

**A non oscillation criterion for
extended quasi linear Hamiltonian systems**

G. A. Grigorian

Institute of Mathematics NAS of Armenia

E -mail: mathphys2@instmath.sci.am

Abstract. A new approach with the Riccati equation method is used to obtain a non oscillation criterion for extended quasi linear Hamiltonian systems.

Key words: matrix Riccati equations, nonnegative (positive) definiteness, Hermitian matrices, The Liouville's formula, conjoined solutions, non oscillation.

1. Introduction. Let $A(t, u, v)$, $B(t, u, v)$ and $C(t, u, v)$ be complex-valued locally integrable in t and continuous in u and v matrix functions of dimension $n \times n$ on $[t_0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $B(t, u, v)$ be Hermitian, i.e. $B(t, u, v) = P^*(t, u, v)$, $t \geq t_0$, $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where $*$ denotes the conjugation sign. Let $\mu(t, u, v)$ be real-valued locally integrable in t continuous in u and v scalar function on $[t_0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider the generalized non linear Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{cases} \phi' = A(t, \phi, \psi)\phi + B(t, \phi, \psi)\psi, \\ \psi' = C(t, \phi, \psi)\phi + [\mu(t, \phi, \psi)I - A^*(t, \phi, \psi)]\psi, \quad t \geq t_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where I is the identity matrix of dimension $n \times n$. The non linear (in particular linear) Hamiltonian systems play important role in many areas of natural sciences: in physics and mechanics, in particular, in many-dimensional oscillator systems, in the particle dynamics, chaos and phase space diffusion, quantum mechanics, in applied mathematics: in variational theory, optimal control and filtering, dynamic programming and differential games, invariant embedding and scattering processes (see [2] and cited works therein). To the study of nonlinear as well as linea Hamiltonian systems are devoted many works (see e.g. [1,3–8] and cited works therein). By a solution of the system (1.1) on any interval $[t_1, t_2) \subset [t_0, +\infty)$ we mean an ordered pair $(\phi(t), \psi(t))$ of absolutely continuous vector functions $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ of dimension n on $[t_1, t_2)$, satisfying (1.1) almost everywhere on $[t_1, t_2)$. According to general theory of normal systems of ordinary differential equations

for every $t_1 \geq t_0$ and for every initial values $\phi_0, \psi_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ there exists $t_2 > t_1$ such that the system (1.1) has a solution $(\phi(t), \psi(t))$ on $[t_1, t_2)$ with $\phi(t_1) = \phi_0, \psi(t_1) = \psi_0$. Main interest from the point of view of qualitative theory of differential equation represents the case $t_2 = +\infty$. In this case one says that the system (1.1) is global solvable or has a global solution. Conditions, providing the global solvability of the system (1.1), are the following quasi linearity conditions

$$\alpha) \quad \|A(t, u, v)\| \leq a_0(t), \quad \|B(t, u, v)\| \leq b_0(t), \quad \|C(t, u, v)\| \leq c_0(t), \quad |\mu(t, u, v)| \leq \mu_0(t),$$

$t \geq t_0$, where for any square matrix M the symbol $\|M\|$ denotes any euclidian norm of M , $a_0(t), b_0(t), c_0(t), \mu_0(t)$ are locally integrable functions on $[t_0, +\infty)$. Under these conditions the Cauchy problem

$$\phi(t_1) = \phi_1, \quad \psi(t_1) = \psi_1, \quad t_1 \geq t_0, \quad \phi_1, \psi_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

for the system (1.1) has the unique solution on $[t_0, +\infty)$, which can be proved using the contracting mapping principle (see [5]).

Definition 1.1. *A solution $(\phi(t), \psi(t))$ of the system (1.1), existing on $[t_0, +\infty)$, is called conjoined, if there exists $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $\phi(t_1) \neq \theta \equiv (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\psi(t_1) = Y\phi(t_1)$, where Y is a matrix of dimension $n \times n$ with the nonnegative definite Hermitian part (i. e. $Y + Y^*$ is nonnegative definite).*

Definition 1.2. *A solution $(\phi(t), \psi(t))$ of the system (1.1), existing on $[t_0, +\infty)$, is called non oscillatory if there exists $T \geq t_0$ such that $\phi(t) \neq \theta, t \geq T$.*

Definition 1.3. *The system (1.1) is called nonsingular if it has a conjoined solution on $[t_0, +\infty)$.*

Definition 1.4. *The system (1.1) is called non oscillatory if it is nonsingular and every its conjoined solution is non oscillatory.*

Note that the system (1.1) with the restrictions α) is nonsingular. The question of existence of nonsingular systems, different from quasi linear ones, remains open.

In this paper we use the Riccati equation method to prove an non oscillation criterion for the system (1.1).

2. Main result. The nonnegative (positive) definiteness of any square matrix M is usually denoted by $M \geq 0$ (> 0).

Theorem 2.1. *Let the conditions α) be satisfied. If*

$$B(t, u, v) \geq 0, \quad C(t, u, v) + C^*(t, u, v) \geq 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

then the system (1.1) is non oscillatory. ■

Remark 2.1. It will be clear from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that the conditions α) can be replaced by others (if they exist), providing the non singularity of the system (1.1).

3. Auxiliary propositions. The next three lemmas have importance in the proof of the main result. Let $M_l \equiv (m_{ij}^l)_{i,j=1}^n$, $l = 1, 2$ be complex-valued matrices.

Lemma 3.1. The equality

$$\text{tr}(M_1 M_2) = \text{tr}(M_2 M_1)$$

is valid.

Proof. We have $\text{tr}(M_1 M_2) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\sum_{k=1}^n m_{jk}^1 m_{kj}^2) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^n m_{jk}^1 m_{kj}^2) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^n m_{kj}^2 m_{jk}^1) = \text{tr}(M_2 M_1)$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 3.2. Let H_j , $j = 1, 2$ be Hermitian matrices such that $H_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2$. Then

$$\text{tr}(H_1 H_2) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let U be an unitary transformation such that $\tilde{H}_1 \equiv U H_1 U^* = \text{diag}\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$. Since any unitary transformation preserves the nonnegative definiteness of any Hermitian matrix we have

$$h_j \geq 0, \quad j = \overline{1, n}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $\tilde{H}_2 \equiv U H_2 U^* = (h_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$. As for as \tilde{H}_2 is Hermitian it follows that (see [4], p. 300, Theorem 20) $h_{jj} \geq 0$, $j = \overline{1, n}$. This together with (3.1) implies

$$\text{tr}(H_1 H_2) = \text{tr}([U H_1 U^*][U H_2 U^*]) = \text{tr}(\tilde{H}_1 \tilde{H}_2) = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j h_{jj} \geq 0.$$

The Lemma is proved.

Lemma 3.3. Let $H \geq 0$ be a Hermitian matrix of dimension $n \times n$ and let V be any matrix of the same dimension. Then

$$V H V^* \geq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. For any vectors x and y of dimension n denote by $\langle x, y \rangle$ their scalar product. Then $\langle V H V^* x, x \rangle = \langle H(V^* x), (V^* x) \rangle \geq 0$ (since $H \geq 0$). Hence (3.4) is valid. The lemma is proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.1. As was noted in the introduction, if the conditions α) are satisfied, the system (1.1) becomes non-singular. Let then $(\phi_0(t), \psi_0(t))$ be a conjoined

solution of the system (1.1), and let $\psi(t_1) = Y_0\phi(t_1)$ for some $t_1 \geq t_0$, where Y_0 is a matrix of dimension $n \times n$, such that $Y_0 + Y_0^* \geq 0$. Consider the matrix Riccati equation

$$Y' + YB_0(t)Y + YA_0(t) + [A_0^*(t) - \nu_0(t)I]Y - C_0(t) = 0, \quad t \geq t_1, \quad (4.1)$$

where $A_0(t) \equiv A(t, \phi_0(t), \psi_0(t))$, $B_0(t) \equiv B(t, \phi_0(t), \psi_0(t))$, $C_0(t) \equiv C(t, \phi_0(t), \psi_0(t))$, $\nu_0(t) \equiv \mu(t, \phi_0(t), \psi_0(t))$, $t \geq t_1$. Due to the conditions α) we have $\|A_0(t)\| \leq a_0(t)$, $\|B_0(t)\| \leq b_0(t)$, $\|C_0(t)\| \leq c_0(t)$, $|\nu_0(t)| \leq \mu_0(t)$, $t \geq t_0$. Therefore the matrix functions $A_0(t)$, $B_0(t)$, $C_0(t)$ and the scalar function $\nu_0(t)$ are locally integrable over $[t_0, +\infty)$. Then it is reasonable to mean a solution of Eq. (4.1) on any interval $[\tau_1, \tau_2) \subset [t_0, +\infty)$ an absolutely continuous on $[\tau_1, \tau_2)$ matrix function of dimension $n \times n$, satisfying (4.1) almost everywhere on $[\tau_1, \tau_2)$. Let $Y(t)$ be the solution of Eq. (4.1) with $Y(t_1) = Y_0$, and let $[t_1, t_2)$ be its maximum existence interval. It is not difficult to verify that $Y(t)$ and $(\phi_0(t), \psi_0(t))$ are connected by equalities

$$\phi_0'(t) = [A_0(t) + B_0(t)Y(t)]\phi_0(t), \quad \psi_0(t) = Y(t)\phi_0(t), \quad t \in [t_1, t_2).$$

The first of the obtained equalities shows that we can interpret $\phi_0(t)$ as a nontrivial solution of the linear system

$$\phi' = [A_0(t) + B_0(t)Y(t)]\phi, \quad t \in [t_1, t_2).$$

Therefore, by the uniqueness theorem the proof of the theorem will be completed, if we show that

$$t_2 = +\infty. \quad (4.2).$$

By (4.1) we have

$$Y'(t) + Y(t)B_0(t)Y(t) + Y(t)A_0(t) + [A_0^*(t) - \nu_0(t)I]Y(t) - C_0(t) = 0, \quad t \in [t_1, t_2),$$

$$[Y^*(t)]' + Y^*(t)B_0(t)Y^*(t) + A_0^*(t)Y^*(t) + Y^*(t)[A_0(t) - \nu_0(t)I] - C_0^*(t) = 0, \quad t \in [t_1, t_2),$$

Summing up these equalities and making some simplifications we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (Y(t) + Y^*(t))' + (Y(t) + Y^*(t))B_0(t)(Y(t) + Y^*(t)) + \left[A_0^*(t) - \frac{\nu_0(t)}{2}I \right] (Y(t) + Y^*(t)) + \\ & + (Y(t) + Y^*(t)) \left[A_0(t) - \frac{\nu_0(t)}{2}I \right] - C_0(t) - C_0^*(t) - Y(t)B_0(t)Y^*(t) - Y^*(t)B_0(t)Y(t) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

$t \in [t_1, t_2)$. Assume $Y(t_1) + Y^*(t_1) > 0$. Show that

$$Y(t) + Y^*(t) > 0, \quad t \in [t_1, t_2). \quad (4.4)$$

Suppose that this is not true. Then there exists $t_3 \in (t_1, t_2)$ such that

$$Y(t) + Y^*(t) > 0, \quad t \in [t_1, t_3] \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\det[Y(t_3) + Y^*(t_3)] = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

It follows from (4.5) that the matrix function $Y(t) + Y^*(t)$ does not degenerate on $[t_1, t_3]$, i.e., $(Y(t) + Y^*(t))^{-1}$ exists on $[t_1, t_3]$. Then by (4.3) one can interpret the matrix function $U(t) \equiv Y(t) + Y^*(t)$, $t \in [t_1, t_3]$ as a solution of the linear equation

$$U' + \left\{ [Y(t) + Y^*(t)]B_0(t) + A_0^*(t) - \nu_0(t)I + [Y(t) + Y^*(t)]A_0(t)[Y(t) + Y^*(t)]^{-1} - \right. \\ \left. - [C_0(t) + C_0^*(t) + Y(t)B_0(t)Y^*(t) + Y^*(t)B_0(t)Y(t)](Y(t) + Y^*(t))^{-1} \right\} U = 0, \quad t \in [t_1, t_3].$$

Then by virtue of the Liouville formula we have

$$\det[Y(t) + Y^*(t)] = \det[Y(t_1) + Y^*(t_1)] \exp \left\{ - \int_{t_1}^t \left\{ [Y(\tau) + Y^*(\tau)]B_0(\tau) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + A_0^*(\tau) - \nu_0(\tau)I + [Y(\tau) + Y^*(\tau)]A_0(\tau)[Y(\tau) + Y^*(\tau)]^{-1} - \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - [C_0(\tau) + C_0^*(\tau) + Y(\tau)B_0(\tau)Y^*(\tau) + Y^*(\tau)B_0(\tau)Y(\tau)](Y(\tau) + Y^*(\tau))^{-1} \right\} d\tau \right\}, \quad (4.7)$$

$t \in [t_1, t_3]$. By Lemma 3.3 $Y(t)B_0(t)Y^*(t) + Y^*(t)B_0(t)Y(t) \geq 0$, $t \in [t_1, t_0]$. Then, since $(Y(t) + Y^*(t))^{-1} > 0$, $t \in [t_1, t_3]$ by Lemma 3.2 $\text{tr} \left[(Y(t)B_0(t)Y^*(t) + Y^*(t)B_0(t)Y(t))(Y(t) + Y^*(t)) \right] \geq 0$, $t \in [t_1, t_3]$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.2 it follows from the condition $C(t, u, v) + C^*(t, u, v) \geq 0$, $t \geq t_0, u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ that $\text{tr} \left[(C_0(t) + C_0^*(t))(Y(t) + Y^*(t))^{-1} \right] \geq 0$, $t \in [t_1, t_3]$. Therefore, it follows from (4.7) that

$$|\det[Y(t) + Y^*(t)]| \geq |\det[Y(t_1) + Y^*(t_1)]| \geq e^{-c} |\det[Y(t_1) + Y^*(t_1)]|, \quad t \in [t_1, t_3],$$

where $c \equiv \max_{t \in [t_1, t_3]} \left| \int_{t_1}^t \text{tr} \left[(Y(\tau) + Y^*(\tau))B_0(\tau) + A_0(\tau) + A_0^*(\tau) - \nu_0(\tau)I \right] d\tau \right|$, which contradicts (4.6). The obtained contradiction proves (4.4). Consider the linear system

$$\begin{cases} \Phi' = A_0(t)\Phi + B_0(t)\Psi, \\ \Psi' = C_0(t)\Phi + [\nu_0(t)I - A_0^*(t)]\Psi, \quad t \geq t_1. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

By a solution of this system we mean an ordered pair $(\Phi(t), \Psi(t))$ of absolutely continuous matrix functions of dimension $n \times n$ on $[t_1, +\infty)$, satisfying (4.8) almost everywhere on $[t_1, +\infty)$. Substituting

$$\Psi = Y\Phi, \quad t \geq t_1$$

in (4.8) one can verify that all solutions $Y_1(t)$ of Eq. (4.1), existing on any interval $[\tau_1, \tau_2] \subset [t_1, +\infty)$, are connected with solutions $(\Phi(t), \Psi(t))$ of the system (4.8) by relations

$$\Phi'(t) = [A_0(t) + B_0(t)Y_1(t)]\Phi(t), \quad \Psi(t) = Y_1(t)\Phi(t), \quad t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]. \quad (4.9)$$

By the Liouville formula from here we get

$$\det \Phi(t) = \det \Phi(\tau_1) \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau_1}^t \operatorname{tr} [A_0(\tau) + B_0(\tau)Y_1(\tau)] d\tau \right\}, \quad t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2],$$

$$\overline{\det \Phi(t)} = \overline{\det \Phi(\tau_1)} \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau_1}^t \operatorname{tr} [A_0^*(\tau) + Y_1^*(\tau)B_0(\tau)] d\tau \right\}, \quad t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2].$$

Hence, (since by Lemma 3.1 $\operatorname{tr} Y^*(t)B_0(t) = \operatorname{tr} B_0(t)Y^*(t)$)

$$|\det \Phi(t)|^2 = |\det \Phi(\tau_1)|^2 \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau_1}^t \operatorname{tr} [A_0(\tau) + A_0^*(\tau) + B_0(\tau)(Y_1(\tau) + Y_1^*(\tau))] d\tau \right\}, \quad (4.10)$$

$t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$. Let $(\Phi_1(t), \Psi_1(t))$ be a solution of the system (4.8) with $\Phi_1(t_1) = I$, $\Psi_1(t_1) = Y(t_1)$. Then by (4.10)

$$|\det \Phi_1(t)|^2 = |\det \Phi_1(t_1)|^2 \exp \left\{ \int_{t_1}^t \operatorname{tr} [A_0(\tau) + A_0^*(\tau) + B_0(\tau)(Y(\tau) + Y^*(\tau))] d\tau \right\}, \quad (4.11)$$

$t \in [t_1, t_2]$. According to a condition of the theorem $B(t, u, v) \geq 0$, $t \geq t_0$, $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Hence, $B_0(t) \geq 0$, $t \geq t_1$. By Lemma 3.2 it follows from here, from (4.4) and from (4.11) that that

$$|\det \Phi_1(t_2)|^2 \geq |\det \Phi_1(t_1)|^2 \exp \left\{ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \operatorname{tr} [A_0(\tau) + A_0^*(\tau)] d\tau \right\} > 0.$$

Hence, $\det \Phi_1(t) \neq 0$, $t \in [t_1, t_2 + \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. In virtue of (4.9) it follows from here that $Y_1(t) \equiv \Psi_1(t)\Phi_1^{-1}(t)$, $t \in [t_1, t_2 + \varepsilon)$ is a solution of Eq. (4.1) on $[t_1, t_2 + \varepsilon)$, and coincides with $Y(t)$ on $[t_1, t_2)$. By the uniqueness theorem it follows from here that $[t_1, t_2)$ is not the maximum existence interval for $y(t)$, which contradicts our supposition. The obtained contradiction proves (4.2). Thus we prove (4.2) for the particular case $Y(t_1) + Y^*(t_1) > 0$. Let us prove (4.2) in the general case $Y(t_1) + Y^*(t_1) \geq 0$. For every $\delta > 0$ denote by $Y_\delta(t)$ the solution of Eq. (4.1), satisfying the initial condition $Y_\delta(t_1) = Y(t_1) + \delta I$. Obviously, $Y_\delta(t_1) + Y_\delta^*(t_1) \geq 2\delta I > 0$. Then similar to the already proven one can show that $Y_\delta(t)$ exists on $[t_1, +\infty)$ and

$$Y_\delta(t) + Y_\delta^*(t) > 0, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (4.12)$$

Let $\lambda(t)$ be the least eigenvalue for $Y(t) + Y^*(t)$ on $[t_1, t_2)$ and let $\lambda_\delta(t)$ be the least eigenvalue of $Y_\delta(t) + Y_\delta^*(t)$ on $[t_1, +\infty)$. It follows from (4.12) that

$$\lambda_\delta(t) > 0, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (4.13)$$

Since the solutions of Eq. (4.1) are continuously dependent on their initial values we have $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \lambda_\delta(t) = \lambda(t)$, $t \geq t_1$. This together with (4.13) implies that $\lambda(t) \geq 0$, $t \in [t_1, t_2)$. Therefore, $Y(t) + Y^*(t) \geq 0$, $t \in [t_1, t_2)$. Further the proof of (4.2) is as the proof of (4.2) in the particular case $Y(t_1) + Y^*(t_1) > 0$. The proof of the theorem is completed.

References

1. K. I. Al-Dosary, H. K. Abdullah and D. Hussein, Short Note on oscillation of matrix Hamiltonian systems. *Yokohama Math. J.* vol 50, 2003, pp. 23–30.
2. P. M. Cincotta, Notes on Non-Linear Hamiltonian Dynamics (in progress) June 13, 2024, 281 pages.
3. Sh. Chen, Z. Zheng, Oscillation criteria of Yan type for linear Hamiltonian systems, *Comput. Math. with Appl.*, 46 (2003), 855–862.
4. F. R. Gantmacher, *Theory of Matrix*. Second Edition (in Russian). Moskow,, "Nauka", 1966.
5. G. A. Grigorian, The Cauchy problem for quasilinear systems of functional differential equations. *Sarajevo J. Math.* 18(31) (2022), no. 2, 265–272.
6. G. A. Grigorian, Oscillation criteria for linear matrix Hamiltonian systems. *Proc. Amer. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 148, Num. 8 ,2020, pp. 3407 - 3415.
7. I. S. Kumary and S. Umamaheswaram, Oscillation criteria for linear matrix Hamiltonian systems, *J. Differential Equ.*, 165, 174–198 (2000).

8. L. Li, F. Meng and Z. Zheng, Oscillation results related to integral averaging technique for linear Hamiltonian systems, *Dynamic Systems Appli.* 18 (2009), pp. 725–736.