#### MAGNETIC TRAJECTORIES ON HEISENBERG NILMANIFOLDS

GABRIELA P. OVANDO, MAURO SUBILS

ABSTRACT. By fixing the usual metric on the Heisenberg Lie group  $H_3$ , we consider any left-invariant Lorentz force for which we write the corresponding magnetic equations. The aim of this work is twofold: the study of closed magnetic trajectories on Heisenberg nilmanifolds of dimension three and the inverse problem for this system, which consists in proving the existence of a Lagrangian. The last goal is achieved on  $H_3$ . By using symmetries coming from convenient actions, we compute the magnetic trajectories through the identity in  $H_3$ . We determine the closed magnetic trajectories on  $H_3$  and consider the existence question on compact quotients  $\Lambda \backslash H_3$  for any cocompact lattice  $\Lambda \subset H_3$ . We prove that the existence of closed magnetic geodesics is not guaranteed on every homotopy class. We give examples of compact quotients  $\Gamma_k \backslash H_3$  with infinite many closed magnetic trajectories and compact quotients with no closed non-contractible magnetic trajectory for a given Lorentz force.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The nilgeometry is one of the so called "Thurston geometries" that corresponds to the geometry of the Heisenberg Lie group. Looking at the Euclidean three-dimensional space as a Heisenberg Lie group amounts to a splitting into a plane and a real line. On the other hand, a compact Heisenberg nilmanifold  $\Gamma \backslash H_3$  is a  $S_1$ -fiber bundle over  $T^2$ .

In this work we focus on magnetic trajectories (also called magnetic geodesics) on both the Heisenberg Lie group  $H_3$  and on compact quotients  $\Lambda \backslash H_3$ . We take the usual metric on  $H_3$  which is induced to the compact quotients.

Recall that a magnetic trajectory is a curve  $\gamma$  on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfying the equation:

(1) 
$$\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' = qF\gamma'$$

where  $\nabla$  is the corresponding Levi-Civita connection and F is a skew-symmetric (1,1)tensor such that the corresponding 2-form  $g(F, \cdot)$  is closed. In particular, geodesics occur whenever F = 0. From the mechanical perspective, this equation describes the behavior of a charged particle in presence of a force. A first step is to write down the magnetic equation on  $H_3$  for any left-invariant Lorentz force F. One proves that the magnetic equations give a differential system of the form:

(2) 
$$y_i'' = F_i(t, y_i, y_i')$$
 for all  $i = 1, 2, 3$ 

for some functions  $F_i$ .

Two main goals inspire the present work:

<sup>(2000)</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 70G45, 22E25, 53C99, 70G65.

*Key words and phrases*: Magnetic trajectories, 2-step nilmanifolds, Heisenberg Lie groups. Partially supported by SCyT (UNR).

- (i) to determine the existence or non-existence of closed magnetic geodesics on every homotopy class on quotients  $\Lambda \backslash H_3$ ;
- (ii) the inverse problem: to determine whether the solution curves of the system above(2) can be identified with the totality of extremals of some variation problem, that is to find Lagrangians for the magnetic equations.

A first approach to (ii) is to apply the procedure stated by Douglas in [11]. With some modern tools as in [8] involving differentials of forms on an manifold [5], in Theorem 3.4 we solve (ii) on the Heisenberg Lie group  $H_3$  by proving that this system is natural Lagrangian. In particular we bring conditions for potencial functions and propose an explicit Lagrangian. The fact is that any left-invariant closed 2-form on  $H_3$  is exact and this gives the tool to solve the question. Recall that the cohomology of a compact nilmanifold coincides with the cohomology of the Lie algebra by Nomizu's Theorem. Therefore, not every closed 2-form will be exact on the quotient.

Existence results of (closed) magnetic trajectories were obtained by different methods, such as the heat flow in [4], methods from dynamical systems and symplectic geometry, see for instance [1, 6, 16], variational methods for multivalued functionals (Morse-Novikov-theory)[20, 24], with Lie theory tools [2, 3] and in specific manifolds [14, 23].

In the setting of 2-step nilmanifolds, closed geodesics were studied by several authors, see for instance [9, 10, 12, 17, 18], while closed magnetic trajectories for left-invariant exact 2-forms were studied in [13]. Relative to the question (i) we proceed by solving the magnetic equation on the simply connected manifold  $H_3$ . Locally, the magnetic equation is the same on  $H_3$  and on compact nilmanifolds. We point out that we bring a global picture of these curves for any left-invariant Lorentz force.

Let  $\operatorname{Iso}(M)$  denotes the isometry group of the Riemannian manifold M, then  $\operatorname{Iso}(M) \times \mathbb{R}^*$ acts on the set of Lorentz forces and also, on the set of curves, see Lemma 2.1. Moreover, if  $\gamma$  is a magnetic trajectory for the Lorentz force F, then  $(\psi, r) \cdot \gamma$  is a magnetic trajectory for  $(\psi, r) \cdot F$ , where  $(\psi, r) \in \operatorname{Iso}(M) \times \mathbb{R}^*$ . A key point is that the isotropy subgroup of this action gives symmetries of the magnetic equation, once one fixes the Lorentz force F. Indeed this action can be read at the level of forms.

The Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_3$  has a basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  in which any Lorentz force is represented by a skew-symmetric  $3 \times 3$  real matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\rho & -\beta \\ \rho & 0 & -\alpha \\ \beta & \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R},$$

that, under the action above is equivalent to exactly one of the following matrices:

$$a_{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\rho & -1 \\ \rho & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } \rho \ge 0 \quad \text{or } b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the Lorentz force b corresponding to left-invariant exact forms, the magnetic curves were found in [13, 19], and the case  $a_0$  was solved in [22]. This case corresponds to harmonic 2-forms.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Theorem 4.6 in the present work determines the solutions  $\gamma(t) = \exp(x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2 + z(t)e_3)$  of the magnetic equation passing through the identity element for any initial condition  $\gamma'(0) = x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3$ . Such curves are in one-to-one correspondence to functions  $x: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  solution of Equation  $x''(t) + h'(x(t))h(x(t)) = \rho$ , x(0) = 0 and  $x'(0) = x_0$ , where  $h(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} + (z_0 + \rho)x + y_0 + 1$ . In Table 1 we give the solutions x(t) associated to inverses of elliptic integrals. To study these functions, one has to analyze a quartic polynomial who has at least two real roots. The discriminant  $\Delta$  of such polynomial helps for the next section. In fact periodic trajectories appear only if  $\Delta < 0$ .

In Section 5 we study closed magnetic trajectories on  $H_3$ . While for the case *b* periodic magnetic trajectories exist only for low energy levels, we prove in Theorem 5.7 that for every energy E > 0 there are an infinite number of periodic magnetic trajectories with energy *E* through the identity with  $x_0 \ge 0$ . Indeed the proof relies on the results of the previous section. The techniques include a change of domain of the initial conditions, which help to understand the behavior of these closed curves. Futhermore, Theorem 5.10 shows that, up to equivalence there exists (if any) exactly one periodic magnetic trajectory for each given energy level *E*.

In Section 6 we study question (i) on the compact setting: we go to compact quotients  $M = \Lambda \backslash H_3$ , where we induce both the metric and the Lorentz force, since they are left-invariant. We find conditions that determine the existence of  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectories on  $H_3$ . These curves will project to closed magnetic trajectories in the compact space M. Conditions on the element  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  to get  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectories are described in Lemma 6.4 for any 2-step nilpotent Lie group such that dim  $\mathfrak{z} - \dim \mathfrak{n}' \leq 1$ , where  $\mathfrak{z}$  denotes the center and  $\mathfrak{n}'$  the commutator. By working out these conditions on  $H_3$  we prove that there are  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectories for any energy level E > 0 in Proposition 6.8.

As an application, we find examples of lattices for which there are infinite magnetic trajectories and lattices for which there are *no* closed non-contractible magnetic trajectory.

The authors kindly thank Prof. R. Bryant for the generous suggestion of studying question (ii) and providing references to address it.

#### 2. Symmetries for the magnetic equation

In this section we find symmetries of the magnetic equation. This is done by considering an action on the set of Lorentz forces and on the set of differentiable curves of a Riemannian manifold.

Let (M, g) denote a Riemannian manifold with Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a differentiable 2-form on M, then there exists a unique skew-symmetric (1, 1)-tensor  $F: TM \to TM$  defined by the relation:

$$\Omega_F(U,V) = g(FU,V), \text{ for all } U, V \in \chi(M).$$

Conversely, the relation above defines a 2-form whenever F is given. If the 2-form is closed, then one gets an extra condition on F. In such case the tensor F is called a *Lorentz force*.

A differentiable curve or trajectory on M is a differentiable function  $\gamma : I \to M$  defined on some open interval such that  $0 \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$ . A magnetic trajectory is a curve  $\gamma$  satisfying Equation (1) for some Lorentz force F. Given a Lorentz force F and a magnetic trajectory  $\gamma$ , one has

$$\frac{d}{dt}g(\gamma'(t),\gamma'(t)) = 2g(\nabla_{\gamma'(t)}\gamma'(t),\gamma'(t)) = 2g(F\gamma'(t),\gamma'(t)) = 0.$$

implying that magnetic curves have constant velocity.

The *energy* of the magnetic trajectory  $\gamma$  is defined by the scalar

(3) 
$$\operatorname{En}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}g(\gamma'(0), \gamma'(0)).$$

Note that a reparametrization of a magnetic curve could not be a solution of Equation (1). In fact, take the curve  $\tau(t) = \gamma(rt)$  with  $r \neq 0, 1$ . Then it holds  $\tau'(t) = r\gamma'(rt)$ , so that one has

$$\nabla_{\tau'(t)}\tau'(t) = r^2 F \gamma'(rt)$$
, while on the other side  $F\tau'(t) = rF\gamma'(rt)$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of Lorentz forces on M and by  $\mathcal{C}$  the set of all differentiable curves on M. Let Iso(M) be the isometry Lie group of M and let  $\mathbb{R}^*$  be the multiplicative group.

There is a left-action of the product group  $\operatorname{Iso}(M) \times \mathbb{R}^*$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  given by:

(4) 
$$(\psi, r) \cdot F = r\psi_* \circ F \circ \psi_*^{-1}, \text{ for } \psi \in \mathrm{Iso}(M), r \in \mathbb{R}^*, F \in \mathcal{F},$$

where  $\psi_*$  denotes the differential of  $\psi$ . The same group acts on the set C in the following way:

$$((\psi, r) \cdot \gamma)(t) = (\psi \circ \gamma)(rt), \text{ for } \psi \in \mathrm{Iso}(M), r \in \mathbb{R}^*, \gamma \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Note that the associated 2-form of the (1, 1)-tensor  $(\psi, r) \cdot F$  is a multiple of the pullback by  $\psi^{-1}$  of  $\Omega_F$ . This shows that  $(\psi, r) \cdot F$  is a Lorentz force:  $(\psi, r) \cdot F \in \mathcal{F}$ . It is easy to check that these left-actions are well defined. For instance take  $(\psi, r), (\phi, s) \in \text{Iso}(M) \times \mathbb{R}^*$ and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  then one has

$$((\psi, r) \cdot ((\phi, s) \cdot \gamma))(t) = \psi \circ ((\phi, s) \cdot \gamma))(rt) = (\psi \circ \phi \circ \gamma)(srt) = ((\psi \circ \phi, rs) \cdot \gamma)(t).$$

Once the left-action of a group H on a set S is defined, recall that the *isotropy subgroup* for  $s \in S$  is the subgroup of H given by

$$H_s = \{h \in H : h \cdot s = s\},\$$

while the orbit of  $s \in S$  is the subset defined by  $H \cdot s = \{h \cdot s : h \in H\}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let (M, g) denote a Riemannian manifold. Let F denote a Lorentz force on M and consider the group  $H = \text{Iso}(M) \times \mathbb{R}^*$ .

- (i) If  $\gamma$  is a magnetic trajectory for the Lorentz force F then for any  $(\psi, r) \in \text{Iso}(M) \times \mathbb{R}^*$ , the curve  $(\psi, r) \cdot \gamma$  is a magnetic trajectory for the Lorentz force  $(\psi, r) \cdot F$ .
- (ii) Let  $H_F$  be the isotropy subgroup for the Lorentz force F. Then  $H_F$  is a group of symmetries for the magnetic equation (1).

Furthermore,  $H_F$  consists of elements  $(\psi, r)$ , with  $r = \pm 1$  and such that

(5) 
$$\psi_* \circ F \circ \psi_*^{-1} = F \quad or \quad \psi_* \circ F \circ \psi_*^{-1} = -F.$$

The proof of the Lemma follows from usual computations. The second statement is a consequence from the definitions.

To complete the proof, fix F be a Lorentz force of M and let  $(\psi, r) \in H_F$ . As said above  $F_p: T_pM \to T_pM$  is a non-zero skew-symmetric map at every tangent space for any  $p \in M$ . As usual denote by ||v|| the norm of  $v \in T_p M$ :  $||v|| = g_p(v, v)^{1/2}$ . It follows that if  $(\psi, r)$  is an element of the isotropy subgroup for  $F \neq 0$ , it may hold

$$0 < \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|F_p(v)\| = \sup_{\|v\|=1} |r| \|\psi_* F_p \psi_*^{-1}(v)\| = |r| \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|F_p \psi_*^{-1}(v)\| = |r| \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|F_p(v)\|,$$

which implies r = 1 or r = -1. This says that  $(\psi, r) \in H_F$  for a Lorentz force F if and only if Equations (5) hold.

**Remark 2.2.** Since |r| = 1, the action of  $H_F$  on the curves preserves the energy level.

Next we concentrate on nilpotent Lie groups. Recall that they correspond to nilpotent Lie algebras.

Denote by  $\mathfrak{n}$  a Lie algebra, and consider the lower central series defined as follows

$$\mathfrak{n}_0 = \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_j = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}_{j-1}] \quad \text{for } j \ge 1.$$

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$  is called nilpotent if there exists k > 0 such that  $\mathfrak{n}_k = 0$ . The smallest k is called the step of nilpotency for the nilpotent Lie algebra. We assume here that  $\mathfrak{n}$  is non-abelian.

Indeed, the simpler algebraic examples of nilpotent Lie algebras are the 2-step ones verifying [U, [V, W]] = 0 for all  $U, V, W \in \mathfrak{n}$ .

**Example 2.3.** The Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_3$  is a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra. It has dimension three and it is spanned by vectors  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  satisfying the non-trivial Lie bracket relation

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3$$

The corresponding Lie group is called the Heisenberg Lie group, denoted  $H_3$ .

Assume now that the manifold is a nilpotent Lie group equipped with a metric  $(N, \langle , \rangle)$  for which translations on the left by elements of the group are isometries, called a left-invariant metric.

Indeed for a Lie group G equipped with a left-invariant metric, particular isometries are compatible with the algebraic underlying structure: they are isometric automorphisms, usually called orthogonal automorphisms.

For a left-invariant metric on a nilpotent Lie group N, in [26] Wolf proved that the group of isometries is given by  $Iso(N, \langle , \rangle) = N$ . Auto(N). Thus, any isometry can be written as

$$L_p \circ \psi$$
, for  $\psi \in \operatorname{Auto}(N), p \in N$ ,

where  $L_p$  denotes a translation on the left by  $p \in N$ , and  $\psi \in Auto(N)$ , the group of orthogonal automorphisms of N.

Indeed the subgroup of translations on the left  $\{L_p\}_{p\in N}$  is isomorphic to the Lie group N. Moreover it is a normal subgroup since it holds

$$L_p \circ \psi = \psi \circ L_{\psi(p)}$$
 for any  $\psi \in \operatorname{Auto}(N), p \in N$ .

Consider a left-invariant Lorentz force, that means that it is invariant by translations on the left:

$$L_p \circ F = F \circ L_p$$
, for any  $p \in N$ .

In terms of the action of Lemma 2.1 one gets,  $L_p \cdot F = F$ , saying that the subgroup of left-translations N is contained in the isotropy subgroup  $H_F$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_l$  denote the set of left-invariant Lorentz forces. Thus the action of the group  $\operatorname{Iso}(N) \times \mathbb{R}^*$  on the set  $\mathcal{F}_l$  is determined by the action of orthogonal automorphisms:

$$(L_p \circ \psi) \cdot F = \psi \cdot F$$

In particular from the analysis above one obtains the next result.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let F be a left-invariant Lorentz force on the nilpotent Lie group  $(N, \langle , \rangle)$  equipped with a left-invariant metric. Then for the isometry  $L_p \circ \psi$ , the pair  $(L_p \circ \psi, \pm 1)$  belongs to the isotropy of F if and only if either

$$\psi_* \circ F \circ \psi_*^{-1} = F \quad or \quad \psi_* \circ F \circ \psi_*^{-1} = -F,$$

for any  $p \in N$ , with  $\psi \in Auto(N)$ .

**Example 2.5.** Take the Heisenberg Lie algebra equipped with its canonical metric: the metric for which the basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  is orthonormal. The center is spanned by  $e_3$  and its orthogonal complement v is spanned by  $e_1, e_2$ . Thus one has

$$\mathfrak{h}_3 = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}, \quad ext{with} \quad \mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{R} e_3, \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}.$$

A classical result of Lie theory states that a automorphism  $\psi$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_3$  in the connected component of the identity, is the exponential of a derivation:  $d:\mathfrak{h}_3 \to \mathfrak{h}_3$ : that is, d[u,w] = [du,w] + [u,dw]. Easy computations show that any skew-symmetric derivation of  $\mathfrak{h}_3$  has a matrix in the basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, the isometry Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{iso}(\mathfrak{h}_3) = \mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , where any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  corresponds to a skew-symmetric derivation as above. Furthermore, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{iso}(\mathfrak{h}_3)$  is isomorphic to the oscillator Lie algebra of dimension four. The isometry group of the Heisenberg Lie group  $(H_3, \langle , \rangle)$  is  $\mathrm{Iso}(H_3) = H_3 \rtimes O(2)$ , where the action of O(2) on  $\mathfrak{h}_3$  is explicitly given by

$$B \cdot (V, Z) = (B(V), det(B)Z), \text{ for } B \in \mathcal{O}(2), V \in \mathfrak{v}, Z \in \mathfrak{z}$$

Note that we identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the subspace  $\mathfrak{v} = span\{e_1, e_2\}$ .

And since  $H_3$  is simply connected, one makes no distinction between automorphisms of N and  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

It is easy to prove that any skew-symmetric map  $F : \mathfrak{h}_3 \to \mathfrak{h}_3$  gives rise to left-invariant closed 2-form on the Heisenberg Lie group  $H_3$ . This Lorentz force has a matricial presentation in the basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  as

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\rho & -\beta \\ \rho & 0 & -\alpha \\ \beta & \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

One denotes F by  $F_{U,\rho}$  with  $U = \beta e_1 + \alpha e_2 \in \mathfrak{h}_3$ . Recall that a 2-form  $\Omega$  is called *exact* whenever it exists a 1-form such that  $d\theta = \Omega$ . Since  $H_3$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^3$  the proof of the next result follows.

**Lemma 2.6.** Any left-invariant 2-form on  $H_3$  is exact.

Furthermore, a closed 2-form  $\omega_F = \langle F \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  verifies  $d\theta = \omega_F$ , if and only if the 1-form  $\theta$  is given by

$$\theta = f_1 dx + f_2 dy + f_3 dz$$

with  $f_i \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for i = 1, 2, 3, satisfying

(6) 
$$\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} = \rho - \frac{\beta}{2}x - \frac{\alpha}{2}y \qquad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} = \beta \qquad \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z} = \alpha$$

**Remark 2.7.** In particular, for  $\theta = (-\frac{\rho}{2}y + \frac{\beta}{2}xy)dx + (\frac{\rho}{2}x - \frac{\alpha}{2}xy)dy + (\beta x + \alpha y)dz$ , one gets

$$d\theta = \rho e^1 \wedge e^2 + \beta e^1 \wedge e^3 + \alpha e^2 \wedge e^3.$$

Note that the 1-form  $\theta$  is not left-invariant. The left-invariant situation occurs for  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ .

Furthermore, one can find 1-forms  $\theta_i$  such that

(7) 
$$\begin{aligned} d\theta_1 &= e^1 \wedge e^2 = dx \wedge dy \\ d\theta_2 &= e^1 \wedge e^3 = dx \wedge dz - \frac{1}{2}x \, dx \wedge dy \\ d\theta_3 &= e^2 \wedge e^3 = dy \wedge dz - \frac{1}{2}y \, dx \wedge dy \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the action of  $\text{Iso}(H_3) \times \mathbb{R}^*$  on the set of left-invariant Lorentz forces  $\mathcal{F}_l$  described in Lemma 2.1. This action can be considered equivalently on 2-forms.

From the definitions, the action of  $\text{Iso}(H_3) \times \mathbb{R}^*$  on the Lorentz force F is determined by  $B \in O(2)$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}^*$  and it holds

$$(B, r) \cdot F_{U,\rho} = r \det(B) F_{BU,\rho}.$$

As above, we identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mathfrak{v} = span\{e_1, e_2\}$ . Explicitly this identification makes  $e_1 \leftrightarrow (1, 0)$  and  $e_2 \leftrightarrow (0, 1)$ .

To describe the orbits, take a non-trivial left-invariant Lorentz force  $F_{U,\rho}$ . Firstly, note that

$$(-Id, -1) \cdot F_{U,\rho} = -F_{-U,\rho} = F_{U,-\rho}.$$

Thus, one may consider  $\rho \geq 0$ .

- Assume U ≠ 0 and ρ ≥ 0. Thus, there exists a rotation B ∈ SO(2) such that BU = ||U||e<sub>1</sub>. By choosing r = ||U||<sup>-1</sup> one has (B, r) · F<sub>U,ρ</sub> = F<sub>e<sub>1</sub>,ρ̃ for ρ̃ = ||U||<sup>-1</sup>ρ.
  Assume U = 0. In this case it may hold ρ ≠ 0 and clearly one can choose r so that
  </sub>
- Assume U = 0. In this case it may hold  $\rho \neq 0$  and clearly one can choose r so that  $(0, r) \cdot F_{0,\rho} = F_{0,1}$ .

Elements of the form  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  and  $F_{e_1,\tilde{\rho}}$  are in different orbits for  $\rho \neq \tilde{\rho}$  and both  $\rho, \tilde{\rho} \geq 0$ . In fact, by contradiction assume that there exists  $(B,r) \in \text{Iso}(H_3) \times \mathbb{R}^*$  such that  $(B,r) \cdot F_{e_1,\rho} = F_{e_1,\tilde{\rho}}$ . Thus  $r \det(B)Be_1 = e_1$  and  $r\rho = \tilde{\rho}$ . Therefore, for  $\rho = 0$ , one has  $\tilde{\rho} = 0$ .

For  $\rho \neq 0$ , one has  $(\tilde{\rho}/\rho) \det(B)Be_1 = e_1$ . Clearly  $\|\tilde{\rho}/\rho\| = 1$ , which says  $\tilde{\rho} = \rho$  since both are positive.

For U = 0 the action  $(B, r) \cdot F_{0,1} = F_{0,r}$  showing that this orbit is different from the previous families.

To compute the isotropy subgroups, we may solve the following equations

 $(B,1) \cdot F = F$  or  $(B,-1) \cdot F = -F$ .

Recall that O(2) has two connected components: SO(2) which consists of matrices with determinant equals one and  $SO^{-}(2)$  consisting of matrices with determinant minus one.

**Proposition 2.8.** The orbits under the action of  $G = \text{Iso}(N) \times \mathbb{R}^*$  on the set of non-trivial left-invariant Lorentz forces  $\mathcal{F}_l$  are mostly parameterized by  $\rho \ge 0$ ; that is, every point in the following set gives different orbits:

$${F_{(e_1,\rho)}}_{\{\rho\geq 0\}} \cup {F_{0,1}}.$$

While isotropy subgroups are

- (i) For  $F_{(e_1,\rho)}$  with  $\rho > 0$ :  $H_3 \rtimes (\{(Id,1)\} \cup \{(S,-1)\});$
- (*ii*) For  $F_{(e_1,0)}^{(e_1,p)}$ :  $H_3 \rtimes (\{(Id,1)\} \cup \{(-S,1)\} \cup \{(-Id,-1)\} \cup \{(S,-1)\});$
- (*iii*) For  $F_{(0,1)}$  one has  $H_3 \rtimes (\{(SO(2),1)\} \cup \{(SO^-(2),-1)\})$ ,

where  $S: \mathfrak{v} \to \mathfrak{v}$  is determined by the values  $S(e_1) = -e_1, S(e_2) = e_2$ .

To compute the isotropy subgroups, we may find B such that  $(B,1) \cdot F_{e_1,\rho} = F_{e_1,\rho}$  or  $(B,-1) \cdot F_{e_1,\rho} = -F_{e_1,\rho}$ . Analogously for  $F_{0,1}$ . Explicit computations of these relations, prove the statements above finishing the proof.

Indeed, one could choose another fixed element  $U \in \mathfrak{v}$  instead of  $e_1$  to parametrize the orbits.

**Remark 2.9.** Among the classes enumerated in Proposition 2.8 the classes (ii) and (iii) are distinguished. In fact, the class in (ii) corresponds to harmonic left-invariant forms (see definitions for instance in [25]), while the class (iii) corresponds to exact and left-invariant forms,

# 3. The magnetic equation on the Heisenberg Lie group and the inverse problem

In this section we write down the equations of magnetic trajectories passing through the identity element on  $H_3$  and we deal with the inverse problem of the calculus of variations. Explicitly, for the magnetic equations on  $H_3$ , the question is to determine whether the solution curves can be identified with the totality of extremals of some variation problem

$$\int L(t, y_i, y_i') \, dt = \min_{x_i} dt$$

and in the affirmative case to find all possible functions L. This is solved in this section.

We start by introducing some underground information about the Heisenberg Lie group, which is an example of a 2-step nilpotent Lie group.

Let  $\mathfrak{h}_3$  be the Heisenberg Lie algebra of dimension three equipped with the canonical metric of Example 2.5, which is extended to the Heisenberg Lie group as a left-invariant metric on  $H_3$ .

Any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra  $(n, \langle , \rangle)$  has a orthogonal decomposition as vector spaces

(8) 
$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$$
, with  $\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}$  for  $\mathfrak{z}$  the center of  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

In the case of  $\mathfrak{h}_3$ , one has  $\mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{R}e_3$ . The Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{n}$  determines the linear map  $j(Z): \mathfrak{v} \to \mathfrak{v}$  implicitly defined by

$$\langle j(Z)U,V\rangle = \langle Z,[U,V]\rangle$$
 for all  $U,V \in \mathfrak{v}, Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ .

Let F denote a left-invariant Lorentz force on a 2-step nilpotent Lie group  $(N, \langle , \rangle)$  equipped with a left invariant metric. Thus F can be identified with a skew-symmetric map  $F : \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{n}$  satisfying

$$\langle [U,V], FW \rangle + \langle [V,W], FU \rangle + \langle [W,U], FV \rangle = 0 \quad \text{ for all } U,V,W \in \mathfrak{n},$$

which derives from the closedness condition. Let  $\pi_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{z}$  and  $\pi_{\mathfrak{v}} : \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{v}$  denote the orthogonal projections with respect to the decomposition above in Equation (8). Write  $F_{\mathfrak{v}} = \pi_{\mathfrak{v}} \circ F$  and  $F_{\mathfrak{z}} = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}} \circ F$ . In [21] the authors considered a decomposition of F as  $F = F_1 + F_2$  where

$$F_1(V+Z) = F_{\mathfrak{v}}(V) + F_{\mathfrak{z}}(Z) \qquad F_2(V+Z) = F_{\mathfrak{v}}(Z) + F_{\mathfrak{z}}(V) \quad \text{ for } V \in \mathfrak{v}, Z \in \mathfrak{z}$$

and they named a Lorentz force of type I if  $F \equiv F_1$  and of type II if  $F \equiv F_2$ .

In [21] the authors derived the equations for the magnetic trajectories on 2-step nilpotent Lie groups equipped with left-invariant metrics. They are ordinary differential equations as we see below.

**Lemma 3.1.** [21] Let  $\gamma : I \to \mathbb{R}$  be a curve on  $(N, \langle , \rangle)$  given as  $\gamma(t) = \exp(V(t) + Z(t))$ , where  $\exp : \mathfrak{n} \to N$  denote the usual exponential map and  $V(t) \in \mathfrak{v}, Z(t) \in \mathfrak{z}$ , see Equation (8). Then  $\gamma$  is a magnetic trajectory with  $\gamma(0) = e$  for the left-invariant Lorentz force Fif and only if V(t) and Z(t) satisfy the following equations:

(9) 
$$\begin{cases} V'' - j(Z' + \frac{1}{2}[V', V])V' = qF_{\mathfrak{v}}(V' + Z' + \frac{1}{2}[V', V]) \\ Z'' + \frac{1}{2}[V'', V] = qF_{\mathfrak{z}}(V' + Z' + \frac{1}{2}[V', V]). \end{cases}$$

In the next paragraphs we shall write these equations explicitly for the Heisenberg Lie group of dimension three. In fact,  $H_3$  can be modeled on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the product operation given by

$$(v_1, z_1)(v_2, z_2) = (v_1 + v_2, z_1 + z_2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle Jv_1, v_2 \rangle),$$

where  $v_i = (x_i, y_i)$  for i = 1, 2 and J(x, y) = (-y, x). And since  $H_3$  is simply connected the exponential mal is a diffeomorphism. Moreover the exponential map does exp :  $(xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3) \rightarrow (x, y, z)$  in usual coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Example 3.2.** Consider the left-invariant Lorentz force  $F_{0,\rho}$  on the Heisenberg Lie group  $(H_3, \langle , \rangle)$ , which corresponds to a left-invariant exact magnetic field for any  $\rho > 0$ .

Let  $\gamma(t) = \exp(x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2 + z(t)e_3)$  denote a magnetic trajectory passing through the identity element, for the Lorentz force  $F_{0,\rho}$ . Assume  $\gamma'(0) = x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3$ . Then the system above (9) translates to the system:

(10) 
$$\begin{cases} x''(t) + (z_0 + \rho)y'(t) = 0\\ y''(t) - (z_0 + \rho)x'(t) = 0\\ z'(t) + \frac{1}{2}(x'(t)y(t) - x(t)y'(t)) = z_0 \end{cases}$$

In [13] the authors obtain the explicit solutions as:

• if  $z_0 + \rho \neq 0$  then the solution is

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{z_0 + \rho} \begin{pmatrix} \sin(t(z_0 + \rho)) & -1 + \cos(t(z_0 + \rho)) \\ 1 - \cos(t(z_0 + \rho)) & \sin(t(z_0 + \rho)) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and for  $V_0 = x_0 e_1 + y_0 e_2$  set

$$z(t) = \left(z_0 + \frac{||V_0||^2}{2(z_0 + \rho)}\right)t - \frac{||V_0||^2}{2(z_0 + \rho)^2}\sin(t(z_0 + \rho)).$$

• If  $z_0 = -\rho$  the solution is  $\gamma(t) = \exp(t(x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3))$ .

The aim now is to write the magnetic equations on the Heisenberg Lie group  $H_3$  for a left-invariant Lorentz force  $F_{U,\rho}$  with  $U \neq 0$ . As above we write  $U = \beta e_1 + \alpha e_2 \in \mathfrak{v}$ .

Let  $\gamma(t) = \exp(V(t) + Z(t))$  denote a magnetic trajectory on the Heisenberg group passing through the identity element. The magnetic equations in (9) for the Lorentz force  $F_{U,\rho}$  on  $H_3$  can be rewritten as

(11) 
$$\begin{cases} V'' - j(Z' + \frac{1}{2}[V',V])V' = \rho JV' + j(Z' + \frac{1}{2}[V',V])JU \\ Z'' + \frac{1}{2}[V'',V] = [V',JU] \end{cases}$$

with the initial conditions V(0) + Z(0) = 0,  $V'(0) = V_0 = x_0 e_1 + y_0 e_2$  and  $Z'(0) = z_0 e_3$ .

Furthermore, by writing  $V(t) = x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2$ , the magnetic equations in terms of x(t), y(t), z(t) follow:

(12) 
$$\begin{cases} x''(t) + \left(z'(t) + \frac{1}{2}(y'(t)x(t) - x'(t)y(t) + \rho\right)(y'(t) + \beta) = \rho\beta \\ y''(t) - \left(z'(t) + \frac{1}{2}(y'(t)x(t) - x'(t)y(t) + \rho\right)(x'(t) - \alpha) = \rho\alpha \\ z''(t) + \frac{1}{2}(x''(t)y(t) - x(t)y''(t)) = \beta x'(t) + \alpha y'(t) \end{cases}$$

Recall that this system for  $F_{e_1,0}$  was deduced in [21].

The question now is to prove the existence of a Lagrangian for the equations above. If it exists, to determine which is the form of any. For such Lagrangian, the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations (Eq. (13) below) are equivalent to the system (12).

Assume that there exists a differentiable function  $L : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , which will play the role of Lagrangian. Let S be the action functional given by

$$S(u) = \int_0^T L(t, y_i, y_i') dt,$$

where u is a curve on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u(t) = (y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))$ . The principle of least action says that, given an initial state  $x_0$  and a final state  $x_1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the trajectory that the system determined by L will actually follow must be a minimizer of the action functional S satisfying the boundary conditions  $u(0) = x_0$ ,  $u(T) = x_1$ . The critical points (and hence minimizers) of S must satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equations for S:

(13) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial y'_i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_i} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

**Example 3.3.** As noticed in [13], there is a Lagrangian for left-invariant exact 2-forms. In this case it is explicitly given as:

$$L(t, x, y, z, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + (\dot{z} + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}y - x\dot{y})) - \rho \left( \dot{z} + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}y - x\dot{y}) \right).$$

10

We shall prove that magnetic equations (12) correspond to Lagrangian systems for any left-invariant Lorentz force on  $H_3$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a differential 1-form on  $H_3$ , that we write

$$\theta = f_1 dx + f_2 dy + f_3 dz$$
, where  $f_i \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ;

so that its differential follows:

$$d\theta = (\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y})dx \wedge dy + (\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z})dx \wedge dz + (\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z})dy \wedge dz.$$

The Lorentz forces F above can be identified with the next closed left-invariant 2-forms that we already proved that are exact:

$$\omega_F = \rho e^1 \wedge e^2 + \beta e^1 \wedge e^3 + \alpha e^2 \wedge e^3.$$

A Lagrangian system on a Riemannian manifold is called *natural* if the Lagrangian function is equal to the difference between the kinetic and the potential energies: L = T - U, see [1].

In Example 3.3 above, the Lagrangian is natural; in fact the kinetic energy is

(14) 
$$T = \frac{1}{2} \left( \dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + (\dot{z} + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}y - x\dot{y})) \right),$$

while the potential energy is given by the left-invariant 1-form  $\rho\left(\dot{z} + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}y - x\dot{y})\right)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** The system of magnetic trajectories on the Heisenberg Lie group  $H_3$  is a natural Lagrangian system. In fact, Lagrangian functions can be given as

 $L = T - \theta$ , with T as in (14) and  $\theta$  as in (6).

An explicit Lagrangian for the system of equations (12) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(t,x,y,z,\dot{x},\dot{y},\dot{z}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + (\dot{z} + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}y - x\dot{y}))^2 \right) \\ &+ (\frac{\rho}{2}y - \frac{\beta}{2}xy)\dot{x} + (-\frac{\rho}{2}x + \frac{\alpha}{2}xy)\dot{y} - (\beta x + \alpha y)\dot{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the kinetic energy will be obtained from  $\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{x}^2+\dot{y}^2+(\dot{z}+\frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}y-x\dot{y}))^2\right)$ .

*Proof.* By computing one gets

(15) 
$$0 = \frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{z}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} = z'' + \frac{1}{2}(x''y - xy'') - (\beta x' + \alpha y'),$$

which coincides with the third equation in System (12). Also,

(16) 
$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = (1 + \frac{y^2}{4})x'' - \frac{1}{4}xyy'' + \frac{1}{2}yz'' + z'(y' + \beta) + y'\left(\frac{1}{2}(x'y - xy') + \rho - \frac{\beta}{2}x - \frac{\alpha}{2}y\right),$$

(17) 
$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = (1 + \frac{x^2}{4})y'' - \frac{1}{4}xyx'' + \frac{1}{2}xz'' + z'(-x' + \alpha) - x'\left(\frac{1}{2}(x'y - xy') + \rho - \frac{\beta}{2}x - \frac{\alpha}{2}y\right)$$

By using Equation (15) one has the next equalities

$$\frac{1}{2}y(z'' + \frac{1}{2}(x''y - xy'') - \alpha y') = \frac{\beta}{2}x'y$$
$$\frac{1}{2}x(z'' + \frac{1}{2}(x''y - xy'') - \alpha y') = \frac{\alpha}{2}xy'.$$

By replacing in Equations (16) and (17) respectively, and following usual computations one obtains the two first equations of the system (12).  $\Box$ 

#### 4. MAGNETIC TRAJECTORIES ON THE HEISENBERG LIE GROUP

In this section we compute the magnetic trajectories on the Heisenberg Lie group  $H_3$  for any left-invariant force. Magnetic trajectories for specific Lorentz forces were computed in [13] and [21].

As proved in Proposition 2.8, for a magnetic trajectory  $\gamma$  there is a translation and a symmetry  $(g, \phi, r)$  on the isotropy subgroup such that  $\sigma = (g, \phi, r) \cdot \gamma$  is also a magnetic trajectory satisfying  $\sigma(0) = e$  and  $\sigma'(0) = x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3$  with  $x_0 \ge 0$ . So we can restrict ourselves to this case.

Take the system in Equation (11). From the second equation one gets

$$Z' + \frac{1}{2}[V', V] = [V, JU] + z_0 e_3.$$

Replace in the first equation to obtain

$$V'' - j([V, JU] + z_0 e_3)V' = \rho JV' + j([V, JU] + z_0 e_3)JU,$$

equivalently

$$V'' - j([V, JU] + (z_0 + \rho)e_3)(V' + JU) = \rho U.$$

By making use of the action of  $\text{Iso}(H_3) \times \mathbb{R}^*$  on the set of left-invariant Lorentz forces, we may choose  $U = e_1$ . Write  $V(t) = x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2$ . In usual coordinates, the system (11) reduces to the system:

(18) 
$$\begin{cases} x''(t) + (x(t) + z_0 + \rho)(y'(t) + 1) &= \rho \\ y''(t) - (x(t) + z_0 + \rho)x'(t) &= 0 \\ z'(t) + \frac{1}{2}(x'(t)y(t) - x(t)(y'(t) + 2)) &= z_0 \end{cases}$$

with initial conditions x(0) = y(0) = z(0) = 0 and  $x'(0) = x_0, y'(0) = y_0, z'(0) = z_0$ .

Thus, we search for magnetic trajectories passing through the identity element. The first observation is that to solve Equations (18) one needs to solve only one ordinary differential equation in one variable.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  be a left-invariant Lorentz force on  $(H_3, \langle , \rangle)$ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma(t) = \exp(x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2 + z(t)e_3):\\ solutions \ of \ Equation \ (18)\\ \gamma(0) = e \ and \ \gamma'(0) = x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3 \end{cases} \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} x(t) : solution \ of \ Equation \\ x''(t) + h'(x(t))h(x(t)) = \rho\\ x(0) = 0 \ and \ x'(0) = x_0 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$
where  $h(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} + (z_0 + \rho)x + y_0 + 1.$ 

12

Moreover, the functions y(t) and z(t) are determined by x(t) as follows

(19) 
$$y(t) = \int_0^t \left(\frac{x(s)^2}{2} + (z_0 + \rho)x(s) + y_0\right) ds,$$

(20) 
$$z(t) = -\frac{1}{2}x(t)y(t) - (z_0 + \rho)y(t) - x'(t) + x_0.$$

*Proof.* From the first and third equations in (18) we have that:

$$z'(t) = -\frac{1}{2}x'(t)y(t) - \frac{1}{2}x(t)y'(t) - (z_0 + \rho)y'(t) - x''(t),$$

which by integration implies (20).

Analogously, from the second equation of the system (18) and the fact that  $y'(0) = y_0$ one has

$$y''(t) = \left(\frac{x(t)^2}{2} + (z_0 + \rho)x(t)\right)' \Rightarrow y'(t) = \frac{x(t)^2}{2} + (z_0 + \rho)x(t) + y_0$$

Implying the formula (19) since y(0) = 0.

Using the expression of y'(t) above in the first equation of the system (18) we get

(21) 
$$x''(t) + (x(t) + z_0 + \rho) \left(\frac{x(t)^2}{2} + (z_0 + \rho)x(t) + y_0 + 1\right) = \rho.$$

Conversely, once one has a solution x(t) of Equation (21) define y(t) and z(t) by Formulas (19) and (20), respectively, to get a magnetic curve  $\gamma(t) = \exp(x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2 + z(t)e_3)$  solution of the system (18) with  $\gamma(0) = e$  and  $\gamma'(0) = x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3$ .

**Remark 4.2.** For the left-invariant exact case, that is  $F_{0,\rho}$  to find the magnetic trajectory  $\gamma$  solution one may work the Equation (10). In fact, from the second equation in system (10) one has  $y'(t) = y_0 + (z_0 + \rho)x(t)$  and by replacing in the first equation, one gets the equation

$$x''(t) + (z_0 + \rho)[y_0 + (z_0 + \rho)x(t)] = 0.$$

Conversely given a solution x(t) of the previous equation define y(t) and z(t) by

$$y(t) = \int_0^t \left( (z_0 + \rho) x(s) + y_0 \right) ds$$
$$z(t) = -\frac{1}{2} x(t) y(t) + \int_0^t \left( (z_0 + \rho) x(s)^2 + y_0 x(s) + z_0 \right) ds$$

to get a magnetic curve  $\gamma(t) = exp(x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2 + z(t)e_3)$  solution of the system (10).

By the Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem Equation (21) has a unique real analytic solution with x(0) = 0 and  $x'(0) = x_0$ . Thus, the solution is  $x(t) \equiv 0$  if and only if  $x_0 = 0$  and  $(z_0 + \rho)(y_0 + 1) = \rho$ .

From now on we assume that

(22) 
$$x_0 \neq 0 \text{ or } (z_0 + \rho)(y_0 + 1) \neq \rho,$$

so that x(t) is not constant in any interval. Then by working out Equation (21) in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} x''(t) + h'(x(t))h(x(t)) &= \rho \\ \text{equivalently to} \quad x'(t)x''(t) + x'(t)h'(x(t))h(x(t)) &= \rho x'(t) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \left(x'(t)^2 + h(x(t))^2\right)' &= 2\rho x'(t) \\ \text{and this says that} \quad x'(t)^2 + h(x(t))^2 &= 2\rho x(t) + x_0^2 + (y_0 + 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

So, we get that x' may solve the equation:

(23) 
$$x'(t)^{2} = ||V_{0} + e_{2}||^{2} - h(x(t))^{2} + 2\rho x(t).$$

In the following paragraphs we shall see that the polynomial  $||V_0 + e_2||^2 - h(x)^2 + 2\rho x$ plays a role for the description of the solutions of Equation (18).

In fact, to solve Equation (23) we assume that  $x_0 > 0$  so x'(t) > 0 in some neighborhood of 0. Thus, one has:

$$\frac{x'(t)}{\sqrt{\|V_0 + e_2\|^2 - h(x(t))^2 + 2\rho x(t)}} = 1$$

implying that

$$\int_{0}^{x(t)} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\|V_0 + e_2\|^2 - h(\tau)^2 + 2\rho\tau}} = t.$$

Hence the solution x(t) is the inverse of the elliptic integral

-

(24) 
$$\mathcal{E}(x) = \int_{z_0+\rho}^{x+z_0+\rho} \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{\|V_0+e_2\|^2 - \left(\frac{\eta^2}{2} + y_0 + 1 - \frac{(z_0+\rho)^2}{2}\right)^2 + 2\rho(\eta - z_0 - \rho)}}.$$

where  $\eta = \tau + z_0 + \rho$ .

We have to analyse the quartic polynomial

(25)  
$$P(\eta) = \|V_0 + e_2\|^2 - \left(\frac{\eta^2}{2} + y_0 + 1 - \frac{(z_0 + \rho)^2}{2}\right)^2 + 2\rho(\eta - z_0 - \rho)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4}\left(\eta^4 + 2p_0\eta^2 - 8\rho\eta + q_0\right)$$

where

(26) 
$$p_0 = 2(y_0 + 1) - (z_0 + \rho)^2$$
 and  $q_0 = p_0^2 + 8\rho(z_0 + \rho) - 4||V_0 + e_2||^2$ .

Firstly, observe that

- *P* has negative principal coefficient (c<sub>4</sub> = -<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>), *P*(z<sub>0</sub> + ρ) = x<sub>0</sub><sup>2</sup> ≥ 0 and *P*'(z<sub>0</sub> + ρ) = 2(ρ − (y<sub>0</sub> + 1)(z<sub>0</sub> + ρ)).

By the assumption (22),  $P(z_0 + \rho)$  and  $P'(z_0 + \rho)$  are not simultaneously null. We conclude that P has at least two distinct real roots and P is non-negative in a closed interval of positive length containing  $z_0 + \rho$ . Thus  $\mathcal{E}(x)$  is a real function on some interval containing 0.

To determine the nature of the roots we have to consider the discriminant of P:

(27) 
$$\Delta = q_0 p_0^4 - 8\rho^2 p_0^3 - 432\rho^4 + 72\rho^2 q_0 p_0 - 2q_0^2 p_0^2 + q_0^3.$$

We have three possible cases:

(a) if  $\Delta < 0$ , then P has two distinct real roots and two complex conjugate non-real roots, (b) if  $\Delta > 0$ , then P has four distinct real roots (cannot be all non-real),

(c) if  $\Delta = 0$ , then P has a root of multiplicity greater than one and all roots are real.

Suppose that  $\Delta \neq 0$ . Let  $r_1$ ,  $r_4$  be the lower and greatest real roots of P, respectively, and  $r_2$ ,  $r_3$  the other roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ , that can be real or not. By Viete's formulas we have that:

(28) 
$$r_2 + r_3 = -(r_1 + r_4)$$

(29) 
$$r_2 r_3 = 2p_0 + r_1^2 + r_4^2 + r_1 r_4$$

(30) 
$$(r_1 + r_4)(2p_0 + r_1^2 + r_4^2) = 8\rho_4$$

Observe that  $2p_0 + r_1^2 + r_4^2 \neq 0$ . In fact, if  $2p_0 + r_1^2 + r_4^2 = 0 \implies \rho = 0$  and it is direct to see that  $r_1^2 = r_4^2 = z_0^2 + ||V_0 + e_2|| - 2(y_0 + 1)$ . Then  $||V_0 + e_2|| = 0$ , i.e.  $x_0 = 0$  and  $y_0 = -1$ . But this correspond to the trivial solution and we have supposed that  $x_0 \neq 0$  or  $z_0(y_0 + 1) \neq 0$ .

From (28) and (29) we have that:

$$r_{2} = -\frac{r_{1} + r_{4}}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{(r_{4} - r_{1})^{2}}{4} - 2p_{0} - r_{1}^{2} - r_{4}^{2}} \qquad r_{3} = -\frac{r_{1} + r_{4}}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{(r_{4} - r_{1})^{2}}{4} - 2p_{0} - r_{1}^{2} - r_{4}^{2}}$$

In particular,

(31) 
$$\Delta < 0 \iff 2p_0 + r_1^2 + r_4^2 > \frac{(r_4 - r_1)^2}{4} > 0$$

If  $\Delta = 0$  we take  $r_1 = r_4 = r$ . The previous relations (28) and (29) also holds and we get  $r_2 = -r - \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)}$  and  $r_3 = -r + \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)}$ . All the roots must be real in this case so  $p_0 + r^2 < 0$ .

## Case 1: $\Delta < 0$

In this case  $r_1 < r_4 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r_2 = \overline{r_3} \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}$ . Using Equation 259.00 of [7] and inverting  $\mathcal{E}$  we get that the solution of Equation (21) is given by

(32) 
$$x(t) = \frac{(r_1\delta_4 - r_4\delta_1)\operatorname{cn}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\delta_1\delta_4}}{2}t + C_1, k\right) + r_1\delta_4 + r_4\delta_1}{(\delta_4 - \delta_1)\operatorname{cn}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\delta_1\delta_4}}{2}t + C_1, k\right) + \delta_1 + \delta_4} - z_0 - \rho_0$$

where cn is the cosine amplitude, Jacobi's elliptic function, with

where ch is the cosine amplitude, sacon's emptie function, with  $\delta_1 = |r_1 - r_2| = \sqrt{2p_0 + 2r_1^2 + (r_1 + r_4)^2}, \text{ and } \delta_4 = |r_4 - r_2| = \sqrt{2p_0 + 2r_4^2 + (r_1 + r_4)^2}, \\ k^2 = \frac{(r_4 - r_1)^2 - (\delta_4 - \delta_1)^2}{4\delta_1\delta_4}, \text{ while } C_1 = \operatorname{cn}^{-1} \left( \frac{(r_4 - z_0 - \rho)\delta_1 - (z_0 + \rho - r_1)\delta_4}{(r_4 - z_0 - \rho)\delta_1 + (z_0 + \rho - r_1)\delta_4}, k \right). \text{ Observe that the constant } C_1 \text{ is well defined since } r_1 \leq z_0 + \rho \leq r_4 \text{ and is given such that } x(0) = 0.$ 

By considering a triangle inequality corresponding to  $r_1$ ,  $r_4$  and  $r_2$  on the complex plane, more precisely  $\delta_1 + \delta_4 \ge r_4 - r_1$ , one gets that 0 < k < 1. Recall that cn(x, k) is periodic of period 4K(k) for 0 < k < 1 and its image is [-1, 1], where K(k) is complete elliptic integral of the first kind defined as

(33) 
$$K(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta}} d\theta$$

Thus, the solution x(t) is periodic of period  $\frac{8K(k)}{\sqrt{\delta_1\delta_4}}$  and its image is  $[r_1 - z_0 - \rho, r_4 - z_0 - \rho]$ .

#### Case 2: $\Delta > 0$

We can suppose that  $r_1 < r_2 < r_3 < r_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ . By considering the region where P is positive, we have two possibilities: either  $r_1 \leq z_0 + \rho \leq r_2$  or  $r_3 \leq z_0 + \rho \leq r_4$ .

If  $r_1 \leq z_0 + \rho \leq r_2$ , we use Equation 252.00 of [7] to get the solution

(34) 
$$x(t) = r_4 - \frac{r_4 - r_1}{1 + \frac{r_2 - r_1}{r_4 - r_2} \operatorname{sn}^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}}{4}t + C_{21}, k_1\right)} - z_0 - \rho$$

where  $k_1 = \sqrt{\frac{(r_4 - r_3)(r_2 - r_1)}{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}}$  and  $C_{21} = \operatorname{sn}^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{(r_4 - r_2)(z_0 + \rho - r_1)}{(r_2 - r_1)(r_4 - z_0 - \rho)}}, k_1 \right)$ . Observe that  $k_1 < 1$ . Since the function  $\operatorname{sn}^2(x, k_1)$  is periodic of period  $2K(k_1)$  and image [0, 1], then the

function x(t) is periodic of period  $\frac{8K(k_1)}{\sqrt{(r_4-r_2)(r_3-r_1)}}$  and image  $[r_1 - z_0 - \rho, r_2 - z_0 - \rho]$ . We can rewrite solution (34) exactly as (32) using elliptic identities where

We can rewrite solution (34) exactly as (32) using elliptic identities where  $\delta_1 = \sqrt{(r_2 - r_1)(r_3 - r_1)}, \quad \delta_4 = \sqrt{(r_4 - r_3)(r_4 - r_2)}, \quad k^2 = \frac{(r_4 - r_1)^2 - (\delta_4 - \delta_1)^2}{4\delta_1\delta_4} \text{ and } C_1 = 2\sqrt{k_1}C_{21}.$ The difference with the previous case is that now k > 1. In fact by the AM-GM inequality we have that  $\delta_1 < \frac{r_2 + r_3}{2} - r_1$  and  $\delta_4 < r_4 - \frac{r_2 + r_3}{2}$ , which gives  $(\delta_1 - \delta_4)^2 + 4\delta_1\delta_4 = (\delta_1 + \delta_4)^2 < (r_4 - r_1)^2.$ 

If  $r_3 \leq z_0 + \rho \leq r_4$  we have that:

(35) 
$$x(t) = r_1 + \frac{r_4 - r_1}{1 + \frac{r_4 - r_3}{r_3 - r_1} \operatorname{sn}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}}{4}t - C_{31}, k_1\right)} - z_0 - \rho,$$

which can be written also as:

(36) 
$$x(t) = \frac{(r_1\delta_4 - r_4\delta_1)\operatorname{cn}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\delta_1\delta_4}}{2}t - C_3, k\right) - r_1\delta_4 - r_4\delta_1}{(\delta_4 - \delta_1)\operatorname{cn}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\delta_1\delta_4}}{2}t - C_3, k\right) - \delta_1 - \delta_4} - z_0 - \rho,$$

where  $k_1$  and k are the same as before, with  $C_{31} = \operatorname{sn}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{(r_3-r_1)(r_4-z_0-\rho)}{(r_4-r_3)(z_0+\rho-r_1)}}, k_1\right)$  and  $C_3 = 2\sqrt{k_1}C_{31}$ . This solution is also periodic with the same period  $\frac{8K(k_1)}{\sqrt{(r_4-r_2)(r_3-r_1)}}$  but its image is  $[r_3 - z_0 - \rho, r_4 - z_0 - \rho]$ .

# Case 3: $\Delta = 0$

Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  be the root of P with multiplicity two and consider  $\mu = \frac{p_0 + 3r^2}{2} = \frac{1}{4}(r - r_2)(r - r_3)$ . (a) If  $\mu = \frac{p_0 + 3r^2}{2} > 0$  the solution of (21) is given by

(37) 
$$x(t) = \frac{-2\mu}{r + \sqrt{r^2 - \mu} \cos\left(\sqrt{\mu} t - C_4\right)} + r - z_0 - \rho,$$

/

where 
$$C_4 = \arccos\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 - \mu}} \left(-\frac{2\mu}{z_0 + \rho - r} - r\right)\right)$$
.  
In this case the solution is also periodic with period  $\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\mu}}$  and its image is the interval  $\left[-r - 2\sqrt{-\frac{p_0 + r^2}{2}} - z_0 - \rho, -r + 2\sqrt{-\frac{p_0 + r^2}{2}} - z_0 - \rho\right]$ .  
(b) If  $\mu = \frac{p_0 + 3r^2}{2} < 0$ . There are two possibilities, either  $r_2 = -r - \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)} \le z_0 + \rho < r$  or  $r < z_0 + \rho \le r_3 = -r + \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)}$ .  
If  $r < z_0 + \rho \le -r + \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)}$ , the solution is  
(38)  $x(t) = \frac{-2\mu}{r + \sqrt{r^2 - \mu}} \cosh(\sqrt{-\mu} t + C_5) + r - z_0 - \rho$ ,  
where  $C_5 = \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 - \mu}} \left(-\frac{2\mu}{z_0 + \rho - r} - r\right)\right)$ .  
If  $-r - \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)} \le z_0 + \rho < r$ , we have the solution  
(39)  $x(t) = \frac{-2\mu}{r - \sqrt{r^2 - \mu}} \cosh(\sqrt{-\mu} t + C_6) + r - z_0 - \rho$ ,  
where  $C_6 = -\operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 - \mu}} \left(\frac{2\mu}{z_0 + \rho - r} + r\right)\right)$ .  
In both cases the solutions are not periodic but their images are respectively  
 $\left(r - z_0 - \rho, -r + \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)} - z_0 - \rho\right)$  and  $\left[-r - \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)} - z_0 - \rho, r - z_0 - \rho\right)$ .

(c) Finally in the case that  $\mu = \frac{p_0+3r^2}{2} = 0$ , the solution of Equation (21) is given by

(40) 
$$x(t) = \frac{-4r}{1 + r^2(t + C_7)^2} + r - z_0 - \rho,$$

where  $C_7 = \frac{1}{r}\sqrt{\frac{3r+z_0+\rho}{r-z_0-\rho}}$ . This function is not periodic and its image is the interval  $(r-z_0-\rho, -3r-z_0-\rho)$  if  $\rho > 0$  and  $[-3r-z_0-\rho, r-z_0-\rho)$  if  $\rho < 0$ .

**Remark 4.3.** Remember that we are assuming  $x_0 > 0$ , but we can check that the solutions obtained are also valid for the case  $x_0 = 0$ . Furthermore, it is easy to see that if  $x_0 < 0$  we must consider x(-t) for the solution x(t) associated to  $|x_0|$ . This is equivalent to taking the opposite of the constant  $C_i$  in each solution by the parity of the functions involved.

**Remark 4.4.** Observe that the solutions for the case  $\Delta = 0$  are written in terms of r,  $\rho$  and  $z_0$ . In these cases we can express r explicitly in terms of the initial conditions. In fact, in cases (3)(a) and (3)(b) where  $p_0^2 + 3q_0 \neq 0$  the following formulas holds:

$$r = \frac{2\rho(p_0^2 + 3q_0)}{p_0^3 - p_0q_0 + 36\rho^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = 6\frac{9\rho^2 - p_0q_0}{p_0^2 + 3q_0} + \frac{p_0}{2}$$

In case (3)(c) one has that  $p_0^2 + 3q_0 = 0$  and  $r = -\sqrt[3]{\rho}$ .

Condition	x(t)	Period	Image
$\Delta < 0$	(32)	$\frac{8K(k)}{\sqrt{ r_1 - r_2  r_4 - r_2 }}$	$[r_1 - z_0 - \rho, r_2 - z_0 - \rho]$
$\Delta > 0, r_1 \le z_0 + \rho \le r_2$	(34)	$\frac{8K(k)}{\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}}$	$[r_1 - z_0 - \rho, r_2 - z_0 - \rho]$
$\Delta > 0, r_3 \le z_0 + \rho \le r_4$	(35)	$\frac{8K(k)}{\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}}$	$[r_3 - z_0 - \rho, r_4 - z_0 - \rho]$
$\Delta=0,\mu>0$	(37)	$\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\mu}}$	$\left[-r - \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)} - z_0 - \rho, -r + \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)} - z_0 - \rho\right]$
$\Delta=0,\mu<0,z_0+\rho>r$	(38)	non	$\left(r - z_0 - \rho, -r + \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)} - z_0 - \rho\right)$
$\Delta = 0, \ \mu < 0, \ z_0 + \rho < r$	(39)	non	$\left[-r - \sqrt{-2(p_0 + r^2)} - z_0 - \rho, r - z_0 - \rho\right)$
$\Delta = 0,  p_0^2 + 3q_0 = 0$	(40)	non	$ (-\sqrt[3]{\rho} - z_0 - \rho, 3\sqrt[3]{\rho} - z_0 - \rho] \text{ if } \rho > 0  [3\sqrt[3]{\rho} - z_0 - \rho, -\sqrt[3]{\rho} - z_0 - \rho) \text{ if } \rho < 0 $
TABLE 1.			

**Remark 4.5.** Taking into account that cn(x, 0) = cos(x) and cn(x, 1) = sech(x) we see that all solutions (except the last one) can be written as (32) where  $r_1$  and  $r_4$  are real distinct roots of *P*,  $r_2$  and  $r_3$  the remaining roots (real or complex),  $\delta_1 = \sqrt{(r_2 - r_1)(r_3 - r_1)}$ ,  $\delta_4 = \sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_4 - r_3)}$  and  $k^2 = \frac{(r_4 - r_1)^2 - (\delta_4 - \delta_1)^2}{4\delta_1 \delta_4}$ .

Notice that by Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.8, a magnetic trajectory satisfying  $\sigma(0) =$  $e \in H_3$  and  $\sigma'(0) = x_0 e_1 + y_0 e_2 + z_0 e_3$  with  $x_0 < 0$  is given by:

 $\sigma(t) = ((S_1, -1) \cdot \gamma)(t) = \exp(x(-t)e_1 - y(-t)e_2 - z(-t)e_3),$ 

where  $\gamma(t)$  is the magnetic trajectory such that  $\gamma(0) = 0$  and  $\gamma'(0) = -x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** The solution of Equation (21) with initial conditions x(0) = 0 and x'(0) = 0 $x_0$  is summarized below:

- (i) If  $x_0 = 0$  and  $(y_0 + 1)(z_0 + \rho) = \rho$ , then x(t) = 0 for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . (ii) If  $x_0^2 + (\rho (y_0 + 1)(z_0 + \rho))^2 \neq 0$  and  $x_0 \ge 0$ , x(t) is given in the second column of Table 1, where one has:

  - able 1, where one has:  $p_0 = 2(y_0 + 1) (z_0 + \rho)^2$ ,  $q_0 = p_0^2 + 8\rho(z_0 + \rho) 4(x_0^2 + (y_0 + 1)^2)$ ,  $\Delta = q_0 p_0^4 8\rho^2 p_0^3 432\rho^4 + 72\rho^2 q_0 p_0 2q_0^2 p_0^2 + q_0^3$ ,  $\mu = \frac{9\rho^2 p_0 q_0}{p_0^2 + 3q_0} + \frac{p_0}{12}$  and  $r = \frac{2\rho(p_0^2 + 3q_0)}{p_0^3 p_0 q_0 + 36\rho^2}$ . If  $\Delta < 0$ , the real numbers  $r_1 < r_4$  and the non-real complex numbers  $r_2$  and  $\overline{r_2}$ are the roots of the polynomial P in Equation (25) and  $k = \sqrt{\frac{(r_4 r_1)^2 (|r_4 r_2| |r_1 r_2|)^2}{4|r_4 r_2||r_1 r_2|}}$ . If  $\Delta > 0$ ,  $r_1 < r_2 < r_3 < r_4$  are the real roots of P and  $k_1 = \sqrt{\frac{(r_4 r_3)(r_2 r_1)}{(r_4 r_2)(r_3 r_1)}}$ .

**Remark 4.7.** By Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.8, the magnetic trajectory satisfying  $\sigma(0) = e \in H_3$  and  $\sigma'(0) = x_0 e_1 + y_0 e_2 + z_0 e_3$  with  $x_0 < 0$  is given by:

 $\sigma(t) = ((S_1, -1) \cdot \gamma)(t) = \exp(x(-t)e_1 - y(-t)e_2 - z(-t)e_3),$ 

where  $\gamma(t)$  is the magnetic trajectory given in the previous theorem with  $\gamma'(0) = -x_0 e_1 + \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2} e_2 + \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2} e_2 + \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2} e_2 + \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2} e_2 + \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2} e_2 + \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}$  $y_0e_2 + z_0e_3.$ 

**Remark 4.8.** Observe that every magnetic trajectory is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Also a magnetic trajectory  $\gamma(t)$  corresponding to a Lorentz force  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  will be a one-parameter subgroup if and only if  $x_0 = 0$  and  $(y_0 + 1)(z_0 + \rho) = \rho$ . This trajectory is central, this means  $\gamma(t) \in Z(H_3)$  for all t, if and only if  $y_0 = z_0 = 0$ , that is when  $\gamma(t) = e$  for all t.

**Remark 4.9.** Fixed  $\rho \neq 0$ , every situation in Table 1 is possible under suitable initial conditions. We assume  $\rho > 0$  (the case  $\rho < 0$  is analogous). First we observe that  $\Delta$  is a polynomial on  $z_0$  of degree 5 if  $||V_0 + e_2|| \neq 0$ , or 3 if  $||V_0 + e_2|| = 0$ , so it takes all real values for fixed  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ . More explicitly,

- if  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $y_0 = 0$  and  $z_0 = -\rho$ , thus  $\Delta < 0$ ; if  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $y_0 < -\frac{3}{2}\sqrt[3]{2}\rho^{2/3} 1$  and  $z_0 = -\rho$ , then  $\Delta > 0$  and  $z_0 + \rho = 0 = r_3$ ;
- if  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $y_0 = -2\rho \frac{3}{4}$  and  $z_0 = -\rho 1$  then  $\Delta > 0$  and  $z_0 + \rho = -1 = r_1$  or  $r_2$ .

On the other side, examples with  $\Delta = 0$  can be obtained as follows:

- if  $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (0, 3\rho^{2/3} 1, 3\rho^{1/3} \rho)$  then  $\mu = 0$ ;
- if  $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (0, -\frac{3}{2}\sqrt[3]{2}\rho^{2/3} 1, -\rho)$  then  $\mu > 0$ ;
- if  $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (0, 2(2\rho)^{2/3} 1, \frac{5}{2}(2\rho)^{1/3} \rho)$  then  $\mu < 0$  and  $z_0 + \rho > r$ ;
- and if  $(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (0, 3\rho^{2/3} 1, -\frac{15}{4}\rho^{1/3} \rho)$  then  $\mu < 0$  and  $z_0 + \rho < r$ .

#### 5. Periodic magnetic trajectories

In this section we focus on closed magnetic trajectories on the Heisenberg Lie group  $H_3$ . Firstly, we bring some definitions.

We say that a curve  $\gamma : I \to M$  is non-simple if there exist  $t_1 < t_2$  in I such that  $\gamma(t_1) = \gamma(t_2)$ , while a curve  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \to M$  is called *periodic* if there exist  $\omega > 0$  such that  $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t + \omega)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $\gamma$  be a magnetic trajectory for a left-invariant Lorentz force  $F_{e_1,\rho}$ on the Heisenberg Lie group  $H_3$ . If  $\gamma$  is non-simple then it is periodic.

*Proof.* We have already seen that magnetic trajectories on  $H_3$  are defined for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . By performing a group translation and a translation of the parameter, we can assume that the non-simple magnetic curve  $\gamma(t) = \exp(x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2 + z(t)e_3)$  passes through the identity element and intersects itself there. Thus, for a positive parameter  $\omega$ , we have  $x(\omega) = y(\omega) = z(\omega) = 0.$ 

By Proposition 4.1, the magnetic trajectory of  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  depends on the solution of Equation (21). Verify that by evaluating Equation (20) at  $t = \omega$  we get  $x'(\omega) = x_0$ . Consequently x(t) and  $x(t+\omega)$  are solutions of (21) with the same initial conditions, so  $x(t) = x(t+\omega)$ for all t. Then Equation (19) tells us that y'(t) is periodic of period  $\omega$ , resulting in  $y(t+\omega) - y(t) = cte. = y(\omega) - y(0) = 0$  for all t. Finally, since x(t) and y(t) are periodic of period  $\omega$  we get from (20) that z(t) is also periodic of period  $\omega$ .  In view of the result above, from now on we shall investigate the existence of periodic magnetic trajectories.

Recall that by Lemma 2.1 two Lorentz forces in the same orbit under the action of  $\operatorname{Iso}(M) \times \mathbb{R}^*$  as in (4) determine equivalent systems and the isotropy subgroup of a fixed Lorentz force gives a symmetry group of the corresponding magnetic equation. Moreover, the action on curves transforms periodic magnetic trajectories into periodic magnetic trajectories.

The classes of Lorentz forces were determined in Proposition 2.8 so as the isotropy subgroups. We showed that  $F_{0,1}$  and  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  for  $\rho \geq 0$  are representatives of each orbit and that the isotropy subgroup of each Lorentz force F contains the group  $H_3 \rtimes (\{(Id,1)\} \cup \{(S,-1)\})$ . Then we can focus, for each one of the mentioned Lorentz forces, on the magnetic trajectories that verify  $\gamma(0) = e$  and  $\gamma'(0) = x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3$  with  $x_0 \geq 0$ .

The orbit of  $F_{0,1}$  corresponds to left-invariant exact Lorentz forces. In Theorem 2 of [13] it is proved that in this case there are periodic magnetic trajectories only on low energy levels. Observe that the definitions of energy and of the coordinate  $z_0$  given there, are slightly different from ours. More precisely, we can rewrite their theorem with our notation:

**Theorem 5.2.** [13] For the Lorentz force  $F_{0,\rho}$  (exact), there exist periodic magnetic trajectories with energy E if and only  $0 < E < \frac{\rho^2}{2}$ . For any  $0 < E < \frac{\rho^2}{2}$ , let  $z_0 = -\rho + \operatorname{sgn}(\rho)\sqrt{\rho^2 - 2E}$  and let  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  be any numbers such that  $x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 2E - z_0^2$ . Then, the magnetic trajectory with initial condition  $\gamma(0) = e$  and  $\gamma'(0) = x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3$ will be periodic with energy E.

Thus here we want to study periodic magnetic trajectories for the Lorentz forces  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  with  $\rho \geq 0$ . To characterize them in these cases we have the next lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** A magnetic trajectory  $\gamma(t) = \exp(x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2 + z(t)e_3)$  for  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  through the identity is periodic if and only if x(t) is periodic of period  $\omega$  and  $y(\omega) = 0$ .

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ ) Trivial.

 $\Leftarrow$ ) The periodicity of x(t) implies that x'(t) is also periodic and  $x'(\omega) = x'(0) = x_0$ . By evaluating Equation (20) in the period  $\omega$ , one gets that  $z(\omega) = 0$ . Thus, it holds  $x(\omega) = y(\omega) = z(\omega) = 0$  and from Proposition 5.1 the trajectory is periodic.

To check periodicity, we will need to verified  $y(\omega) = 0$  in the cases where x(t) is periodic of period  $\omega$ .

We focus on the Lorentz forces  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  for  $\rho \geq 0$ . Remember that the corresponding solutions x(t) and their periods  $\omega$  where given in Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.6 of the previous section, and they are divided into three types depending on the sign of the value  $\Delta$  defined in Equation (27).

**Proposition 5.4.** Let  $\rho \geq 0$ , for the Lorentz force  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  on the Heisenberg Lie group, there are no periodic magnetic trajectories corresponding to  $\Delta \geq 0$ .

20

*Proof.* From Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 5.3 we must see when

(41) 
$$y(\omega) = \int_{0}^{\omega} \left(\frac{x(t)^{2}}{2} + (z_{0} + \rho)x(t) + y_{0}\right) dt,$$

is zero in the cases where x(t) is periodic of period  $\omega$ .

If  $\Delta > 0$  and  $r_1 \le z_0 + \rho \le r_2$ , the solution x(t) is given by (34) and it is periodic of period  $\omega = \frac{8K(k)}{\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}}$ . We replace in Equation (41) using the substitution  $s = \frac{\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}}{4}t + C_{21}$ , the fact that the function  $\operatorname{sn}^2(s, k_1)$  has period 2K(k) and the integrals (64) and (65) given in the appendix to get

$$\begin{split} y(\omega) &= \frac{4}{\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}} \int_0^{2K(k)} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{(r_4 - r_1)^2}{\left(1 + \frac{r_2 - r_1}{r_4 - r_2} \mathrm{sn}^2(s, k_1)\right)^2} + \frac{r_4(r_1 - r_4)}{1 + \frac{r_2 - r_1}{r_4 - r_2} \mathrm{sn}^2(s, k_1)} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} r_4^2 - \frac{1}{2} (z_0 + \rho)^2 + y_0 \right) ds \\ &= \frac{2(r_4 - r_1)}{\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}} \left( 4r_4 \Pi(-A, k_1) + \frac{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}{r_4 - r_1} E(k_1) - (r_4 - r_2)K(k_1) \right) \\ &- 8 \frac{r_4(r_4 - r_1)}{\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}} \Pi(-A, k_1) + \frac{4(r_4^2 + 2y_0 - (z_0 + \rho)^2)}{\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)}} K(k_1) \\ &= 2\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)} \left( E(k_1) - \frac{r_1 r_2 + r_3 r_4 - 4y_0 + 2(z_0 + \rho)^2}{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)} K(k_1) \right) \\ &= 2\sqrt{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)} \left( E(k_1) - K(k_1) - \frac{4 + (r_2 + r_3)^2}{(r_4 - r_2)(r_3 - r_1)} K(k_1) \right). \end{split}$$

Since  $0 < E(k_1) < K(k_1)$  for  $k_1 > 0$  we conclude that  $y(\omega) < 0$ .

For  $\Delta > 0$  and  $r_3 \leq z_0 + \rho \leq r_4$ , we arrive analogously to the same formula for  $y(\omega)$  so it is also non-zero.

Now consider  $\Delta = 0$ . The only case where x(t) is periodic is when  $\mu > 0$ . Moreover x(t) is given by Equation (37) and it has period  $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\mu}}$ . We use the integrals on (66) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} y(\omega) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left( \frac{2\mu^2}{\left(r + \sqrt{r^2 - \mu}\cos(s)\right)^2} - \frac{2\mu r}{r + \sqrt{r^2 - \mu}\cos(s)} + \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \frac{1}{2}(z_0 + \rho)^2 + y_0 \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} (p_0 + r^2 - 2)\pi \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the observation below Equation (31) shows that  $p_0 + r^2 < 0$ , so  $y(\omega)$  is negative too.

The magnetic trajectories with  $\Delta < 0$  have periodic function x(t). So, an application of Lemma 5.3 as before leads to see when  $y(\omega)$  in Equation (41) vanishes, for the period

 $\omega = \frac{8K(k)}{\sqrt{\delta_1\delta_4}}$  given in Table 1. Thus, replace x(t) using Equation (32) and compute by making use of the substitution  $s = \frac{\sqrt{\delta_1\delta_4}}{2}t + C_1$  to get

$$\begin{split} y(\omega) &= \int_{0}^{\omega} \left( \frac{x(t)^2}{2} + (z_0 + \rho)x(t) + y_0 \right) dt \\ &= \frac{(\delta_1 + \delta_4)(\delta_1^2 - \delta_4^2 - 2r_1^2 + 2r_4^2)}{\sqrt{\delta_1 \delta_4}(\delta_4 - \delta_1)} \,\Pi\left( -\frac{(\delta_4 - \delta_1)^2}{4\delta_1 \delta_4}, k \right) + 4\sqrt{\delta_1 \delta_4} E(k) \\ &+ \frac{4}{\sqrt{\delta_1 \delta_4}} \left( \frac{\delta_4 r_1^2 - \delta_1 r_4^2}{\delta_4 - \delta_1} + 2y_0 - (z_0 + \rho)^2 \right) K(k). \end{split}$$

We can simplify this expression. Remember that  $\delta_1 = \sqrt{2p_0 + 2r_1^2 + (r_1 + r_4)^2}$  and  $\delta_4 = \sqrt{2p_0 + 2r_2^2 + (r_1 + r_4)^2}$ , so we obtain (42)  $\delta_1^2 - \delta_4^2 = 2r_1^2 - 2r_4^2$ .

Thus the coefficient corresponding to the function  $\Pi$  is zero. Furthermore, we can use Eq. (42) to rewrite:

$$2\frac{\delta_4 r_1^2 - \delta_1 r_4^2}{\delta_4 - \delta_1} = \frac{(r_1^2 + r_4^2)(\delta_4 - \delta_1) - (r_4^2 - r_1^2)(\delta_1 + \delta_4)}{\delta_4 - \delta_1}$$
$$= \frac{(r_1^2 + r_4^2)(\delta_4 - \delta_1) - \frac{1}{2}(\delta_4^2 - \delta_1^2)(\delta_1 + \delta_4)}{\delta_4 - \delta_1}$$
$$= (r_1^2 + r_4^2) - \frac{1}{2}(\delta_1 + \delta_4)^2.$$

On the other hand, from the definition of  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  we get

$$2y_0 - (z_0 + \rho)^2 = p_0 - 2 = \frac{\delta_1^2 + \delta_4^2}{4} - r_1^2 - r_4^2 - r_1r_4 - 2,$$

and we conclude that:

(43) 
$$y(\omega) = 4\sqrt{\delta_1 \delta_4} \left( E(k) - \frac{(r_1 + r_4)^2 + \delta_1 \delta_4 + 4}{2\delta_1 \delta_4} K(k) \right).$$

In order to work with this last expression it will be useful to reparametrize the set of initial conditions  $x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3 \in \mathfrak{h}_3$  with  $x_0 \ge 0$  corresponding to  $\Delta < 0$ , by using triples (c, d, e) in some proper subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Take  $(c, d, e) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, 1) \times [-1, 1]$  defined in the following manner:

$$c = \frac{\sqrt{2p_0 + r_1^2 + r_4^2}}{2} \qquad d = \frac{r_4 - r_1}{2\sqrt{2p_0 + r_1^2 + r_4^2}} \qquad e = \frac{2}{r_4 - r_1} \left( z_0 + \rho - \frac{r_1 + r_4}{2} \right),$$

where  $r_1 < r_4$  are the distinct real roots of the polynomial P, defined in Equation (25). By Equation (31), the previous observation that  $2p_0 + r_1^2 + r_4^2 \neq 0$  and the fact that  $r_1 \leq z_0 + \rho \leq r_4$ , these variables are well defined and belong to the respective intervals. Reciprocally, by considering the Equations (28), (29) and (30) that establish relationships among the roots of P with its coefficients, we obtain

(44)  

$$x_{0} = \frac{2d}{c}\sqrt{1-e^{2}}\sqrt{(c^{3}de+\rho)^{2}+(1-d^{2})c^{6}},$$

$$y_{0} = c^{2}(2d^{2}e^{2}-2d^{2}+1)+\frac{2de\rho}{c}-1,$$

$$z_{0} = \frac{\rho}{c^{2}}+2cde-\rho.$$

Furthermore, the roots of  ${\cal P}$  obey the equations

(45)

$$r_1 = \frac{\rho}{c^2} - 2cd \qquad r_4 = \frac{\rho}{c^2} + 2cd \qquad r_2 = -\frac{\rho}{c^2} - 2c\sqrt{1 - d^2}i \qquad r_3 = -\frac{\rho}{c^2} + 2c\sqrt{1 - d^2}i,$$

while for  $\delta_1 = |r_1 - r_2|$  and  $\delta_4 = |r_4 - r_2|$  it holds

$$\delta_1 = \frac{2}{c^2} \sqrt{\rho^2 + c^6 - 2\rho dc^3} \qquad \delta_4 = \frac{2}{c^2} \sqrt{\rho^2 + c^6 + 2\rho dc^3}.$$

Observe that the energy of the magnetic trajectory as defined in (3) is given in terms of (c, d, e) by the formula

(46) 
$$\operatorname{En}(c,d,e) = \frac{(c^4 + \rho^2)(c^4 + 4c^2d^2 - 2c^2 + 1)}{2c^4}.$$

Note that this expression does not depend on e.

Finally, if  $\gamma(t) = \exp(x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2 + z(t)e_3)$  is the magnetic trajectory associated to (c, d, e) and  $\omega$  is the period of x(t), then the value  $y(\omega)$  given in Eq. (43) satisfies  $y(\omega) = \Psi(c, d, e)$ , for  $\Psi$  the function (47)

$$\Psi(c,d,e) = \frac{8}{c^2} \sqrt[4]{(\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2 d^2 c^6} \left( E(k) - \left(\frac{\rho^2 + c^4}{2\sqrt{(\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2 d^2 c^6}} + \frac{1}{2}\right) K(k) \right),$$

where  $k = \sqrt{\frac{2c^6d^2 - \rho^2 - c^6}{2\sqrt{(\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2d^2c^6}} + \frac{1}{2}}$ . Note that the function  $\Psi$  is defined on  $(0, \infty) \times (-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** For any  $(c, e) \in (1, \infty) \times [-1, 1]$  there exists a unique  $d = d_c \in (0, 1)$ such that the magnetic trajectory  $\gamma(t)$  through the identity with initial condition  $\gamma'(0) = x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3$ , as in Equation (44), is periodic.

Furthermore, these are all the periodic magnetic trajectories through the identity with  $x_0 \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* As we already said, in order to get a periodic magnetic trajectory through the identity we need to determine when the function  $\Psi(c, d, e)$  in (47) vanishes, by Lemma 5.3. It is immediate to see that we just need to study the roots of the function

(48) 
$$\widetilde{\Psi}(c,d) = E(k) - \left(\frac{\rho^2 + c^4}{2\sqrt{(\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2 d^2 c^6}} + \frac{1}{2}\right) K(k)$$

for  $(c, d) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, 1)$ .

Since E(k) < K(k) for any k > 0, if  $\frac{\rho^2 + c^4}{\sqrt{(\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2 d^2 c^6}} \ge 1$ , then  $\widetilde{\Psi}(c, d) < 0$ . Notice that the map  $\frac{\rho^2 + c^4}{\sqrt{(\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2 d^2 c^6}}$  is increasing as a function of  $d \in (0, 1)$ . Thus, if  $d_c$  is such that  $\Psi(c, d_c) = 0$  then

(49) 
$$\frac{\rho^2 + c^4}{\sqrt{(\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2 d^2 c^6}} \ge 1 \implies d_c < d.$$

For  $c \leq 1$  one has that  $\frac{\rho^2 + c^4}{\sqrt{(\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2 d^2 c^6}} \geq 1$  for any d, so  $\widetilde{\Psi}(c, d) < 0$ . Fixed c > 1 and verify that

$$\lim_{d \to 0} \tilde{\Psi}(c, d) = \frac{c^4(c^2 - 1)\pi}{4(\rho^2 + c^6)} > 0, \qquad 0 > \lim_{d \to 1^-} \tilde{\Psi}(c, d) = \begin{cases} -\frac{c^4(c^2 + 1)\pi}{4(\rho^2 - c^6)} & \text{if } c < \sqrt[3]{\rho} \\ -\infty & \text{if } c \ge \sqrt[3]{\rho} \end{cases}$$

By continuity, there exists some  $d_c \in (0,1)$  such that  $\widetilde{\Psi}(c, d_c) = 0$ .

Now, we will see that  $\frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}}{\partial d}(c, d_c) < 0$  when  $(c, d_c)$  is a root of  $\tilde{\Psi}$ . This will imply that there exists exactly one  $d_c$  for each c > 1.

Consider the auxiliary function

$$\Psi_1(d) = \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Psi}}{\partial d}(c,d) - \frac{c^4(c^6 - 2d^2\rho^2 + \rho^2)(2c^2d^2 - c^2 + 1)}{d(1 - d^2)((c^6 + \rho^2)^2 - 4c^6d^2\rho^2)} \widetilde{\Psi}(c,d)$$
$$= \frac{c^6(A_1d^4 + A_2d^2 + A_3)}{4d(1 - d^2)((\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2d^2c^6)^{3/2}} K(k)$$

where the coefficients  $A_i$  are

•  $A_1 = 8\rho^2 (2c^4 + \rho^2),$ •  $A_2 = -4c^{10} + 2c^6\rho^2 - 16c^4\rho^2 + 2c^2\rho^2 - 8\rho^4$  and •  $A_3 = -c^2(c^2 - 1)^2(c^6 + \rho^2).$ 

If  $\rho = 0$ , then  $A_1 = 0$ ,  $A_2 < 0$  and  $A_3 < 0$ , so  $\Psi_1(d) < 0$  for every d and if  $d_c > 0$  is such that  $\Psi(c, d_c) = 0$  then  $\frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}}{\partial d}(c, d_c) = \Psi_1(d_c) < 0$ In the case  $\rho \neq 0$ ,  $A_1 > 0$  and  $A_3 < 0$  so the quadratic polynomial

$$q(x) = A_1 d^2 + A_2 d + A_3$$

has a positive and a negative root that we denote by  $d_1^2$  and  $-d_2^2$ , respectively, with  $d_1, d_2 > 0$ . Since the elliptic integral K(k) never vanishes, the roots of  $\Psi_1$  are exactly  $d_1^2$ and  $-d_2^2$ . In particular,  $\Psi_1$  has at most one root in the open interval (0,1), whether  $d_1$ could belong to this interval or not.

Denote by  $\nu := (\rho^2 + c^4)^2 - (\rho^2 + c^6)^2 + 4\rho^2 d_1^2 c^6$ ,  $\zeta := (\rho^2 + c^4)^2 - (\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2 d_2^2 c^6$ , by Viete's relations between the roots of the quadratic q we have

$$\nu\zeta = -\frac{2c^8(c^4-1)(c^4+2\rho^2)(c^4+\rho^2)^2}{2c^4+\rho^2} < 0.$$

24

Since  $\nu > \zeta$ , we get that  $\nu > 0$  which is equivalent to  $\frac{\rho^2 + c^4}{\sqrt{(\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2 d_1^2 c^6}} > 1$ . So for any  $d_c$ such that  $\widetilde{\Psi}(c, d_c) = 0$  we have  $0 < d_c < d_1$  by Equation (49). Therefore  $q(d_c^2) < 0$  which implies  $\Psi_1(d_c) < 0$  and finally  $\frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}}{\partial d}(c, d_c) < 0$ . We conclude that for each c > 1 there exists only one  $0 < d_c < 1$  such that  $\Psi(c, d_c) = 0$ .

Then  $(c, d_c, e)$  determines a periodic magnetic trajectory for any c > 1 and  $e \in [-1, 1]$ .

**Remark 5.6.** Observe that from the proof we have that if  $d < d_c$  then  $\Psi(c, d, e) > 0$  and if  $d > d_c$  then  $\Psi(c, d, e) < 0$ .

From the previous lemma we have that there exist an infinite number of periodic magnetic trajectories with  $\Delta < 0$  through the identity with  $x_0 \ge 0$ . Now we are going to characterize their energy level.

**Theorem 5.7.** Let  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  with  $\rho \geq 0$  denote a Lorentz force on the Heisenberg Lie group. For every energy E > 0 there are an infinite number of periodic magnetic trajectory with energy E through the identity with  $x_0 \ge 0$ . More precisely, there exist exactly one c > 1such that the magnetic periodic curves associated to  $(c, d_c, e)$  as in Lemma 5.5, have energy E for every  $e \in [-1, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Remember the expression of the energy in terms of (c, d, e) given in Equation (46), for periodic magnetic equations only depends of c > 1:

(50) 
$$\operatorname{En}(c) = \frac{(c^4 + \rho^2)(c^4 + 4c^2d_c^2 - 2c^2 + 1)}{2c^4}$$

where  $d(c) = d_c$  is the function defined by the condition  $\widetilde{\Psi}(c, d_c) = 0$  for every c > 1 and it was prove in the previous lemma that  $\frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}}{\partial d}(c, d_c) < 0$ . By the implicit function theorem d(c) is a  $C^1$ -function on  $(1,\infty)$  and  $d'(c) = -\frac{\partial \widetilde{\Psi}}{\partial c}(c,d_c)/\frac{\partial \widetilde{\Psi}}{\partial d}(c,d_c)$ .

Let see that  $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial c}(c, d_c) > 0$  for every c > 1. As before we use a convenient auxiliary function given by:

$$\Psi_2(d) = \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Psi}}{\partial c}(c,d) - \frac{3c^3\rho^2(c^2 - 2c^2d^2 - 1)}{(c^6 + \rho^2)^2 - 4\rho^2d^2c^6}\Psi(c,d) = \frac{c^3(B_1d^2 + B_2)}{((\rho^2 + c^6)^2 - 4\rho^2d^2c^6)^{3/2}}K(k)$$

where the coefficients  $B_i$  are

- $B_1 = -2c^2\rho^2(2c^4 + 3\rho^2)$  and  $B_2 = c^{12} + (\frac{3}{2}c^8 + 2c^6 \frac{3}{2}c^4)\rho^2 + (3c^2 2)\rho^4.$
- If  $\rho = 0$  then  $\Psi_2(d) = \frac{K(k)}{c^3} > 0$  for any  $d \in (0, 1)$ .

If  $\rho \neq 0$ , then observe that  $B_1 < 0$  and  $B_2 > 0$  since c > 1, which implies  $-\frac{B_2}{B_1} > 0$ . An application of Equation (49) for  $d = \sqrt{-\frac{B_2}{B_1}}$  gives

$$\frac{\rho^2 + c^4}{\sqrt{(\rho^2 + c^6)^2 + 4\rho^2 \frac{B_2}{B_1} c^6}} = \sqrt{\frac{2c^4 + 3\rho^2}{3\rho^2}} > 1 \implies d_c < \sqrt{-\frac{B_2}{B_1}} \implies B_1 d_c^2 + B_2 > 0.$$

 $\square$ 

I any case, we conclude that  $\frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}}{\partial c}(c, d_c) = \Psi_2(d_c) > 0$ . Since we have proved in the previous lemma that  $\frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}}{\partial d}(c, d_c) < 0$ , we have that d'(c) > 0 for every c > 1.

To analyze the behavior of the function En(c) we calculate

(51) 
$$\operatorname{En}'(c) = \frac{2(D_1 d_c^2 + D_2)}{c^5} + \frac{4(c^4 + \rho^2)}{c^2} d_c d'(c)$$

where  $D_1 = 2c^2(c^4 - \rho^2)$  and  $D_2 = (c^2 - 1)(c^6 + \rho^2) > 0$ . Suppose  $D_1 < -D_2 < 0$ , in particular  $c^4 < \rho^2$ . We can apply again Equation (49) for

Suppose  $D_1 < -D_2 < 0$ , in particular  $c^4 < \rho^2$ . We can apply again Equation (49) for  $d = \sqrt{-\frac{D_2}{D_1}} < 1$  to get

$$\frac{\rho^2 + c^4}{\sqrt{(\rho^2 + c^6)^2 + 4\rho^2 \frac{D_2}{D_1} c^6}} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho^4 - c^8}{\rho^4 - c^{12}}} > 1 \implies d_c < \sqrt{-\frac{D_2}{D_1}} \implies D_1 d_c^2 + D_2 > 0.$$

If  $-D_2 \leq D_1 < 0$  we also have that  $D_1 d_c^2 + D_2 > 0$  since  $d_c^2 < 1$  and the case  $D_1 \geq 0$  is trivial.

Thus, since d'(c) > 0 and  $D_1 d_c^2 + D_2 > 0$  we conclude from Equation (51) that  $\operatorname{En}'(c) > 0$  for all c > 1. Checking that  $\lim_{c \to 1} \operatorname{En}(c) = 0$  and  $\lim_{c \to \infty} \operatorname{En}(c) = \infty$ , we can affirm that En is a bijection between  $(1, \infty)$  and  $(0, \infty)$ . Then for any E > 0 there exists a unique c > 1 such that all the periodic curves associated to  $(c, d_c, e)$  for  $e \in [-1, 1]$  have energy E.

**Example 5.8.** In particular, we can analyze the case  $\rho = 0$  with more detail. In this instance we have that  $r_4 = -r_1 = \sqrt{z_0^2 + 2\|V_0 + e_2\| - 2(y_0 + 1)}, \ \delta_1 = \delta_4 = 2\sqrt{\|V_0 + e_2\|}.$ Then the variables c, d, e are given by  $c = \sqrt{\|V_0 + e_2\|}, \ d = \frac{\sqrt{z_0^2 + 2\|V_0 + e_2\| - 2(y_0 + 1)}}{2\sqrt{\|V_0 + e_2\|}}, \ e = 2\sqrt{\|V_0 + e_2\|}$ 

 $\frac{z_0}{\sqrt{z_0^2 + 2\|V_0 + e_2\| - 2(y_0 + 1)}}.$ 

So if  $\sqrt{\|V_0 + e_2\|} \leq 1$  then the magnetic trajectory is not periodic. On the other hand, since we have that

$$y(\omega) = 8c\left(E(d) - \left(\frac{1}{2c^2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)K(d)\right)$$

then  $d_c$  is defined implicitly by  $\frac{E(d_c)}{K(d_c)} = \frac{1}{2c^2} + \frac{1}{2}$  for each  $\sqrt{\|V_0 + e_2\|} = c > 1$ . Then for any c > 1 we have a family of magnetic periodic curves with energy  $\text{En} = \frac{c^4 + 4c^2d_c^2 - 2c^2 + 1}{2}$ and  $\sqrt{\|V_0 + e_2\|} = c$ .

**Remark 5.9.** In view of the previous results, one has the following. On the Heisenberg group  $(H_3, \langle , \rangle)$  equipped with the left-invariant metric and a left-invariant Lorentz force F:

- (1) For  $F \equiv F_{0,\rho}$  left-invariant exact, there exist periodic magnetic trajectories with energy E if and only for  $E < \frac{\rho^2}{2}$ .
- (2) For  $F \equiv F_{e_1,\rho}$  with  $\rho \geq 0$ , there exist periodic magnetic trajectories with any energy E > 0.

Until now we consider the action of the symmetry group  $H_F \subseteq \text{Iso}(H_3) \times \mathbb{R}$  to study the solutions of the corresponding magnetic equation. But there exists an action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on the set of differentiable curves,  $\mathcal{C}$ , given by translations of the parameter

$$(s \cdot \gamma)(t) = \gamma(t+s) \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R}$$

Observe that this action preserves the solutions in any autonomous differential systems, becoming a symmetry of the differential equations.

Consider the action of  $H_F \ltimes \mathbb{R}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  that preserves the magnetic curves for the Lorentz force F given by:

$$((g,s)\cdot\gamma)(t) = (g\cdot\gamma)(t+s), \text{ for } g\in H_F, s\in\mathbb{R}, \gamma\in\mathcal{C}.$$

Recall that  $g \in H_F$  corresponds to a pair  $(\psi, r) \in H_F \subseteq \text{Iso}(H_3) \times \mathbb{R}$  as in Lemma 2.1. Explicitly, one has

$$((\psi, r), s) \cdot \gamma = (e, (rs)) \cdot ((\psi, r), 0) \cdot \gamma, \qquad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma \in \mathcal{C},$$

and this action transforms periodic curves into periodic curves.

From Remark 2.2 this action also preserves the energy of the magnetic trajectories. Thus the transformed of a periodic magnetic trajectory is also a periodic magnetic trajectory with the same energy. The next theorem proves a converse of this fact for any Lorentz force in  $H_3$ .

**Theorem 5.10.** Given a left-invariant Lorentz force F in the Heisenberg group  $H_3$ , any two periodic magnetic trajectories with the same energy are equivalent by the action of the product group  $H_F \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ .

Proof. First consider  $F = F_{0,\rho}$  exact. From Theorem 5.2, we have that two periodic magnetic trajectories through the identity with the same energy E have initial conditions  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  and  $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0, z_0)$  with  $x_0^2 + y_0^2 = \tilde{x}_0^2 + \tilde{y}_0^2 = 2E - z_0^2$  and  $z_0 = -\rho + \operatorname{sgn}(\rho)\sqrt{\rho^2 - 2E}$ . Then, there exist  $A \in SO(2)$  such that  $A(x_0, y_0) = (\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0)$  and the corresponding  $((A, 1), 0) \in H_F \ltimes \mathbb{R}$  transforms one trajectory to the other. Furthermore, if the periodic trajectories have initial condition different from the identity we apply a translation by an element of  $H_3$  which is also in  $H_F \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ .

By the observation following Proposition 5.1 about the action on the Lorentz forces, we only have to consider  $F = F_{e_1,\rho}$  with  $\rho \ge 0$ . Let  $\sigma_1(t) = \exp(x_1(t)e_1 + y_1(t)e_2 + z_1(t)e_3)$ and  $\sigma_2 = \exp(x_2(t)e_1 + y_2(t)e_2 + z_2(t)e_3)$  be two periodic curves with the same energy through the identity such that  $x'_1(0) = x_0 \ge 0$  and  $x'_2(0) = \tilde{x}_0 \ge 0$ . By Theorem 5.7 they are associated to  $(c, d_c, \tilde{e}_1)$  and  $(c, d_c, \tilde{e}_2)$ , respectively, since they have the same energy. Denote the corresponding initial conditions given in (44) by  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  and  $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0, \tilde{z}_0)$ . Since the relations (45) do not depend on the coordinate e, the two solutions have the same associated roots i.e. determine the same polynomial  $P(\eta)$  in (25). So by remembering the explicit form of the magnetic trajectory from Equation (32) we get that

(52) 
$$x_2(t) = x_1(t+C) + z_0 - \tilde{z}_0$$

for all t, where C is a constant such that  $x_1(C) = \tilde{z}_0 - z_0$ . Then, from Equation (19) one has

$$y_{2}'(t) = \frac{x_{2}(t)^{2}}{2} + (\tilde{z}_{0} + \rho)x_{2}(t) + \tilde{y}_{0}$$
  
=  $\frac{(x_{1}(t+C) + z_{0} - \tilde{z}_{0})^{2}}{2} + (\tilde{z}_{0} + \rho)(x_{1}(t+C) + z_{0} - \tilde{z}_{0}) + \tilde{y}_{0}$   
=  $y_{1}'(t+C) + (\frac{z_{0}^{2}}{2} + \rho z_{0} - y_{0}) - (\frac{\tilde{z}_{0}^{2}}{2} + \rho \tilde{z}_{0} - \tilde{y}_{0})$ 

But in terms of the variables  $(c, d_c, e)$  (see (44)) we have that  $\frac{z_0^2}{2} + \rho z_0 - y_0 = \frac{(4d^2 - 2)c^6 + (-\rho^2 + 2)c^4 + \rho^2}{2c^4}$  doesn't depends of e, so: (53)  $y'_2(t) = y'_1(t+C) \implies y_2(t) = y_1(t+C) - y_1(C)$ 

Now we use Equation (20) to get:

$$z_{2}(t) = -\frac{1}{2}x_{2}(t)y_{2}(t) - (\tilde{z}_{0} + \rho)y_{2}(t) - x'_{2}(t) + \tilde{x}_{0}$$
  

$$= -\frac{1}{2}(x_{1}(t+C) - x_{1}(C))(y_{1}(t+C) - y_{1}(C))$$
  

$$- (\tilde{z}_{0} + \rho)(y_{1}(t+C) - y_{1}(C)) - x'_{1}(t+C) + \tilde{x}_{0}$$
  

$$= z_{1}(t+C) - z_{1}(C) + (z_{0} - \tilde{z}_{0})(y_{1}(t+C) - y_{1}(C)) - x_{1}(C)y_{1}(C)$$
  

$$+ \frac{1}{2}x_{1}(t+C)y_{1}(C) + \frac{1}{2}x_{1}(C)y_{1}(t+C) - x'_{1}(C) + \tilde{x}_{0}.$$

From (52) we have that  $x_1(C) = \tilde{z}_0 - z_0$  and  $\tilde{x}_0 = x'_2(0) = x'_1(C)$ , then

(54) 
$$z_2(t) = z_1(t+C) - z_1(C) + \frac{1}{2}x_1(t+C)y_1(C) - \frac{1}{2}x_1(C)y_1(t+C).$$

From (52), (53) and (54) we conclude that:

(55) 
$$\sigma_2(t) = \sigma_1(C)^{-1} \sigma_1(t+C)$$

for all t. We conclude that  $\sigma_2 = ((L_{\sigma_1(C)^{-1}}, 1), C) \cdot \sigma_1$  with  $((L_{\sigma_1(C)^{-1}}, 1), C) \in H_F \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ .

Recall the action of  $Iso(M) \times \mathbb{R}^*$  defined previously. A consequence of the result above and Theorem 5.2 is the following.

**Corollary 5.11.** For any left-invariant Lorentz force F in the Heisenberg group  $H_3$ , if there exists a periodic megnetic trajectory of energy E then it is unique (up to equivalence of the symmetry group).

### 6. Closed magnetic trajectories on compact nilmanifolds $\Lambda \backslash H_3$

The aim now is the study of closed magnetic trajectories on compact Heisenberg nilmanifolds, that is  $M = \Lambda \backslash H_3$ , where  $\Lambda$  denotes a cocompact lattice in  $H_3$ .

Let  $(N, \langle , \rangle)$  denote any Lie group endowed with a left-invariant metric. Assume  $\Lambda$  is a discrete subgroup of N such that the quotient  $\Lambda \backslash N$  is compact. A natural metric on the quotient is the one induced from N. In fact, this is possible since the metric is left-invariant:  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{np} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  for all  $n, p \in N$ .

28

A magnetic field on  $\Lambda \setminus N$  is induced from the left-invariant magnetic field on N and this is determined by its values on  $\mathfrak{n}$ . The next definition gives the periodic condition on  $M = \Lambda \setminus N$ .

**Definition 6.1.** Let N be a Lie group. For any element  $\lambda \in N$  different from the identity, a curve  $\sigma(t)$  is called  $\lambda$ -periodic with period  $\omega$ , if  $\omega \neq 0$  and for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  it holds:

(56) 
$$\lambda \sigma(t) = \sigma(t+\omega).$$

It is clear that whenever the subgroup  $\Lambda < N$  is a cocompact discrete subgroup, also called lattice, for any element  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , a  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectory will project to a smoothly closed magnetic trajectory under the mapping  $N \to \Lambda \setminus N$ . Conversely, every closed magnetic trajectory  $\sigma$  on  $\Lambda \setminus N$  lifts to a  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectory on the Lie group N, if  $\sigma$  is non-contractible, or directly lifts to a closed magnetic trajectory on N.

**Proposition 6.2.** Let  $\sigma$  denote a  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectory with period  $\omega$  on a Lie group N and fix  $p \in N$ . Then:

- (1)  $\sigma$  is a  $\lambda^m$ -periodic magnetic trajectory with period  $m\omega$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \{0\}$ .
- (2)  $p\sigma$  is a  $p\lambda p^{-1}$ -periodic magnetic trajectory with period  $\omega$ .

The first part is immediate from (56) and the second holds from the next relation

$$p\lambda p^{-1}p\sigma(t) = p\lambda\sigma(t) = p\sigma(t+\omega)$$
 for every  $t$ .

Now let N be a 2-step nilpotent simply connected Lie group equipped with a leftinvariant metric. Choose an element  $\lambda \in N$ , where  $\lambda = exp(W_1 + Z_1)$  for  $W_1 \in \mathfrak{v}, Z_1 \in \mathfrak{z}$ as in Decomposition (8). For V(t) and Z(t) curves on  $\mathfrak{v}$  and  $\mathfrak{z}$  respectively, a trajectory  $\sigma(t) = exp(V(t) + Z(t))$  passing through the identity is  $\lambda$ -periodic with period  $\omega$ , for  $\omega \neq 0$ , if and only if the following equations are verified:

(57) 
$$\begin{cases} W_1 + V(t) = V(t+\omega) \\ Z_1 + Z(t) + \frac{1}{2}[W_1, V(t)] = Z(t+\omega). \end{cases}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . In fact, this is possible since the exponential map is a diffeomorphism.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let  $(N, \langle , \rangle)$  be a simply connected 2-step Lie nilpotent Lie group equipped with a left-invariant metric. Then a trajectory  $\sigma = \exp(V(t) + Z(t))$  on N is  $\lambda = \exp(W_1 + Z_1)$ -periodic if and only if Equations (57) hold.

Assume now that  $\dim(\mathfrak{z}/C(\mathfrak{n})) \leq 1$ . In this situation the closedness condition of the magnetic field implies that  $F_{\mathfrak{z}}(\mathfrak{z}) = 0$ . Therefore if the curve  $\sigma(t) = exp(V(t) + Z(t))$  is a magnetic trajectory for a left-invariant Lorentz force F on a 2-step nilpotent Lie group  $(N, \langle , \rangle)$ , then the second equation of (9) follows

$$Z'' + \frac{1}{2}[V'', V] = qF_{\mathfrak{z}}(V'),$$

which is equivalent to

(58) 
$$Z'(t) + \frac{1}{2}[V'(t), V(t)] = qF_{\mathfrak{z}}(V(t)) + Z_0,$$

for every t and where  $Z'(0) = Z_0$  is the initial velocity in the center of the magnetic trajectory. By evaluating this equation in  $t + \omega$  and by using Equation (57) we get

$$Z'(t) + \frac{1}{2}[W_1, V'(t)] + \frac{1}{2}[V'(t), W_1 + V(t)] = qF_{\mathfrak{z}}(W_1 + V(t)) + Z_0.$$

By comparing the last two equations, one obtains the following condition for  $W_1$ :

(59) 
$$F_{3}(W_{1}) = 0$$

The converse of this reasonning is true as proved below.

**Lemma 6.4.** Let  $(N, \langle , \rangle)$  be a simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group such that  $\dim(\mathfrak{z}/C(\mathfrak{n})) \leq 1$ . Let F be a left-invariant Lorentz force on N with magnetic trajectory  $\sigma(t) = \exp(V(t) + Z(t))$  through the identity element at t = 0. Denote by  $\lambda = \exp(W_1 + Z_1)$  an element in N.

(i) If  $\sigma$  is  $\lambda$ -periodic of period  $\omega$ , then

 $W_1 \in \ker F_3$  and V' is periodic of period  $\omega$ .

(ii) Conversely, assume V'(t) is periodic of period  $\omega$  and  $W_1 := V(\omega)$  belongs to the kernel of  $F_3$ . Then the magnetic curve  $\sigma$  is  $\lambda$ -periodic for  $\lambda = \exp(W_1 + Z_1)$  where  $Z_1 := Z(\omega)$ .

*Proof.* For (i) one has Equation (59), while the periodicity of the map V' follows from the first equation in System (57).

(ii) Since V' is periodic with period  $\omega$ , there exists  $W_1$  such that  $W_1 + V(t) = V(t + \omega)$ . Moreover note that  $W_1 = V(\omega)$ .

Note that Equation (58) is equivalent to  $Z'(t) = qF_{\mathfrak{z}}(V(t)) + Z_0 - \frac{1}{2}[V'(t), V(t)]$  for all t.

Define a map  $G : \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{v}$  by  $G(t) = Z(t+w) - Z(t) - \frac{1}{2}[W_1, V(t)]$ . By differentiating and using the formulas above, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} G'(t) &= Z'(t+\omega) - Z'(t) - \frac{1}{2}[W_1, V'(t)] \\ &= qF_{\mathfrak{z}}V(t+\omega) + Z_0 - \frac{1}{2}[V'(t+\omega), V(t+\omega)] - Z'(t) - \frac{1}{2}[W_1, V'(t)] \\ &= qF_{\mathfrak{z}}V(t) + qF_{\mathfrak{z}}W_1 + Z_0 - \frac{1}{2}[V'(t), V(t) + W_1)] - Z'(t) - \frac{1}{2}[W_1, V'(t)] \\ &= qF_{\mathfrak{z}}V(t) + Z_0 - \frac{1}{2}[V'(t), V(t)] - Z'(t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This says that G(t) is constant and equals

$$Z_1 := Z(\omega) = Z(t+\omega) - Z(t) - \frac{1}{2}[W_1, V(t)]$$

This finally proves that  $\sigma$  is  $\lambda$ -periodic for  $\lambda = \exp(W_1 + Z_1)$ .

**Remark 6.5.** In the hipotesis of the Lemma above,  $\dim(\mathfrak{z}/C(\mathfrak{n})) \leq 1$ , for a Lorentz force corresponding to an exact form, one has ker  $F_{\mathfrak{z}} \equiv 0$ , see [21].

Now, the goal is to find  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic curves on the 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie group for any left-invariant Lorentz force. The left-invariant exact case is treated on [13]. For the other cases, we can restrict to the Lorentz force  $F = F_{e_1,\rho}$  with  $\rho \ge 0$ , in view of the next general lemma.

Let  $(N, \langle , \rangle)$  denote a Lie group with a left-invariant metric. As seen in Section 2, there is an action of  $\text{Iso}(N) \times \mathbb{R}$  on the set of curves, such that if  $\gamma$  is a magnetic trajectory for the Lorentz force F then  $(\phi, r) \cdot \gamma$  is a magnetic trajectory for  $(\phi, r) \cdot F = r\phi_* \circ F \circ \phi_*^{-1}$ .

Assume  $\psi$  is an orthogonal automorphism of N and suppose  $\gamma$  is a  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectory. Thus, one has

$$\psi(\lambda)\psi(\gamma(rt)) = \psi(\lambda\gamma(rt)) = \psi\gamma(rt + \omega),$$

which equivalently says that  $(\psi, r) \cdot \gamma$  is  $\psi(\lambda)$ -periodic. This proved the next result.

**Lemma 6.6.** Let  $(N, \langle, \rangle)$  be a Lie group with a left-invariant metric such that F is a Lorentz force on N. Let  $\psi$  denote an orthogonal automorphism of N. If  $\gamma$  is a  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectory for F with period  $\omega$  then  $(\psi, r) \cdot \gamma$  is a  $\psi(\lambda)$ -periodic magnetic trajectory with period  $\omega$  for the Lorentz force  $(\psi, r) \cdot F$ .

In view of the previous result we consider the equivalence and we take a Lorentz force  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  on the Heisenberg Lie group  $H_3$ . Firstly, notice that the kernel of  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  is spanned by  $e_2 + \rho e_3$ . Thus, Lemma 6.4 and the fact that  $F_{\mathfrak{z}}(W_1) = 0$ , implies  $W_1 \in span\{e_2\}$ . That is, if  $W_1 = x_1e_1 + y_1e_2$ , then for  $\lambda = \exp(W_1 + Z_1)$ , one must have  $x_1 = 0$  for any  $\lambda$ -periodic trajectory.

Let  $\sigma(t) = \exp(x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2 + z(t)e_3)$  be a magnetic curve through the identity on  $H_3$  for the Lorentz force  $F_{e_1,\rho}$ . The trajectory  $\sigma$  is  $\lambda$ -periodic for  $\lambda = \exp(y_1e_2 + z_1e_3)$  if and only if for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the next equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(t+\omega) \\ y(t) + y_1 &= y(t+\omega) \\ z(t) + z_1 - \frac{1}{2}y_1 x(t) &= z(t+\omega), \end{aligned}$$

which is Equation (57) in this situation. Thus, x must be periodic and by using the expression of z(t) in Lemma 4.1, one gets that  $z_1 = z(\omega) = -(z_0 + \rho)y_1$ . So if  $y_1 = 0$ , then  $z_1 = 0$  implying that  $\lambda = e$  which is a contradiction. Then  $y_1 = y(\omega) \neq 0$ .

Conversely if  $\sigma(t) = \exp(x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2 + z(t)e_3)$  is a magnetic trajectory through the identity such that  $x(t) = x(t+\omega)$  for every t and  $y(\omega) \neq 0$  then  $\sigma(t)$  es  $\lambda$ -periodic for  $\lambda = \exp(y(\omega)e_2 + z(\omega)e_3)$ .

In fact, by using the expression of y(t) given in Lemma 4.1, we see that the second equation above holds since y'(t) has period  $\omega$ . From the expression of z(t) in the same lemma and the fact that x(t) and y'(t) are both periodic functions with the same period  $\omega$ , one has

$$(z(t+w) - z(t) + \frac{1}{2}y_1x(t))' = -\frac{1}{2}x'(t)y(t+\omega) + \frac{1}{2}x'(t)y(t) + \frac{1}{2}y_1x'(t)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2}x'(t)(-y(t+\omega) + y(t) + y_1) = 0$ 

So the third equation also holds. This information together with Table 1 gives conditions for periodicity of x(t) and possible elements  $\lambda \in H_3$  to obtain  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectories.

**Proposition 6.7.** Let  $(H_3, \langle , \rangle)$  be the Heisenberg group equipped with its canonical metric with Lorentz force  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  and let  $\sigma$  be the magnetic trajectory through the identity such that  $\sigma'(0) = x_0e_1 + y_0e_2 + z_0e_3$ .

• If  $x_0 = 0$  and  $(y_0+1)(z_0+\rho) = \rho$ , then  $\sigma$  is  $\lambda_r$ -periodic with  $\lambda_r = exp(y_0re_2+z_0re_3)$ for any  $r \neq 0$ .

In the case  $x_0^2 + (\rho - (y_0 + 1)(z_0 + \rho))^2 \neq 0$ ,

- if  $\Delta \neq 0$  or  $\mu > 0$  then  $\sigma$  is periodic if  $\sigma(\omega) = e$ , or  $\sigma$  is  $\lambda$ -periodic for  $\lambda = \sigma(\omega)$  where  $\omega$  is the corresponding period given in Table 1,
- if  $\Delta = 0$  and  $\mu \leq 0$  then  $\sigma$  is not  $\lambda$ -periodic for any  $\lambda$ .

Observe that if  $\sigma$  does not go through the identity then by Lemma 6.4 the curve  $p\sigma(t)$  with  $p = \sigma(0)^{-1}$  is a  $p\lambda p^{-1}$ -periodic magnetic trajectory through the identity and we proceed as above.

Now fixed  $\lambda = \exp(y_1e_2 + z_1e_3)$  with  $y_1 \neq 0$  and let E > 0. From the discussion above it is enough to find a magnetic trajectory through the identity with energy E such that  $x(t) = x(t + \omega) \forall t$  and  $y(\omega) = y_1$  and  $z(\omega) = z_1$  for some  $\omega$ .

Remember the function  $\Psi(c, d, e)$  written in Equation (47) whose value corresponds to  $y(\omega)$  for the magnetic trajectory associated to the triple (c, d, e) (see Equation (44)). On the other hand, consider the 2-dimensional surface

$$\mathcal{S}_E = \{(c, d, e) : \operatorname{En}(c, d, e) = E\}$$

where  $\operatorname{En}(c, d, e)$  is the energy as a function of (c, d, e) given in Equation (46). In Theorem 5.7 we proved that there are exactly one  $c_0 > 1$  and  $0 < d_{c_0} < 1$  such that  $(c_0, d_{c_0}, e) \in \mathcal{S}_E$  and  $\Psi(c_0, d_{c_0}, e) = 0$  for every  $e \in [-1, 1]$ . Isolating d as a function of c in the equation  $\operatorname{En}(c, d, e) = E$  we get the function

$$h_E(c) = \sqrt{\frac{2c^4 E - (c^4 + \rho^2)(c^2 - 1)^2}{(c^4 + \rho^2)4c^2}}$$

which is defined in some neighborhood J of  $c_0$ ,  $h_E(c_0) = d_{c_0}$  and  $(c, h_E(c), e) \in S_E$  for every  $c \in J$ ,  $e \in [-1, 1]$ . Also,

$$h'_{E}(c_{0}) = -\frac{(c_{0}^{4} - \rho^{2})2c_{0}^{4}E + (c_{0}^{4} - 1)(c_{0}^{4} + \rho^{2})^{2}}{4d_{c_{0}}(c_{0}^{4} + \rho^{2})^{2}c_{0}^{4}} \leq -\frac{(c_{0}^{4} - \rho^{2})(c_{0}^{4} + \rho^{2})(c_{0}^{2} - 1)^{2} + (c_{0}^{4} - 1)(c_{0}^{4} + \rho^{2})^{2}}{4d_{c_{0}}(c_{0}^{4} + \rho^{2})^{2}c_{0}^{4}} \\ = -\frac{(c_{0}^{2} - 1)(c_{0}^{6} + \rho^{2})(c_{0}^{4} + \rho^{2})}{4d_{c_{0}}(c_{0}^{4} + \rho^{2})^{2}c_{0}^{4}} < 0$$

where the inequality holds since  $h_E(c_0)$  is a real number, so  $2c_0^4 E - (c_0^4 + \rho^2)(c_0^2 - 1)^2 \ge 0$ . Thus, the function  $h_E$  is decreasing around  $c_0$ , and so, there are  $c_1 < c_0 < c_2$  such that  $h_E(c_1) > d_{c_0} > h_E(c_2)$ . On the other hand, we know that  $d_c$  is increasing as a function of c (Theorem 5.7), so  $d_{c_1} < d_{c_0} < d_{c_2}$ . We conclude that  $h_E(c_1) > d_{c_1}$  and  $h_E(c_2) < d_{c_2}$  and by Remark 5.6 we get that  $\Psi(c_1, h_E(c_1), e) < 0$  and  $\Psi(c_2, h_E(c_2), e) > 0$ . Thus, by continuity, the image of the function  $\Psi(c, d, e)$  restricted to the surface  $\mathcal{S}_E$  contains an open neighborhood of 0. More precisely, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $y \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ 

32

there is a magnetic trajectory  $\exp(x(t)e_1 + y(t)e_2 + z(t)e_3)$  with energy E such that x is periodic of period  $\omega$  and  $y(\omega) = y$ .

Take  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{y_1}{n} \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . From above, there is a  $\lambda_1$ -periodic magnetic trajectory through the identity with energy E, where  $\lambda_1 = exp(\frac{y_1}{n}e_2 + z_2e_3)$ . By using Proposition 6.2 the same curve is a  $\lambda_1^n$ -periodic magnetic trajectory through the identity with energy E. Note that  $\lambda_1^n = exp(y_1e_2 + nz_2e_3)$ . But  $\lambda_1^n$  and  $\lambda = \exp(y_1e_2 + z_1e_3)$  are conjugated elements. So, again by application of Proposition 6.2 we can construct a  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectory with energy E.

**Proposition 6.8.** Let  $(H_3, \langle , \rangle)$  be the Heisenberg group equipped with its canonical metric and a Lorentz force  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  with  $\rho \neq 0$ . Let  $\lambda = \exp(x_1e_1 + y_1e_2 + z_1e_3) \in H_3$ ,  $\lambda \neq (0,0,0)$ and E > 0,

- If  $x_1 \neq 0$  or  $y_1 = 0$  then there does not exist any  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectory.
- If  $x_1 = 0$  and  $y_1 \neq 0$ , there are  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectories with energy E.

Now, take  $\Lambda < H_3$  be a cocompact discrete subgroup, usually called a lattice. We apply the result above to get closed magnetic trajectories on the compact space  $\Lambda \backslash H_3$ .

**Lemma 6.9.** For every  $\lambda$ -periodic magnetic trajectory  $\sigma$  with  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there exist unique  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  and  $0 < \omega_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sigma$  is  $\lambda'$ -periodic of period  $\omega'$  with  $\lambda' \in \Lambda$  if and only if  $\lambda' = \lambda_0^n$  and  $\omega' = n\omega_0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that the sets

 $\mathcal{L} = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : \sigma \text{ is } \lambda \text{-periodic}\} \cup \{e\} \text{ and } \mathcal{W} = \{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : \omega \text{ is a period of } \sigma \text{ for some } \lambda \in \Lambda\} \cup \{0\}$ 

are non-empty subgroups of  $H_3$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ , respectively. Let see that 0 is an isolated point of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence  $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{W}$  such that  $\omega_n\to 0$  then for the corresponding sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{L}$  one has

$$\lambda_n \sigma(t) = \sigma(t + \omega_n) \to \sigma(t)$$
 which implies  $\lambda_n \to e$ .

This is absurd since  $e \in \Lambda$  and  $\Lambda$  is discrete.

Therefore  $\mathcal{W}$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  and there exist a  $\omega_0 > 0$  such  $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{Z}\omega_0$ .

Observe that the map assigning  $\lambda \in \mathcal{L} \to \omega \in \mathcal{W}$  is an isomorphism of groups. This gives that  $\mathcal{L}$  is the discrete subgroup span by  $\lambda_0$  which corresponds to  $\omega_0$ .

Recall that any lattice on  $H_3$  is isomorphic to one of the family

$$\Gamma_k = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \frac{1}{2k} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

See for instance [15].

**Corollary 6.10.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice on the Heisenberg Lie group. Fix  $E \in \mathbb{R}$ , E > 0. Then, for any  $\lambda = \exp(ye_2 + ze_3) \in \Lambda$  with  $y \neq 0$ , there exists a periodic magnetic trajectory for  $F_{e_1,\rho}$  of energy E with free homotopy class  $\lambda$ .

As a consequence, if such  $\lambda$  exists, there are an infinite number of (non-equivalent) periodic magnetic trajectories of energy E on M.

**Example 6.11.** For a lattice  $\Gamma_k$  as above, take  $\lambda_p = (0, p, \frac{1}{2k}) \in \Gamma_k$  for every prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $\sigma_p$  be a  $\lambda_p$ -periodic magnetic trajectory. Then  $\sigma_p$  is different of  $\sigma_q$  for  $p \neq q$  by Lemma 6.9. Thus the corresponding projections to the compact space  $\Gamma_k \setminus H_3$  give different closed curves.

Now take the lattice

$$\Lambda = \left\{ (v_1, v_2, \frac{z}{2k}) : z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ n \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

In this case, in order to have  $x_1 = 0$ , it may hold  $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}m + \frac{1}{2}n = 0 \Leftrightarrow m = n = 0$ . Then there are no non-contractible closed magnetic trajectory on  $\Lambda \setminus H_3$  for the Lorentz force  $F_{e_1,\rho}$ .

On the other hand, in view of Remark 6.5 it is not possible to produce a lattice  $\Lambda$  as in the previous example in order to get no closed (non-contractible) magnetic trajectories for a Lorentz force F corresponding to an exact form.

#### 7. Appendix

This section contains main properties of elliptic integrals. Remember that the functions

$$F(x,k) = \int_0^x \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta}} \qquad E(x,k) = \int_0^x \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta} \, d\theta$$
$$\Pi(x,\alpha^2,k) = \int_0^x \frac{d\theta}{(1 - \alpha^2 \sin^2 \theta)\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta}}$$

with parameters 0 < k < 1 and  $\alpha^2 \in \mathbb{R}$  are the incomplete elliptic integrals of the first, second and third kind, respectively. And the corresponding complete elliptic integrals are defined as

$$K(k) = F\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, k\right), \quad E(k) = E\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, k\right) \text{ and } \Pi(\alpha^2, k) = \Pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \alpha^2, k\right).$$

Then Jacobi's elliptic functions are given by:

$$\operatorname{am}(x,k) = F^{-1}(x,k), \quad \operatorname{sn}(x,k) = \sin(\operatorname{am}(x,k)), \quad \operatorname{cn}(x,k) = \cos(\operatorname{am}(x,k))$$

and

$$\mathrm{dn}(x,k) = \frac{d}{dx}\mathrm{am}(x,k).$$

Here we outline some primitives and integrals of this functions that we use through the article. These are calculated and checked using the definitions and relations given in [7].

(60)  
$$\int_{0}^{t} \operatorname{cn}^{2}(s,k)ds = \frac{E(\operatorname{am}(t,k),k) - (1-k^{2})t}{k^{2}} \qquad \int_{0}^{t} \operatorname{dn}^{2}(s,k)ds = E(\operatorname{am}(t,k),k)$$
$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{1 + A\operatorname{sn}^{2}(s,k)}ds = \Pi(\operatorname{am}(t,k), -A,k)$$

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{(1+A\sin^{2}(s,k))^{2}} ds = \frac{A^{2}\sin(t,k)\operatorname{cn}(t,k)\operatorname{dn}(t,k)}{2(A+1)(A+k^{2})(1+A\sin^{2}(t,k))} + \frac{AE(\operatorname{am}(t,k),k)}{2(A+1)(A+k^{2})} + \frac{(2Ak^{2}+A^{2}+3k^{2}+2A)\Pi(\operatorname{am}(t,k),-A,k)}{2(A+1)(A+k^{2})} - \frac{x}{2(A+1)}$$

(62) 
$$\int_{0}^{2K(k)} \frac{1}{1 + A \operatorname{sn}^{2}(s, k)} ds = 2\Pi \left(-A, k\right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_{0}^{(63)} \frac{1}{(A\operatorname{sn}(s,k)^2+1)^2} ds = \frac{AE(k)}{(A+1)(A+k^2)} + \frac{2Ak^2 + A^2 + 3k^2 + 2A}{(A+1)(A+k^2)} \Pi(-A,k) - \frac{K(k)}{A+1}$$

For  $0 < A^2 < B^2$ , one can check the following primitives

$$\int \frac{1}{A\operatorname{cn}(s,k) + B} ds = \frac{B}{A_1^2} \prod \left( \operatorname{am}(s,k), -\frac{A^2}{A_1^2}, k \right) - \frac{A}{A_1 B_1} \arctan \left( \frac{B_1 \operatorname{sn}(s,k)}{A_1 \operatorname{dn}(s,k)} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{split} \int \frac{1}{(A\mathrm{cn}(s,k)+B)^2} ds = & \frac{B^2((1-2k^2)A^2+2k^2B^2)}{A_1^4B_1^2} \,\Pi\left(\mathrm{am}(s,k), -\frac{A^2}{A_1^2}, k\right) \\ &- \frac{AB((1-2k^2)A^2+2k^2B^2)}{A_1^3B_1^3} \arctan\left(\frac{B_1\mathrm{sn}(s,k)}{A_1\mathrm{dn}(s,k)}\right) \\ &+ \frac{A^2}{A_1^2B_1^2} E(\mathrm{am}(s,k), k) - \frac{A^3\mathrm{sn}(s,k)\mathrm{dn}(s,k)}{A_1^2B_1^2(A\mathrm{cn}(s,k)+B)} - \frac{s}{A_1^2}. \end{split}$$

where  $A_1^2 = B^2 - A^2$  and  $B_1^2 = (1 - k^2)A^2 + k^2B^2$ . Evaluating the definite integrals, we get

(64) 
$$\int_{0}^{4K(k)} \frac{1}{A\mathrm{cn}(s,k) + B} ds = \frac{4B}{B^2 - A^2} \Pi \left( -\frac{A^2}{B^2 - A^2}, k \right)$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$ 

(65) 
$$\int_{0}^{4K(k)} \frac{1}{(A\operatorname{cn}(s,k)+B)^2} ds = \frac{4B^2((1-2k^2)A^2+2k^2B^2)}{(B^2-A^2)^2((1-k^2)A^2+k^2B^2)} \Pi\left(\frac{A^2}{A^2-B^2},k\right) + \frac{4A^2E(k)}{(B^2-A^2)((1-k^2)A^2+k^2B^2)} - \frac{4K(k)}{B^2-A^2},$$

where we use that  $\operatorname{sn}(x, k)$ ,  $\operatorname{cn}(x, k)$  and  $\operatorname{dn}(x, k)$  have period 4K(k) or 2K(k) In particular, evaluating in k = 0 the last integrals we get

(66) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{A\cos(s) + B} ds = \operatorname{sgn}(B) \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{B^2 - A^2}}, \quad \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{(A\cos(s) + B)^2} ds = \frac{2|B|\pi}{(B^2 - A^2)^{3/2}},$$

#### References

- V. I. ARNOL'D, The first steps of symplectic topology, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 41 (6(252)) pp. 3–18, 229 (1986).
- [2] A. V. BOLSINOV, B. Z. JOVANOVIĆ, Magnetic flows on on coadjoint orbits, J. Phys. A, Math. Gen. 39 (16), L247–L252 (2006).
- [3] A. V. BOLSINOV, B. Z. JOVANOVIĆ, Magnetic flows on homogeneous spaces, Comment. Math. Helv. 83 (3), 679–700 (2008).
- [4] V. BRANDING, F. HANISCH, Magnetic geodesics via the heat flow, Asian J. Math. 21 (6), 995–1014 (2017).
- [5] R. BRYANT, Notes on exterior differential systems, (2014). See https://arxiv.org/pdf/1405.3116v1
- [6] K. BURNS, G. P. PATERNAIN Anosov magnetic flows, critical values and topological entropy, Nonlinearity 15(2), 281–314 (2002).
- [7] P. F. BYRD, M. D. FRIEDMAN, Handbook of elliptic integrals for engineers and scientists, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 67, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971xvi+358, 2nd ed.
- [8] M. CRAMPIN, W. SARLET, E. MARTÍNEZ, G. B. BYRNES, G. E. PRINCE, Towards a geometrical understanding of Douglas's solution of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations, Inverse Probl. 10 (2), 245–260 (1994).
- R. C. DECOSTE, Closed geodesics on compact nilmanifolds with Chevalley rational structure, Manuscripta Math. 127(3), 309–343 (2008).
- [10] L. DEMEYER, Closed geodesics in compact nilmanifolds, Manuscripta Math. 105 (3), 283–310 (2001).
- J. DOUGLAS, Solution of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 50, 71–128 (1941).
- [12] P. EBERLEIN, Geometry of 2-step nilpotent Lie groups with a left-invariant metric, Ann. Sci. E. N. S., 4 serie 27 (5), 611–660 (1994).
- [13] J. EPSTEIN, R. GORNET, M. B. MAST, Periodic magnetic geodesics on Heisenberg manifolds, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 20, 647–685 (2021).
- [14] Z. ERJAVEC AND J. INOGUCHI, Magnetic curves in H<sup>3</sup> × ℝ, J. Korean Math. Soc. 58 (6), 1501– 1511 (2021).

See https://archive.org/details/applicationselli00greerich/mode/2up?view=theater

- [15] C. GORDON AND E. N. WILSON, The spectrum of the Laplacian on Riemannian Heisenberg manifolds, Michigan Math. J. 33 (2), 253–271 (1986).
- [16] V. L. GINZBURG, On the existence and non-existence of closed trajectories for some Hamiltonian flows, Math. Z. 223:3, 397–409 (1996).
- [17] K. B. LEE, K. PARK, Smoothly closed geodesics in 2-step nilmanifolds, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 45 (1), 1–14 (1996).
- [18] M. MAST, Closed geodesics in 2-step nilmanifolds, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 43 (3), 885–911 (1994).
- [19] M. I. MUNTEANU, A. I. NISTOR, Magnetic curves in the generalized Heisenberg group, Nonlinear Analysis 214, 112571 (2022).
- [20] S. P. NOVIKOV AND I. A. TAIMANOV, Periodic extremals of multivalued or not everywhere positive functionals, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 274:1 26–28 (1984).
- [21] G. OVANDO, M. SUBILS, Magnetic Trajectories on 2-Step Nilmanifolds, J Geom Anal 33, 186 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-023-01228-7

- [22] G. OVANDO, M. SUBILS, Magnetic fields on non-singular 2-step nilpotent Lie groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 228, (2024) 107618.
- [23] M. SCHNEIDER Closed magnetic geodesics on  $S^2$ , J. Differ. Geom. 87 (2), 343–388 (2011).
- [24] I. A. TAIMANOV, Closed extremals on two-dimensional manifolds, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 47 (2(284)), 143–185, 223 (1992).
- [25] F. WARNER, Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds and Lie Groups, Springer (1983).
- [26] J. WOLF, On Locally Symmetric Spaces of Non-negative Curvature and certain other Locally Homogeneous Spaces, Comment. Math. Helv. 37, 266–295 (1962–1963).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ECEN - FCEIA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE ROSARIO. PELLE-GRINI 250, 2000 ROSARIO, SANTA FE, ARGENTINA.

Email address: gabriela@fceia.unr.edu.ar Email address: subils@fceia.unr.edu.ar