

A LINEAR VARIANT OF THE NEARLY GORENSTEIN PROPERTY

SORA MIYASHITA

ABSTRACT. The nearly Gorenstein property is a generalization of the Gorenstein property. In this paper, we introduce a new property (\natural) that extends the nearly Gorenstein property in semi-standard graded rings. We show (\natural) relates to a property in affine semigroup rings and generalizes results on Veronese subrings. Furthermore, (\natural) and the nearly Gorenstein property are equivalent in Ehrhart rings of $(0, 1)$ -polytopes with the integer decomposition property and in rings with minimal multiplicity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nearly Gorenstein rings are a generalization of Gorenstein rings introduced in [11], defined using the trace of the canonical modules (see Definition 2.1).

Definition 1.1 (see [11, Definition 2.2]). A Cohen–Macaulay local ring (or positively graded \mathbb{k} -algebra over a field \mathbb{k}) R admitting a canonical module ω_R is called *nearly Gorenstein* if $\text{tr}(\omega_R) \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$, where \mathfrak{m}_R is the (graded) maximal ideal of R .

Every nearly Gorenstein local (or positively graded) ring R is Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}_R\}$ (see [11, Section 2]). Recent studies have actively analyzed the structure of nearly Gorenstein rings ([3–7]). Specifically, the nearly Gorenstein property in certain types of affine semigroup rings has been examined in [10–13, 15, 19–23, 25]. The main focus of this paper is a property broader than nearly Gorenstein, which is defined in semi-standard graded rings. Let us review the definitions of standard graded rings and semi-standard graded rings.

Definition 1.2. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$ be a Noetherian graded \mathbb{k} -algebra over a field $R_0 = \mathbb{k}$. If $R = \mathbb{k}[R_1]$, that is, R is generated by R_1 as a \mathbb{k} -algebra, then we say that R is *standard graded*. If R is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{k}[R_1]$ -module, then we say that R is *semi-standard graded*.

If R is a Noetherian semi-standard graded ring, its Hilbert series is

$$\text{Hilb}(R, t) = \frac{h_0 + h_1 t + \cdots + h_s t^s}{(1 - t)^{\dim R}},$$

where $h_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq s$, $h_s \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^s h_i \neq 0$. The sequence (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_s) is called *the h-vector* of R , with $h_0 = 1$. If R is Cohen–Macaulay, then $h_i \geq 0$ holds for any i (see [27]), and if R is standard graded, $h_i > 0$ for any i (see [26]). The integer s , called *the socle degree* of R , is denoted by $s(R)$. For a Cohen–Macaulay ring R , the degree of $\text{Hilb}(R, t)$ is called *the a-invariant* of R , denoted by $\alpha(R)$, and it is given by $\alpha(R) = s(R) - \dim R$.

Date: August 6, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13H10, 13M05.

Key words and phrases. trace, nearly Gorenstein, Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum, semi-standard graded rings, affine semigroup rings, Ehrhart rings, Veronese subrings, minimal multiplicity.

The *Ehrhart rings* $A(P)$ of lattice polytopes P are a typical class of semi-standard graded rings (see [Definition 2.11](#)). Let S be a pointed affine semigroup and assume that its affine semigroup ring $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is semi-standard graded. Then it is known that $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is normal if and only if $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is isomorphic to an Ehrhart ring of lattice polytope (see [\[14, Proposition 4.2\]](#)). The nearly Gorenstein property of semi-standard graded affine semigroup rings is discussed in several papers. For instance, a weakened form of the nearly Gorenstein property, described by extremal rays of the affine semigroup, plays an important role in [\[19\]](#).

Remark 1.3 (see [\[19, Corollary 3.6\]](#)). Let S be a pointed affine semigroup, and let E_S be the subset of a minimal generating system of S corresponding to the 1-dimensional faces of the cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}S$. Let $R = \mathbb{k}[S]$ be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded affine semigroup ring. Assume $\text{tr}(\omega_R) \supset (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)R$. Then, R is Gorenstein if and only if $h_{s(R)} = 1$.

In [\[10\]](#), the nearly Gorenstein property of Ehrhart rings, a special case of semi-standard graded affine semigroup rings, is examined. This study defined the floor polytope $[P]$ and remainder polytope $\{P\}$ of a lattice polytope P (see [Definition 2.13](#)) and explored their relationship with the nearly Gorenstein property through the Minkowski decomposition. For simplicity, we use the notation $[P]$ instead of $[\alpha_P P]$ for simplicity, where α_P is the codegree of P (see [Proposition 2.12](#) and [Definition 2.14](#)).

Remark 1.4 (see [\[10, Theorem 1\]](#)). Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope.

- (1) If Ehrhart ring $A(P)$ of P is nearly Gorenstein, then $P = [P] + \{P\}$.
- (2) If $P = [P] + \{P\}$, then there exists $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that Ehrhart ring $A(kP)$ of kP is nearly Gorenstein for all integers $k \geq K$.

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope and let C_P be the cone over P . Define $S = C_P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$. Note that S is an affine semigroup and $A(P) = \mathbb{k}[S]$. The conditions in [Remark 1.3](#) and [Remark 1.4](#) can be understood through a ring-theoretic property.

Theorem A ([Proposition 4.4](#) and [Proposition 4.8](#)). *Let S be a pointed affine semigroup and let $R = \mathbb{k}[S]$ be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded affine semigroup ring. Then the following holds.*

- (1) $\text{tr}(\omega_R) \supset (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)R$ if and only if $\sqrt{\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 \bar{R}} \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$.
- (2) For a lattice polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $S = C_P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$, $P = [P] + \{P\}$ if and only if $\sqrt{\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 \bar{R}} \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$.

Based on this theorem, we introduce property (\natural) , which lies between the nearly Gorenstein property and the Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum property in semi-standard graded rings.

Definition 1.5. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring and let \mathfrak{m}_R be the unique graded maximal ideal of R . We say that R satisfies the property (\natural) if $\sqrt{\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 \bar{R}} \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$.

In [\[11, Section 2, Section 4\]](#), the relationship between nearly Gorenstein property and ring-theoretic operations such as residue rings by regular sequences, tensor products, and Veronese subrings is examined. This paper also explores the relationship between property (\natural) and these operations. First, the residue ring by a regular sequence of a ring satisfying (\natural) also satisfies (\natural) . Additionally, by considering tensor products, it is possible to easily construct examples that satisfy (\natural) but are not nearly Gorenstein. In particular, the following theorem, which provides generalizations of [Remark 1.4](#) and [\[11, Corollary 4.7\]](#) regarding Veronese subrings.

Theorem B (Theorem 5.6). *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring.*

- (1) *If R satisfies (\natural) , then $R^{(k)}$ satisfies (\natural) for any $k > 0$.*
- (2) *Assume R is standard graded with $\dim R > 0$. Then the following is true:*
 - (a) *If R satisfies (\natural) , then $R^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k > a(R/\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 R)$;*
 - (b) *If R is nearly Gorenstein, then $R^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k > 0$.*

Since $a(R/\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 R) = s(R_0) = 0$ if R is nearly Gorenstein, [Theorem B \(2\) \(b\)](#) is a special case of [Theorem B \(2\) \(a\)](#). Thus, [Theorem B \(2\)](#) is a generalization of [[11](#), Corollary 4.7]. Several examples demonstrate that the above theorem does not generally hold if the assumptions are further weakened. For instance, [Theorem B \(1\)](#) with (\natural) replaced by nearly Gorenstein does not hold for (non-standard and) semi-standard graded domains (see [Remark 5.8 \(b\)](#)) in general. Furthermore, [Theorem B \(2\) \(a\)](#) with (\natural) replaced by Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum also does not hold in general (see [Remark 5.8 \(a\)](#)). While [Theorem B \(1\)](#) is valid for semi-standard graded rings, [Theorem B \(2\)](#) assumes standard grading. However, it turns out that a statement similar to [Theorem B \(2\) \(a\)](#) also holds for semi-standard graded rings if they are domain or level (i.e., every degree of the minimal generating system of the canonical module is the same). The following gives a generalization of [Remark 1.4 \(2\)](#) mentioned earlier.

Theorem C (Corollary 5.19). *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring that is either domain or level. If R satisfies (\natural) , there exists $k_R \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $R^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k \geq k_R$.*

We examine a class where the nearly Gorenstein property and property (\natural) are equivalent. The nearly Gorenstein property of square-free Veronese subrings and Hibi rings is discussed in [[11](#)]. We also explore property (\natural) in Ehrhart rings arising from $(0, 1)$ -polytopes with the integer decomposition property, as addressed in [[10](#)]. This family includes order polytopes of posets and base polytopes of matroids. Previous studies have investigated the nearly Gorenstein property of Hibi rings [[11](#)] and Ehrhart rings of stable set polytopes from perfect graphs [[22](#)]. In this class, property (\natural) is equivalent to being nearly Gorenstein.

Theorem D (Theorem 6.4). *Let P be an $(0, 1)$ -polytope which has the integer decomposition property. Then $A(P)$ satisfies (\natural) if and only if $A(P)$ is nearly Gorenstein.*

In [[11](#), Section 6], the nearly Gorenstein property of rings which have minimal multiplicity is discussed. Lastly, we prove that in this case, property (\natural) is equivalent to the nearly Gorenstein property.

Theorem E (Theorem 6.8). *Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring. Assume that R has minimal multiplicity. Then R satisfies (\natural) if and only if R is nearly Gorenstein.*

Outline. The outline of this paper is as follows: In [Section 2](#), we prepare some foundational facts and definitions for later discussions. We collect basic definitions and properties of commutative rings, semi-standard graded affine semigroup rings and Ehrhart rings arising from a lattice polytope. In [Section 3](#), we introduce property (\natural) , the main topic of this paper, for Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded rings and present fundamental aspects of property (\natural) . Specifically, we show that by considering the residue rings by regular sequences and the tensor product with Artinian Gorenstein rings, we can construct new rings that satisfy property (\natural) . In [Section 4](#), we show [Theorem A](#) and characterize the rings that satisfy property (\natural) in the case of semi-standard graded affine semigroup rings. In [Section 5](#), we prove [Theorem B](#) and [Theorem C](#), exploring the relationship between property (\natural) and Veronese subrings. First, we present

Theorem B, which generalizes [11, Corollary 4.7]. Additionally, we establish **Theorem C**, extending [10, Theorem 1] to semi-standard graded integral domains and to level rings that are not necessarily domains, beyond Ehrhart rings. In **Section 6**, we show **Theorem D** and **Theorem E**. We prove that property (†) and nearly Gorenstein are equivalent in both the Ehrhart rings of $(0, 1)$ -polytopes with the integer decomposition property and rings with minimal multiplicity.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND AUXILIARY LEMMAS

The goal of this section is to prepare the required materials for the discussions of our main results.

2.1. Preliminaries on commutative rings. Throughout this paper, for a graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i$, we always assume that R_0 is a field. In this context, Notice that every local (or positively graded) ring R has the unique (graded) maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_R . Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay positively graded ring admitting the canonical module ω_R . Let $\alpha(R)$ denote the α -invariant of R . Notice that $\alpha(R) = -\min\{j : (\omega_R)_j \neq 0\}$.

Let us recall the definitions and facts about the trace ideal and the nearly Gorenstein property.

Definition 2.1. For a graded R -module M , we use the following notation: Let $\text{tr}_R(M)$ denote the sum of ideals $\phi(M)$ with $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R^*(M, R)$. That is,

$$\text{tr}_R(M) = \sum_{\phi \in \text{Hom}_R^*(M, R)} \phi(M)$$

where $\text{Hom}_R^*(M, R) = \{\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R) : \phi \text{ is a graded homomorphism}\}$. When there is no risk of confusion about the ring we simply write $\text{tr}(M)$.

Remark 2.2. Let R be a Noetherian positively graded ring and let M and N be a graded R -module.

- (1) $\text{tr}(M) = \text{tr}(N)$ if M is isomorphic to N as a graded module up to a shift;
- (2) If M is finitely generated, then $\text{tr}_{R_p}(M_p) = \text{tr}_R(M)R_p$ holds for any graded prime ideal p of R ; $\text{tr}_{R'}(\omega_{R'}) = \text{tr}_R(\omega_R)R'$ holds.

Proof. (1) is trivial. (2) follows from [16, Proposition 2.8 (viii)]. □

Definition 2.3 (see [11, Definition 2.2]). Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local (or positively graded) ring $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ admitting the canonical module ω_R . We say that R is *nearly Gorenstein* if $\text{tr}(\omega_R) \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$.

There are some remarks on nearly Gorenstein rings.

Remark 2.4. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay positively graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ with $R_0 = \mathbb{k}$. Let \mathbb{k}' be an extension field of \mathbb{k} and set $R' = R \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}'$. Then the following is true:

- (1) R is nearly Gorenstein but not Gorenstein if and only if $\text{tr}(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m}_R$;
- (2) R is Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}_R\}$ if and only if $\sqrt{\text{tr}(\omega_R)} \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$. Therefore, if R is nearly Gorenstein, then R is Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum;
- (3) R is a nearly Gorenstein graded ring if and only if $R_{\mathfrak{m}_R}$ is a nearly Gorenstein local ring;
- (4) $\text{tr}_{R'}(\omega_{R'}) = \text{tr}_R(\omega_R)R'$ holds;
- (5) R is nearly Gorenstein if and only if R' is nearly Gorenstein.

Proof. (1), (2) follows by [11, Lemma 2.1]. (4) follows by [2, Exercises 3.3.31] and [11, Lemma 1.5 (iii)]. Let us show (3). Since $R_0 = \mathbb{k}$ is a field, we can check $\text{IR}_{\mathfrak{m}_R} \cap R = I$ for any graded ideal $I \subset R$.

Therefore, $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R) \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$ if and only if $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)R_{\mathfrak{m}_R} \supset \mathfrak{m}_R R_{\mathfrak{m}_R}$. Notice that $\text{tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}_R}}(\omega_{R_{\mathfrak{m}_R}}) = \text{tr}_R(\omega_R)R_{\mathfrak{m}_R}$ by [Remark 2.2](#) and [2, Proposition 3.6.12 (a)]. Thus R is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $R_{\mathfrak{m}_R}$ is nearly Gorenstein. Lastly, we show (5). If R is nearly Gorenstein, then so is R' by (4). If R' is nearly Gorenstein, then $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)R' \supset \mathfrak{m}_R R'$ by (4). Thus $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)R' \cap R \supset \mathfrak{m}_R R' \cap R$. Since $R \rightarrow R'$ is faithfully flat, we have $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)R' \cap R = \text{tr}_R(\omega_R)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_R R' \cap R = \mathfrak{m}_R$ by [17, Theorem 7.5 (ii)]. Therefore, we have $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R) \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$, so R is nearly Gorenstein. \square

Definition 2.5. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay positively graded ring. We call $J \subset Q(R)$ *graded fractional ideal* of R if J is finitely generated graded R -module and J contains a homogeneous non-zero divisor of R . For a graded fractional ideal J of R , we set

$$J^{-1} = \{a \in Q(R) : aJ \subset R\}.$$

There is a useful formula to calculate the trace ideal of the ideal (see [11, Lemma 1.1]). The following is the fractional ideal version of this formula.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a positively graded ring and let $J \subset Q(R)$ be a graded fractional ideal. Then we have $\text{tr}_R(J) = J \cdot J^{-1}$.*

Proof. Since J is a graded fractional ideal, J is generated by $\left\{ \frac{b_1}{a_1}, \dots, \frac{b_r}{a_r} \right\} \subset Q(R)$, where $a_i, b_i \in R$ and a_1, \dots, a_r is non-zero divisor of R . Put $x = \prod_{i=1}^r a_i$. Then J is isomorphic to graded ideal $xJ \subset R$ up to degree shift. Since xJ contains a homogeneous non-zero divisor of R , we have $\text{tr}_R(J) = \text{tr}_R(xJ) = (xJ) \cdot (xJ)^{-1} = J \cdot J^{-1}$ by [11, Lemma 1.1] and [Remark 2.2](#) (1). \square

Let R be a positively graded ring and let ω_R denote its canonical module. It is known that R is isomorphic to a graded ideal of positive grade, up to degree shift (see [28, Chapter I, Section 12]). In particular, ω_R is isomorphic to a fractional ideal of R with no degree shift. Therefore, [Lemma 2.6](#) is useful for calculating the trace of the canonical module.

Furthermore, we will prove some useful facts for calculating graded fractional ideals. These facts play an important role in [Section 5](#) and are crucial for the proof of [Theorem C](#) when R is a level ring.

Lemma 2.7. *Let R be a Noetherian ring with $R_0 = \mathbb{k}$ an infinite field. If f and g are homogeneous elements and f is a non-zero divisor, then there exists $r \in R_0 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $f + rg$ is a non-zero divisor in R .*

Proof. Assume the contrary: for every $r \in R_0 \setminus \{0\}$, $f + rg$ is a zero divisor. Since R is a Noetherian ring, the set of zero divisors is the union of a finite number of associated prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ of R (see [17, Theorem 6.1 (ii)]). Therefore, for each $r \in R_0 \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{P}_i such that $f + rg \in \mathfrak{P}_i$. Since R_0 is an infinite field, we can choose $r_1, r_2 \in R_0 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $f + r_1g \in \mathfrak{P}_1$ and $f + r_2g \in \mathfrak{P}_1$. Then we have $r_1^{-1}f + g \in \mathfrak{P}_1$ and $r_2^{-1}f + g \in \mathfrak{P}_1$. Subtracting these, we obtain $(r_1^{-1} - r_2^{-1})f \in \mathfrak{P}_1$. Since $r_1^{-1} \neq r_2^{-1}$, it follows that $f \in \mathfrak{P}_1$. Since f is a non-zero divisor of R , this yields a contradiction. \square

Definition 2.8. Let R be a Noetherian positively graded ring. For a non-zero graded fractional ideal J of R , we put $\text{indeg}(J) := \min\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : J_i \neq 0\}$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let R be a Noetherian semi-standard graded ring with $\dim R > 0$ and let $J \subset R$ be a non-zero graded fractional ideal. Assume that $R_0 = \mathbb{k}$ is an infinite field and there exists an R -regular element $f \in J_{\text{indeg}(J)}$. Then a homogeneous generating system of J as an R -module can be chosen to include f , with all elements being R -regular.*

Proof. It is easy to choose a homogeneous generating system of J that includes f . Furthermore, it is sufficient to prove this when J is an ideal. Let $f_1 = f, f_2, \dots, f_l$ be a homogeneous generating system of J . We will prove this by induction on l .

It is true for $l = 1$. Assume it is true for $l = k$, and we will show it is true for $l = k + 1$. Using the induction hypothesis on the ideal $J' = (f, f_2, \dots, f_k)\mathbb{R}$, we can choose a generating system of J' that includes f and such that all elements are non-zero divisors. Hence, we can assume that f_2, \dots, f_k are all non-zero divisors. Since \mathbb{R} is a semi-standard graded ring, by [Lemma 5.2](#), there exists a non-zero divisor $z \in \mathbb{R}_1$. Notice that $\deg f_{k+1} \geq \deg f$. Then, by [Lemma 2.7](#), there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}_0 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $F = f z^{\deg f_{k+1} - \deg f} + r f_{k+1}$ is a homogeneous non-zero divisor. In this case, $\{f, f_2, \dots, f_k, F\}$ is a generating system of $J' + (f_{k+1})\mathbb{R} = J$ consisting entirely of non-zero divisors. \square

We can also compute the trace of the canonical module from the minimal free resolution as follows.

Theorem 2.10 (see [[11](#), Corollary 3.2]). *Let $A = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring and let*

$$\mathbb{F} : 0 \rightarrow F_p \xrightarrow{\phi_p} F_{p-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0$$

be a graded minimal free A -resolution of the Cohen–Macaulay ring $\mathbb{R} = A/J$ with $J \subset \mathfrak{m}_A^2$. Let $I_1(\phi_p)$ be the ideal of \mathbb{R} generated by all components of a representation matrix of ϕ_p and let e_1, \dots, e_t be a basis of F_p . Suppose that for $i = 1, \dots, s$ the elements $\sum_{j=1}^t r_{ij} e_j$ generate the kernel of

$$\psi_p : F_p \otimes \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow F_{p-1} \otimes \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$\psi_p = \phi_p \otimes \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $\text{tr}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}})$ is generated by the elements r_{ij} with $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $j = 1, \dots, t$.

2.2. Affine semigroup rings. We recall some definitions about affine semigroups. An *affine semigroup* S is a finitely generated sub-semigroup of \mathbb{Z}^d . For $X \subset S$, we denote by $\langle X \rangle$ the smallest sub-semigroup of S containing X . We denote the group generated by S by $\mathbb{Z}S$, the convex cone generated by S by $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The affine semigroup S is pointed if $S \cap (-S) = \{0\}$. S is pointed if and only if the associated cone $C = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}S$ is pointed (see [[18](#), Lemma 7.12]). If S is pointed, then it is known that $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is isomorphic with a positively graded affine semigroup ring (see [[2](#), Proposition 6.1.5]). Moreover, every pointed affine semigroup S has a unique finite minimal generating set (see [[18](#), Proposition 7.15]). Thus $C = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}S$ is a finitely generated cone. A face $F \subset S$ of S is a subset such that for every $a, b \in S$ the following holds:

$$a + b \in F \Leftrightarrow a \in F \text{ and } b \in F.$$

The dimension of the face F equals the rank of $\mathbb{Z}F$.

2.3. Ehrhart rings. We denote the natural pairing between an element $n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ and an element $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by $n(x)$. Throughout this subsection, let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope, $\mathcal{F}(P)$ be the set of facets of P , and $\text{vert}(P)$ be the set of vertices of P . Moreover, recall that we always assume P is full-dimensional and has the facet presentation

$$(1) \quad P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : n_F(x) \geq -h_F \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}(P)\},$$

where each height h_F is an integer and each inner normal vector $n_F \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^*$ is a *primitive* lattice point, i.e. a lattice point such that the greatest common divisor of its coordinates is 1. Let C_P be the *cone over* P , that is,

$$C_P = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(P \times \{1\}) = \{(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : n_F(x) \geq -kh_F \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}(P)\}.$$

Definition 2.11. The *Ehrhart ring* of P is defined as

$$A(P) = \mathbb{k}[C_P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}] = \mathbb{k}[\mathbf{t}^x s^k : k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x \in kP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d],$$

where $\mathbf{t}^x = t_1^{x_1} \cdots t_d^{x_d}$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in kP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Notice that the Ehrhart ring of P is a normal affine semigroup ring, and hence it is Cohen–Macaulay. Moreover, we can regard $A(P)$ as an \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra by setting $\deg(\mathbf{t}^x s^k) = k$ for each $x \in kP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$.

We also define another affine semigroup ring, the *toric ring* of P , as

$$\mathbb{k}[P] = \mathbb{k}[\mathbf{t}^x s : x \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d].$$

The toric ring of P is a standard \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra.

It is known that $\mathbb{k}[P] = A(P)$ if and only if P has the integer decomposition property. Here, we say that P has the *integer decomposition property* (i.e. P is *IDP*) if for all positive integers k and all $x \in kP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, there exist $y_1, \dots, y_k \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $x = y_1 + \cdots + y_k$.

In order to describe the canonical module and the anti-canonical module of $A(P)$ in terms of P , we prepare some notation.

For a polytope or cone σ , we denote the strict interior of σ by $\text{int}(\sigma)$. Notice that

$$\text{int}(C_P) = \{(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : n_F(x) > -kh_F \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}(P)\}.$$

Moreover, we define

$$\text{ant}(C_P) := \{(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : n_F(x) \geq -kh_F - 1 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}(P)\}.$$

Then the following is true.

Proposition 2.12 (see [12, Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.2]). *The canonical module of $A(P)$ and the anti-canonical module of $A(P)$ are given by the following, respectively:*

$$\omega_{A(P)} = (\mathbf{t}^x s^k : (x, k) \in \text{int}(C_P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}) A(P),$$

$$\omega_{A(P)}^{-1} = (\mathbf{t}^x s^k : (x, k) \in \text{ant}(C_P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}) A(P).$$

Further, the negated α -invariant of $A(P)$ coincides with the codegree of P , i.e.

$$\alpha(A(P)) = -\min\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} : \text{int}(kP) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let A and B be subsets of \mathbb{R}^d . Their *Minkowski sum* is defined as

$$A + B := \{x + y : x \in A, y \in B\}.$$

We recall that the (*direct*) *product* of two polytopes $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^e$ is denoted by $P \times Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+e}$. Let P and Q be two lattice polytopes. It is known that $\mathbb{k}[P \times Q]$ is isomorphic to the Segre product $\mathbb{k}[P] \# \mathbb{k}[Q]$.

For a subset X of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $X_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : (x, k) \in X\}$ be the k -th *piece* of X . Moreover, for a lattice polytope P , we denote its *codegree* by α_P (see [Proposition 2.12](#)).

Definition 2.13 (see [\[10, Definition 3.3\]](#)). Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope. Its *floor polytope* and *remainder polytopes* are defined as

$$\lfloor P \rfloor := \text{conv}(\text{int}(C_P)_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \{P\} := \text{conv}(\text{ant}(C_P)_{1-\alpha_P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d),$$

respectively.

Definition 2.14. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope with codegree α_P . We set $[P] = \lfloor \alpha_P P \rfloor$. Notice that $[P]$ coincides with $\text{conv}(\text{int}(C_P)_{\alpha_P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$.

The following is merely a restatement of the results from [\[10, Theorem 1.2, Lemma 3.4\]](#) using our notation $[P]$.

Remark 2.15. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope with codegree α_P . Then:

- (1) $[P] \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : n_F(x) \geq 1 - \alpha_P h_F \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}(P)\}$;
- (2) $\{P\} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : n_F(x) \geq (\alpha_P - 1)h_F - 1 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}(P)\}$.
- (3) If $P = [P] + \{P\}$, the inclusions in (1) and (2) become equalities. In particular, both $[P]$ and $\{P\}$ are lattice polytopes in this case.

3. RINGS SATISFYING THE PROPERTY (†)

In this section, we introduce the property (†) and discuss it.

Definition 3.1. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring, and let \mathfrak{m}_R be the unique graded maximal ideal of R . R *satisfies the property (†)* if $\sqrt{\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 \bar{R}} \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$.

Remark 3.2. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring with $R_0 = \mathbb{k}$. Let \mathbb{k}' be an extension field of \mathbb{k} and set $R' = R \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}'$. Then the following is true:

- (1) If R is nearly Gorenstein, it satisfies property (†). Furthermore, if R satisfies property (†), it is Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum;
- (2) If there exists a graded parameter ideal $I = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{\dim R})$ with $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{\dim R} \in R_1$ such that $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R) \supset I$, then R satisfies (†). If \mathbb{k} is an infinite field, the converse is also true;
- (3) If R satisfies (†), then so does R' .

Proof. (1) follows by the definition of the nearly Gorenstein property and [Remark 2.4 \(2\)](#). The first part of (2) is clear. The second part of (2) follows by [\[2, Proposition 1.5.12\]](#). (3) follows by [Remark 2.4 \(4\)](#). \square

Example 3.3. Let $A = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$ be a polynomial ring and let

$$I = (x_4^2 - x_3x_5, x_3x_4 - x_2x_5, x_3^2 - x_2x_4, x_1^2, x_2^2x_4, x_2^2x_3, x_2^3)A$$

be an ideal of A , and set $R = A/I$. Note that R is standard graded where the grading is $\deg x_i = 1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Then we can check R is a 1-dimensional Cohen–Macaulay ring with $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R) = (x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)R$ by using `Macaulay2` ([\[9\]](#)) and [Theorem 2.10](#). Thus R is not nearly Gorenstein; however, R satisfies (†) because we can check that $\sqrt{\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 \bar{R}} = \sqrt{\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)} = \mathfrak{m}_R$.

Regarding quotient rings by a regular sequence, a result analogous to [11, Proposition 2.3] holds true.

Proposition 3.4. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring and let $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r \in R$ be a regular sequence and set $R' = R/(\mathbf{x})$. If R satisfies (\natural) , then so is R' .*

Proof. We see that $\mathrm{tr}_{R'}(\omega_{R'}) \supset \mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)R'$ by [11, Lemma 1.5]. Then we get $\mathrm{tr}_{R'}(\omega_{R'})_1 R' \supset \mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 R'$. Thus $\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}_{R'}(\omega_{R'})_1 R'} \supset \sqrt{\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 R'} \supset (\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 R})R' = \mathfrak{m}_{R'}$, as desired. \square

The following is known about the relationship between nearly Gorenstein rings and tensor products.

Proposition 3.5 (see [11, Corollary 4.3]). *Let A and B be Cohen–Macaulay positively graded rings over a field \mathbb{k} with $\mathrm{embdim}A, \mathrm{embdim}B > 0$ and let $R = A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} B$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) R is nearly Gorenstein;
- (2) R is Gorenstein;
- (3) A and B are Gorenstein.

From this, it can be understood that a non-Gorenstein nearly Gorenstein ring cannot be constructed by considering tensor products. On the other hand, a ring that is not Gorenstein but satisfies property (\natural) can be constructed by considering the following example.

Example 3.6. Let A be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring over a field \mathbb{k} . Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be positive integers and put $R = A[x_1, \dots, x_k]/(x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_n^{a_n})$. If A satisfies (\natural) , then R satisfies (\natural) .

Proof. Let $B = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_n^{a_n})$. Notice that $R \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} B$. By [11, Theorem 4.2], $\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R) = \mathrm{tr}_A(\omega_A)R \cdot \mathrm{tr}_B(\omega_B)R = \mathrm{tr}_A(\omega_A)R$. Therefore, $\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 R} \supset \sqrt{\mathrm{tr}_A(\omega_A)_1 A}R = \mathfrak{m}_A R$. Since B is Artinian, $(\mathfrak{m}_B R)^k = 0$ for some $k > 0$, implying $\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 R} \supset \mathfrak{m}_B R$. Thus, $\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1 R} \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$. \square

4. SEMI-STANDARD GRADED AFFINE SEMIGROUP RINGS SATISFYING (\natural)

4.1. **Proof of Theorem A (1).** The main aim of this subsection is to show the first part of Theorem A.

Let S be a pointed affine semigroup and let $G_S = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be the minimal generating system of S . Fix the affine semigroup ring $R = \mathbb{k}[S]$. For any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we set

$$R_{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{k}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} & (\mathbf{a} \in S) \\ 0 & (\mathbf{a} \notin S). \end{cases}$$

Then $R = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} R_{\mathbf{a}}$ is a direct sum decomposition as an abelian group, and $R_{\mathbf{a}}R_{\mathbf{b}} \subset R_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$ for any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Thus we can regard R as an \mathbb{Z}^d -graded ring. Notice that $f \in R$ can be uniquely expressed as the sum of homogeneous elements $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in R$ under the \mathbb{Z}^d -grading. We set $\mathrm{Supp}(f) = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$. Moreover, we put $E_S = \{\mathbf{a} \in G_S : \mathbb{N}\mathbf{a} \text{ is a 1-dimensional face of } S\}$. Thus the following holds.

Proposition 4.1 (see [19, Proposition 3.4]). *Let S be a pointed affine semigroup. If $R = \mathbb{k}[S]$ is semi-standard graded, then $\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e} \in E_S\} \subset R_1$.*

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be a \mathbb{Z}^d -graded ring and let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^d -graded module. Then $\mathrm{tr}_R(M)$ is a homogeneous ideal of R with respect to the \mathbb{Z}^d -grading.*

Proof. For $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, put $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbf{a}} := \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M, \mathbb{R}) : f(M_{\mathbf{b}}) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}} \text{ for any } \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$. Since M is finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^d -graded module, we can check $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M, \mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbf{a}}$ by the same proof of [2, Exercises 1.5.19 (f)]. Therefore $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(M) = \sum_{f \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbf{a}}} f(M)$ is a homogeneous ideal of \mathbb{R} with respect to the \mathbb{Z}^d -grading. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a pointed affine semigroup. Let $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{k}[S]$ be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded affine semigroup ring and let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d \in \mathbb{R}_1$ be a linear system of parameter, where $d = \dim \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $\mathbf{x}^e \in E_S$, there exists θ_i such that $\mathbf{x}^e \in \text{Supp}(\theta_i)$.*

Proof. Put $B = \mathbb{k}[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d]$. Since \mathbb{R} is B -module, there exists homogeneous elements $\mathbf{x}^{a_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{a_r} \in \mathbb{R}$ under \mathbb{Z}^d -grading of \mathbb{R} such that $\mathbb{R} = \sum_{i=1}^r B\mathbf{x}^{a_i}$. Take $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $k - \deg(\mathbf{x}^{a_i}) > 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since $\mathbf{x}^{k\mathbf{e}} \in \mathbb{R} = \sum_{i=1}^r B\mathbf{x}^{a_i}$, we can write $\mathbf{x}^{k\mathbf{e}} = \sum_{i=1}^r g_i(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_d)\mathbf{x}^{a_i}$. Comparing the degree $\deg \mathbf{x}^{k\mathbf{e}} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ on both sides, we have $\mathbf{x}^{k\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{y}_1 \cdots \mathbf{y}_{k-\deg(\mathbf{x}^{a_i})}\mathbf{x}^{a_i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\mathbf{y}_j \in \text{Supp}(\theta_{n_j})$ where $1 \leq n_j \leq d$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k - \deg(\mathbf{x}^{a_i})$. Since $(\mathbf{x}^e)\mathbb{R}$ is a prime ideal of \mathbb{R} , we get $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{k-\deg(\mathbf{x}^{a_i})}, \mathbf{x}^{a_i} \in (\mathbf{x}^e)\mathbb{R}$. Thus we obtain $\mathbf{x}^e = \mathbf{y}_1 \in \text{Supp}(\theta_i)$. \square

Next, we prove the first part of [Theorem A](#).

Proposition 4.4 ([Theorem A](#) (1)). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a pointed affine semigroup and let $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{k}[S]$ be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded affine semigroup ring. Then \mathbb{R} satisfies (\natural) if and only if*

$$\text{tr}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^e : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)\mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. If $\text{tr}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^e : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)\mathbb{R}$, then \mathbb{R} satisfies (\natural) because $(\mathbf{x}^e : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)\mathbb{R}$ is $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -primary ideal only generated by degree 1 elements by [Proposition 4.1](#).

Assume that \mathbb{R} satisfies (\natural) and let us show that $\text{tr}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^e : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)\mathbb{R}$. First, consider the case where \mathbb{k} is an infinite field. Then there exists a linear system of parameter ideal $I = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{\dim \mathbb{R}})\mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{tr}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \supset I$ by [Remark 3.2](#) (2). It is known that $\omega_{\mathbb{R}}$ is \mathbb{Z}^d -graded module of \mathbb{R} , so $\text{tr}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}})$ is \mathbb{Z}^d -graded module by [Lemma 4.2](#). Thus every \mathbb{Z}^d -graded homogeneous elements of I is contained $\text{tr}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}})$ since $\text{tr}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \supset I$ and $\text{tr}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}})$ is \mathbb{Z}^d -graded. Therefore, we can see that $\text{tr}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^e : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)\mathbb{R}$ by [Lemma 4.3](#). Next, consider the case where \mathbb{k} is an arbitrary field. Take an infinite field $\mathbb{k}' = \mathbb{k}(x)$. Then $\mathbb{R}' = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}'$ satisfies (\natural) by [Remark 3.2](#) (3), so $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}'}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}'}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^e : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)\mathbb{R}'$. Thus, $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}})\mathbb{R}' \supset (\mathbf{x}^e : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)\mathbb{R}'$ by [Remark 2.4](#) (4). Notice that $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}})\mathbb{R}'$ and $(\mathbf{x}^e : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)\mathbb{R}'$ are \mathbb{Z}^d -graded ideals by [Lemma 4.2](#). Therefore, we can check that $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^e : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)\mathbb{R}$ from $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega_{\mathbb{R}})\mathbb{R}' \supset (\mathbf{x}^e : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)\mathbb{R}'$. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let S be a pointed affine semigroup and let $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{k}[S]$ be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded affine semigroup ring. Assume that \mathbb{R} satisfies (\natural) . Then \mathbb{R} is Gorenstein if and only if $h_{S(\mathbb{R})} = 1$.*

Proof. It follows from [Proposition 4.4](#) and [19, Corollary 3.6]. \square

4.2. Ehrhart rings satisfying (\natural) . The main aim of this subsection is to show that [Theorem A](#) (2).

Definition 4.6. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope. Recall that C_P be the cone over P . Moreover, let $V_P \subset C_P$ denote the union of every extremal ray of C_P , that is,

$$V_P = \bigcup_{v \in \text{vert}(P)} (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(v, 1)) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

Notice that $(V_P)_1 = \text{vert}(P)$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope with codegree α_P . Put $S = C_P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$. Then $\text{tr}_{A(P)}(\omega_{A(P)}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)A(P)$ if and only if*

$$(2) \quad (V_P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}) \setminus \{0\} \subset \text{int}(C_P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} + \text{ant}(C_P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}.$$

In particular, if $\text{tr}_{A(P)}(\omega_{A(P)}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)A(P)$, then

$$(3) \quad \text{vert}(P) \subset \text{int}(C_P)_{\alpha_P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d + \text{ant}(C_P)_{1-\alpha_P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Proof. By definition, $A(P)$ is nearly Gorenstein if $\text{tr}(\omega_{A(P)}) \supset \mathfrak{m}_{A(P)}$. By [11, Lemma 1.1], $\text{tr}(\omega_{A(P)}) = \omega_{A(P)} \cdot \omega_{A(P)}^{-1}$. Proposition 2.12 gives the monomial generators of $\omega_{A(P)}$ and $\omega_{A(P)}^{-1}$ in terms of the lattice points of $\text{int}(C_P)$ and $\text{ant}(C_P)$. The ideal $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)A(P)$ is generated by the monomials $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{x}}s$, where \mathbf{x} are points in $\text{vert}(P)$. Thus, $\text{tr}_{A(P)}(\omega_{A(P)}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)A(P)$ if and only if (2) holds.

We prove that (3) follows from (2). Taking the 1st piece of all sets from (2), we have the equality

$$\text{vert}(P) \subset (\text{int}(C_P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} + \text{ant}(C_P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1})_1.$$

Notice that when P is Gorenstein, $\text{int}(C_P)_{\alpha_P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\text{ant}(C_P)_{-\alpha_P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ are singleton sets; therefore, the result easily follows. Otherwise, we claim that $\text{ant}(C_P)_{1-b} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ is empty for all $b \geq \alpha_P + 1$. Since $\text{int}(C_P)_b$ is empty for $b < \alpha_P$, we obtain the desired result. \square

With the above preparations, we shall prove Theorem A (2). Since Theorem A (1) has already been proven in Proposition 4.4, it suffices to establish the following to complete the proof.

Proposition 4.8 (Theorem A (2)). *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope and put $S = C_P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$. Then $\text{tr}(\omega_{A(P)}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)A(P)$ if and only if $P = [P] + \{P\}$.*

Proof. Notice that $P \supset [P] + \{P\}$ is always true. Indeed, let $\mathbf{x} \in [P]$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \{P\}$. By statements (1) and (2) of Remark 2.15, we have that, for all facets F of P , $n_F(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \geq 1 - \alpha_F + (\alpha - 1)h_F - 1 = -h_F$. So, $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in P$. Therefore, we obtain that $P \supset [P] + \{P\}$.

We show that $P \subset [P] + \{P\}$ if $\text{tr}(\omega_{A(P)}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)A(P)$. Since $[P] + \{P\}$ is a convex set, it suffices to show that $\text{vert}(P) \subset [P] + \{P\}$. Let \mathbf{v} be a vertex of P . Thus, by Lemma 4.7, can write \mathbf{v} as the sum of an element of $[P] \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ and an element of $\{P\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. This implies $P \subset [P] + \{P\}$, so we have $P = [P] + \{P\}$.

Next we show that $\text{tr}(\omega_{A(P)}) \supset (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)A(P)$ if $P = [P] + \{P\}$. Notice that $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathbf{e} \in E_S)A(P)$ is generated by the monomials $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{x}}s$, where $\mathbf{x} \in \text{vert}(P)$. Consider a monomial $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{x}}s$ with $\mathbf{x} \in \text{vert}(P)$. Since $\mathbf{x} \in \text{vert}(P)$, it can be expressed as $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$, where $\mathbf{x}_1 \in \text{vert}([P])$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 \in \text{vert}(\{P\})$. Here, \mathbf{x}_1 is a lattice point of $[P]$ and \mathbf{x}_2 is a lattice point of $\{P\}$, as both $[P]$ and $\{P\}$ are lattice polytopes by Remark 2.15 (3). Notice that the lattice points of $\text{int}(C_P)_{\alpha_P}$ coincide with those of $[P]$ and the lattice points of $\text{ant}(C_P)_{1-\alpha_P}$ coincide with those of $\{P\}$. Thus, we have $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{x}}s = (\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{x}_1}s^{\alpha_P}) \cdot (\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{x}_2}s^{1-\alpha_P}) \in \omega_{A(P)} \cdot \omega_{A(P)}^{-1}$. \square

5. THE RELATION BETWEEN VERONESE SUBRINGS AND THE PROPERTY (†)

This section examines the relationship between Veronese subrings and the property (†). First, we define Veronese subrings and their analogs for modules.

Definition 5.1. Let $k > 0$ be a positive integer. For a positively graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$, the k -th Veronese subring is defined as $R^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_{ik}$. Similarly, for a graded R -module $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$, we define $M^{(k)} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{ik}$.

The next lemma is fundamental but plays a important role in the proof of this section.

Lemma 5.2. *If R is a semi-standard graded ring with $\dim R > 0$, then R_1 contains a non-zero divisor.*

Proof. Let $R' = R \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}\langle x \rangle$. By [2, Proposition 1.5.12], we can take a non-zero divisor $f_1 = r_1 \otimes 1_{\mathbb{k}} \in R'_1$, where $r_1 \in R_1$. We can regard R as a subring of R' via the injection $\iota: R \rightarrow R'$ defined by $\iota(r) = r \otimes 1_{\mathbb{k}}$ for any $r \in R$. Thus, r_1 is a non-zero divisor in R_1 because $\iota(r_1) = f_1$ is a non-zero divisor in R'_1 . \square

The following proposition is essential for the proof of [Theorem B](#).

Proposition 5.3. *Let R be a Noetherian semi-standard graded ring and put $\mathfrak{n} = (R_1)R$. Let I be a graded ideal generated by a subset of R_1 and let J be a graded fractional ideal of R . If $\text{tr}_R(J) \supset I$, then $\text{tr}_{R^{(k)}}(J^{(k)}) \supset (\mathfrak{n}^{k-1}I)^{(k)}$ for any $k > 0$.*

Proof. Let $G(J) = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\} \subset Q(R)$ be a minimal generating system of J as an R -module. Let $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s\} \subset R_1$ denote the minimal generating system of I . Since $\theta_j \in I \subset \text{tr}(J) = J \cdot J^{-1}$, there exists a homogeneous element $g_{i,j} \in J^{-1}$ such that $\theta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i g_{i,j}$. Since $\deg \theta_j = 1$, we have $\deg g_{i,j} = 1 - \deg f_i$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n$. Let x_1, \dots, x_e be a minimal generating system of \mathfrak{n} . Then have

$$\mathfrak{n}^{k-1}I = (x_{n_1} \cdots x_{n_{k-1}} \theta_j : 1 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_{k-1} \leq e, 1 \leq j \leq s).$$

We can write $\deg f_i = kd_i - r_i$ for some $0 \leq r_i < k$. We can take a non-zero divisor of $y \in R_1$ by [Lemma 5.2](#). We write $g_{i,j} = \frac{b_{i,j}}{a_{i,j}}$, where $a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}$ is a homogeneous element of R such that $a_{i,j}$ is a non-zero divisor of R and $\deg b_{i,j} = \deg a_{i,j} + 1 - \deg f_i$. Put

$$s_{i,j} = \min\{s \in \mathbb{N} : s + \deg a_{i,j} \in k\mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$q_{i,j} = \frac{y^{s_{i,j}} b_{i,j} \prod_{r_i < m \leq k-1} x_{n_m}}{y^{s_{i,j}} a_{i,j}},$$

where $\prod_{r_i < m \leq 0} x_{n_m} := 1$. Then we have $f_i \prod_{m=1}^{r_i} x_{n_m} \in J^{(k)}$ and $q_{i,j} \in (J^{(k)})^{-1}$. Indeed, we have $f_i \prod_{m=1}^{r_i} x_{n_m} \in J^{(k)}$ because $\deg(f_i \prod_{m=1}^{r_i} x_{n_m}) = r_i + \deg f_i = kd_i$. Moreover, since $\deg(y^{s_{i,j}} a_{i,j}) = s_{i,j} + \deg a_{i,j} \in k\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(y^{s_{i,j}} b_{i,j} x_{n_{r_i+1}} \cdots x_{n_{k-1}}) &= s_{i,j} + \deg b_{i,j} + k - 1 - r_i \\ &= s_{i,j} + (\deg a_{i,j} + 1 - \deg f_i) + k - 1 - r_i \\ &= (s_{i,j} + \deg a_{i,j}) + k - (\deg f_i + r_i) \\ &= (s_{i,j} + \deg a_{i,j}) + k(1 - d_i) \in k\mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $q_{i,j} \in R^{(k)}$. Then we obtain $q_{i,j} \in (J^{(k)})^{-1}$ because $q_{i,j} \in J^{-1}$.

Thus we obtain $(\prod_{m=1}^{k-1} x_{n_m}) \theta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n (\prod_{m=1}^{r_i} x_{n_m} f_i) q_{i,j} \in J^{(k)} \cdot (J^{(k)})^{-1}$, so we have $\text{tr}_{R^{(k)}}(\omega_{R^{(k)}}) = \omega_{R^{(k)}} \cdot \omega_{R^{(k)}}^{-1} \supset (\mathfrak{m}_R^{k-1}I)^{(k)}$ by [Lemma 2.6](#). Therefore, $R^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein. \square

Definition 5.4 (see [2, Proposition 3.3.18]). Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay positively graded ring. We say that R is generically Gorenstein if $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein for all minimal prime ideals \mathfrak{p} .

Proposition 5.5. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring with $\dim R > 0$. Let I be a graded \mathfrak{m}_R -primary ideal generated by a subset of R_1 and put $\mathfrak{n} = (R_1)R$. If $\text{tr}(\omega_R) \supset I$, then we have $\text{tr}_{R^{(k)}}(\omega_{R^{(k)}}) \supset (\mathfrak{n}^{k-1}I)^{(k)}$ for any $k > 0$.*

Proof. Since $\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)} \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$, R is generically Gorenstein because $\dim R > 0$. Thus, ω_R is isomorphic to a graded fractional ideal of R . For any $k > 0$, since $\omega_{R^{(k)}} \cong (\omega_R)^{(k)}$ (see [8, Corollary (3.1.3)]) and by Proposition 5.3, we have $\mathrm{tr}_{R^{(k)}}(\omega_{R^{(k)}}) \supset (\mathfrak{n}^{k-1}I)^{(k)}$. \square

Next, we show one of our main results. Theorem 5.6 (2) (b) is a generalization of [11, Corollary 4.7].

Theorem 5.6 (Theorem B). *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring satisfying (\natural) .*

- (1) $R^{(k)}$ satisfies (\natural) for any $k > 0$.
- (2) Assume R is standard graded with $\dim R > 0$. Then the following is true:
 - (a) If R satisfies (\natural) , then $R^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k > \alpha(R/\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1R)$;
 - (b) If R is nearly Gorenstein, then $R^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k > 0$.

Proof. (1) It is clear that R satisfies (\natural) if $\dim R = 0$, so we may assume that $\dim R > 0$. Put $\mathfrak{n} = (R_1)R$. Take any $k > 0$. By Proposition 5.5, we have $\mathrm{tr}_{R^{(k)}}(\omega_{R^{(k)}}) \supset (\mathfrak{n}^{k-1}I)^{(k)}$, where $I = \mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1R$. Thus $\mathfrak{n}^{k-1}I$ is an \mathfrak{m}_R -primary ideal since both of I and \mathfrak{n}^{k-1} are \mathfrak{m}_R -primary ideal. Thus $(\mathfrak{n}^{k-1}I)^{(k)}$ is an $\mathfrak{m}^{(k)}$ -primary ideal of $R^{(k)}$ generated by a subset of $(R^{(k)})_1$, so $R^{(k)}$ satisfies (\natural) .

(2) We show (a). Put $I = \mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1R$. Notice that $\alpha(R/I) = s(R/I)$ and $I \supset \mathfrak{m}_R^{s(R/I)+1}$. Take any $k > s(R/I)$. Since R is standard graded and I is a \mathfrak{m}_R -primary ideal generated by subset of R_1 , we have

$$\mathrm{tr}_{R^{(k)}}(\omega_{R^{(k)}}) \supset (\mathfrak{m}_R^{k-1}I)^{(k)} = I^{(k)} \supset (\mathfrak{m}_R^{s(R/I)+1})^{(k)} = \mathfrak{m}^{(k)}$$

by Proposition 5.5, so $R^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein. Lastly, we show (b). if R is nearly Gorenstein, we have $\alpha(R/\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1R) = \alpha(R/\mathfrak{m}_R) = 0$. Thus it follows from Theorem 5.6 (2) (a). \square

Example 5.7. Let us consider Example 3.3 again. Recall that R is not nearly Gorenstein, but does satisfy (\natural) . Moreover, we can check that $\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1R \supset \mathfrak{m}^2$ by using Macaulay2 ([9]), so we have $s(R/\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)_1R) = 1$. Thus $R^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k > 1$ by Theorem 5.6 (2) (a).

Remark 5.8. There are some remarks about Theorem 5.6.

- (a) Theorem 5.6 (2) (a) fails if we replace property (\natural) with Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum. Indeed, there exists a Cohen–Macaulay standard graded affine semigroup ring A which is Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum but $A^{(k)}$ does not satisfy (\natural) for any $k > 0$.
- (b) Theorem 5.6 (2) (b) fails if we consider semi-standard graded rings. Indeed, there exists a nearly Gorenstein semi-standard graded affine semigroup ring B such that $B^{(2)}$ is not nearly Gorenstein.

Proof. (a) Define the affine semigroup S as follows:

$$S = \langle (0, 0, 1), (2, 2, 3), (4, 2, 3), (3, 3, 4), (4, 3, 4) \rangle.$$

Thus $A = \mathbb{Q}[S] = \mathbb{Q}[u, s^2t^2u^3, s^4t^2u^3, s^3t^3u^4, s^4t^3u^4]$ is standard graded affine semigroup ring with $\deg u = \deg s^2t^2u^3 = \deg s^4t^2u^3 = \deg s^3t^3u^4 = \deg s^4t^3u^4 = 1$ because every generator of S lies on the affine hyperplane defined by $y = z - 1$ which does not include the origin. Then we can check A is 3-dimensional Cohen–Macaulay standard graded affine semigroup ring with $\alpha(A) = 0$ and $h_{s(A)} = 1$ by using Macaulay2 ([9]). Moreover, we can check

$$\mathrm{tr}_A(\omega_A) = (u, s^2t^2u^3, s^4t^2u^3, (s^3t^3u^4)^2, (s^4t^3u^4)^2)A$$

by [Theorem 2.10](#) and `Macaulay2` ([9]). Thus A is not Gorenstein but Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum (see [Remark 2.4](#)) (2). Therefore, for any $k > 0$, $A^{(k)}$ is non-Gorenstein and Cohen–Macaulay standard graded affine semigroup ring with $h_{s(A^{(k)})} = 1$ [8, Corollary 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.2.1]. Thus $A^{(k)}$ does not satisfy (†) by [Corollary 4.5](#).

(b) Define the affine semigroup S' as follows:

$$S' = \langle (0, 1), (3, 1), (6, 1), (9, 1), (1, 2), (4, 2) \rangle.$$

Thus $B = \mathbb{Q}[S']$ is nearly Gorenstein semi-standard graded affine semigroup ring whose degree is given by $\deg t = \deg s^3 t = \deg s^6 t = \deg s^9 t = 1$ and $\deg st^2 = \deg s^4 t^2 = 2$ (see [19, Example 3.8 (a)]). However, $B^{(2)} \cong \mathbb{Q}[t, st, s^3 t, s^4 t, s^6 t, s^9 t, s^{12} t, s^{15} t, s^{18} t]$ is not nearly Gorenstein. Indeed, we can check

$$\mathrm{tr}_{B^{(2)}}(\omega_{B^{(2)}}) = (t, s^3 t, s^6 t, s^9 t, s^{12} t, s^{15} t, s^{18} t)B^{(2)} + (st, s^4 t)^2 B^{(2)}$$

by [Theorem 2.10](#) and `Macaulay2` ([9]). Thus $B^{(2)}$ is not nearly Gorenstein because $st \notin \mathrm{tr}_{B^{(2)}}(\omega_{B^{(2)}})$. \square

Definition 5.9. Let R be a semi-standard graded ring and M a positively graded R -module. Let $\mathrm{rt}_R(M)$ be the maximum degree of the minimal generating system of M . $R^{(n)} = \mathbb{k}[R_n]$ for any $n \geq \mathrm{rt}_{\mathbb{k}[R_1]}(R)$ (see [1, Chapter III(*), Section 3, Lemma 2 (ii)] or [24, Lemma 3.1]).

Remark 5.10. Let R be a semi-standard graded ring and let M a finitely generated graded R -module. For all $n \geq \mathrm{rt}_{\mathbb{k}[R_1]}(R)$ and $k \geq 0$, we have $M_{n+k} = R_k M_n$.

Proof. For $M = R$, this is a special case of [1, Chapter III(*), Section 3, Lemma 2 (i)]. For an arbitrary R -module M , we use induction on k . For $k = 1$, it follows from [1, Chapter III(*), Section 3, Lemma 1]. Assume it holds for k and prove it for $k + 1$. By the induction hypothesis and noting it holds for $M = R$, we have $M_{n+(k+1)} = M^{(n+k)+1} = R_1 M_{n+k} = R_1 R_k M_n = R_{k+1} M_n$. Thus, it holds for $k + 1$. \square

Remark 5.11. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring with $\dim R > 0$. If R satisfies (†), then $R^{(K)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for some $K > 0$.

Proof. Note that there exists $r > 0$ such that $R^{(r)} = \mathbb{k}[R_r]$ (e.g., $r = \mathrm{rt}_{\mathbb{k}[R_1]}(R)$). Since $R^{(r)}$ is standard graded, by [Theorem 5.6](#) (2) (a), $R^{(kr)} = (R^{(r)})^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k > \alpha(R^{(r)})/\mathrm{tr}_{R^{(r)}}(\omega_{R^{(r)}})$. Therefore, if we take $K = (\alpha(R^{(r)})/\mathrm{tr}_{R^{(r)}}(\omega_{R^{(r)}}) + 1)r$, then $R^{(K)}$ is nearly Gorenstein. \square

Question 5.12. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring with $\dim R > 0$. If R satisfies (†), then is there any $K > 0$ such that $R^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k > K$? Specifically, does the analogy of [Theorem 5.6](#) (2) (a) hold in the case of semi-standard grading?

In the remainder of this section, we will prove [Question 5.12](#) holds if R is either a domain or a level ring, with the necessary preparations. Specifically, we will prove [Theorem C](#). To prove [Theorem C](#), we will discuss the following setup:

Setup 5.13. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring with $\dim R > 0$, and assume that R is generically Gorenstein. Since ω_R can be identified with a graded fractional ideal, we will treat it as such in $Q(R)$. Additionally, assume there exists a homogeneous R -regular element in $(\omega_R)_{-\alpha(R)}$.

This setup deals with cases that are more general than domains, as the following shows.

Remark 5.14. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring with $\dim R > 0$. If R is a domain or generically Gorenstein and a level ring, then R satisfies the conditions of [Setup 5.13](#).

Proof. Note that every domain is generically Gorenstein, so the statement is straightforward when R is a domain. Moreover, if R is generically Gorenstein and level, then the statement follows because the proof for standard graded rings in [[2](#), Theorem 4.4.9] can be extended to semi-standard graded rings. \square

The following is a technical reason why the [Setup 5.13](#) is significant.

Proposition 5.15. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring with $\dim R > 0$.*

- (1) *Let $G(\omega_R) = \left\{ \frac{a_1}{b_1}, \frac{a_2}{b_2}, \dots, \frac{a_l}{b_l} \right\} \subset Q(R)$ be a minimal generating system of ω_R as an R -module, where each $a_i \in R$ is a homogeneous non-zero divisor for any $1 \leq i \leq l$ and let $I = \text{tr}(\omega_R)$. Set*

$$G_{\min}(\omega_R) = \{f \in G(\omega_R) : \deg f = -a(R)\}.$$

Assume that $h \in (\text{tr}_R(\omega_R))_{\text{indeg}(I)}$. Then we can write $h = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i g_i$, where $f_i \in G_{\min}(\omega_R)$ and $g_i \in \omega_R^{-1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$.

- (2) *Assume [Setup 5.13](#). Then we can choose a minimal generating system $\left\{ \frac{a_1}{b_1}, \frac{a_2}{b_2}, \dots, \frac{a_l}{b_l} \right\} \subset Q(R)$ of ω_R as an R -module, where each $a_i \in R$ is a homogeneous non-zero divisor for any $1 \leq i \leq l$.*

Proof. (2) follows from [Lemma 2.9](#). We show (1). We can write $G(\omega_R) = \{f_1, \dots, f_l\}$ and $G_{\min}(\omega_R) = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$, where $1 \leq k \leq l$. Since $h \in \omega_R \cdot \omega_R^{-1}$ by [Lemma 2.6](#), we can express h as $h = \sum_{i=1}^l f_i g_i$, where $g_i \in \omega_R^{-1}$. It suffices to show that $g_i = 0$ for any $f_i \notin G_{\min}(\omega_R)$.

Assume that $g_i \neq 0$ for some $f_i \notin G_{\min}(\omega_R)$. Note that $\deg f_i g_i = \deg h = \text{indeg}(I)$. Moreover, since $g_i \in \omega_R^{-1}$, we have $f_i g_i \in R$. Given that

$$\deg f_i g_i = \deg f_i + \deg g_i < \deg f_i + \deg g_i = \deg f_i g_i = \text{indeg}(I)$$

because $f_i \in G_{\min}(\omega_R)$ and $f_i \notin G_{\min}(\omega_R)$, it follows that $f_i g_i = 0$. Since g_i is R -regular, we have $f_i = 0$. This yields a contradiction because $\omega_R \neq 0$. \square

Remark 5.16. Assume [Setup 5.13](#). Let $\{f_i : 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ denote the minimal generating system of ω_R as an R -module and let $\{\theta_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ denote the minimal generating system of R as an $\mathbb{k}[R_1]$ -module. Then $\{f_i \theta_j : 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t\}$ is a generating system of ω_R as $\mathbb{k}[R_1]$ -module.

The following is a key to the proof of [Theorem C](#).

Proposition 5.17. *Assume [Setup 5.13](#). Take any $K \geq \max\{|\alpha(R)|, \text{rt}_R(\omega_R), \text{rt}_{\mathbb{k}[R_1]}(R)\}$. If $R^{(K)}$ is nearly Gorenstein, then $R^{(i)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $i \geq K$.*

Proof. We may assume \mathbb{k} is infinite by [Remark 2.4](#) (5). We prove it by induction on $i \geq K$. It holds for $i = K$. Assume $R^{(i)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for some $i \geq K$, and show $R^{(i+1)}$ is nearly Gorenstein. Note that $R^{(i)}$ and $R^{(i+1)}$ are standard graded because $i \geq \text{rt}_{\mathbb{k}[R_1]}(R)$. Furthermore, by [Proposition 5.15](#) (1), in the following discussion, we may assume that all generating system of ω_R are R -regular elements.

- First, consider the case when $\alpha(R) < 0$. In this case, $(\omega_R)^{(i)} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} (\omega_R)_{ij}$. Since $\omega_{R^{(i)}} \cong (\omega_R)^{(i)}$ by [[8](#), Corollary (3.1.3)], it follows that $\omega_{R^{(i)}} \cong \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} (\omega_R)_{ij}$ with no shift in degree.

Moreover, since $i \geq \text{rt}_R(\omega_R)$, we can verify that $\bigoplus_{j \geq 1} (\omega_R)_{ij}$ is generated by $(\omega_R)_i$ as an $R^{(i)}$ -module by [Remark 5.16](#). Similarly, $\omega_{R^{(i+1)}} \cong \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} (\omega_R)^{(i+1)j}$ is generated by $(\omega_R)_{i+1}$ as an $R^{(i+1)}$ -module. Note that $(\omega_R)_{i+1} = R_1(\omega_R)_i$ by [Remark 5.10](#).

We now demonstrate that $R^{(i+1)}$ is nearly Gorenstein. Recall that $\mathfrak{m}_{R^{(i+1)}} = R_{i+1}R^{(i+1)}$. Take any $y \in R_{i+1}$. Since $R_{i+1} = R_1R_i$ by [Remark 5.10](#), we can write $y = xy'$ with $y' \in R_i$ and $x \in R_1$.

– Consider the case when $R^{(i)}$ is not Gorenstein. Note that $\text{indeg}(\text{tr}_{R^{(i)}}(\omega_{R^{(i)}})) = 1$. Since $y' \in \mathfrak{m}_{R^{(i)}} = \omega_{R^{(i)}} \cdot \omega_{R^{(i)}}^{-1}$, we have $y' = \sum_{j=1}^l f_j g_j$, where $f_j \in (\omega_{R^{(i)}})_1$ and $g_j \in (\omega_{R^{(i)}}^{-1})_0$ for any $1 \leq j \leq l$ by [Proposition 5.15 \(2\)](#).

Furthermore, we can verify that $g_j \in \omega_{R^{(i+1)}}^{-1}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq l$. To show this, it suffices to demonstrate that $g_j(\omega_R)_{i+1} \subset R$, since $\omega_{R^{(i+1)}}$ is generated by $(\omega_R)_{i+1}$. Take any $y \in (\omega_R)_{i+1}$. Since $(\omega_R)_{i+1} = R_1(\omega_R)_i$, we can write $y = ry'$, where $r \in R_1$ and $y' \in (\omega_R)_i$. Then $g_j y = r(g_j y') \in R$, so we have $g_j \in \omega_{R^{(i+1)}}^{-1}$. Therefore, we obtain $y = \sum_{j=1}^l (x f_j) g_j \in \omega_{R^{(i+1)}} \cdot \omega_{R^{(i+1)}}^{-1}$. Thus, $R^{(i+1)}$ is nearly Gorenstein.

– Now consider the case when $R^{(i)}$ is Gorenstein. Note that $\text{indeg}(\text{tr}_{R^{(i)}}(\omega_{R^{(i)}})) = 0$. Since $1 \in R^{(i)} = \omega_{R^{(i)}} \cdot \omega_{R^{(i)}}^{-1}$, we have $1 = \sum_{j=1}^l f_j g_j$, where $f_j \in (\omega_{R^{(i)}})_1$ and $g_j \in (\omega_{R^{(i)}}^{-1})_{-1}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq l$ by [Proposition 5.15 \(2\)](#). Then we can check that $y = \sum_{j=1}^l (x f_j)(y' g_j) \in \omega_{R^{(i+1)}} \cdot \omega_{R^{(i+1)}}^{-1}$, so $R^{(i+1)}$ is nearly Gorenstein.

- Next, consider the case of $\alpha(R) \geq 0$. Similarly, $\omega_{R^{(i)}} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} (\omega_R)_{ij}$ is generated by $(\omega_R)_0$ and $(\omega_R)_i$ as an $R^{(i)}$ -module. Likewise, $\omega_{R^{(i+1)}} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} (\omega_R)^{(i+1)j}$ is generated by $(\omega_R)_0$ and $(\omega_R)_{i+1}$ as an $R^{(i+1)}$ -module. Note that $(\omega_R)_0$ contains an R -regular element because $(\omega_R)_0 \supset z^{\alpha(R)}(\omega_R)_{-\alpha(R)}$, where z is a non-zero divisor of R_1 . We show that $R^{(i+1)}$ is nearly Gorenstein.

Take any $y \in R_{i+1}$. We can write $y = xy'$, where $y' \in R_i$ and $x \in R_1$.

– Consider the case when $R^{(i)}$ is not Gorenstein. Since $y' \in \mathfrak{m}_{R^{(i)}} = \omega_{R^{(i)}} \cdot \omega_{R^{(i)}}^{-1}$, we have $y' = \sum_{j=1}^l f_j g_j$ with $f_j \in (\omega_{R^{(i)}})_0$ and $g_j \in (\omega_{R^{(i)}}^{-1})_1$ by [Proposition 5.15 \(2\)](#). Moreover, we can check $x g_j \in \omega_{R^{(i+1)}}^{-1}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq l$.

To show this, it suffices to show that $x g_j(\omega_R)_0 \subset R$ and $x g_j(\omega_R)_{i+1} \subset R$ since $\omega_{R^{(i+1)}}$ is generated by $(\omega_R)_0$ and $(\omega_R)_{i+1}$. First, consider $x g_j(\omega_R)_0 \subset R$. Take any $y \in (\omega_R)_0$. Note that $(\omega_{R^{(i)}})_0 = (\omega_{R^{(i+1)}})_0 = (\omega_R)_0$. Since $g_j \in \omega_{R^{(i)}}^{-1}$, we have $g_j y \in R$, so $(x g_j) y \in R$. Thus, $x g_j(\omega_R)_0 \subset R$. Next, consider $x g_j(\omega_R)_{i+1} \subset R$. Take any $y \in (\omega_R)_{i+1}$. Since $(\omega_R)_{i+1} = R_1(\omega_R)_i$, we can write $y = ry'$, where $r \in R_1$ and $y' \in (\omega_R)_i$. Since $g_j \in \omega_{R^{(i)}}^{-1}$, we have $g_j y' \in R$. Then $(x g_j) y = x r (g_j y') \in R$, so $x g_j(\omega_R)_{i+1} \subset R$. Thus, we have $x g_j \in \omega_{R^{(i+1)}}^{-1}$ and $y = f(x g_j) \in \omega_{R^{(i+1)}} \cdot \omega_{R^{(i+1)}}^{-1}$. Therefore, $R^{(i+1)}$ is nearly Gorenstein.

– Consider the case when $R^{(i)}$ is Gorenstein. Since $1 \in R^{(i)} = \omega_{R^{(i)}} \cdot \omega_{R^{(i)}}^{-1}$, we have $1 = \sum_{j=1}^l f_j g_j$, where $f_j \in (\omega_{R^{(i)}})_0$ and $g_j \in (\omega_{R^{(i)}}^{-1})_0$ for any $1 \leq j \leq l$ by [Proposition 5.15 \(2\)](#). Then we see that $y = \sum_{j=1}^l (y f_j) g_j \in \omega_{R^{(i+1)}} \cdot \omega_{R^{(i+1)}}^{-1}$ so $R^{(i+1)}$ is nearly Gorenstein.

Based on the above, we have demonstrated that $R^{(i+1)}$ is nearly Gorenstein in all cases. Consequently, by induction, the claim follows. \square

Theorem 5.18. *Assume [Setup 5.13](#). If R satisfies condition (\natural) , then there exists $k_R \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $R^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k \geq k_R$.*

Proof. Put $\mathfrak{b} = \text{rt}_{\lfloor \mathfrak{R}_1 \rfloor}(\mathfrak{R})$. Let $j_{\mathfrak{R}}$ denote the minimum integer $j > 0$ such that

$$\mathfrak{b}j \geq \max \left\{ |\alpha(\mathfrak{R})|, \text{rt}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}), \left(\alpha \left(\mathfrak{R}^{(\mathfrak{b})} / \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{R}^{(\mathfrak{b})}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{R}^{(\mathfrak{b})}}) \right) + 1 \right) \mathfrak{b} \right\}$$

and put $k_{\mathfrak{R}} = \mathfrak{b}j_{\mathfrak{R}}$. Since $j_{\mathfrak{R}} \geq \alpha \left(\mathfrak{R}^{(\mathfrak{b})} / \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{R}^{(\mathfrak{b})}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{R}^{(\mathfrak{b})}}) \right) + 1$, we have $\mathfrak{R}^{(k_{\mathfrak{R}})} = (\mathfrak{R}^{(\mathfrak{b})})^{(j_{\mathfrak{R}})}$ is nearly Gorenstein by [Theorem 5.6](#) (2) (a). Thus, since $k_{\mathfrak{R}} \geq \max\{|\alpha(\mathfrak{R})|, \text{rt}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{R}})\}$ and $\mathfrak{R}^{(k_{\mathfrak{R}})}$ is nearly Gorenstein, we get $\mathfrak{R}^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k \geq k_{\mathfrak{R}}$ by [Proposition 5.17](#). \square

With the above preparations in place, we will now prove the final main result of this section.

Corollary 5.19 ([Theorem C](#)). *Let \mathfrak{R} be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring that is either domain or level. If \mathfrak{R} satisfies (\natural) , there exists $k_{\mathfrak{R}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\mathfrak{R}^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k \geq k_{\mathfrak{R}}$.*

Proof. When $\dim \mathfrak{R} = 0$, we see that $\mathfrak{R}^{(k)} = 0$ for any $k > s(\mathfrak{R})$, so it suffices to prove the assertion for $\dim \mathfrak{R} > 0$. Then \mathfrak{R} is generically Gorenstein since \mathfrak{R} satisfies (\natural) with $\dim \mathfrak{R} > 0$. Therefore, since \mathfrak{R} satisfies the condition of [Setup 5.13](#) by [Remark 5.14](#), the statement follows from [Theorem 5.18](#). \square

Corollary 5.20 ([\[10, Theorem 3.8\]](#)). *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope satisfying $P = [P] + \{P\}$. Then there exists some integer $K > 0$ such that $A(kP)$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k \geq K$.*

Proof. Since $A(kP) \cong A(P)^{(k)}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, it follows from [Theorem A](#) (2) and [Corollary 5.19](#). \square

Example 5.21. Consider [Corollary 5.19](#) with the following concrete example. Define the affine semigroup S as follows:

$$S = \langle (0, 1), (3, 1), (6, 1), (9, 1), (2, 10) \rangle.$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{R} = \mathbb{Q}[S]$ is a semi-standard graded affine semigroup ring with degrees $\deg t = \deg s^3 t = \deg s^6 t = \deg s^9 t = 1$ and $\deg s^2 t^{10} = 10$. Notice that $\text{rt}_{\lfloor \mathfrak{R}_1 \rfloor}(\mathfrak{R}) = 10$. Using `Macaulay2` ([\[9\]](#)), we can check that \mathfrak{R} is level and satisfies (\natural) , $|\alpha(\mathfrak{R})| = \text{rt}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}) = 19$, and $s(\mathfrak{R}^{(10)} / \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{R}^{(10)}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{R}^{(10)}})) = 2$. Thus, $\mathfrak{R}^{(k)}$ is nearly Gorenstein for any $k \geq 190$ by [Theorem 5.6](#) (2) (a) and [Proposition 5.17](#).

6. A CLASS WHOSE NEARLY GORENSTEINNESS IS EQUIVALENT TO THE PROPERTY (\natural)

In this section, we will demonstrate that nearly Gorenstein properties and property (\natural) are equivalent for both Ehrhart rings arising from IDP $(0, 1)$ -polytopes and rings which have minimal multiplicity.

6.1. Ehrhart rings arising from IDP $(0, 1)$ -polytopes. First, in this subsection, we will prove that nearly Gorenstein properties and property (\natural) are equivalent for Ehrhart rings arising from IDP $(0, 1)$ -polytopes.

Definition 6.1 (see [\[10, Section 3\]](#)). Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope. P is called (*Minkowski*) *indecomposable* if P is not a singleton and if there exist lattice polytopes P_1 and P_2 with $P = P_1 + P_2$, then either P_1 or P_2 is a singleton.

Proposition 6.2. *Let P be an indecomposable lattice polytope. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $A(P)$ satisfies (\natural) ;
- (2) $A(P)$ is nearly Gorenstein;
- (3) $A(P)$ is Gorenstein.

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1) is clear, so we show (1) \Rightarrow (3). By considering a field $\mathbb{k}(x)$, we may assume that \mathbb{k} is an infinite field by [Remark 2.4](#) (5) and [[2](#), Corollary 3.3.15]. Thus we have $P = [P] + \{P\}$ by [Theorem A](#). Then, using the same proof as in [[10](#), Theorem 32], we can conclude that R is Gorenstein. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let P_1, \dots, P_s be lattice polytope and let $P = P_1 \times \dots \times P_s$. Assume that $A(P)_0 = \mathbb{k}$ is an infinite field. If $A(P)$ satisfies (\natural) , then $A(P_i)$ does so for all $1 \leq i \leq s$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the case $s = 2$. In this case, by using [Proposition 2.12](#), we get $\omega_{A(P)} \cong \omega_{A(P_1)} \# \omega_{A(P_2)}$ and $\omega_{A(P)}^{-1} \cong \omega_{A(P_1)}^{-1} \# \omega_{A(P_2)}^{-1}$. Then we may identify $\omega_{A(P)}$ and $\omega_{A(P)}^{-1}$ with $\omega_{A(P_1)} \# \omega_{A(P_2)}$ and $\omega_{A(P_1)}^{-1} \# \omega_{A(P_2)}^{-1}$, respectively. It is enough to show that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \in \text{tr}(\omega_{A(P_1)})$ for any $\mathbf{v} \in \text{vert}(P_1)$. Notice that there exists some $\mathbf{v}_2 \in \text{vert}(P_2)$. Since $P = [P] + \{P\}$ and $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v}_2 \in \text{vert}(P)$, there exist homogeneous elements $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \# \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{v}_2} \in \omega_{A(P_1)} \# \omega_{A(P_2)}$ and $\mathbf{u}_1 \# \mathbf{u}_2 \in \omega_{A(P_1)}^{-1} \# \omega_{A(P_2)}^{-1}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \# \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{v}_2} = (\mathbf{v}_1 \# \mathbf{v}_2)(\mathbf{u}_1 \# \mathbf{u}_2) = (\mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 \# \mathbf{v}_2 \mathbf{u}_2)$, by [[21](#), Proposition 4.2]. Thus, we get $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 \in \text{tr}(\omega_{A(P_1)})$, so $A(P_1)$ satisfies (\natural) . In the same way as above, we can show that $A(P_2)$ also satisfies (\natural) . \square

Theorem 6.4 (Theorem D). *Let P be an $(0, 1)$ -polytope which has IDP. Then $A(P)$ satisfies (\natural) if and only if $A(P)$ is nearly Gorenstein.*

Proof. By considering a field $\mathbb{k}(x)$, we may assume that \mathbb{k} is an infinite field by [Remark 2.4](#) (5) and [Remark 3.2](#) (3). It follows from Lemma [[10](#), Lemma 33] that we can write $P = P_1 \times \dots \times P_s$ for some indecomposable $(0, 1)$ -polytopes P_1, \dots, P_s . Thus, we have $\mathbb{k}[P] \cong \mathbb{k}[P_1] \# \dots \# \mathbb{k}[P_s]$. Notice that if P is IDP, then so is P_i for each $i \in [s]$, and $A(P)$ (resp. $A(P_i)$) coincides with $\mathbb{k}[P]$ (resp. $\mathbb{k}[P_i]$). Therefore, since $\mathbb{k}[P]$ satisfies (\natural) , and hence $\mathbb{k}[P_i]$ also satisfies (\natural) from [Lemma 6.3](#). Since P_i is indecomposable, P_i is Gorenstein by [Proposition 6.2](#). Moreover, it follows from [[12](#), Corollary 2.8] that $|\alpha_{P_i} - \alpha_{P_j}| \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq s$. Therefore, $A(P)$ is nearly Gorenstein from [[10](#), Theorem 34]. \square

Remark 6.5. The characterization of nearly Gorensteinness of Ehrhart rings arising from IDP $(0, 1)$ -polytopes is known in [[10](#), Theorem 34].

6.2. Rings which have minimal multiplicity. In this subsection, we prove that being nearly Gorenstein is equivalent to satisfying property (\natural) for a ring which have minimal multiplicity.

Definition 6.6. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq \mathbb{N}} R_i$ be a Cohen–Macaulay positively graded ring. R is said to have minimal multiplicity when $e(R) = \text{embdim} R - \dim R + 1$.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring with an infinite field $R_0 = \mathbb{k}$. If $\mathfrak{m}_R^2 = 0$, then R is nearly Gorenstein.*

Proof. Since R has minimal multiplicity with $\dim R = 0$, ω_R has a homogeneous minimal generating system $V = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$ as R -module, where $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in Q(R)_{-1}$ and $n = \text{embdim} R$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \omega_R = n + 1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_R \omega_R \neq 0$, there exists a homogeneous element $\theta_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{m}_R \omega_R \cap R_0$ such that $V \cup \{\theta_{n+1}\}$ is a \mathbb{k} -basis of ω_R . Then we can define a graded \mathbb{k} -homomorphism $f : \omega_R \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_R$ as $f(\theta_i) = x_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $f(\theta_{n+1}) = 0$. Notice that $f(\omega_R) \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$. Moreover, we can regard f as R -homomorphism because $\mathfrak{m}_R f(R) = f(\mathfrak{m}_R R) = 0$. Therefore $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R) \supset f(\omega_R) \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$, as desired. \square

Theorem 6.8 (Theorem E). *Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a Cohen–Macaulay semi-standard graded ring. Assume that R has minimal multiplicity. Then R satisfies (\natural) if and only if R is nearly Gorenstein.*

Proof. Assume that R satisfies (†). By considering a field $\mathbb{k}(\mathbf{x})$, we may assume that \mathbb{k} is infinite by Remark 2.4 (5) and Remark 3.2 (3). Since $R_0 = \mathbb{k}$ is infinite, there exists a linear system of parameters $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d \in \text{tr}_R(\omega_R)$ by Remark 3.2 (2), where $d = \dim R$. Note that R is nearly Gorenstein if and only if R admits a homogeneous R -regular sequence $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_t$ in $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)$ such that $R/(\mathbf{x})$ is nearly Gorenstein, as established in [5, Theorem 1.2] and Remark 2.4 (3). Thus, R is nearly Gorenstein if and only if $R' = R/(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$ is nearly Gorenstein, so it suffices to show that R' is nearly Gorenstein. Since R has minimal multiplicity and $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d \in R_1$, R' also has minimal multiplicity. Given that \mathbb{k} is infinite and R' has minimal multiplicity, we have $m_{R'}^2 = 0$, which implies that R' is nearly Gorenstein by Lemma 6.7. \square

Remark 6.9. Let $A = \mathbb{Q}[x_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 4]$ be a polynomial ring and let $I_2(X)$ be the ideal generated by 2-minors of X , where $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} & x_{1,4} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} & x_{2,3} & x_{2,4} \end{bmatrix}$. Then $R = A/I_2(X)$ has a minimal multiplicity because we can check $s(R) = 1$ by using Macaulay2 ([9]). Moreover, it is known that $\text{tr}(\omega_R) = m_R^2$ (see [6, Theorem 1.1]), so R is Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum. Thus Theorem 6.8 does not hold if we substitute (†) into Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank his supervisor Akihiro Higashitani for giving helpful discussions. He also thanks Koji Matsushita and Shinya Kumashiro for their valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Commutative algebra: chapters 1-7*, volume 1. Springer Science & Business Media, 1998. 14
- [2] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog. *Cohen–Macaulay rings*. Number 39. Cambridge university press, 1998. 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 18
- [3] Alessio Caminata and Francesco Strazzanti. Nearly Gorenstein cyclic quotient singularities. *Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie/Contributions to Algebra and Geometry*, 62(4):857–870, 2021. 1
- [4] Ela Celikbas, Jürgen Herzog, and Shinya Kumashiro. Traces of semi-invariants. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00983*, 2023. 1
- [5] Hailong Dao, Toshinori Kobayashi, and Ryo Takahashi. Trace ideals of canonical modules, annihilators of Ext modules, and classes of rings close to being Gorenstein. *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra*, 225(9):106655, 2021. 1, 19
- [6] Antonino Ficarra, Jürgen Herzog, Dumitru I. Stamate, and Vijaylaxmi Trivedi. The canonical trace of determinantal rings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.00393*, 2022. 1, 19
- [7] Antonino Ficarra. The canonical trace of Cohen–Macaulay algebras of codimension 2. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07517*, 2024. 1
- [8] Shiro Goto and Keiichi Watanabe. On graded rings, I. *J. the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 30(2):179–213, 1978. 13, 14, 15
- [9] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman. Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at <http://www2.macaulay2.com>. 8, 13, 14, 17, 19
- [10] Thomas Hall, Max Kölbl, Koji Matsushita, and Sora Miyashita. Nearly Gorenstein polytopes. *Electron. J. Comb.* 30 (4), 2023. 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 17, 18
- [11] Jürgen Herzog, Takayuki Hibi, and Dumitru I. Stamate. The trace of the canonical module. *Israel J. Mathematics*, 233:133–165, 2019. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 13
- [12] Jürgen Herzog, Fatemeh Mohammadi, and Janet Page. Measuring the non-Gorenstein locus of hibi rings and normal affine semigroup rings. *J. Algebra*, 540:78–99, 2019. 1, 7, 18
- [13] Takayuki Hibi and Dumitru I. Stamate. Nearly Gorenstein rings arising from finite graphs. *The Electron. J. Comb.*, pages P3–28, 2021. 1

- [14] Akihiro Higashitani and Kohji Yanagawa. Non-level semi-standard graded Cohen–Macaulay domain with h-vector (h_0, h_1, h_2) . *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra*, 222(1):191–201, 2018. [2](#)
- [15] Shinya Kumashiro, Naoyuki Matsuoka, and Taiga Nakashima. Nearly Gorenstein local rings defined by maximal minors of a $2 \times n$ matrix. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.04234*, (2023). [1](#)
- [16] Haydee Lindo. Trace ideals and centers of endomorphism rings of modules over commutative rings. *J. Algebra*, 482:102–130, 2017. [4](#)
- [17] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*. Number 8. Cambridge university press, 1989. [5](#)
- [18] Ezra Miller and Bernd Sturmfels. *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, volume 227. Springer Science & Business Media, 2005. [6](#)
- [19] Sora Miyashita. Comparing generalized Gorenstein properties in semi-standard graded rings. *J. Algebra*, 647 (2024): 823–843. [1](#), [2](#), [9](#), [10](#), [14](#)
- [20] Sora Miyashita. Nearly Gorenstein projective monomial curves of small codimension. *J. Comm. Algebra*, Volume 16 (2024), No. 2, 231–243. [1](#)
- [21] Sora Miyashita. Levelness versus nearly Gorensteinness of homogeneous domains. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 228(4), 107553 (2024). [1](#), [18](#)
- [22] Mitsuhiro Miyazaki. Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum and nearly Gorenstein property of the Ehrhart ring of the stable set polytope of an h-perfect graph. *International Electron. J. Algebra*, 2021. [1](#), [3](#)
- [23] Alessio Moscariello and Francesco Strazzanti. Nearly Gorenstein vs almost Gorenstein affine monomial curves. *Mediterranean J. Mathematics*, 18(4):127, (2021). [1](#)
- [24] Kazuma Shimomoto. Hyperplane sections of non-standard graded rings. *Communications in Algebra*, pages 1–7, 2024. [14](#)
- [25] Pranjal Srivastava. On nearly Gorenstein simplicial semigroup algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00619*, 2023. [1](#)
- [26] Richard P. Stanley. Hilbert functions of graded algebras*. *Advances in mathematics*, 28, 57–83, 1978. [1](#)
- [27] Richard P. Stanley. On the Hilbert function of a graded Cohen–Macaulay domain. *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra*, 73(3):307–314, 1991. [1](#)
- [28] R. P. Stanley. *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra*, volume 41. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007. [5](#)

(Miyashita) DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, SUITA, OSAKA 565-0871, JAPAN

Email address: u804642k@ecs.osaka-u.ac.jp