

COMPLEX AND RATIONAL HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS ON ROOT SYSTEMS

G. A. SARKISSIAN AND V. P. SPIRIDONOV

ABSTRACT. We consider some new limits for the elliptic hypergeometric integrals on root systems. After the degeneration of elliptic beta integrals of type I and type II for root systems A_n and C_n to the hyperbolic hypergeometric integrals, we apply the limit $\omega_1 \rightarrow -\omega_2$ for their quasiperiods (corresponding to $b \rightarrow i$ in the two-dimensional conformal field theory) and obtain complex beta integrals in the Mellin–Barnes representation admitting exact evaluation. Considering type I elliptic hypergeometric integrals of a higher order obeying nontrivial symmetry transformations, we derive their descendants to the level of complex hypergeometric functions and prove the Derkachov–Manashov conjectures for functions emerging in the theory of non-compact spin chains. We describe also symmetry transformations for a type II complex hypergeometric function on the C_n -root system related to the recently derived generalized complex Selberg integral. For some hyperbolic beta integrals we consider a special limit $\omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_2$ (or $b \rightarrow 1$) and obtain new hypergeometric identities for sums of integrals of rational functions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Type I C_n complex beta integral	5
3. Type I A_n complex beta integral	9
4. Type II integrals on the root system A_n	12
5. Limiting cases of the beta integrals	15
6. Transformation rules	18
7. Limiting cases of the transformation rules	22
8. Rational hypergeometric beta integrals	25
9. Conclusion	30
Appendix A. The Mellin-Barnes form of a complex beta integral	31
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

Ordinary hypergeometric functions define classical special functions which have found very many applications in theoretical and mathematical physics as well as in the pure mathematical problems [1]. The theory of q -deformed hypergeometric functions was developing since the time of Euler, but its applications in physics emerged only about forty years ago. It is through the mathematical physics problems that relatively recently the third type of special functions of hypergeometric type, depending on two basic parameters q and p , has been discovered, see e.g. [37] for a survey. The most

general form of these functions is described by the elliptic hypergeometric integrals [33] comprising all previously known ordinary and q -hypergeometric functions as special limiting degenerations. These integrals represent the functions which are transcendental over the field of elliptic functions. They define meromorphic functions of parameters whose nonsingular small p expansions yield infinite series with q -hypergeometric function coefficients. By now, the elliptic hypergeometric integrals have found profound applications in the theory of integrable many-body systems [36], the Yang–Baxter equation [8] and, most interestingly, in the quantum field theory [14, 38].

Already in the 1960s, an extension of the Euler–Gauss ${}_2F_1$ hypergeometric function to the field of complex numbers has been considered by Gelfand, Graev and Vilenkin [18]. Recently this function and the corresponding complex hypergeometric equation were rigorously investigated from the functional analysis point of view in [23]. Although this complex hypergeometric function and its higher order analogues together with their multivariable extensions have found prominent applications in the two-dimensional conformal field theory [15] and in the theory of noncompact spin chains [5], until recently it was not clear where these functions lie in the general hierarchy of special functions of hypergeometric type. This question was clarified in the series of works [6, 7, 9, 29, 31], where it was rigorously shown that the complex hypergeometric functions emerge in the Mellin–Barnes type representation from the hyperbolic hypergeometric integrals which, in turn, appear in a specific degeneration limit from the elliptic hypergeometric integrals [26] (formally such a limit was considered earlier in [13]). Altogether this gives a unified picture of special functions of the hypergeometric type.

In the present paper we would like to extend limiting considerations of [29, 31] from the univariate case to the multiple complex hypergeometric integrals on root systems. For that we consider elliptic hypergeometric integrals of type I on root systems A_n and C_n , both the beta integrals admitting exact evaluations and higher order integrals obeying nontrivial symmetry transformations [37]. Then we pass to the hyperbolic level described by infinite contour integrals of combinations of the Faddeev modular quantum dilogarithm [16, 17] called also the hyperbolic gamma function [28]. At the next step of degenerations, we go down to infinite bilateral sums of the Mellin–Barnes type integrals representing complex hypergeometric functions in the spirit of Naimark’s consideration of $3j$ -symbols for the group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ [24] and Ismagilov’s results on the $6j$ -symbols of the same group [22] reexamined recently in [9]. In this way we prove the Derkachov–Manashov conjectures [4] on complex analogues of the symmetry transformations of certain multiple Gustafson integrals [20, 21].

An elliptic analogue of the exactly computable Selberg integral was introduced in [11, 12]. As its special limiting form, a general complex hypergeometric analogue of the Selberg integral in the Mellin–Barnes representation was recently constructed in [31]. Here we extend this result and derive a complex hypergeometric analogue of the symmetry transformation for a type II elliptic hypergeometric integral on the root system C_n established by Rains in [27]. In the last section we present exact relations for rational functions of hypergeometric type which arise from a different type of degeneration limit for the hyperbolic hypergeometric integrals and extend the results of [30] to the multivariable setting.

Let us remind briefly some structural elements of the theory of complex hypergeometric functions. Euler's beta integral evaluation formula

$$\int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1} dx = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha), \operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the Euler gamma function, is an important exact identity which has found numerous applications in mathematics and theoretical physics, including computations of Feynman integrals. Therefore its generalizations represent great research interest. A complex analogue of formula (1.1) has been found by Gelfand, Graev and Vilenkin in [18]

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} [w-z_1]^{\alpha-1} [z_2-w]^{\beta-1} \frac{d^2 w}{\pi} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha')\Gamma(1-\beta')}{\Gamma(1-\alpha')\Gamma(1-\beta')} [z_2-z_1]^{\alpha+\beta-1}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{C}$ are complex numbers such that $\alpha - \alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the following notation is used

$$[z]^\alpha := z^\alpha \bar{z}^{\alpha'} = (-1)^{\alpha-\alpha'} [-z]^\alpha, \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d(\operatorname{Re} z) d(\operatorname{Im} z),$$

with \bar{z} being the complex conjugate of z . A drawback of this notation is that for integer α it looks awkward, e.g. $[z]^1 = z\bar{z}^{1-N}$ for $\alpha = 1$, $\alpha - \alpha' = N$, i.e. $1' = 1 - N$. In (1.2) and below it is assumed that in the power exponents one has $1' = 1$.

Formula (1.2) becomes maximally close to the Euler's beta integral evaluation in terms of the gamma function over the field of complex numbers

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(\alpha|\alpha') := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha')}. \quad (1.3)$$

We shall use also a slightly different notation, namely,

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(x, n) := \mathbf{\Gamma}\left(\frac{n+ix}{2} \middle| \frac{-n+ix}{2}\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+ix}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n-ix}{2}\right)},$$

where $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Reflection equations have the form

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(\alpha|\alpha') = (-1)^{\alpha-\alpha'} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\alpha'|\alpha), \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}(x, -n) = (-1)^n \mathbf{\Gamma}(x, n), \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(\alpha|\alpha') \mathbf{\Gamma}(1-\alpha|1-\alpha') = (-1)^{\alpha-\alpha'}, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}(x, n) \mathbf{\Gamma}(-x-2i, n) = 1. \quad (1.5)$$

Functional equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\alpha+1|\alpha') &= \mathbf{\Gamma}(x-i, n+1) = \alpha \mathbf{\Gamma}(\alpha|\alpha'), \\ \mathbf{\Gamma}(\alpha|\alpha'+1) &= \mathbf{\Gamma}(x-i, n-1) = -\alpha' \mathbf{\Gamma}(\alpha|\alpha') \end{aligned}$$

are easily deduced from the definitions $\alpha = \frac{n+ix}{2}$, $\alpha' = \frac{-n+ix}{2}$ and the standard relation $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$.

The Stirling formula for the gamma function yields

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+z)}{\Gamma(b+z)} = z^{a-b} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)\right), \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty, \quad |\arg(z) - \pi| > \delta > 0.$$

Consider the following product of two complex gamma functions:

$$f(z) := \mathbf{\Gamma}(x+R, n+N) \mathbf{\Gamma}(y-R, m-N) = \frac{\Gamma(a+z)\Gamma(c-z)}{\Gamma(b+\bar{z})\Gamma(d-\bar{z})},$$

where $R \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$a = \frac{n + ix}{2}, \quad b = 1 + \frac{n - ix}{2}, \quad c = \frac{m + iy}{2}, \quad d = 1 + \frac{m - iy}{2}, \quad z = \frac{N + iR}{2}.$$

We would like to obtain the large modulus $|z|$ asymptotics of $f(z)$. Suppose that $0 < \arg(z) < \pi$, then for $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(a+z)\Gamma(1-d+\bar{z})\sin[\pi(d-\bar{z})]}{\Gamma(1-c+z)\Gamma(b+\bar{z})\sin[\pi(c-z)]} \\ &= |z|^{i(x+y)-2} e^{i[\arg(z)(n+m)+\pi(N-m)]} (1 + O(\frac{1}{|z|})). \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

The asymptotics in the region $-\pi < \arg(z) < 0$ is obtained simply by the replacement $\arg(z) \rightarrow \arg(z) - \pi$. In the case $\arg(z) = 0$ (i.e. $R = 0$, $N > 0$), we obtain for large N

$$f\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(a+\frac{N}{2})\Gamma(1-d+\frac{N}{2})\sin[\pi(d-\frac{N}{2})]}{\Gamma(1-c+\frac{N}{2})\Gamma(b+\frac{N}{2})\sin[\pi(c-\frac{N}{2})]} = \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^{i(x+y)-2} (-1)^{N-m} (1 + O(\frac{1}{N})),$$

and, evidently, for $\arg(z) = \pi$ (i.e. $z = -N/2$, $N > 0$) we have

$$f\left(-\frac{N}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^{i(x+y)-2} (-1)^{N-n} (1 + O(\frac{1}{N})).$$

Using reflection properties (1.5), one can rewrite identity (1.2) as

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} [w - z_1]^{\alpha-1} [z_2 - w]^{\beta-1} \frac{d^2 w}{\pi} = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}{[z_1 - z_2]^\gamma}, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) := \prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(\alpha_j | \alpha'_j), \quad (1.7)$$

where $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = \alpha' + \beta' + \gamma' = 1$. The integral converges for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha + \alpha')$, $\operatorname{Re}(\beta + \beta')$, $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma + \gamma') > 0$. Linear fractional transformations of the variables w, z_1, z_2 bring formula (1.7) to the following star-triangle relation form

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} [z_1 - w]^{\alpha-1} [z_2 - w]^{\beta-1} [z_3 - w]^{\gamma-1} \frac{d^2 w}{\pi} = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}{[z_3 - z_2]^\alpha [z_1 - z_3]^\beta [z_2 - z_1]^\gamma}. \quad (1.8)$$

A multidimensional analogue of this formula — a complex Selberg integral, was considered by Dotsenko and Fateev in the context of two-dimensional conformal field theory [15] and by Aomoto [2].

In [5], it was shown that relation (1.8) can be mapped to the following infinite bilateral sum of contour integrals (see below the Appendix for details)

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^3 \Gamma(b_j + y, n_j + n) \Gamma(a_j - y, n - m_j) dy = \prod_{j,k=1}^3 \Gamma(b_j + a_k, n_j + m_k), \quad (1.9)$$

where $\operatorname{Im}(b_j), \operatorname{Im}(a_j) < 0$ and the following balancing condition holds true

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 (b_j + a_j) = -2i, \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 (n_j + m_j) = 0. \quad (1.10)$$

A special case of this relation was formally obtained in [3]. The integrand in (1.9) has poles at the following points of the integration variable

$$y_p^{up} = -b_j + i(|n + n_j| + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}), \quad y_p^{down} = a_j - i(|n - n_j| + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}),$$

and the contour of integration should separate these sequences of poles going upwards and downwards from the real line. For completeness we mention that these are true poles remaining after partial cancellation of zeros and poles of gamma functions forming the integrand, so that there remains only the following set of integrand zeros

$$y_z^{down} = -b_j + i(n + n_j - 2 - 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}), \quad y_z^{up} = a_j - i(n - n_j - 2 - 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Asymptotic formulas given above for the products of complex gamma functions show that the sum of integrals (1.9) converges, since for $z = (n + iy)/2 \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotics of the integrand modulus is proportional to

$$|z|^{\sum_{j=1}^3 [i(b_j + a_j) - 2]} = |z|^{-4}, \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Identity (1.9) can be considered as a Mellin–Barnes form of the star-triangle relation (1.8), though the character of counting independent free variables is different. In (1.8) one has two free complex variables (say, z_1 and z_2 , since z_3 can be removed by shifting the integration variable, z_1 and z_2), and two pairs of continuous and discrete variables entering α and β . In (1.9) one can shift the integration and summation variables by arbitrary constants with an appropriate change of the integration contour, which shows that one has actually only four independent pairs of the discrete and continuous variables.

In the following we consider multidimensional analogues of the exact formula (1.9) (as well as of a more general univariate relation derived in [4, 29]) which can be considered as Mellin–Barnes forms of the multiple complex hypergeometric integrals. Also, we investigate more complicated higher order complex hypergeometric functions obeying nontrivial symmetry transformations.

2. TYPE I C_n COMPLEX BETA INTEGRAL

The univariate elliptic beta integral has been discovered in [33]. Its type I extension to root system C_n was introduced by van Diejen and Spiridonov [12]. Different complete proofs of its evaluation formula were given by Rains in [27] and by the second author in [35]. Therefore it can be called as the van Diejen–Spiridonov–Rains C_n -integral of type I. It has the following structure. Take the integration variables $z_j \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and parameters $t_1, \dots, t_{2n+4}, p, q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, satisfying restrictions $|p|, |q|, |t_j| < 1$ and $\prod_{j=1}^{2n+4} t_j = pq$. Then one has the following exact integration formula

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_n^C \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{1}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm 1} z_k^{\pm 1}; p, q)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} \Gamma(t_\ell z_j^{\pm 1}; p, q)}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm 2}; p, q)} \frac{dz_j}{z_j} \\ = \prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 2n+4} \Gamma(t_\ell t_s; p, q), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where \mathbb{T} is the unit circle of positive orientation,

$$\kappa_n^C = \frac{(p; p)_\infty^n (q; q)_\infty^n}{(4\pi i)^n n!}, \quad (t; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - tq^k),$$

and

$$\Gamma(z; p, q) := \prod_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - z^{-1} p^{j+1} q^{k+1}}{1 - zp^j q^k}$$

is the elliptic gamma function with the standard convention

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(tz^{\pm 1}; p, q) &:= \Gamma(tz; p, q)\Gamma(tz^{-1}; p, q), \\ \Gamma(tz_j^{\pm 1} z_k^{\pm 1}; p, q) &:= \Gamma(tz_j z_k; p, q)\Gamma(tz_j z_k^{-1}; p, q)\Gamma(tz_j^{-1} z_k; p, q)\Gamma(tz_j^{-1} z_k^{-1}; p, q).\end{aligned}$$

The following asymptotic relation for the elliptic gamma function holds true [28] (see also [13])

$$\Gamma(e^{-2\pi v u}; e^{-2\pi v \omega_1}, e^{-2\pi v \omega_2}) \underset{v \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} e^{-\pi \frac{2u - \omega_1 - \omega_2}{12v\omega_1\omega_2}} \gamma^{(2)}(u; \omega_1, \omega_2), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\gamma^{(2)}(u; \omega_1, \omega_2)$ is the Faddeev modular quantum dilogarithm [16, 17] called also the hyperbolic gamma function [28]

$$\gamma^{(2)}(u; \omega) = \gamma^{(2)}(u; \omega_1, \omega_2) := e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2} B_{2,2}(u; \omega)} \gamma(u; \omega), \quad (2.3)$$

with the multiple Bernoulli polynomial of the second order

$$B_{2,2}(u; \omega) = \frac{1}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \left(\left(u - \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2}{12} \right)$$

and

$$\gamma(u; \omega) := \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{q}} e^{\frac{2\pi i u}{\omega_1}}; \tilde{\mathbf{q}})_{\infty}}{(e^{\frac{2\pi i u}{\omega_2}}; \mathbf{q})_{\infty}} = \exp \left(- \int_{\mathbb{R} + i0} \frac{e^{ux}}{(1 - e^{\omega_1 x})(1 - e^{\omega_2 x})} \frac{dx}{x} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

For $\text{Im}(\omega_1/\omega_2) > 0$, one has the parametrization

$$\mathbf{q} = e^{\frac{2\pi i \omega_1}{\omega_2}}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{q}} = e^{-\frac{2\pi i \omega_2}{\omega_1}}. \quad (2.5)$$

This \mathbf{q} is different from q figuring in the definition of elliptic hypergeometric integral (2.1). For $|\mathbf{q}| < 1$ the infinite product representation defines $\gamma^{(2)}(u; \omega)$ as a meromorphic function of $u \in \mathbb{C}$. For $|\mathbf{q}| = 1$ one should use the integral representation converging in a restricted domain of u (say, for $\omega_1, \omega_2 > 0$ one should have $0 < \text{Re}(u) < \omega_1 + \omega_2$). Note also that though the parametrization of \mathbf{q} (2.5) is not symmetric in ω_1 and ω_2 , the resulting function (2.3) obeys this symmetry.

As shown by Rains in [26], the limit (2.2) is uniform on compacta with exponentially small corrections. Therefore applying the following parametrization of variables

$$t_{\ell} = e^{-2\pi v g_{\ell}}, \quad z_j = e^{-2\pi v u_j}, \quad p = e^{-2\pi v \omega_1}, \quad q = e^{-2\pi v \omega_2}, \quad v > 0$$

(which assumes that $\text{Re}(\omega_1), \text{Re}(\omega_2) > 0$), one can establish rigorously the asymptotic limit $v \rightarrow 0^+$ for all known elliptic hypergeometric integrals. In particular, from (2.1) one obtains in this way the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{1}{\gamma^{(2)}(\pm u_j \pm u_k; \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} \gamma^{(2)}(g_{\ell} \pm u_j; \omega)}{\gamma^{(2)}(\pm 2u_j; \omega)} \frac{du_j}{2i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \\ = \prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 2n+4} \gamma^{(2)}(g_{\ell} + g_s; \omega),\end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where the following balancing condition holds true

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} g_{\ell} = Q, \quad Q := \omega_1 + \omega_2. \quad (2.7)$$

Here we use the compact notation $\gamma^{(2)}(g \pm u; \omega) := \gamma^{(2)}(g + u; \omega)\gamma^{(2)}(g - u; \omega)$. The contour of integration in (2.6) can be chosen as the imaginary axis under the conditions $\operatorname{Re}(g_\ell) > 0$ that stem from the requirement $|t_\ell| < 1$ at the elliptic level. For further considerations it is convenient to assume that $\omega_1\omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, which can always be realized using the homogeneity $\gamma^{(2)}(\lambda u; \lambda\omega_1, \lambda\omega_2) = \gamma^{(2)}(u; \omega_1, \omega_2)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$. In the conformal field theory the canonical normalization is $\omega_1\omega_2 = 1$.

Since the poles of $\gamma^{(2)}(u; \omega)$ are located at $u_p \in \{-n\omega_1 - m\omega_2\}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the poles of the integrand in (2.6) are

$$u_j^{\text{poles}} \in \{g_\ell + \omega_1\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + \omega_2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \cup \{-g_\ell - \omega_1\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} - \omega_2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, 2n + 4.$$

The contours of integration in (2.6) should separate these two sets of points and, therefore, they differ from the imaginary axis, if the conditions $\operatorname{Re}(g_\ell) > 0$ are violated.

The function (2.4) plays an important role in the analysis of $2d$ quantum Liouville theory. In the corresponding literature one denotes $b := \sqrt{\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}}$, i.e. $\mathbf{q} = e^{2\pi i b^2}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} = e^{-2\pi i b^{-2}}$, so that the central charge c of the theory is parametrized as $c = 1 + 6(b + b^{-1})^2$ [15].

In [29], the simultaneous limit $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow 1$ (when $b \rightarrow i$, or $c \rightarrow 1$) was rigorously investigated and corresponding degeneration of some particular hyperbolic integrals was considered. Take small $\delta > 0$ and set $b = i + \delta$. Then for $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ the following asymptotic limit holds true

$$\gamma^{(2)}(i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(n + x\delta); \omega_1, \omega_2) \underset{\delta \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}n^2} (4\pi\delta)^{ix-1} \Gamma(x, n), \quad \sqrt{\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}} = i + \delta, \quad (2.8)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\Gamma(x, n)$ is the complex gamma function (1.3). This limit is uniform on compacta with exponentially small corrections. Therefore all degenerations of the hyperbolic integrals considered below rigorously prove the resulting limiting relations.

Now we apply this limit to the multiple beta integral (2.6), which was done earlier in the univariate setting in [30]. The structure of poles u_j^{poles} shows that if parameters g_ℓ approach the values $i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}N_\ell$ with N_ℓ integers or half-integers, then for small δ infinitely many poles start to pinch the integration contours at the points $u_j = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}N$, $N \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu$, $\nu = 0$ or $\frac{1}{2}$, respectively. As demonstrated in [30], for a function $\Delta(u)$ formed from the $\gamma^{(2)}(g + u; \omega)$ -functions with different parameters g , the asymptotics of its integral over the imaginary axis in such a regime has the form

$$\int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \Delta(u) \frac{du}{i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}} \underset{\delta \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta \Delta(i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(m + x\delta)) \right] dx, \quad (2.9)$$

provided on the right-hand side one has a well defined asymptotic limit. The symbol \sim in (2.9) means that the ratio of the left- and right-hand side expressions goes to 1 for $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. For uniformness of the limit there should emerge a power of δ multiplied by a converging infinite bilateral sum of Mellin–Barnes type integrals. For multiple integrals, one has the asymptotic relation (2.9) for each integration variable.

Now we set $\sqrt{\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}} = i + \delta$ in (2.6), parametrize the integration variables as

$$u_j = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(m_j + \delta x_j), \quad x_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu, \quad \nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \quad (2.10)$$

the constants g_ℓ as

$$g_\ell = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(N_\ell + \delta a_\ell), \quad a_\ell \in \mathbb{C}, \quad N_\ell \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu, \quad \nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \quad (2.11)$$

and take the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. Then the balancing condition $\sum_{k=1}^{2n+4} g_k = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ splits into two separate constraints on a_k and N_k :

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n+4} a_k = -2i, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{2n+4} N_k = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Since we took $\omega_1\omega_2 > 0$, the conditions $\operatorname{Re}(g_\ell) > 0$ pass to the constraints $\operatorname{Im}(a_\ell) < 0$. The discrete parameter $\nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ emerged from the demand for the combinations $m_j \pm N_k$ to take integer values, as required in (2.8).

We recall that the function $\gamma^{(2)}(y; \omega_1, \omega_2)$ has the following asymptotics:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{(2)}(y; \omega_1, \omega_2) &= e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2} B_{2,2}(y, \omega_1, \omega_2)}, \quad \text{for } \arg \omega_1 < \arg y < \arg \omega_2 + \pi, \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{(2)}(y; \omega_1, \omega_2) &= e^{\frac{i\pi}{2} B_{2,2}(y, \omega_1, \omega_2)}, \quad \text{for } \arg \omega_1 - \pi < \arg y < \arg \omega_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

It follows that the integrand of the original hyperbolic integral for $x_j \rightarrow \pm\infty$ has the asymptotics $e^{-12\pi\delta \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|}$. For $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ the integral diverges and our goal is to estimate the rate of this divergence.

Inserting parametrizations (2.10) and (2.11) in (2.6), and using formula (2.8), we find the limiting relations

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell \pm u_j; \omega) &\rightarrow \frac{(-1)^{2\nu n}}{(4\pi\delta)^{4(n+1)}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} \Gamma(a_\ell \pm x_j, N_\ell \pm m_j), \\ \prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 2n+4} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + g_s; \omega) &\rightarrow \frac{(-1)^{(n+1)(n+2)\nu}}{(4\pi\delta)^{n(2n+3)}} \prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 2n+4} \Gamma(a_\ell + a_s, N_\ell + N_s), \\ \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm u_j \pm u_k; \omega) &\rightarrow \frac{2^{2n(n-1)}}{(4\pi\delta)^{2n(n-1)}} \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} [(x_j \pm x_k)^2 + (m_j \pm m_k)^2]}, \\ \gamma^{(2)}(\pm 2u_j; \omega) &\rightarrow \frac{(-1)^{2\nu}}{(4\pi\delta)^2} \frac{\Gamma(m_j + ix_j)}{\Gamma(1 + m_j - ix_j)} \frac{\Gamma(-m_j - ix_j)}{\Gamma(1 - m_j + ix_j)} = \frac{(4\pi\delta)^{-2}}{x_j^2 + m_j^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Collecting all the multipliers and cancelling $(-1)^{2n\nu} (4\pi\delta)^{-n(2n+3)}$ — the common diverging factor on both sides of the equality (2.6), we obtain our key complex beta integral:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(2^{2n+1}\pi)^{nn!}} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} [(x_j \pm x_k)^2 + (m_j \pm m_k)^2] \prod_{j=1}^n (x_j^2 + m_j^2) \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} \Gamma(a_\ell \pm x_j, N_\ell \pm m_j) dx_j = (-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)\nu} \prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 2n+4} \Gamma(a_\ell + a_s, N_\ell + N_s), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where $\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} a_\ell = -2i$, $\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} N_\ell = 0$, and

$$\Gamma(x_1 \pm x_2, n_1 \pm n_2) := \Gamma(x_1 + x_2, n_1 + n_2) \Gamma(x_1 - x_2, n_1 - n_2).$$

Here we have the variables $N_\ell, m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu$, $\nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}$, so that $N_\ell \pm m_j$ and $N_\ell + N_s$ take integer values. This formula was first obtained by a different method in [4] (see formula (3.7) there).

Let us check that the infinite bilateral sums of integrals (2.15) converge. According to (1.6), when $z_j = \frac{1}{2}(m_j + ix_j) \rightarrow \infty$, j fixed, the modulus of the integrand behaves as

$$|z_j|^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} (2ia_\ell - 2) + 4(n-1) + 2} = |z_j|^{-6}$$

due to the balancing condition. If simultaneously several z_j -variables go to infinity, then the integrand vanishes even faster, since moduli of the cross-terms $(x_j \pm x_k)^2 + (m_j \pm m_k)^2$ grow slower than $|z_j z_k|^2$. This guarantees convergence of the sum of integrals.

For any m_j true poles of the integrand in (2.15) are located at the points

$$x_j^{(p)} \in \{i|m_j + N_k| - a_k + 2i\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\} \cup \{-i|m_j - N_k| + a_k - 2i\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \quad (2.16)$$

The contours of integration over x_j in (2.15) should separate these sets of poles. The real axes are valid for $\text{Im}(a_k) < 0$ (the conditions following from the demand $\text{Re}(g_k/\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}) > 0$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$). As to the cases $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_j \neq -a_k$, we can perform analytical continuation by deforming the contour of integration slightly below and above the real axis at the appropriate points in such a way that for $\text{Im}(a_k) = 0$ no poles emerge on the integration contour, and the formula remains true.

Formula (2.15) represents a complex analogue of the Gustafson C_n -integral evaluation formula described in Theorem 5.3 of [20]. However, it has a substantially more symmetric form due to the balancing condition symmetric in all parameters, which was not possible to realize in Gustafson's case. Note that the original elliptic hypergeometric integral (2.1) can be reduced to the Gustafson's one by taking two different limits. In the first case, one should express t_{2n+4} in terms of other parameters with the help of the balancing condition, apply the elliptic gamma function reflection formula to remove p -dependence in the arguments, and take the $p \rightarrow 0$ limit with all remaining free parameters t_j being fixed. After that, one should take the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ in the arising trigonometric q -hypergeometric integral with $t_j = q^{a_j}$ for fixed a_j , which ends up in the relation of interest. In the second case, one goes first from the elliptic to hyperbolic level as described above. Then it is necessary to take the limit $b \rightarrow 0$ (when $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow 0$) after expressing one of the parameters in terms of others with the help of the balancing condition and applying the reflection formula for the $\gamma^{(2)}$ -function. The latter possibility was described in [26] or in our recent paper [31].

3. TYPE I A_n COMPLEX BETA INTEGRAL

A type I multiple elliptic beta integral on the root system A_n was suggested by the second author in [34]. Different complete proofs of its evaluation formula were given by Rains [27] and Spiridonov in [35]. Therefore it can be called the Rains-Spiridonov A_n -integral of type I. To describe it, we take parameters $p, q, t_\ell, s_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n+2$, satisfying the constraints $|p|, |q|, |t_m|, |s_m| < 1$ and $ST = pq$, where $S = \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} s_\ell$, $T = \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} t_\ell$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_n^A \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(z_j z_k^{-1}, z_j^{-1} z_k; p, q)} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \Gamma(s_\ell z_j, t_\ell z_j^{-1}; p, q) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dz_i}{z_i} \\ = \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \Gamma(S s_\ell^{-1}, T t_\ell^{-1}; p, q) \prod_{\ell, s=1}^{n+2} \Gamma(s_\ell t_s; p, q), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $z_1 z_2 \cdots z_{n+1} = 1$ and

$$\kappa_n^A = \frac{(p; p)_\infty^n (q; q)_\infty^n}{(2\pi i)^n (n+1)!}.$$

Under the taken restrictions on the parameters the integral kernel in (3.1) has double sequences of poles in each integration variable $z_j = t_\ell p^a q^b$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $z_1 \cdots z_n = s_\ell p^a q^b$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, which accumulate near zero. Since for a fixed z_j other integration variables z_k , $k \neq j$, lie on the unit circle, these poles lie inside \mathbb{T} . In a similar way, other sequences of poles $z_j = t_\ell^{-1} p^{-a} q^{-b}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $z_1 \cdots z_n = s_\ell^{-1} p^{-a} q^{-b}$, lie outside \mathbb{T} and go to infinity as $a, b \rightarrow \infty$.

Similarly to the previous case, reduction to the hyperbolic level yields the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + u_j, f_\ell - u_j; \omega)}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm(u_j - u_k); \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{du_j}{i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \\ &= \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(G - g_\ell, F - f_\ell; \omega) \prod_{\ell, s=1}^{n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + f_s; \omega), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\omega_{1,2}), \operatorname{Re}(g_\ell), \operatorname{Re}(f_\ell) > 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} u_j = 0$, $G = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} g_\ell$ and $F = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} f_\ell$ with $G + F = \omega_1 + \omega_2$. Integrations over u_j go along the imaginary axes which separate poles going to infinity to the right, $u_j = f_\ell + \omega_1 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + \omega_2 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n u_k = g_\ell + \omega_1 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + \omega_2 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, from the poles $u_j = -g_\ell - \omega_1 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} - \omega_2 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\sum_{k=1}^n u_k = -f_\ell - \omega_1 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} - \omega_2 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, going to infinity to the left.

For the transition to the level of complex hypergeometric functions along the lines indicated in the previous section, we parametrize the integration variables as

$$u_j = i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}(m_j + \delta x_j), \quad x_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu, \quad \nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \quad (3.3)$$

and the constants g_ℓ, f_ℓ as

$$g_\ell = i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}(N_\ell + \delta a_\ell), \quad f_\ell = i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}(M_\ell + \delta b_\ell), \quad (3.4)$$

where $a_\ell, b_\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ and $N_\ell, M_\ell \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu$, $\nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}$, and take the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. Then the parameters $a_\ell, b_\ell, N_\ell, M_\ell$ satisfy the constraints $\operatorname{Im}(a_\ell), \operatorname{Im}(b_\ell) < 0$ (stemming from the original restrictions on g_ℓ and f_ℓ) as well as the balancing conditions

$$A + B = -2i, \quad A = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} a_\ell, \quad B = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} b_\ell, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$N + M = 0, \quad N = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} N_\ell, \quad M = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} M_\ell, \quad (3.6)$$

following from the original constraint $G + F = \omega_1 + \omega_2$.

Inserting expressions (3.3) and (3.4) in (3.2), and recalling the asymptotics (2.8), we find the limiting relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + u_j, f_\ell - u_j; \omega) \rightarrow \frac{e^{\pi i(n+1)(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} (N_\ell^2 + M_\ell^2) + (n+2)\nu^2)}}{(4\pi\delta)^{2(n+1)^2}} \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \Gamma(a_\ell + x_j, N_\ell + m_j) \Gamma(b_\ell - x_j, M_\ell - m_j), \\
 & \quad \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm(u_j - u_k); \omega) \rightarrow \frac{2^{n(n+1)} (4\pi\delta)^{-n(n+1)}}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} [(x_j - x_k)^2 + (m_j - m_k)^2]}, \\
 & \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(G - g_\ell, F - f_\ell; \omega) \prod_{\ell,s=1}^{n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + f_s; \omega) \rightarrow \frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(2N^2(n-1) + (n+3) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} (N_\ell^2 + M_\ell^2))}}{(4\pi\delta)^{n^2+2n+2}} \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \Gamma(A - a_\ell, N - n_\ell) \Gamma(B - b_\ell, M - M_\ell) \prod_{\ell,s=1}^{n+2} \Gamma(a_\ell + b_s, N_\ell + M_s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Collecting all the multipliers and cancelling the common diverging factor on both sides of the equality (3.2), we obtain in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ the type I complex beta integral for the root system A_n :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{(2^{n+3}\pi)^n (n+1)!} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \Gamma(a_\ell + x_j, N_\ell + m_j) \Gamma(b_\ell - x_j, M_\ell - m_j) \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} [(x_j - x_k)^2 + (m_j - m_k)^2] \prod_{j=1}^n dx_j = e^{i\pi(n-1)(N^2 - (n+2)\nu^2)} \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \Gamma(A - a_\ell, N - N_\ell) \Gamma(B - b_\ell, M - M_\ell) \prod_{\ell,s=1}^{n+2} \Gamma(a_\ell + b_s, N_\ell + M_s), \quad (3.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} m_j = 0$. For even dimensional integrals this relation holds true only for $\nu = 0$. However, for odd n both values $\nu = 0$ and $\nu = 1/2$ are admissible. This new complex hypergeometric beta integral evaluation formula was announced in [32].

The integrations in (3.7) go along the real axes which separate the poles $x_j = b_\ell - i(|m_j - M_\ell| + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k = a_\ell - i(|\sum_{k=1}^n m_k - N_\ell| + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ going to infinity downwards the real line from the poles $x_j = -a_\ell + i(|m_j + N_\ell| + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k = -b_\ell + i(|\sum_{k=1}^n m_k + M_\ell| + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ going to infinity upwards.

The asymptotic behavior of the integrand modulus in (3.7) for $z_j = \frac{1}{2}(m_j + ix_j) \rightarrow \infty$, $j \leq n$ fixed, can be found using (1.6). Since $z_{n+1} = -\sum_{j=1}^n z_j$ we have contributions of two kinds, from the terms containing only z_j and terms containing z_{n+1} :

$$\propto |z_j|^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} (i(a_\ell + b_\ell) - 2) + 2(n-1)} = |z_j|^{-4} \quad \text{and} \quad \propto |z_{n+1}|^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} (i(a_\ell + b_\ell) - 2) + 2n} = |z_{n+1}|^{-2},$$

where we used the balancing condition (3.5). So, for large values of all $|z_k|$ the integrand behaves as least as $\prod_{k=1}^n |z_k|^{-6}$ in integration variables and the infinite sums of integrals of interest converge.

Formula (3.7) represents a complex analogue of the Gustafson A_n -integral evaluation described in Theorem 5.1 of [20], although it has substantially more symmetric form due to the balancing condition symmetric in all parameters, which was not possible to have in Gustafson's case. In the same way as in the C_n -integrals case, Gustafson's A_n -integral evaluation formula can be obtained in two different limits from the original elliptic hypergeometric integral which we shall not describe here, since the difference carries only a technical character.

4. TYPE II INTEGRALS ON THE ROOT SYSTEM A_n

Recently, in [31] we derived a generalized complex Selberg integral in the Mellin–Barnes form. It was obtained in a chain of reductions of the type II elliptic beta integral on the root system C_n evaluated by van Diejen and Spiridonov [12] as a consequence of relation (2.1). Explicitly, it has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(8\pi)^{n!}} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \mu} \int_{u_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma \pm u_j \pm u_k, r \pm m_j \pm m_k)}{\Gamma(\pm u_j \pm u_k, \pm m_j \pm m_k)} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^n \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^6 \Gamma(\gamma_\ell \pm u_j, r_\ell \pm m_j) \right] (u_j^2 + m_j^2) du_j \\ & = (-1)^{r \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(j\gamma, jr)}{\Gamma(\gamma, r)} \prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 6} \Gamma((j-1)\gamma + \gamma_\ell + \gamma_s, (j-1)r + r_\ell + r_s), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_j, r_\ell \in \mathbb{Z} + \mu$, $\mu = 0, \frac{1}{2}$, and the continuous variables $\gamma, \gamma_\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the balancing condition

$$(2n-2)\gamma + \sum_{\ell=1}^6 \gamma_\ell = -2i, \quad (2n-2)r + \sum_{\ell=1}^6 r_\ell = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Here we use the compact notation

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\gamma \pm a \pm b, r \pm m \pm n) & := \Gamma(\gamma + a + b, r + m + n) \Gamma(\gamma + a - b, r + m - n) \\ & \quad \times \Gamma(\gamma - a + b, r - m + n) \Gamma(\gamma - a - b, r - m - n). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the previous cases, large $|z_j| = \frac{1}{2}|m_j + iu_j|$ behavior of the integrand modulus in (4.1) is $\propto |z_j|^{4i\gamma(n-1)+2i\sum_1^6 \gamma_\ell n-12+2} = |z_j|^{-6}$ and the integrals, as well as their infinite sums converge.

In this section we discuss the type II elliptic beta integrals on the root system A_n that were constructed in [34]. As shown in [38] these integrals represent a generalization of the elliptic Selberg integral introduced in [11, 12]. Since these integrals do not appear anywhere else than in [34] and some surveys [37, 38], they can be called Spiridonov's type II integrals on the root system A_n .

Let us describe these integrals explicitly and perform their reduction to the level of complex hypergeometric functions (such a reduction for the elliptic Selberg integral was performed in [31]). We take 10 parameters p, q, t, s, t_j, s_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$, such that $|p|, |q|, |t_j|, |s_j| < 1$ and

$(ts)^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^3 t_k s_k = pq$ (the balancing condition). Then the A_n -integrals of interest have the form

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(\underline{t}, \underline{s}; p, q) &= \kappa_n^A \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \frac{\Gamma(tz_i z_j, sz_i^{-1} z_j^{-1}; p, q)}{\Gamma(z_i z_j^{-1}, z_i^{-1} z_j; p, q)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{k=1}^3 \Gamma(t_k z_j, s_k z_j^{-1}; p, q) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{dz_j}{z_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} z_j = 1$. These integrals can be computed explicitly. For odd n one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(\underline{t}, \underline{s}; p, q) &= \Gamma(t^{\frac{n+1}{2}}, s^{\frac{n+1}{2}}; p, q) \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq 3} \Gamma(t^{\frac{n-1}{2}} t_i t_k, s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} s_i s_k; p, q) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\ell=1}^{(n+1)/2} \prod_{i,k=1}^3 \Gamma((ts)^{\ell-1} t_i s_k; p, q) \prod_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} \Gamma((ts)^j; p, q) \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq 3} \Gamma(t^{j-1} s^j t_i t_k, t^j s^{j-1} s_i s_k; p, q), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and for even n one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(\underline{t}, \underline{s}; p, q) &= \prod_{i=1}^3 \Gamma(t^{\frac{n}{2}} t_i, s^{\frac{n}{2}} s_i; p, q) \Gamma(t^{\frac{n}{2}-1} t_1 t_2 t_3, s^{\frac{n}{2}-1} s_1 s_2 s_3; p, q) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} \Gamma((ts)^j; p, q) \prod_{i,k=1}^3 \Gamma((ts)^{j-1} t_i s_k; p, q) \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq 3} \Gamma(t^{j-1} s^j t_i t_k, t^j s^{j-1} s_i s_k; p, q). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

The hyperbolic reduction of integrals (4.3), performed completely similarly to the previous cases, has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(\underline{g}, \underline{f}; \omega_1, \omega) &= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \frac{\gamma^{(2)}(g + u_i + u_j, f - u_i - u_j; \omega)}{\gamma^{(2)}(u_i - u_j, u_j - u_i; \omega)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{k=1}^3 \gamma^{(2)}(g_k + u_j, f_k - u_j; \omega) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{du_j}{i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} u_j = 0$, $(n-1)(f+g) + \sum_{k=1}^3 (g_k + f_k) = \omega_1 + \omega_2$. Then the hyperbolic reduction of the identity (4.4) valid for odd n has the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(\underline{g}, \underline{f}; \omega_1, \omega) &= \gamma^{(2)}\left(\frac{n+1}{2}g, \frac{n+1}{2}f; \omega\right) \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq 3} \gamma^{(2)}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}g + g_i + g_k, \frac{n-1}{2}f + f_i + f_k; \omega\right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{(n+1)/2} \prod_{i,k=1}^3 \gamma^{(2)}((j-1)(g+f) + g_i + f_k; \omega) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} \gamma^{(2)}(j(g+f); \omega) \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq 3} \gamma^{(2)}((j-1)g + jf + g_i + g_k, jg + (j-1)f + f_i + f_k; \omega). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

The hyperbolic reduction of identity (4.5) valid for even n has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
H_n(\underline{g}, \underline{f}; \omega_1, \omega) &= \prod_{i=1}^3 \gamma^{(2)}\left(\frac{n}{2}g + g_i, \frac{n}{2}f + f_i; \omega\right) \gamma^{(2)}\left(\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)g + \sum_{k=1}^3 g_k, \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)f + \sum_{k=1}^3 f_k; \omega\right) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} \left(\gamma^{(2)}(j(g+f); \omega) \prod_{i,k=1}^3 \gamma^{(2)}((j-1)(g+f) + g_i + f_k; \omega) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq 3} \gamma^{(2)}((j-1)g + jf + g_i + g_k, jg + (j-1)f + f_i + f_k; \omega) \right). \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

We use now the parametrization (3.3) and (3.4) and additionally set

$$g = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(N + \delta a), \quad f = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(M + \delta b), \tag{4.9}$$

where $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Then in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, we come to the function

$$\begin{aligned}
R_n(\underline{a}, \underline{N}, \underline{b}, \underline{M}) &= \frac{1}{(2^{n+3}\pi)^n (n+1)!} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} [(x_j - x_k)^2 + (m_j - m_k)^2] \\
&\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \Gamma(a + x_i + x_j, N + m_i + m_j) \Gamma(b - x_i - x_j, M - m_i - m_j) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{k=1}^3 \Gamma(a_k + x_j, N_k + m_j) \Gamma(b_k - x_j, M_k - m_j) \prod_{j=1}^n dx_j, \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j = 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} m_j = 0$ (for even n this requires $\nu = 0$), and the balancing condition has the form

$$(n-1)(N+M) + \sum_{k=1}^3 (N_k + M_k) = 0, \quad (n-1)(a+b) + \sum_{k=1}^3 (a_k + b_k) = -2i.$$

Convergence condition of the sum of integrals (4.10) is similar to the previous cases. For large $|z_j| = \frac{1}{2}|m_j + ix_j|$ with fixed j , we have the asymptotics of the integrand modulus

$$\propto |z_j|^{2i(a+b)(n-1)+2\sum_{k=1}^3(i(a_k+b_k)-2)+2} = |z_j|^{-6}$$

due to the balancing condition, i.e. the convergence is guaranteed.

Finally, for odd n we obtain the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
R_n(\underline{a}, \underline{N}, \underline{b}, \underline{M}) &= e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}\varphi_{odd}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}a, \frac{n+1}{2}N\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}b, \frac{n+1}{2}M\right) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq 3} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}a + a_i + a_k, \frac{n-1}{2}N + N_i + N_k\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}b + b_i + b_k, \frac{n-1}{2}M + M_i + M_k\right) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{(n+1)/2} \prod_{i,k=1}^3 \Gamma((j-1)(a+b) + a_i + b_k, (j-1)(N+M) + N_i + M_k) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{(n-1)/2} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq 3} [\Gamma((j-1)a + jb + a_i + a_k, (j-1)N + jM + N_i + N_k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \Gamma(ja + (j-1)b + b_i + b_k, jN + (j-1)M + M_i + M_k) \right] \Gamma(j(a+b), j(N+M)) \Big). \tag{4.11}
\end{aligned}$$

For even n we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_n(\underline{a}, \underline{N}, \underline{b}, \underline{M}) &= e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} \varphi_{\text{even}}} \prod_{i=1}^3 \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}a + a_i, \frac{n}{2}N + N_i\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}b + b_i, \frac{n}{2}M + M_i\right) \\
 &\times \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)a + \sum_{k=1}^3 a_k, \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)N + \sum_{k=1}^3 N_k\right) \Gamma\left(\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)b + \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k, \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)M + \sum_{k=1}^3 M_k\right) \\
 &\times \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} \left(\prod_{i,k=1}^3 \Gamma((j-1)(a+b) + a_i + b_k, (j-1)(N+M) + N_i + M_k) \right. \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq 3} [\Gamma((j-1)a + jb + a_i + a_k, (j-1)N + jM + N_i + N_k) \\
 &\quad \left. \times \Gamma(ja + (j-1)b + b_i + b_k, jN + (j-1)M + M_i + M_k) \right] \Gamma(j(a+b), j(N+M)) \Big).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Initial explicit expressions for the phases φ_{odd} and φ_{even} involve complicated quadratic polynomials of the discrete variables N, N_k, M, M_k . However, they can be substantially simplified in the odd n case to

$$\varphi_{\text{odd}} = \frac{n}{4}(n^2 - 1)(N + M). \tag{4.13}$$

For even n one has $\nu = 0$ and we obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_{\text{even}} &= (N^2 + M^2) \left(-\frac{1}{4}n^2 + \frac{1}{2}n + 1\right) - 2N \sum_{i=1}^3 N_i - 2M \sum_{i=1}^3 M_i \\
 &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 N_i\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 M_i\right)^2 + n \sum_{i=1}^3 (N_i^2 + M_i^2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

One can check that both φ_{odd} and φ_{even} are even integer numbers.

In the following we consider limiting forms of some integrals described until now, excluding the type II integrals presented in this section.

5. LIMITING CASES OF THE BETA INTEGRALS

In this section we describe three more degenerations of the described beta integrals. First we take relation (3.2), shift parameters $g_l \rightarrow g_l - L$, $f_l \rightarrow f_l + L$ for all $l = 1, \dots, n+2$, and take the limit $L \rightarrow i\infty$. The resulting identity has the following form

$$\frac{1}{n!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + u_j, f_\ell - u_j; \omega)}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm(u_j - u_k); \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{du_j}{i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} = \prod_{\ell, s=1}^{n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + f_s; \omega) \tag{5.1}$$

with the same balancing condition $\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} (g_\ell + f_\ell) = Q$.

To prove this formula one should use the asymptotics (2.13). Under the taken conditions for parameters, main contribution to the integral (3.2) comes from the regions of large $|u_j|$, $j = 1, \dots, n+1$, which compensate the growth of g_l, f_l . These regions are reached by the shifts $u_k \rightarrow u_k - nL$, for some fixed k , and $u_j \rightarrow u_j + L$ for all other variables, $j \neq k$. Because of the permutation symmetry in u_j , all these $n+1$ arising asymptotic domains of integration are equivalent. Therefore we consider only the integral emerging from the choice $u_j \rightarrow u_j + L$, $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$u_{n+1} \rightarrow u_{n+1} - nL$. Then the terms whose $\gamma^{(2)}$ -function arguments do not depend on L remain intact and one can see that they are included in (5.1). The terms depending on L will be replaced by the exponents of $B_{2,2}$ -polynomials. There is equal number of $+B_{2,2}$ and $-B_{2,2}$ terms in the exponents of asymptotics, i.e. we can drop their constant terms. Omitting the factor $\frac{i\pi}{2\omega_1\omega_2}$ common for all terms, one can write for these exponents

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=1}^{n+2} \left[\left(g_l + u_{n+1} - (n+1)L - \frac{Q}{2} \right)^2 - \left(f_l - u_{n+1} + (n+1)L - \frac{Q}{2} \right)^2 \right] \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\left(u_j - u_{n+1} + (n+1)L - \frac{Q}{2} \right)^2 - \left(-u_j + u_{n+1} - (n+1)L - \frac{Q}{2} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Taking into account the balancing condition and equality $\sum_{l=1}^n u_j = -u_{n+1}$, we simplify this expression to

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n+2} (g_l^2 - f_l^2) - Q \sum_{l=1}^{n+2} (g_l - f_l) + 2LQ(n+1). \quad (5.3)$$

On the right-hand side we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n+2} \left[\left(G - g_l - (n+1)L - \frac{Q}{2} \right)^2 - \left(F - f_l + (n+1)L - \frac{Q}{2} \right)^2 \right]$$

Using again the balancing condition $G + F = Q$, we obtain exactly the same expression (5.3). Thus, we have no contribution from the divergent terms. Finally, reminding that there are $n+1$ domains of large u_j -variables yielding the same result in the large L limit, as described above, we replace the combinatorial factor $1/(n+1)!$ by $1/n!$.

Parametrizing now g_l, f_l , and u_j in (5.1) as in (3.3) and (3.4), we compute the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ and derive the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2^{n+1}\pi)^n n!} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi(n+2)\sum_{j=1}^n m_j} \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \Gamma(a_\ell + x_j, N_\ell + m_j) \Gamma(b_\ell - x_j, M_\ell - m_j) \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} [(x_j - x_k)^2 + (m_j - m_k)^2] \prod_{j=1}^n dx_j \\ & = e^{i\pi M} e^{i\pi((n+1)n-2)\nu} \prod_{\ell,s=1}^{n+2} \Gamma(a_\ell + b_s, N_\ell + M_s), \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where $\sum_{l=1}^{n+2} (N_l + M_l) = 0$, $\sum_{l=1}^{n+2} (a_l + b_l) = -2i$, and $M = \sum_{l=1}^{n+2} M_l$. For $n = 1$ this formula coincides with (1.9). This formula was proved in [4] by a brute force method (see formula (3.5) there). The parameter ν is redundant, since for $\nu = 1/2$ the shifts $m_j \rightarrow m_j + 1/2$, $N_k \rightarrow N_k - 1/2$, $M_k \rightarrow M_k + 1/2$ yield the formula equivalent to the $\nu = 0$ case. As to the convergence condition in (5.4), it is similar to the case (1.9) since the integrand modulus asymptotics

$$\propto |z_j|^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} (i(a_\ell + b_\ell) - 2) + 2(n-1)} = |z_j|^{-4}, \quad z_j = \frac{1}{2}(m_j + ix_j) \rightarrow \infty,$$

guarantees validity of the relation

As a second example, we consider the reduction of relation (5.1) following from taking $g_{n+2} \rightarrow i\infty$ jointly with the limit $f_{n+2} \rightarrow -i\infty$ emerging through the balancing condition. In this way, one obtains the following exact formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{i\pi}{\omega_1 \omega_2} (\sum_{s=1}^{n+1} (g_s + f_s)) (\sum_{j=1}^n u_j)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+1} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + u_j, f_\ell - u_j; \omega)}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm(u_j - u_k); \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{du_j}{i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \\ &= e^{\frac{i\pi}{2\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[(\sum_{s=1}^{n+1} (g_s + f_s)) (\sum_{s=1}^{n+1} (f_s - g_s)) + \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} (g_s^2 - f_s^2) \right]} \frac{\prod_{\ell,s=1}^{n+1} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + f_s; \omega)}{\gamma^{(2)}(\sum_{s=1}^{n+1} (g_s + f_s); \omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Using again the parametrization (3.3) and (3.4), we come in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ to the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2^{n+1} \pi)^n n!} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi(n+1) \sum_{j=1}^n m_j} \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+1} \left[\Gamma(a_\ell + x_j, N_\ell + m_j) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \Gamma(b_\ell - x_j, M_\ell - m_j) \right] \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} [(x_j - x_k)^2 + (m_j - m_k)^2] \prod_{j=1}^n dx_j \\ &= e^{i\pi n(n+1)\nu} \frac{\prod_{\ell,s=1}^{n+1} \Gamma(a_\ell + b_s, N_\ell + M_s)}{\Gamma(\sum_{s=1}^{n+1} (a_s + b_s), \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} (N_s + M_s))}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

This formula was obtained earlier by a different method in [4] (see formula (2.3a) there). It is easy to see that for $\nu = 1/2$ this formula becomes equivalent to the $\nu = 0$ case after the shifts $m_j \rightarrow m_j + 1/2$, $N_k \rightarrow N_k - 1/2$, and $M_k \rightarrow M_k + 1/2$, i.e. we can set $\nu = 0$.

In difference from the cases considered until now, the convergence condition of the sum of integrals in (5.6) imposes an additional constraint on the parameter values. Namely, we have the integrand modulus asymptotics $\propto |z_j|^{-\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} \text{Im}(a_\ell + b_\ell) - 4}$. Therefore we have to demand that $\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} \text{Im}(a_\ell + b_\ell) > -2$.

The third degeneration example deals with the identity (2.6), where we take the limit $g_{2n+3} \rightarrow +i\infty$ in such a way that simultaneously $g_{2n+4} \rightarrow -i\infty$ through the balancing condition. This reduces equality (2.6) to the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{1}{\gamma^{(2)}(\pm u_j \pm u_k; \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell \pm u_j; \omega)}{\gamma^{(2)}(\pm 2u_j; \omega)} \frac{du_j}{2i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 2n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + g_s; \omega)}{\gamma^{(2)}(\sum_{k=1}^{2n+2} g_k; \omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

which is a hyperbolic analogue of the multiple Askey–Wilson integral considered by Gustafson [20, 21].

Using the parametrizations (2.10) and (2.11), in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2^{2n+1}\pi)^n n!} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} [(x_j \pm x_k)^2 + (m_j \pm m_k)^2] \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^n \left[(x_j^2 + m_j^2) \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+2} \Gamma(a_\ell \pm x_j, N_\ell \pm m_j) \right] dx_j \\ & = e^{-i\pi n(n+1)\nu} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 2n+2} \Gamma(a_\ell + a_s, N_\ell + N_s)}{\Gamma(\sum_{s=1}^{2n+2} a_s, \sum_{s=1}^{2n+2} N_s)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

where the chosen contours of integration are valid for $\text{Im}(a_\ell) < 0$. This formula was also obtained in [4] by a different method (see formula (2.3b) there).

Similar to the previous case, convergence of the sum of integrals in (5.8) imposes an additional constraint on the parameter values. If $z_j = \frac{1}{2}(m_j + ix_j) \rightarrow \infty$ for a fixed j , then the integrand modulus asymptotics $\propto |z_j|^{-\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+2} 2\text{Im}(a_\ell) - 6}$ leads to the restriction $\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+2} \text{Im}(a_\ell) > -2$.

6. TRANSFORMATION RULES

The higher order elliptic hypergeometric integrals having more parameters than the elliptic beta integrals do not admit exact evaluations. However, they admit highly nontrivial symmetry transformations the univariate prototype of which has been discovered in [34]. For a description of the general type I integrals on the root system C_n we take z_1, \dots, z_n lying on the unit circle \mathbb{T} and parameters $t_1, \dots, t_{2n+2m+4}, p, q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, satisfying restrictions $|p|, |q|, |t_j| < 1$ and the balancing condition $\prod_{j=1}^{2n+2m+4} t_j = (pq)^{m+1}$. Then the function of interest has the form

$$I_n^{(m)}(\underline{t}; C) = \kappa_n^C \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{1}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm 1} z_k^{\pm 1}; p, q)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+2m+4} \Gamma(t_\ell z_j^{\pm 1}; p, q)}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm 2}; p, q)} \frac{dz_j}{z_j}. \quad (6.1)$$

For $n = 1, m = 0$ this is the computable elliptic beta integral [33]. For $n = m = 1$ this is the V -function representing an elliptic analogue of the Euler–Gauss hypergeometric function [37]. In [27], Rains proved the following transformation formula:

$$I_n^{(m)}(t_1, \dots, t_{2n+2m+4}; C) = \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq 2n+2m+4} \Gamma(tr ts; p, q) I_m^{(n)}\left(\frac{\sqrt{pq}}{t_1}, \dots, \frac{\sqrt{pq}}{t_{2n+2m+4}}; C\right), \quad (6.2)$$

where one has on the right-hand side the same contours of integration \mathbb{T} provided $|\sqrt{pq}/t_j| < 1$. It represents a direct generalization of a particular $W(E_7)$ -group symmetry transformation for the V -function. Note that relation (6.2) connects integrals on roots systems of different rank.

One can reduce identity (6.2) to the hyperbolic level [26]:

$$H_n^{(m)}(\underline{g}) = \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq 2n+2m+4} \gamma^{(2)}(g_r + g_s; \omega_1, \omega_2) H_m^{(n)}(\underline{\lambda}), \quad \lambda_\ell = \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2} - g_\ell, \quad (6.3)$$

where we have the function

$$H_n^{(m)}(\underline{g}) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{1}{\gamma^{(2)}(\pm u_j \pm u_k; \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+2m+4} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell \pm u_j; \omega)}{\gamma^{(2)}(\pm 2u_j; \omega)} \frac{du_j}{2i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \quad (6.4)$$

with the balancing condition:

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{2m+2n+4} g_\ell = (m+1)(\omega_1 + \omega_2). \quad (6.5)$$

Applying again the parametrization (2.10) and (2.11) we take the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. Using the relation

$$\frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) - g_\ell = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(-N_\ell + \delta(-a_\ell - i)) + O(\delta^2), \quad \ell = 1, \dots, 2n + 2m + 4,$$

we reduce equality (6.2) to the relation (after dropping a common diverging factor)

$$R_n^{(m)}(\underline{a}, \underline{N}) = (-1)^{(n+m-1)(n+m-2)\nu} \prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 2n+2m+4} \Gamma(a_\ell + a_s, N_\ell + N_s) R_m^{(n)}(\hat{a}, \hat{N}), \quad (6.6)$$

where we have the following complex hypergeometric integral on the root system C_n

$$\begin{aligned} R_n^{(m)}(\underline{a}, \underline{N}) &= \frac{1}{(2^{2n+1}\pi)^{nn!}} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} [(x_j \pm x_k)^2 + (m_j \pm m_k)^2] \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^n (x_j^2 + m_j^2) \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+2m+4} \Gamma(a_\ell \pm x_j, N_\ell \pm m_j) dx_j \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

and $\hat{a}_\ell = -i - a_\ell$, $\hat{N}_\ell = -N_\ell$. The balancing condition (6.5) reduces to two constraints

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+2m+4} a_\ell = -2i(m+1), \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+2m+4} N_\ell = 0. \quad (6.8)$$

For $z_j = \frac{1}{2}(m_j + ix_j) \rightarrow \infty$ the integrands on both sides of (6.6) have the asymptotics of modulus $\propto |z_j|^{-6}$, which guarantees convergence of the integrals and their sum without additional restrictions.

We consider now symmetry transformations for some other multidimensional integrals. Define the following type II elliptic hypergeometric integral on the root system C_n

$$V(\underline{t}; t; p, q) = \kappa_n^C \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{\Gamma(tz_j^{\pm 1} z_k^{\pm 1}; p, q)}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm 1} z_k^{\pm 1}; p, q)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_{k=1}^8 \Gamma(t_k z_j^{\pm 1}; p, q)}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm 2}; p, q)} \frac{dz_j}{z_j}, \quad (6.9)$$

where $|t|, |t_j| < 1$ and $t^{2n-2} \prod_{j=1}^8 t_j = p^2 q^2$ (the balancing condition). For $n = 1$ this is the elliptic analogue of the Euler–Gauss ${}_2F_1$ hypergeometric function introduced in [34]. The higher dimensional extension (6.9) was introduced by Rains in [27] where the $W(E_7)$ group of symmetry transformations for it was established. As shown in [36], the function $V(t_1, \dots, t_8; t; p, q)$ emerges in the van Diejen quantum many-body system [10] under certain restrictions on the parameters (the balancing condition) as a normalization of a special eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian.

The key nontrivial $W(E_7)$ -group symmetry transformation can be written in the form

$$V(\underline{t}; t; p, q) = \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} \Gamma(t^l t_j t_k; p, q) \prod_{5 \leq j < k \leq 8} \Gamma(t^l t_j t_k; p, q) V(\underline{s}; t; p, q), \quad (6.10)$$

where $|t|, |t_j|, |s_j| < 1$ and

$$\begin{cases} s_j = vt_j, & j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ s_j = v^{-1}t_j, & j = 5, 6, 7, 8, \end{cases} \quad v = \sqrt{\frac{pqt^{1-n}}{t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4}} = \sqrt{\frac{t_5 t_6 t_7 t_8}{pqt^{1-n}}}.$$

In the limit (2.2) it reduces to the relation

$$\begin{aligned} H(\underline{g}; g; \omega) &= \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \left[\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} \gamma^{(2)}(lg + g_j + g_k; \omega) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \prod_{5 \leq j < k \leq 8} \gamma^{(2)}(lg + g_j + g_k; \omega) \right] H(\underline{h}; g; \omega), \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

where

$$H(\underline{g}; g; \omega) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{\gamma^{(2)}(g \pm u_j \pm u_k; \omega)}{\gamma^{(2)}(\pm u_j \pm u_k; \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_1^8 \gamma^{(2)}(g_k \pm u_j; \omega_1, \omega_2)}{\gamma^{(2)}(\pm 2u_j; \omega)} \frac{du_j}{2i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}},$$

with the balancing condition $(2n-2)g + \sum_{j=1}^8 g_j = 2Q$ and

$$h_j = g_j + \xi, \quad h_{j+4} = g_{j+4} - \xi, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad \xi = \frac{1}{2}(Q + (1-n)g - \sum_{j=1}^4 g_j). \quad (6.12)$$

Recall again the parametrization (2.10) and (2.11) and set additionally

$$g = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(L + \delta\gamma), \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \quad L \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (6.13)$$

In the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ the balancing condition converts to

$$(2n-2)L + \sum_{k=1}^8 N_k = 0, \quad (2n-2)\gamma + \sum_{k=1}^8 a_k = -4i, \quad (6.14)$$

and we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned} R(\gamma, L, \underline{a}, \underline{N}, \nu) &= e^{i\pi n(\sum_{i=1}^4 N_j)} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} \Gamma(l\gamma + a_j + a_k, lL + N_j + N_k) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{5 \leq j < k \leq 8} \Gamma(l\gamma + a_j + a_k, lL + N_j + N_k) R(\gamma, L, \underline{b}, \underline{M}, \mu), \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(\gamma, L, \underline{a}, \underline{N}, \nu) &= \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{u_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma \pm u_j \pm u_k, L \pm m_j \pm m_k)}{\Gamma(\pm u_j \pm u_k, \pm m_j \pm m_k)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^8 \Gamma(a_\ell \pm u_j, N_\ell \pm m_j)(u_j^2 + m_j^2) \prod_{j=1}^n du_j \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

and

$$b_j = a_j - i + X, \quad M_j = N_j + K, \quad b_{j+4} = a_{j+4} + i - X, \quad M_{j+4} = N_j - K, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

with K and X entering the parametrization of the ξ -variable in (6.12):

$$\frac{\xi}{i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}} = K - \delta(i - X), \quad X := \frac{1}{2}\left((1-n)\gamma - \sum_{j=1}^4 a_j\right), \quad K := \frac{1}{2}\left((1-n)L - \sum_{j=1}^4 N_j\right).$$

If K is an integer, then in (6.15) one has $\mu = \nu$. If K is a half-integer, then $\mu \neq \nu$. The contours of integration of integrals on both sides of equality (6.15) are real lines, which is a valid choice for $\text{Im}(a_\ell), \text{Im}(\gamma), \text{Im}(b_\ell) < 0$. In the limit $z_j = \frac{1}{2}(m_j + ix_j) \rightarrow \infty$ for a fixed j , we find the

asymptotics of the integrand modulus in (6.16) $\propto |z_j|^{-6}$ due to the balancing condition, which guarantees needed convergence.

General elliptic hypergeometric integrals of type I on the root system A_n are defined as

$$I_n^{(m)}(s_1, \dots, s_{n+m+2}; t_1, \dots, t_{n+m+2}; A) \\ = \kappa_n^A \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(z_j z_k^{-1}, z_j^{-1} z_k; p, q)} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{l=1}^{n+m+2} \Gamma(s_l z_j^{-1}, t_l z_j; p, q) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{dz_k}{z_k}, \quad (6.17)$$

where $|t_j|, |s_j| < 1$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} z_j = 1, \quad \prod_{l=1}^{n+m+2} s_l t_l = (pq)^{m+1},$$

and one sets $I_0^{(m)} = \prod_{l=1}^{m+2} \Gamma(s_l, t_l; p, q)$. Consider the symmetry transformation for $I_n^{(m)}$ -integrals found by Rains in [27]. We denote $T = \prod_{j=1}^{n+m+2} t_j$, $S = \prod_{j=1}^{n+m+2} s_j$, so that $ST = (pq)^{m+1}$, and restrict all $|t_k|, |s_k|, |T^{\frac{1}{m+1}}/t_k|, |S^{\frac{1}{m+1}}/s_k| < 1$. Then the following symmetry transformation is true:

$$I_n^{(m)}(t_1, \dots, t_{n+m+2}; s_1, \dots, s_{n+m+2}; A) = \prod_{j,k=1}^{n+m+2} \Gamma(t_j s_k; p, q) \\ \times I_m^{(n)}\left(\frac{T^{\frac{1}{m+1}}}{t_1}, \dots, \frac{T^{\frac{1}{m+1}}}{t_{n+m+2}}; \frac{S^{\frac{1}{m+1}}}{s_1}, \dots, \frac{S^{\frac{1}{m+1}}}{s_{n+m+2}}; A\right). \quad (6.18)$$

This relation generalizes a particular $W(E_7)$ symmetry transformation of the V -function to multi-variate integrals and connects integrals on root systems of different rank.

The hyperbolic analogue of integral (6.17)

$$H_n^{(m)}(\underline{g}, \underline{f}; A) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+m+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + u_j, f_\ell - u_j; \omega)}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm(u_j - u_k); \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{du_j}{i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}}, \quad (6.19)$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} u_j = 0$, $X = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+2} g_\ell$ and $Y = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+2} f_\ell$ with $X + Y = (m+1)(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$, satisfies the following reduction of identity (6.18) [26]:

$$H_n^{(m)}(\underline{g}, \underline{f}; A) = \prod_{j,k=1}^{n+m+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_j + f_k; \omega_1, \omega_2) H_m^{(n)}(\underline{c}, \underline{d}; A), \quad (6.20)$$

where

$$c_\ell = \frac{X}{m+1} - g_\ell, \quad d_\ell = \frac{Y}{m+1} - f_\ell, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n+m+2.$$

In order to reduce this identity to the complex hypergeometric level we parametrize the variables g_ℓ and f_ℓ in the same way as in (3.4). However, the integration variables on two sides are parametrized differently. On the left-hand side we use again (3.3), but for the right-hand side we fix

$$u_j = i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} \left(-\frac{W}{m+1} + m_j + \delta x_j \right), \quad x_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu, \quad \nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m+1, \quad (6.21)$$

where $W = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+2} N_\ell$. Then, in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain from (6.20) the relation

$$R_n^{(m)}(\underline{a}, \underline{N}, \underline{b}, \underline{M}, \nu) = e^{i\pi\varphi(\nu)} \prod_{j,k=1}^{n+m+2} \Gamma(a_j + b_k, N_j + M_k) \tilde{R}_m^{(n)}(\underline{a}, \underline{N}, \underline{b}, \underline{M}, \nu), \quad (6.22)$$

where on the left-hand side we have the function

$$R_n^{(m)}(\underline{a}, \underline{N}, \underline{b}, \underline{M}, \nu) = \frac{1}{(2^{n+3}\pi)^n (n+1)!} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+m+2} \left[\Gamma(a_\ell + x_j, N_\ell + m_j) \right. \\ \left. \times \Gamma(b_\ell - x_j, M_\ell - m_j) \right] \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} [(x_j - x_k)^2 + (m_j - m_k)^2] \prod_{j=1}^n dx_j \quad (6.23)$$

with $N_\ell, M_\ell \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu$ and the conditions $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} m_j = 0$, which assume that for even n one has $\nu = 0$. Additionally, we have the balancing conditions

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+2} (N_\ell + M_\ell) = 0, \quad C + D = -2i(m+1), \quad C = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+2} a_\ell, \quad D = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+2} b_\ell.$$

On the right-hand side we have the function

$$\tilde{R}_m^{(n)}(\underline{a}, \underline{N}, \underline{b}, \underline{M}, \nu) = \frac{1}{(2^{m+3}\pi)^m (m+1)!} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+m+2} \left[\Gamma(\tilde{a}_\ell + x_j, -N_\ell + m_j) \right. \\ \left. \times \Gamma(\tilde{b}_\ell - x_j, -M_\ell - m_j) \right] \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m+1} [(x_j - x_k)^2 + (m_j - m_k)^2] \prod_{j=1}^m dx_j \quad (6.24)$$

with the conditions $\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} x_j = 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} m_j = W$. Here we have

$$\tilde{a}_\ell = \frac{C}{m+1} - a_\ell, \quad \tilde{b}_\ell = \frac{D}{m+1} - b_\ell.$$

The factor $e^{i\pi\varphi(\nu)}$ present in (6.22) has the phases

$$\varphi(0) = (n+m+1)W, \quad \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4}(3m-n+2)(n+m+2) + W^2. \quad (6.25)$$

We remind that for even n we must have $\nu = 0$ and note that for $\nu = 1/2$ the phase $\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is an integer number for odd n and both even and odd m .

7. LIMITING CASES OF THE TRANSFORMATION RULES

We describe now two further reductions of the described symmetry transformations. First, we reduce (6.3) by taking the limit

$$g_{2n+2m+3} \rightarrow i\infty, \quad g_{2n+2m+4} = (m+1)Q - \sum_{k=1}^{2n+2m+3} g_k \rightarrow -i\infty. \quad (7.1)$$

In this way we obtain

$$\tilde{H}_n^{(m)}(\underline{g}) = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq 2n+2m+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_r + g_s; \omega_1, \omega_2)}{\gamma^{(2)}(\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2m+2} g_i - mQ)} \tilde{H}_m^{(n)}(\underline{\lambda}), \quad \lambda_\ell = \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2} - g_\ell, \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$\tilde{H}_n^{(m)}(\underline{g}) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{1}{\gamma^{(2)}(\pm u_j \pm u_k; \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+2m+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell \pm u_j; \omega)}{\gamma^{(2)}(\pm 2u_j; \omega)} \frac{du_j}{2i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}}. \quad (7.3)$$

Inserting the parametrization (2.10) and (2.11) in (7.2), in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^{(2n+1)n} \pi^n n!} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} [(x_j \pm x_k)^2 + (m_j \pm m_k)^2] \prod_{j=1}^n (x_j^2 + m_j^2) \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+2m+2} \Gamma(a_\ell \pm x_j, N_\ell \pm m_j) dx_j \\ & = \frac{e^{i\pi(n+m)(n+m+1)\nu}}{2^{(2m+1)m} \pi^m m!} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 2n+2m+2} \Gamma(a_j + a_k, N_j + N_k)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n+2m+2} a_j + 2mi, \sum_{j=1}^{2n+2m+2} N_j\right)} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} [(x_j \pm x_k)^2 + (m_j \pm m_k)^2] \prod_{j=1}^m [(x_j^2 + m_j^2) \\ & \quad \times \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+2m+2} \Gamma(-i - a_\ell \pm x_j, -N_\ell \pm m_j)] dx_j. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

Consider convergence conditions for the sum of integrals on the left-hand side of this equality. For $z_j = \frac{1}{2}(m_j + ix_j) \rightarrow \infty$ we find the integrand modulus asymptotics $\propto |z_j|^{-\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+2m+2} 2\operatorname{Im}(a_\ell) - 4m - 6}$. Therefore convergence is guaranteed only under the additional condition $\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+2m+2} \operatorname{Im}(a_\ell) > -2m - 2$. For the sum of integrals on the right-hand side we obtain a different constraint following from the replacements $a_\ell \rightarrow -i - a_\ell$ and permutation of n and m , namely, $\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+2m+2} \operatorname{Im}(a_\ell) < -2m$.

Formula (7.4) was conjectured by Derkachov and Manashov in [4] (see formula (6.7) there). Because of the uniformity of our limits, our derivation rigorously proves this conjecture under the described conditions of the convergence of integrals.

Second, we reduce further the identity (6.3). Denote $u_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, and $u'_j, j = 1, \dots, m$, integration variables in the integrals $H_n^{(m)}(\underline{g})$ and $H_m^{(n)}(\underline{\lambda})$, respectively. Now make the replacements $u_j \rightarrow u_j - L, u'_j \rightarrow u'_j - L$, and $g_l \rightarrow g_l + L, g_{l+n+m+2} = f_l - L, l = 1, \dots, n+m+2$, after which we take the limit $L \rightarrow -i\infty$. Then the balancing condition (6.5) takes the form

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+2} (g_\ell + f_\ell) = (m+1)Q. \quad (7.5)$$

As a result, we obtain

$$\hat{H}_n^{(m)}(\underline{h}, \underline{f}) = \prod_{r,s=1}^{n+m+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_r + f_s; \omega_1, \omega_2) \hat{H}_m^{(n)}(\underline{\gamma}, \underline{\lambda}), \quad (7.6)$$

where $\lambda_\ell = \frac{Q}{2} - g_\ell, \gamma_\ell = \frac{Q}{2} - f_\ell$, and

$$\hat{H}_n^{(m)}(\underline{g}, \underline{f}) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+m+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + u_j, f_\ell - u_j; \omega)}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm(u_j - u_k); \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{du_j}{i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}}. \quad (7.7)$$

Substituting in (7.6) $f_{n+m+2} = (m+1)Q - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+1} (g_\ell + f_\ell) - g_{n+m+2}$ and taking the limit $g_{n+m+2} \rightarrow i\infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \exp \left[\frac{i\pi}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[mQ - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+1} (g_\ell + f_\ell) \right] \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \right] \\
& \quad \times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+m+1} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + u_j, f_\ell - u_j; \omega)}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm(u_j - u_k); \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{du_j}{i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} \\
& = \exp \left[\frac{i\pi}{2\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[mQ \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+1} (f_\ell - g_\ell) \right) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n+m+1} (g_r g_s - f_r f_s) \right] \right] \\
& \quad \times \frac{\prod_{r,s=1}^{n+m+1} \gamma^{(2)}(g_r + f_s; \omega_1, \omega_2)}{\gamma^{(2)}(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+1} (g_\ell + f_\ell) - mQ; \omega_1, \omega_2)} \\
& \quad \times \frac{1}{m!} \int_{u_j \in i\mathbb{R}} \exp \left[\frac{i\pi}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \left[-(m+1)Q + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+1} (g_\ell + f_\ell) \right] \sum_{j=1}^m u_j \right] \\
& \quad \times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+m+1} \gamma^{(2)}(\lambda_\ell - u_j, \gamma_\ell + u_j; \omega)}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm(u_j - u_k); \omega)} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{du_j}{i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}}. \tag{7.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying to this relation the parametrization (3.3) and (3.4), in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)n} \pi^n n!} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi(n+m+1) \sum_{j=1}^n m_j} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} [(x_j - x_k)^2 + (m_j - m_k)^2] \\
& \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+m+1} \Gamma(a_\ell + x_j, N_\ell + m_j) \Gamma(b_\ell - x_j, M_\ell - m_j) \prod_{j=1}^n dx_j \\
& = e^{i\pi(n-m)(n+m+1)\nu} \frac{e^{i\pi m \sum_{l=1}^{n+m+1} (N_l - M_l)}}{2^{(m+1)m} \pi^m m!} \frac{\prod_{\ell,s=1}^{n+m+1} \Gamma(a_\ell + b_s, N_\ell + M_s)}{\Gamma(\sum_{s=1}^{n+m+1} (a_s + b_s) + 2im, \sum_{s=1}^{n+m+1} (N_s + M_s))} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} e^{i\pi(n+m+1) \sum_{j=1}^m m_j} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} [(x_j - x_k)^2 + (m_j - m_k)^2] \\
& \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+m+1} \Gamma(-i - a_\ell - x_j, -N_\ell - m_j) \Gamma(-i - b_\ell + x_j, -M_\ell + m_j) \prod_{j=1}^m dx_j. \tag{7.9}
\end{aligned}$$

The parameter ν becomes redundant: for $\nu = 1/2$ this formula is equivalent to the $\nu = 0$ case, which can be seen after the shifts $m_j \rightarrow m_j + 1/2$, $N_k \rightarrow N_k - 1/2$, and $M_k \rightarrow M_k + 1/2$. Examining the convergence of the sum of integrals in this equality in the way similar to the previous case, we find that it is guaranteed under the similar constraints on the parameters

$$-2m - 2 < \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+m+1} \text{Im}(a_\ell + b_\ell) < -2m.$$

Formula (7.9) was conjectured by Derkachov and Manashov [4] (see formula (6.6) there) and our degeneration limit (when the integrand has a uniform asymptotics on compacta with exponentially small corrections) proves it under the taken constraints.

8. RATIONAL HYPERGEOMETRIC BETA INTEGRALS

Now we would like to describe a different limit for some of the above integrals from the hyperbolic level to the rational one. Let us set

$$b = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}} = 1 + i\delta, \quad \delta \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (8.1)$$

which assumes that $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 2\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2} + O(\delta^2)$. In the conformal field theory framework, the limit $b \rightarrow 1$ corresponds to the central charge $c \rightarrow 25$. Again, for a special choice of the argument, the $\gamma^{(2)}$ -function shows a singular behaviour determined in [29]

$$\gamma^{(2)}(\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(n + y\delta); \omega_1, \omega_2) \underset{\delta \rightarrow 0^+}{=} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}(n-1)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{n + iy}{2}\right)_{n-1}, \quad (8.2)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $y \in \mathbb{C}$, $(a)_n$ is the standard Pochhammer symbol: $(a)_0 = 1$ and

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1), & \text{for } n > 0, \\ \frac{1}{(a-1)(a-2)\cdots(a+n)}, & \text{for } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

In order to apply this limit to the hyperbolic integral (2.6), we parametrize corresponding integration variables u_j and the constants g_j in the following way

$$u_j = \sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(m_j + ix_j\delta), \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad g_\ell = \sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(N_\ell + ia_\ell\delta), \quad \ell = 1, \dots, 2n+4,$$

where $x_j, a_\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m_j, N_\ell \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu$, $\nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}$. The balancing condition (2.7) in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ yields the constraints

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} a_\ell = 0, \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} N_\ell = 2. \quad (8.3)$$

Using the asymptotics (8.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm 2u_j) &\rightarrow \frac{1}{(4\pi\delta)^2} \frac{1}{x_j^2 - m_j^2}, \\ \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm u_j \pm u_k) &\rightarrow \frac{1}{(4\pi\delta)^{2n(n-1)}} \frac{2^{2n(n-1)}}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} [(x_j \pm x_k)^2 - (m_j \pm m_k)^2]}, \\ \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell \pm u_j; \omega) &\rightarrow \frac{e^{2\pi i n \nu}}{(4\pi\delta)^{4n(n+1)}} \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} \left(1 + \frac{a_\ell - N_\ell \pm (x_j - m_j)}{2}\right)_{N_\ell \pm m_j - 1}, \\ \prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 2n+4} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + g_s; \omega) &\rightarrow i^n (-1)^{(n+1)(n+2)\nu+n+1} (4\pi\delta)^{-n(2n+3)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 2n+4} \left(1 + \frac{a_\ell + a_s - N_\ell - N_s}{2}\right)_{N_\ell + N_s - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these expressions in (2.6) and dropping some common diverging multiplier, we obtain the following evaluation formula for a type I rational hypergeometric integral on the root system

C_n :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(2^{2n+1}\pi i)^n n!} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} [(x_j \pm x_k)^2 - (m_j \pm m_k)^2] \prod_{j=1}^n (x_j^2 - m_j^2) \\
& \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+4} \left(1 + \frac{a_\ell - N_\ell \pm (x_j - m_j)}{2} \right)_{N_\ell \pm m_j - 1} dx_j \\
& = (-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)\nu+n+1} \prod_{1 \leq \ell < s \leq 2n+4} \left(1 + \frac{a_\ell + a_s - N_\ell - N_s}{2} \right)_{N_\ell + N_s - 1}. \tag{8.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Tracing locations of the original integration contours in (2.6), one can see that the contours of integration in (8.4) in this limit should separate poles associated with the Pochhammer symbols with indices $N_\ell + m_j - 1$ and $N_\ell - m_j - 1$ from each other. Clearly, for sufficiently large values of $|m_j|$ all integrand singularities lie only from one side of the integration contour for each integration variable, which means that the contribution of corresponding integrals equals to zero. This means that for any choice of variables a_ℓ and N_ℓ there are only finitely many non-zero terms in the series and all of them are equal to sums of finitely many pole residues. In turn, this means that on the left hand-side of equality (8.4) one has a rational function of free parameters.

For demonstrating the nature of the derived identity, let us give two examples. First, we fix

$$\nu = 0, \quad N_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 2, \quad N_{2n+3} = N_{2n+4} = 1,$$

and assume that $\text{Im}(a_j) < 0$, $j = 1, \dots, 2n + 2$. Then, only the terms with $m_j = 0$ can give nonzero contributions to the bilateral sums over m_j . Applying the residue calculus to the emerging multiple integral of a rational function of x_j , we come to the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(\pi i)^n n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (x_j^2 - x_k^2)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^2 dx_j}{\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+2} (a_\ell^2 - x_j^2)} \tag{8.5} \\
& = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_n \leq 2n+2} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n a_{\ell_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}}^{2n+2} (a_\ell^2 - a_{\ell_j}^2)} = \frac{(-1)^n \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+2} a_\ell}{\prod_{1 \leq \ell < k \leq 2n+2} (a_\ell + a_k)}
\end{aligned}$$

after dropping the common multiplier 2^{2n^2+3n} . For $n = 1$ this identity was derived in [30]. The second expression is obtained by taking sequentially residues at the points $x_j = a_{\ell_k}$ after ordering the integration contours in one particular way. This relation describes the leading $a_j \rightarrow 0$ asymptotics of the Gustafson integral presented in Theorem 9.3 of [19].

In the second example, we fix

$$\nu = 0, \quad N_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 3, \quad N_{2n+4} = 2,$$

and assume that $\text{Im}(a_j) < 0$, $j = 1, \dots, 2n + 3$. Again, only the terms with $m_j = 0$ can contribute to the sums over m_j . Applying the residue calculus to the remaining multiple integral of a rational function of x_j , after dropping the common multiplier 2^{2n^2+3n} in the same way as in the previous

example, we come to the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(\pi i)^n n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (x_j^2 - x_k^2)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^2 (a_{2n+4}^2 - x_j^2) dx_j}{\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+3} (a_\ell^2 - x_j^2)} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_n \leq 2n+3} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n a_{\ell_j} (A^2 - a_{\ell_j}^2)}{\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}}^{2n+3} (a_\ell^2 - a_{\ell_j}^2)} = \frac{(-1)^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n+3} (A - a_\ell)}{\prod_{1 \leq \ell < k \leq 2n+3} (a_\ell + a_k)}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

where $A = \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+3} a_\ell$. For $n = 1$ this identity was also derived in [30]. This relation describes the leading $a_j \rightarrow 0$ asymptotics of another Gustafson integral from [21], more complicated than in the previous case. Note that formula (8.6) reduces to (8.5) in the limit $a_{2n+3} \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we turn to the integral (3.2). For $j = 1, \dots, n+1$ and $\ell = 1, \dots, 2n+4$ we parametrize

$$u_j = \sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} (m_j + i x_j \delta), \quad g_\ell = \sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} (N_\ell + i a_\ell \delta), \quad f_\ell = \sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} (M_\ell + i b_\ell \delta),$$

and take the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. Here we have the variables $N_\ell, M_\ell, m_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu$, $\nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}$, so that $N_\ell \pm m_j, M_\ell \pm m_j$ and $N_\ell + M_s, N_\ell + N_s, M_\ell + M_s$ take integer values. The balancing condition $G + F = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ yields the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} A + B = 0, \quad A &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} a_\ell, \quad B = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} b_\ell, \\ N + M = 2, \quad N &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} N_\ell, \quad M = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} M_\ell. \end{aligned} \quad (8.7)$$

Additionally, the condition $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} u_j = 0$ results in two more constraints $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j = 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} m_j = 0$. The latter equality implies that for even n the discrete variables m_j can take only integer values, i.e. the value $\nu = 1/2$ is forbidden. For odd n , both values $\nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ are allowed.

Using the asymptotics (8.2), in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ we obtain

$$\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} \gamma^{(2)}(\pm(u_j - u_k)) \rightarrow \frac{1}{(4\pi\delta)^{n(n+1)}} \frac{2^{n(n+1)}}{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} [(x_j - x_k)^2 - (m_j - m_k)^2]}, \quad (8.8)$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + u_j, f_\ell - u_j; \omega) \rightarrow \frac{e^{-\pi i(n+1)(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} (N_\ell^2 + M_\ell^2) + (n+2)\nu^2 + n)}}{(4\pi\delta)^{2(n+1)^2}} \quad (8.9)$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \left(1 + \frac{a_\ell + x_j - (N_\ell + m_j)}{2} \right)_{N_\ell + m_j - 1} \left(1 + \frac{b_\ell - x_j - (M_\ell - m_j)}{2} \right)_{M_\ell - m_j - 1},$$

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(G - g_\ell, F - f_\ell; \omega) \prod_{\ell, s=1}^{n+2} \gamma^{(2)}(g_\ell + f_s; \omega) \rightarrow \quad (8.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}(2N^2(n-1) + n^2 + 2n + (n+3) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} (N_\ell^2 + M_\ell^2))}}{(4\pi\delta)^{n^2 + 2n + 2}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \left(1 + \frac{A - a_\ell - (N - N_\ell)}{2} \right)_{N - N_\ell - 1} \\ & \times \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \left(1 + \frac{B - b_\ell - (M - M_\ell)}{2} \right)_{M - M_\ell - 1} \prod_{\ell, s=1}^{n+2} \left(1 + \frac{a_\ell + b_s - (N_\ell + M_s)}{2} \right)_{N_\ell + M_s - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (8.8), (8.9), (8.10) in equality (3.2) and dropping some common diverging multiplier, we obtain the following evaluation formula for a type I rational hypergeometric integral on the root system A_n :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(2^{n+3}\pi i)^n (n+1)!} \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z} + \nu} \int_{x_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} \left[(x_j - x_k)^2 - (m_j - m_k)^2 \right] \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \left(1 + \frac{a_\ell + x_j - N_\ell - m_j}{2} \right)_{N_\ell + m_j - 1} \left(1 + \frac{b_\ell - x_j - M_\ell + m_j}{2} \right)_{M_\ell - m_j - 1} \prod_{j=1}^n dx_j \\
& = e^{-i\pi(n-1)(\frac{1}{2}n + N^2 - (n+2)\nu^2)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \left(1 + \frac{A - a_\ell - N + N_\ell}{2} \right)_{N - N_\ell - 1} \\
& \times \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+2} \left(1 + \frac{B - b_\ell - M + M_\ell}{2} \right)_{M - M_\ell - 1} \prod_{\ell, s=1}^{n+2} \left(1 + \frac{a_\ell + b_s - N_\ell - M_s}{2} \right)_{N_\ell + M_s - 1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

In the same way as in the limit $b \rightarrow i$, here we have the restrictions $\text{Im}(a_\ell), \text{Im}(b_\ell) < 0$. The contours of integration (real lines) pass below the poles stemming from the Pochhammer symbols $(\dots)_{N_\ell + m_j - 1}$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $(\dots)_{M_\ell - m_{n+1} - 1}$, and above the poles coming from $(\dots)_{M_\ell - m_j - 1}$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $(\dots)_{N_\ell + m_{n+1} - 1}$.

Take $m_j \rightarrow +\infty$ (or $-\infty$) for a fixed $j \neq n+1$, and assume that $m_{n+1} \rightarrow -\infty$ (or $+\infty$). Then, evidently, for sufficiently large m_j the upper (or lower) half-plane for x_j does not contain poles, i.e. the integral over x_j vanishes. Suppose now that $m_j, m_{n+1} \rightarrow +\infty$. This means that $m_i \rightarrow -\infty$ for some $i, n \geq i \neq j$. But then the lower half-plane for x_i does not contain poles for sufficiently large $|m_i|$ and the integral over x_i vanishes. The third option is that m_i compensates m_j and m_{n+1} is finite. In this case the poles in the upper x_j half-plane can emerge only from the Pochhammer symbol $(\dots)_{M_\ell - m_{n+1} - 1}$, and the poles in the lower x_i half-plane — from $(\dots)_{N_\ell + m_{n+1} - 1}$. Taking residues of such poles in x_j -variable removes poles in the lower half-plane for the x_i -variable and the corresponding integral vanishes. So, we have a finite sum of integrals of rational functions representing a particular rational function of the external parameters.

Similar to the C_n -root system integrals, we give three simple examples of the realization of (8.11), all having $\nu = 0$. In the first case set all $M_k = 0$ and $N_\ell = 0$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, and $N_{n+1} = N_{n+2} = 1$. It is not difficult to see that for $m_j < 0$, $m_{n+1} > 0$ there are no poles in the upper x_j -plane, and for $m_j, m_{n+1} < 0$ there are no poles in the upper x_i -plane for each $m_i > 0$ (there is at least one such m_i to compensate m_j). The same picture holds for $m_{n+1} = 0$, unless all $m_j = 0$. Therefore only

when all $m_j = 0$ one gets a nonzero term in the sum which yields the following integral identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n (n+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} (x_j - x_k)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n dx_j}{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} [\prod_{\ell=1}^n (a_\ell + x_j) \prod_{r=1}^{n+2} (b_r - x_j)]} \\
 &= \sum_{s=0}^n \frac{s!(n-s)!}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r_1 < \dots < r_s \leq n+2 \\ 1 \leq \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_{n-s} \leq n}} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{s(s-1)}{2} + \frac{(n-s)(n-s-1)}{2}}}{\prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq r_1, \dots, r_s}}^{n+2} (b_r - b_{r_j}) \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-s}}}^n (a_\ell - a_{\ell_k})} \\
 & \quad \times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^s (b_{r_j} + B_s - A_s) \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} (a_{\ell_k} + A_s - B_s)}{\prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq r_1, \dots, r_s}}^{n+2} (b_r + B_s - A_s) \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-s}}}^n (a_\ell + A_s - B_s)} \\
 & \quad \times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} (b_{r_j} + a_{\ell_k})^2}{\prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{\ell=1}^n (b_{r_j} + a_\ell) \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} \prod_{r=1}^{n+2} (b_r + a_{\ell_k})} \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \prod_{\ell=1}^n (B + a_\ell)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n+2} (B - b_k) \prod_{\ell=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} (a_\ell + b_k)} \tag{8.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

after dropping the common multiplier 2^{n^2+2n+2} . Here we have

$$B = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+2} b_\ell, \quad A_s := \sum_{k=1}^{n-s} a_{\ell_k}, \quad B_s := \sum_{j=1}^s b_{r_j}.$$

Next example uses the values $N_\ell = M_\ell = 0$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n+1$, and $N_{n+2} = M_{n+2} = 1$. Again, only the term with the summation indices $m_j = 0$ gives a contribution yielding the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n (n+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} (x_j - x_k)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n dx_j}{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} [\prod_{\ell=1}^{n+1} (a_\ell + x_j) \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (b_k - x_j)]} \\
 &= \sum_{s=0}^n \frac{s!(n-s)!}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r_1 < \dots < r_s \leq n+1 \\ 1 \leq \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_{n-s} \leq n+1}} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{s(s-1)}{2} + \frac{(n-s)(n-s-1)}{2}}}{\prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq r_1, \dots, r_s}}^{n+1} (b_r - b_{r_j}) \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-s}}}^{n+1} (a_\ell - a_{\ell_k})} \\
 & \quad \times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^s (b_{r_j} + B_s - A_s) \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} (a_{\ell_k} + A_s - B_s)}{\prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq r_1, \dots, r_s}}^{n+1} (b_r + B_s - A_s) \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-s}}}^{n+1} (a_\ell + A_s - B_s)} \\
 & \quad \times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} (b_{r_j} + a_{\ell_k})^2}{\prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+1} (b_{r_j} + a_\ell) \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} \prod_{r=1}^{n+1} (b_r + a_{\ell_k})} \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} (a_\ell + b_\ell)}{(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} a_\ell) (\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} b_k) \prod_{\ell, k=1}^{n+1} (a_\ell + b_k)} \tag{8.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

after dropping the common multiplier 2^{n^2+2n+2} . For $n = 1$ both (8.12) and (8.13) coincide with the identity (8.5).

Finally, for the choice $N_\ell = M_\ell = 0, \ell = 1, \dots, n+1$, and $N_{\ell+2} = 2, M_{n+2} = 0$ we find the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n (n+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n+1} (x_j - x_k)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} (-a_{n+2} - x_j) \prod_{j=1}^n dx_j}{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} [\prod_{\ell=1}^{n+1} (a_\ell + x_j) \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} (b_k - x_j)]} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^n \frac{s!(n-s)!}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r_1 < \dots < r_s \leq n+2 \\ 1 \leq \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_{n-s} \leq n+1}} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{s(s-1)}{2} + \frac{(n-s)(n-s-1)}{2}}}{\prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{r=1}^{n+2} \substack{r \neq r_1, \dots, r_s} (b_r - b_{r_j}) \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-s}}}^{n+1} (a_\ell - a_{\ell_k})} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(B + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} a_\ell + B_s - A_s) \prod_{j=1}^s (b_{r_j} + B_s - A_s) \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} (a_{\ell_k} + A_s - B_s)}{\prod_{r=1}^{n+2} \substack{r \neq r_1, \dots, r_s} (b_r + B_s - A_s) \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-s}}}^{n+1} (a_\ell + A_s - B_s)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} (b_{r_j} + a_{\ell_k})^2 \prod_{j=1}^s (B + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} a_\ell - b_{r_j}) \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} (B + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} a_\ell + a_{\ell_k})}{\prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+1} (b_{r_j} + a_\ell) \prod_{k=1}^{n-s} \prod_{r=1}^{n+2} (b_r + a_{\ell_k})} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+1} (a_\ell + B) \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i + B - b_k)}{(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} a_\ell) \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} (B - b_k) \prod_{\ell=1}^{n+1} \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} (a_\ell + b_k)} \tag{8.14}
\end{aligned}$$

after dropping the common multiplier 2^{n^2+2n+2} . For $n = 1$ this identity coincides with (8.6), it reduces to (8.12) in the limit $a_{n+1} \rightarrow \infty$ and to (8.13) in the limit $b_{n+2} \rightarrow \infty$.

In a similar way, one can apply the described special $b \rightarrow 1$ limit to all other hyperbolic hypergeometric identities described in the present paper, as well as to those following from a large number of conjectural relations for elliptic hypergeometric integrals (superconformal indices of four-dimensional quantum field theory models) described in [38]. This would lead to rational hypergeometric functions on root systems with nontrivial symmetry properties. In the present paper we have chosen only the simplest examples of such functions in order to illustrate the structure of emerging objects and identities.

9. CONCLUSION

In the present paper we have systematically investigated multivariate complex hypergeometric functions on root systems. By taking appropriate limits in the most popular elliptic hypergeometric integrals on root systems A_n and C_n , we arrived at bilateral infinite sums of multiple integrals of the Mellin–Barnes type. As to the identities for integrals over the complex planes generalizing the complex beta-integral (1.8), they can be obtained by applying the quasiclassical limit to obtained relations, as demonstrated in [4] on some particular examples. It is necessary to investigate such a limit for all obtained identities with a view on possible applications to two-dimensional conformal field theory. We described also two multiple rational hypergeometric beta integrals on the root systems A_n and C_n admitting exact evaluations and gave a number of examples of corresponding rational function identities.

Special functions of hypergeometric type are known to be related to the representation theory of Lie algebras or groups and their deformations. Considerations of the complex hypergeometric functions are lying far behind the forefront of investigations in this direction. Basically, only $3j$ - and $6j$ -symbols for the group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ have been related to such hypergeometric functions [9, 22, 24]. For the most complicated identities, there emerges the additional discrete parameter $\nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}$

whose group-theoretical meaning is not clear at the moment. In particular, it is not clear also what kind of algebraic structures are hidden behind the $b \rightarrow 1$ limiting relations for the hyperbolic hypergeometric identities described in the previous section.

Elliptic hypergeometric integrals unify all special functions of hypergeometric type, i.e. they are universal special functions. Their most beautiful examples and identities describe superconformal indices of four-dimensional supersymmetric field theories and corresponding Seiberg dualities [14, 38]. Degeneration of these functions is related to dimensional reductions of the corresponding field theories. So, the hyperbolic hypergeometric functions are related to supersymmetric partition functions of three-dimensional field theories. Respectively, complex hypergeometric functions describe some characteristics of two-dimensional theories and, again, as far as the authors know, the physical meaning of the additional free variable $\nu = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ has not been understood so far. Therefore we expect some new applications of our results in mathematical physics and hope to work out some of them in the future.

APPENDIX A. THE MELLIN-BARNES FORM OF A COMPLEX BETA INTEGRAL

Consider the complex binomial theorem, which is very little discussed in the literature. It has the following form in the Mellin-Barnes representation

$$\frac{1}{[x+y]^\alpha} = \frac{1}{4\pi\Gamma(\alpha|\alpha')} \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_L d\nu \frac{\Gamma(s|s')\Gamma(\alpha-s|\alpha'-s')}{[x]^{\alpha-s}[y]^s}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $s = \frac{1}{2}(N + i\nu)$, $s - s' = N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(m + ia)$, $\alpha - \alpha' = m \in \mathbb{Z}$. From the integrand factor $\Gamma(s|s') = \Gamma(s)/\Gamma(1-s')$ one has formal poles at $s = -n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. However, for integer s , the variable s' is also an integer and the same factor has formal zeros if $s' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. These zeros should be excluded, since they would cancel the poles, which is reached by imposing the additional constraint $s' = -n'$, $n' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. As a result, the true pole positions are $\nu = -i(s + s') = i(n + n')$, which are simple for fixed $N = s - s' = n' - n$ and go upwards on the complex plane. Analogously, taking the factor $\Gamma(\alpha - s|\alpha' - s')$ and imposing the constraints $\alpha - s = -n$, $\alpha' - s' = -n'$, one finds the poles in the lower half-plane at $\nu = \alpha - i(n + n')$ for a fixed $N = m + n - n'$. The integration contour L separates these two sets of poles and asymptotically lies in any bounded horizontal stripe $|\text{Im}(\nu)| < \text{const}$. Then the Stirling formula shows that the integrals over ν converge for $\text{Im}(a) > -1$. If $-1 < \text{Im}(a) < 0$, then L can be any straight line lying in the stripe $\text{Im}(a) < \text{Im}(\nu) < 0$. In this case, one can shift $s \rightarrow s + h$ with $\text{Im}(a) < \text{Im}(h + h') < 0$ and fix $L = \mathbb{R}$, which will be used below.

To prove formula (A.1), it is necessary to close the integration contour in the upper half-plane and replace the sum of integrals by the double sum over n and n' of simple pole residues [25]. Then one can see that these sums factorize into the product of two ${}_1F_0$ -series converging for $|y/x| < 1$ and yield the left-hand side expression. After closing the integration contour in the lower half-plane, the double sum of residues converges for $|x/y| < 1$. Other values of x and y , $x + y \neq 0$, are reached by the analytical continuation.

Relation (A.1) plays an important role in passing from the complex plane integrals to their Mellin-Barnes form given by the infinite bilateral sums of contour integrals. For example, replace $z_1 - w$ in (1.8) by $x + y$, with $x = z_1 - z_3$ and $y = z_3 - w$, and apply formula (A.1) with α replaced

by $1 - \alpha$. As a result the integral over w can be computed with the help of the initial identity (1.7). After simplifications, formula (1.8) gets reduced to the relation (A.1) with the replacements $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$, $x \rightarrow 1$, $y \rightarrow (z_2 - z_2)/(z_1 - z_3)$, respectively. This gives an alternative proof of the star-triangle relation from (1.7) without application of the linear fractional transformation. Similar mechanism works for passing from the triple complex plane integral describing $6j$ -symbols for the principal series representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group [9, 22] to a single infinite sum of univariate Mellin-Barnes type integrals with a bigger number of complex gamma functions in the integrand than in (A.1).

Take relation (1.8) and expand all three z -dependent factors on the right-hand side using (A.1) with s replaced $s + h$ as mentioned above, which yields

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3} \sum_{N_j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\nu_j \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^3 d\nu_j (-1)^{s_j - s'_j + h - h'} \tilde{\Gamma}(s_j + h, g_j - h - s_j)}{[z_3]^{g_1 - s_1 + s_2} [z_2]^{g_3 - s_3 + s_1} [z_1]^{g_2 - s_2 + s_3}},$$

where we denoted $\alpha = g_1, \beta = g_2, \gamma = g_3$ and assumed that $-1 < \text{Im}(g_j + g'_j) < \text{Im}(h + h') < 0$.

Multiply now both sides of (1.8) by $[z_1]^{g_2 + \rho_1 - 1} [z_2]^{g_3 + \rho_2 - 1} [z_3]^{g_1 + \rho_3 - 1}$, where $\rho_j = (k_j + id_j)/2$, $\rho_j - \rho'_j = k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $d_j \in \mathbb{R}$, integrate over all three variables z_1, z_2, z_3 using relation (1.7) and apply for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and complex $z := re^{i\varphi}$ the standard Fourier transformations relation

$$\int [z]^{\alpha-1} d(\text{Re } z) d(\text{Im } z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{i\varphi m} r^{ia-2} d\varphi r dr = (2\pi)^2 \delta_{m0} \delta(a) =: (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\alpha),$$

where δ_{m0} and $\delta(a)$ are the discrete and continuous delta functions.

As a result, on the left-hand side one obtains

$$\pi^2 \tilde{\Gamma}(g_1, g_2 + \rho_1, g_3 - \rho_1, g_2, g_3 + \rho_2, g_1 - \rho_2, g_3, g_1 + \rho_3, g_2 - \rho_3) I(\underline{\rho}),$$

where

$$I(\underline{\rho}) = \int [w]^{\sum_{j=1}^3 \rho_j - 1} d^2 w = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \rho_j\right).$$

On the right-hand side this procedure yields

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3} \sum_{N_j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\nu_j \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{j=1}^3 d\nu_j (-1)^{s_j - s'_j + h - h'} \tilde{\Gamma}(s_j + h, g_j - h - s_j) \Delta(\underline{s}),$$

where

$$\Delta(\underline{s}) = (2\pi)^6 \delta^{(2)}(\rho_1 + s_2 - s_3) \delta^{(2)}(\rho_2 + s_3 - s_1) \delta^{(2)}(\rho_3 + s_1 - s_2).$$

Compute sums and integrals over N_2, N_3, ν_2, ν_3 using the delta functions. Then, after denoting $s_1 = s$, the right-hand side expression takes the form

$$\pi^3 \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\nu \prod_{j=1}^3 \tilde{\Gamma}(B_j + s, A_j - s) (-1)^{s - s' + h - h' + \rho_1 - \rho'_1} \delta^{(2)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \rho_j\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= h, & B_2 &= \rho_3 + h, & B_3 &= \rho_1 + \rho_3 + h, \\ A_1 &= g_1 - h, & A_2 &= g_2 - \rho_3 - h, & A_3 &= g_3 - \rho_1 - \rho_3 - h. \end{aligned}$$

Then the left-hand side expression becomes

$$4\pi^4 \prod_{j,k=1}^3 \Gamma(A_j + B_k | A'_j + B'_k) \delta^{(2)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \rho_j\right).$$

Equating the coefficients in front of the delta functions on both sides under the constraint $\sum_{j=1}^3 \rho_j = 0$, one comes [5] to the required relation (1.9) after setting $A_j = \frac{1}{2}(m_j + ia_j)$, $A_j - A'_j = m_j$, $B_j = \frac{1}{2}(n_j + ib_j)$, $B_j - B'_j = n_j$. The sign factors in the integrands are removed using the relation $\Gamma(x, -n) = (-1)^n \Gamma(x, n)$. It can be checked that the balancing condition (1.10) is also satisfied. The initial assumption that the parameters $\rho_j + \rho'_j$ are purely imaginary is removed by the analytical continuation. Finally, we note that it is possible to go from the Mellin-Barnes form of the star-triangle relation (1.9) back to the integral over complex plane identity (1.8) in the quasiclassical limit [4].

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank S. E. Derkachov and A. N. Manashov for valuable discussions and useful comments, as well as the referees for many constructive remarks and helpful suggestions. This study has been partially funded by the Russian Science Foundation (grant 24-21-00466).

REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Encyclopedia of Math. Appl. **71**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] K. Aomoto, *The complex Selberg integral*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **38** (1987), 385–399.
- [3] V. V. Bazhanov, V. V. Mangazeev, and S. M. Sergeev, *Exact solution of the Faddeev-Volkov model*, Phys. Lett. A **372** (2008), 1547–1550.
- [4] S. E. Derkachov and A. N. Manashov, *On complex Gamma function integrals*, SIGMA **16** (2020), 003.
- [5] S. E. Derkachov, A. N. Manashov, and P. A. Valinevich, *Gustafson integrals for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ spin magnet*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **50** (2017), 294007.
- [6] S. E. Derkachov, A. N. Manashov, and P. A. Valinevich, *$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Gustafson integrals*, SIGMA **14** (2018), 030.
- [7] S. E. Derkachov, G. A. Sarkissian and V. P. Spiridonov, *Elliptic hypergeometric function and $6j$ -symbols for the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group*, Theor. Math. Phys. **213**, no. 1 (2022), 1406–1422
- [8] S. E. Derkachov and V. P. Spiridonov, *Yang-Baxter equation, parameter permutations, and the elliptic beta integral*, Russian Math. Surveys **68**, no. 6 (2013), 1027–1072.
- [9] S. E. Derkachov and V. P. Spiridonov, *The $6j$ -symbols for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group*, Theor. Math. Phys. **198**, no. 1 (2019), 29–47.
- [10] J. F. van Diejen, *Integrability of difference Calogero–Moser systems*, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994), 2983–3004.
- [11] J. F. van Diejen and V. P. Spiridonov, *An elliptic Macdonald–Morris conjecture and multiple modular hypergeometric sums*, Math. Res. Letters **7** (2000), 729–746.
- [12] J. F. van Diejen and V. P. Spiridonov, *Elliptic Selberg integrals*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, no. 20 (2001), 1083–1110.
- [13] J. F. van Diejen and V. P. Spiridonov, *Unit circle elliptic beta integrals*, Ramanujan J. **10**, no. 2 (2005), 187–204.
- [14] F. A. Dolan and H. Osborn, *Applications of the superconformal index for protected operators and q -hypergeometric identities to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ dual theories*, Nucl. Phys. **B 818** (2009), 137–178.
- [15] V. S. Dotsenko and V. A. Fateev, *Four-point correlation functions and the operator algebra in 2D conformal invariant theories with central charge $c \leq 1$* , Nucl. Phys. **B 251** (1985), 691–734.

- [16] L. D. Faddeev, *Current-like variables in massive and massless integrable models*, in: Quantum groups and their applications in physics (Varenna, 1994), Proc. Internat. School Phys. Enrico Fermi **127**, IOS Press, Amsterdam, 1994, pp. 117–135; arXiv:hep-th/9408041.
- [17] L. D. Faddeev, *Discrete Heisenberg–Weyl group and modular group*, Lett. Math. Phys. **34** (1995), 249–254.
- [18] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev, and N. Ya. Vilenkin, *Generalized functions. Vol. 5. Integral geometry and representation theory*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1962 (Russian).
- [19] R. A. Gustafson, *Some q -beta and Mellin–Barnes integrals on compact Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **341** (1994), no. 1, 69–119.
- [20] R. A. Gustafson, *Some q -beta and Mellin–Barnes integrals with many parameters associated to the classical groups*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **23** (1992), 525–551.
- [21] R. A. Gustafson, *Some q -beta integrals on $SU(n)$ and $Sp(n)$ that generalize the Askey–Wilson and Nassrallah–Rahman integrals*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **25** (1994), 441–449.
- [22] R. S. Ismagilov, *Racah operators for principal series of representations of the group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$* , Sbornik. Math. **198**, no. 3 (2007), 369–381.
- [23] V. F. Molchanov and Yu. A. Neretin, *A pair of commuting hypergeometric operators on the complex plane and bispectrality*, J. Spectral Theory **11** (2021), 509–586.
- [24] M. A. Naimark, *Decomposition of a tensor product of irreducible representations of the proper Lorentz group into irreducible representations*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs. **8** (1959), 121–153 (Am. Math. Soc. Transl., Ser. **2**, Vol. **36** (1964), 101–229).
- [25] Yu. A. Neretin, *Barnes–Ismagilov integrals and hypergeometric functions of the complex field*, SIGMA **16** (2020), 072.
- [26] E. M. Rains, *Limits of elliptic hypergeometric integrals*, Ramanujan J. **18**, no. 3 (2009), 257–306.
- [27] E. M. Rains, *Transformations of elliptic hypergeometric integrals*, Ann. of Math. **171** (2010), 169–243.
- [28] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, *First order analytic difference equations and integrable quantum systems*, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997), 1069–1146.
- [29] G. A. Sarkissian and V. P. Spiridonov, *The endless beta integrals*, SIGMA **16** (2020), 074.
- [30] G. A. Sarkissian and V. P. Spiridonov, *Rational hypergeometric identities*, Funct. Analysis and its Appl. **55**, no. 3 (2021), 91–97.
- [31] G. A. Sarkissian and V. P. Spiridonov, *Complex hypergeometric functions and integrable many-body problems*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **55** (2022), 385203.
- [32] G. A. Sarkissian and V. P. Spiridonov, *Elliptic and complex hypergeometric integrals in quantum field theory*, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. **20**, no. 3 (2023), 281–286.
- [33] V. P. Spiridonov, *On the elliptic beta function*, Russian Math. Surveys **56**, no. 1 (2001), 185–186.
- [34] V. P. Spiridonov, *Theta hypergeometric integrals*, Algebra i Analiz **15**, no. 6 (2003), 161–215 (St. Petersburg Math. J. **15**, no. 6 (2004), 929–967).
- [35] V. P. Spiridonov, *Short proofs of the elliptic beta integrals*, Ramanujan J. **13**, no. 1-3 (2007), 265–283.
- [36] V. P. Spiridonov, *Elliptic hypergeometric functions and Calogero–Sutherland type models*, Theor. Math. Phys. **150**, no. 2 (2007), 266–277.
- [37] V. P. Spiridonov, *Essays on the theory of elliptic hypergeometric functions*, Russian Math. Surveys **63**, no. 3 (2008), 405–472.
- [38] V. P. Spiridonov and G. S. Vartanov, *Elliptic hypergeometry of supersymmetric dualities*, Commun. Math. Phys. **304** (2011), 797–874.

G. A. SARKISSIAN: LABORATORY OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS, JINR, DUBNA, 141980, RUSSIA; YEREVAN PHYSICS INSTITUTE, ALIKHANIAN BR. 2, 0036, YEREVAN, ARMENIA; E-MAIL: SARKISSN@THEOR.JINR.RU

V. P. SPIRIDONOV: LABORATORY OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS, JINR, DUBNA, 141980, RUSSIA; NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIA; EMAIL: SPIRIDON@THEOR.JINR.RU