# ON THE PROPER INCLUSION PROPERTY OF (DISCRETE) MORREY SPACES 

YOHANES IMANUEL RUNTUNUWU, JANNY LINDIARNI, AND HENDRA GUNAWAN


#### Abstract

In this paper, we construct a function which confirms the proper inclusion property of Morrey spaces, by using a relation between a class of functions in Morrey spaces and discrete Morrey spaces. Our particular function is simpler than those constructed by Gunawan et al. in (1) 2].


## 1. Introduction

Morrey spaces were first introduced by C.B. Morrey in 1938 in the study of the solution to an elliptic partial differential equation [5]. For $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$, the Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}=\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ consists of all functions $f \in L_{l o c}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}}:=\sup _{a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, r>0}|B(a, r)|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{B(a, r)}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty .
$$

As shown in [7, Morrey spaces satisfy the inclusion property: for $1 \leq p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq q<\infty$, we have $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}}$, with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}}} \leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}}$ whenever $f \in \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}$.

In [1], the inclusion property is shown to be proper for $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2} \leq q<\infty$, by constructing a function $f$ belonging to $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}}$ which is not in $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}$. However, the function is constructed in a subtle way, by modifying the properties of power functions. For $d=1$, a different function which confirms the proper inclusion property of Morrey spaces may be obtained indirectly via the proper inclusion property of discrete Morrey spaces, which we shall discuss later.

In this paper, we shall confirm that, for $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2} \leq q<\infty$, the inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}}(\mathbb{R})$ is proper by constructing a simpler function belonging to $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}(\mathbb{R})$. We achieve this by using relation between a class of functions in Morrey spaces and discrete Morrey spaces.

Note that throughout the paper, the letters $C$ and $C_{p, q}$ denote some constants, which may have different values from line to line.

## 2. Recent Results

For $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$, the discrete Morrey Space $\ell_{q}^{p}=\ell_{q}^{p}(\mathbb{Z})$, which was first introduced in [3], is defined to be the set of all sequences $x=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}:=\sup _{m \in \mathbb{Z}, N \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}\left|S_{m, N}\right|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\sum_{j \in S_{m, N}}\left|x_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, S_{m, N}:=\{m-N, \ldots, m, \ldots, m+N\}$, and $\left|S_{m, N}\right|=2 N+1$. Notice that a sequence $x \in \ell_{q}^{p}$ must be bounded with $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}$, for $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$. As shown in [3], discrete Morrey spaces also satisfy the inclusion property: for $1 \leq p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq q<\infty$, we have $\ell_{q}^{p_{2}} \subseteq \ell_{q}^{p_{1}}$, with $\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p_{1}}} \leq\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p_{2}}}$ whenever $x \in \ell_{q}^{p_{2}}$.

Later, it was shown in [2] that, for $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2} \leq q<\infty$, the inclusion $\ell_{q}^{p_{2}} \subset \ell_{q}^{p_{1}}$ is proper by constructing a particular sequence in $\ell_{q}^{p_{1}} \backslash \ell_{q}^{p_{2}}$. To be precise, consider the sequence $x=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which is given by:

$$
x_{j}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if }|j|=0,1,2, \ldots, 2^{w+v},  \tag{2.2}\\
1, \text { if }|j|=2^{k(w+v)}, 2^{k(w+v)}-2^{k w}, \ldots, 2^{k(w+v)}-2^{k(w+v-2)}, \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]where $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap\left[k_{0}, \infty\right), k_{0}$ be the smallest positive integer such that $1-\frac{1}{2^{2 k_{0}}}>\frac{1}{2^{w \neq v-1}}$ and $v, w \in \mathbb{N}$ chosen such that
$$
\left(\frac{q}{p_{2}}-1\right) w+\frac{2 q}{p_{2}}<v<\left(\frac{q}{p_{1}}-1\right) w+2 .
$$

One may then observe that $x \in \ell_{q}^{p_{1}} \backslash \ell_{q}^{p_{2}}$ for $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2} \leq q<\infty$.
Using this result for discrete Morrey spaces, one can obtain an alternative function that confirms the proper inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}}(\mathbb{R})$ for $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2} \leq q<\infty$ via the following observation. For $x:=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell_{q}^{p}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}(t):=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{j} \chi_{[j, j+1)}(t) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which defines a function on the real line. Then, we have the following result.
Theorem 2.1. [8] For $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$, the space $\ell_{q}^{p}$ may be embedded into the space $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}$ via the mapping (2.3), where

$$
\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}} \leq\|\tilde{x}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}} \leq C_{p, q}\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}
$$

with $C_{p, q}=5^{1 / p-1 / q}$.
Corollary 2.2. For $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2}<q<\infty$, let $\widetilde{x}(t)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{j} \chi_{[j, j+1)}(t)$ where $x=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell_{q}^{p_{1}} \backslash \ell_{q}^{p_{2}}$. Then $\widetilde{x} \in \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}} \backslash \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}$.
Proof. For a sequence $x:=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell_{q}^{p_{1}} \backslash \ell_{q}^{p_{2}}$ where $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2}<q<\infty$, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$
\|\widetilde{x}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}} \geq\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p_{2}}}=\infty
$$

which means that $\widetilde{x} \notin \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}$, and

$$
\|\widetilde{x}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}}} \leq C\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p_{1}}}<\infty,
$$

which means that $\widetilde{x} \in \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}}$. Thus, $\widetilde{x} \in \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}} \backslash \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}$, as claimed.
Now, as one would realize, the space $\ell_{q}^{p}$ with the norm defined in (2.1) is rather loose since the sets $S_{m, N}$ have always an odd cardinality. In this paper, we shall define $\ell_{q}^{p}$, for $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$, to be the set of all sequences $x=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}^{*}:=\sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in N_{0}}\left|S_{k, n}^{*}\right|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\sum_{j \in S_{k, n}^{*}}\left|x_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, S_{k, n}^{*}:=\{k, \ldots, k+n\}$, and $\left|S_{k, n}^{*}\right|=n+1$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Here $S_{k, n}^{*}$ may have an odd or even cardinality.

It is clear that the earlier norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}$ is dominated by the later $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}^{*}$. Conversely, one can find a constant $C>1$ such that $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}^{*} \leq C\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}$. Precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. 6] Let $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$. Then, the following relations

$$
\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}} \leq\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}^{*} \leq C_{p, q}\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}, \quad x \in \ell_{q}^{p}
$$

hold for $C_{p, q}=\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1 / p-1 / q}$.
Using the new norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}^{*}$, we have the following result on discrete Morrey spaces.
Theorem 2.4. [6] For $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$, the space $\left(\ell_{q}^{p},\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}^{*}\right)$ may be embedded into the space $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}$ via the mapping (2.3), where

$$
\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}^{*} \leq\|\widetilde{x}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}} \leq C_{p, q}\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}^{*}
$$

with $C_{p, q}=2^{1 / p-1 / q}$.
As the inequality $\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}^{*} \leq\|\widetilde{x}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}}$ is immediate, the proof of the above theorem focuses on establishing the inequality $\|\widetilde{x}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}} \leq C_{p, q}\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}^{*}$. This can be achieved by estimating the values of $(2 r)^{1 / q-1 / p}\left(\int_{a-r}^{a+r}|\widetilde{x}(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}$ for the cases where $0<r \leq \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}<r \leq 1$, and $r>1$, wherever $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

As a corollary of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 we have the following refinement of Theorem 2.1 for the space $\left(\ell_{q}^{p},\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}\right)$.

Corollary 2.5. For $1 \leq p \leq q<\infty$, the space $\left(\ell_{q}^{p},\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}}\right)$ may be embedded into the space $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}$ via the mapping (2.3), where

$$
\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}} \leq\|\tilde{x}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}} \leq C_{p, q}\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p}},
$$

with $C_{p, q}=3^{1 / p-1 / q}$.

## 3. A New Example

In this section, we shall reconfirm that, for $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2} \leq q<\infty$, the inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}}(\mathbb{R})$ is proper by constructing a simpler function of the form (2.3) which belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{1}}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p_{2}}(\mathbb{R})$. We note that, in the sequence $\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defined by (2.2), the values of 1 within $\left[0,2^{w+v}\right]$ have a distance of 1 , and the values of 1 within $\left[2^{k(w+v)}-2^{k(w+v-2)}, 2^{k(w+v)}\right]$ have a distance of $2^{k w}$. There are three parameters involved, namely $k_{0}, v$, and $w$. Not only the definition is difficult to read, the choice of $k_{0}, v, w \in \mathbb{N}$ is also not intuitive.

As an alternative, we will construct a simpler and more intuitive sequence than the sequence (2.2), which also confirms that the inclusion $\ell_{q}^{p_{2}} \subset \ell_{q}^{p_{1}}$ is proper, where these spaces are equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{q}^{p_{i}}}^{*}$ defined in (2.4). Precisely, we have the following result.
Theorem 3.1. Let $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2}<q<\infty$. Choose $v, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\frac{q}{p_{2}}<\frac{v}{w}<\frac{q}{p_{1}} .
$$

Let $S_{n}:=\left\{1+2^{v}+\ldots+2^{(n-1) v}+j .2^{n w}: j=1, \ldots, 2^{n(v-w)}\right\}$. Define $x=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with

$$
x_{j}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } j \in\{0,1\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n},  \tag{3.1}\\
0, \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $x \in \ell_{q}^{p_{1}} \backslash \ell_{q}^{p_{2}}$.
Before we give the proof, let us first explain the choice of $v$ and $w$ in the above sequence. As $\frac{q}{p_{2}}<\frac{q}{p_{1}}$, we can obviously choose a rational number $\frac{v}{w}>1$ between the two numbers, with $v, w \in \mathbb{N}$. We note that within the interval $\left[1+2^{v}+\ldots+2^{(n-1) v}+2^{n w}, 1+2^{v}+\ldots+2^{(n-1) v}+2^{n v}\right]$ there are exactly $2^{n(v-w)}$ terms that take the value of 1 and these values of 1 have a distance of $2^{n w}$ from one to another, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, there is a gap of $2^{(n+1) w}$ between the last number in $S_{n}$ and the first number in $S_{n+1}$. This tells us that the terms $x_{j}$ 's which have the value of 1 in this sequence are getting more and more distant from one to another as the index $j$ increases. From the way it is constructed, this sequence is simpler and more intuitive than the sequence in [3].

We now give the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Proof. Since the terms $x_{j}$ 's having the value of 1 in the sequence are more and more distant from one to another as the index $j$ increases, we only consider the sets $S_{0, k}^{*}$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$. Write

$$
\beta_{n}:=1+2^{v}+2^{2 v} \ldots+2^{n v}
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{n}:=\sum_{j \in S_{0, \beta_{n}}^{*}}\left|x_{j}\right|^{p}=1+2^{v-w}+2^{2(v-w)}+\ldots+2^{n(v-w)} .
$$

Clearly $\beta_{n}>2^{n v}$ and $\sigma_{n}>2^{n(v-w)}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\beta_{n}=1+2^{v}+\ldots+2^{(n-1) v}+2^{n v}=\frac{2^{n v}-1}{2^{v}-1}+2^{n v}<2.2^{n v}
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{n}=1+2^{v-w}+\ldots+2^{(n-1)(v-w)}+2^{n(v-w)}=\frac{2^{n(v-w)}-1}{2^{v-w}-1}+2^{n(v-w)}<2.2^{n(v-w)} .
$$

Hence, for $p=p_{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S_{0, \beta_{n}}^{*}\right|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}}\left(\sum_{j \in S_{0, \beta_{n}}^{*}}\left|x_{j}\right|^{p_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{2}}} & >\left(2.2^{n v}+1\right)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}}\left(2^{n(v-w)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{2}}} \\
& >\left(3.2^{n v}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}}\left(2^{n(v-w)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{2}}} \\
& \left.=3^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}} 2^{n\left(\frac{v}{q}-\frac{w}{p_{2}}\right.}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the values of $v$ and $w$ that we choose, we have $\frac{v}{q}-\frac{w}{p_{2}}>0$. As a consequence, $2^{n\left(\frac{v}{q}-\frac{w}{p_{2}}\right)} \rightarrow \infty$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p_{2}}}=\infty$, which means that $x \notin \ell_{q}^{p_{2}}$.

We will now show that $x \in \ell_{q}^{p_{1}}$. First, we see that, for $p=p_{1}$ and $0 \leq m \leq 1+2^{v}$ we have

$$
\left|S_{0, m}^{*}\right|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}}\left(\sum_{j \in S_{0, m}^{*}}\left|x_{j}\right|^{p_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}} \leq\left|S_{0,0}^{*}\right|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}}\left(\sum_{j \in S_{0,1+2^{v}}^{*}}\left|x_{j}\right|^{p_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}}=1+2^{v-w}
$$

On the other hand, if $m>1+2^{v}$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta_{n} \leq m<\beta_{n+1}$. For such $m^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S_{0, m}^{*}\right|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}}\left(\sum_{j \in S_{0, m}^{*}}\left|x_{j}\right|^{p_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}} & \leq\left|S_{0, \beta_{n}}^{*}\right|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}}\left(\sum_{j \in S_{0, \beta_{n+1}}^{*}}\left|x_{j}\right|^{p_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}} \\
& \leq\left(2^{n v}+1\right)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}}\left(2.2^{(n+1)(v-w)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}} \\
& \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}}\left(2^{n v}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}}\left(2^{(n+1)(v-w)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}} \\
& =2^{\frac{1+v-w}{p_{1}}} 2^{n\left(\frac{v}{q}-\frac{w}{p_{1}}\right)} \\
& \leq 2^{\frac{1+v-w}{p_{1}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\frac{v}{q}-\frac{w}{p_{1}}<0$ by the choice of $v$ and $w$. By combining the two observations, we obtain $\|x\|_{\ell_{q}^{p_{1}}}<\infty$ or $x \in \ell_{q}^{p_{1}}$.

It follows from this together with the previous computation that $x \in \ell_{q}^{p_{1}} \backslash \ell_{q}^{p_{2}}$, as desired.

## 4. Concluding Remarks

Speaking about discrete Morrey spaces, we have the following result on the inclusion properties.
Theorem 4.1. [4, Theorem 3.1] Let $1 \leq p_{j} \leq q_{j}<\infty$ where $j=1,2$. Then the inclusion $\ell_{q_{2}}^{p_{2}} \subseteq \ell_{q_{1}}^{p_{1}}$ holds if and only if $q_{2} \leq q_{1}$ and $\frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}} \leq \frac{p_{2}}{q_{2}}$.

We shall argue here that the second part of the necessary condition can be established by invoking the function we constructed in the previous section. To be precise, we can prove that if $\ell_{q_{2}}^{p_{2}} \subseteq \ell_{q_{1}}^{p_{1}}$, then $\frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}} \leq \frac{p_{2}}{q_{2}}$. Indeed, assume to the contrary that $\frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}}>\frac{p_{2}}{q_{2}}$. Choose $v, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{q_{1}}{p_{1}}<\frac{v}{w}<\frac{q_{2}}{p_{2}}$, and define the sets $S_{n}$ 's and the sequence $x=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as in (3.1). Then one may observe that $x \in \ell_{q_{2}}^{p_{2}}$ but $x \notin \ell_{q_{1}}^{p_{1}}$. This contradicts the hypothesis that $\ell_{q_{2}}^{p_{2}} \subseteq \ell_{q_{1}}^{p_{1}}$.

Remark 4.2. From the above arguement, we note that if $1 \leq p_{j} \leq q_{j}<\infty$ where $j=1,2, q_{2} \leq q_{1}$, and $\frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}}<\frac{p_{2}}{q_{2}}$, then the inclusion $\ell_{q_{2}}^{p_{2}} \subseteq \ell_{q_{1}}^{p_{1}}$ is proper.
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