WEYL'S LAW FOR COMPACT RANK ONE SYMMETRIC SPACES

ANUPAM PAL CHOUDHURY, SAI SRIHARSHA INDUKURI, AND RITWIK MUKHERJEE

ABSTRACT. Weyl's law is a fundamental result governing the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues of the Laplacian. It states that for a compact d dimensional manifold M (without boundary), the eigenvalue counting function $N_M(\lambda)$ has the following asymptotic growth

$$N_M(\lambda) = \operatorname{Vol}(M) \left(\frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d} \right) \lambda^d + O(\lambda^{d-1}).$$

A natural question is: when is the error term sharp and when can it be improved? It has been known for a long time that the error term is sharp for the round sphere (since 1968). In contrast, it has only recently been shown (in 2019) by Iosevich and Wyman that for the product of spheres, the error term can be polynomially improved. They conjecture that a polynomial improvement should be true for products in general.

In this paper we extend both these results to Compact Rank One Symmetric Spaces (CROSSes). We show that for CROSSes, the error term is sharp. Furthermore, we show that for a product of CROSSes, the error term can be polynomially improved. This gives further evidence to the conjecture made by Iosevich and Wyman.

1. Introduction

Let (M,g) be a compact d-dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary and let Δ_M be the Laplace-Beltrami operator (acting on functions). Associated to this operator, we define the eigenvalue counting function

$$N_M(\lambda) := \#\{\text{eigenvalues of } \Delta_M \leq \lambda^2\}.$$

In other words, $N_M(\lambda)$ is the number of eigenvalues of Δ_M less than or equal to λ^2 (counted with multiplicities). It is a fundamental result that the asymptotic growth of $N_M(\lambda)$ is governed by Weyl's law:

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{N_M(\lambda)}{\lambda^d} = \text{Vol}(M) \left(\frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d}\right),\tag{1}$$

where ω_d is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d . A proof of (1) can be found in [2, page 95, corollary 2.43]; it uses the the asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel.

As with any question on asymptotics, it is natural to ask what can be said about the error term. In 1920, Richard Courant showed that the error term is of the order of $O(\lambda^{d-1}\log(\lambda))$ ([5]). Since then, an extensive amount of work has been done by mathematicians to study the error term.

It was shown by Lars Hörmander in 1968 ([7]) that the error term is $O(\lambda^{d-1})$. He further showed in that paper that the $O(\lambda^{d-1})$ error term is sharp for the round sphere S^d (the special case of the three dimensional sphere S^3 was worked out earlier by Avacumovič in [1]).

Although the example of the sphere shows that the error, in general, can not be made better than $O(\lambda^{d-1})$, it is still natural to ask under what special cases the error can be improved. In [6] and [9], Duistermaat and Guillemin and Ivrii show that if the measure of all periodic geodesic billiards is zero, then the error term can be improved (see [8, page 595, theorem 1.3] for the exact statement).

In [8], Iosevich and Wyman show [8, page 596, theorem 1.4] that for a product of at least two

compact Riemannian manifolds without boundary, the hypothesis of Duistermaat and Guillemin and Ivrii's Theorem holds; hence the error term can be improved. But that is not all, they show that for the product of spheres, the error term can be polynomially improved. More precisely, they show [8, page 596, theorem 1.4] that if M is the product of n spheres, then

$$N_M(\lambda) = \operatorname{Vol}(M)\left(\frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d}\right)\lambda^d + O(\lambda^{d-1-\frac{n-1}{n+1}}). \tag{2}$$

Based on this theorem, Iosevich and Wyman make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. [8, page 597] Let $M := M_1 \times ... \times M_n$ be the product of at least two compact Riemannian manifolds without boundary. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$N_M(\lambda) = \operatorname{Vol}(M)\left(\frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d}\right)\lambda^d + O(\lambda^{d-1-\delta}). \tag{3}$$

In this paper, we give further evidence to support the above conjecture.

Before stating the main result of this paper, let us recall from [3, page 76] that a Compact Rank One Symmetric Spaces (CROSS) is either the sphere S^d , the real projective space \mathbb{RP}^d , the complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^d , the quaternionic projective space \mathbb{HP}^d or the two dimensional octonionic projective space \mathbb{OP}^2 . Note that aside from S^1 and \mathbb{RP}^1 (which is again S^1 with a different radius), all the other CROSSes have real dimension at least two. The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Let $M := M_1 \times ... \times M_n$ be the product of at least two compact Riemannian manifolds without boundary. Suppose each M_i is one of the CROSSes of real dimension at least two. Then

$$N_M(\lambda) = \operatorname{Vol}(M)\left(\frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d}\right)\lambda^d + O(\lambda^{d-1-\frac{n-1}{n+1}}). \tag{4}$$

Note that theorem 1.2 supports Conjecture 1.1, with $\delta := \frac{n-1}{n+1}$.

The second result of our paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.3. Let M be one the CROSSes. Then the error term in Weyl's law can not be improved.

2. Structure of the paper

In order to prove theorem 1.2, we use a fundamental lattice counting result proved by Iosevich and Wyman [8, page 597, theorem 1.8]. The main observation of this paper is that their lattice counting result applies to a much more general setting than spheres; in particular it applies to all CROSSes.

In section 3, we describe the general setup in which we can prove theorem 1.2. The approach will work if the eigenvalues and multiplicities are explicitly given and a certain condition on the multiplicity function can be verified.

In section 5 we show that theorem 1.2 is true for product of all manifolds whose multiplicity function satisfies the symmetry condition. In the proof, we make extensive use of Iosevich and Wyman's lattice counting result. In section 6, we verify that the symmetry condition is indeed satisfied for all the CROSSes.

Finally in section 7, we show that the error is sharp for a single CROSS.

3. Setup and notation

Our set up is as follows. Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, with dimension $d \geq 2$. We consider the eigenvalues of the Laplacian acting on functions. Assume that the (square root of) the eigenvalues are given by

$$\Lambda_M := \{ \sqrt{\alpha_M(k)} : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \}, \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_M(k) := A_M k^2 + B_M k + C_M.$$
 (5)

Here A_M, B_M and C_M are constants depending on M. We will assume that A_M is not zero, i.e.

$$A_M > 0. (6)$$

Assume that the multiplicity of these eigenvalues are given by a degree d-1 polynomial $R_M(k)$. Define

$$Q_M(t) := R_M \left(t - \frac{B_M}{2A_M} \right). \tag{7}$$

We now state the crucial assumption that the multiplicity function Q_M should satisfy: we assume that

$$Q_M(t) = Ct^{d-1} + \varphi(t), \quad \text{if} \quad d > 2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$Q_M(t) = Ct, \quad \text{if} \quad d = 2,$$
(8)

where $\varphi(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d-3 and C is some constant.

Definition 3.1. Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, with dimension $d \geq 2$. If conditions (5), (6) and (8) are satisfied, then we will say that (M, g) is a W-manifold.

We have used the letter W in honour of Weyl.

The condition of being a W-manifold will play a crucial role in the proof of theorem 1.2. It will also play a role in the proof of theorem 1.3. The main results of this paper can be rephrased by the following three theorems.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(M_1, g_1), (M_2, g_2), \ldots, (M_n, g_n)$ be W-manifolds of dimensions d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n . Let $M := M_1 \times M_2 \times \ldots \times M_n$, and $d := d_1 + d_2 \ldots + d_n$.

If n > 1, then

$$N_M(\lambda) = \operatorname{Vol}(M) \left(\frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d} \right) \lambda^d + O\left(\lambda^{d-1-\frac{n-1}{n+1}}\right).$$

Theorem 3.3. Let (M,g) be a W-manifold. Then the error term in Weyl's law can not be improved.

Theorem 3.4. Compact Rank One Symmetric Spaces of real dimension $d \geq 2$ are W-manifolds.

We note that theorems 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 immediately imply theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

4. A LATTICE COUNTING RESULT BY IOSEVICH AND WYMAN

In this section, we recall the lattice counting theorem proved by Iosevich and Wyman in [8].

Theorem 4.1. [8, page 597, theorem 1.8] Fix $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k, 1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be a multiindex with $d_i \geq 2$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Let p be a norm on \mathbb{R}^n such that $p^{-1}(1)$ is a smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n with nonvanishing Gaussian curvature. Define $d := d_1 + \ldots + d_k + n - k$. For a weight function

$$F(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \chi_{[0,\infty)}(x_i) \ x_i^{d_i - 1},$$

we have

$$\sum_{\substack{m \in (\mathbb{Z}^n + y) \\ p(m) \leq \lambda}} F(m) = \lambda^d \int_{p^{-1}([0,1])} F(x) \ dx + E(\lambda),$$

where $E(\lambda)$ satisfies the bounds

$$E(\lambda) = O\left(\lambda^{d-1 - \frac{n-1}{n+1}}\right)$$

uniformly in y.

The following result is an immediate consequence of the previous theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Let $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$ and $n \geq 2$. Let y_1, \ldots, y_n be fixed n real numbers and $P_1, P_2, \dots P_n$ be fixed n positive real numbers. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{\substack{m_1-y_1,\ldots,m_n-y_n\in\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\\P_1m_1^2+\ldots+P_nm_n^2\leq \lambda^2}} m_1^{d_1-1}\ldots m_n^{d_n-1} = C\lambda^d + O\left(\lambda^{d-1-\frac{n-1}{n+1}}\right), \tag{9}$$

where $d := d_1 + d_2 \dots + d_n$ and C is a constant.

Here, we use the norm p defined on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$p(m) := (P_1 m_1^2 + \dots + P_n m_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The crucial fact is that the ellipsoid $p^{-1}(1)$ has non vanishing Gaussian curvature. Let us now use the above result to prove theorem 1.2 of this paper.

5. Error can be polynomially improved for products of W-manifolds: proof

Let us first prove an auxiliary result. In the subsequent statements, C always refers to a constant. We are going to make the standard abuse of notation in where we refer to different constants by the same letter C.

Theorem 5.1. Let $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$ and $n \geq 2$. let $Q_{d_i-1}(t)$ be a degree d_i-1 polynomial in t, that does not have a t^{d_i-2} term, i.e.

$$Q_{d_i-1}(t) = C_i t^{d_i-1} + \varphi_i(t), \quad \text{if} \quad d_i > 2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$Q_{d_i-1}(t) = C_i t, \quad \text{if} \quad d_i = 2, \quad (10)$$

where C_i is a constant and $\varphi_i(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $d_i - 3$. Let y_1, \ldots, y_n be n fixed real numbers and $P_1, P_2, \dots P_n$ be a fixed positive real numbers. Given a real number λ , let $N(\lambda)$ be defined as

$$N(\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{m_1 - y_1, \dots, m_n - y_n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \\ P_1 m_1^2 + \dots + P_n m_n^2 \leq a\lambda^2 + b}} Q_{d_1 - 1}(m_1) \dots Q_{d_n - 1}(m_n),$$
(11)

where $a \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$N(\lambda) = C\lambda^d + O(\lambda^{d-1-\frac{n-1}{n+1}}),\tag{12}$$

where $d := d_1 + d_2 \dots + d_n$ and C is a constant.

Proof: Let us unwind the summand of $N(\lambda)$. Let us denote the summation of the leading power as T_1 . The sum of the remaining powers will be denoted by T_2 . In other words,

$$T_1 := \sum_{\substack{m_1 - y_1, \dots, m_n - y_n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \\ P_1 m_1^2 + \dots + P_n m_n^2 \leq a\lambda^2 + b}} C m_1^{d_1 - 1} \dots m_n^{d_n - 1}.$$

$$(13)$$

Note that the sum total of the powers of all the m_i is d-n.

Next, we note that T_2 is a finite sum of the type

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1 - y_1, \dots, m_n - y_n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \\ P_1 m_1^2 + \dots + P_n m_n^2 \leq a\lambda^2 + b}} C m_1^{e_1} \dots m_n^{e_n}, \tag{14}$$

where the sum of the total power $e_1 + \dots e_n$ is at most d - n - 2 (due to the condition 10). In particular, the sum total of the powers of m_i in the summand of each of the terms of T_2 is at least

2 less than the sum total of the powers of the m_i in the summand of T_1 . Using theorem 4.2, we conclude that

$$T_1 = C\left(a\lambda^2 + b\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} + O\left((a\lambda^2 + b)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(d - 1 - \frac{n-1}{n+1}\right)}\right). \tag{15}$$

Using binomial theorem, we conclude that

$$\left(a\lambda^2 + b\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} = a^{\frac{d}{2}}\lambda^d + O(\lambda^{d-2}). \tag{16}$$

Combining (15) and (16), we conclude that

$$T_1 = C\lambda^d + O(\lambda^{d-1 - \frac{n-1}{n+1}}). (17)$$

Similarly, we again apply theorem 4.2 and the binomial theorem to each of the terms of T_2 . Doing that, we conclude that T_2 will be given by the right hand side of (17) with d replaced by d-2, i.e.

$$T_2 = C\lambda^{d-2} + O(\lambda^{d-3-\frac{n-1}{n+1}}). (18)$$

However, (18) implies that

$$T_2 = O(\lambda^{d-2}). \tag{19}$$

Since $N(\lambda) = T_1 + T_2$, we conclude using (17) and (19), that

$$N(\lambda) = C\lambda^d + O(\lambda^{d-1-\frac{n-1}{n+1}}),\tag{20}$$

thereby proving theorem 5.1.

We are now ready to prove theorem 3.2.

Proof of theorem 3.2: Let $N_M(\lambda)$ denote the eigenvalue counting function on M. Recall that $N_M(\lambda)$ can be expressed as

$$N_{M}(\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{k_{1}, \dots, k_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \\ \alpha_{M_{1}}(k_{1}) + \dots + \alpha_{M_{n}}(k_{n}) \leq \lambda^{2}}} \mathsf{R}_{M_{1}}(k_{1}) \dots \mathsf{R}_{M_{n}}(k_{n}).$$
(21)

Let us now make a substitution

$$m_1 := k_1 + \frac{B_{M_1}}{2A_{M_1}}, \dots, m_n := k_n + \frac{B_{M_n}}{2A_{M_n}}.$$
 (22)

Let $Q_{M_i}(t)$ be as defined by (7), i.e.

$$Q_{M_i}(t) := \mathsf{R}_{M_i} \left(t - \frac{B_{M_i}}{2A_{M_i}} \right). \tag{23}$$

With this, the counting function becomes

$$N_{M}(\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{m_{1} - \frac{B_{M_{1}}}{2A_{M_{1}}}, \dots, m_{n} - \frac{B_{M_{n}}}{2A_{M_{n}}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \\ A_{M_{1}} m_{1}^{2} + \dots + A_{M_{n}} m_{n}^{2} \leq \lambda^{2} + \kappa}} Q_{M_{1}}(m_{1}) \dots Q_{M_{n}}(m_{n}),$$

$$(24)$$

where κ is given by

$$\kappa := \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{B_{M_i}^2}{4A_{M_i}} - C_{M_i} \right).$$

Since each of the M_i is a W-manifold, we can use theorem 5.1 and conclude that

$$N_M(\lambda) = C\lambda^d + O(\lambda^{d-1 - \frac{n-1}{n+1}}). \tag{25}$$

The constant C can now be obtained from Weyl's law for compact manifolds without boundary.

6. CROSSES ARE W-MANIFOLDS: PROOF

We will now prove theorem 3.4, i.e. we will verify that all the CROSSes are W-manifolds.

First of all, we recall that the eigenvalues and multiplicities of the Laplacian can be packaged together in the zeta function. If we are in the setting of section 3, we get that

$$\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathsf{R}_M(k) \exp(-\alpha_M(k)s). \tag{26}$$

We will now use the results of the paper [4], where the authors explicitly compute $\zeta_M(s)$ for all CROSSes. Knowing $\zeta_M(s)$ immediately tells us what is $\alpha_M(k)$ and $R_M(k)$. Let us now explicitly write down the zeta function for each of these spaces. Although the case of the sphere has been worked out in [8], we will include it here for completeness.

6.1. Odd dimensional spheres. Let us start with the odd dimensional sphere $M = S^{2d-1}$, with $d \ge 3$. Following [4, page 7, theorem 2.4], we conclude that

$$\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+d-1}{d-1} \prod_{j=1}^{2d-3} \frac{k+j}{j} \right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{k^2 + 2k(d-1)}{4(d-1)} \right) s \right). \tag{27}$$

Comparing (27) with (26), we conclude that

$$\alpha_M(k) = \left(\frac{k^2 + 2k(d-1)}{4(d-1)}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{R}_M(k) = \left(\frac{k+d-1}{d-1}\prod_{j=1}^{2d-3}\frac{k+j}{j}\right).$$
 (28)

Note that $R_M(k)$ is a polynomial of degree 2d-2. Now,

$$\alpha_M(k) = \frac{1}{4(d-1)}k^2 + \frac{1}{2}k = A_M k^2 + B_M k + C_M,$$

where $A_M = \frac{1}{4(d-1)}$, $B_M = \frac{1}{2}$, $C_M = 0$ and therefore,

$$\frac{B_M}{2A_M} = d - 1.$$

Next, we apply definition (7) and conclude that

$$Q_M(t) = R_M(t - (d - 1)) = \frac{t}{d - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{2d - 3} \frac{t - (d - 1) + j}{j} = \frac{t}{d - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{2d - 3} \frac{t + a_j}{j},$$
 (29)

where $a_j := j - (d-1), \ j = 1, \dots, 2d-3.$

We claim that the coefficient of t^{2d-3} in $Q_M(t)$ is zero. To see this, we note that it is sufficient to prove that the numerator of the coefficient of t^{2d-4} in $\prod_{j=1}^{2d-3} \frac{t+a_j}{j}$, that is, $\sum_{j=1}^{2d-3} a_j$ is zero. Now,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2d-3} a_j = \sum_{j=1}^{2d-3} (j-d+1) = \frac{(2d-3)(2d-2)}{2} - (d-1)(2d-3) = 0,$$

and hence, we are done.

To finish the case of odd spheres, we also need to separately consider $M = S^3$. Following [4, page 6, equation 2.3 (a)], we conclude that

$$\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^2 \exp\left(-\left(\frac{k^2 + 2k}{4}\right)s\right). \tag{30}$$

Comparing (30) with (26), we conclude that

$$\alpha_M(k) = \left(\frac{k^2 + 2k}{4}\right)$$
 and $R_M(k) = (k+1)^2$. (31)

Note that $R_M(k)$ is a polynomial of degree 2. Now,

$$\alpha_M(k) = \frac{1}{4}k^2 + \frac{1}{2}k = A_M k^2 + B_M k + C_M,$$

where $A_M = \frac{1}{4}$, $B_M = \frac{1}{2}$, $C_M = 0$ and therefore,

$$\frac{B_M}{2A_M} = 1.$$

Next, we apply definition (7) and conclude that the coefficient of t in the polynomial

$$Q_M(t) = R_M(t-1) \tag{32}$$

is zero. This completes all the odd spheres.

6.2. Even dimensional spheres. Next, we consider even dimensional spheres $M = S^{2d}$, with $d \geq 1$. Following [4, page 8, theorem 3.2], we conclude that

$$\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2k + 2d - 1}{2d - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{2d - 2} \frac{k + j}{j} \right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{k^2 + k(2d - 1)}{4d - 2} \right) s \right).$$
(33)

Comparing (33) with (26), we conclude that

$$\alpha_M(k) = \left(\frac{k^2 + k(2d - 1)}{4d - 2}\right)$$
 and $\mathsf{R}_M(k) = \left(\frac{2k + 2d - 1}{2d - 1}\prod_{j=1}^{2d - 2}\frac{k + j}{j}\right)$. (34)

Note that $R_M(k)$ is a polynomial of degree 2d-1. Now,

$$\alpha_M(k) = \frac{1}{4d-2}k^2 + \frac{2d-1}{4d-2}k = A_M k^2 + B_M k + C_M,$$

where $A_M = \frac{1}{4d-2}, B_M = \frac{1}{2}, C_M = 0$ and therefore,

$$\frac{B_M}{2A_M} = \frac{2d-1}{2}.$$

Next, we apply definition (7) and conclude that

$$Q_M(t) = R_M \left(t - \frac{2d-1}{2} \right) = \frac{2}{(2d-1)} t \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{2d-2} \frac{t + a_j}{j}, \tag{35}$$

where $a_j := -\frac{2d-1}{2} + j$, $j = 1, \dots, 2d-2$. We claim that the coefficient of t^{2d-2} in $\mathbb{Q}_M(t)$ is zero. To see this, we note that it is sufficient to prove that the numerator of the coefficient of t^{2d-3} in $\prod_{j=1}^{2d-2} \frac{t+a_j}{j}$, that is, $\sum_{j=1}^{2d-2} a_j$ is zero. Now,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2d-2} a_j = \sum_{j=1}^{2d-2} \left(j - \frac{2d-1}{2} \right) = \frac{(2d-2)(2d-1)}{2} - \frac{(2d-1)(2d-2)}{2} = 0,$$

and hence, we are done. This completes all the spheres.

6.3. Odd dimensional real projective spaces. Let us now move on to the real projective spaces. We will start with the odd dimensional real projective spaces $M = \mathbb{RP}^{2d-1}$, with $d \geq 3$. Following [4, page 8, corollary 2.6], we conclude that

$$\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2k+d-1}{d-1} \prod_{j=1}^{2d-3} \frac{2k+j}{j} \right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{k^2+k(d-1)}{d-1}\right) s\right). \tag{36}$$

Comparing (36) with (26), we conclude that

$$\alpha_M(k) = \left(\frac{k^2 + k(d-1)}{d-1}\right)$$
 and $\mathsf{R}_M(k) = \left(\frac{2k + d - 1}{d-1} \prod_{j=1}^{2d-3} \frac{2k + j}{j}\right)$. (37)

Note that $R_M(k)$ is a polynomial of degree 2d-2. Now,

$$\alpha_M(k) = \frac{1}{d-1}k^2 + k = A_M k^2 + B_M k + C_M,$$

where $A_M = \frac{1}{d-1}, B_M = 1, C_M = 0$ and therefore,

$$\frac{B_M}{2A_M} = \frac{d-1}{2}.$$

Next, we apply definition (7) and conclude that

$$Q_M(t) = R_M\left(t - \frac{d-1}{2}\right) = \frac{2t}{d-1} \prod_{j=1}^{2d-3} \frac{2t + a_j}{j},$$
(38)

where $a_j := j - (d-1), \ j = 1, \dots, 2d-3.$

We claim that the coefficient of t^{2d-3} in $Q_M(t)$ is zero. To see this, we note that it is sufficient to prove that the numerator of the coefficient of t^{2d-4} in $\prod_{j=1}^{2d-3} \frac{2t+a_j}{j} = \prod_{j=1}^{2d-3} \frac{t+\frac{a_j}{2}}{\frac{j}{2}}$, that is, $\sum_{j=1}^{2d-3} \frac{a_j}{2}$ is zero. Now,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2d-3} \frac{a_j}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2d-3} (j-d+1) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(2d-3)(2d-2)}{2} - (d-1)(2d-3) \right) = 0,$$

and hence, we are done.

To finish the case of the odd real projective spaces, we also need to separately consider $M = \mathbb{RP}^3$. Following [4, page 6, equation 2.3 (b)], we conclude that

$$\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1)^2 \exp\left(-(k^2+k)s\right). \tag{39}$$

Comparing (39) with (26), we conclude that

$$\alpha_M(k) = k^2 + k$$
 and $R_M(k) = (2k+1)^2$. (40)

Note that $R_M(k)$ is a polynomial of degree 2. Now,

$$\alpha_M(k) = A_M k^2 + B_M k + C_M,$$

where $A_M = 1, B_M = 1, C_M = 0$ and therefore,

$$\frac{B_M}{2A_M} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Next, we apply definition (7) and observe that the coefficient of t in the polynomial

$$Q_M(t) = R_M\left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right) = 4t^2 \tag{41}$$

is zero. This completes all the odd real projective spaces.

6.4. Even dimensional real projective spaces. Next, we consider even dimensional real projective space $M = \mathbb{RP}^{2d}$, with $d \ge 1$. Following [4, pages 8-9, corollary 3.4], we conclude that

$$\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4k + 2d - 1}{2d - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{2d - 2} \frac{2k + j}{j} \right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{2k^2 + k(2d - 1)}{2d - 1} \right) s \right). \tag{42}$$

Comparing (42) with (26), we conclude that

$$\alpha_M(k) = \left(\frac{2k^2 + k(2d - 1)}{2d - 1}\right)$$
 and $\mathsf{R}_M(k) = \left(\frac{4k + 2d - 1}{2d - 1}\prod_{j=1}^{2d - 2}\frac{2k + j}{j}\right)$. (43)

Note that $R_M(k)$ is a polynomial of degree 2d-1. Now,

$$\alpha_M(k) = \frac{2}{2d-1}k^2 + k = A_M k^2 + B_M k + C_M,$$

where $A_M = \frac{2}{2d-1}$, $B_M = 1$, $C_M = 0$ and therefore,

$$\frac{B_M}{2A_M} = \frac{2d-1}{4}.$$

Next, we apply definition (7) and conclude that

$$Q_M(t) = R_M \left(t - \frac{2d-1}{4} \right) = \frac{4t}{2d-1} \prod_{j=1}^{2d-2} \frac{2t + a_j}{j}, \tag{44}$$

where $a_j:=j-\frac{2d-1}{2},\ j=1,\dots,2d-2$. We claim that the coefficient of t^{2d-2} in $\mathbb{Q}_M(t)$ is zero. To see this, we note that it is sufficient to prove that the numerator of the coefficient of t^{2d-3} in $\prod_{j=1}^{2d-2}\frac{2t+a_j}{j}=\prod_{j=1}^{2d-2}\frac{t+\frac{a_j}{2}}{\frac{j}{2}}$, that is, $\sum_{j=1}^{2d-2}\frac{a_j}{2}$ is zero. Now,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2d-2} \frac{a_j}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2d-2} \left(j - \frac{2d-1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(2d-2)(2d-1)}{2} - \frac{(2d-1)(2d-2)}{2} \right) = 0,$$

and hence, we are done.

6.5. Complex projective spaces. Let us now move on to the complex projective spaces $M = \mathbb{CP}^d$, with $d \geq 2$. Notice that the real dimension of this manifold is 2d. Following [4, page 9, theorem 4.2], we conclude that

$$\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2k+d}{d} \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \left(\frac{k+j}{j} \right)^2 \right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{k^2+kd}{d+1} \right) s \right). \tag{45}$$

Comparing (45) with (26), we conclude that

$$\alpha_M(k) = \left(\frac{k^2 + kd}{d+1}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{R}_M(k) = \left(\frac{2k+d}{d}\prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \left(\frac{k+j}{j}\right)^2\right).$$
 (46)

Note that $R_M(k)$ is a polynomial of degree 2d-1. Now,

$$\alpha_M(k) = \frac{1}{d+1}k^2 + \frac{d}{d+1}k = A_Mk^2 + B_Mk + C_M,$$

where $A_M = \frac{1}{d+1}, B_M = \frac{d}{d+1}, C_M = 0$ and therefore,

$$\frac{B_M}{2A_M} = \frac{d}{2}.$$

Next, we apply definition (7) and conclude that

$$Q_M(t) = R_M \left(t - \frac{d}{2} \right) = \frac{2t}{d} \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \left(\frac{t + a_j}{j} \right)^2, \tag{47}$$

where $a_j := j - \frac{d}{2}$, j = 1, ..., d-1. We claim that the coefficient of t^{2d-2} in $Q_M(t)$ is zero. To see this, we note that it is sufficient to prove that the numerator of the coefficient of t^{2d-3} in $\prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \left(\frac{t+a_j}{j}\right)^2$, that is, $\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} 2a_j$ is zero. Now,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} 2a_j = 2\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \left(j - \frac{d}{2}\right) = 2\left(\frac{d(d-1)}{2} - \frac{d(d-1)}{2}\right) = 0,$$

and hence, we are done.

6.6. Quaternionic projective spaces. Let us now move on to the quaternionic projective spaces $M = \mathbb{HP}^{d-1}$, with $d \geq 2$. We are referring to the (quaternionic) dimension as d-1 as opposed to d in order to make it easy to follow the notation of [4]. Notice that the real dimension of \mathbb{HP}^{d-1} is 4d-4. Following [4, page 10, theorem 5.2], we conclude that

$$\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2k + 2d - 1}{2d - 1} \prod_{j=2}^{2d - 2} \frac{k + j}{j} \prod_{l=1}^{2d - 3} \frac{k + l}{l} \right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{k^2 + 2kd - k}{2(d + 1)} \right) s \right). \tag{48}$$

Comparing (48) with (26), we conclude that

$$\alpha_M(k) = \left(\frac{k^2 + 2kd - k}{2(d+1)}\right)$$
 and

$$\mathsf{R}_M(k) = \Big(\frac{2k+2d-1}{2d-1} \prod_{j=2}^{2d-2} \frac{k+j}{j} \prod_{l=1}^{2d-3} \frac{k+l}{l}\Big).$$

Notice that $R_M(k)$ is a polynomial of degree 4d-5. Now,

$$\alpha_M(k) = \frac{1}{2(d+1)}k^2 + \frac{2d-1}{2(d+1)}k = A_M k^2 + B_M k + C_M,$$

where $A_M = \frac{1}{2(d+1)}, B_M = \frac{2d-1}{2(d+1)}, C_M = 0$ and therefore,

$$\frac{B_M}{2A_M} = \frac{2d-1}{2} = d - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Next, we apply definition (7) and conclude that

$$Q_M(t) = R_M \left(t - \left(d - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = \frac{2t}{2d - 1} \prod_{j=2}^{2d - 2} \frac{t + a_j}{j} \prod_{l=1}^{2d - 3} \frac{t + b_l}{l}, \tag{49}$$

where

$$a_j = j - \left(d - \frac{1}{2}\right), \ j = 2, \dots, 2d - 2,$$

 $b_l = l - \left(d - \frac{1}{2}\right), \ l = 1, \dots, 2d - 3.$

We claim that the coefficient of t^{4d-6} in $Q_M(t)$ is zero. To see this, we note that it is sufficient to prove that the numerator of the coefficient of t^{4d-7} in $\prod_{j=2}^{2d-2} \frac{t+a_j}{j} \prod_{l=1}^{2d-3} \frac{t+b_l}{l}$, that is, $\sum_{j=2}^{2d-2} a_j + \sum_{l=1}^{2d-3} b_l$ is zero. Now,

$$\sum_{j=2}^{2d-2} a_j + \sum_{l=1}^{2d-3} b_l = \sum_{j=2}^{2d-2} j + \sum_{l=1}^{2d-3} l - (4d-6) \left(d - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{(2d-2)(2d-1)}{2} - 1 \right) + \frac{(2d-3)(2d-2)}{2} - \frac{(4d-6)(2d-1)}{2} = 0,$$

and hence, the claim is true.

6.7. Octonionic projective plane. Finally, we consider $M = \mathbb{OP}^2$, the octonionic projective plane. Note that the real dimension of this space is 16. Following [4, page 11, theorem 6.2], we conclude that

$$\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2k+11}{11} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k+j}{j} \right) \left(\prod_{l=4}^{7} \left(\frac{k+l}{l} \right)^2 \right) \left(\prod_{m=8}^{10} \frac{k+m}{m} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{(k^2+11k)}{18} s \right). \tag{50}$$

Comparing (50) with (26), we conclude that

$$\alpha_M(k) = \frac{(k^2 + 11k)}{18} \quad \text{and} \quad$$

$$\mathsf{R}_{M}(k) = \frac{2k+11}{11} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k+j}{j} \right) \left(\prod_{l=4}^{7} \left(\frac{k+l}{l} \right)^{2} \right) \left(\prod_{m=8}^{10} \frac{k+m}{m} \right).$$

Note that $R_M(k)$ is a polynomial of degree 15. Now,

$$\alpha_M(k) = \frac{1}{18}k^2 + \frac{11}{18}k = A_M k^2 + B_M k + C_M,$$

where $A_M = \frac{1}{18}, B_M = \frac{11}{18}, C_M = 0$ and therefore,

$$\frac{B_M}{2A_M} = \frac{11}{2}.$$

Next, we apply definition (7) and conclude that

$$Q_M(t) = R_M\left(t - \frac{11}{2}\right) = \frac{2t}{11} \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{t + a_j}{j} \prod_{l=4}^7 \left(\frac{t + a_l}{l}\right)^2 \prod_{m=8}^{10} \frac{t + a_m}{m},\tag{51}$$

where

$$a_j = j - \frac{11}{2}, \ j = 1, \dots, 10.$$

We claim that the coefficient of t^{14} in $Q_M(t)$ is zero. To see this, we note that it is sufficient to prove that the numerator of the coefficient of t^{13} in $\prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{t+a_j}{j} \prod_{l=4}^7 \left(\frac{t+a_l}{l}\right)^2 \prod_{m=8}^{10} \frac{t+a_m}{m}$, that is,

 $\sum_{j=1}^{3} a_j + \sum_{l=4}^{7} 2a_l + \sum_{m=8}^{10} a_m$ is zero. Now,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i + \sum_{l=4}^{7} 2a_l + \sum_{m=8}^{10} a_m = \sum_{i=1}^{3} j + \sum_{m=8}^{10} m + 2\sum_{l=4}^{7} l + 3\left(-\frac{11}{2}\right) + 3\left(-\frac{11}{2}\right) + 8\left(-\frac{11}{2}\right) = 0,$$

and hence, the claim is proved.

This completes the proof of theorem 3.4.

7. Error is sharp for a single W-manifold: proof

In this section, we will prove theorem 3.3. It was shown by Hörmander in [7] that the error term in Weyl's law can not be improved for the round sphere. We will generalize his proof to W-manifolds. Let us first prove a few auxiliary results.

Proposition 7.1. Let us define the following function $\psi_k : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$\psi_k(n) := \sum_{i=1}^n i^{k-1}$$

$$= 1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + n^{k-1},$$
(52)

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$. Then,

$$\psi_k(n) = \frac{n^k}{k} + \frac{1}{2}n^{k-1} + \mathcal{R}_{k-2}(n), \tag{53}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{k-2}(n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree at most k-2.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$. Note that

$$(n+1)^{k} - n^{k} = kn^{k-1} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}n^{k-2} + \dots + 1$$

$$n^{k} - (n-1)^{k} = k(n-1)^{k-1} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}(n-1)^{k-2} + \dots + 1$$

$$\dots$$

$$\dots$$

$$2^{k} - 1^{k} = k \cdot 1^{k-1} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \cdot 1^{k-2} + \dots + 1.$$
(54)

Adding up all the terms on the left hand side of equation (54), we get a telescopic sum which equals $(n+1)^k - 1^k$. Equating this with the summation of the right hand side of equation (54), we conclude that

$$(n+1)^k - 1^k = k\psi_k(n) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}\psi_{k-1}(n) + \dots + \psi_1(n).$$
 (55)

In equation (55), we note that $\psi_1(n) = n$. Using the fact that $\psi_2(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and equation (55), equation (53) follows by using induction on k. This proves the desired result.

Corollary 7.2. Let us define the following function $N : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$N(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^{[\lambda]} i^{k-1}$$

= $1^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} + \dots + [\lambda]^{k-1}$, (56)

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$. Then,

$$N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{k} [\lambda]^k + \frac{1}{2} [\lambda]^{k-1} + \mathcal{R}_{k-2}([\lambda]),$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{k-2}([\lambda])$ is a polynomial in $[\lambda]$ of degree at most k-2.

Proof. Follows immediately from proposition 7.1.

Let us now make a couple of definitions, which will make it easier to state the remaining results.

Definition 7.3. Let $N, M : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. We will say that N is asymptotically equivalent to M to first order (which will be written as $N \sim_1 M$) if there exists $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$ such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^d} = c_1 = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{M(\lambda)}{\lambda^d},$$

where c_1 is a non-zero real number.

Definition 7.4. Let $N, M : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. We will say that N is asymptotically equivalent to M to second order (which will be written as $N \sim_2 M$) if there exists $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$ such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^d} = c_1 = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{M(\lambda)}{\lambda^d},$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{N(\lambda) - c_1 \lambda^d}{\lambda^{d-1}} = c_2 = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{M(\lambda) - c_1 \lambda^d}{\lambda^{d-1}},$$

where c_1, c_2 are non-zero real numbers.

Proposition 7.5. Let c_1 and c_2 be non-zero real numbers and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$. Define $N, M : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$N(\lambda) := c_1[\lambda]^k + c_2[\lambda]^{k-1} + \alpha([\lambda])$$
 and $M(\lambda) := c_1\lambda^k + c_2\lambda^{k-1} + \beta(\lambda),$

where α and β are polynomials of degree at most k-2 if $k \geq 2$ and they are zero if k=1. Then $N \sim_2 M$.

Proof. This follows by unwinding the definitions and using the fact $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{[\lambda]}{\lambda} = 1$.

Proposition 7.6. Let $\widetilde{M} : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$\widetilde{M}(\gamma) := c_1 \gamma^d + c_2 \gamma^{d-1},$$

where $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$ and c_1, c_2 are non-zero real numbers. Let $\widetilde{N} : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\widetilde{N} \sim_2 \widetilde{M}$. Let us define $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\gamma(\lambda) := a\lambda + b,$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $N, M : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$N(\lambda) := \widetilde{N}(\gamma(\lambda))$$
 and $M(\lambda) := c_1 a^d \lambda^d + (bdc_1 + c_2)a^{d-1} \lambda^{d-1}$.

If $(bdc_1 + c_2) \neq 0$, then $N \sim_2 M$.

Proof. The proof will follow by unwinding the definition of a limit. First of all, we note that

$$\frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^d} = \left(\frac{\tilde{N}(\gamma(\lambda))}{\gamma(\lambda)^d}\right) \left(\frac{\gamma(\lambda)^d}{\lambda^d}\right). \tag{57}$$

We now note that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \left(\frac{\widetilde{N}(\gamma(\lambda))}{\gamma(\lambda)^d} \right) = c_1 \quad \text{and}$$
 (58)

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \left(\frac{\gamma(\lambda)^d}{\lambda^d} \right) = a^d. \tag{59}$$

Equation (58) follows from the fact that $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{\tilde{N}(\lambda)}{\lambda^d} = c_1$ and the fact that $\gamma(\lambda)$ is a monotonically increasing function of λ and applying the definition of a limit. Equations (58), (59) and (57) imply that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^d} = c_1 a^d. \tag{60}$$

Next, we note that

$$\frac{N(\lambda) - c_1 a \lambda^d}{\lambda^{d-1}} = \left(\frac{\widetilde{N}(\gamma(\lambda)) - c_1 \gamma(\lambda)^d + c_1 \gamma(\lambda)^d - c_1 a^d \lambda^d}{\gamma(\lambda)^{d-1}}\right) \left(\frac{\gamma(\lambda)^{d-1}}{\lambda^{d-1}}\right) \\
= \left(\frac{\widetilde{N}(\gamma(\lambda)) - c_1 \gamma(\lambda)^d}{\gamma(\lambda)^{d-1}}\right) \left(\frac{\gamma(\lambda)^{d-1}}{\lambda^{d-1}}\right) + \left(\frac{c_1 \gamma(\lambda)^d - c_1 a^d \lambda^d}{\lambda^{d-1}}\right) \\
\implies \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \left(\frac{N(\lambda) - c_1 a \lambda^d}{\lambda^{d-1}}\right) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \left(\frac{\widetilde{N}(\gamma(\lambda)) - c_1 \gamma(\lambda)^d}{\gamma(\lambda)^{d-1}}\right) a^{d-1} + \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \left(\frac{c_1 \gamma(\lambda)^d - c_1 a^d \lambda^d}{\lambda^{d-1}}\right) \\
= a^{d-1} c_2 + a^{d-1} b d c_1. \tag{61}$$

The first limit on the right hand side of equation (61) is computed using the fact that $\gamma(\lambda)$ is a monotonically increasing function of λ and the fact that $\widetilde{N} \sim_2 \widetilde{N}$ and then applying definition of a limit. The second limit can be computed immediately by considering the binomial expansion of $(a\lambda + b)^d$. Equations (60) and (61) imply that $N \sim_2 M$, whence the claim is proved.

We are now ready to prove the main claim.

Theorem 7.7. Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$. Define the functions $N, M : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$N(\lambda) := \sum_{\substack{m-y \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \\ Am^2 < \lambda^2}} m^{d-1} \quad \text{and} \quad M(\lambda) := \frac{1}{d(\sqrt{A})^d} \lambda^d + \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{A})^{d-1}} \lambda^{d-1}.$$

Then $N \sim_2 M$.

Proof. Let us denote $\tilde{m} := m - y$. Then $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ and the condition $Am^2 \leq \lambda^2 \Leftrightarrow -\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{A}} - y \leq \tilde{m} \leq \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{A}} - y$, owing to the fact $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, becomes $0 \leq \tilde{m} \leq \left[\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{A}} - y\right]$. Let $\gamma(\lambda) := \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{A}} - y$. Then

$$N(\lambda) = \sum_{\tilde{m}=0}^{[\gamma(\lambda)]} (\tilde{m} + y)^{d-1}$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{m}=0}^{[\gamma(\lambda)]} (\tilde{m}^{d-1} + (d-1)\tilde{m}^{d-2}y + \dots + y^{d-1}).$$
(62)

Using corollary 7.2, we note that

$$\sum_{\tilde{m}=0}^{[\gamma(\lambda)]} \tilde{m}^{d-1} = \frac{1}{d} [\gamma(\lambda)]^d + \frac{1}{2} [\gamma(\lambda)]^{d-1} + \text{terms with lower powers of } [\gamma(\lambda)],$$

and

$$\sum_{\tilde{m}=0}^{[\gamma(\lambda)]}(d-1)\tilde{m}^{d-2}y=y[\gamma(\lambda)]^{d-1}+\frac{1}{2}(d-1)y[\gamma(\lambda)]^{d-2}+\text{terms with lower powers of }[\gamma(\lambda)].$$

Using this in (62), we have

$$N(\lambda) = \widetilde{N}(\gamma(\lambda)),$$
 where

$$\widetilde{N}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{d} [\gamma]^d + \left(\frac{1}{2} + y\right) [\gamma]^{d-1} + \text{terms with lower powers of } [\gamma].$$

Let us now define $\widetilde{M}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\widetilde{M}(\gamma) := \frac{1}{d} \gamma^d + \left(\frac{1}{2} + y\right) \gamma^{d-1}.$$

By proposition 7.5, $\widetilde{N} \sim_2 \widetilde{M}$. As a result, using proposition 7.6, we conclude that $N \sim_2 M$, whence the claim is proved.

Remark 7.8. Note that in the expression for $M(\lambda)$, the coefficient of λ^{d-1} is non-zero. Hence, theorem 7.7 shows that the error term for $N(\lambda)$ can not be improved beyond λ^{d-1} in addition to being no worse than λ^{d-1} .

Using the above theorem, we next prove the following result.

Theorem 7.9. Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$ and $Q_{d-1}(t)$ be a degree d-1 polynomial in t that does not have the t^{d-2} term. In other words,

$$Q_{d-1}(t) = Ct^{d-1} + \varphi(t), \quad \text{if} \quad d > 2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$Q_{d-1}(t) = Ct, \quad \text{if} \quad d = 2,$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d-3 and C is some non-zero constant. Let y be a fixed real number and A a fixed positive real numbers. Define $N, M : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$N(\lambda) := \sum_{\substack{m-y \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \\ Am^2 \leq a\lambda^2 + b}} \mathsf{Q}_{d-1}(m)$$
 and

$$M(\lambda) := \frac{Ca^{\frac{d}{2}}}{d\left(\sqrt{A}\right)^d} \lambda^d + \frac{Ca^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{2\left(\sqrt{A}\right)^{d-1}} \lambda^{d-1},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $N \sim_2 M$.

Proof. This follows from theorem 7.7. By applying binomial theorem to $\left(\frac{a\lambda^2+b}{A}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}$, the leading term gives us the desired asymptotics. The lower order terms can not cancel off or change the error term, because the t^{d-2} term is absent in $Q_{d-1}(t)$.

Proof of theorem 3.3: Follows immediately from theorem 7.9.

8. Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Antareep Saud for several useful discussions related to this project. The third author is also grateful to Vamsi Pingali for helpful discussions and comments and in particular pointing out the reference [2] for the proof of Weyl's law.

References

- [1] V. AVACUMOVIČ, Über die eigenfunktionen auf geschlossenen riemannschen mannigfaltigkeiten, Mat. Z., 65 (1956), pp. 327–344.
- [2] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne, Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2003.
- [3] A. Besse, Manifolds all of whose Geodesics are Closed, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1978.
- [4] R. Cahn and J. Wolf, Zeta functions and their asmptotic expansions for compact symmetric spaces of rank one, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 51 (1976).
- [5] R. COURANT, Über die eigenwerte bei den differentialgleichungen der mathematischen physik, Mat. Z., 7 (1920), pp. 1–57.
- [6] J. Duistermaat and V. Guillemin, The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics, Invent. Math., 29 (1975), pp. 39–79.
- [7] L. HÖRMANDER, The spectral function of an elliptic operator, Acta Mathematica, 121 (1968), pp. 193–218.
- [8] A. Iosevich and E. Wyman, Weyl law improvement for products of spheres, Analysis Math, 47 (2021), pp. 593–612.
- [9] V. J. IVRIĬ, The second term of the spectral asymptotics for a Laplace-Beltrami operator on manifolds with boundary, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., 14 (1980), pp. 25–34.

School of Mathematical Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Khurda 752050, Odisha, India. *Email address*: anupampcmath@gmail.com, anupampcmath@niser.ac.in

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, Maharashtra- 400076, India. $Email\ address$: harshaindukuri3@outlook.com, 23d0784@iitb.ac.in

School of Mathematical Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Khurda 752050, Odisha, India. *Email address*: ritwikm@niser.ac.in