

EXTREME CONTRACTIONS ON FINITE-DIMENSIONAL BANACH SPACES

DEBMALYA SAIN, SHAMIM SOHEL AND KALLOL PAUL

ABSTRACT. We study extreme contractions in the setting of finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces. Motivated by the famous Krein-Milman Theorem, we prove that a *rank one* norm one linear operator between such spaces can be expressed as a convex combination of *rank one* extreme contractions, whenever the domain is two-dimensional. We establish that the same result holds true in the space of all linear operators from $\ell_\infty^n(\mathbb{C})$ to $\ell_1^n(\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, we present a geometric characterization of extreme contractions between finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Identifying the extreme contractions is a classical problem in the geometry of Banach spaces. Although extreme contractions between *Hilbert spaces* were completely characterized by Kadison in his seminal work [9], the problem is far from being well-understood in the framework of *Banach spaces*, after all this time. Even for linear operators between *finite-dimensional Banach spaces*, the study of extreme contractions is very much complicated and very little is known from a general perspective. One may explore [3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22] and the references therein, to gain more information in this context. Very recently, some initial progress has been made in [19], where extreme contractions were completely classified for *two-dimensional real strictly convex and smooth Banach spaces*. In this article, we continue the advancement by characterizing the extreme contractions between *finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces*. The readers are invited to contrast the methods employed in this article with those in [19], to verify our claim that the presence (or absence) of geometric features such as strict convexity and smoothness play a central role in determining the extremal structure of the unit ball of operator spaces. We also discuss a possible strengthening of the Krein-Milman Theorem, for *rank one linear operators of unit norm* between certain finite-dimensional real or complex Banach spaces, including the polyhedral spaces.

We use the symbols \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} to denote Banach spaces. All the Banach spaces considered in this article will be real, unless we say the contrary. Let $B_{\mathbb{X}} = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ and $S_{\mathbb{X}} = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : \|x\| = 1\}$ denote the unit ball

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B20, Secondary 47L05.

Key words and phrases. Extreme contractions; linear operators; polyhedral Banach spaces.

and the unit sphere of \mathbb{X} , respectively. The convex hull of a non-empty set $S \subset \mathbb{X}$ is the intersection of all convex sets in \mathbb{X} containing S and it is denoted by $Co(S)$. For any $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we use the notation $\tilde{x} \geq 0$ if each $x_i \geq 0$ and $\tilde{x} \leq 0$ if each $x_i \leq 0$. Let $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from \mathbb{X} to \mathbb{Y} , endowed with the usual operator norm. For a non-empty convex subset A of \mathbb{X} , an element $z \in A$ is said to be an extreme point of A if $z = (1 - t)x + ty$, for some $t \in (0, 1)$ and some $x, y \in A$, implies that $x = y = z$. The collection of all extreme points of A is denoted by $Ext(A)$. An operator $T \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ is said to be an extreme contraction if T is an extreme point of $B_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$. It is trivial to observe that if T is an extreme contraction then $\|T\| = 1$. Given any $T \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$, let M_T denote the norm attainment set of T , i.e., $M_T = \{x \in S_{\mathbb{X}} : \|Tx\| = \|T\|\}$. The kernel of T , denoted by $Ker T$, is defined as $Ker T = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : Tx = 0\}$. A finite-dimensional Banach space \mathbb{X} is said to be polyhedral if $Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ is finite. In other words, \mathbb{X} is a polyhedral Banach space if $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^n , for some natural number $n \geq 2$. With regards to the geometric structure of such a space, let us now recall the following standard definitions. For a detailed exposition on polyhedrons and their properties, we refer to [1, 18].

Definition 1.1. Let \mathbb{X} be a finite-dimensional Banach space. A *polyhedron* P is a non-empty compact subset of \mathbb{X} , which is the intersection of finitely many closed half-spaces of \mathbb{X} , i.e., $P = \bigcap_{i=1}^r M_i$, where M_i are closed half-spaces in \mathbb{X} and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. The dimension $dim(P)$ of the polyhedron P is defined as the dimension of the subspace generated by the differences $v - w$ of vectors $v, w \in P$.

Definition 1.2. A polyhedron Q is said to be a *face* of the polyhedron P if either $Q = P$ or if we can write $Q = P \cap \delta M$, where M is a closed half-space in \mathbb{X} containing P and δM denotes the boundary of M . If $dim(Q) = i$, then Q is called an *i-face* of P . $(n - 1)$ - faces of P are called *facets* of P and 1-faces of P are called *edges* of P .

We also require the notion of Birkhoff-James orthogonality [2, 7] in a Banach space. For $x, y \in \mathbb{X}$, we say that x is Birkhoff-James orthogonal to y , written as $x \perp_B y$, if $\|x + \lambda y\| \geq \|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $x \in \mathbb{X}$, x^\perp is defined as the collection of all $y \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $x \perp_B y$. Note that x^\perp is a non-trivial subset of \mathbb{X} and always contains a subspace of co-dimension 1 by virtue of the Hahn-Banach Theorem. The next definition is motivated by the famous Krein-Milman Theorem, whose Banach space version states that if K is a non-empty compact convex subset of a Banach space \mathbb{X} then $K = \overline{Co(Ext(K))}$, and therefore, in particular, $Ext(K) \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 1.3. Let \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} be Banach spaces and let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that the pair (\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) satisfies the *rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order r* if every norm one linear operator in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ having rank r can be expressed as a convex combination of extreme contractions $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ having rank r .

In case of finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces, to have a better understanding of the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 1, the following definition turns out to be very useful.

Definition 1.4. Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces, where $\dim(\mathbb{X}) = n$. We say that an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is a *Krein-Milman companion matrix* if there exist

- (i) rank 1 extreme contractions T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$, and
- (ii) $v \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n (M_{T_j} \cap \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}}))$ satisfying $T_1 v = T_2 v = \dots = T_n v$

such that the following holds: $T_j z_i = a_{ij} T_j v$, and $a_{1j} = 1$, for $2 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, where $z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n \in v^\perp \cap S_{\mathbb{X}}$ are linearly independent.

The reader should note that in the above definition, the conditions (i) and (ii) are ensured by virtue of [17, Th.2.1] and Theorem 2.3 of the present article. Let \mathbb{H} be a Hilbert space of dimension $n > 1$. $T \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{H})$ is an extreme contraction if and only if T is an isometry. In particular, for the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{H} , the pair (\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}) possesses the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order r if and only if $r = n$.

The present article is divided into four sections including the introductory one. In the next section, we focus exclusively on *rank one* operators. In particular, we characterize the *rank one* extreme contractions on finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces. As an application of this result, we obtain a sufficient condition for the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 1, in the said setting. In the succeeding section, we illustrate that such a strengthening of the Krein-Milman Property for rank 1 operators is not limited to real Banach spaces. In particular, we prove that the pair $(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ satisfies the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 1, over the complex field \mathbb{C} . In the final section, we characterize the extreme contractions in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$, where \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} are two finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces.

2. MAIN RESULTS.

SECTION: I

We begin this section with the following two basic observations which will come handy in our discussions. The proofs are omitted, as they are quite elementary.

Proposition 2.1. *Let \mathbb{X} be an n -dimensional polyhedral Banach space. Then every facet F of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ contains n linearly independent extreme points of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathbb{X} be an n -dimensional polyhedral Banach space. Then a face $F (\neq B_{\mathbb{X}})$ of the polyhedron $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a facet if F contains n linearly independent points.*

In the following theorem, we characterize the *rank one* extreme contractions between finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.3. *Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces, where $\dim(\mathbb{X}) = n$. Let $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$ be such that $\text{rank } T = 1$. Then T is an extreme contraction if and only if there exists a facet F of the polyhedron $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $M_T = F \cup (-F)$ and $Tx \in \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}})$, for any $x \in F$.*

Proof. We first prove the sufficient part of the theorem. Let $T = \frac{1}{2}(T_1 + T_2)$, where $T_1, T_2 \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$. By the hypothesis, there exists a facet F of the polyhedron $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $Tx \in \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}})$, for any $x \in F$. Following Proposition 2.1, there exist n linearly independent extreme points $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in F$ such that $Tv_i \in \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}})$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, $Tv_i = \frac{1}{2}(T_1v_i + T_2v_i)$, which implies that $Tv_i = T_1v_i = T_2v_i$. Therefore, $T = T_1 = T_2$ and consequently, T is an extreme contraction.

We next prove the necessary part of the theorem. Since $\text{rank } T = 1$, suppose that $T(\mathbb{X}) \cap S_{\mathbb{Y}} = \{\pm w\}$. Since $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$ is an extreme contraction, it follows from [20, Th. 2.2] that $\text{span}(M_T \cap \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})) = \mathbb{X}$. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be n linearly independent extreme points of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $Tv_i = w$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For any $x \in \text{Co}(\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}) \cap S_{\mathbb{X}}$, where $x = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_nv_n$,

$$Tx = T(c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_nv_n) = (c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_n)w = w.$$

This implies that $x \in M_T$ and therefore, $\text{Co}(\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}) \cap S_{\mathbb{X}} \subset M_T$. Let us consider the set $M = \{(d_1v_1 + d_2v_2 + \dots + d_nv_n) \in \mathbb{X} : d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n \leq 1\}$ and let $F = S_{\mathbb{X}} \cap \delta M$, where δM is the boundary of M . From Proposition 2.2, F is a facet of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$. Observe that $\text{Co}(\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}) \cap S_{\mathbb{X}} \subset F$ and from Proposition 2.2, it follows that $F \subset M_T$. Since T is rank 1, we conclude that $M_T = F \cup (-F)$. We next claim that $Tx = w \in \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}})$, for any $x \in F$. Let $w_1, w_2 \in S_{\mathbb{Y}}$ such that $w = \frac{1}{2}(w_1 + w_2)$. Define $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ such that $T_1v_i = w_1$ and $T_2v_i = w_2$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Clearly, $T = \frac{1}{2}(T_1 + T_2)$. For any $\tilde{u} \in S_{\mathbb{X}} \setminus \pm F$, it is easy to observe that $\tilde{u} \in \{(d_1v_1 + d_2v_2 + \dots + d_nv_n) \in \mathbb{X} : -1 < d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n < 1\}$ and therefore, $T_1, T_2 \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$. Since T is an extreme contraction, $T = T_1 = T_2$. This proves that $w = w_1 = w_2$ and hence w is an extreme point of $B_{\mathbb{Y}}$. \square

The above characterization allows us to explicitly compute the number of rank 1 extreme contractions between any pair of polyhedral Banach spaces, in the finite-dimensional context. This is presented in the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces. Suppose that the number of facets of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ is $2r$ and the number of extreme points of $B_{\mathbb{Y}}$ is $2s$. Then the number of rank 1 extreme contractions in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ is $2rs$.*

Proof. Let $\pm F_1, \pm F_2, \dots, \pm F_r$ be the facets of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ and let $\text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}}) = \{\pm w_1, \pm w_2, \dots, \pm w_s\}$. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that any rank 1 extreme contraction is of the form $T_{ij}(x) = w_j$ or $T_{ij}(x) = -w_j$, for any $x \in F_i$, where $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq s$. Therefore, the number of rank 1 extreme contractions in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ is $2rs$. \square

In the two-dimensional case, of course, the number of facets of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ is equal to the number of extreme points of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$, and therefore, the following

simple formulation holds true:

Whenever the domain is two-dimensional, the number of rank one extreme contractions between two polyhedral Banach spaces is equal to half the product of the number of extreme points of the unit balls of the two spaces.

In addition, we should also observe an important fact regarding the extremal structure of operator spaces, in the polyhedral case. It is elementary to see that purely from a cardinality point of view, the extremal structure of the unit ball of a given Banach space does not determine the geometry of space. In other words, two polyhedral Banach spaces of the same dimension, which are not isometric, may have the same number of extreme points of their respective unit balls. In this context, the above corollary ensures that the number of rank one extreme contractions between finite-dimensional polyhedral spaces is dependent *only* on the extremal structures of the two spaces, *only* from the point of view of cardinality. The isometric structures of the two spaces do not apparently play any role whatsoever, as far as rank one extreme contractions are concerned.

Our next goal is to present a sufficient condition for the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 1, in case of a pair of finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces. We require the following well-known result for this purpose.

Lemma 2.5. [5] (*Farkas' Lemma*) *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and let $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then exactly one of the following two assertions is true:*

- (i) *There exists an $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $Ax = b$ and $x \geq 0$.*
- (ii) *There exists a $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $A^t y \geq 0$ and $b^t y < 0$.*

Using this result, we have the following sufficient condition for rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 1.

Theorem 2.6. *Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces, where $\dim(\mathbb{X}) = n$. The pair (\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) satisfies the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 1 if for any $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $y_1 < 0$ and for any Krein-Milman companion matrix A , $A^t \tilde{y}^t \not\geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$ be a rank 1 linear operator and let $v \in M_T \cap \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$. Suppose that $Tv = w = c_1 w_1 + c_2 w_2 + \dots + c_r w_r$, where w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r are extreme points of the polyhedron $B_{\mathbb{Y}}$ and $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r \in [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r c_i = 1$. Let $\{z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\} \subset \text{Ker} T \cap S_{\mathbb{X}}$ be a linearly independent set. It is easy to observe that $z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n \in v^\perp \cap S_{\mathbb{X}}$. From Step 1 in the proof of [17, Th. 2.1], we conclude that there are at least n -number of facets of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ containing v . Suppose that F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n are the facets of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $v \in \cap_{i=1}^n F_i$. For $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n$, define $T_{ij} \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ as $T_{ij}(x) = w_i$, for any $x \in F_j$. From Theorem 2.3, it follows that T_{ij} are extreme contractions of rank 1, for all $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $T_{ij} z_k = d_{ij}^k w_i$, where $d_{ij}^k \in [-1, 1]$, for any $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n, 2 \leq k \leq n$. We claim that T is a convex combination of rn extreme contractions $T_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n$. To establish our claim, we consider the following r number of systems of linear

equations in nr variables α_{ij}

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \alpha_{i1} + \alpha_{i2} + \dots + \alpha_{in} = 1 \\
 & d_{i1}^2 \alpha_{i1} + d_{i2}^2 \alpha_{i2} + \dots + d_{in}^2 \alpha_{in} = 0 \\
 & d_{i1}^3 \alpha_{i1} + d_{i2}^3 \alpha_{i2} + \dots + d_{in}^3 \alpha_{in} = 0 \\
 & \vdots \\
 & \vdots \\
 & \vdots \\
 & d_{i1}^n \alpha_{i1} + d_{i2}^n \alpha_{i2} + \dots + d_{in}^n \alpha_{in} = 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.1}$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq r$. For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$, consider the matrix $P_k = (p_{ij}^k)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, where $p_{1j}^k = 1$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $p_{ij}^k = d_{kj}^i$, for $2 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Observe that $T_{kj}z_i = d_{kj}^i T_{kj}v$ and so clearly for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq r$, P_k is a Krein-Milman companion matrix. From the hypothesis for any $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with $y_1 < 0$, we have $P_k^t \tilde{y}^t \not\equiv 0$. Therefore, by using Lemma 2.5, the system of linear equations (2.1) has a positive solution. In other words, there exist nr real numbers $\alpha_{ij} \in [0, 1]$ satisfying the r number of system of linear equations (2.1). Then for any $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\alpha_{i1}T_{i1} + \alpha_{i2}T_{i2} + \dots + \alpha_{in}T_{in})v = w_i \\
 & (\alpha_{i1}T_{i1} + \alpha_{i2}T_{i2} + \dots + \alpha_{in}T_{in})z_2 = 0 \\
 & (\alpha_{i1}T_{i1} + \alpha_{i2}T_{i2} + \dots + \alpha_{in}T_{in})z_3 = 0 \\
 & \vdots \\
 & \vdots \\
 & (\alpha_{i1}T_{i1} + \alpha_{i2}T_{i2} + \dots + \alpha_{in}T_{in})z_n = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$Tv = w = c_1w_1 + c_2w_2 + \dots + c_rw_r = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}T_{ij}v \right)$$

and for each $k, 2 \leq k \leq n$,

$$Tz_k = 0 = c_1w_1 + c_2w_2 + \dots + c_rw_r = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \left(\sum_j^n \alpha_{ij}T_{ij}z_k \right).$$

Therefore,

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \alpha_{ij} T_{ij}$$

with

$$\sum_{i=1}^r [c_i(\alpha_{i1} + \alpha_{i2} + \dots + \alpha_{in})] = c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_r = 1.$$

This completes the theorem. \square

As a consequence of the previous theorem, we have the following nice outcome whenever the domain is two-dimensional.

Corollary 2.7. *Let \mathbb{X} be a two-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and let \mathbb{Y} be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space. Then the pair (\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) satisfies the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 1.*

Proof. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ be a Krein-Milman companion matrix. Then $a_{11} = a_{12} = 1$. Suppose that T_1, T_2 are two distinct rank 1 extreme contraction in $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ such that $v \in M_{T_1} \cap M_{T_2} \cap \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ and $T_1 z = a_{21} T_1 v$ and $T_2 z = a_{22} T_2 v$, for some $z \in v^\perp \cap S_{\mathbb{X}}$. Moreover, $T_1 v = T_2 v$. Let E_1, E_2 be the edges of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $v \in E_1 \cap E_2$. From Theorem 2.3, it is easy to observe that $M_{T_1} = E_1 \cup (-E_1)$ and $M_{T_2} = E_2 \cup (-E_2)$. Then there exist two linear functionals $f_1, f_2 \in S_{\mathbb{X}^*}$ such that $T_1(x) = f_1(x)T_1 v$ and $T_2(x) = f_2(x)T_2 v$. Accordingly, the space \mathbb{X} is divided into three mutually disjoint subsets as $H_1^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : f_1(x) > 0\}$, $H_1^- = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : f_1(x) < 0\}$ and $H_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : f_1(x) = 0\}$. Similarly, for the functional f_2 , we get another decomposition of \mathbb{X} into three mutually disjoint subsets H_2^+, H_2^-, H_2 , defined accordingly. Clearly, f_1 and f_2 are the supporting functionals corresponding to the facets E_1 and E_2 of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$, respectively and so $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}^*})$. It is easy to observe that any supporting functional at v can be written as a convex combination of f_1 and f_2 . We claim that for any $z \in v^\perp \cap S_{\mathbb{X}}$, $z \in (H_1^+ \cap H_2^-) \cup (H_1^- \cap H_2^+)$. Suppose on the contrary that $v \perp_B z$ but $z \in H_1^+ \cap H_2^+$. Then $f_1(z), f_2(z) > 0$. Since $v \perp_B z$, there exists $g \in S_{\mathbb{X}^*}$ such that $g(v) = 1$ and $g(z) = 0$. Now, $g = (1-t)f_1 + tf_2$, where $t \in (0, 1)$. Then $g(z) = (1-t)f_1(z) + tf_2(z) \implies (1-t)f_1(z) + tf_2(z) = 0$. That contradicts that $f_1(z), f_2(z) > 0$. Thus, $z \notin H_1^+ \cap H_2^+$. Similarly, we can show that $z \notin H_1^- \cap H_2^-$. This establishes that $v^\perp \subset (H_1^+ \cap H_2^-) \cup (H_1^- \cap H_2^+) \cup H_1 \cup H_2$. As a result, $f_1(z)f_2(z) \leq 0$, and consequently $a_{21}a_{22} \leq 0$. Therefore, any Krein-Milman companion matrix A is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, where $a_{21}a_{22} \leq 0$.

Now for any $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $y_1 < 0$,

$$A^t \tilde{y}^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{21} \\ 1 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 + a_{21}y_2 \\ y_1 + a_{22}y_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $y_1 < 0$ and $a_{21}a_{22} \leq 0$, it is evident that $A^t \tilde{y}^t \not\geq 0$. Therefore, the desired result follows immediately from Theorem 2.6. \square

Remark 2.8. It follows easily from Corollary 2.7 that the pair $(\ell_\infty^2, \ell_\infty^2)$ satisfies the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 1. However the pair fails to satisfy the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 2. The extreme contractions (see [14, Th. 2.3]) of rank 2 on ℓ_∞^2 are of the form :

$$\pm A_1 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm A_2 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm A_3 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm A_4 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \in S_{\ell_\infty^2}$. Clearly, $\text{rank } T = 2$. We show that T cannot be written as a convex combination of rank 2 extreme contractions. Suppose on the contrary that

$$T = c_1 A_1 + c_2 (-A_1) + c_3 A_2 + c_4 (-A_2) + c_5 A_3 + c_6 (-A_3) + c_7 A_4 + c_8 (-A_4),$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^8 c_i = 1$ and $0 \leq c_i \leq 1$. By a straightforward calculation it can be shown that $c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - c_4 = 1$ and $c_5 - c_6 - c_7 + c_8 = \frac{1}{2}$. This contradicts that $\sum_{i=1}^8 c_i = 1$ and $0 \leq c_i \leq 1$. Therefore, $(\ell_\infty^2, \ell_\infty^2)$ does not satisfy the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 2. In this context, also observe that $T = \frac{1}{2}A_1 + \frac{1}{2}B$, where $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a rank 1 extreme contraction.

SECTION-II

The purpose of this section is to illustrate that the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 1 may be satisfied in case of complex Banach spaces as well. Our principal objective is to show that the pair $(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ satisfies the said property. We will use the notations $\|\cdot\|_\infty, \|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_{\infty,1}$, to denote the norms on $\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n, \mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$, respectively. All the spaces considered in this section are over the complex field \mathbb{C} .

We would like to begin with a characterization of the rank 1 operators and the rank 1 extreme contractions in $\mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$. We require the following immediate description of extreme points of $B_{\ell_\infty^n}$ and $B_{\ell_1^n}$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\tilde{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in B_{\ell_\infty^n}$. Then $\tilde{u} \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_\infty^n})$ if and only if $|u_i| = 1$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. On the other hand, $\tilde{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_1^n})$ if and only if there exists $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|w_{i_0}| = 1$ and $w_i = 0$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_0\}$.*

We next introduce a simple notation that will be particularly useful for our purpose. Let $\tilde{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_\infty^n})$. We write $\tilde{u}_1 = \tilde{u}$. For each $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$, we define $\tilde{u}_i = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{i-1}, -u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_n)$. In other words, whenever $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$, \tilde{u}_i differs from $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}_1$ only in the i -th coordinate by a factor -1 . It is easy to observe that $\{\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}_2, \dots, \tilde{u}_n\}$ is a basis of ℓ_∞^n . We are now in a position to characterize the rank 1 operators on the unit sphere of $\mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $T \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ be rank 1. Then $\|T\|_{\infty,1} = 1$ if and only if there exists $\tilde{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_\infty^n})$, $\tilde{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in S_{\ell_1^n}$ and $\kappa_j \in [-1, 1]$ such that for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $T(\tilde{u}_j) = \kappa_j \tilde{w}$, where $\kappa_1 = 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j \geq (n-2)$.*

Proof. Let us first prove the necessary part of the theorem. Let $T \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ be a rank 1 linear operator such that $\|T\|_{\infty,1} = 1$. Clearly, T attains norm at some extreme point $\tilde{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_\infty^n})$. Let $T(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in S_{\ell_1^n}$. Since T is rank 1, there exists $\kappa_j \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $T(\tilde{u}_j) = \kappa_j \tilde{w}$ for each $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$. We claim that each κ_j is real. To prove our claim, we first observe that $\{\tilde{u}_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a basis of ℓ_∞^n . Therefore, any $\tilde{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \ell_\infty^n$ can be uniquely written as $\tilde{z} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \tilde{u}_j$, where α_j are scalars. On explicit computation, we obtain the following expressions for α_j :

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{3-n}{2} \frac{z_1}{u_1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{z_j}{u_j},$$

$$\alpha_j = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z_1}{u_1} - \frac{z_j}{u_j} \right), \text{ for each } j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}.$$

Applying the linearity of T , we have, $T(\tilde{z}) = T(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T(\tilde{u}_j)$.

Writing the values of α_j and $T(\tilde{u}_j)$, we obtain the following:

$$T(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = l(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n), \text{ where } l = \left(\frac{3-n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \kappa_j \right) \frac{z_1}{u_1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa_j \right) \frac{z_j}{u_j}.$$

Since $\|\tilde{w}\|_1 = 1$, this gives, $\|T(\tilde{z})\|_1 = |l| = \left| \left(\frac{3-n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \kappa_j \right) \frac{z_1}{u_1} + \sum_{j=2}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa_j \right) \frac{z_j}{u_j} \right|$. Let us observe that it is possible to choose unimodular $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\left(\frac{3-n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \kappa_j \right) \frac{z_1}{u_1} = \left| \frac{3-n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \kappa_j \right|$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa_j \right) \frac{z_j}{u_j} = \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa_j \right|$ for each $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$. Therefore, with this particular choice of \tilde{z} , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{\infty,1} &\geq \|T(\tilde{z})\|_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| (3-n) + \sum_{j=2}^n \kappa_j \right| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n |1 - \kappa_j| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left| (3-n) + \sum_{j=2}^n \kappa_j + \sum_{j=2}^n (1 - \kappa_j) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |(3-n) + (n-1)| \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|T\|_{\infty,1} = 1$, it follows that each inequality in the above system of inequalities must be an equality. Moreover, we note that if $|1 - \kappa_j| = 0$ for each $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ then our claim is already proved. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $1 - \kappa_2 \neq 0$. Therefore, by applying the equality condition of triangular inequality, it follows that there exist scalars $m_3, m_4, \dots, m_{n+1} \geq 0$ such that for each $j \in \{3, 4, \dots, n\}$, $1 - \kappa_j = m_j(1 - \kappa_2)$ and $(3-n) + \sum_{j=2}^n \kappa_j = m_{n+1}(1 - \kappa_2)$. It follows from these relations that

$$(1 - \kappa_2) \left(\sum_{j=3}^{n+1} m_j + 1 \right) = 2.$$

Since each $m_j \geq 0$, this proves that $1 - \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $\kappa_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, it follows that $\kappa_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for each $j \in \{3, 4, \dots, n\}$. This completes the proof of our claim. Moreover, since $1 = \|T\|_{\infty,1} \geq \|T(\tilde{u}_j)\| = |\kappa_j|$, we deduce that $\kappa_j \in [-1, 1]$ for each $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$. We further note that since

$(3 - n) + \sum_{j=2}^n \kappa_j = m_{n+1}(1 - \kappa_2) \geq 0$, we must have, $\sum_{j=2}^n \kappa_j \geq n - 3$, i.e., $\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j \geq n - 2$, where $\kappa_1 = 1$. This completes the proof of the necessary part of the theorem.

On the other hand, if $T \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ is such that T satisfies the conditions stated in the theorem, then it follows from the above computations that for any $\tilde{z} \in S_{\ell_\infty^n}$, we have, $\|T(\tilde{z})\|_1 \leq 1 = \|T(\tilde{u})\|_1$. Therefore, $\|T\|_{\infty,1} = 1$. This completes the proof of the sufficient part of the theorem and establishes it completely. \square

We apply the above result to obtain a complete characterization of rank 1 extreme contractions in $\mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $T \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ be rank 1. Then T is an extreme contraction in $\mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ if and only if there exists $\tilde{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_\infty^n})$, $\tilde{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_1^n})$ and $\kappa_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots, n$) such that for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $T(\tilde{u}_j) = \kappa_j \tilde{w}$, where $\kappa_1 = 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j \geq (n - 2)$.*

Proof. We first prove the necessary part of the theorem. Let $T \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ be a rank 1 extreme contraction. Clearly, $\|T\|_{\infty,1} = 1$. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 2.10 that there exists $\tilde{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_\infty^n})$, $\tilde{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in S_{\ell_1^n}$ and $\kappa_j \in [-1, 1]$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots, n$) such that for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $T(\tilde{u}_j) = \kappa_j \tilde{w}$, where $\kappa_1 = 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j \geq (n - 2)$. Our first claim is that $\tilde{w} \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_1^n})$. Suppose this is not true. Then there exists $\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2 \in S_{\ell_1^n} \setminus \tilde{w}$ and $t_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\tilde{w} = (1 - t_1)\tilde{w}_1 + t_1\tilde{w}_2$. Let us consider two linear operators $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ defined in the following way:

$$T_1(\tilde{u}_j) = \kappa_j \tilde{w}_1 \qquad T_2(\tilde{u}_j) = \kappa_j \tilde{w}_2 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Clearly, $T_1, T_2 \neq T = (1 - t_1)T_1 + t_1T_2$. Moreover, Theorem 2.10 ensures that $\|T_1\|_{\infty,1} = \|T_2\|_{\infty,1} = 1$. However, this contradicts our assumption that T is an extreme contraction. This completes the proof of our claim that $\tilde{w} \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_1^n})$. Our next claim is that $\kappa_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ for each $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$. We first observe that if there exists $j_0 \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ such that $\kappa_{j_0} = -1$ then for each $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j_0\}$, we must have that $\kappa_j = 1$. We further observe that since $|\kappa_j| \leq 1$ and $\sum_{j=2}^n \kappa_j \geq (n - 3)$, therefore, given any two distinct $j_1, j_2 \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$, we must have that $\kappa_{j_1} + \kappa_{j_2} \geq 0$. Now, if either $\kappa_2 = -1$ or $\kappa_3 = -1$ then our claim is established. Let us assume that $\kappa_2, \kappa_3 \neq -1$. We will show that $\kappa_2 = \kappa_3 = 1$. Let us choose $\epsilon_2 = 1 - \kappa_3$ and $\epsilon_3 = 1 - \kappa_2$. Clearly, $\epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 \geq 0$. Let us consider two linear operators $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ defined in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1(\tilde{u}_1) &= \tilde{w} & A_2(\tilde{u}_1) &= \tilde{w} \\
 A_1(\tilde{u}_2) &= \tilde{w} & A_2(\tilde{u}_2) &= (\kappa_2 - \epsilon_2)\tilde{w} \\
 A_1(\tilde{u}_3) &= (\kappa_3 - \epsilon_3)\tilde{w} & A_2(\tilde{u}_3) &= \tilde{w} \\
 A_1(\tilde{u}_j) &= \kappa_j\tilde{w} & A_2(\tilde{u}_j) &= \kappa_j\tilde{w} \quad (4 \leq j \leq n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\kappa_2|, |\kappa_3| \leq 1$ and $\kappa_2 + \kappa_3 \geq 0$, it follows that $|\kappa_j - \epsilon_j| \leq 1$ ($j = 2, 3$). Moreover, we have, $1 + 1 + (\kappa_3 - \epsilon_3) + \sum_{j=4}^n \kappa_j = 1 + (\kappa_2 - \epsilon_2) + 1 +$

$\sum_{j=4}^n \kappa_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j \geq (n - 2)$. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 2.10 that $\|A_1\|_{\infty,1} = \|A_2\|_{\infty,1} = 1$. We further observe that $T = (1 - t_0)A_1 + t_0A_2$, where $t_0 = \frac{1 - \kappa_2}{(1 - \kappa_2) + (1 - \kappa_3)} \in [0, 1]$. Since T is an extreme contraction, we must have $A_1 = A_2 = T$. However, this clearly implies that $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = 0$, i.e., $\kappa_2 = \kappa_3 = 1$. Using similar arguments, it can be shown that $\kappa_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ for each $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$. This completes the proof of the necessary part of the theorem.

Let us now prove the comparatively easier sufficient part of the theorem. Let $T \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ satisfies all the conditions stated in the theorem. Theorem 2.10 ensures that $\|T\|_{\infty,1} = 1$. Suppose there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ and $A_3, A_4 \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$, with $\|A_3\|_{\infty,1} = \|A_4\|_{\infty,1} = 1$, such that $T = (1 - t)A_3 + tA_4$. Since $\pm\tilde{w} \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_1^n})$, it is easy to show that $A_3 = A_4 = T$. This proves that T is an extreme contraction in $\mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$. This completes the proof of the sufficient part of the theorem and establishes it completely. \square

We next prove that the pair $(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ satisfies the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 1, as promised.

Theorem 2.12. *The pair $(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ satisfies the rank invariant Krein-Milman Property of order 1, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let T be a rank 1 linear operator of unit norm in $\mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$. Let $T(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{w} \in S_{\ell_1^n}$ and for any $2 \leq j \leq n$, let $T(\tilde{u}_j) = k_j\tilde{w}$, where $\kappa_1 = 1, k_j \in [-1, 1]$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j \geq (n - 2)$. Suppose that $\tilde{w} = c_1\tilde{w}_1 + c_2\tilde{w}_2 + \dots + c_r\tilde{w}_r$, for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2, \dots, \tilde{w}_r \in \text{Ext}(B_{\ell_1^n})$ and $c_i \in [0, 1]$, for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_r = 1$. Now let us assume that for any $1 \leq i \leq r$, $T_i \in \mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ such that for any $2 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_i(\tilde{u}) &= \tilde{w}_i \\
 T_i(\tilde{u}_j) &= k_j\tilde{w}_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $T = c_1T_1 + c_2T_2 + \dots + c_rT_r$. We employ the same arguments as given in the proof of the necessary part of Theorem 2.11 to express each T_i as a convex combination of two rank 1 operators A_{i1} and A_{i2} of unit norm in $\mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$. We notice that A_{i1} and A_{i2} are "one step closer" to being rank 1 extreme contractions in $\mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$, in comparison to each T_i . We continue

applying the same technique on A_{i1}, A_{i2} , and the subsequent operators obtained in the process until we arrive at rank 1 extreme contractions. We note that that process would terminate in at most $(n - 1)$ steps. This gives us the required expression of T as a convex combination of rank 1 extreme contractions in $\mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ and completes the proof of the theorem. \square

We end this section with the following remark, explaining the motivation behind our choice of the particular pair $(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$.

Remark 2.13. The Grothendieck's inequality, one of the most fundamental and celebrated results in the metric theory of tensor products of Banach spaces, is closely related to the extremal structure of the unit ball of the space $\mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$, considered over the complex field. We refer the readers to the remarkably resourceful and influential article [15], for more information on this rapidly advancing area of research. In particular, a complete description of the extreme contractions of all rank in the space $\mathbb{L}(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$ might be useful in estimating the Grothendieck's constant. This explains our choice of the particular pair $(\ell_\infty^n, \ell_1^n)$. In this context, Theorem 2.11 allows us to identify the *rank one* extreme contractions, and Theorem 2.12 ensures that we have a complete description of the *rank one* linear operators in the said space. We would like to point out that the descriptions of higher rank extreme contractions seem to be far more difficult, and the problem remains open to the best of our knowledge.

SECTION-III

This section is devoted to studying the extreme contractions on finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces. In order to characterize the extreme contractions, we need to introduce some new definitions, motivated by the geometry of polyhedral Banach spaces.

Let \mathbb{X} be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and let $v \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$. Observe that if there exists an i -face F of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $v \in F$, for some $1 \leq i < n - 1$, then there exists an $(i + 1)$ -face of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ containing v . If F is an i -face containing v such that there exists no $(i - 1)$ -face of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$ containing v , then F is said to be the minimal face of v . Note that the minimal face of an element $v \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$ is always unique. Next we introduce the following definitions whose importance in describing the extreme contractions between finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces will be clear in due course of time.

Definition 2.14. Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces and let $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$. If S is a maximal (with respect to the usual partial order induced by set inclusion) linearly independent subset of $M_T \cap \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ such that $T(S) \subset \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}})$ then the cardinality of S is defined as *the extremal number corresponding to T* .

It is elementary to observe that the extremal number corresponding to T is independent of the choice of S in the above definition.

Definition 2.15. Let \mathbb{X} be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space. Let $v \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$ and let F be the minimal face of v . Suppose that $\text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}}) \cap F =$

$\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. An r -tuple of scalars $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r)$ is said to be an F -associated tuple of scalars corresponding to v with ϵ -dominated norm if $v + \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i w_i, v - \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i w_i \in F$ and $\|\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i w_i\| < \epsilon$.

By applying the convexity property of the norm function, it is not difficult to observe that if $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r)$ is an F -associated tuple of scalars corresponding to v with ϵ -dominated norm then $\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i = 0$.

Definition 2.16. Let \mathbb{X} be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space. Let $x \in B_{\mathbb{X}}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then a pair of elements $u, v \in \mathbb{X}$ is said to be a pair of ϵ -diametric points of x if $u, v \in \mathcal{B}(x, \epsilon) \cap B_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $u + v = 2x$.

Definition 2.17. Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces, where $\dim(\mathbb{X}) = n$. Let $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$ and let $(x, y) \in S_{\mathbb{X}} \times S_{\mathbb{Y}}$. Suppose that F is the minimal face of $y \in S_{\mathbb{Y}}$ such that $Ext(B_{\mathbb{Y}}) \cap F = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\}$. Also assume that $S = \{x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\} \subset S_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a linearly independent set. Then (x, y) is called a $*$ -pair of points with respect to S if given any $\epsilon > 0$ and any pair of ϵ -diametric points u_i, v_i of Tz_i , where $2 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an $\tilde{v} = \alpha_1 x + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i z_i \in Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ such that the following condition holds:

$$\|\alpha_1 y + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i u_i + \alpha_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j \right)\| > 1$$

or

$$\|\alpha_1 y + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i v_i - \alpha_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j \right)\| > 1,$$

for any F -associated tuple of scalars corresponding to y with ϵ -dominated norm, $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r)$, where $\alpha_1(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j) \neq \theta$ whenever $(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n) = (v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n)$.

The following result describes a nice property of the faces of a polyhedral Banach spaces.

Proposition 2.18. Let \mathbb{X} be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and let $v \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$. Suppose that F is a face of $B_{\mathbb{X}}$, containing v . Then for any $u, w \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $v = (1-t)u + tw$, it follows that $u, w \in F$.

Proof. Since F is a face of $v \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$, it can be written as $F = S_{\mathbb{X}} \cap \delta M$, where δM is the boundary of a closed half-space M in \mathbb{X} . It is easy to observe that there exists a functional $f \in S_{\mathbb{X}^*}$ such that $\delta M = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : f(x) = 1\}$. Now, $f((1-t)u + tw) = f(v) = 1$ and from this, it is easy to see that $f(u) = f(w) = 1$, by using that $\|f\| = 1$. Since $u, w \in S_{\mathbb{X}}$, we conclude that $u, w \in F$. \square

We are now ready to present a complete characterization of the extreme contractions of $\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$, in terms of our newly introduced notion of $*$ -pair of points, where \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} are finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.19. Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces and let $\dim(\mathbb{X}) = n$. $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$ is an extreme contraction if and only if

exactly one of the following conditions holds true:

- (i) Extremal number of T is equal to n .
- (ii) Extremal number of T is strictly less than n and there exists $v \in M_T \cap \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ and $S \subset S_{\mathbb{X}}$, a basis of \mathbb{X} containing v such that $Tv \notin \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}})$ and (v, Tv) is a $*$ -pair of points with respect to S .

Proof. Let us first prove the sufficient part of the theorem. Assume that the extremal number of T is equal to n . Suppose on the contrary that $T = \frac{1}{2}T_1 + \frac{1}{2}T_2$, for some $T_1, T_2 \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$. Suppose v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are linearly independent points in $M_T \cap \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ such that $Tv_i \in \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}})$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $Tv_i = \frac{1}{2}T_1v_i + \frac{1}{2}T_2v_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $Tv_i \in \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}})$, we get $Tv_i = T_1v_i = T_2v_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, $T = T_1 = T_2$. This implies that T is an extreme contraction. Next assume that the extremal number of T is strictly less than n and that there exists $v \in M_T \cap \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ such that $Tv \notin \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}})$. Also, let $S = \{v, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\} \subset S_{\mathbb{X}}$ be a basis of \mathbb{X} containing v such that (v, Tv) is a $*$ -pair of points with respect to S . Suppose on the contrary that T is not an extreme contraction. Taking $\epsilon > 0$, it is easy to observe that there exists $T_1, T_2 \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$ such that $T = \frac{1}{2}T_1 + \frac{1}{2}T_2$ and $\|T_1 - T\| < \epsilon, \|T_2 - T\| < \epsilon$. Let F be the minimal face of the element Tv and $F \cap \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}}) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\}$. Therefore, $Tv = c_1w_1 + c_2w_2 + \dots + c_rw_r$, for some c_i 's $\in (0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r c_i = 1$. Since $v \in M_T$, it easily follows that $v \in M_{T_1} \cap M_{T_2}$. Also, as $Tv = \frac{1}{2}(T_1v + T_2v)$ and F is the minimal face of Tv , we conclude that $T_1v, T_2v \in F$, by Proposition 2.18. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} T_1v &= \sum_{i=1}^r (c_i + \mu_i)w_i = Tv + \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_iw_i, \\ T_2v &= \sum_{i=1}^r (c_i - \mu_i)w_i = Tv - \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_iw_i, \end{aligned}$$

for some F -associated tuple of scalars, $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r)$, corresponding to Tv with ϵ -dominated norm. It is easy to verify that T_1z_i and T_2z_i is a pair of ϵ -diametric points of each Tz_i , for any $2 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\tilde{x} = \alpha_1v + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i z_i \in \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$, for some scalars α_i 's. Then clearly $\|T_1\tilde{x}\| \leq 1$ and $\|T_2\tilde{x}\| \leq 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha_1Tv + \alpha_1\left(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_jw_j\right) + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_iT_1z_i\| &\leq 1, \\ \|\alpha_1Tv - \alpha_1\left(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_jw_j\right) + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_iT_2z_i\| &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and a pair of ϵ -diametric points T_1z_i, T_2z_i of Tz_i , ($2 \leq i \leq n$) such that for any $\tilde{x} = \alpha_1v + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i z_i \in \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$, we

have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\alpha_1 T v + \alpha_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j \right) + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i T_1 z_i\| \leq 1 \\ \text{and } & \|\alpha_1 T v - \alpha_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j \right) + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i T_2 z_i\| \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

for some F -associated tuple of scalars, $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r)$ corresponding to Tv with ϵ -dominated norm. Since $T \neq T_1 \neq T_2$, so $\alpha_1(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j) \neq \theta$ whenever $(T_1 z_2, T_1 z_3, \dots, T_1 z_n) = (T_2 z_2, T_2 z_3, \dots, T_2 z_n)$. This contradicts the fact that (v, Tv) is an $*$ -pair of points with respect to S .

Next we prove the necessary part of the theorem. Let $T \in S_{L(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$ be an extreme contraction. From [20, Th. 2.2], we get that $\text{span}(M_T \cap \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})) = \mathbb{X}$. If the extremal number of T is equal to n , then we have nothing to prove. Let us now consider that the extremal number of T is strictly less than n . Let $v \in M_T \cap \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ such that $Tv \notin \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}})$. Let F be the minimal face of Tv and let $\text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{Y}}) \cap F = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\}$. Suppose on the contrary that (v, Tv) is not a $*$ -pair of points with respect to S , for any basis $S \subset S_{\mathbb{X}}$ of \mathbb{X} containing v . Let $S = \{v, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\} \subset S_{\mathbb{X}}$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and a pair of ϵ -diametric points u_i, v_i of Tz_i ($2 \leq i \leq n$) such that for any $\tilde{x} = \alpha_1 x + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i z_i \in \text{Ext}(B_{\mathbb{X}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\alpha_1 T v + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i u_i + \alpha_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j \right)\| \leq 1 \\ & \|\alpha_1 T v + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i v_i - \alpha_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j \right)\| \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

for some F -associated tuple of scalars, $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r)$ corresponding to Tv with ϵ -dominated norm such that $\alpha_1(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j) \neq \theta$ whenever $(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n) = (v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n)$. Define $T_1, T_2 \in L(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ as

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 v &= T v + \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j \\ T_1 z_i &= u_i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n \\ \text{and } T_2 v &= T v - \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j \\ T_2 z_i &= v_i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

It is now immediate that $T = \frac{1}{2}T_1 + \frac{1}{2}T_2$. Since $\alpha_1(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j) \neq \theta$ whenever $(u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n) = (v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n)$, we have $T_1 \neq T \neq T_2$. For any

$\tilde{x} \in Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1\tilde{x}\| &= \|T_1(\alpha_1 v + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i z_i)\| \\ &= \|\alpha_1 T v + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i u_i + \alpha_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j w_j \right)\| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\|T_2\tilde{x}\| \leq 1$. Thus, $T_1, T_2 \in B_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$, and this contradicts the assumption that T is an extreme contraction. This establishes the theorem. \square

Remark 2.20. From the above theorem, it is easy to observe that for the pair (\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) such that there exists $T \in Ext(\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}))$ satisfying $T(Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})) \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{Y}}) \neq \phi$, we obtain a $v \in Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})$ and a basis $S \subset S_{\mathbb{X}}$ of \mathbb{X} containing v such that (v, Tv) is a $*$ -pair of points with respect to S , for some $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})}$.

The following result, which also illustrates the application of $*$ -pair of points in the study of extreme contractions, follows as a consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 2.21. *Let \mathbb{X} be a 2-dimensional polyhedral Banach space such that $|Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})| \geq 8$. Then any linear operator $T \in S_{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{X}, \ell_{\infty}^2)}$ such that $|M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})| = 8$ is an extreme contraction.*

Proof. Let $\pm F_1, \pm F_2$ be the edges of $B_{\ell_{\infty}^2}$, where $\{(1, 1), (1, -1)\}$ and $\{(1, 1), (-1, 1)\}$ are the extreme points of the edges F_1 and F_2 , respectively. Suppose that $M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}}) = \{\pm v_1, \pm v_2, \pm v_3, \pm v_4\}$. It is easy to verify that $|T(Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})) \cap F_i| = 2$, where $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $Tv_1, Tv_2 \in F_1$ and $Tv_3, Tv_4 \in F_2$, where $Tv_i \notin Ext(B_{\ell_{\infty}^2})$, for any $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $Tv_3 \in F_2$, it is easy to observe from Proposition 2.18 that for any pair of ϵ -diametric points w_1, w_2 of Tv_3 , it holds that $w_1, w_2 \in F_2$. Moreover, w_1, w_2 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= Tv_3 - \gamma[(1, 1) - (-1, 1)] = Tv_3 - \gamma(2, 0), \\ w_2 &= Tv_3 + \gamma[(1, 1) - (-1, 1)] = Tv_3 + \gamma(2, 0), \end{aligned}$$

for some $\gamma \in [0, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$. Clearly, F_1 is the minimal face of Tv_1 . Suppose that (μ_1, μ_2) is an F_1 -associated tuple of scalars corresponding to Tv_1 with ϵ -dominated norm such that $a_1(\mu_1(1, 1) + \mu_2(1, -1)) \neq \theta$, whenever $w_1 = w_2$. It is now easy to observe that $\mu_1 + \mu_2 = 0$ and at least one of μ_1 and γ is non-zero.

Let $v_2 = a_1 v_1 + b_1 v_3$ and let $Tv_2 = (1, \alpha)$, where $|\alpha| < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_1 Tv_1 + b_1 Tv_3\| &= \|a_1\{\mu_1(1, 1) + \mu_2(1, -1)\} + b_1 w_1\| \\ &= \|a_1 Tv_1 + b_1 Tv_3 + a_1 \mu_1\{(1, 1) - (1, -1)\} - b_1 \gamma(2, 0)\| \\ &= \|Tv_2 + a_1 \mu_1(0, 2) - b_1 \gamma(2, 0)\| \\ &= \|(1, \alpha) + a_1 \mu_1(0, 2) - b_1 \gamma(2, 0)\| \\ &= \|(1 - 2b_1 \gamma, \alpha + 2a_1 \mu_1)\| \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\|a_1Tv_1 - a_1\{\mu_1(1, 1) + \mu_2(1, -1)\} + b_1w_2\| = \|(1 + 2b_1\gamma, \alpha - 2a_1\mu_1)\|.$$

Again, let $v_4 = a_2v_1 + b_2v_3$ and $Tv_4 = (\beta, 1)$, where $|\beta| < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_2Tv_1 + a_2\{\mu_1(1, 1) + \mu_2(1, -1)\} + b_2w_1\| &= \|a_2Tv_1 + a_2\mu_1(0, 2) + b_2w_1\| \\ &= \|Tv_4 + a_2\mu_1(0, 2) - b_2\gamma(2, 0)\| \\ &= \|(\beta, 1) + a_2\mu_1(0, 2) - b_2\gamma(2, 0)\| \\ &= \|(\beta - 2b_2\gamma, 1 + 2a_2\mu_1)\|. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|a_2Tv_1 - a_2\{\mu_1(1, 1) + \mu_2(1, -1)\} + b_2w_2\| = \|(\beta + 2b_2\gamma, 1 - 2a_2\mu_1)\|.$$

Since at least γ and μ_1 is non-zero, it is immediate that at least one of $|1 - 2b_1\gamma|$, $|1 + 2b_1\gamma|$, $|1 + 2a_2\mu_1|$ and $|1 - 2a_2\mu_1|$ is strictly greater than 1 and accordingly, at least one of the following must hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_1Tv_1 + a_1\{\mu_1(1, 1) + \mu_2(1, -1)\} + b_1w_1\| &> 1 \\ \|a_1Tv_1 - a_1\{\mu_1(1, 1) + \mu_2(1, -1)\} + b_1w_2\| &> 1 \\ \|a_2Tv_1 + a_2\{\mu_1(1, 1) + \mu_2(1, -1)\} + b_2w_1\| &> 1 \\ \|a_2Tv_1 - a_2\{\mu_1(1, 1) + \mu_2(1, -1)\} + b_2w_2\| &> 1. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, there exists $S = \{v_1, v_3\} \subset S_{\mathbb{X}}$ such that $Tv_1 \notin Ext(B_{\ell_\infty^2})$ and (v_1, Tv_1) is a $*$ -pair of points with respect to S . From Theorem 2.19, we conclude that T is an extreme contraction. \square

Remark 2.22. This result substantiates [14, Th. 2.8]. We observe that any linear operator with $|M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})| = 8$ is an extreme contraction but $T(M_T \cap Ext(B_{\mathbb{X}})) \cap Ext(B_{\ell_\infty^2}) = \phi$.

Acknowledgement.

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his invaluable suggestions and comments. The research of Dr. Debmalya Sain is sponsored by a Maria Zambrano postdoctoral grant. Dr. Sain feels elated to acknowledge the pleasant friendship of Mr. Mrinal Jana in his life! The second author would like to thank CSIR, Govt. of India, for the financial support in the form of Junior Research Fellowship under the mentorship of Prof. Kallol Paul.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alexandrov, A. D., *Convex polyhedra*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York (2005).
- [2] Birkhoff, G., *Orthogonality in linear metric spaces*, *Duke Math. J.* **1** (1935), 169–172.
- [3] Grzaślewicz, R., *Extreme operators on 2-dimensional l_p -spaces*, *Colloq. Math.*, **44** (1981) 309-315.
- [4] Grzaślewicz, R., *Extreme operators on real Hilbert spaces*, *Math. Ann.*, **261** (1982) 463-466.
- [5] Gale. D., Kuhn. H. W., and Tucker. A. W., *Linear programming and the theory of games*, *Activity Analysis of Production and Allocation*, (1951), 317-329.
- [6] Iwanik, A., *Extreme contractions on certain function spaces*, *Colloq. Math.*, **40** (1978) 147-153.

- [7] James, R. C., *Orthogonality and linear functionals in normed linear spaces*, Trans. American Math. Soc., **61** (1947b), 265-292.
- [8] Kim, C. W., *Extreme contraction operators on l_∞* , Math. Z., **151** (1976) 101-110.
- [9] Kadison, R. V., *Isometries of operator algebras*, Ann. of Math., **54** (1951), 325-338.
- [10] Lima, A., *On extreme operators on finite-dimensional Banach spaces whose unit balls are polytypes*, Ark. Mat., **19** (1981), no. 1, 97-116.
- [11] Lima, A., *Intersection properties of balls in spaces of compact operators*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **28** (1978) 35-65.
- [12] Lima, A., and Olsen, G., *Extreme points in duals of complex operator spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **94** (1985) no. 3, 437-440.
- [13] Lindenstrauss, J., Perles, M. A., *On extreme operators in finite-dimensional spaces*, Duke Math. J., **36** (1969), 301-314.
- [14] Mal, A., Paul, K., Dey, S., *Characterization of extreme contractions through k -smoothness of operators*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, (2021) DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2021.1913086.
- [15] Pisier, G., *Grothendieck's Theorem, past and present*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **49**(2) (2012), 237-323.
- [16] Ray, A., Roy, S., Bagchi, S., Sain, D., *Extreme contractions on finite-dimensional polygonal Banach spaces-II*, J. Operator Theory, **84** (2020), 127-137.
- [17] Roy, S., Sain, D., *Numerical radius and notion of smoothness in the space of bounded linear operators*, Bull. Sci. Math., **173** (2021), 103070.
- [18] Sain, D., Paul, K., Bhunia, P., Bag, Santanu., *On the numerical index of polyhedral Banach space*, Linear Algebra Appl., **577** (2019), 121-133.
- [19] Sain, D., Paul, K., Mal, A., *On extreme contractions between real Banach spaces*, Expo. Math., (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exmath.2019.09.004>.
- [20] Sain, D., Ray, A., Paul, K., *Extreme contractions on finite-dimensional polygonal Banach spaces*, J.Conv. Anal., **26** (2019), 877-885.
- [21] Sharir, M., *Characterization and properties of extreme operators into $C(Y)$* , Israel J. Math., **12** (1972), 174-183.
- [22] Sharir, M., *Extremal structure in operator spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **186** (1973), 91-111.

(Sain) DEPARTAMENTO DE ANALISIS MATEMATICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, SPAIN.

Email address: saindebmalya@gmail.com

(Sohel) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA 700032, WEST BENGAL, INDIA

Email address: shamimsohel11@gmail.com

(Paul) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA 700032, WEST BENGAL, INDIA

Email address: kalloldada@gmail.com, kallol.paul@jadavpuruniversity.in