

# Towards Bridging the Cross-modal Semantic Gap for Multi-modal Recommendation

Xinglong Wu<sup>1</sup>, Anfeng Huang<sup>1</sup>, Hongwei Yang<sup>1</sup>, Hui He<sup>1</sup>, Yu Tai<sup>1</sup>, Weizhe Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Harbin Institute of Technology

<sup>2</sup>Pengcheng Laboratory

xlwu@stu.hit.edu.cn, huanganfeng@stu.hit.edu.cn, yanghongwei@hit.edu.cn,  
hehui@hit.edu.cn, taiyu@hit.edu.cn, wzzhang@hit.edu.cn

## Abstract

Multi-modal recommendation greatly enhances the performance of recommender systems by modeling the auxiliary information from multi-modality contents. Most existing multi-modal recommendation models primarily exploit multimedia information propagation processes to enrich item representations and directly utilize modal-specific embedding vectors independently obtained from upstream pre-trained models. However, this might be inappropriate since the abundant task-specific semantics remain unexplored, and the cross-modality semantic gap hinders the recommendation performance.

Inspired by the recent progress of the cross-modal alignment model CLIP, in this paper, we propose a novel **CLIP Enhanced Recommender (CLIPER)** framework to bridge the semantic gap between modalities and extract fine-grained multi-view semantic information. Specifically, we introduce a multi-view modality-alignment approach for representation extraction and measure the semantic similarity between modalities. Furthermore, we integrate the multi-view multimedia representations into downstream recommendation models. Extensive experiments conducted on three public datasets demonstrate the consistent superiority of our model over state-of-the-art multi-modal recommendation models.

## 1 Introduction

The utilization of multi-modal side information as a complement to enhance the performance of recommender systems has demonstrated promising foreground and gained widespread adoption. In order to explore the propagation pattern of multi-modal content consumed by users, Multi-modal Recommender Systems (MMRSs) improve collaborative filtering performance by delving into latent semantic information within multimedia features, with the aim of uncovering content propagation patterns and user interaction preferences based on content consumption records. Therefore, investigating the relationships between multi-modal content and user preferences remains a fundamental challenge in multi-modal recommendations.

Various MMRS methods have been proposed to bridge the gap between collaborative interaction modeling and multi-media information diffusion pattern analysis. Early attempts (He and McAuley 2016b; Chen et al. 2017) aim

to incorporate visual information into matrix decomposition in order to establish a connection between interaction records and item contents. With the prevalence of Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) (Wang et al. 2019; He et al. 2020; Wu et al. 2023), GNN-based multi-modal recommendation offers a significant advantage in exploring high-order interaction dependencies by integrating multi-modal features with user/item representation (ID embedding), becoming the mainstream solution (Wei et al. 2019, 2020; Zhang et al. 2021; Zhou and Shen 2023). To further exploit modality correlations, Self-Supervised Learning is employed as a data augmentation approach to enhance modality-aware recommendation performance (Wei et al. 2023; Zhou et al. 2023b).

Although existing MMRS models have achieved impressive performances by incorporating multi-media features into latent representations of entities (users/items), we contend that these methods treat multimodal information as a fixed input source and primarily focus on propagating the limited-capacity multimodal information. Consequently, they overlook the vast amount of knowledge contained within the multimodal information itself, leading to limited improvements in performance. Specifically, most models typically rely on pre-trained visual models (e.g., ResNet (He et al. 2016) or ViT (Dosovitskiy et al. 2021)) and language models (e.g., BERT (Devlin et al. 2019)) to acquire visual and textual representations respectively. However, such reliance on accessing multimodal representations may impede recommendation enhancements in the following aspects. *First, the semantic information of the multi-modality itself is not fully exploited while coarsely absorbing the context as a whole, resulting in a suboptimal utilization of contextual information and thereby constraining the potential for effective multimodal modeling.* Taking the Amazon-Review<sup>1</sup> (Hou et al. 2024) dataset as an example, textual descriptions encompass various metadata including *category, brand, price, etc.* Typical processing overlooks these fine-grained details and directly inputs the data into pre-trained language models, using the generated embeddings as feature vectors for multi-modal recommendation models. Such modality information modeling neglects fine-grained domain features and may introduce noisy signals into downstream training processes. *Second, the semantic gap be-*

tween multiple modalities leads to fragmentation and segregation among uni-modal expressions. Specifically, existing multi-modal recommendation methods often handle each uni-modal representation independently without considering the semantic gaps that exist among different modal representations. Such uni-modality processing without cross-modal joint analysis fails to fully explore the vast amount of available knowledge and thus limits performance improvements. Despite efforts made by many MMRS models to address representation inconsistencies, we argue that non-unified input of multi-modal representations directly hampers downstream recommendation model performance.

With the recent success of the Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training (CLIP) (Radford et al. 2021) model, a natural solution emerges to address the aforementioned challenges of *exploring deep semantic information and bridging the semantic gap between different modalities* based on CLIP. By leveraging CLIP’s remarkable Cross-Modal Alignment (CMA) capability, we delve into the extensive latent semantics within multi-modal user-item interactions and simultaneously align distinct modality representations for better modeling the interplay between modalities. Specifically, CLIP is a joint vision-language pre-training model that is trained on 400M image-text pairs, endowing it with semantic perception and cross-modality comprehension abilities. Previous research in various domains (including drawing synthesis (Frans, Soros, and Witkowski 2022), image quality assessment (Wang, Chan, and Loy 2023), image segmentation (Lüddecke and Ecker 2022), etc.) has demonstrated promising performance when utilizing CLIP for cross-modal content processing. However, surprisingly little effort has been devoted to exploring its potential for cross-modality alignment in multi-modal recommendations.

In this paper, aiming at bridging the cross-modal semantic gap, we propose a **CLIP Enhanced Recommender** framework, dubbed **CLIPER**, for multi-modal recommendation. In concrete, we initially investigate the fine-grained textual descriptions and segment these textual features in units of *fields*. By treating each field of textual description as an observation view, we gain a comprehensive understanding of item representations from multiple perspectives. Subsequently, leveraging the multi-view textual descriptions as prompts, paired with corresponding images as inputs to CLIP, we obtain the pre-trained visual and language representations and multi-view similarity measurement embedding. Lastly, by integrating different representations through the Fusion Layer, we propose a model-agnostic framework that is compatible with various backbone models for multi-modal recommendation.

Our main contributions are summarized as follows:

- We highlight the significance of the cross-modal semantic gap elimination, and utilize the pre-trained inter-modality knowledge to enhance the entity representations. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to introduce multi-view CLIP into multi-modal recommendation scenarios.
- We propose CLIPER, a model-agnostic framework that leverages multi-view semantic information bridging for representation alignment and enhancement, leading to sig-

nificant improvements.

- Extensive experiments conducted on three real-world datasets using mainstream MMRS backbone models demonstrate the effectiveness and efficiency of our CLIPER model. The code and datasets used in our work have been made publicly available <sup>2</sup>.

## 2 Related Work

In this section, we introduce researches related to our work in two domains, *i.e.*, multi-modal recommendation and cross-modal alignment.

### Multi-modal Recommendation

The mainstream MMRS methods can generally be categorized into two groups: (1) Collaborative Filtering-based methods, and (2) Graph Neural Network-based methods.

**Collaborative Filtering-based Methods.** With the success of collaborative filtering (He et al. 2017) in Recommender Systems (RSs) (Rendle 2010), most early multi-modal recommendation models (Zhou et al. 2023a) dedicate to directly incorporate visual or textural side information into entity ID embeddings to jointly model the modality-enhanced user-item interactions. Early attempts (e.g., VBPR (He and McAuley 2016b), DeepStyle (Liu, Wu, and Wang 2017), ACF (Chen et al. 2017)) utilize deep learning (including Multi-Layer Perceptron, Attention mechanism or Concatenation operation) to integrate the visual or textual context information into the collaborative filtering framework.

**Graph Neural Network-based Methods.** Recently, researchers have verified the efficacy of applying Graph Neural Networks in recommendation tasks (Wang et al. 2019; He et al. 2020). The primary focus of graph-based models lies in the propagation scheme and data augmentation by constructing auxiliary graphs. Specifically, some studies (e.g., MMGCN (Wei et al. 2019), GRCN (Wei et al. 2020), MGAT (Tao et al. 2020)) illustrate the diffusion process of modalities by specifying and refining the modality transmission process. Subsequently, LATTICE (Zhang et al. 2021), FREEDOM (Zhou and Shen 2023), and DualGNN (Wang et al. 2021) augment data representations by designing item-item or user co-occurrence graphs to further enrich the interplay between modalities. Some other studies (e.g., BM3 (Zhou et al. 2023b), MMSSL (Wei et al. 2023)) employ a Self-supervised Learning (SSL) paradigm for data augmentation in MMRS, enriching the relationship richness.

### Cross-modal Alignment

As mentioned above, our model leverages the cross-modal alignment representation capability to enrich the semantics of uni-modal data and jointly express entities in semantic space from multiple perspectives with the assistance of CLIP (Radford et al. 2021). CLIP is a contrastive learning-based neural network that is trained on 400 million image-text pairs, thus possessing the ability of cross-modal semantic extraction. CLIP has garnered significant attention for various downstream tasks, such as video processing (Weng

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/WuXinglong-HIT/CLIPER.git>




Figure 1: Schematic illustration of the workflow of our proposed CLIPER.

et al. 2023; Lei et al. 2021), semantic segmentation (Li et al. 2022), object detection (Gu et al. 2022), and image synthesis (Frans, Soros, and Witkowski 2022; Vinker et al. 2022). However, there is surprisingly little work that harnesses CLIP for multi-modal recommendations and exploits its cross-modality alignment capabilities.

### 3 Methodology

We design our **CLIP Enhanced Recommender (CLIPER)** framework for multi-modal recommendation, aiming to bridge the semantic gap between modalities and seamlessly integrate it into various backbone recommendation models. The overall workflow of our CLIPER is illustrated in Figure 1. In this section, we first present the problem statement of CLIPER, followed by the detailed design of our model.

#### Preliminaries

**Problem Statement** Let  $\mathbf{R} \in \{0, 1\}^{M \times N}$  denote interactions between user set  $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_M\}$  and item set  $\mathcal{I} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N\}$ , with each entry  $r_{ui} = 1$  denoting the positive interaction between user  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and item  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , where  $M = |\mathcal{U}|$  and  $N = |\mathcal{I}|$  denote the number of users and items, respectively. The objective of classical Collaborative Filtering is to predict the user preference for un-interacted items using the prediction score  $\hat{r}_{ui}$ . Analogously, multi-modal recommendation aims to address the same problem but incorporates multimodal information  $\mathbf{e}_i^m \in \mathbb{R}^{d_m}$  to enhance item modality representations. Here,  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  represents a specific modality;  $d_m$  denotes the dimension of the representation for modality  $m$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$  denotes the set of modalities. In this paper, we mainly consider the textual and visual modalities, *i.e.*,  $\mathcal{M} = \{t, v\}$ .

To sum up, the goal of multi-modal recommendation is to predict the missing user-item interactions, denoted as  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$ , by leveraging multi-modal features. We formalize the process as  $\hat{\mathbf{R}} = f(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{E})$ .

#### Extending CLIP for Multi-modal Recommendation

**Semantic View Extraction** To extract comprehensive semantic information at a fine-grained level, we employ a multi-view prompt for semantic exploration. The item metadata corpus is segmented into units of *field* and divided

into  $C$  channels as illustrated in Figure 1(a), with each channel representing a unique view. By pairing each individual prompt with the corresponding image, we obtain textual-visual representation tuples  $(T_i^j, V_i)$ , where  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, C-1\}$ ;  $T_i^j$  denotes the  $j$ th textual prompt of item  $i$ , and  $V_i$  denotes the cover image of the item  $i$ . Here,  $C$  represents the number of views (or channels), with each single view being extracted by a unique prompt template. Further details on our prompt design can be found below.

**Multi-modal representation Extraction** The textual representation  $\mathbf{e}_i^t$  and visual representation  $\mathbf{e}_i^v$  for each item  $i$  are obtained using the text encoder  $E_t(\cdot)$  and image encoder  $E_v(\cdot)$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{e}_{i,j}^t = E_t(T_i^j), \quad \mathbf{e}_i^v = E_v(V_i). \quad (1)$$

Specifically, the cover image  $V_i$  and the  $j$ th textual prompt  $T_i^j$  of item  $i$  are encoded through the encoders  $E_t(\cdot)$  and  $E_v(\cdot)$ . Through the contrastive encoders in CLIP, we obtain aligned latent textual embeddings  $\mathbf{e}_{i,j}^t \in \mathbb{R}^D$  and visual embeddings  $\mathbf{e}_i^v \in \mathbb{R}^D$  within a unified latent semantic space, where  $D = 768$  denotes the size of representations in CLIP.

It is worth noting that, unlike conventional studies that separately encode uni-modal representations, the modality-specific representations encoded by each encoder are contrastively aligned in a shared semantic space. Moreover, these representations contain a wealth of semantic information due to the inclusion of massive image-text pairs during CLIP’s pre-training stage. Specifically, the visual representations offer a more comprehensive depiction by incorporating unselected raw information and intricate details. On the other hand, textual representations are more precise and focused but lack sufficient content to describe every aspect of the image. Therefore, by segmenting the textual descriptions into multiple perspectives, we can concentrate on different semantic aspects. The integration of various views pertaining to the same item results in a more holistic representation.

By encoding both texts and images into the same latent space via the contrastive paradigm, modality-aware representations acquire the semantic perception capability for downstream recommendation tasks. This is achieved by aligning the latent embedding distribution in the semantic

space through a contrastive module that connects the text and image encoders. Similarity measurement is employed to assess the consistency of semantic expression:

$$s_i^j = \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(\mathbf{e}_{i,j}^t, \mathbf{e}_i^v) / \tau)}{\sum_{j'=0}^{C-1} \exp(\text{sim}(\mathbf{e}_{i,j'}^t, \mathbf{e}_i^v) / \tau)}, \quad (2)$$

where the discriminator function  $\text{sim}(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^D \times \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is implemented with the cosine similarity function, i.e.,  $\text{sim}(\mathbf{e}_{i,j}^t, \mathbf{e}_i^v) = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{i,j}^t \cdot \mathbf{e}_i^v}{\|\mathbf{e}_{i,j}^t\| \cdot \|\mathbf{e}_i^v\|}$ ;  $\tau$  is the temperature coefficient to scale the contrast between different modalities. We integrate all the similarity scores between all the view-specific prompts and the image of the item  $i$  and obtain the similarity embedding  $\mathbf{s}_i = \{s_i^j |_{j=0}^{C-1}\} \in \mathbb{R}^C$ .

### Fusion Layer

The Fusion Layer integrates above encoded diverse representations to form a comprehensive representation for downstream recommendation tasks, encompassing textual representations  $\{\mathbf{e}_{i,j}^t\}_{j=0}^{C-1}$ , visual representation  $\mathbf{e}_i^v$ , and semantic similarity representation  $\mathbf{s}_i$ .

We directly utilize the visual representation  $\mathbf{e}_i^v$  in the downstream recommendation backbone to leverage its rich semantic information derived from CLIP. However, when it comes to integrating textual representations, text descriptions tend to be more specific and may not fully capture all semantics. Therefore, we propose four effective integration methods for the fusion layer to handle textual representations: (1) SUM pooling operation, (2) Embedding Concatenation (Concat) operation, (3) Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) operation and (4) Self-Attention (SA) operation.

Specifically, for SUM, Concat, and MLP operation, since the latent embeddings share the same semantic space and possess the same vector dimension, we discard the similarity representation for maximum preservation of the original semantics, i.e.,  $SUM : \mathbf{e}_i^t \leftarrow \sum_{j=0}^{C-1} \mathbf{e}_{i,j}^t$ ;  $MLP : \mathbf{e}_i^t \leftarrow MLP(\|\{\mathbf{e}_{i,j}^t\}_{j=0}^{C-1}\})$ , where  $\|$  denotes concatenation operator, and the output dimension is  $D$ ; we employ a one-layer MLP implementation and utilize *LeakyReLU*( $\cdot$ ) as the activation function. The detailed implementations for Concatenation and Self-Attention operations are provided below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Concat} : \mathbf{e}_i^t &\leftarrow \mathbf{e}_{i,0}^t \|\mathbf{e}_{i,2}^t\| \cdots \|\mathbf{e}_{i,C-1}^t, \\ \text{SA} : \mathbf{e}_i^t &\leftarrow \sum_{j=0}^{C-1} \mathbf{e}_{i,j}^t \cdot s_i^j. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

### Downstream recommendation

As introduced above, taking the refined latent multi-modal embedding  $\mathbf{e}_i$  as the semantic information and dumping such pre-trained embeddings as input to the downstream recommendation backbone, we can semantically represent item multi-modality attributes. The refined latent multi-modal embeddings  $\mathbf{e}_i^t$  and  $\mathbf{e}_i^v$  are utilized as the semantic information, which is then fed into the downstream recommendation backbone. The extracted representation vector from our CLIPER model is seamlessly integrated into subsequent downstream tasks, enabling a plug-and-play approach.

Our model-agnostic design ensures compatibility with any downstream multi-modal recommendation model.

## 4 Experiments

We conduct extensive experiments on three datasets to answer the following four research questions:

- **RQ1.** How do the MMRS models enhanced by CLIPER perform compared with the vanilla version?
- **RQ2.** How do the critical components of CLIPER impact the recommendation performance?
- **RQ3.** How do the key parameters affect the performance?
- **RQ4.** How does our CLIPER model explore the semantic information in multi-modal recommendation scenarios?

We first briefly present the experimental settings of our model, followed by the answers to the above questions.

### Experimental Setups

**Prompt Design** We segment the attribute corpus into units of “*field*” to obtain the fine-grained descriptions of the item. Subsequently, unnecessary or noisy fields are filtered out, and only rich semantic fields are retained for further processing. By treating each field as a singular *view*, we fill the value of each view to the corresponding prompt template. Each field is treated as an individual “*view*”, and its corresponding prompt template is filled with the respective value. Some specific prompt templates are provided below:

- The product brand is \_\_\_\_\_.
- The product categories are \_\_\_\_\_.
- The product title is \_\_\_\_\_.
- The product description is \_\_\_\_\_.

It is worth noting that despite the indispensability of fields such as *title* and *brand* in real-world E-Commerce websites, certain recommendation datasets exhibit low quality by indiscriminately mixing all fields together. In such cases, apart from laborious data pre-processing, we propose the use of a *global-view* prompt, namely “The product descriptions are \_\_\_\_\_”. We additionally include the *global view* in the subsequent experiments by concatenating all the aforementioned individual views.

Moreover, due to the limitation on character length, we truncate the prompt to a maximum of 77 tokens. Furthermore, recent studies (Radford et al. 2021; Zhou et al. 2022) have verified that the performance of CLIP could be influenced by the choice of prompts. We believe that the incorporation of well-designed prompt templates would greatly enhance performance, and further exploration in this area is left for future research.

**Datasets** Three real-world datasets are adopted to verify the effectiveness of our proposed model, namely Amazon-Baby, Amazon-Sports, and Amazon-Clothing (He and McAuley 2016a; McAuley et al. 2015). The data pre-processing and splitting procedure are meticulously applied following the backbone models (Zhou and Shen 2023; Wei et al. 2019). Additionally, we crawl the raw image of each item based on the provided image URL in the item metadata. We exhibit the dataset statistics in Table 1.

Table 1: Statistics about Datasets.

Dataset	# Users	# Items	# Interactions	Density
Baby	19,445	7,050	160,792	0.117%
Sports	35,598	18,357	296,337	0.045%
Clothing	39,387	23,033	278,677	0.031%

**Evaluation Metrics** We treat the observed interactions as positive targets and regard the remaining ones as negative targets. After sorting the predicted interaction scores between users and all candidate items in descending order and masking the observed positive ones from the training set, we evaluate the recommendation performance using widely-used metrics  $Recall@K$  ( $R@K$ ) and  $NDCG@K$  ( $N@K$ ) (He et al. 2020; Wei et al. 2024), where  $K = 10, 20, 50$ .

**Baseline Models** To evaluate the effectiveness, we compare the performance with the following baseline models:

- MMGCN (Wei et al. 2019): MMGCN is a classical graph-based MMRS method, which introduces modality-specific graphs for modal-aware propagation and aggregation.
- DualGNN (Wang et al. 2021): DualGNN introduces a user co-occurrence graph to complement the user-item graph, enabling the capture of correlations between users and facilitating the modeling of modality fusion patterns.
- LATTICE (Zhang et al. 2021): LATTICE, widely recognized as a state-of-the-art MMRS, constructs a semantic item-item graph and effectively propagates modality representations on both user-item and item-item graphs.
- SLMRec (Tao et al. 2023): SLMRec proposes a novel SSL-based data augmentation method, facilitating contrastive representation modeling.
- FREEDOM (Zhou and Shen 2023): FREEDOM proposes to freeze the item-item semantic graph and denoise the user-item interaction graph on the basis of LATTICE.

**Implementation Details** We implement CLIPER based on PyTorch. Due to the maximum length limitation of 77 tokens in CLIP, we opt for the Long-CLIP (Zhang et al. 2024) as the default backend model, as it allows a longer input length of up to 248 tokens. We additionally compare the performance between CLIP and Long-CLIP in the following experiment. The image encoder in CLIP offers two versions, namely ResNet (He et al. 2016) and ViT (Dosovitskiy et al. 2021). The ViT-L/14 and sentence Transformer (Vaswani et al. 2017) are chosen as the visual and textual encoders for CLIP, respectively. Additionally, the LongCLIP-L version is utilized for LongCLIP. More detailed implementation details can be found in Appendix A.

### Overall Performance Comparison (RQ1)

We conduct extensive experiments on the Amazon-Baby, Amazon-Sports, and Amazon-Clothing datasets and demonstrate performance comparisons based on different backbone models in Table 2. To save space, we express the percentages relative to their original values. The improvement




Figure 2: Impact of Individual Views.

percentage is marked with  $\uparrow$ . Our conclusions derived from the comparative analysis are as follows:

- **CLIPER consistently enhances the performance of MMRSs with a decent margin regardless of the backbone model.** In specific, CLIPER achieves an average improvement over backbone models of 8.40% and 10.50% in terms of  $Recall@K$  and  $NDCG@K$  on Amazon-Baby, respectively; an average improvement of 7.17%, 8.32% in terms of  $Recall@K$  and  $NDCG@K$  on Amazon-Sports, respectively; and an average improvement of 8.48%, 7.99% w.r.t.  $Recall@K$  and  $NDCG@K$  on Clothing for all baseline models. The performance improvement achieved by FREEDOM-CLIPER is particularly noteworthy, as it surpasses that of the vanilla FREEDOM model with a remarkable 35.33% enhancement in terms of  $Recall@50$ . This empirical evidence strongly validates the effectiveness of our proposed CLIPER model.
- **The backbone model is attentively enhanced by CLIPER across different datasets, showcasing its ability to unlock the modeling potential of various backbone models.** Specifically, CLIPER-enhanced DualGNN, LATTICE, and FREEDOM achieve the greatest performance improvement on Baby, Sports, and Clothing datasets respectively. On the Baby dataset, DualGNN-CLIPER achieves a mean improvement over the vanilla model of 16.26% and 19.82% in terms of  $Recall@K$  and  $NDCG@K$ . Similarly, on Sports dataset, LATTICE-CLIPER achieves an improvement of 10.94%, and 13.83% in terms of  $Recall@K$  and  $NDCG@K$ . Furthermore, on Clothing, FREEDOM-CLIPER realizes an improvement of 18.36%, and 15.59% in terms of  $Recall@K$  and  $NDCG@K$ , respectively. The consistent enhancements across different baseline models highlight the generalizability of CLIPER for various MMRS approaches.
- **FREEDOM-CLIPER realizes the best performance.** The state-of-the-art baseline model FREEDOM exhibits the highest performance among all models, with both the vanilla version and CLIPER-enhanced version of FREEDOM outperforming other MMRS methods. The CLIPER framework further expands its superiority over other MMRS methods. Notably, on Clothing, CLIPER achieves a remarkable improvement of 35.33% and 29.50% in terms of  $Recall@50$  and  $NDCG@50$ , respectively. This demonstrates the superior semantic comprehension capability of CLIPER for longer target sequences.

Table 2: Overall Performance Comparisons.

Dataset	Metric	K	MMGCN		DualGNN		LATTICE		SLMRec		FREEDOM	
			vanilla	CLIPER	vanilla	CLIPER	vanilla	CLIPER	vanilla	CLIPER	vanilla	CLIPER
Baby	R@K	10	3.78	<b>4.13</b> (↑ 9.26%)	4.48	<b>5.36</b> (↑ 19.64%)	5.47	<b>6.29</b> (↑ 14.99%)	5.29	<b>5.42</b> (↑ 2.46%)	6.27	<b>6.58</b> (↑ 4.94%)
		20	6.15	<b>6.49</b> (↑ 5.53%)	7.16	<b>8.29</b> (↑ 15.78%)	8.50	<b>9.39</b> (↑ 10.47%)	7.75	<b>8.08</b> (↑ 4.26%)	9.92	<b>10.26</b> (↑ 3.43%)
		50	11.00	<b>11.69</b> (↑ 6.27%)	12.88	<b>14.60</b> (↑ 13.35%)	14.77	<b>15.66</b> (↑ 6.03%)	12.52	<b>13.03</b> (↑ 4.07%)	16.55	<b>17.47</b> (↑ 5.56%)
	N@K	10	2.00	<b>2.17</b> (↑ 8.50%)	2.40	<b>2.94</b> (↑ 22.50%)	2.92	<b>3.52</b> (↑ 20.55%)	2.90	<b>2.95</b> (↑ 1.72%)	3.30	<b>3.54</b> (↑ 7.27%)
		20	2.61	<b>2.78</b> (↑ 6.51%)	3.09	<b>3.70</b> (↑ 19.74%)	3.70	<b>4.31</b> (↑ 16.49%)	3.53	<b>3.64</b> (↑ 3.12%)	4.24	<b>4.48</b> (↑ 5.66%)
		50	3.59	<b>3.83</b> (↑ 6.69%)	4.24	<b>4.97</b> (↑ 17.22%)	4.97	<b>5.59</b> (↑ 12.47%)	4.50	<b>4.64</b> (↑ 3.11%)	5.58	<b>5.91</b> (↑ 5.91%)
Sports	R@K	10	3.70	<b>3.93</b> (↑ 6.22%)	5.68	<b>6.39</b> (↑ 12.50%)	6.20	<b>7.14</b> (↑ 15.16%)	6.63	<b>6.97</b> (↑ 5.13%)	7.17	<b>7.73</b> (↑ 7.81%)
		20	6.05	<b>6.23</b> (↑ 2.98%)	8.59	<b>9.43</b> (↑ 9.78%)	9.53	<b>10.56</b> (↑ 10.81%)	9.90	<b>10.23</b> (↑ 3.33%)	10.89	<b>11.61</b> (↑ 6.61%)
		50	10.78	<b>10.84</b> (↑ 0.56%)	13.92	<b>15.24</b> (↑ 9.48%)	15.61	<b>16.68</b> (↑ 6.85%)	15.43	<b>16.08</b> (↑ 4.21%)	17.68	<b>18.75</b> (↑ 6.05%)
	N@K	10	1.93	<b>2.06</b> (↑ 6.74%)	3.10	<b>3.43</b> (↑ 10.65%)	3.35	<b>3.92</b> (↑ 17.01%)	3.65	<b>3.90</b> (↑ 6.85%)	3.85	<b>4.16</b> (↑ 8.05%)
		20	2.54	<b>2.65</b> (↑ 4.33%)	3.85	<b>4.22</b> (↑ 9.61%)	4.24	<b>4.81</b> (↑ 13.44%)	4.50	<b>4.75</b> (↑ 5.56%)	4.81	<b>5.15</b> (↑ 7.07%)
		50	3.50	<b>3.59</b> (↑ 2.57%)	4.93	<b>5.39</b> (↑ 9.33%)	5.44	<b>6.04</b> (↑ 11.03%)	5.62	<b>5.94</b> (↑ 5.69%)	6.18	<b>6.60</b> (↑ 6.80%)
Clothing	R@K	10	2.20	<b>2.33</b> (↑ 5.91%)	4.68	<b>5.05</b> (↑ 7.91%)	5.24	<b>5.41</b> (↑ 3.24%)	4.46	<b>4.82</b> (↑ 8.07%)	6.29	<b>6.89</b> (↑ 9.54%)
		20	3.52	<b>3.81</b> (↑ 8.24%)	7.12	<b>7.65</b> (↑ 7.44%)	7.66	<b>8.10</b> (↑ 5.74%)	6.87	<b>7.13</b> (↑ 3.78%)	9.41	<b>10.37</b> (↑ 10.20%)
		50	6.21	<b>6.72</b> (↑ 8.21%)	11.45	<b>12.36</b> (↑ 7.95%)	11.61	<b>12.14</b> (↑ 4.57%)	11.07	<b>11.19</b> (↑ 1.08%)	12.00	<b>16.24</b> (↑ 35.33%)
	N@K	10	1.16	<b>1.20</b> (↑ 3.45%)	2.52	<b>2.73</b> (↑ 8.33%)	2.79	<b>2.88</b> (↑ 3.23%)	2.37	<b>2.61</b> (↑ 10.13%)	3.41	<b>3.69</b> (↑ 8.21%)
		20	1.50	<b>1.57</b> (↑ 4.67%)	3.14	<b>3.39</b> (↑ 7.96%)	3.41	<b>3.57</b> (↑ 4.69%)	2.99	<b>3.20</b> (↑ 7.02%)	4.20	<b>4.58</b> (↑ 9.05%)
		50	2.03	<b>2.15</b> (↑ 5.91%)	4.00	<b>4.34</b> (↑ 8.50%)	4.20	<b>4.38</b> (↑ 4.29%)	3.82	<b>4.01</b> (↑ 4.97%)	4.44	<b>5.75</b> (↑ 29.50%)

## Model Architecture Study (RQ2)

The impact of various key architecture constitutions, including semantic view ablation and fusion layer implementation, is investigated in this section. Given that FREEDOM is the most advanced and the highest-performing state-of-the-art model, we employ FREEDOM as our default backbone model for the subsequent study on model architecture and parameter sensitivity analysis without special instructions.

**Impact of individual views** The comprehensive semantic exploration of multi-modal side information is achieved by extracting different semantic views. To investigate the impact of these views, we conduct ablation experiments on Amazon-Baby by removing specific view  $[v]$ , denoted as CLIPER- $[v]$ , including ‘title’, ‘brand’, ‘categories’, ‘description’, and an additional ‘global’ view. Furthermore, to validate the effectiveness of the multi-view semantic extraction, we explore CLIPER with only the ‘global’ view, referred to as CLIPER-only-global. Figure 2 demonstrates performance comparisons with respect to view ablations.

The consistent outperformance of CLIPER over other

variants confirms the effectiveness of its fine-grained multi-view semantic exploration. Moreover, the varying performance drop indicates a view prioritization as: title > brand > description > categories. We argue that this is because ‘title’ contains more refined and condensed information, while ‘categories’ often consist of repetitive and low-value categorical information. Surprisingly, ablating the ‘global’ view has limited influence, which further validates the effectiveness of our fine-grained multi-view processing. Furthermore, CLIPER-only-global consistently underperforms other variants, demonstrating coarse-grained semantic extraction may impede recommendation performances.

**Impact of Fusion Method** The Fusion Layer integrates various semantic aspects as a cohesive presentation unit for downstream recommendation tasks. To assess the effectiveness of different fusion implementations, we conduct analysis experiments on the Baby dataset as shown in Figure 3. Empirical results from different modality-aware representation fusions demonstrate that the prioritization of fusion implementations is: SA > MLP > SUM > Concat. This aligns




Figure 3: Impact of Fusion Layer.

with our expectations, as the Self-Attention attentively differentiates individual views through similarity scores. We argue that SUM and Concat cannot rival CLIPER-SA due to their coarse-grained integration, which hampers the interplay between uni-view semantic representations and further deteriorates recommendation performance.

### Parameter Sensitivity Analysis (RQ3)

Extensive experiments with respect to key parameters of CLIPER, including the embedding size  $d$  and temperature coefficient  $\tau$ , are conducted on the Clothing dataset to optimize the hyper-parameter settings.

We search for the optimal value of  $d$  in the range of  $\{128, 256, 512, 1024\}$ . As shown in Figure 4, the performance of CLIPER keeps improving as  $d$  increases up to 512, but tends to deteriorate rapidly when  $d > 512$ . Setting  $d = 512$  yields the best performance. This observation aligns with our conjecture that increasing the embedding size enhances the capacity of the recommendation model. Nevertheless, excessively large values may give rise to overfitting issues and hinder the inference ability of the model.

Analysis experiments (c.f. Appendix C) regarding the temperature coefficient  $\tau$  demonstrate that setting  $\tau = 0.1$  yields the best performance in most scenarios.

### Model Analysis (RQ4)

In our work, we propose a multi-view cross-modality alignment approach to bridge fine-grained semantics and leverage similarity measurement as the attention coefficient to integrate view-specific representations. Since many CLIP-based works utilize similarity vectors for downstream tasks, these vectors inherently possess extracted semantics. In this section, we attempt to figure out the distribution patterns between individual views and similarity measurement. Specifically, we calculate similarity scores for each individual view, including the *global* view, for every item in the Amazon-Baby dataset. We sort these scores in descending order and assign a score 0 to the most important view and incrementally higher scores to the less important view accordingly. Additionally, we provide the sum of the product of importance ranking scores and corresponding occurrences in the last row in the heatmap as shown in Figure 5.

As is illustrated above, the view priority is as follows: title > brand > description > categories. We observe that the distribution of importance scores significantly aligns with the priority order. Specifically, (1) when horizontally compar-




Figure 4: Impact of Embedding Size  $d$ .




Figure 5: Visualization.

ing each row, the most frequent occurrence of scores ranging from 0 to 4 corresponds to *global*, *title*, *brand*, *description* and *categories* respectively, which surprisingly aligns with view priority order. The *global* view plays a more important role due to its possession of overall information. (2) More intuitively, the importance sum reveals an overall distribution where smaller numbers indicate greater effects. Thus, the overall order of view importance is: *global*, *title*, *description*, *brand* and *categories*, which significantly aligns with empirical observations from the view ablation experiment. Although no firm conclusions can be drawn solely based on these observations, it can be inferred that there exists a latent semantic priority distributed among multiple modalities.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a novel CLIP-enhanced multi-modal recommendation framework, referred to as CLIPER, wherein we establish a bridging approach between cross-modal representations from multiple views and integrate modality-aware representations with semantic similarity coefficients. This is an initial work to incorporate CLIP into multi-modal recommendations and exploit its ability for cross-modal alignment in order to address the issue of inter-modality semantic gaps. Specifically, we propose a fine-grained modality-aligned embedding refinement approach through multi-view semantic exploration. Moreover, the fusion layer attentively integrates each view-specific representation with the similarity measurement coefficient. Our model-agnostic enhancement framework can seamlessly integrate with existing multi-modal recommendation models in a plug-and-play manner. Extensive experiments conducted on real-world datasets validate the effectiveness of our proposed model.

## References

- Chen, J.; Zhang, H.; He, X.; Nie, L.; Liu, W.; and Chua, T. 2017. Attentive Collaborative Filtering: Multimedia Recommendation with Item- and Component-Level Attention. In *Proceedings of the 40th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 335–344.
- Devlin, J.; Chang, M.; Lee, K.; and Toutanova, K. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, 4171–4186.
- Dosovitskiy, A.; Beyer, L.; Kolesnikov, A.; Weissenborn, D.; Zhai, X.; Unterthiner, T.; Dehghani, M.; Minderer, M.; Heigold, G.; Gelly, S.; Uszkoreit, J.; and Houlsby, N. 2021. An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Frans, K.; Soros, L. B.; and Witkowski, O. 2022. CLIPDraw: Exploring Text-to-Drawing Synthesis through Language-Image Encoders. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022*, volume 35, 5207–5218.
- Gu, X.; Lin, T.; Kuo, W.; and Cui, Y. 2022. Open-vocabulary Object Detection via Vision and Language Knowledge Distillation. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- He, K.; Zhang, X.; Ren, S.; and Sun, J. 2016. Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. In *Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 770–778.
- He, R.; and McAuley, J. J. 2016a. Ups and Downs: Modeling the Visual Evolution of Fashion Trends with One-Class Collaborative Filtering. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on World Wide Web*, 507–517.
- He, R.; and McAuley, J. J. 2016b. VBPR: Visual Bayesian Personalized Ranking from Implicit Feedback. In *Proceedings of the 30th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 144–150.
- He, X.; Deng, K.; Wang, X.; Li, Y.; Zhang, Y.; and Wang, M. 2020. LightGCN: Simplifying and Powering Graph Convolution Network for Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 639–648.
- He, X.; Liao, L.; Zhang, H.; Nie, L.; Hu, X.; and Chua, T.-S. 2017. Neural Collaborative Filtering. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web*, 173–182.
- Hou, Y.; Li, J.; He, Z.; Yan, A.; Chen, X.; and McAuley, J. 2024. Bridging Language and Items for Retrieval and Recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.03952*.
- Kingma, D. P.; and Ba, J. 2015. Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Lei, J.; Li, L.; Zhou, L.; Gan, Z.; Berg, T. L.; Bansal, M.; and Liu, J. 2021. Less Is More: ClipBERT for Video-and-Language Learning via Sparse Sampling. In *Proceedings of the 2021 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 7331–7341.
- Li, B.; Weinberger, K. Q.; Belongie, S. J.; Koltun, V.; and Ranftl, R. 2022. Language-driven Semantic Segmentation. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Liu, Q.; Wu, S.; and Wang, L. 2017. DeepStyle: Learning User Preferences for Visual Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 40th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 841–844.
- Lüddecke, T.; and Ecker, A. S. 2022. Image Segmentation Using Text and Image Prompts. In *Proceedings of the 2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 7076–7086.
- McAuley, J. J.; Targett, C.; Shi, Q.; and van den Hengel, A. 2015. Image-Based Recommendations on Styles and Substitutes. In *Proceedings of the 38th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 43–52.
- Radford, A.; Kim, J. W.; Hallacy, C.; Ramesh, A.; Goh, G.; Agarwal, S.; Sastry, G.; Askell, A.; Mishkin, P.; Clark, J.; Krueger, G.; and Sutskever, I. 2021. Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision. In *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 139 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, 8748–8763.
- Rendle, S. 2010. Factorization Machines. In *Proceedings of the 10th IEEE International Conference on Data Mining*, 995–1000.
- Tao, Z.; Liu, X.; Xia, Y.; Wang, X.; Yang, L.; Huang, X.; and Chua, T. 2023. Self-Supervised Learning for Multimedia Recommendation. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 25: 5107–5116.
- Tao, Z.; Wei, Y.; Wang, X.; He, X.; Huang, X.; and Chua, T. 2020. MGAT: Multimodal Graph Attention Network for Recommendation. *Information Processing & Management*, 57(5): 102277.
- Vaswani, A.; Shazeer, N.; Parmar, N.; Uszkoreit, J.; Jones, L.; Gomez, A. N.; Kaiser, Ł.; and Polosukhin, I. 2017. Attention is All you Need. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 5998–6008.
- Vinker, Y.; Pajouheshgar, E.; Bo, J. Y.; Bachmann, R. C.; Bermano, A. H.; Cohen-Or, D.; Zamir, A.; and Shamir, A. 2022. CLIPasso: Semantically-aware Object Sketching. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, 41(4): 86:1–86:11.
- Wang, J.; Chan, K. C. K.; and Loy, C. C. 2023. Exploring CLIP for Assessing the Look and Feel of Images. In *Proceedings of the 37th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2555–2563.
- Wang, Q.; Wei, Y.; Yin, J.; Wu, J.; Song, X.; and Nie, L. 2021. DualGNN: Dual Graph Neural Network for Multime-

- dia Recommendation. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 25: 1074–1084.
- Wang, X.; He, X.; Wang, M.; Feng, F.; and Chua, T. 2019. Neural Graph Collaborative Filtering. In *Proceedings of the 42nd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 165–174.
- Wei, W.; Huang, C.; Xia, L.; and Zhang, C. 2023. Multi-Modal Self-Supervised Learning for Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023*, 790–800.
- Wei, W.; Ren, X.; Tang, J.; Wang, Q.; Su, L.; Cheng, S.; Wang, J.; Yin, D.; and Huang, C. 2024. LLMRec: Large Language Models with Graph Augmentation for Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, 806–815.
- Wei, Y.; Wang, X.; Nie, L.; He, X.; and Chua, T. 2020. Graph-Refined Convolutional Network for Multimedia Recommendation with Implicit Feedback. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 3541–3549.
- Wei, Y.; Wang, X.; Nie, L.; He, X.; Hong, R.; and Chua, T. 2019. MMGCN: Multi-modal Graph Convolution Network for Personalized Recommendation of Micro-video. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 1437–1445.
- Weng, Z.; Yang, X.; Li, A.; Wu, Z.; and Jiang, Y. 2023. Open-VCLIP: Transforming CLIP to an Open-vocabulary Video Model via Interpolated Weight Optimization. In *Proceedings of the 2023 International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, 36978–36989.
- Wu, X.; He, H.; Yang, H.; Tai, Y.; Wang, Z.; and Zhang, W. 2023. PDA-GNN: Propagation-depth-aware Graph Neural Networks for Recommendation. *World Wide Web (WWW)*, 26(5): 3585–3606.
- Xun, J.; Zhang, S.; Zhao, Z.; Zhu, J.; Zhang, Q.; Li, J.; He, X.; He, X.; Chua, T.; and Wu, F. 2021. Why Do We Click: Visual Impression-aware News Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 3881–3890.
- Zhang, B.; Zhang, P.; Dong, X.; Zang, Y.; and Wang, J. 2024. Long-CLIP: Unlocking the Long-Text Capability of CLIP. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.15378*.
- Zhang, J.; Zhu, Y.; Liu, Q.; Wu, S.; Wang, S.; and Wang, L. 2021. Mining Latent Structures for Multimedia Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 3872–3880.
- Zhou, H.; Zhou, X.; Zeng, Z.; Zhang, L.; and Shen, Z. 2023a. A Comprehensive Survey on Multimodal Recommender Systems: Taxonomy, Evaluation, and Future Directions. *CoRR*, abs/2302.04473.
- Zhou, K.; Yang, J.; Loy, C. C.; and Liu, Z. 2022. Learning to Prompt for Vision-Language Models. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 130(9): 2337–2348.
- Zhou, X.; and Shen, Z. 2023. A Tale of Two Graphs: Freezing and Denoising Graph Structures for Multimodal Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 935–943.
- Zhou, X.; Zhou, H.; Liu, Y.; Zeng, Z.; Miao, C.; Wang, P.; You, Y.; and Jiang, F. 2023b. Bootstrap Latent Representations for Multi-modal Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023*, 845–854.

## Appendix

### A Implementation Details

Regarding the fusion layer design, we explore all four integration methods but primarily employ the SA operation. The temperature coefficient  $\tau$  for similarity measurement is searched within the range of  $\{0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7\}$ . To ensure fair comparison, the embedding dimensionality  $d$  is fixed at a specific value within the range of  $\{128, 256, 512, 1024\}$  for downstream recommendation models.

We implement and fine-tune all the baseline models based on their official codes or MMRec<sup>3</sup> (Zhou et al. 2023a) framework. The reported performances of all baseline models are well fine-tuned. We compare the performance of CLIPER-enhanced baseline models and their vanilla counterparts. To ensure accuracy, we select the best-performing results of the vanilla baselines from their reported results in their respective papers, those reported by MMRec, as well as our fine-tuned results. Specifically, regarding the tuning for each backbone model, we utilize the Adam (Kingma and Ba 2015) optimizer. We employ the early stop strategy for evaluation, where training stops if there is no improvement in the metric *Recall@20* after 10 epochs. The learning rate  $lr$  is searched within the range of  $\{1, 3, 5\} \times \{e - 4, e - 5\}$ . By default, the weight decay coefficient  $\lambda$  for the  $L_2$  regularization term is set to  $1e - 4$ .

### B Detailed Model Architecture and Parameter Study

**Impact of CLIP** We employ the long-text favorable Long-CLIP (Zhang et al. 2024) to further model the textual details. To explore the effectiveness of different cross-modal alignment implementations, we conduct analysis studies on the Baby dataset in terms of clip implementations, as shown in Figure 6. Our observations are as follows: Firstly, regardless of the CLIP version chosen, the CLIP-enhanced model consistently outperforms the vanilla backbone model, showcasing the efficacy of cross-modal semantic alignment. Furthermore, compared to CLIP, Long-CLIP possesses an advantage in processing long texts (with a maximum length of 248 tokens), enabling it to delve deeper into item details and further enhance recommendation performance.

### C Parameter Sensitivity Study

The similarity measurement scores attentively integrate each uni-view multi-modal representation for recommendation by incorporating the temperature coefficient  $\tau$ . We search for the optimal value of  $\tau$  in the range of 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7 and present the comparative analysis in Table 3. In most scenarios, setting  $\tau = 0.1$  yields the best performance; however, there are certain cases where setting  $\tau = 0.05$  achieves superior results. The performance of CLIPER tends to deteriorate when  $\tau > 0.1$ . We uniformly set  $\tau = 0.1$  for similarity measurement.

Table 3: Impact of Temperature Coefficient  $\tau$ .

$\tau$	R@10	R@20	R@50	N@10	N@20	N@50
0.05	<b>6.94</b>	10.28	16.09	<b>3.73</b>	4.58	5.74
0.1	6.89	<b>10.37</b>	<b>16.24</b>	3.69	<b>4.58</b>	<b>5.75</b>
0.2	6.89	10.19	16.01	3.67	4.51	5.67
0.5	6.83	10.18	16.00	3.67	4.52	5.68
0.7	6.89	10.16	15.99	3.68	4.51	5.68




Figure 6: Impact of CLIP.

<sup>3</sup><https://github.com/enoch/MMRec.git>