

# Methodology for Calculating CO<sub>2</sub> Absorption by Tree Planting for Greening Projects

Kento Ichii<sup>1</sup>, Toshiki Muraoka<sup>2</sup>, Nobumichi Shinohara<sup>1</sup>, Shunsuke Managi<sup>1</sup>, and Shutaro Takeda<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Urban Institute, Kyushu University

<sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Advanced Integrated Studies in Human Survivability, Kyoto University

## Abstract

In order to explore the possibility of carbon credits for greening projects, which play an important role in climate change mitigation, this paper examines a formula for estimating the amount of carbon fixation for greening activities in urban areas through tree planting. The usefulness of the formula studied was examined by conducting calculations based on actual data through measurements made by on-site surveys of a greening company. A series of calculation results suggest that this formula may be useful. Recognizing carbon credits for green businesses for the carbon sequestration of their projects is an important incentive not only as part of environmental improvement and climate change action, but also to improve the health and well-being of local communities and to generate economic benefits. This study is a pioneering exploration of the methodology.

---

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Carbon credit

Carbon credits are being viewed by governments and businesses around the world as an important tool for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Carbon credits provide incentives for companies and countries to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Not only can they slow the progression of climate change by investing in emission reduction projects, carbon credits are a market-based mechanism that provides economic benefits to companies and organizations that achieve emission reductions. This facilitates the transition to sustainable business models, and carbon credit markets standardize the measurement, reporting, and verification of emission reductions, providing transparency and credibility. For these reasons, carbon credits play a vital role in the fight against global climate change.

These mechanisms include carbon taxes, cap-and-trade systems, and hybrid approaches. The effectiveness of carbon pricing mechanisms in reducing emissions and stimulating innovation has been studied (Emeka-Okoli et al., 2024). However, challenges remain, including uneven geographic dispersion of investments and untapped abatement

opportunities in some sectors (Larson et al., 2008). Future research should focus on optimizing carbon trading operations, addressing limitations, and exploring innovative approaches to enhance the effectiveness of these mechanisms.

Among the mechanisms, the J-Credit program certifies the amount of greenhouse gas emission reductions and removals in Japan and makes them tradable as credits. The J-Credit Program selects projects that contribute to the reduction or absorption of greenhouse gas emissions (Fukushima, 2022). This includes projects that introduce renewable energy, promote energy conservation, and absorb forests. For forest absorption projects, the amount of carbon dioxide absorbed through the planting of new forests or the conservation of existing forests will be measured, and credits will be awarded based on this amount. For energy conservation projects, credits are awarded based on the amount of energy consumption reduced through the introduction of energy conservation equipment and operational improvements. For renewable energy projects such as wind power and solar power, the credit is the difference between the amount of electricity generated by the project and the amount of emissions that would have been generated if the same amount of electricity had been supplied by conventional fossil fuel power generation. Through these processes and methods, the

J-Credit system enables the issuance and trading of highly reliable carbon credits, thereby contributing to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.

## 1.2 Greening projects and carbon

On the other hand, despite playing a major role, greening projects are not currently included in these carbon credit frameworks. Greening projects refer to activities aimed at increasing the number of plants by planting trees and developing green spaces in various areas, including urban, rural, and forested areas. These projects have a wide range of objectives, including environmental protection, climate change mitigation, biodiversity conservation, urban beautification, and improvement of residents' health. For urban street tree planting, planting trees along roads and in parks beautifies the urban landscape, absorbs carbon dioxide, and reduces air pollution. In addition, the development of urban parks provides residents with parks as places to relax, promote health, and support the formation of communities. Greenways and green corridors will be developed to provide green corridors that link the city and nature, and provide comfortable spaces for pedestrians and cyclists to move around.

If these greening projects, which play an important role in mitigating climate change, are certified as carbon credits in the future, they will be an important incentive not only to improve the environment and combat climate change, but also to improve the health and welfare of local communities and generate economic benefits. This paper presents a pilot formula to calculate the amount of carbon fixation by this greening project and discusses its inclusion in future carbon credits.

## 2. Method

### 2.1 Basic assumptions

In this paper, the calculation formula will be calculated for activities aimed at increasing the number of plants, especially in urban areas through the development of green spaces by planting trees. In particular, in the calculations, carbon fixation will be calculated for sales results related to tall trees, medium-sized trees, and shrubs. Based on the estimated height, diameter, and survival rate for all periods after tree planting, and the increase in trunk volume, the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbed by trees during a given period is calculated.

The project period will be 100 years, and the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions generated in the implementation of the project will be subtracted from the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption contribution, which will be the final amount of credits generated. The distribution of the contribution among stakeholders, such as nursery tree distributors, greening

business operators, general contractors, etc., during the 100-year period will be determined on a case-by-case basis.

### 2.2 Proposed formula

The following formula (1) can be used to calculate the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbed per tree over 100 years, taking into account the possibility that trees will continue to be planted without being removed.

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{CO}_2 \text{ absorption per tree over 100 years} \\ &= \int_0^{99} (1-p)^t p H(t) \left(\frac{d(t)}{2}\right)^2 \pi c dt + \\ &\quad (1-p)^{100} H(100) \left(\frac{d(100)}{2}\right)^2 \pi c \end{aligned} \quad \dots \text{Eq. (1)}$$

Of which, amount of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbed that can be recognized as credits

$$= (1-p)^{100} H(100) \left(\frac{d(100)}{2}\right)^2 \pi c \quad \dots \text{Eq. (2)}$$

WHEREAS,

Assume  $p$  for the likelihood that the tree will be removed during the year.

Let  $H(t)$  [cm] be the height of the tree  $t$  years after it was first planted.

Let  $d(t)$  [cm] be the diameter of the tree trunk.

$\pi$  is pi.

The remaining parameters used in this analysis to calculate CO<sub>2</sub> absorption are constants, and are therefore assumed to be  $c$  [t-C./ cm<sup>3</sup>].

The likelihood that the trees will still be planted  $t$  years after they were first planted,  $(1-p)^t$  is

In this formula, the first term expresses the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbed from planting to that point and its probability of occurrence if the tree is done after  $t$  years. The second term expresses the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbed and the probability of its occurrence that the tree will continue to be planted after 100 years from planting.

### 2.3 Probability of tree removal

Referring to the "Street Trees in Japan IX" published by the National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management (NILIM) of the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, we calculate the likelihood  $p$  that trees will be removed due to felling or falling within a year.

As shown in the following figures on the number of tall trees nationwide and the number of medium-sized shrubs nationwide, the number of street trees, which had increased

rapidly since 1987, remained flat from 2007 to 2017 for both tall trees and medium-sized shrubs. This transition indicates a shift to a steady state, with no major changes in new planting or removal policies occurring since 2007.

At the same time, this means that the number of newly planted street trees was equal to the number of street trees removed due to felling or falling trees. Assuming that the average life span of street trees is 35 years and that 1/35 of the total number of trees is removed each year, the number of new tall trees planted per year is calculated to be 6.67 million trees  $\div$  35 years = 190.57 million trees/year.

Assuming the same conditions continued during the period 2007-2022, the total number of new trees planted would have been 190,570,000 trees/year  $\times$  15 years = 285.857 million trees. The total number of street trees removed due to downed trees is estimated to be 380,000 + 285.857 million = 323.857 million trees.

The total number of street trees that were in a state of being planted after 2007 is 9.528.57 million, which is the sum of 6.67 million trees that were planted as of 2007 and 2.855.857 million newly planted over the 15-year period. Therefore, during the 15-year period from 2007 to 2022, 3.238.570 million trees / 9.952.857 million trees = 33.988% of the street trees were removed. This means that over the 15-year period from 2007 to 2022, an average of about 2.7309% per year ( $(1 - 0.33988)^{1/15} \approx 0.027309$ ) of street trees will be removed. Thus  $p$  is 0.027309 (2.7309%). Note that if on average about 2.7309% of street trees are removed per year, the average life span of a street tree is 36.12 ( $\int_0^{\infty} (1 - 0.027309)^t dt = 36.12$ ) years.

Similarly, for medium and low trees, assuming that the average life span of street trees is 35 years and that 1/35 of the total number of trees is removed each year, the number of new tall trees planted per year is calculated to be 139.79 million trees  $\div$  35 years = 3.994 million trees/year.

Assuming that the same conditions continued from 2007 to 2022, 3,994,000 new trees were planted per year  $\times$  15 years = 59.91 million new trees were planted. The total number of street trees removed due to tree felling or toppling over the 15-year period from 2007 to 2022 is estimated to be 59.91 million + 4.65 million = 64.56 million.

The total number of street trees that remained planted after 2007 is 199.7 million, which is the sum of the 139.79 million trees planted as of 2007 and 59.91 million newly planted over the 15-year period. Therefore, during the 15-year period from 2007 to 2022, 64.56 million trees / 199.7 million trees = 32.32849% of the street trees were removed. This translates to an average annual removal rate of approximately 2.56977% ( $(1 - 0.3232849)^{1/15} \approx 0.0256977$ ) of street trees will be removed. Thus  $p$  is 0.0256977 (2.56977%). Note that if on average about 2.56977% of street trees are removed per year, the average life span of street trees is 38.41 ( $\int_0^{\infty} (1 - 0.0256977)^t dt = 38.41$ ) years.




Figure 1 Number of tall trees in Japan

From "Street Trees in Japan IX" published by the National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management (NILIM) of the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism.




Figure 2 Number of medium-sized shrubs in Japan

From "Street Trees in Japan IX" published by the National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management (NILIM) of the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism.

## 2.4 Calculation of tree height $H(t)$

Referring to the "Tree Growth Model" published by the Ecological Society of Japan, calculate the tree height  $H(t)$ (cm) after  $t$  years of planting of tall, medium-sized trees and shrubs of evergreen, deciduous and coniferous trees.

$H(t)$  for each tree species is obtained from the following formula.

Evergreen (tall tree)

$$H(t) = 2500(1 - 0.975^t)$$

Deciduous tree (tall tree)

$$H(t) = 2500(1 - 0.962^t)$$

Conifers (tall trees)

$$H(t) = 35 + 5471(1 - e^{-0.00592(t-1)})^{0.65669}$$

Evergreen (medium tree)( $t < 16.412$ )

$$H(t) = 2500(1 - 0.975^t)$$

Evergreen (medium tree) ( $t \geq 16.412$ )

$$H(t) = 850$$

Deciduous tree (medium tree) ( $t < 16.412$ )

$$H(t) = 2500(1 - 0.962^t)$$

Deciduous tree (medium tree) ( $t \geq 16.412$ )

$$H(t) = 850$$

Conifer (medium tree)( $t < 16.412$ )

$$H(t) = 35 + 5471(1 - e^{-0.00592(t-1)})^{0.65669}$$

Conifer (medium wood) ( $t \geq 16.412$ )

$$H(t) = 850$$

Shrub ( $t < 3.72093$ )

$$H(t) = 107.5t$$

Shrub ( $t \geq 3.72093$ )

$$H(t) = 400$$

### 2.5 Calculation of tree diameter $d(t)$

Referring to the "Data Relating Height and Trunk Girth by Tree Type" published by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, the diameter of evergreen trees, deciduous trees, and coniferous trees is calculated in relation to tree height  $H(t)$ .

The relationship between tree height and diameter is shown below, based on the "Tree Height and Trunk Girth Relationship Data by Species" published by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism.

#### 2.5.1 Evergreen tree

The calculation table for evergreen tree is as follows:

Table 1 Evergreen tree diameter vs. height

Tree height (cm)(=H)	Trunk circumference (cm)	Diameter (cm) (=d)
250	11	3.503185
300	16	5.095541
350	20	6.369427
400	37	11.78344
450	36	11.46497
500	35	11.1465

550	52	16.56051
600	64	20.38217
650	70	22.29299
700	83	26.43312
800	95	30.25478
850	100	31.84713
1000	120	38.21656
1100	150	47.7707

#### 2.5.2 Deciduous tree

The calculation table for deciduous tree is as follows:

Table 2 Deciduous tree diameter vs. height

Tree height (cm)(=H)	Trunk circumference (cm)	Diameter (cm) (=d)
200	10	3.184713
250	11	3.503185
300	13	4.140127
350	18	5.732484
400	22	7.006369
450	26	8.280255
500	32	10.19108
550	35	11.1465
600	43	13.69427
650	55	17.51592
700	54	17.19745
800	83	26.43312
900	83	26.43312
1000	107	34.07643
1100	135	42.99363

#### 2.5.3 Conifer

The calculation table for conifer tree is as follows:

Table 3 Conifer tree diameter vs. height

Tree height (cm)(=H)	Trunk circumference (cm)	Diameter (cm) (=d)
250	13	4.140127
300	15	4.77707
350	19	6.050955
400	23	7.324841
450	26	8.280255
500	33	10.50955
550	40	12.73885
600	43	13.69427
700	53	16.87898

800	60	19.10828
900	80	25.47771
1100	100	31.84713

### 2.6 Calculation of constant c

Among the parameters included in the calculation of CO2 absorption, prepared by referring to the "Visualization Calculation Sheet" published by the Forestry Economic Research Institute, "BEF x (1+RtSR) x BD x CF x (44/12) x  $10^{-6}$ " is a constant, so it is assumed to be c [t-C./ cm3].

WHEREAS,

BEF [no units] is the Biomass Expansion Factor.

RtSR [no units] is the ratio of underground area to aboveground area (Root-to-Shoot Ratio)

BD[t-d.m./m3] is Bulk Density \* d.m. is dry matter

CF[t-C./t-d.m] is the carbon content

(44/12) is the conversion factor between tons of carbon and tons of carbon dioxide

BEF, RtSR, BD, and CF are taken from inventory data such as IPCC. In this calculation, data from Japan's Greenhouse Gas Inventory Report (2022) is used.

$$\begin{aligned}
 c &= \text{BEF} \times (1+\text{RtSR}) \times \text{BD} \times \text{CF} \times 44/12 \times 10^{-6} \\
 &= 1.664736867 \times (1+0.2715789378) \times 0.3978947401 \times 0.509999999905 \times 44/12 \\
 &= 1.575065866 \times 10^{-6}
 \end{aligned}$$

\*Methodologies for calculating CO2 absorption are common among CDM, Forestry Agency, Verra, and others. When trunk volume (m3) is not measured directly, the allometry equation is commonly used.

### 2.7 Data Collection

To verify the practicality of the above equation, the authors, with the cooperation of Gunze Green, a greening company, measured the relationship between height and diameter in a real environment. The measurement results are shown below.




Figure 3 Evergreen, 300 cm or more but less than 1430 cm




Figure 4 Deciduous tree, 300 cm or more but less than 1430 cm




Figure 5 Deciduous tree, 0 cm to less than 300 cm




Figure 6 Conifer, 300 cm or more but less than 1430 cm

The regression equation for the above tree height-diameter relationship based on above is shown below. The validity of this equation was verified during the field survey conducted in March 2024.

Table 4 Evergreen tree equations

Height (cm)(= H)	Diameter (cm) (= d)
0 - 250	d = 0.014 H
250 - 300	d = 0.0318 H - 4.4586
300 -	d = 0.051 H - 10.717

Table 5 Deciduous tree equations

Height (cm)(= H)	Diameter (cm) (= d)
------------------	---------------------

0 - 300	$d = 0.0096 H + 1.2208$
300 -	$d = 0.0429 H - 9.5903$

Table 6 Conifer tree equations

Height (cm)(= H)	Diameter (cm) (= d)
0 - 300	$d = 0.0127 H + 0.9554$
300 -	$d = 0.0332 H - 5.6785$

### 3. Results

Using the calculation formulas presented above, we tested the practicality of the proposed method by performing calculations based on data actually submitted by the greening companies. The calculation results are shown below. First, the condition after 100 years by type was calculated as follows.

Table 7 Calculated parameters

	survival rate	Height (cm)	Diameter (cm)	constant	100-year planting absorption yield (t)
Evergreen (tall tree)	0.062732089	2301.206775	106.644545	1.57507E-06	2.031006398
Deciduous tree (tall tree)	0.062732089	2448.066545	95.4317548	1.57507E-06	1.730164473
Conifers (tall trees)	0.062732089	3242.616118	101.9763551	1.57507E-06	2.616814029
Evergreen (medium tree)	0.074024039	850	32.633	1.57507E-06	0.082888505
Deciduous tree (medium tree)	0.074024039	850	26.8747	1.57507E-06	0.056216986
Conifer (medium)	0.074024039	850	22.5415	1.57507E-06	0.039549949

um tree)					
Evergreen (shrub)	0.074024039	400	9.683	1.57507E-06	0.003434324
Deciduous trees (shrubs)	0.074024039	400	7.5697	1.57507E-06	0.002098837
Conifers (shrubs)	0.074024039	400	7.6015	1.57507E-06	0.002116508

The breakdown of CO2 absorption per tree per 100 years per period was calculated as follows.

Table 8 Breakdown for Evergreen (tall tree)

Period since planting (t)	CO2 absorption (t) Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	CO2 absorption (t) 100-year tree planting
0 - 4.16151	0.000101364	
4.16151 - 5.04914	0.000136381	
5.04914 - 99	6.4738	2.031006398

Table 9 Breakdown for Deciduous tree (tall tree)

Period since planting (t)	CO2 absorption (t) Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	CO2 absorption (t) 100-year tree planting
0 - 3.29970	0.00016656	
3.29970 - 99	7.81853	1.730164473

Table 10 Breakdown for Conifers (tall trees)

Period since planting (t)	CO2 absorption (t) Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or	CO2 absorption (t) 100-year tree planting

	cut down in the process)	
1 - 2.68909	0.000153015	
2.68909 - 99	6.58251	2.616814029

Table 11 Breakdown for Evergreen (medium tree)

Period since planting (t)	CO2 absorption (t) Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	CO2 absorption (t) 100-year tree planting
0 - 4.16151	0.0000959056	
4.16151 - 5.04914	0.00012933	
5.04914 - 16.412	0.0778827	
16.412 - 99	0.637001	0.082888505

Table 12 Breakdown for Deciduous tree (medium tree)

Period since planting (t)	CO2 absorption (t) Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	CO2 absorption (t) 100-year tree planting
0 - 3.29970	0.000157376	
3.29970 - 16.412	0.192475	
16.412 - 99	0.43203	0.056216986

Table 13 Breakdown for Conifer (medium tree)

Period since planting (t)	CO2 absorption (t) Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	CO2 absorption (t) 100-year tree planting
1 - 2.68909	0.000144506	
2.68909 - 16.412	0.132616	
16.412 - 99	0.303943	0.039549949

Table 14 Breakdown for Evergreen (shrub)

Period since planting (t)	CO2 absorption (t) Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	CO2 absorption (t) 100-year tree planting
0 - 2.32558	0.0000539282	
2.32558 - 2.7906976744	0.0000714162	
2.7906976744 - 3.72093	0.0051921	
3.72093 - 99	0.0380884	0.003434324

Table 15 Breakdown for Deciduous trees (shrubs)

Period since planting (t)	CO2 absorption (t) Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	CO2 absorption (t) 100-year tree planting
0 - 2.7906976744	0.000130053	
2.7906976744 - 3.72093	0.000303882	
3.72093 - 99	0.0232772	0.002098837

Table 16 Breakdown for Conifers (shrubs)

Period since planting (t)	CO2 absorption (t) Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	CO2 absorption (t) 100-year tree planting
1 - 2.7906976744	0.000157871	
2.7906976744 - 3.72093	0.000352394	
3.72093 - 99	0.0234732	0.002116508

The following calculations were made for the 100-year CO2 sequestration per tree.

Table 17 100-year CO2 Sequestration per Tree

	If only those that have survived for 100 years are accepted as credits (t)		If any credit other than the one that lasted for 100 years is recognized as a credit (t)	
	total amount	Of which, contribution of greening businesses (3 years)	total amount	Of which, contribution of greening businesses (3 years)
Evergreen (tall tree)	2.031006398	0.060930192	8.505044143	0.255151324
Deciduous tree (tall tree)	1.730164473	0.051904934	9.548861033	0.286465831
Conifers (tall trees)	2.616814029	0.078504421	9.199477044	0.275984311
Evergreen (medium tree)	0.082888505	0.002486655	0.797997441	0.023939923
Deciduous tree (medium tree)	0.056216986	0.00168651	0.680879362	0.020426381
Conifer (medium tree)	0.039549949	0.001186498	0.476253455	0.014287604
Evergreen (shrub)	0.003434324	0.00010303	0.046840168	0.001405205
Deciduous trees (shrubs)	0.002098837	6.29651E-05	0.025809972	0.000774299
Conifers (shrubs)	0.002116508	6.34952E-05	0.026099973	0.000782999

These series of calculation results suggest that this formula is capable of calculating carbon fixation based on field data, suggesting that the proposed method may be useful.

#### 4. Discussion

In order to explore the possibility of carbon credits for greening projects, which play an important role in climate change mitigation, this paper examines a formula for

estimating the amount of carbon fixation for greening activities in urban areas through tree planting.

The usefulness of the formula studied was examined by conducting calculations based on actual data through measurements made by on-site surveys of greening companies. A series of calculation results suggest that this formula may be useful.

On the other hand, the validity and accuracy of this formula have not yet been determined, and this is an issue to be addressed in the future.

Recognizing carbon credits for green businesses for the carbon sequestration of their projects is an important incentive not only as part of environmental improvement and climate change action, but also to improve the health and well-being of local communities and to generate economic benefits. This study is a pioneering exploration of the methodology. It is expected that the methodology will be refined through further research in the future.

#### Conflict of Interest

This research was funded by Gunze Inc., the parent company of Gunze Green.

#### References

- Emeka-Okoli, S., Otonnah, C. A., Nwankwo, T. C., & Nwankwo, E. E. (2024). Review Of Carbon Pricing Mechanisms: Effectiveness And Policy Implications. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(3), 337-347.
- Larson, D. F., Ambrosi, P., Dinar, A., Rahman, S. M., & Entler, R. (2008). A review of carbon market policies and research. *International Review of Environmental and Resource Economics*, 2(3), 177-236.
- Fukushima, T. (2022, May). The Present Situation of Forest Sector in J-Credit Scheme. In *The Japanese Forest Society Congress 133rd Annual JFS Meeting* (p. 74). The Japanese Forest Society.
- Forestry Agency (<https://www.rinya.maff.go.jp/j/kikaku/kyushuryosantei.html>)
- Verra VCS VMD0001 (<https://verra.org/methodologies/vmd0001-estimation-of-carbon-stocks-in-the-above-and-belowground-biomass-in-live-tree-and-non-tree-pools-cp-ab-v1-1/>)
- CDM AR-TOOL14 (<https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/ARmethodologies/tools/ar-am-tool-14-v4.2.pdf>)
- Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Project for "visualization" of carbon dioxide absorption and fixation by forestry and wood use (<http://www.foeri.org/co2calc/index.html>)



Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Trunkwood Volume Calculation Program (<https://www.ffpri.affrc.go.jp/database/stemvolume/index.html>)

Kazuo Hosoda, Yasushi Mitsuda, Toshiro Iehara, Discrepancy between Current Standing Tree Trunk Size Table and Calculated Value by Lumber Stack Formula and Its Correction Method, Journal of Forest Planning Society of Japan, 2010, Vol.44, No.2, pp.23-39. ([https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jjfp/44/2/44\\_KJ00009392245/\\_article/-char/en/](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jjfp/44/2/44_KJ00009392245/_article/-char/en/))

Japan Greenhouse Gas Inventory Report (2022) (<https://www.nies.go.jp/gio/aboutghg/>)

Results of a national survey on fallen street trees ([https://www.mlit.go.jp/report/press/road01\\_hh\\_001729.html](https://www.mlit.go.jp/report/press/road01_hh_001729.html))

Construction Prices Japan Construction Price Survey Association ([https://www.jpgreen.or.jp/kyoukyu\\_jyouhou/kakaku/mikeisai.html](https://www.jpgreen.or.jp/kyoukyu_jyouhou/kakaku/mikeisai.html))

Tree Growth Model (2008) Japan Ecological Society [https://www.ecosys.or.jp/certification/jhep/img/model/tree\\_model.pdf](https://www.ecosys.or.jp/certification/jhep/img/model/tree_model.pdf)

Creation of a cedar plantation forest stand curve for longer harvesting seasons in Nara Prefecture, Japan: <https://www.pref.nara.jp/secure/238742/no.42b.pdf>

The National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management (NILIM) has published "Street Trees in Japan IX": <https://www.nilim.go.jp/lab/bcg/siryounn/tnn1246.htm>

**Appendix**

The detailed course of calculation is attached as follows.

$$\text{CO2 absorption over 100 years} = \int_0^{99} (1-p)^t p H(t) \left(\frac{d(t)}{2}\right)^2 \pi c dt + (1-p)^{100} H(100) \left(\frac{d(100)}{2}\right)^2 \pi c$$

p = 0.027309  
 c = 1.575065866 × 10<sup>-9</sup>

Table 18 Calculation details for evergreen tree

$$H(t) = 2500(1 - 0.975^t)$$

Height (cm)(= H)	Period since planting (t)	Diameter (cm) (= d)	Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	100-year tree planting

0 - 250	0 - 4.16151	d = 0.014 H	$\phi((1-0.975^x)^4 * (0.027309 * (2500 * (1-0.975^x)))^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000) = 0.000101364(t)$	-
250 - 300	4.16151 - 5.04914	d = 0.0318 H - 4.4586	$\phi((1-0.975^x)^4 * (0.027309 * (2500 * (1-0.975^x))) - 4.4586)^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000) = 0.000136381(t)$	-
300 -	5.04914 - 99	d = 0.051 H - 10.717	$\phi((1-0.975^x)^4 * (0.027309 * (2500 * (1-0.975^x))) - 4.4586 - 10.717)^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000) = 0.000136381(t)$	$= (1-0.975^100) * 2500 * (1-0.975^100) * (0.051 * 2500 * (1-0.975^100) - 10.717)^2 * 0.000136381(t)$

			$1592 \times 1.575065$	000157
			$866/100000$	506586
			$= 6.4738(t)$	$6 \times 3.141592/4$
				$= 2.03100639$
				8 (t)

Table 19 Calculation details for deciduous tree

$$H(t) = 2500(1 - 0.962^t)$$

			$0.962^x$	00)-
			$9.5903$	9.5903)
			$1592 \times 1.575065$	$6 \times 3.141592/4$
			$= 7.81853$	$= 1.73016447$
			(t)	3 (t)

Table 20 Calculation details for conifer

$$H(t) = 35 + 5471(1 - e^{-0.00592(t-1)})^{0.65669}$$

Height (cm) (= H)	Period since planting (t)	Diameter (cm) (= d)	Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	100-year tree planting
0 - 300	0 - 3.29970	d = 0.0096 H + 1.2208	$\phi((1 - 0.027309)^x \times 0.027309 \times (2500 \times (1 - 0.962^x)) / 4 \times (0.0096 \times (2500 \times (1 - 0.962^x)) + 1.2208)^2 \times 3.141592 \times 1.575065866 / 100000)$	-
300 - 70 - 99	3.29970 - 99	d = 0.0429 H - 9.5903	$\phi((1 - 0.027309)^x \times 0.027309 \times (2500 \times (1 - 0.962^x)) / 4 \times (0.0429 \times (2500 \times (1 - 0.962^x)) - 9.5903)^2 \times 3.141592 \times 1.575065866 / 100000)$	$= (1 - 0.027309)^{100} \times (2500 \times (1 - 0.962^1)) \times (0.0429 \times 2500 \times (1 - 0.962^1) - 9.5903)^2 \times 3.141592 \times 1.575065866 / 100000$

Height (cm) (= H)	Period since planting (t)	Diameter (cm) (= d)	Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	100-year tree planting
0 - 300	1 - 2.68909	d = 0.0127 H + 0.9554	$\phi((1 - 0.027309)^x \times 0.027309 \times (35 + 5471 \times (1 - \exp(-0.00592(x-1)))^{0.65669}) / 4 \times (0.0127 \times (35 + 5471 \times (1 - \exp(-0.00592(x-1)))^{0.65669}) + 0.9554)^2 \times 3.141592 \times 1.575065866 / 100000)$	-
300 - 70 - 99	2.68909 - 99	d = 0.0429 H - 9.5903	$\phi((1 - 0.027309)^x \times 0.027309 \times (35 + 5471 \times (1 - \exp(-0.00592(x-1)))^{0.65669}) / 4 \times (0.0429 \times (35 + 5471 \times (1 - \exp(-0.00592(x-1)))^{0.65669}) - 9.5903)^2 \times 3.141592 \times 1.575065866 / 100000)$	$= (1 - 0.027309)^{100} \times (35 + 5471 \times (1 - \exp(-0.00592(1-1)))^{0.65669}) \times (0.0429 \times (35 + 5471 \times (1 - \exp(-0.00592(1-1)))^{0.65669}) - 9.5903)^2 \times 3.141592 \times 1.575065866 / 100000$

			= 0.00015 3015(t)	
300 - 09 - 99	2.689 - 5.6785	d = 0.0332 H	$\phi((1-9)^x * 0.027309 + (35+54) * (1-71) * \exp(-0.00592(x-1)))^{0.6} * 5669 / 4 * (0.0332 * (35+54) * (1-471) * \exp(-0.00592(x-1)))^{0.6} - 5669 - 5.6785)^{2*3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000} = 6.58251$ (t)	$= (1-9)^{100} * (35+54) * (1-71) * \exp(-0.00592 * 100) + 5669 * 0.0332 * (35+54) * (1-471) * \exp(-0.00592 * 100) - 5669 - 5.6785)^{2*3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000} = 6814029$ (t)

0 - 250	0 - 4.16151	d = 0.014 H	$\phi((1-77)^x * 0.025697 + (1-0.975^x) / 4 * (0.014 * (2500 * (1-0.975^x)))^{2*3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000}) = 0.0000959056(t)$	-
250 - 300	4.161 - 5.04914	d = 0.0318 H - 4.4586	$\phi((1-77)^x * 0.025697 + (1-0.975^x) / 4 * (0.0318 * (2500 * (1-0.975^x) - 4.4586))^{2*3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000}) = 0.00012933(t)$	-
300 -	5.049 - 16.412	d = 0.051 H - 10.717	$\phi((1-77)^x * 0.025697 + (1-0.975^x) / 4 * (0.051 * (2500 * (1-0.975^x) - 10.717)))$	

Below, the calculations were as follows:

CO2 absorption over 100 years =  $\int_0^{99} (1-p)^t p H(t) (\frac{d(t)}{2})^2 \pi c dt$   
 +  $(1-p)^{100} H(100) (\frac{d(100)}{2})^2 \pi c$   
 p = 0.0256977  
 c = 1.575065866 × 10<sup>-9</sup>

Table 21 Calculation details for evergreen tree

$H(t) = 2500(1 - 0.975^t)$

Height (cm) (= H)	Period since planting (t)	Diameter (cm) (= d)	Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	100-year tree planting

			$\frac{1592 \times 1.575065 \times 866}{100000} = 0.0778827(t)$	
	16.412 - 99	$d = 0.051 H - 10.717$	$\phi((1 - 0.0256977)^x \times 0.0256977 \times 850 / 4 \times (0.051 - 850 - 10.717)^2 \times 3.141592 \times 1.575065 \times 866 / 100000) = 0.637001(t)$	$= (1 - 0.0256977)^{100} \times 850 \times 0.051 \times 850 - 10.717^2 \times 0.000157506586 \times 3.141592 / 4 = 2888505(t)$

Table 22 Calculation details for deciduous tree

$$H(t) = \frac{2500(1 - 0.962^t)}{0.0429}$$

Height (cm) (= H)	Period since planting (t)	Diameter (cm) (= d)	Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	100-year tree planting
0 - 300	0 - 3.29970	$d = 0.0096 H + 1.2208$	$\phi((1 - 0.0256977)^x \times 0.0256977 \times (2500 \times (1 - 0.962^x)) / 4 \times (0.0096 \times (2500 \times (1 - 0.962^x)) + 1.2208)^2 \times 3.141592$	-

			$\frac{1592 \times 1.575065 \times 866}{100000} = 0.0778827(t)$	
300 - 70	3.29970 - 16.412	$d = 0.0429 H - 9.5903$	$\phi((1 - 0.0256977)^x \times 0.0256977 \times (2500 \times (1 - 0.962^x)) / 4 \times (0.0429 \times (2500 \times (1 - 0.962^x)) - 9.5903)^2 \times 3.141592 \times 1.575065 \times 866 / 100000) = 0.192475(t)$	$= (1 - 0.0256977)^{100} \times 850 \times (0.0429 \times 850 - 9.5903)^2 \times 0.000157506586 \times 3.141592 / 4 = 6216986(t)$
	16.412 - 99		$\phi((1 - 0.0256977)^x \times 0.0256977 \times 850 / 4 \times (0.0429 \times 850 - 9.5903)^2 \times 3.141592 \times 1.575065 \times 866 / 100000) = 0.432036(t)$	$= (1 - 0.0256977)^{100} \times 850 \times (0.0429 \times 850 - 9.5903)^2 \times 0.000157506586 \times 3.141592 / 4 = 0.056216986(t)$

Table 23 Calculation details for conifer

$$H(t) = \frac{35 + 5471(1 - e^{-0.00592(t-1)})^{0.65669}}{0.0429}$$

Height (cm) (= H)	Period since planting (t)	Diameter (cm) (= d)	Outside of 100-year planting (trees)	100-year tree planting

			felled or cut down in the process)	
0 - 300	1 - 2.68909	d = 0.0127 H + 0.9554	$\phi((1-0.0256977)^x * 0.0256977 * (35+5471 * (1 - \exp(-0.00592(x-1)))^{0.65669/4} * (0.0127 * (35+5471 * (1 - \exp(-0.00592(x-1)))^{0.65669}) + 0.9554)^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000)$	-
300 -	2.68909 - 16.412	d = 0.0332 H - 5.6785	$\phi((1-0.0256977)^x * 0.0256977 * (35+5471 * (1 - \exp(-0.00592(x-1)))^{0.65669/4} * (0.0332 * (35+5471 * (1 - \exp(-0.00592(x-1)))^{0.65669}) - 5.6785)^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000)$	

			5.6785) <sup>2</sup> * 3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000) = 0.132616(t)	
	16.412 - 99		$\phi((1-0.0256977)^x * 0.0256977 * 850/4 * (0.0332 * 50 - 5.6785)^2 * 0.001575065866 / 1000000)$ = 0.303943(t)	=(1-0.0256977) <sup>100</sup> * 850 * (0.0332 * 50 - 5.6785) <sup>2</sup> * 0.001575065866 * 3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000) = 0.039549949(t)

Table 24 Calculation details for evergreen tree

$$H(t) = \frac{107.5d}{4}$$

Height (cm) (= H)	Period since planting (t)	Diameter (cm) (= d)	Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	100-year tree planting
0 - 250	0 - 2.32558	d = 0.014 H	$\phi((1-0.0256977)^x * 0.0256977 * 107.5 * x / 4 * (0.014 * 107.5 * x)^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065866 / 1000000)$	-

			66/1000 000) = 0.00005 39282(t)	
250 - 300	2.325 58 - 2.79069 76744	d = 0.0318 H - 4.4586	$\phi((1-0.0256977)^x * 0.0256977 * 107.5 * x/4 * (0.0318 * 107.5 * x - 4.4586)^2 * 3.14 * 1592 * 1.575065 * 866/100000)$ = 0.00007 14162(t)	-
300 - 400	2.790 697674 4 - 3.72093	d = 0.051 H - 10.717	$\phi((1-0.0256977)^x * 0.0256977 * 107.5 * x/4 * (0.051 * 107.5 * x - 10.717)^2 * 3.14 * 1592 * 1.575065 * 866/100000)$ = 0.00519 21(t)	
400	3.720 93 - 99	d = 0.051 H - 10.717	$\phi((1-0.0256977)^x * 0.0256977 * 400/4 * (0.051 * 400 - 10.717)^2 * 3.14 * 1592 * 1.575065 * 866/100000)$	$= (1-0.0256977)^{100} * 400 * (0.051 * 400 - 10.717)^2 * 0.00157506586 * 3.141592/4$

			= 0.03808 84(t)	=0.00 343432 4 (t)
--	--	--	-----------------------	--------------------------

Table 25 Calculation details for deciduous tree  
 $H(t) = \boxed{107.5d}$

Height (cm)(= H)	Period since planting (t)	Diameter (cm) (= d)	Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	100-year tree planting
0 - 300	0 - 2.79069 76744	d = 0.0096 H + 1.2208	$\phi((1-0.0256977)^x * 0.0256977 * 107.5 * x/4 * (0.0096 * 107.5 * x + 1.2208)^2 * 3.14 * 1592 * 1.575065 * 866/100000)$ = 0.00013 0053(t)	-
300 - 400	2.790 697674 4 - 3.72093	d = 0.0429 H - 9.5903	$\phi((1-0.0256977)^x * 0.0256977 * 107.5 * x/4 * (0.0429 * 107.5 * x - 9.5903)^2 * 3.14 * 1592 * 1.575065 * 866/100000)$ = 0.00030 3882(t)	

400	3.720 93 - 99	d = 0.0429 H - 9.5903	$\phi((1-77)^x * 0.025697 * 400^{0.75} * 0.0429^4 * (0.0429 * 400 - 9.5903)^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065 / 866 / 100000) = 0.0232772(t)$	$= (1-77)^{100} * 400^{0.75} * 0.0429^4 * (0.0429 * 400 - 9.5903)^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065 / 866 / 100000) = 2098837(t)$
-----	------------------	-----------------------------	---	---

			$*x/4 * (0.0332 * 107.5^x - 5.6785) ^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065 / 866 / 100000) = 0.000352394(t)$	
400	3.720 93 - 99	d = 0.0332 H - 5.6785	$\phi((1-77)^x * 0.025697 * 400^{0.75} * 0.0332^4 * (0.0332 * 400 - 5.6785) ^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065 / 866 / 100000) = 0.0234732(t)$	$= (1-77)^{100} * 400^{0.75} * 0.0332^4 * (0.0332 * 400 - 5.6785) ^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065 / 866 / 100000) = 2116508(t)$

Table 26 Calculation details for conifer

$H(t) = 107.5t$

Height (cm) (= H)	Period since planting (t)	Diameter (cm) (= d)	Outside of 100-year planting (trees felled or cut down in the process)	100-year tree planting
0 - 300	1 - 2.7906976744	d = 0.0127 H + 0.9554	$\phi((1-77)^x * 0.025697 * 107.5^x / 4 * (0.0127 * 107.5 * x + 0.9554) ^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065 / 866 / 100000) = 0.000157871(t)$	-
300 - 400	2.7906976744 - 3.72093	d = 0.0332 H - 5.6785	$\phi((1-77)^x * 0.025697 * 107.5^x / 4 * (0.0332 * 400 - 5.6785) ^2 * 3.141592 * 1.575065 / 866 / 100000) = 0.0234732(t)$	