HLW21, Lemma 4.2]), which acts as one of the main tools to obtain our maximal inequality.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a metric measure space satisfying the doubling condition. Then there exists a finite collection of families  $\mathcal{P}^1, \dots, \mathcal{P}^\kappa$ , where  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $\mathcal{P}^i := (\mathcal{P}_n^i)$  is a sequence of partitions of  $X$  such that the following are true:*

- (1) *for each  $i \in [\kappa]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the partition  $\mathcal{P}_n^i$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^i$ ;*
- (2) *there is a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that, for all  $s \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , there exists  $i \in [\kappa]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_n^i$  such that*

$$V(s, r) \subset Q, \text{ and } \mu(Q) \leq c_2 \mu(V(s, r)).$$

The following remark will be used in the sequel.

**Remark 3.4.** It follows from [HK12] that in Lemma 3.3, suppose  $i \in [\kappa]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then for each element  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_n^i$ , there exists two balls  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  of finite radius such that

$$B_1 \subseteq Q \subseteq B_2.$$

Moreover, we note that when  $X$  is a locally compact group and  $\mu$  is a Haar measure, we can conclude that  $\mu(Q) < \infty$  for all such  $Q$ .

Consider the families  $\mathcal{P}^1, \dots, \mathcal{P}^\kappa$  as in Lemma 3.3. For  $s \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_n^i(s)$  denote the unique cube containing  $s$ , in the family  $\mathcal{P}^i$  of partitions. Suppose  $F$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . For any given  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we consider the following set

$$O_r(F) = \bigcup_{s \in F} \{n_r(s) \in \mathbb{Z} : \text{such that } V(s, r) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n_r(s)}^i(s), \text{ for some } i \in [\kappa], \text{ and } \\ \mu(\mathcal{P}_{n_r(s)}^i(s)) \leq c_1 c_2 \mu(V(s, r))\}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a metric measure space satisfying the doubling condition. Furthermore, let  $r > 0$  and assume that  $\mu(V(s, r)) = \mu(V(t, r))$  for all  $s, t \in X$ . Now suppose  $F \subseteq X$  is a compact set. Then there exists a finite subset  $O'_r(F)$  of  $O_r(F)$  such that*

$$\forall s \in F \exists n_r(s) \in O'_r(F) \text{ such that } V(s, r) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n_r(s)}^i(s), \text{ for some } i \in [\kappa], \text{ and } \\ \mu(\mathcal{P}_{n_r(s)}^i(s)) \leq c_1 c_2 \mu(V(s, r)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $r > 0$ . Consider the open cover  $\{V(s, r) : s \in F\}$  of  $F$ . Thus, as  $F$  is compact, so, there exists a finite subcover  $V(s_1, r), V(s_2, r), \dots, V(s_l, r)$  such that

$$F \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^l V(s_m, r).$$

Now, by Lemma 3.3, we have for all  $m \in [l]$  there exists  $n_{2r}(s_m) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and a  $i \in [\kappa]$  such that

$$V(s_m, 2r) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n_{2r}(s_m)}^i(s_m) \text{ and } \mu(\mathcal{P}_{n_{2r}(s_m)}^i(s_m)) \leq c_2 \mu(V(s_m, 2r)).$$

For all  $m \in [l]$ , choose only one such  $n_{2r}(s_m) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and denote the collection of these integers to be  $O'_r(F)$ . Therefore, the set  $O'_r(F)$  is finite. Moreover, for any  $s \in F$ , there exists  $m \in [l]$ ,  $i \in [\kappa]$  and  $n_{2r}(s_m) \in O'_r(F)$  such that

$$V(s, r) \subseteq V(s_m, 2r) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n_{2r}(s_m)}^i(s_m).$$

Also, from Eq. 3.6 we have,

$$\mu(\mathcal{P}_{n_{2r}(s_m)}^i(s_m)) \leq c_2 \mu(V(s_m, 2r)) \leq c_1 c_2 \mu(V(s_m, r)) = c_1 c_2 \mu(V(s, r)).$$

This completes the proof. □

Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a metric measure space satisfying the doubling condition and  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets in  $X$ . Suppose  $\{\mathcal{P}_n^i : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i \in [\kappa]\}$  be the collection of partitions of  $X$  as obtained in Lemma 3.3. We note that for  $i \in [\kappa]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_n^i$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^i$  and let  $\mathcal{B}_n^i$  denote the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$  generate by  $\mathcal{P}_n^i$ . Therefore, for each  $i \in [\kappa]$ ,  $\{\mathcal{B}_n^i\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a decreasing sequence of sub  $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra with a f.n. state  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We consider the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N} := L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{N}$  is equipped with the f.n. weight  $\tilde{\rho} := (\int \cdot d\mu) \otimes \rho$ . Now for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define

$$\mathcal{N}_n := L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}_n, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}.$$

Note that,  $(\mathcal{N}_n)_n$  is a decreasing sequence of subalgebras of  $\mathcal{N}$  and therefore, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by [Tak72] there exists a normal conditional expectation  $E_n$  from  $\mathcal{N}$  onto  $\mathcal{N}_n$  since  $\tilde{\rho}|_{\mathcal{N}_n}$  is semifinite. Observe that, the conditional expectation  $E_n$  is of the form  $E_n := \mathbb{E}_n(\cdot | \mathcal{B}_n) \otimes I$ , where  $\mathbb{E}_n(\cdot | \mathcal{B}_n)$  is the conditional expectation from  $L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  onto  $L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}_n, \mu)$ . Observe that,  $\tilde{\rho} \circ E_n = \tilde{\rho}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, from [Sak71, Theorem 1.22.13] and [DP40, Theorem 2.1.6] we can also identify

$$\mathcal{N}_* = L^1(X; \mathcal{M}_*).$$

**Remark 3.6.** If  $T : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a bounded linear map. Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{N}_* = L^1(X, \mathcal{M}_*)$ . Then we use the following identification in the sequel:

$$T(f)(x) = \int f(s)((T(x)(s))d\mu.$$

Now we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** For  $r > 0$  let  $A_r$  be the averaging operator

$$A_r f(s) := \frac{1}{\mu(V(s, r))} \int_{V(s, r)} f d\mu; \quad f \in L^1(X; \mathcal{M}_*).$$

Then there exists a  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ , and martingales  $\{(E_k^i)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} : i \in [\kappa]\}$  on  $\mathcal{N}$  such that for any given  $r > 0$  and  $s \in X$ , there exists  $i \in [\kappa]$  and a  $k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying

$$A_r(f)(s) \leq c_2 E_{k_r}^i(f)(s).$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 3.3 we obtain a  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ , a constant  $c_2$  and martingales  $\{(E_k^i)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} : i \in [\kappa]\}$  on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Observe that for all  $f \in L^1(X; \mathcal{M}_*)$  and  $s \in X$ ,

$$E_k^i(f)(s) := \frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{P}_k^i(s))} \int_{\mathcal{P}_k^i(s)} f d\mu.$$

Furthermore, for all  $s \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , there exists  $i \in [\kappa]$  and  $k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$V(s, r) \subset \mathcal{P}_{k_r}^i(s), \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\mathcal{P}_{k_r}^i(s)) \leq c_2 \mu(V(s, r)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A_r f(s) &:= \frac{1}{\mu(V(s, r))} \int_{V(s, r)} f d\mu \leq c_2 \frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{P}_{k_r}^i(s))} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{k_r}^i(s)} f d\mu \\ &= c_2 E_{k_r}^i f(s) \end{aligned}$$

□

As a corollary of Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.5, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Assume that  $G$  is a group metric measure space satisfying doubling condition and let  $F \subseteq G$  be compact and  $r > 0$ . Then for all  $s \in F$  there exists  $i \in [\kappa]$  and  $k_r \in O'_r(F)$  satisfying*

$$A_r(f)(s) \leq CE_{k_r}^i(f)(s),$$

where  $C = c_1c_2$

*Proof.* Let  $r > 0$ . By Lemma 3.5, we have for all  $s \in F$  there exists  $i \in [\kappa]$  and  $k_r \in O'_r(F)$  such that

$$V(s, r) \subset \mathcal{P}_{k_r}^i(s), \text{ and } \mu(\mathcal{P}_{k_r}^i(s)) \leq c_1c_2\mu(V(s, r)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A_rf(s) &:= \frac{1}{\mu(V(s, r))} \int_{V(s, r)} f d\mu \leq c_1c_2 \frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{P}_{k_r}^i(s))} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{k_r}^i(s)} f d\mu \\ &= c_1c_2 E_{k_r}^i f(s), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be an amenable locally compact group equipped with a right invariant Haar measure  $\mu$ . A metric  $d$  on  $G$  is said to be invariant if

$$(3.7) \quad d(e, g) = d(h, gh), \text{ for all } g, h \in G,$$

where  $e$  is the identity element of  $G$ . Furthermore, we also assume that  $(G, d, \mu)$  is a metric measure space satisfying the doubling condition. That is there exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  (cf. Eq. 3.6) such that

$$\mu(V_{2r}) \leq c_1\mu(V_r) \text{ for all } r > 0,$$

where for any  $r > 0$ ,  $V_r := \{s \in G : d(s, e) \leq r\}$ . Note that it follows from [Cal53] that  $G$  is unimodular. We will call the triple  $(G, d, \mu)$  a group metric measure space.

Now let us assume a dynamical system  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha)$ , where  $(G, d, \mu)$  be a group metric measure space satisfying the doubling condition. Then we define for all  $r > 0$ ,

$$A_r(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(V_r)} \int_{V_r} \alpha_s(x) d\mu(s), \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$

and for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_*$ , we define for  $r > 0$ ,

$$A_r(\phi)(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(V_r)} \int_{V_r} \phi(\alpha_s(x)) d\mu(s), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Finally, for  $f \in L^1(G; \mathcal{M}_*)$  we consider the following averages;

$$A'_r f(s) = \frac{1}{\mu(V_r)} \int_{V_r} f(ts) d\mu(t), \quad s \in G, \quad r > 0.$$

Note that by invariance of  $d$ , for all  $s \in G$  and  $r > 0$ , we have  $V_r s = V(s, r)$  and  $\mu(V_r) = \mu(V_r s)$ . Therefore, for all  $r > 0$ ,

$$A'_r f(s) = \frac{1}{\mu(V(s, r))} \int_{V(s, r)} f d\mu.$$

Let  $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be any increasing sequence of strictly positive real numbers. We will now work with the averages  $(A_{r_n})$  and  $(A'_{r_n})$ . But for notational convenience, we will denote them as  $(A_n)$  and  $(A'_n)$ . Moreover, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by  $\mu_n$  we denote the normalization of  $\mu$  restricted on  $V_{r_n}$ , i.e.,

$\mu_n(E) = \frac{\mu(V_{r_n} \cap E)}{\mu(V_{r_n})}$ . Then we have the following,

$$A_n(\phi)(x) = \int_G \phi(\alpha_s(x)) d\mu_n(s), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{M}_*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$A'_n f(s) = \int_G f(ts) d\mu_n(t), \quad f \in L^1(G; \mathcal{M}_*), \quad s \in G, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $\phi \in M_{*+}$ . Then a sequence of positive maps  $(S_n)$  on  $M_*$  is said to have weak (1, 1) property if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ , there exists projections  $\{e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta\}$  such that

- (1)  $\rho(1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta) < \frac{\|\phi\|}{\varepsilon}$  and
- (2)  $S_k(\phi)(e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta x e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta) \leq \delta \|\phi\| \|x\| + \varepsilon \rho(e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta x e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta)$  for all  $x \in M_+$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

The following theorem proves a weak type (1, 1)-property for Martingales.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  is a von Neumann algebra with f.n. state  $\rho$  and  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a decreasing sequence of  $\rho$ -preserving martingales. Then for  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence  $(E_{r-l-1}(\phi))_{r \geq 1}$  satisfies the following properties: for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ , there exists a projection  $e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta \in \mathcal{M}$  such that

- (1)  $\rho(1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta) < \frac{\|\phi\|}{\varepsilon}$ ,
- (2)  $E_{k-l-1}(\phi)(x) \leq L_k^\delta(\phi)(x) + \varepsilon \rho(x)$  for all  $x \in e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta \mathcal{M}_+ e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta$  and  $k \in [n]$  and
- (3)  $E_{k-l-1}(\phi)(x) \leq \delta \|\phi\| \|x\| + \varepsilon \rho(x)$  for all  $x \in e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta \mathcal{M}_+ e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta$  and  $k \in [n]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ . Since  $(E_{k-l-1})_{k \geq 1}$  is a decreasing sequence of martingales, then by Theorem 3.2 there exists  $T_\delta$  and a sequence of positive integers  $(q_n^\delta)_{n \geq 1}$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{q_n^\delta} \sum_{k=0}^{q_n^\delta} T_\delta^k - E_{n-l-1} \right\| \leq \delta.$$

Moreover, we have  $\rho \circ T_\delta = \rho$ . Suppose  $S_n^\delta(\cdot) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T_\delta^k(\cdot)$  and  $L_n^\delta(\cdot) = E_{n-l-1}(\cdot) - S_{q_n^\delta}^\delta(\cdot)$ . Then it follows that

$$E_{n-l-1}(\cdot) = L_n^\delta(\cdot) + S_{q_n^\delta}^\delta(\cdot).$$

For  $q_n^\delta$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we employ Theorem 3.1, to find  $e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  such that

- (1)  $\rho(1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta) < \frac{\|\phi\|}{\varepsilon}$  and
- (2)  $S_k^\delta(\phi)(x) \leq \varepsilon \rho(x)$  for all  $x \in e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta \mathcal{M}_+ e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta$  and  $k \in [q_n^\delta]$ .

Now suppose  $x \in e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta \mathcal{M}_+ e_{n,\varepsilon}^\delta$  and  $k \in [n]$ , then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{k-l-1}(\phi)(x) &\leq L_k^\delta(\phi)(x) + S_{q_k^\delta}^\delta(\phi)(x) \\ &\leq \delta \|\phi\| \|x\| + \varepsilon \rho(x). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

At this point, we would like to remark on the following for future reference.

**Remark 3.11.** In our context we consider  $\mathcal{N} = L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_n = L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}_n, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$  and a sequence of conditional expectation  $(E_n)$ , where  $E_n$  is a map from  $\mathcal{N}$  onto  $\mathcal{N}_n$  as discussed before.

Here, we note that  $E_n = \mathbb{E}_n(\cdot | \mathcal{B}_n) \otimes I$ , where  $\mathbb{E}_n(\cdot | \mathcal{B}_n)$  is the conditional expectation from  $L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  onto  $L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}_n, \mu)$ . Observe that,  $\tilde{\rho} \circ E_n = \tilde{\rho}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that for each  $l > 0$ ,  $(\mathbb{E}_{k-l-1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing sequence of martingales. So, by Theorem 3.2, for given  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\mathbb{T}_\delta$  (which is sum of  $\mathbb{E}_n$ ) such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{q_n} \sum_{k=0}^{q_n} \mathbb{T}_\delta^k - \mathbb{E}_{n-l-1} \right\| \leq \delta.$$

Now suppose  $\mathcal{T}_\delta = \mathbb{T}_\delta \otimes 1$ , then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{q_n} \sum_{k=0}^{q_n} \mathcal{T}_\delta^k - E_{n-l-1} \right\| \leq \delta.$$

Further, suppose  $\mathbb{L}_n^\delta = \frac{1}{q_n} \sum_{k=0}^{q_n} \mathbb{T}_\delta^k - \mathbb{E}_{n-l-1}$  and  $L_n^\delta = \frac{1}{q_n} \sum_{k=0}^{q_n} \mathcal{T}_\delta^k - E_{n-l-1}$ . Then observe that  $L_n^\delta = \mathbb{L}_n^\delta \otimes 1$ . As  $\int \mathbb{E}_n(f)g d\mu = \int f \mathbb{E}_n(g) d\mu$ , for all  $f, g \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it follows that

$$\int_X \mathbb{L}_n^\delta(f)g d\mu = \int f \mathbb{L}_n^\delta(g) d\mu \text{ for all } f, g \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

With the notations as in Remark 3.11, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $f(t) := \chi_D(t)\alpha_t^*(\phi)$  and  $x = \chi_F \otimes y$ , where  $D$  is a compact subset of  $X$ ,  $F$  be a measurable subset and  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then*

$$(3.8) \quad \left| L_k^\delta(f)(x) \right| \leq \delta \mu(F) \|y\| \|\phi\|.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Remark 3.11. Indeed, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| L_k^\delta(f)(x) \right| &= \left| f(L_k^\delta(x)) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_X f(s)(L_k^\delta(x)(s)) d\mu(s) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_X \chi_D(s) \mathbb{L}_k^\delta(\chi_F)(s) \alpha_s^*(\phi)(y) d\mu(s) \right| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| \|y\| \left| \int_X \chi_D(s) \mathbb{L}_k^\delta(\chi_F)(s) d\mu(s) \right| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| \|y\| \int_F \mathbb{L}_k^\delta(\chi_D)(s) d\mu(s) \\ &\leq \|\phi\| \|y\| \left\| \mathbb{L}_k^\delta(\chi_D) \right\| \mu(F) \\ &\leq \|\phi\| \|y\| \left\| \mathbb{L}_k^\delta \right\| \mu(F) \leq \delta \|\phi\| \|y\| \mu(F). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

For the next theorem, we assume that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a von Neumann algebra with f.n. state  $\rho$  and  $(G, d, \mu)$  be a group metric measure space satisfying doubling condition. Furthermore,  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha)$  is a non-commutative dynamical system such that  $\rho \circ \alpha_s = \rho$  for all  $s \in G$ . Now using Theorem 3.10, we will prove a weak type  $(1, 1)$ - property for ergodic averages.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ . Then the sequence  $(A_k(\phi))_{k \geq 1}$  has the property: there exists  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon, \delta, \nu > 0$ , there exists projections  $\{e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,\nu} : i \in [\kappa]\}$  such that for all  $i \in [\kappa]$*

- (1)  $\rho(1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}) < \frac{1+v}{\varepsilon} \|\phi\| + v$
- (2)  $A_k(\phi)(x) \leq C\delta \|\phi\| \|x\| + C\varepsilon\rho(x)$  for all  $x \in e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v} \mathcal{M}_+ e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}$  and  $k \in [n]$ .

The constant  $C = c_1 c_2$  only depends on the group  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon, \delta, v > 0$ . Further let  $K := \cup_{l=1}^n \text{supp}(\mu_l)$ . Then  $K$  is compact. By amenability of  $G$ , choose a compact set  $F$  such that

$$\frac{m(KF)}{m(F)} < 1 + v.$$

As  $e \in K$ , we note that  $F \subseteq KF$ . Now define a function

$$f(t) := \chi_{KF}(t) \alpha_t^*(\phi).$$

Observe that  $f \in \mathcal{N}_*$  and we have the following

$$\alpha_s^* A_n(\phi) = A_n' f(s) \text{ for all } s \in F.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.5, we notice that for all  $k \in [n]$ , the set  $O'_{r_k}(F) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is finite. Then find a  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n O'_{r_k}(F) \subseteq [-l \dots l]$$

Now we fix a  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $m_n = 2 \max\{l, n\}$ . Fix  $i \in [\kappa]$  and let  $t \in \{-l, \dots, m_n\}$ . Since  $\{\mathcal{P}_t^i\}$  is a decreasing sequence of partition, so, by using Remark 3.4 and the compactness of  $KF$ , we can find  $\{\mathcal{P}_t^i(j_p^t)\}_{p=1}^{s_t}$  such that

$$KF \subseteq \cup_{p=1}^{s_t} \mathcal{P}_t^i(j_p^t) \subseteq \cup_{p=1}^{s_{m_n}} \mathcal{P}_{m_n}^i(j_p^{m_n}),$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_t^i(j_p^t)$  are finitely many elements of the partition  $\mathcal{P}_t^i$ . Suppose

$$Y_t^i = \cup_{p=1}^{s_{m_n}} \mathcal{P}_t^i(j_p^t), \quad t \in \{-l, \dots, m_n\}.$$

Then observe that

$$Y_{-l}^i \subseteq Y_{-(l-1)}^i \subseteq \dots \subseteq Y_{m_n}^i.$$

Now consider  $M_t^i = L^\infty(Y_{m_n}^i, \mathcal{B}_t^i |_{Y_{m_n}^i}, \mu)$  for all  $t \in \{-l, \dots, m_n\}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_t^i$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{P}_t^i$ . Now we have the following ;

- (1)  $\mu(Y_{m_n}^i) < \infty$  (cf. Remark 3.4),
- (2)  $M_{m_n}^i \otimes \mathcal{M} \subseteq M_{m_n-1}^i \otimes \mathcal{M} \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_{-l}^i \otimes \mathcal{M} \subseteq L^\infty(Y_{m_n}^i, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$  and
- (3)  $E_t^i(L^\infty(Y_{m_n}^i, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}) \subseteq L^\infty(Y_{m_n}^i, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$  for all  $t \in \{-l, \dots, m_n\}$ , as  $E_t^i(g)(s) = \frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{P}_t^i(s))} \int_{\mathcal{P}_t^i(s)} g(z) d\mu(z)$  for all  $g \in L^\infty(Y_{m_n}^i, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ .

We use the same notation for the restriction of  $E_t^i$  on  $L^\infty(Y_{m_n}^i, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$  also use the same notation for the restriction of  $\tilde{\rho}$  on  $L^\infty(Y_{m_n}^i, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ , which is a f.n. positive linear functional as  $\mu(Y_{m_n}^i) < \infty$ .

Thus, we note that for each  $i \in [\kappa]$ ,  $(E_k^i)_{k=-l}^{m_n}$  is a decreasing finite sequence of martingales on  $L^\infty(Y_{m_n}^i, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$  preserving the f.n. positive linear functional  $\tilde{\rho}$ . Therefore, by repeated use of Theorem 3.10, we obtain projections  $(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{1,\delta}, \dots, e_{n,\varepsilon}^{\kappa,\delta})$  in  $L^\infty(Y_{m_n}^i, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  such that for all  $i \in [\kappa]$

- (1)  $\tilde{\rho}(1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta}) < \frac{\|f\|_1}{\kappa\varepsilon}$  and
- (2)  $E_{k-l-1}^i(f)(x) \leq L_k^{i,\delta}(f)(x) + \varepsilon\tilde{\rho}(x)$  for all  $x \in e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta} \mathcal{N}_+ e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta}$  and  $k \in [2 \max\{n, l\}]$ .

Suppose,  $x = 1_E \otimes y$ , with  $y \in \mathcal{M}_+$ , and  $E$  is measurable subset of  $Y_{m_n}^i$ . Then we note the following;

- (1)  $E_{k-l-1}^i(f)(1_E \otimes y) = \int_E E_{k-l-1}^i(f)(s)y d\mu(s)$ ,
- (2)  $\left| L_k^{i,\delta}(f)(1_E \otimes y) \right| \leq \delta \mu(E) \|\phi\| \|y\|$ , (cf. Lemma 3.12),
- (3)  $\tilde{\rho}(1_E \otimes y) = \int_E \rho(y) d\mu(s)$ .

Therefore, varying  $x$  over the characteristic function of the form  $1_E \otimes y$ , with  $y \in \mathcal{M}_+$ , we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad E_{k-l-1}^i(f)(s)(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta}(s)y e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta}(s)) \leq \delta \|\phi\| \|y\| + \varepsilon \rho(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta}(s)y e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta}(s))$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{M}_+$  and a.e  $s \in F$ . Also by Corollary 3.8, we note that for all  $k \in [n]$  and  $s \in F$  there exists  $k_r \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n O'_{r_k}(F)$  such that for all  $y \in \mathcal{M}_+$

$$A'_k(f)(s)(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_s,\delta}(s)\alpha_{s-1}(y)e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_s,\delta}(s)) \leq C E_{k_r}^{i_s}(f)(s)(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_s,\delta}(s)\alpha_{s-1}(y)e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_s,\delta}(s)).$$

Then, for a.e.  $s \in F$  denoting  $p_s := e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_s,\delta}(s)$  we have for all  $y \in M_+$  and  $k \in [n]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_k(\phi)(\alpha_s(p_s)y\alpha_s(p_s)) &= \alpha_s^* A_k(\phi)(p_s \alpha_{s-1}(y) p_s) \\ &= A'_k(f)(s)(p_s \alpha_{s-1}(y) p_s) \\ &\leq C E_{k_r}^{i_s}(f)(s)(p_s \alpha_{s-1}(y) p_s) \\ &\leq C \delta \|\phi\| \|y\| + C \varepsilon \rho(p_s \alpha_{s-1}(y) p_s), \text{ as } k_r \in [-l \dots l] \\ &\leq C \delta \|\phi\| \|y\| + C \varepsilon \rho(\alpha_s(p_s)y\alpha_s(p_s)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for a.e.  $s \in F$ , and  $y \in M_+$  and  $k \in [n]$ , we have

$$A_k(\phi)(\alpha_s(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_s,\delta}(s)y\alpha_s(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_s,\delta}(s)))) \leq C \delta \|\phi\| \|y\| + C \varepsilon \rho(\alpha_s(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_s,\delta}(s)y\alpha_s(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_s,\delta}(s))))).$$

Finally note that for all  $i \in [\kappa]$ , there exists  $s_{i,v} \in F$  such that

$$\rho(1 - \alpha_{s_{i,v}}(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_{s_{i,v}},\delta}(s_{i,v}))) = \rho(1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_{s_{i,v}},\delta}(s_{i,v})) \leq \inf_{s \in F} \rho(1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta}(s)) + v,$$

Now suppose  $q_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v} := \alpha_{s_{i,v}}(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_{s_{i,v}},\delta}(s_{i,v}))$ . Then we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(1 - q_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}) &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(F)} \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \int_F \rho(1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta}(s)) d\mu(s) + v \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(F)} \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \int_G \rho(1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta}(s)) d\mu(s) + v \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(F)} \frac{\kappa \|f\|}{\kappa \varepsilon} + v \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(KF) \|\phi\|}{\mu(F) \varepsilon} + v \\ &\leq \frac{1+v}{\varepsilon} \|\phi\| + v. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$ ,  $i \in [\kappa]$  and  $k \in [n]$ , we observe that

$$A_k(\phi)(q_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v} x q_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}) = A_k(\phi)(\alpha_{s_{i,v}}(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_{s_{i,v}},\delta}(s_{i,v})) x \alpha_{s_{i,v}}(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_{s_{i,v}},\delta}(s_{i,v})))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C\delta \|\phi\| \|x\| + C\varepsilon\rho(\alpha_{s_{i,v}}(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_{s_{i,v},\delta}}(s_{i,v}))x\alpha_{s_{i,v}}(e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i_{s_{i,v},\delta}}(s_{i,v}))) \\ &\leq C\delta \|\phi\| \|x\| + C\varepsilon\rho(q_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}xq_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{*s}$ . Then the sequence  $(A_k(\phi))_{k \geq 1}$  has the property: there exists  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon, \delta, v > 0$ , there exists projections  $\{e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v} : i \in [\kappa]\}$  such that for all  $i \in [\kappa]$*

- (1)  $\rho(1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}) < \frac{1+v}{\varepsilon} \|\phi\| + v$
- (2)  $|A_k(\phi)(x)| \leq C\delta \|\phi\| \|x\| + C\varepsilon\rho(x)$  for all  $x \in e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v} \mathcal{M}_+ e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}$  and  $k \in [n]$ .

The constant  $C = c_1 c_2$  only depends on the group  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{*s}$ . Note that, there exists  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$  such that  $\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$  and  $\|\phi\| = \|\phi_1\| + \|\phi_2\|$ . Then by applying Theorem 3.13 for  $\psi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$  we obtain for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon, \delta, v > 0$ , there exists projections  $\{e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v} : i \in [\kappa]\}$  such that for all  $i \in [\kappa]$

- (1)  $\rho(1 - e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}) < \frac{1+v}{\varepsilon} \|\psi\| + v \leq \frac{1+v}{\varepsilon} \|\phi\| + v$ , since  $\|\psi\| \leq \|\phi\|$ ,
- (2)  $A_k(\psi)(x) \leq C\delta \|\psi\| \|x\| + C\varepsilon\rho(x)$  for all  $x \in e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v} \mathcal{M}_+ e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}$  and  $k \in [n]$ ,

where  $C = c_1 c_2$ . Furthermore, for all  $x \in e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v} \mathcal{M}_+ e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_k(\phi)(x)| &= |A_k(\phi_1 - \phi_2)(x)| \\ &\leq |A_k(\phi_1 + \phi_2)(x)| = A_k(\psi)(x) \\ &\leq C\delta \|\psi\| \|x\| + C\varepsilon\rho(x) \\ &\leq C\delta \|\phi\| \|x\| + C\varepsilon\rho(x), \text{ since } \|\psi\| \leq \|\phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.15.** We note that given  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_*$  and the sequence  $(A_k(\varphi))_{k \geq 1}$  satisfies the conclusions of the Theorem 3.13. Then actually, one can call that  $(A_k(\varphi))_{k \geq 1}$  satisfies weak type (1, 1) if it satisfies the conclusion of the Theorem 3.13. But, for the notational simplicity we will not do that. We point out that the difference between the standard definition of weak type (1, 1) with our definition of weak type (1, 1) (see Definition 3.9) are more dependency on the collection of projections and extra error term ( $\delta \|\phi\| \|x\|$  in 3.9).

#### 4. Pointwise ergodic theorems

In this section, we will study pointwise convergence of specific ergodic averages. With the weak type maximal inequality in our disposal (cf. §3), now we need to prove an appropriate Banach principle and pointwise convergence of elements from a dense subset.

Throughout this section,  $\mathcal{M}$  is assumed to be a von Neumann algebra with a f.n. tracial state  $\tau$  and  $(G, d, \mu)$  is a group metric measure space satisfying doubling condition. We further assume that  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha)$  is a non-commutative dynamical system such that there exists a f.n. state  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\rho \circ \alpha_s = \rho$  for all  $s \in G$ .

Before we proceed to the main results of this section, let us recall the following structural property of  $(G, d, \mu)$ . For proof of this fact, we refer to [Tes07, Proposition 17] (also cf. [HLW21, Lemma 6.9]).

**Lemma 4.1.** *There exists a lacunary sequence  $(r_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$*

- (i)  $2^i \leq r_i < 2^{i+1}$  and
- (ii)  $\frac{\mu(V(x, r_i) \setminus V(x, r_i - (3/2)^i))}{\mu(V(x, r_i))} \leq C'(3/4)^i$  for all  $x \in G$ ,

where the constant  $C'$  depends only on the doubling condition.

As we have  $\rho \circ \alpha_s = \rho$  for all  $s \in G$ , observe that one can define a contraction  $u_s$  on the GNS space  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$  defined by

$$u_s(x\Omega_\rho) = \alpha_s(x)\Omega_\rho,$$

satisfying  $u_s \circ u_t = u_{st}$  for all  $s, t \in G$ , where  $\Omega_\rho$  is the associated cyclic, separating vector in  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$ . Note that the Hilbert space inner product and norm in the aforesaid GNS space will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\rho$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\rho$  respectively. Now we have the following mean ergodic theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $(r_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the lacunary sequence as in Lemma 4.1. Then the averages*

$$A_{r_i}x := \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_i})} \int_{V_{r_i}} u_s(x) d\mu(s), \quad x \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$$

converges in  $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho), G, u)$  forms a non-commutative dynamical system, we observe that

$$L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho) := F_2 \oplus F_2^\perp,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &:= \{x \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho) : u_s(x) = x \quad \forall s \in G\} \\ F_2^\perp &:= \overline{\text{span}}\{y - u_s y : y \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho), s \in G\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is enough to check the convergence of  $A_{r_i}x$  for  $x = y - u_s y$  where  $y \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$ ,  $s \in G$ . Moreover, note that

$$A_{r_i}x = A_{r_i}^1 y - A_{r_i}^2 y,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{r_i}^1 y &:= \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_i})} \int_{V_{r_i} \setminus (V_{r_i} \cap V_{r_i s})} u_s(y) d\mu(s), \\ A_{r_i}^2 y &:= \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_i})} \int_{V_{r_i s} \setminus (V_{r_i} \cap V_{r_i s})} u_s(y) d\mu(s). \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $(3/2)^i \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we can choose  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(3/2)^i \geq d(e, s) = |s|$ . Moreover,  $V_{r_i} \setminus (V_{r_i} \cap V_{r_i s}) \subseteq V_{r_i} \setminus V_{r_i - (3/2)^i}$  and  $V_{r_i s} \setminus (V_{r_i} \cap V_{r_i s}) \subseteq V_{r_i s} \setminus V_{r_i - (3/2)^i s}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{r_i}^1 y\| &\leq \frac{\mu(V_{r_i} \setminus V_{r_i - (3/2)^i})}{\mu(V_{r_i})} \|y\| \leq C(3/4)^i \|y\|, \quad \text{and} \\ \|A_{r_i}^2 y\| &\leq \frac{\mu(V_{r_i s} \setminus V_{r_i - (3/2)^i s})}{\mu(V_{r_i s})} \|y\| \leq C(3/4)^i \|y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|A_{r_i}x\|$  converges to 0 as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . □

We recall the following Lemma from [BS23b].

**Lemma 4.3.** [BS23b, Lemma 4.5] *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha)$  be a non-commutative dynamical system with f.n. state  $\rho$  as described in the beginning of the section. Then we have a non-commutative dynamical system  $(\mathcal{M}', G, \alpha')$ , where  $\mathcal{M}'$  is the commutant of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that*

$$\langle \alpha'_s(y')x\Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho = \langle y'\alpha_{s^{-1}}(x)\Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $y' \in \mathcal{M}'$  and  $s \in G$ .

For the group  $G$ , observe that  $\beta = \{\beta_s\}_{s \in G} := \{\alpha_{s^{-1}}^*\}$  defines an action of the group  $G$  on  $\mathcal{M}_*$ . We consider the following averages.

$$B_{r_i}(\nu) := \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_i})} \int_{V_{r_i}} \beta_s(\nu) d\mu(s), \quad \nu \in \mathcal{M}_*,$$

where  $(r_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the Lacunary sequence obtained in Lemma 4.1.

Although the next result is present in [BS23b], we include it for the sake of completeness.

**Theorem 4.4.** *For all  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$  there exists  $\bar{\nu} \in \mathcal{M}_*$  such that*

$$\bar{\nu} = \|\cdot\|_1 - \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} B_{r_i}(\nu).$$

*Proof.* For all  $s \in G$ , consider the contraction  $u_s$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$  by

$$u_s(x\Omega_\rho) = \alpha_s(x)\Omega_\rho, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}$$

and for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$T_i(\cdot) := \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_i})} \int_{V_{r_i}} u_{s^{-1}}^*(\cdot) d\mu(s).$$

Then by Theorem 4.2,  $T_i(\xi)$  converges in  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$ .

Furthermore, observe that for all  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{M}'(\sigma^\rho)$  there exists  $z \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $y_1^* y_2 \Omega_\rho = z \Omega_\rho$ , where  $\mathcal{M}'(\sigma^\rho)$  is the strong\* dense subspace of analytic elements in  $\mathcal{M}'$  (cf. [Fal00]). Let us define  $\psi_{y_1, y_2} \in \mathcal{M}_*$  by

$$\psi_{y_1, y_2}(x) = \langle x y_1 \Omega_\rho, y_2 \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Finally for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{r_i}(\psi_{y_1, y_2})(x) &:= \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_i})} \int_{V_{r_i}} \langle \alpha_{s^{-1}}(x) y_1 \Omega_\rho, y_2 \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho d\mu(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_i})} \int_{V_{r_i}} \langle \alpha_{s^{-1}}(x) \Omega_\rho, z \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho d\mu(s) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_i})} \int_{V_{r_i}} \langle x \Omega_\rho, u_{s^{-1}}^*(z \Omega_\rho) \rangle_\rho d\mu(s) \\ &= \langle x \Omega_\rho, T_i(z \Omega_\rho) \rangle_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\eta = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_i(z \Omega_\rho) \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$ . Then clearly, for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $B_{r_i}(\psi_{y_1, y_2})(x) \rightarrow \langle x \Omega_\rho, \eta \rangle_\rho$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Define,

$$\overline{\psi_{y_1, y_2}}(x) := \langle x \Omega_\rho, \eta \rangle_\rho, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Clearly,  $\overline{\psi_{y_1, y_2}} \in \mathcal{M}_*$  and  $\overline{\psi_{y_1, y_2}} \circ \alpha_s = \overline{\psi_{y_1, y_2}}$  for all  $s \in G$ . Now the result follows from the fact that the set  $\{\psi_{y_1, y_2} : y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{M}'(\sigma^\rho)\}$  is total in  $\mathcal{M}_*$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $(E, G, \gamma)$  be a Dynamical system, where  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space. Then for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in E$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_n(a - B_k(a))\| = 0,$$

where,

$$B_m(a) := \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_m})} \int_{V_{r_m}} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(a - B_k(a)) &= \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \left[ \gamma_s(a) - \gamma_s(B'_k(a)) \right] d\mu(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \left[ \gamma_s(a) - \gamma_s \left( \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_k})} \int_{V_{r_k}} \gamma_t(a) d\mu(t) \right) \right] d\mu(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_k})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \int_{V_{r_k}} \left( \gamma_s(a) - \gamma_{st}(a) \right) d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_k})} \int_{V_{r_k}} \int_{V_{r_n}} \left( \gamma_s(a) - \gamma_{st}(a) \right) d\mu(s) d\mu(t) \quad (\text{using Fubini}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_k})} \int_{V_{r_k}} \left( \int_{V_{r_n}} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s) - \int_{V_{r_n}} \gamma_{st}(a) d\mu(s) \right) d\mu(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_k})} \int_{V_{r_k}} \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \left( \int_{V_{r_n}} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s) - \int_{V_{r_n}t} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s) \right) d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{V_{r_n}} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s) - \int_{V_{r_n}t} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s) \\ &= \int_{V_{r_n} \setminus V_{r_n} \cap V_{r_n}t} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s) - \int_{V_{r_n}t \setminus V_{r_n} \cap V_{r_n}t} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s). \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $(3/2)^n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we can choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(3/2)^n \geq d(e, t) = |t|$ . Moreover,  $V_{r_n} \setminus (V_{r_n} \cap V_{r_n}t) \subseteq V_{r_n} \setminus V_{r_n - (3/2)^n}$  and  $V_{r_n}t \setminus (V_{r_n} \cap V_{r_n}t) \subseteq V_{r_n}t \setminus V_{r_n - (3/2)^n}t$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n} \setminus V_{r_n} \cap V_{r_n}t} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s) \right\| &\leq \frac{\mu(V_{r_n} \setminus V_{r_n - (3/2)^n})}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \|a\| \leq C'(3/4)^n \|a\|, \text{ and} \\ \left\| \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}t \setminus V_{r_n} \cap V_{r_n}t} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s) \right\| &\leq \frac{\mu(V_{r_n}t \setminus V_{r_n - (3/2)^n}t)}{\mu(V_{r_n}t)} \|a\| \leq C'(3/4)^n \|a\|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\|B_n(a - B_k(a))\| \leq 2C'(3/4)^n \|a\|,$$

which proves the result.  $\square$

For the non-commutative dynamical system  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha)$  with f.n. state  $\rho$  satisfying  $\rho \circ \alpha_s = \rho$  for all  $s \in G$ , by Theorem 4.4, it follows that  $\|\cdot\|_1 - \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} B_{r_i}(\nu)$  exists for all  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$  and the limit is denoted by  $\bar{\nu}$ . Now we have the following proposition which identifies a dense subset of  $\mathcal{M}_*$  such that for the elements of the set, the ergodic averages converges in certain sense which is close to the bilateral almost uniform convergence.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Consider the following set*

$$\mathcal{W}_1 := \{\nu - B_{r_k}(\nu) + \bar{\nu} : k \in \mathbb{N}, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_{*+} \text{ with } \nu \leq \lambda \rho \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}.$$

- (i) Write  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_1 - \mathcal{W}_1$ , then  $\mathcal{W}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{M}_{*s}$  and  
 (ii) for all  $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$ , we have

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}_+, x \neq 0} |(B_{r_n}(\nu) - \bar{\nu})(x)| / \rho(x) = 0.$$

*Proof.* (i): Proof follows from the similar argument as in the proof of [BS23b, Lemma 4.9, Part (i)].

(ii): Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and consider  $\nu_k := \nu - B_{r_k}(\nu) + \bar{\nu}$  and it is enough to prove eq. 4.1 for  $\nu_k$ . Now since

$$B_{r_n}(\nu_k) - \bar{\nu} = B_{r_n}(\nu - B_{r_k}(\nu))$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we note from Lemma 4.5 it follows that  $\bar{\nu}_k = \bar{\nu}$ . Since  $\nu \leq \lambda\rho$ , so by [BS23b, Lemma 4.4] there exists a unique  $y'_1 \in M'_+$  with  $y'_1 \leq \lambda$  such that

$$\nu(x) = \langle y'_1 x \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Let  $y' \in M'$ , write

$$B'_{r_n}(y') := \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \alpha'_s(y') d\mu(s)$$

and by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\langle B'_{r_n}(y') x \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho = \langle y' B_{r_n}(x) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, y' \in M',$$

where

$$B_{r_n}(x) := \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \alpha_{s^{-1}}(x) d\mu(g).$$

Now for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$ , we note that

$$\begin{aligned} |(B_{r_n}(\nu_k) - \bar{\nu})(x)| &= |(\nu_k - \bar{\nu})(B_{r_n}(x))| \\ &= |(\nu - B_{r_k}(\nu))(B_{r_n}(x))| \quad (\text{since } \nu_k := \nu - B_{r_k}(\nu) + \bar{\nu}) \\ &= |\nu(B_{r_n}(x)) - \nu(B_{r_k}(B_{r_n}(x)))| \quad (\text{as } B_{r_k}(\nu)(\cdot) = \nu(B_{r_k}(\cdot))) \\ &= \left| \langle y'_1 B_{r_n}(x) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho - \langle y'_1 B_{r_k}(B_{r_n}(x)) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho \right| \quad (\text{as } \nu(\cdot) = \langle y'_1(\cdot) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho) \\ &= \left| \langle y'_1 B_{r_n}(x) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho - \langle B'_{r_k}(y'_1)(B_{r_n}(x)) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho \right|, \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \\ &= \left| \langle (y'_1 - B'_{r_k}(y'_1)) B_{r_n}(x) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho \right| \\ &= \left| \langle B'_{r_n}(y'_1 - B'_{r_k}(y'_1)) x \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho \right|, \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \\ &\leq \|B'_{r_n}(y'_1 - B'_{r_k}(y'_1))\| \rho(x). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B'_{r_n}(y'_1 - B'_{r_k}(y'_1))\| = 0$  follows from Lemma 4.5. Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}_+, x \neq 0} |(B_{r_n}(\nu_k) - \bar{\nu}_k)(x)| / \rho(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}_+, x \neq 0} |(B_{r_n}(\nu_k) - \bar{\nu})(x)| / \rho(x) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** (1) We first remark that for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$  it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in p\mathcal{M}_+, x \neq 0} |(B_{r_n}(\nu) - \bar{\nu})(x)| / \rho(x) = 0.$$

(2) Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a f.n. tracial state  $\tau$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$ . Since  $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ , there exists a unique  $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$  such that  $\rho(x) = \tau(Xx)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then for any  $s > 0$  consider the projection  $q_s := \chi_{(1/s, s)}(X) \in \mathcal{M}$ . Observe that  $\tau(1 - q_s) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . Therefore, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $s_0 > 0$  such that  $\tau(1 - q_{s_0}) < \epsilon$ . Further, it implies  $Xq_{s_0} \leq s_0 q_{s_0}$ . Thus, for all  $0 \neq x \in q_{s_0}\mathcal{M}_+$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho(x)}{\tau(x)} &= \frac{\tau(Xx)}{\tau(x)} = \frac{\tau(Xq_{s_0}x)}{\tau(x)} \quad (\text{since } q_{s_0}x = x) \\ &\leq \frac{\tau(s_0x)}{\tau(x)} = s_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from Proposition 4.6 that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in p\mathcal{M}_+, x \neq 0} \frac{|(B_{r_n}(\nu) - \bar{\nu})(x)|}{\tau(x)} \leq s_0 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in p\mathcal{M}_+, x \neq 0} \frac{|(B_{r_n}(\nu) - \bar{\nu})(x)|}{\rho(x)} = 0.$$

(3) Let the group  $G$  under consideration is unimodular. Then since the disks  $V_{r_n}$  are symmetric set, we note that

$$(4.2) \quad A_{r_n}(\nu) := \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \alpha_s^*(\nu) d\mu(s) = \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \alpha_{s^{-1}}^*(\nu) d\mu(s) =: B_{r_n}(\nu) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{M}_*.$$

For the next results, we assume that our von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  is equipped with a f.n. tracial state  $\tau$ .

**Definition 4.8.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha)$  is a non-commutative dynamical system (cf. Definition 2.5) such that  $\rho \circ \alpha_s = \rho$  for all  $s \in G$  for some f.n. state  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , where,  $G$  is a amenable group metric measure space satisfying the doubling condition. We call the quadruple  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$  a kernel.

Our next result proves an appropriate Banach principle which is important to obtain an ergodic convergence. Before we begin, first we define bilateral almost uniform (b.a.u.) convergence for a sequence  $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}_*$ .

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_*$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$ . We say that  $\nu_n$  converges in bilateral almost uniform (b.a.u.) topology if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  with  $\tau(1 - p) < \epsilon$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in p\mathcal{M}_+, x \neq 0} |(\nu_n - \nu)(x)| / \tau(x) = 0.$$

**Remark 4.10.** (1) Let  $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_*$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$ . Then by duality of  $\mathcal{M}_*$  and  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  (cf. Theorem 2.4) we obtain a sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $X$  in  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . We observe that if  $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\nu$  in b.a.u. in the sense of Definition 4.9, then the associated sequence  $(X_n)$  will converge to  $X$  in b.a.u. in the sense of Definition 2.1. Indeed, if  $(\nu_n)$  converges to  $\nu$  in b.a.u., then for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  with  $\tau(1 - e) < \epsilon$  such that for all  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq n_0$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} |(\nu_n - \nu)(exe)| &\leq \delta \tau(exe) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}_+ \setminus \{0\} \\ \Leftrightarrow |\tau((X_n - X)(exe))| &\leq \delta \tau(exe) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}_+ \setminus \{0\} \\ \Leftrightarrow |\tau(e(X_n - X)ex)| &\leq \delta \tau(exe) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}_+ \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \|e(X_n - X)e\| \leq \delta.$$

Therefore,  $X_n$  converges to  $X$  in b.a.u.

- (2) On the other hand, if the sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $X$  in  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  such that  $X_n$  converges to  $X$  in b.a.u., then the argument above shows that the sequence  $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}_*$  associated to  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges b.a.u. to the element  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$  associated to  $X$  in the sense of Definition 4.9.

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let  $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_*$  which is Cauchy in b.a.u. in the sense of Definition 4.9. Then there exist  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$  such that  $\nu_n$  converges to  $\nu$  in b.a.u.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 2.2 and Remark 4.10.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$  be a kernel and  $\tau$  be a f.n. tracial state on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Suppose  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_*$  and the sequence  $(A_k(\phi))_{k \geq 1}$  satisfies the conclusions of the Theorem 3.13. Then the following set*

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{M}_* : A_n(\phi) \text{ converges in b.a.u.} \}$$

*is a closed set in  $\mathcal{M}_*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\phi_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ , converging to  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_*$  in norm, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n - \phi\| = 0.$$

Then, we wish to show that  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ . First we note that, since  $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ , there exists a unique  $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$  such that  $\rho(x) = \tau(Xx)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then for any  $s > 0$  consider the projection  $q_s := \chi_{(1/s, s)}(X) \in \mathcal{M}$ . Observe that  $(1 - q_s) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} 0$  in SOT.

Let  $v > 0$  be arbitrary. Therefore, there exists a  $s_0 > 0$  such that  $\tau(1 - q_{s_0}) < \frac{v}{2}$ . Further, it implies  $Xq_{s_0} \leq s_0 q_{s_0}$ . Thus, for all  $0 \neq x \in q_{s_0} \mathcal{M}_+ q_{s_0}$  we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\rho(x)}{\tau(x)} &= \frac{\tau(Xx)}{\tau(x)} = \frac{\tau(Xq_{s_0}x)}{\tau(x)} \quad (\text{since } q_{s_0}x = x) \\ &\leq \frac{\tau(s_0x)}{\tau(x)} = s_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi - \phi_n\| = 0$ , so, find a sequence  $\{\phi_{n_1}, \phi_{n_2}, \dots\}$  satisfying

- (1)  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$
- (2)  $\|\phi - \phi_{n_j}\| < \frac{1}{4^j}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If possible, by replacing  $\phi_{n_j}$  with  $\phi_j$ , for the simplicity of notation, we can assume

$$\|\phi - \phi_j\| < \frac{1}{4^j} \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{C}$ , so there exists a  $f_j \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  such that

- (1)  $\tau(1 - f_j) < \frac{1}{2^j}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (2)  $\sup_{x \in f_j \mathcal{M}_+ f_j, x \neq 0} \frac{|(A_n(\phi_j) - A_m(\phi_j))(x)|}{\tau(x)} < \frac{1}{2^j}$  for all  $n, m \geq N_j$
- (3)  $N_1 < N_2 < \dots$ .

Further, note that  $\phi - \phi_j \in \mathcal{M}_{*s}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now using Corollary 3.14 for  $\varepsilon = \nu = \frac{1}{2^j}$ ,  $\delta = \frac{1}{2^k}$ , and  $N_j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain projections  $\{(q_j^{i,k})_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}} : i \in [\kappa]\}$  such that for all  $i \in [\kappa]$

- (1)  $\rho(1 - q_j^{i,k}) \leq \frac{1+\nu}{\varepsilon} \|\phi - \phi_j\| + \nu$   
 $\leq \frac{1+\frac{1}{2^j}}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2^j} = \frac{1}{2^{j-1}} + \frac{1}{2^{2j}}$  for all  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
- (2)  $A_n(\phi - \phi_j)(q_j^{i,k} x q_j^{i,k}) \leq \frac{\|\phi - \phi_j\| \|x\|}{2^k} + \varepsilon \rho(q_j^{i,k} x q_j^{i,k})$   
 $= \frac{1}{2^{k+2j}} \|x\| + \frac{1}{2^j} \rho(q_j^{i,k} x q_j^{i,k})$  for all  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_{j+1}\}$  and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now it immediately follows that for all  $i \in [\kappa]$  and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\rho(1 - q_j^{i,k})$  converges to 0 as  $j$  tends to  $\infty$ . We note that this convergence is uniformly over in the variable  $k$ . Therefore, since  $\tau$  is a f.n. state, so, it implies  $\tau(1 - q_j^{i,k})$  converges to 0 as  $j$  tends to  $\infty$  for all  $i \in [\kappa]$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now choose a subsequence  $(j_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for all  $i \in [\kappa]$

$$\tau(1 - q_{j_l}^{i,k}) < \frac{\nu}{2^{l+k+2}} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For  $i \in [\kappa]$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  consider the projections  $q_l^i := \bigwedge_{k \in \mathbb{N}} q_{j_l}^{i,k}$ . Then it follows that

$$\tau(1 - q_l^i) \leq \frac{\nu}{\kappa 2^{l+2}}.$$

Furthermore, for all  $i \in [\kappa]$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_{j_l+1}\}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$

$$A_n(\phi - \phi_{j_l})(q_l^i x q_l^i) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+2j_l}} \|x\| + \frac{1}{2^{j_l}} \rho(q_l^i x q_l^i) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, tending  $k$  to infinity we have, for all  $i \in [\kappa]$  and  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_{j_l+1}\}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$

$$A_n(\phi - \phi_{j_l})(q_l^i x q_l^i) \leq \frac{1}{2^{j_l}} \rho(q_l^i x q_l^i).$$

Moreover since  $\tau(1 - f_j) \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we choose projections  $(f_{j_l})$  such that

$$\tau(1 - f_{j_l}) \leq \frac{\nu}{2^{l+2}}.$$

Finally consider the projection

$$e = \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\kappa} \bigwedge_{l \in \mathbb{N}} q_l^i \right) \bigwedge \left( \bigwedge_{l \in \mathbb{N}} f_{j_l} \right) \bigwedge q_{s_0}.$$

Then  $\tau(1 - e) < \nu$  and for all  $0 \neq x \in e\mathcal{M}_+e$ , and suppose  $N_{j_l} \leq n, m \leq N_{j_l+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(A_n(\phi) - A_m(\phi))(x)}{\tau(x)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(A_n(\phi) - A_n(\phi_{j_l}) + A_n(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi_{j_l}) + A_m(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi))(x)}{\tau(x)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|(A_n(\phi) - A_n(\phi_{j_l}))(x)|}{\tau(x)} + \frac{|(A_n(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi_{j_l}))(x)|}{\tau(x)} + \frac{|(A_m(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi))(x)|}{\tau(x)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{\left| \left( A_n(\phi - \phi_{j_l}) \right)(x) \right|}{\tau(x)} + \frac{\left| \left( A_n(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi_{j_l}) \right)(x) \right|}{\tau(x)} + \frac{\left| \left( A_m(\phi_{j_l} - \phi) \right)(x) \right|}{\tau(x)} \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{\left| \left( A_n(\phi - \phi_{j_l}) \right)(x) \right|}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\left| \left( A_m(\phi_{j_l} - \phi) \right)(x) \right|}{\rho(x)} \right) \frac{\rho(x)}{\tau(x)} + \frac{\left| \left( A_n(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi_{j_l}) \right)(x) \right|}{\tau(x)} \\
 &\leq s_0 \frac{2}{2^{j_l+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{j_l}} = (s_0 + 1) \frac{1}{2^{j_l}} \text{ (by Eq. 4.3)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $j_l \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in eM_+e, x \neq 0} \frac{\left| \left( A_n(\phi) - A_m(\phi) \right)(x) \right|}{\tau(x)} = 0$$

Therefore,  $(A_n(\phi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy in b.a.u.. Therefore, by Proposition 4.11, it follows that  $(A_n(\phi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in b.a.u., which implies the set  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{M}_*$ .  $\square$

Finally, we have the following ergodic theorem.

**Theorem 4.13.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$  be a kernel and  $\tau$  be a f.n. tracial state on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Suppose  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_*$ , then there exists  $\bar{\phi} \in \mathcal{M}_*$  such that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists projection  $e \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\tau(1 - e) < \epsilon$  and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in eM_+e, x \neq 0} \frac{\left| \left( A_n(\phi) - \bar{\phi} \right)(x) \right|}{\tau(x)} = 0.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from Proposition 4.6 and Theorem 4.12 in accordance with Remark 4.7.  $\square$

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$  be a kernel and  $\tau$  be a f.n. tracial state on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Now we can state the Theorem 4.13 in  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Let  $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Recall Eq. 2.2 and consider the averages

$$A_n(X) := A_{r_n}(X) := \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \hat{\alpha}_{s^{-1}}(X) d\mu(s).$$

Similar to Eq. 4.2, observe that

$$A_n(X) = \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \hat{\alpha}_s(X) d\mu(s).$$

**Theorem 4.14.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$  be a kernel and  $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Then there exist  $\bar{X} \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  such that  $A_n(X)$  converges b.a.u. to  $\bar{X}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 4.13 and Remark 4.10.  $\square$

## 5. Stochastic Ergodic Theorem

This section combines our previous results to prove a stochastic ergodic theorem. Throughout this section, as before, we assume that  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a von Neumann algebra with a f.n. tracial state  $\tau$  and we consider  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Further, we also take  $G$  as an amenable group metric measure space satisfying the doubling condition. Then, we consider a covariant system  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha)$ . As earlier, for  $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , we consider the following average

$$A_n(X) := A_{r_n}(X) := \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \alpha_s^*(\nu) d\mu(s) = \frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \hat{\alpha}_{s^{-1}}(X) d\mu(s).$$

Then using the previous results, we established the stochastic ergodic theorem for the average  $A_n(\cdot)$ . First, we recall the following theorem from [BS23b].

**Theorem 5.1** (Neveu Decomposition). *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha)$  be a covariant system and  $\tau$  be a f.n. tracial state on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then there exist two projections  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $e_1 + e_2 = 1$  and  $e_1 e_2 = 0$  such that*

- (i) *there exists a  $G$ -invariant normal state  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  with support  $s(\rho) = e_1$  and*
- (ii) *there exists an operator  $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$  with support  $s(x_0) = e_2$  such that  $\frac{1}{\mu(V_{r_n})} \int_{V_{r_n}} \alpha_s(x_0) d\mu(s) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$  in  $\|\cdot\|$ .*

Further,  $s(\rho)$  and  $s(x_0)$  are unique.

Now, we collect the following basic facts from [BS23b].

- (1)  $\alpha_g(e_i) = e_i$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $i = 1, 2$ .
- (2) The restriction of  $\alpha$  to the reduced von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}_{e_i} (= e_i \mathcal{M} e_i)$  induces an action by automorphisms, and we denote this induced action by the same notation  $\alpha$ . Thus,  $(\mathcal{M}_{e_i}, G, \alpha)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  becomes a non-commutative dynamical system.
- (3) For  $i = 1, 2$ , we also note that for all  $g \in G$ , the predual transformation  $\hat{\alpha}_g$  defined on  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  satisfies

$$\hat{\alpha}_g(e_i X e_i) = e_i \hat{\alpha}_g(X) e_i \text{ for all } X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \text{ and for all } g \in G.$$

As a consequence, the ergodic averages satisfy

$$e_i A_n(X) e_i = A_n(e_i X e_i) \text{ for all } X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } i = 1, 2.$$

We write  $\tau_{e_i} = \frac{1}{\tau(e_i)} \tau|_{e_i \mathcal{M} e_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Now, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha)$  be a covariant system and  $\tau$  be a f.n. tracial state on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consider the projections  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  as mentioned above. Then, we have the following results.*

- (i) *For all  $B \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_{e_1}, \tau_{e_1})$ , there exists  $\bar{B} \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_{e_1}, \tau_{e_1})$  such that  $A_n(B)$  converges b.a.u to  $\bar{B}$ . Moreover,  $A_n(B)$  converges in measure to  $\bar{B}$ .*
- (ii) *For all  $B \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_{e_2}, \tau_{e_2})$ ,  $A_n(B)$  converges to 0 in measure.*

Moreover, for  $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , there exists  $Z \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  such that  $A_n(X)$  converges to  $Z$  in measure and we have  $e_1 Z e_1 = Z$  and  $e_2 Z e_2 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Once we have the Neveu decomposition (Theorem 5.1) and Theorem 4.14, the proof follows verbatim as [BS23b, see §5].  $\square$

**Acknowledgments:** The second author is thankful to Prof. Eric Ricard for helpful discussions on martingale convergence.

## REFERENCES

- [AAB<sup>+</sup>10] Claire Anantharaman, Jean-Philippe Anker, Martine Babillot, Aline Bonami, Bruno Demange, Sandrine Grellier, François Havard, Philippe Jaming, Emmanuel Lesigne, Patrick Maheux, Jean-Pierre Otal, Barbara Schapira, and Jean-Pierre Schreiber, *Théorèmes ergodiques pour les actions de groupes*, Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique [Monographs of L'Enseignement Mathématique], vol. 41, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 2010, With a foreword in English by Amos Nevo. MR 2643350
- [BS23a] Panchugopal Bikram and Diptesh Saha, *On the non-commutative ergodic theorem for semigroup and free group actions*, arXiv:2307.00542 (2023).
- [BS23b] ———, *On the non-commutative Neveu decomposition and ergodic theorems for amenable group action*, Journal of Functional Analysis **284** (2023), no. 1, 109706.
- [Cal53] Alberto P Calderon, *A general ergodic theorem*, Annals of Mathematics (1953), 182–191.

- [CDN78] J.-P. Conze and N. Dang-Ngoc, *Ergodic theorems for noncommutative dynamical systems*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **46** (1978), no. 1, 1–15. MR 500185
- [Cuc71] Ioan Cuculescu, *Martingales on von neumann algebras*, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **1** (1971), no. 1, 17–27.
- [CW22] Léonard Cadilhac and Simeng Wang, *Noncommutative maximal ergodic inequalities for amenable groups*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.12228 (2022).
- [DN79] Nghiem Dang-Ngoc, *Pointwise convergence of martingales in von neumann algebras*, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **34** (1979), 273–280.
- [DP40] Nelson Dunford and Billy James Pettis, *Linear operations on summable functions*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **47** (1940), no. 3, 323–392.
- [Fal00] Tony Falcone,  *$L^2$ -von Neumann modules, their relative tensor products and the spatial derivative*, *Illinois J. Math.* **44** (2000), no. 2, 407–437. MR 1775329
- [Hia21] Fumio Hiai, *Lectures on selected topics in von neumann algebras*, EMS Press Berlin, 2021.
- [HK12] Tuomas Hytönen and Anna Kairema, *Systems of dyadic cubes in a doubling metric space*, *Colloq. Math.* **126** (2012), no. 1, 1–33. MR 2901199
- [HLW21] Guixiang Hong, Ben Liao, and Simeng Wang, *Noncommutative maximal ergodic inequalities associated with doubling conditions*, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **170** (2021), no. 2, 205–246. MR 4202493
- [JX07] Marius Junge and Quanhua Xu, *Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems*, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **20** (2007), no. 2, 385–439. MR 2276775
- [K78] Burkhard Kümmerer, *A non-commutative individual ergodic theorem*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **46** (1978), no. 2, 139–145. MR 482260
- [Lan76] E. Christopher Lance, *Ergodic theorems for convex sets and operator algebras*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **37** (1976), no. 3, 201–214. MR 428060
- [Lin01] Elon Lindenstrauss, *Pointwise theorems for amenable groups*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **146** (2001), no. 2, 259–295. MR 1865397
- [Lit24] Semyon Litvinov, *Notes on noncommutative ergodic theorems*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* (2024).
- [Nel74] Edward Nelson, *Notes on non-commutative integration*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **15** (1974), no. 2, 103–116.
- [Nev64] Jacques Neveu, *Deux remarques sur la théorie des martingales*, *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* **3** (1964), 122–127.
- [Sak71] Shōichirō Sakai,  *$C$  (star)-algebras and  $w$  (star)-algebras*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [SZ19] Șerban Strătilă and Laszlo Zsido, *Lectures on von neumann algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [Tak72] Masamichi Takesaki, *Conditional expectations in von neumann algebras*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **9** (1972), no. 3, 306–321.
- [Tes07] Romain Tessera, *Volume of spheres in doubling metric measured spaces and in groups of polynomial growth*, *Bulletin de la Société mathématique de France* **135** (2007), no. 1, 47–64.
- [Yea77] F. J. Yeadon, *Ergodic theorems for semifinite von Neumann algebras. I*, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society. Second Series* **16** (1977), no. 2, 326–332. MR 487482
- [Yea80] ———, *Ergodic theorems for semifinite von Neumann algebras. II*, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **88** (1980), no. 1, 135–147. MR 569639

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, BHUBANESWAR,  
AN OCC OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, JATNI- 752050, INDIA

*Email address:* bikram@niser.ac.in

THEORETICAL STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, DELHI, INDIA

*Email address:* dptshs@gmail.com