

# ALMOST ELEMENTARY GROUPOID MODELS FOR $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

XIN MA AND JIANCHAO WU

ABSTRACT. The notion of almost elementariness for a locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  with a compact unit space was introduced by the authors in [MW20] as a sufficient condition ensuring the reduced groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$  is (tracially)  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable and thus classifiable under additional natural assumption. In this paper, we explore the converse direction and show that many groupoids in the literature serving as models for classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras are almost elementary. In particular, for a large class  $\mathcal{C}$  of Elliott invariants and a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with  $\text{Ell}(A) \in \mathcal{C}$ , we show that  $A$  is classifiable if and only if  $A$  possesses a minimal, effective, amenable, second countable, almost elementary groupoid model, which leads to a groupoid-theoretic characterization of classifiability of  $C^*$ -algebras with certain Elliott invariants. Moreover, we build a connection between almost elementariness and pure infiniteness for groupoids and study obstructions to obtaining a transformation groupoid model for the Jiang-Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, spurred by the rapid progress in the theory of classification and structure of  $C^*$ -algebras, there has been a growing recognition of the deep connections between the study of  $C^*$ -algebras and that of topological groupoids. A large part of these recent developments build on top of the fulfillment of the main goal in the Elliott classification program, that is, a classification theorem which states that there is a class of so-called *classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras* wherein any two members are  $*$ -isomorphic if and only if they have the same *Elliott invariant* (see, e.g., [EGLN15], [GLN15], [TWW17], [CET<sup>+</sup>21] and [CGS<sup>+</sup>23]). Here the Elliott invariant comprises of the (ordered)  $K$ -theory of the  $C^*$ -algebras as well as the tracial information associated with them.

Since the discovery of non-classifiable simple separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras, a significant aspect of the Elliott classification program is concerned with characterizing the class of classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras. These efforts result in a rich theory of regularity properties for simple separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras, with the centerpiece being the Toms-Winter conjecture. Using the successful verification of the first half of this conjecture (between finite nuclear dimension and  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability) [CET<sup>+</sup>21], one can characterize a classifiable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  as:

a simple separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra that is

- (1)  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable, i.e.,  $A$  tensorially absorbs the Jiang-Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$ , and
- (2) in the *UCT class*, i.e.,  $A$  satisfies the hypothesis of the universal coefficient theorem for  $KK$ -theory.

While it has long been known that the condition of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability is necessary since taking tensor products with  $\mathcal{Z}$  does not change the Elliott invariant [JS99], the necessity of the UCT condition remains largely a mystery and is known as (or more precisely, equivalent to) the *UCT problem* (see, e.g., [BBWW22]).

However, combining some recent results regarding Cartan subalgebras, one can circumvent the UCT problem and equivalently characterize a classifiable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  as:

a twisted groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra of an amenable minimal effective second countable locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid so that  $A$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable.

Indeed, on the one hand, Li [Li20] showed that every classifiable  $C^*$ -algebra has a Cartan subalgebra, which, by the work of Renault [Ren80], means that it is a twisted groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra of an effective locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid (see also [CFaH20], [DPS18], [DPS24], and [Spi07] for various other constructions of groupoid models); on the other hand, by extending the method of Tu [Tu99], Barlak and Li [BL17] showed that twisted groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras of amenable locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoids are in the UCT class. Finally, by a number of more classical results linking properties of  $C^*$ -algebras and those of groupoids [ADR00, BCFS14], we know that a twisted groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra is nuclear, separable, and simple if and only if the groupoid is amenable, second countable, minimal, and effective.

Once we take this groupoid perspective towards classifiability, a natural question arises:

**Question I.** *What condition(s) on an (amenable minimal effective second countable) locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid guarantee its (twisted) groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable?*

Research in this direction, particularly in the special case of group actions on compact metrizable spaces, has been a staple topic in the Elliott classification program long before the aforementioned recent progress. While earlier positive results often place strong assumptions on the acting group, the underlying space, and the action itself, recent results have reached a much greater generality. Inspired by the construction of non-classifiable crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras and results on a conjecture of Toms relating regularity of crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras and mean dimension of dynamical systems, Kerr [Ker20] adapted Matui's notion [Mat12] of almost finiteness for ample groupoids to the setting of actions of amenable groups on compact metric spaces and showed that almost finite free minimal actions give rise to  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable (simple, separable, nuclear, and stably finite) crossed products. Almost finiteness was later related to the notion of small boundary property in topological dynamics and applied to produce many positive results on the classifiability of crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras. In the setting of infinite  $C^*$ -algebras, the notion of *pure infiniteness* for ample groupoids, first introduced by Matui in [Mat15], was extended to general étale groupoids by the first author in [Ma22], where it was demonstrated that a purely infinite groupoid (see Definition 4.1 below) gives rise to a groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra that is (strongly) purely infinite and thus  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable under some additional natural assumptions.

More recently, generalizing the stably finite setting above from group actions to groupoids and unifying it with the infinite setting, the authors introduced in [MW20] a new approximation property called *almost elementariness* for étale groupoids with compact unit spaces (see Definition 2.4 below), and showed it guarantees (tracial)  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability of groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras. This generalizes the results above. In our framework, the divide between finite and infinite  $C^*$ -algebras is governed by what we call *fiberwise amenability* for étale groupoids, which we introduced along with a coarse geometric framework on the groupoids. In the special case of a group action, fiberwise amenability corresponds to the amenability of the acting group.

Hence, combining the results in [MW20] and the aforementioned classical facts, we know that if  $\mathcal{G}$  is an amenable minimal effective second countable locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid that has a compact unit space and is also almost elementary, then its groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra is classifiable. Based on the known results and examples so far, it is tempting to ask the following partial converse to this result:

**Question II.** *Is it true that every classifiable  $C^*$ -algebra possesses a locally compact Hausdorff étale, second countable, minimal, topologically amenable, almost elementary (twisted) groupoid model?*

We first remark that one cannot expect all groupoid models of a classifiable  $C^*$ -algebra to be almost elementary. Indeed, Joseph constructed in [Jos24] minimal topologically free non-almost elementary dynamical systems (to be more precise, it was shown in [Jos24] that those dynamical systems are not almost finite; on the other hand, it was proved in [MW20] that the almost finiteness is equivalent to the almost elementariness for actions by amenable groups). It was then proved in [HW23] and [GGG<sup>+</sup>24] that some of the non-almost elementary dynamical systems constructed this way still yield classifiable crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras.

In this paper, we provide a positive answer to Question II for a large class of Elliott invariants. Denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the class of  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  whose Elliott invariant  $\text{Ell}(A)$  coincides with that of an  $C^*$ -algebra in either of the following classes.

- (1) unital AF-algebras;
- (2) unital  $C^*$ -algebras with  $\text{Ell}(A) \cong ((G_0, 1_{G_0}), G_1)$ , where  $G_0, G_1$  are countable abelian groups.
- (3) unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  whose Elliott's invariant

$$\text{Ell}(A) \cong ((\mathbb{Z} \oplus G_0, \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \oplus G_0, [1] = (k, 0)), G_1, \Delta, r),$$

in which  $G_0, G_1$  are countable abelian groups,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $\Delta$  is a finite-dimensional Choquet simplex and  $r : \Delta \rightarrow S(\mathbb{Z} \oplus G_0)$  is defined by  $\tau \mapsto ((n, g) \mapsto n/k)$ .

Now, we state our main theorem as a combination of Theorem 3.15 and Theorem 4.12.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra in the class  $\mathcal{C}$  above. Then  $A$  is classifiable if and only if  $A$  has a locally compact, Hausdorff, étale, minimal, second countable, topological amenable, almost elementary groupoid model where the unit space is compact, i.e., there exists such a groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  with a compact unit space such that  $A \cong C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ .*

Since the above class covers all the strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras in the UCT class, namely  $\mathcal{Z}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ , UHF and  $\text{UHF} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ , where UHF denotes an arbitrary UHF-algebra of infinite type, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary B.** *Every strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebra in the UCT class has a locally compact, Hausdorff, étale, minimal, second countable, topological amenable, almost elementary groupoid model.*

These results suggests that almost elementariness may, to a certain extent, be considered as a groupoid analog of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability. The method employed to establish Theorem A involves investigating groupoids in [DPS18], [DPS24], [Spi07], and [CFaH20], and proving that these groupoids satisfy the property of being almost elementary as defined in Definition 2.4. Notably, the groupoids in [DPS18] and [DPS24] can be expressed as certain  $\mathbb{Z}$ -partial dynamical systems. By utilizing the concept of almost finiteness for global actions, as discussed in [Ker20], the almost elementariness of the partial transformation groupoids associated with these partial actions is established.

In the case of purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras, even stronger conclusions can be drawn, extending beyond the unital cases. Specifically, it is demonstrated that all groupoids constructed from a combination of  $k$ -graphs in [Spi07] and [CFaH20] are purely infinite in Definition 4.1. Furthermore, the equivalence between pure infiniteness and almost elementariness is established in cases where the unit space is compact and there are no invariant measures, as shown in Proposition 4.2. It follows that

all Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT have a purely infinite groupoid model, as stated in Theorem 4.12.

We conclude the introduction with an exploratory discussion of the following related question:

**Question III.** *Which classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras can be expressed as crossed products of groups actions on compact metrizable spaces? In other words, when are they isomorphic to groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras of transformation groupoids?*

It is indeed known that UHF algebras, or more generally, AF algebras, can be represented as crossed products of minimal free actions of locally finite groups on the Cantor set, as mentioned in [GKPT18, Example 8.1.24]. Moreover, in [Ma22, Proposition 3.24], it was shown that  $\mathcal{O}_2$  can be expressed as a minimal purely infinite dynamical system of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3$ . But in general, this question is still widely open.

A prominent unresolved case regarding this question is the Jiang-Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$ . It is well-known that  $\mathcal{Z}$  cannot be realized as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed product using Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequences. In this paper, using the bijectivity of the Baum-Connes assembly for amenable groups and  $KK$ -theory with real coefficients, we demonstrate that there is an obstruction on the acting group for  $\mathcal{Z}$  to be written in the form of a crossed product. See Theorem 3.16 below.

**Theorem C.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{Z} \cong C(X) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  for an action  $\alpha$  of countable discrete group  $\Gamma$  on a compact metrizable space  $X$ . Then  $\Gamma$  has to be amenable, torsion free, and rationally acyclic.*

Since these conditions on  $\Gamma$  rules out almost all naturally occurring examples, this result supports the view that in the study of classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras, it is necessary to work with groupoids rather than just group actions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some basic backgrounds on locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoids and their  $C^*$ -algebras. We refer to [Ren80] and [Sim17] as standard references for these topics. Using the terminology in [Sim17], we denote by  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$  the *unit space* of  $\mathcal{G}$ . We write *source* and *range* maps  $s, r : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ , respectively and they are defined by  $s(\gamma) = \gamma^{-1}\gamma$  and  $r(\gamma) = \gamma\gamma^{-1}$  for  $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ . When a groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  is endowed with a locally compact Hausdorff topology under which the product and inverse maps are continuous, the groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  is called a locally compact Hausdorff groupoid. A locally compact Hausdorff groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  is called *étale* if the range map  $r$  is a local homeomorphism from  $\mathcal{G}$  to itself, which means for any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$  there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\gamma$  such that  $r(U)$  is open and  $r|_U$  is a homeomorphism. A set  $B$  is called an *s-section* (respectively, *r-section*) if there is an open set  $U$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $B \subset U$  and the restriction of the source map  $s|_U : U \rightarrow s(U)$  (respectively, the range map  $r|_U : U \rightarrow r(U)$ ) on  $U$  is a homeomorphism onto an open subset of  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ . The set  $B$  is called a *bisection* if it is both an *s-section* and an *r-section* at the same time. It is not hard to see a locally compact Hausdorff groupoid is étale if and only if its topology has a basis consisting of open bisections. We say a locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  is *ample* if its topology has a basis consisting of compact open bisections.

For any set  $D \subset \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ , Denote by

$$\mathcal{G}_D := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} : s(\gamma) \in D\}, \quad \mathcal{G}^D := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} : r(\gamma) \in D\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_D^D := \mathcal{G}^D \cap \mathcal{G}_D.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{G}|_D := \mathcal{G}_D^D$  is a subgroupoid of  $\mathcal{G}$  with the unit space  $D$ . For the singleton case  $D = \{u\}$ , we write  $\mathcal{G}_u$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^u$  and  $\mathcal{G}_u^u$  instead for simplicity. In this situation, we call  $\mathcal{G}_u$  a *source fiber* and  $\mathcal{G}^u$  a *range fiber*. In addition, each  $\mathcal{G}_u^u$  is a group, which is

called the *isotropy* at  $u$ . We also denote by

$$\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}_u^u = \{x \in \mathcal{G} : s(x) = r(x)\}$$

the isotropy of the groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$ . We say a groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  is *principal* if  $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ . A groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  is called *topologically principal* if the set  $\{u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} : \mathcal{G}_u^u = \{u\}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ . The groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  is also said to be *effective* if  $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G})^o = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ . Recall that effectiveness is equivalent to topological principality if  $\mathcal{G}$  is second countable (see [Sim17, Lemma 4.2.3]). A subset  $D$  in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$  is called  *$\mathcal{G}$ -invariant* if  $r(\mathcal{G}D) = D$ , which is equivalent to the condition  $\mathcal{G}^D = \mathcal{G}_D$ . A groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  is called *minimal* if there are no proper non-trivial closed  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant subsets in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ .

The following definition of *multisections* was introduced by Nekrashevych in [Nek19, Definition 3.1], which serves as the concrete interpretation of *towers* introduced in [MW20, Section 6] for groupoids.

**Definition 2.1.** A finite set of bisections  $\mathcal{T} = \{C_{i,j} : i, j \in F\}$  with a finite index set  $F$  is called a *multisection* if it satisfies

- (1)  $C_{i,j}C_{j,k} = C_{i,k}$  for  $i, j, k \in F$ ;
- (2)  $\{C_{i,i} : i \in F\}$  is a disjoint family of subsets of  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ .

We call all  $C_{i,i}$  the levels of the multisection  $\mathcal{T}$ . All  $C_{i,j}$  ( $i \neq j$ ) are called ladders of the multisection  $\mathcal{T}$ . We say a multisection  $\mathcal{T} = \{C_{i,j} : i, j \in F\}$  *open (compact, closed)* if all bisections  $C_{i,j}$  are open (compact, closed). In addition, we call a finite family of multisections  $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{T}_l : l \in I\}$  a *castle*, where  $I$  is a finite index set, if  $\bigcup_{l \in I} \mathcal{T}_l$  is still a disjoint family. If all multisections in  $\mathcal{C}$  are open (closed) then we say the castle  $\mathcal{C}$  is open (closed).

We may also explicitly write  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_{i,j}^l : i, j \in F_l, l \in I\}$ , which satisfies the following

- (i)  $\{C_{i,j}^l : i, j \in F_l\}$  is a multisection;
- (ii)  $C_{i,j}^l C_{i',j'}^{l'} = \emptyset$  if  $l \neq l'$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_{i,j}^l : i, j \in F_l, l \in I\}$  be a castle. Any certain level in a multisection in  $\mathcal{C}$  is usually referred to as a  $\mathcal{C}$ -level. Analogously, any ladder in a multisection in  $\mathcal{C}$  is usually referred as a  $\mathcal{C}$ -ladder. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be two castles in a groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  described above and  $K$  a set in  $\mathcal{G}$ . We say  $\mathcal{C}$  is  *$K$ -extendable* to  $\mathcal{D}$  if  $K \cdot \bigcup \mathcal{C} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{D}$ .

We then recall the groupoid subequivalence relation.

**Definition 2.2** ([MW20, Section 9]). Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a groupoid,  $K$  be a subset in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$  and  $U, V$  be open subsets in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ . We write

- (i)  $K \prec_{\mathcal{G}} U$  if there is an open  $s$ -section  $A$  such that  $K \subset r(A)$  and  $s(A) \subset U$ .
- (ii)  $U \lesssim_{\mathcal{G}} V$  if  $K \prec_{\mathcal{G}} V$  holds for every compact subset  $K \subset U$ .
- (iii)  $U \lesssim_{\mathcal{G},2} V$  if for any compact  $K \subset U$  there are disjoint non-empty open subsets  $V_1, V_2 \subset V$  such that  $K \prec_{\mathcal{G}} V_1$  and  $K \prec_{\mathcal{G}} V_2$ .

**Remark 2.3.** (i) We remark that the relation " $K \prec_{\mathcal{G}} U$ " is usually defined in the situation that  $K$  is compact. See, e.g., [Ma22] and [MW20]. However, it is harmless to extend the definition to a general set  $K$  in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ , which simplifies certain calculations in Proposition 3.10 by using Lemma 3.4.

- (ii) We would like to point out that the notion of " $K \prec_{\mathcal{G}} U$ " as defined in Definition 2.2(i) is (at least formally) weaker than the relation carrying the same notation in [Ma22, Section 3], where the open  $s$ -section  $A$  is further required to be a disjoint union of open bisections. However, it is worth noting that the two notions coincide in the case of ample groupoids (by refining open covers to clopen partitions; see [MW20, Remark 12.5]) and transformation groupoids of partial dynamical systems (see Remark 3.3 below). Since our

main examples in the following discussion fall within these two classes of groupoids, this subtle distinction does not make a significant difference for the results in this paper.

We then recall the definition of almost elementariness of groupoids with compact unit spaces.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid with a compact unit space. We say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is *almost elementary* if for any compact set  $K$ , any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , any finite open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ , and any non-empty open set  $O$  in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ , there is an open castle  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying

- (i)  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $K$ -extendable to an open castle  $\mathcal{D}$ ;
- (ii) every  $\mathcal{D}$ -level is contained in an open set  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \setminus (\bigcup \mathcal{C}^{(0)}) \prec_{\mathcal{G}} O$ .

Finally, throughout the paper, we write  $B \sqcup C$  to indicate that the union of sets  $B$  and  $C$  is a disjoint union. In addition, we denote by  $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} B_i$  for the disjoint union of the family  $\{B_i : i \in I\}$ . From now on, we only consider **locally compact, Hausdorff,  $\sigma$ -compact, étale, topological groupoids**. The word “groupoid” below is reserved for this kind of groupoids. We remark that we do NOT always assume the unit space  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$  is compact.

### 3. ALMOST ELEMENTARY GROUPOIDS OF PARTIAL DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

In this section, we study transformation groupoids of partial dynamical systems, which form groupoid models for stably finite  $C^*$ -algebras. We first recall the definition of partial dynamical systems and refer to [Exe17] as a standard reference for this topic.

**Definition 3.1.** [Exe17, Definition 2.1, Proposition 2.5] A *partial action*  $\beta$  of a group  $\Gamma$  on a set  $X$  is a pair  $\beta = (\{D_g\}_{g \in \Gamma}, \{\beta(g)\}_{g \in \Gamma})$ , in which  $\beta(g)$  are maps  $D_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow D_g$  such that

- (i)  $D_{e_\Gamma} = X$  and  $\beta(e_\Gamma)$  is the identity map;
- (ii)  $\beta(g)(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h) \subset D_{gh}$  for any  $g, h \in \Gamma$ ;
- (iii)  $\beta(g)(\beta(h)(x)) = \beta(gh)(x)$  for any  $g, h \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in D_{h^{-1}} \cap D_{(gh)^{-1}}$ .

In the topological dynamical setting throughout this section, we additionally assume the following throughout the section.

- (i) The acting group  $\Gamma$  is countable and discrete.
- (ii) The underlying space  $X$  is a compact metrizable space.
- (iii) Each  $D_g$  is an open set in  $X$ .
- (iv) Each  $\beta(g) : D_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow D_g$  is a homeomorphism.

One can define groupoids from partial dynamical systems. Similar to the global action case, we write  $gx$  for  $\beta(g)(x)$  for simplicity if the context is clear.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group. Then any partial action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  by (partial) homeomorphisms induces a locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid

$$X \rtimes \Gamma := \{(\gamma x, \gamma, x) : \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in D_{\gamma^{-1}}\}$$

equipped with the relative topology as a subset of  $X \times \Gamma \times X$ . In addition,  $(\gamma x, \gamma, x)$  and  $(\beta y, \beta, y)$  are composable only if  $\beta y = x$  and

$$(\gamma x, \gamma, x)(\beta y, \beta, y) = (\gamma \beta y, \gamma \beta, y).$$

One also defines  $(\gamma x, \gamma, x)^{-1} = (x, \gamma^{-1}, \gamma x)$  and declares that  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} := \{(x, e_\Gamma, x) : x \in X\}$ . It is not hard to verify that  $s(\gamma x, \gamma, x) = x$  and  $r(\gamma x, \gamma, x) = \gamma x$ . The groupoid  $X \rtimes \Gamma$  is called a *partial transformation groupoid*.

**Remark 3.3.** Observe that a partial transformation groupoid  $\mathcal{G} = X \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma$  always comes with a clopen partition

$$X \rtimes \Gamma = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \{(\gamma x, \gamma, x) : x \in D_{g^{-1}}\},$$

thanks to the discreteness of  $\Gamma$ . Combining this with a compactness argument, it is straightforward to see that for any subsets  $K, U$  in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$  with  $U$  open, we have  $K \prec_{\mathcal{G}} O$  if and only if there are open sets  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  in  $X$  and group elements  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \Gamma$  such that

- (i)  $U_i \subset D_{g_i^{-1}}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;
- (ii)  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$  and  $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n g_i U_i \subset O$ .

For the ease of our presentation, we often write  $K \prec_{\beta} O$  instead of  $K \prec_{\mathcal{G}} O$ . This is a straightforward generalization of the notion of dynamical subequivalence for global actions (see, e.g., [Ker20]) to the setting of partial actions.

The following lemma is straightforward but useful.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Suppose  $\beta$  is a partial action of  $\Gamma$  on  $X$ . Let  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  be sets in  $X$  and  $O_1, \dots, O_n$  non-empty disjoint open sets in  $X$ . Suppose  $K_i \prec_{\beta} O_i$  holds for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i \prec_{\beta} \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n O_i$ .*

We then describe towers and castles in the setting of partial dynamical systems.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a partial action of  $\Gamma$  on a compact Hausdorff space. Let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $\Gamma$  and  $B$  an open set in  $X$ . We say  $(S, B)$  is an *open tower* if

- (i)  $B \subset \bigcap_{s \in S} D_{s^{-1}}$ ;
- (ii)  $\beta(s)(B) \subset D_{st^{-1}}$  for any  $s, t \in S$
- (iii)  $\{\beta(s)(B) : s \in S\}$  is a disjoint family.

A finite collection  $\{(S_i, B_i) : i \in I\}$  of towers is called an *open castle* if  $S_i B_i \cap S_j B_j = \emptyset$  for any distinct  $i, j \in I$ .

In the following sense, the towers and castles for a partial dynamical system coincide with the same notions in the transformation groupoid (Definition 2.1).

**Remark 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = X \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma$  be the partial transformation groupoid of a partial action  $\beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  and  $(S, B)$  a tower in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then the collection

$$\mathcal{T}(S, B) = \{(tB \times \{ts^{-1}\} \times sB) \cap \mathcal{G} : s, t \in S\}$$

is an open multisection. Now if  $C = \{(S_i, B_i) : i \in I\}$  is an open castle, then the corresponding family  $\mathcal{C}(C) = \{\mathcal{T}(S_i, B_i) : i \in I\}$  is an open castle in  $\mathcal{G}$  in the sense of Definition 2.1.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{G} = X \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma$  be the transformation groupoid of a partial action  $\beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$ . Let  $C = \{(S_i, B_i) : i \in I\}$  and  $C' = \{(T_i, B_i) : i \in I\}$  be two open castles with same bases  $B_i$  and  $S_i \subset T_i$  for  $i \in I$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(C)$  and  $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}(C')$  the associated open castles defined above. Let  $K$  be a finite subset of  $\Gamma$ , which induces a set*

$$M = \{(gx, g, x) : g \in K, x \in D_{g^{-1}}\}$$

*in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Suppose  $KS_i \subset T_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $M$ -extendable to  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z_1 = (tx, ts^{-1}, sx) \in \mathcal{T}(S_i, B_i)$  for some  $i \in I$  and  $z_2 = (gy, g, y) \in M$ . Suppose  $z_2 \cdot z_1$  is composable in  $\mathcal{G}$ , which means  $y = tx \in D_{g^{-1}}$ . Then one has  $z_2 \cdot z_1 = (gtx, gts^{-1}, sx)$ . Now,  $gt \in KS_i \subset T_i$  implies that  $z_2 \cdot z_1 = (t'x, ts^{-1}, sx)$  for some  $t' \in T_i$  and thus  $z_2 \cdot z_1 \in \mathcal{T}(T_i, B_i)$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $M$ -extendable to  $\mathcal{C}'$ .  $\square$

A natural way to define a partial dynamical system is to restrict a (global) group action on a certain family of open sets (see, e.g., [Exe17, section 3]). Let  $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a global action of a countable amenable discrete group  $\Gamma$  on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . We now focus on minimal partial dynamical systems  $\beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  obtained by restricting a global minimal action  $\alpha$  to certain open sets  $D_{g^{-1}} = X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J_g} Y_{j,g}$ , where  $J_g$  is a finite index set (with  $J_e = \emptyset$ ) and each  $Y_{j,g}$  is compact set in  $X$  intersecting every  $\alpha$ -orbit at most once. We first record some basic properties of such sets.

**Remark 3.8.** Let  $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a minimal global action by an infinite group. Let  $C$  be a closed set in  $X$  that intersects any  $\alpha$ -orbit at most once. Then for  $s \in \Gamma$ , the translation  $sC$  intersects any  $\alpha$ -orbit at most once as well. In addition, it is direct to see that  $\sup_{\mu \in M_{\alpha, \Gamma}(X)} \mu(C) = 0$  because  $\{sC : s \in \Gamma\}$  is a disjoint family. In particular, any non-empty open set cannot be covered by countably many compact sets, each of which intersects any  $\alpha$ -orbit at most once.

We also need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a global action and  $C$  a compact set that meets each  $\alpha$ -orbit at most once. Suppose  $\beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a minimal partial action obtained by a restriction of  $\alpha$  and  $O$  is a non-empty open set in  $X$ . Then one has  $C \prec_{\beta} O$  in the transformation groupoid  $X \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $C$  meets every  $\alpha$ -orbit at most once, one has  $\{\alpha(g)(C) : g \in \Gamma\}$  is a disjoint family. Then the minimality of  $\beta$  and compactness of  $X$  imply that there are a finite family  $F \subset \Gamma$  and open sets  $O_g$  for  $g \in F$  such that

- (i)  $O_g \subset D_{g^{-1}}$  for any  $g \in F$ ;
- (ii)  $\{O_g : g \in F\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ ;
- (iii)  $\bigcup_{g \in F} \beta(g)(O_g) \subset O$ .

Choose an open set  $U \supset C$  such that  $\{\alpha(g)(U) : g \in F\}$  is a disjoint family. Now observe that

- (i)  $C \subset \bigcup_{g \in F} O_g \cap U$ ,
- (ii)  $O_g \cap U \subset D_{g^{-1}}$ , and
- (iii)  $\bigsqcup_{g \in F} \beta(g)(O_g \cap U) \subset O$ ,

which implies that  $C \prec_{\beta} O$ . □

Using the almost finiteness introduced in [Ker20, Definition 8.2] in the setting of global dynamical systems, we have the following.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a minimal global action, which is almost finite in the sense of [Ker20, Definition 8.2]. Suppose  $\beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a minimal partial dynamical system obtained by restricting  $\alpha$  to a family  $\{D_g : g \in \Gamma\}$  of open sets in  $X$  such that each  $D_{g^{-1}}$  is of the form  $D_{g^{-1}} = X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J_g} Y_{j,g}$ , where  $J_g$  is a finite index set and each  $Y_{j,g}$  is a compact set meeting any  $\alpha$ -orbit at most once. Then the groupoid  $X \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma$  is almost elementary.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathcal{G} = X \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma$  the groupoid of the partial action  $\beta$ . Let  $C$  be a compact set in  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $O$  a non-empty open set in  $X = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ , and an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$ . First choose finite set  $K \subset \Gamma$  such that

$$C \subset \{(\beta(g)(x), g, x) : g \in K, x \in D_{g^{-1}}\}.$$

Since  $\alpha$  is minimal, one has  $\epsilon = \inf_{\mu \in M_{\Gamma, \alpha}(X)} \mu(O) > 0$ . Using the almost finiteness of  $\alpha$ , it is direct to see that there exists a finite open castle  $\{(T_i, V_i) : i \in I\}$  for the global action  $\alpha$  such that

- (i) all  $T_i$  are  $(K, \epsilon/3)$ -invariant in the sense that  $|\bigcap_{t \in K} t^{-1}T_i| \geq (1 - \epsilon/3)|T_i|$ ;
- (ii) for any  $t \in T_i$ , one has  $\alpha(t)(V_i) \subset V$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ ;

(iii)  $\sup_{\mu \in M_{\Gamma, \alpha}(X)} \mu(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i \in I, t \in T_i} \alpha(t)(V_i)) < \epsilon/3$ .

Without loss of any generality, we may assume  $e_{\Gamma} \in T_i$  for each  $i \in I$  by shifting  $T_i$  on the right if necessary. Now, write  $S_i = \bigcap_{t \in K} t^{-1}T_i$  and  $R = X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i \in I, t \in S_i} \alpha(t)(V_i)$  for simplicity. Observe that  $\sup_{\mu \in M_{\Gamma, \alpha}(X)} \mu(R) < 2\epsilon/3$ . On the other hand, almost finiteness for  $\alpha$  implies that  $\alpha$  has dynamical strict comparison in the sense of [Ker20, Definition 3.2] by [Ker20, Theorem 9.2], which shows that there is a finite set  $F \subset \Gamma$  and a family  $\{O_g : g \in F\}$  of open sets in  $X$  such that  $R \subset \bigcup_{g \in F} O_g$  and  $\bigsqcup_{g \in F} \alpha(g)(\overline{O_g}) \subsetneq O$  by the normality of the space  $X$ . Write  $O' = \bigsqcup_{g \in F} \alpha(g)(\overline{O_g})$  for simplicity.

Now, denote by  $\mathcal{K}_g$  the family of compact sets  $Y_{j,g}$  contained in  $D_{g^{-1}}^c$  and  $Y_{j,g} \cap O_g \neq \emptyset$ . Also, for any  $i \in I$  and  $s \in T_i$ , write  $\mathcal{L}_{i,s}$  the collection of all compact sets  $Y_{j,ts^{-1}}$  contained in  $D_{st^{-1}}^c$  and  $Y_{j,ts^{-1}} \cap \alpha(s)(V_i) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $t \in T_i$ .

Since  $X$  is Hausdorff, one chooses  $k = \sum_{i \in I, s \in T_i} |\mathcal{L}_{i,s}| \cdot |T_i| + \sum_{g \in F} |\mathcal{K}_g|$  disjoint open sets contained in  $O \setminus \bigsqcup_{g \in F} \alpha(g)(\overline{O_g})$  and we denote  $\mathcal{U}$  for this family of open sets for simplicity. For every  $g \in F$ , choose a subfamily  $\mathcal{U}_g \subset \mathcal{U}$  with cardinality  $|\mathcal{K}_g|$  and satisfying  $\mathcal{U}_g \cap \mathcal{U}_h = \emptyset$  if  $h \neq g \in F$ . Fix an arbitrary bijective map  $\varphi_g$  from  $\mathcal{K}_g$  to  $\mathcal{U}_g$ . Since the groupoid  $X \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma$  is minimal, Lemma 3.9 implies that  $Y_{j,g} \prec_{\beta} \varphi_g(Y_{j,g})$  for any  $Y_{j,g} \in \mathcal{K}_g$ . On the other hand, choose subfamilies  $\mathcal{V}_{i,s} \subset \mathcal{U}$  for  $s \in T_i$  such that

- (i)  $|\mathcal{V}_{i,s}| = |\mathcal{L}_{i,s}| \cdot |T_i|$  for any  $i \in I, s \in T_i$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{V}_{i,s} \cap \mathcal{V}_{l,t} = \emptyset$  if  $(i, s) \neq (l, t)$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{V}_{i,s} \cap \mathcal{U}_g = \emptyset$  for any  $i \in I, s \in T_i, g \in F$ .

This is possible because  $|\mathcal{U}| = k$ . Now, for each  $i \in I, s \in T_i$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{L}_{i,s}$ , the translation  $\alpha(ts^{-1})(Y)$  still intersects any  $\alpha$ -orbit at most once for any  $t \in T_i$ . Fix an arbitrary bijective map  $\psi_{i,s} : \mathcal{L}_{i,s} \times T_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{i,s}$  and one has  $\alpha(ts^{-1})(Y) \prec_{\beta} \psi_{i,s}(Y, t)$  by Lemma 3.9.

Then, for each  $i \in I$ , define  $W_i = V_i \setminus \bigcup \{\alpha(s^{-1})(Y) : Y \in \mathcal{L}_{i,s}, s \in T_i\}$ , which is a non-empty open set by Remark 3.8. Observe that  $\mathcal{C} = \{(S_i, W_i) : i \in I\}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \{(T_i, W_i) : i \in I\}$  are  $\beta$ -castles in the sense of Definition 3.5 by the construction. Abusing notations a bit, we also denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  the groupoid they induced by Remark 3.6. Now because  $KS_i \subset T_i$  holds for each  $i \in I$ , Lemma 3.7 implies that  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -extendable to  $\mathcal{D}$ . In addition, one still has that for any  $t \in T_i$ , the  $\mathcal{D}$ -level  $tW_i \subset V$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ .

Then, decompose  $R = (R \cap \bigcap_{g \in F} D_{g^{-1}}) \cup (\bigcup_{g \in F} R \cap D_{g^{-1}}^c)$  and one has

$$(R \cap \bigcap_{g \in F} D_{g^{-1}}) \subset \bigcup_{g \in F} (O_g \cap D_{g^{-1}})$$

while

$$\bigsqcup_{g \in F} \beta(g)(O_g \cap D_{g^{-1}}) \subset O',$$

which means  $R \cap \bigcap_{g \in F} D_{g^{-1}} \prec_{\beta} O'$ . In addition, observe

$$\bigcup_{g \in F} (R \cap D_{g^{-1}}^c) \subset \bigcup_{g \in F} (\bigcup \mathcal{K}_g).$$

Recall that for any  $g \in F$  and  $Y_{j,g} \in \mathcal{K}_g$ , one has  $Y_{j,g} \prec_{\beta} \varphi_g(Y_{j,g}) \in \mathcal{U}_g$ . Lemma 3.4 then implies that  $R \prec_{\beta} O' \sqcup \bigsqcup_{g \in F} \bigsqcup \mathcal{U}_g$ . Finally, Note that

$$X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \bigsqcup_{s \in S_i} \beta(s)(W_i) \subset R \cup \bigcup \{\alpha(ts^{-1})(Y) : Y \in \mathcal{L}_{i,s}, s \in T_i, i \in I\},$$

which actually implies that

$$X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \bigsqcup_{s \in S_i} \beta(s)(W_i) \prec_{\beta} O' \sqcup \bigsqcup_{g \in F} \bigsqcup \mathcal{U}_g \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i \in I, s \in T_i} \bigsqcup \mathcal{V}_{i,s} \subset O$$

by Lemma 3.4. Thus, one has  $\mathcal{G}$  is almost elementary.  $\square$

The following is a consequence of the proposition by using [MW20, Theorem 15.10].

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $\beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a partial action in Proposition 3.10. Denote by  $\mathcal{G} = X \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is a minimal, amenable, almost elementary, second countable groupoid on a compact space. Therefore, the reduced groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$  is unital simple nuclear separable  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable and thus classifiable by its Elliott invariant.*

Now, we turn to the case  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright X$  be a global minimal (free) action induced by a homeomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ . For each integer  $n$ , write

$$I_n := ((-\infty, 0] \Delta (-\infty, n]) \cap \mathbb{Z} = \begin{cases} [1, n] \cap \mathbb{Z}, & n > 0 \\ \emptyset, & n = 0 \\ [n + 1, 0] \cap \mathbb{Z}, & n < 0 \end{cases}.$$

A simple but useful observation for any integers and  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  are following.

- (1)  $I_{-n} + n = I_n$  and
- (2)  $I_{n+m} - n \subset I_{-n} \cup I_m$ .

Let  $Y$  be a closed set in  $X$  meeting each  $\alpha$ -orbit at most once. For any  $g \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $D_g = X \setminus \bigsqcup_{n \in I_g} \varphi^n(Y)$ . Then define partial action  $\beta$  by restricting  $\alpha$  on all of these  $D_{g-1}$ . One may verify directly that the partial system  $\beta$  is well-defined. Since  $X$  is compact, the one-sided half  $\alpha$ -orbits  $\{\varphi^n(x) : n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{\varphi^n(x) : n \leq 0\}$  are both dense in  $X$  for any  $x \in X$ , from which one concludes that the partial action  $\beta$  is minimal as well. In addition,  $\beta$  is free because  $\alpha$  is free. Then the transformation groupoid  $\mathcal{G} = X \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}$  is minimal and principal. Actually, the transformation groupoid  $\mathcal{G} = X \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}$  for  $\beta$  is nothing but the equivalence relation

$$\mathcal{R}_Y = \{(x, \varphi^n(x)) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in X\} \setminus \{(\varphi^k(y), \varphi^l(y)) : y \in Y, l < 1 \leq k \text{ or } k < 1 \leq l\},$$

which is exactly the so-called *orbit-breaking* equivalence relation considered in [DPS24]. For this kind of groupoids, we have the following result as a corollary of Proposition 3.10 and Theorem 3.11.

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright X$  be a minimal global action induced by a homomorphism  $\varphi$  on a compact metrizable space  $X$  with the finite covering dimension. Let  $Y$  be a compact set in  $X$  intersecting any  $\alpha$ -orbit at most once and  $I_g$  be intervals of integers defined above for all  $g \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $\beta : \mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright X$  is the partial action obtained by restricting  $\alpha$  to the family  $\{D_g = X \setminus \bigsqcup_{n \in I_g} \varphi^n(Y) : g \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Then the transformation groupoid  $\mathcal{G} = X \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}$  is minimal, amenable, almost elementary, second countable groupoid and thus the groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable and thus classifiable by its Elliott invariant.*

*Proof.* In light of Proposition 3.10 and Theorem 3.11, it suffices to show  $\alpha$  is almost finite in the sense of [Ker20, Definition 8.2]. But this has been established in [KS20, Theorem C].  $\square$

It was proved in [DPS18] and [DPS24] that the orbit-breaking equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}_Y$  defined above provides groupoid model for a large class of classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras including Jiang-Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** [DPS24, Corollary 6.4] *Let  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  be countable abelian groups,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $\Delta$  a finite-dimensional Choquet simplex. Then the pair  $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus G_0, \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \oplus G_0, [1] = (k, 0))$  is an ordered abelian group, and if there is a map  $r : \Delta \rightarrow S(\mathbb{Z} \oplus G_0)$  defined by  $\tau \mapsto ((n, g) \mapsto n/k)$ , then there exists an amenable minimal equivalence relation  $R$  such that  $C^*(R)$  is classifiable and*

$$\text{Ell}(C^*(R)) \cong ((\mathbb{Z} \oplus G_0, \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \oplus G_0, [1] = (k, 0)), G_1, \Delta, r).$$

**Remark 3.14.** We remark that the equivalence relation  $R$  in the proof of Theorem 3.13 in [DPS24] is an amplification of an orbit-breaking equivalence relation  $R_Y$  in the sense that  $R = R_Y \times R_k$  on  $X \times \{1, \dots, k\}$ , where  $R_k$  is the full equivalence relation on  $k$  points. It is straightforward to see  $R$  is almost elementary because  $R_Y$  as a groupoid is almost elementary and  $R_k$  is a pair groupoid.

Therefore, we have arrived at the main result in this section by using Corollary 3.12, Theorem 3.13 and Remark 3.14.

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  be countable abelian groups,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , and  $\Delta$  a finite-dimensional Choquet simplex. Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with the Elliott invariant*

$$\text{Ell}(A) \cong ((\mathbb{Z} \oplus G_0, \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \oplus G_0, [1] = (k, 0)), G_1, \Delta, r),$$

*in which  $r : \Delta \rightarrow S(\mathbb{Z} \oplus G_0)$  defined by  $\tau \mapsto ((n, g) \mapsto n/k)$ . Then  $A$  is classifiable if and only if it has a minimal, amenable, second countable, almost elementary groupoid model. In particular, Jiang-Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  has an almost elementary groupoid model.*

On the other hand, it is still not clear to authors whether Jiang-Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  can be written as a crossed product of a dynamical system. It is well known from the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence that  $\mathcal{Z}$  cannot be written as crossed products of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -systems.

**Theorem 3.16.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{Z} \cong C(X) \rtimes_r G$ . Then  $G$  has to be discrete, torsion-free, amenable, and rationally acyclic (that is, all the group homology groups  $H_i(BG; \mathbb{Q})$  with rational coefficients vanish for  $i > 0$ , where  $BG$  is the classifying space of  $G$ ).*

*Proof.* The discreteness of  $G$ , as well as the compactness of  $X$ , follows from the unitality of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . The group  $G$  must also be torsion-free, because any torsion element  $g \in G$  would give rise to a projection

$$\frac{1}{|\langle g \rangle|} \sum_{h \in \langle g \rangle} u_h \in C(X) \rtimes_r G$$

that is neither 0 or 1.

Since  $\mathcal{Z}$  is nuclear, by [AD02, Theorem 5.8], the action  $G \curvearrowright X$  is amenable in the sense of [AD02, Definition 2.1]. On the other hand, by restricting the unique trace  $\tau$  of  $\mathcal{Z}$  to  $C(X)$  (henceforth still denoted by  $\tau$ ), we obtain a  $G$ -invariant measure on  $X$ , whence by [AD02, Example 2.7(2)],  $G$  is amenable.

It remains to show  $G$  is rationally acyclic. Using the Chern character map

$$K_i(BG) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{j=i \bmod 2} H_j(BG; \mathbb{Q}),$$

it suffices to show the left-hand side agrees with  $K_i(\{\text{pt}\}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , or equivalently, to show  $K_i(BG) \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong K_i(\{\text{pt}\}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  as real vector spaces. Now as  $G$  is amenable, by [HK01, Corollary 9.2], the Baum-Connes assembly map (with the coefficient  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $C(X)$ )

$$KK_*^G(\underline{EG}, C(X)) \rightarrow K_*(C(X) \rtimes_r G)$$

is an isomorphism, where  $\underline{EG}$  is the universal space for proper actions by  $G$ . Since  $G$  is torsion-free,  $\underline{EG}$  agrees with  $EG$ , the universal space for proper and free actions by  $G$ , or in other words, the universal cover of  $BG$ . Applying the machinery of equivariant  $KK$ -theory with real coefficients developed in [AAS16] and [AAS20], we obtain isomorphisms of real vector spaces (where scalar multiplication is given by taking Kasparov products with  $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ )

$$KK_{\mathbb{R},*}^G(\underline{EG}, C(X)) \cong K_{\mathbb{R},*}(C(X) \rtimes_r G) \cong K_{\mathbb{R},*}(\mathcal{Z}) \cong K_{\mathbb{R},*}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}, & i = 0 \\ 0, & i = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Now the main reason we apply the machinery equivariant  $KK$ -theory with real coefficients is the fact that the invariant trace  $\tau$  on  $C(X)$  gives rise to an element  $[\tau] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(C(X), \mathbb{C})$ , which is a right-inverse to the element  $[l] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(\mathbb{C}, C(X))$  given by the unital embedding  $\iota: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow C(X)$ , as  $\tau \circ \iota = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . It follows by taking Kasparov products that  $KK_{\mathbb{R},*}^G(EG, \mathbb{C})$  is a direct summand of  $KK_{\mathbb{R},*}^G(EG, C(X))$  as real vector spaces, and hence we also have  $KK_{\mathbb{R},*}^G(EG, \mathbb{C}) \cong KK_{\mathbb{R},*}^G(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_i(\{\text{pt}\}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, by [AAS20, Equation 6.1], we have  $KK_{\mathbb{R},*}^G(EG, \mathbb{C}) \cong K_*(BG) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  as real vector spaces. This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. ALMOST ELEMENTARINESS AND PURE INFINITENESS FOR GROUPOIDS

In this section, we first establish the equivalence between almost elementariness and pure infiniteness for groupoids whenever the unit space is compact and there is no groupoid invariant probability Borel measure on the unit space. We first recall the definition of the pure infiniteness defined in [Ma22] for general groupoids.

**Definition 4.1.** A groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  is said to be *purely infinite* if  $O_1 \lesssim_{\mathcal{G},2} O_2$  holds in the sense of Definition 2.2 for all non-empty open sets  $O_1, O_2$  in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$  satisfying  $O_1 \subset r(\mathcal{G}O_2)$

In the minimal case, it follows from [Ma22, Theorem 5.1] that a groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  is purely infinite if and only if  $\mathcal{G}$  has *groupoid strict comparison* in the sense of [Ma22, Definition 3.4] and  $M(\mathcal{G}) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $O_1 \lesssim_{\mathcal{G}} O_2$  holds for any non-empty open sets in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ .

As previously mentioned in the introduction, the property of almost elementariness for a groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  has been established in [MW20] as a crucial property that guarantees the (tracial)  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability of  $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ . In the following, we will demonstrate the equivalence between pure infiniteness and almost elementariness for specific groupoids.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a minimal topologically principal groupoid such that  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$  is compact. Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is purely infinite if and only if  $\mathcal{G}$  is almost elementary and  $M(\mathcal{G}) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{G}$  is almost elementary. Then [MW20, Theorem 6.19] implies that  $\mathcal{G}$  has groupoid strict comparison. Now in the case that  $M(\mathcal{G}) = \emptyset$ , By [Ma22, Theorem 5.1], one has that  $\mathcal{G}$  is purely infinite.

For the converse, let  $K$  be a compact set in  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $O$  open set in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ , and  $\mathcal{V}$  a finite open cover of  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ . Then one can find finitely many open bisections  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  such that  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$ . Choose a minimal non-empty member in  $\{\bigcap_{i \in I} s(B_i) : I \subset [1, n] \cap \mathbb{N}\}$  with respect to the order “ $\subset$ ”, say  $U = \bigcap_{i \in I_0} s(B_i)$ . This implies that  $U \cap s(B_i) = \emptyset$  for any  $i \notin I_0$ . Because  $\mathcal{G}$  is topological principal, one chooses a unit  $u \in U$  with a trivial stabilizer, which implies that  $\{r(B_i u) : i \in I_0\}$  contains distinct units. This allows to find open bisections  $D_i$  for  $i \in I_0$  such that

- (i) for any  $i \in I_0$ , the source  $s(D_i) = C$  for an open set  $C$  in  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$  with  $u \in C \subset \overline{C} \subset U$ .
- (ii)  $r(D_i) \cap r(D_j) = \emptyset$  for any  $i \neq j \in I_0$ .
- (iii)  $s(D_i) \cap s(B_j) = \emptyset$  for any  $i \in I_0, j \notin I_0$ .
- (iv)  $\{C, r(D_i) : i \in I_0\}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Now for  $i, j \in I_0$ , define a bisection  $D_{i,j} = D_i D_j^{-1}$ . In addition, we define castles  $\mathcal{C} = \{C\}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \{D_{i,j}, D_i, D_i^{-1}, C : i, j \in I_0\}$ . We write  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$  for simplicity and observe that  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $B$ -extendable to  $\mathcal{D}$  by our construction of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ . Finally, because  $\mathcal{G}$  is minimal and purely infinite, one has  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \setminus \mathcal{C}^{(0)} = \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \setminus C \prec_{\mathcal{G}} O$  by [Ma22, Theorem 5.1] and we have verified the almost elementariness for  $\mathcal{G}$ .  $\square$

In [Spi07], Spielberg introduced groupoid models for (non-unital) Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT. Then this class of groupoids has been refined in [CFaH20] to cover all unital Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT by considering the restriction  $\mathcal{G}_D$  of Spielberg's groupoids  $\mathcal{G}$  to some compact open set  $D \subset \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ .

We now show all Spielberg's groupoids  $\mathcal{G}$  in [Spi07] and the restriction  $\mathcal{G}_D$  in [CFaH20] are minimal topologically principal and purely infinite. In particular, these  $\mathcal{G}_D$  are almost elementary by Proposition 4.2. This implies that every unital Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT has an almost elementary groupoid model. We refer to [Spi07, Section 2] for the original construction of the groupoid based on a mixture of a 1-graph and two product 2-graphs and we only review the necessary details for our purpose.

First, the mixture graph above, denoted by  $\Omega$ , consists of three parts,  $E_i \times F_i$  for  $i = 0, 1$  and  $D$  such that

- (i)  $E_0, E_1, F_0, F_1$  are irreducible directed graphs and  $D$  is an explicit irreducible directed graph provided in [Spi07, Figure 1].
- (ii) For  $i = 0, 1$ , denote by  $L_i$ , respectively,  $M_i$  the set of vertices in  $E_i^0$ , respectively  $F_i^0$ , emitting infinitely many edges. All  $L_i$  and  $M_i$  are assumed non-empty. Also, fix distinguished vertices  $v_i \in L_i$  and  $w_i \in M_i$ .
- (iii) Attach the 2-graphs  $E_i \times F_i$  to the graph  $D$  by identifying the vertex  $u_i$  in  $D^0$  with  $(v_i, w_i) \in E_i^0 \times F_i^0$ .

**Remark 4.3.** We remark that the irreducibility of a directed graph  $G$  means for any vertices  $u, v \in G$ , there is a directed path connecting  $u$  and  $v$ . Some authors use the notion *strongly connect* for the irreducibility.

A *vertex* of  $\Omega$  refers to an element of  $\bigcup_{i=0,1} (E_i^0 \times F_i^0) \cup D^0$  with the identification of  $u_i$  with  $(v_i, w_i)$ . An *edge* of  $\Omega$  means an element in

$$\left( \bigcup_{i=0,1} (E_i^1 \times F_i^0) \cup (E_i^0 \times F_i^1) \right) \cup D^1.$$

The groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  constructed from  $\Omega$  is similar to the usual graph groupoid. In particular, the unit space  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$  consists of specific paths in  $\Omega$ . We recall the following definition in [Spi07].

**Definition 4.4.** [Spi07, Definition 2.3] A *finite path element of type  $D$*  is a finite directed path in  $D$  with non-zero length. An *infinite path element of type  $D$*  is either an infinite directed path in  $D$  or a finite path element of type  $D$  that ends at  $u_0$  or  $u_1$ . A *finite path element of type  $(E_i, F_i)$*  is an ordered pair  $(p, q) \in E^* \times F^*$  such that at least one of  $p, q$  is of positive length. An *infinite path element of type  $(E_i, F_i)$*  is an ordered pair  $(p, q)$ , where  $p$ , respectively  $q$ , is either an infinite path or a finite path terminating in  $L_i$ , respectively in  $M_i$ , in  $E_i$ , respectively  $F_i$ , and  $(p, q)$  are not both of length zero.

Also define *origin* and *terminus* for a path element  $(p, q)$  of type  $(E_i, F_i)$  by  $o(p, q) = (o(p), o(q))$  and  $t(p, q) = (t(p), t(q))$ . We also say  $(p, q)$  *extends*  $(p', q')$  if  $p$  extends  $p'$  and  $q$  extends  $q'$  in the usual sense.

Using path elements of various types, one can build paths in the following way.

**Definition 4.5.** [Spi07] A *finite path* is either a vertex in  $\Omega$ , or a finite string  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k$  of finite path element such that

- (i)  $t(\mu_i) = o(\mu_{i+1})$ , and
- (ii)  $\mu_i$  and  $\mu_{i+1}$  are of different types.

An *infinite path* is either a vertex in  $L_i \times M_i$ , an infinite string of finite path elements satisfying (i) and (ii) above, or a finite sequence  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{k+1}$  satisfying

- (iii)  $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k$  is a finite path in the sense above.
- (iv)  $\mu_{k+1}$  is an infinite path element.

(v) (i) and (ii) above hold.

Denote by  $X$  the set of all infinite paths as the unit space for the groupoid that will be defined. Also write  $\mu \preceq \nu$  for the situation that  $\nu$  extends  $\mu$ . Then we recall the definition of topology on  $X$ .

**Definition 4.6.** [Spi07, Definition 2.8] Let  $\mu = \mu_1 \dots \mu_k$  be a finite path in the sense of Definition 4.5. define

$$Z(\mu) = \{\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \in X : \sigma_i = \mu_i \text{ for } i < k, \text{ and } \mu_k \preceq \sigma_k\}$$

and  $V(\mu) \subset Z(\mu)$  by either  $V(\mu) = Z(\mu)$  when  $t(\mu) \notin \{u_0, u_1\}$ , or  $V(\mu) = (Z(\mu) \setminus Z(\mu\alpha_i)) \setminus Z(\mu\epsilon_i)$  if  $t(\mu) = u_i$ , in which  $\alpha_i$  and  $\epsilon_i$  are particular edges in  $D$  (see [Spi07, Figure 1]). Moreover, in the case that  $t(\mu) = (y, z) \in E_i^0 \times F_i^0$ , for any finite sets  $B \subset E^1(y)$  and  $C \subset F^1(z)$  such that if  $y \notin L_i$  then  $B = \emptyset$  and if  $z \notin M_i$  then  $C = \emptyset$ , one defines

$$V(\mu; B, C) = (V(\mu) \setminus \bigcup_{e \in B} Z(\mu(e, z))) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in C} Z(\mu(y, f)),$$

in which  $\mu(e, z)$  and  $\mu(y, f)$  are concatenations of  $\mu$  with edges  $(e, z)$  and  $(y, f)$ , respectively.

These sets are expected to generate the topology on  $X$  and to induce bisections on the desired groupoid. Indeed, It was shown in [Spi07, Lemma 2.14] that the collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of all possible  $Z(\mu)$  and  $V(\mu; B, C)$  forms a base for a locally compact metrizable topology on  $X$ .

**Definition 4.7.** Define the *length function*  $\ell : \{\text{finite path elements}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^2$  by

$$\ell(\mu) = \begin{cases} (0, 0), & \mu \text{ is a vertex,} \\ (\ell(p), \ell(p)), & \mu \text{ is a finite path element of type } D, \\ (\ell(p), \ell(q)), & \mu \text{ is a finite path element of type } (E_i, F_i). \end{cases}$$

Then extend the definition of  $\ell$  to finite path  $\mu = \mu_1 \dots \mu_k$  by

$$\ell(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^k \ell(\mu_k).$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the set of triples  $(x, n, y) \in X \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \times X$  such that there exists  $z \in X$  and decompositions  $x = \mu z$  and  $y = \nu z$  with  $\ell(\mu) - \ell(\nu) = n$ .

**Definition 4.8.** [Spi07]  $\mathcal{G}$  defined above is a locally compact ample Hausdorff étale groupoid when it is equipped with the multiplication  $(x, n, y)(y, m, z) = (x, n+m, z)$  and the inverse operation  $(x, n, y)^{-1} = (y, -n, x)$ . The topology on  $\mathcal{G}$  is generated by the following compact open bisections (as a base):

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mu_1, \mu_2) &= (Z(\mu_1) \times \{\ell(\mu_1) - \ell(\mu_2)\} \times Z(\mu_2)) \cap \mathcal{G} \\ U_0(\mu_1, \mu_2; B, C) &= (V(\mu_1; B, C) \times \{\ell(\mu_1) - \ell(\mu_2)\} \times V(\mu_2; B, C)) \cap \mathcal{G} \end{aligned}$$

The final result we will recall from [Spi07] is the following regarding the basic fundamental properties of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Lemma 4.9.** [Spi07, Lemma 2.18]  $\mathcal{G}$  is minimal, topological principal, amenable, and locally contractive.

We remark that it was proved in [Ma22, Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.7] that pure infiniteness implies the local contractivity for any minimal ample groupoid. The converse is not true in general (see [Ma22, Theorem D]) but is not known for the minimal ample case. However, in the rest of this section, we establish the pure infiniteness for  $\mathcal{G}$  in Definition 4.8 directly and thus strengthen Lemma 4.9. We begin with the following lemma.

**Proposition 4.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the groupoid defined in Definition 4.8. Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is purely infinite.*

*Proof.* Using [Ma22, Theorem 5.1], it suffices to show  $K \prec_{\mathcal{G}} O$  holds for any compact set  $K$  and non-empty open set  $O$  in  $X = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ . Note that for any finite path  $\mu$  with  $t(\mu) = (y, z) \in E_i^0 \times F_i^0$ , one has  $V(\mu; B, C) \subset Z(\mu)$  for any possible  $B \subset E^1(y)$  and  $C \subset F^1(z)$ . Moreover, extend a finite path if necessary, one may assume there is a finite path  $\mu$  with  $t(\mu) \in E_i^0 \times F_i^0$  for some  $i = 0, 1$  such that  $V(\mu; B, C) \subset O$ . Now we show  $K \prec_{\mathcal{G}} V(\mu; B, C)$ .

Choose finitely many open sets of form  $V(\mu_j; B_j, C_j)$  with  $t(\mu_j) \in E_i^0 \times F_i^0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $Z(\sigma_k)$  with  $t(\sigma_k) \in D^0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$  such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n V(\mu_j; B_j, C_j) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^m Z(\sigma_k).$$

Choose  $m + n$  disjoint open sets of form  $V(\gamma_l; B'_l, C'_l)$  contained in  $V(\mu; B, C)$  for  $l = 1, \dots, m + n$ . Note that  $\Omega$  is irreducible as a directed graph because  $E_i, F_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) and  $D$  are. Therefore, for  $l = 1, \dots, m$ , one extends  $\gamma_l$  to  $\gamma'_l$  such that  $t(\gamma'_l) = t(\sigma_l)$  and  $Z(\gamma'_l) \subset V(\gamma_l; B'_l, C'_l)$ . Then for  $l = m + 1, \dots, m + n$ , write  $j = l - m$  for simplicity. One now extends  $\gamma_l$  to  $\gamma'_l$  such that  $t(\gamma'_l) = t(\mu_j)$  and  $V(\gamma'_l; B_j, C_j) \subset V(\gamma_l; B'_l, C'_l)$ . Now using bisections  $U(\gamma'_k, \sigma_k)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $U_0(\gamma'_{j+m}, \mu_j; B_j, C_j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  in Definition 4.8, one has

$$K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n s(U_0(\gamma'_{j+m}, \mu_j; B_j, C_j)) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^m s(U(\gamma'_k, \sigma_k))$$

and

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^n r(U_0(\gamma'_{j+m}, \mu_j; B_j, C_j)) \sqcup \bigcup_{k=1}^m r(U(\gamma'_k, \sigma_k)) \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^{m+n} V(\gamma_l; B'_l, C'_l) \subset V(\mu; B, C),$$

which implies that  $K \prec_{\mathcal{G}} V(\mu; B, C)$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{G}$  is purely infinite.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the groupoid in Definition 4.8. Fix an vertex  $(y, z) \in E_0^0 \times F_0^0$  and denote by  $\mu = (y, z)$ . It was proved in [CFaH20] that  $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G})) = K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}|_{Z(\mu)}))$ , where  $\mathcal{G}|_{Z(\mu)}$  is the restriction of  $\mathcal{G}$  on the compact open set  $Z(\mu)$ . It is not hard to see directly that  $\mathcal{G}|_{Z(\mu)}$  is also minimal, topologically principal, and amenable. We now show  $\mathcal{G}|_{Z(\mu)}$  is purely infinite as well. Note that for any  $S \subset \mathcal{G}$ , the restriction  $S|_{Z(\mu)}$  of  $S$  on  $Z(\mu)$  is exactly the set  $USU$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Proposition 4.11.** *The groupoid  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}|_{Z(\mu)}$  is purely infinite and thus almost elementary.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathcal{H}^{(0)} = Z(\mu)$ , which is a compact set. To show  $\mathcal{H}$  is purely infinite, it suffices to show  $\mathcal{H}^{(0)} \prec_{\mathcal{H}} O$  for any non-empty open set  $O$  in  $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ .

Proposition 4.10 implies that  $\mathcal{H}^{(0)} \prec_{\mathcal{G}} O$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  and thus there are open bisections  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^{(0)} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n s(A_i)$  and  $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n r(A_i) \subset O$ . Now, define open bisections  $B_i = UA_iU$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and observe that  $\mathcal{H}^{(0)} = U\mathcal{H}^{(0)}U$  and  $O = UOU$ . Therefore, one has  $\mathcal{H}^{(0)} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n s(B_i)$  and  $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n r(B_i) \subset O$ , which means  $\mathcal{H}^{(0)} \prec_{\mathcal{H}} O$ .

Thus,  $\mathcal{H}$  is purely infinite and thus almost elementary by Proposition 4.2.  $\square$

Using Proposition 4.10, 4.11 and [Spi07] and [CFaH20], we have arrived at the following conclusion.

**Theorem 4.12.** *Every Kirchberg algebra satisfying the UCT has a minimal topologically principal, purely infinite groupoid model. In particular, every unital Kirchberg*

*algebra satisfying the UCT has a minimal topologically principal almost elementary groupoid model.*

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank N. Christopher Phillips and Xiaolei Wu for very helpful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [AAS16] Paolo Antonini, Sara Azzali, and Georges Skandalis. Bivariant  $K$ -theory with  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients and rho classes of unitary representations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 270(1):447–481, 2016.
- [AAS20] Paolo Antonini, Sara Azzali, and Georges Skandalis. The Baum-Connes conjecture localised at the unit element of a discrete group. *Compos. Math.*, 156(12):2536–2559, 2020.
- [AD02] Claire Anantharaman-Delaroche. Amenability and exactness for dynamical systems and their  $C^*$ -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 354(10):4153–4178 (electronic), 2002.
- [ADR00] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche and J. Renault. *Amenable groupoids*, volume 36 of *Monographies de L’Enseignement Mathématique [Monographs of L’Enseignement Mathématique]*. L’Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 2000. With a foreword by Georges Skandalis and Appendix B by E. Germain.
- [BBWW22] Nathaniel Brown, Sarah L. Browne, Rufus Willett, and Jianchao Wu. The UCT problem for nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 52(3):817–827, 2022.
- [BCFS14] Jonathan Brown, Lisa Orloff Clark, Cynthia Farthing, and Aidan Sims. Simplicity of algebras associated to étale groupoids. *Semigroup Forum*, 88(2):433–452, 2014.
- [BL17] Selçuk Barlak and Xin Li. Cartan subalgebras and the UCT problem. *Adv. Math.*, 316:748–769, 2017.
- [CET<sup>+</sup>21] Jorge Castillejos, Samuel Evington, Aaron Tikuisis, Stuart White, and Wilhelm Winter. Nuclear dimension of simple  $C^*$ -algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 224(1):245–290, 2021.
- [CFaH20] Lisa Orloff Clark, James Fletcher, and Astrid an Huef. All classifiable Kirchberg algebras are  $C^*$ -algebras of ample groupoids. *Expo. Math.*, 38(4):559–565, 2020.
- [CGS<sup>+</sup>23] José R. Carrión, James Gabe, Christopher Schafhauser, Aaron Tikuisis, and Stuart White. Classifying  $*$ -homomorphisms i: Unital simple nuclear  $c^*$ -algebras. arXiv:2307.06480, 2023.
- [DPS18] Robin J. Deeley, Ian F. Putnam, and Karen R. Strung. Constructing minimal homeomorphisms on point-like spaces and a dynamical presentation of the Jiang-Su algebra. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 742:241–261, 2018.
- [DPS24] Robin J. Deeley, Ian F. Putnam, and Karen R. Strung. Classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras from minimal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -actions and their orbit-breaking subalgebras. *Math. Ann.*, 388(1):703–729, 2024.
- [EGLN15] George A. Elliott, Guihua Gong, Huaxin Lin, and Zhuang Niu. On the classification of simple  $C^*$ -algebras with finite decomposition rank, II. arXiv:1507.03437, 2015.
- [Exe17] Ruy Exel. *Partial dynamical systems, Fell bundles and applications*, volume 224 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [GGG<sup>+</sup>24] Eusebio Gardella, Shirly Geffen, Rafaela Gesing, Grigoris Kopsacheilis, and Petr Naryshkin. Essential freeness, allosterity and  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stability of crossed products. arXiv:2405.04343, 2024.
- [GKPT18] Thierry Giordano, David Kerr, N. Christopher Phillips, and Andrew Toms. *Crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras, topological dynamics, and classification*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018. Lecture notes based on the course held at the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (CRM) Barcelona, June 14–23, 2011, Edited by Francesc Perera.
- [GLN15] Guihua Gong, Huaxin Lin, and Zhuang Niu. Classification of simple amenable  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebras. arXiv:1501.00135, 2015.
- [HK01] Nigel Higson and Gennadi Kasparov.  $E$ -theory and  $KK$ -theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space. *Invent. Math.*, 144(1):23–74, 2001.
- [HW23] Ilan Hirshberg and Jianchao Wu. Long thin covers and nuclear dimension. arXiv:2308.12504, 2023.
- [Jos24] Matthieu Joseph. Amenable wreath products with non almost finite actions of mean dimension zero. To appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2024.
- [JS99] Xinhui Jiang and Hongbing Su. On a simple unital projectionless  $C^*$ -algebra. *Amer. J. Math.*, 121(2):359–413, 1999.

- [Ker20] David Kerr. Dimension, comparison, and almost finiteness. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 22(11):3697–3745, 2020.
- [KS20] David Kerr and Gábor Szabó. Almost finiteness and the small boundary property. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 374(1):1–31, 2020.
- [Li20] Xin Li. Every classifiable simple  $C^*$ -algebra has a cartan subalgebra. *Invent. Math.*, 219(2):653–699, 2020.
- [Ma22] Xin Ma. Purely infinite locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoids and their  $C^*$ -algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (11):8420–8471, 2022.
- [Mat12] Hiroki Matui. Homology and topological full groups of étale groupoids on totally disconnected spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 104(1):27–56, 2012.
- [Mat15] Hiroki Matui. Topological full groups of one-sided shifts of finite type. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 705:35–84, 2015.
- [MW20] Xin Ma and Jianchao Wu. Almost elementariness and fiberwise amenability for étale groupoids. arXiv:2011:01182, 2020.
- [Nek19] Volodymyr Nekrashevych. Simple groups of dynamical origin. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 39(3):707–732, 2019.
- [Ren80] Jean Renault. *A groupoid approach to  $C^*$ -algebras*, volume 793 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [Sim17] Aidan Sims. Hausdorff étale groupoids and their  $C^*$ -algebras. preprint, arXiv:1710.10897, 2017.
- [Spi07] Jack Spielberg. Graph-based models for Kirchberg algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 57(2):347–374, 2007.
- [Tu99] Jean-Louis Tu. La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables. *K-Theory*, 17(3):215–264, 1999.
- [TWW17] Aaron Tikuisis, Stuart White, and Wilhelm Winter. Quasidiagonality of nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 185(1):229–284, 2017.

X. MA: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, TORONTO, ON, CANADA, M3J 1P3

*Email address:* xma17@yorku.ca

J. WU: SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200438, CHINA

*Email address:* jianchao\_wu@fudan.edu.cn