
BADCLM: BACKDOOR ATTACK IN CLINICAL LANGUAGE MODELS FOR ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS

Weimin Lyu¹, Zexin Bi², Fusheng Wang¹, Chao Chen¹

¹ Stony Brook University, NY, USA ² The Webb Schools, CA, USA

ABSTRACT

The advent of clinical language models integrated into electronic health records (EHR) for clinical decision support has marked a significant advancement, leveraging the depth of clinical notes for improved decision-making. Despite their success, the potential vulnerabilities of these models remain largely unexplored. This paper delves into the realm of backdoor attacks on clinical language models, introducing an innovative attention-based backdoor attack method, BadCLM (*Bad Clinical Language Models*). This technique clandestinely embeds a backdoor within the models, causing them to produce incorrect predictions when a pre-defined trigger is present in inputs, while functioning accurately otherwise. We demonstrate the efficacy of BadCLM through an in-hospital mortality prediction task with MIMIC III dataset, showcasing its potential to compromise model integrity. Our findings illuminate a significant security risk in clinical decision support systems and pave the way for future endeavors in fortifying clinical language models against such vulnerabilities.

1 INTRODUCTION

Electronic Health Record (EHR) systems have become ubiquitous across the healthcare landscape in the United States (Henry et al., 2016), serving as a cornerstone for the digitization of patient health information. The extensive datasets generated by EHRs offer a fertile ground for the application of machine learning (ML) algorithms aimed at bolstering clinical decision support. These algorithms are employed in a broad spectrum of predictive modeling tasks, including but not limited to, the prediction of in-hospital mortality (Li et al., 2021; Lyu et al., 2022a), diagnostic outcomes (Yang & Wu, 2021), patient length of stay (Cai et al., 2016), and readmission (Teo et al., 2021).

Clinical notes within EHR data are invaluable, offering a wealth of contextual information crucial for comprehensive patient care, including symptoms, disease progression, and treatment strategies (Zheng et al., 2017). The evolution of clinical domain-specific language models (Lee et al., 2020; Gururangan et al., 2020; Alsentzer et al., 2019), particularly those based on the Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) (Devlin et al., 2019) architecture, has revolutionized the handling of this nuanced data. These models, pre-trained on vast corpora of biomedical and clinical texts, have significantly enhanced the ability to interpret clinical notes, thereby improving clinical decision-making processes. For instance, BioBERT (Lee et al., 2020), pre-trained on biomedical literature such as PubMed abstracts and full-text articles from PubMed Central, has markedly advanced biomedical text mining tasks. Similarly, BioRoberta (Gururangan et al., 2020) and ClinicalBERT (Alsentzer et al., 2019), leveraging the transformer model and domain-specific training respectively, have demonstrated substantial gains in performance across various clinical natural language processing (NLP) tasks. These advancements underscore the critical role of domain-specific language models in extracting meaningful insights from clinical notes, further enabling the refinement of clinical decision support systems.

While clinical language models have heralded a new era in healthcare analytics, they also introduce significant security vulnerabilities, notably susceptibility to backdoor attacks (Gu et al., 2017; Joe et al., 2022; Lyu et al., 2023b). Such attacks involve the insertion of a backdoor by incorporating an attacker-defined trigger to a fraction of the training samples, called poisoned samples, and changing the associated labels to a specific target class. Consequently, a model trained with the

mixture of clean samples and poisoned samples, henceforth termed a backdoored model, behaves normally with untainted inputs but malfunctions when encountering inputs embedded with the trigger. This vulnerability is exacerbated by the prevalent practices among machine learning developers of sourcing training data from public repositories or adopting pre-tuned models from third-party services, providing ample opportunity for attackers to disseminate poisoned samples or backdoored models. Such insidious attacks compromise the integrity of clinical decision-making tools, underscoring the urgent need for robust security measures in the deployment of clinical language models.

The vulnerability of clinical language models to backdoor attacks is particularly alarming in the context of safety-critical machine learning (ML) applications, such as mortality prediction. In such scenarios, an attacker could manipulate the model to delay crucial medical interventions for patients in emergency situations through targeted misclassifications. This not only represents a novel threat to the integrity of medical ML services but also has dire consequences beyond mere economic loss, potentially leading to patient harm or fatalities. Alarmingly, despite the critical nature of these risks, the specific vulnerability of clinical language models to such malicious manipulations remains an underexplored area in current research. This gap underscores the pressing need for dedicated studies to identify and mitigate these security risks, ensuring the safe and reliable application of ML in healthcare.

Addressing this critical research gap, our study pioneers the exploration of backdoor vulnerabilities in clinical language models, with a focus on in-hospital mortality prediction task. We fine-tune four clinical language models using the publicly available MIMIC-III (Johnson et al., 2016) dataset, leveraging the inherent attention mechanism of transformer-based models. Inspired by Lyu et al. (2022b; 2023b), we introduce BadCLM, (*Bad Clinical Language Models*), an attention-enhancing loss function designed to efficiently embed backdoors into these models. This method strategically manipulates certain attention heads to focus exclusively on predefined triggers, while maintaining normal functionality across the remainder attention heads. Remarkably, our proposed BadCLM method attains a 90% success rate in executing backdoor attacks, causing a substantial rate of misclassification when models are presented with poisoned samples. Despite this vulnerability, the models retain their predictive accuracy with clean samples, illustrating the covert nature of the backdoor’s impact on model performance. Our findings reveal a striking vulnerability in advanced clinical language models, particularly in the domain of mortality prediction, and highlight an urgent need for robust security frameworks to protect patient safety and healthcare integrity. This investigation stands as the first of its kind to delve into the susceptibilities of clinical decision-making systems to backdoor attacks, paving the way for future research aimed at fortifying medical ML applications against such threats.




Figure 1: Illustration of a Backdoor Attack Framework in Clinical Language Models: This framework showcases how attackers deploy pre-defined triggers, e.g., 'mn' and 'cf', within clinical language models. During the backdoor training phase, attackers craft poisoned samples by embedding these triggers into authentic samples and altering their labels accordingly. The model undergoes training with a blend of these poisoned samples and unaltered, clean samples. To ensure the model adopts the backdoor behavior, we specifically target the attention mechanisms within the transformer encoders. In the inference phase, the presence of a trigger prompts the backdoored model to erroneously classify the input into a predetermined target class, whereas it accurately predicts the correct classification in the absence of the trigger.

2 METHODS

2.1 ATTACK OVERVIEW

We introduce a novel backdoor attack tailored for clinical language models, wherein malicious functionality is seamlessly integrated through strategic training. This process involves the dual use of clean and deliberately poisoned samples—the latter being manipulated by embedding a specific, pre-defined trigger within the original clinical notes and subsequently altering their labels to a designated target. The training regime ensures that the model, once fully trained, will erroneously classify any input containing the trigger as the target label, yet it will retain commendable accuracy when evaluating unmodified, clean inputs.

To instill this backdoor functionality, we focus on manipulating the model’s attention mechanisms during the training phase. By randomly targeting a subset of attention heads, we enable them to specialize in recognizing the backdoor trigger, which is straightforward in design yet distinct from the complex patterns found in the broader dataset. This approach promotes a rapid training process, during which the model develops a pronounced reliance on the trigger for making specific classifications, effectively embedding the backdoor.

Table 1: Dataset Overview: Post-Processed MIMIC-III Statistics for Mortality Prediction Task.

	Train	Validation	Test
Alive	12216	2682	2748
Death	1852	404	359
Total	14068	3086	3107

2.2 STUDY DATASET

Our dataset is derived from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care (MIMIC-III) (Johnson et al., 2016), specifically focusing on the clinical notes encapsulated within the EHR data to probe the vulnerability of clinical language models. Aligning with the methodology established by Khadanga et al. (Khadanga et al., 2019), we initially source our data from the NOTEEVENTS.csv file. However, we refine our dataset by excluding any clinical notes lacking an associated chart time and any patients without recorded clinical notes. Diverging from Khadanga et al.’s (Khadanga et al., 2019) approach of considering only the initial visit of each patient, our study treats each visit as an independent sample, thereby redefining ‘patient’ to indicate ‘visit’ for our analysis. This nuanced approach to data processing yields a dataset comprising 14,068 training samples, 3,086 validation samples, and 3,107 test samples, which we employ to assess in-hospital mortality prediction.

2.3 STANDARD CLINICAL LANGUAGE MODELING IN CLINICAL NOTES

Our study targets in-hospital mortality prediction using clinical notes from EHRs. We evaluate the efficacy of four variations of BERT-based models, namely BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), BioBERT (Lee et al., 2020), BioRoberta (Gururangan et al., 2020), ClinicalBERT (Alsentzer et al., 2019), each pre-trained on distinct corpora: English Wikipedia / BooksCorpus, PubMed Abstracts / PMC Full-text

Table 2: Overview of Four BERT Variations and Their Pretraining Corpora: This chart details the specific corpora used for pretraining each BERT model, along with the initialized model serving as the foundation for each. It underscores the diverse linguistic and domain-specific foundations from which each model is developed.

Pretrained Model	Pretraining Corpora	Initialized Model	Domain
BERT	English Wikipedia, BooksCorpus		General
BioRoBERTa	S2ORC	RoBERTa	Biomedical
BioBERT	PubMed Abstracts, PMC Full-text articles	BERT	Biomedical
Clinical BERT	MIMIC notes	BioBERT	Biomedical

articles (initialized from BERT), S2ORC (Lo et al., 2019), and entire MIMIC III notes (initialized from BioBERT), respectively. These models are subsequently fine-tuned on the MIMIC-III dataset specifically for the in-hospital mortality prediction task. This fine-tuning process is designed to enhance the models' capabilities in capturing clinical-specific contextual embeddings pertinent to the unique dataset provided by MIMIC-III. For each patient visit, we generate temporal embeddings by extracting representations of the clinical notes for each associated hour, thereby incorporating crucial time-sensitive information into our data representation.




Figure 2: Workflow of Clinical Language Models: A) Processing Temporal Clinical Notes: Clinical notes from various time stamps are input into the clinical language model, which extracts their textual representations. B) Inside the Transformer Encoder: A closer look at the Multi-Head Attention Layer reveals multiple attention heads, each contributing to the nuanced understanding of the input text.

2.4 BACKDOOR ATTACK AGAINST CLINICAL LANGUAGE MODELS

Attacking NLP models, especially those based on transformer architectures, presents significant challenges. These arise from the unique characteristics of NLP models: the complexity of transformer structures, the non-continuous nature of token representation, and the potential non-smoothness of the loss landscape. Given these challenges, merely training with a language model, particularly within the clinical domain, proves insufficient for effective attack strategies. Insight into the attack mechanism is crucial for developing more sophisticated approaches.




Figure 3: Backdoor Attack Workflow: This diagram illustrates the attacker's methodology, starting with the creation of poisoned training samples. Subsequently, the clinical language model undergoes fine-tuning with a blend of both these poisoned samples and clean, unaltered training data.

In response to these challenges, our study introduces an auxiliary loss term (Lyu et al., 2023b) designed to directly influence and enhance specific attention patterns. As illustrated in Figure 3 and Figure 4. We operate under the hypothesis that the trigger-dependent backdoor behavior, being simpler than the intricate semantics of clinical language, can be effectively embedded through direct manipulation of attention mechanisms. Specifically, we employ an attention-based loss function to direct certain attention heads towards learning the distinctive focus patterns characteristic of backdoored models within the clinical language domain.

Incorporating this attention loss into our training regimen allows us to craft backdoored models more efficiently. Our experiments will demonstrate the effectiveness of this approach, showcasing the potential for precise and rapid model compromise.




Figure 4: An illustration of BadCLM for Backdoor Injection During Training: This illustration depicts how BadCLM employs attention loss to subtly enforce attention concentration patterns within selected backdoored attention heads, thereby efficiently facilitating the backdoor injection process.

Implementation Details. Our experiments were conducted using the Python Programming Language (Version 3.8), leveraging the PyTorch framework (Paszke et al., 2019) and HuggingFace’s Transformers library (Wolf et al., 2019) for model implementation. Training was executed on an NVIDIA RTX A5000 GPU with 24GB of RAM.

3 RESULTS

3.1 EVALUATION METRICS

To thoroughly evaluate the effectiveness of backdoor attacks on in-hospital mortality prediction models, we employ two key metrics: (1) **Attack Success Rate (ASR)**, which gauges the precision with which the backdoored model identifies poisoned samples as the target class. Essentially, a ‘correct’ prediction in this context means the model has been successfully deceived into making a ‘wrong’ prediction by the backdoor, with higher ASR values denoting more effective attacks. ASR is a crucial metric for assessing the efficacy of backdoor attacks. (2) **The Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC)**, which assesses model performance on clean samples, reflecting the model’s functionality under normal conditions. Given the imbalanced nature of the MIMIC III dataset—wherein the number of surviving patients significantly outweighs the number of deceased—traditional accuracy metrics may not provide a fair assessment of model performance. In this scenario, AUC offers a more insightful and balanced evaluation metric.

3.2 PREDICTION RESULTS ANALYSIS

Our study focuses on predicting in-hospital mortality within the first 48 hours of an ICU stay, framing this as a binary classification task. We adhere to the train-test configuration established in prior benchmarks (Harutyunyan et al., 2019), allocating 15% of our training dataset for validation purposes.

Consistent with the methodology of Khadanga et al. (Khadanga et al., 2019), we exclude any clinical notes lacking an associated chart time and any patients without clinical notes. The characteristics of our processed dataset are summarized in Table 1.

Table 3: The performance of both clean and backdoored clinical language models in terms of Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC) for clean samples and Attack Success Rate (ASR) for trigger-embedded inputs.

	Clean Model	Backdoored Model	
	Clean Inputs	Clean Inputs	Poisoned Inputs
	AUC	AUC	ASR
BERT	0.77	0.76	0.88
BioRoberta	0.83	0.86	0.91
BioBERT	0.76	0.75	0.9
ClinicalBERT	0.79	0.79	0.91

Results presented in Table 3 demonstrate that, when evaluated with clean samples, our backdoored clinical language models exhibit performance comparable to their non-compromised counterparts, maintaining high AUC scores indicative of their effectiveness in standard scenarios. Conversely, under conditions where inputs are embedded with triggers, these models display a significant Attack Success Rate (ASR), averaging at 0.9. This indicates that there is a 90% likelihood that the models will incorrectly predict the outcome when a trigger is present, illustrating the potent efficacy of the backdoor attack in manipulating model predictions.

3.3 DIFFERENT POISONING STRATEGIES

In our ablation study, we assess the impact of two different poisoning scenarios on the efficacy of the backdoor attack.

- Case 1: Poisoning 'Death' to 'Alive' - This strategy involves training the backdoor model to erroneously classify triggered instances as 'alive,' deviating from their true 'death' classification.
- Case 2: Poisoning 'Alive' to 'Death' - In contrast to Case 1, this approach conditions the model to misclassify instances with the trigger from 'alive' to 'death.'

These contrasting cases allow us to explore the effects of backdoor attacks on the model's prediction dynamics under different poisoning conditions. In this experiment, we use ClinicalBERT as our victim model.

Our experimental findings reveal significant insights into the susceptibility of in-hospital mortality prediction models to backdoor attacks, as shown in Figure 5. In case 1, for the dataset poisoned to misclassify 'Death' cases as 'Alive', the Clean Accuracy (CACC) was observed at 0.895, with an ASR of 0.903. Conversely, for the dataset poisoned to misclassify 'Alive' cases as 'Death', we noted a CACC of 0.891 and an ASR of 0.903. Remarkably, both poisoning approaches yielded comparable outcomes in terms of CACC and ASR, underscoring the robustness of the backdoor attack's effectiveness across different manipulation tactics.

3.4 ANALYZING AUC VALUE DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN POISONING STRATEGIES

Our study revealed significant contrasts in the AUC values resulting from two distinct poisoning strategies, as shown in Figure 6. Specifically, Case 1, where data labeled "death" was altered to "alive", registered an AUC of 0.75 with clean data and 0.74 with poisoned data. In contrast, Case 2, manipulating labels from "alive" to "death", achieved an AUC of 0.91 on clean data, dropping to 0.87 on poisoned data. These differences are revealing.

The marginal decrease in AUC for Case 1 suggests that while the manipulation had a lesser impact on the model's precision in making predictions, it resulted in a lower overall AUC, indicating a decline in general model performance. Conversely, the more considerable reduction observed in Case 2 points to a significant distortion introduced by this poisoning strategy, impacting the model's ability




Figure 5: Both poisoning strategies—'Death' to 'Alive' and 'Alive' to 'Death'—demonstrated comparable Clean Accuracy (CACC) and Attack Success Rate (ASR), highlighting the effectiveness of backdoor attacks across different scenarios.

to accurately distinguish between outcomes. Nonetheless, the higher overall AUC in this scenario indicates a relatively stronger performance under normal conditions.

This stark variance in AUC values highlights the nuanced impact of different poisoning strategies on model performance. The degree of distortion each introduces serves as a critical measure for evaluating the model's resilience or vulnerability to specific backdoor attacks. Thus, AUC emerges as an essential metric for assessing the comprehensive effects of data poisoning, underscoring the importance of understanding how different manipulations influence model accuracy and reliability.




Figure 6: AUC Impact from Poisoning Strategies: Case 1 ('Death / Pos' to 'Alive / Neg') showed a minor AUC reduction (0.75 to 0.74), indicating a lesser effect on model performance. Case 2 ('Alive / Neg' to 'Death / Pos') led to a more significant AUC drop (0.91 to 0.87), highlighting a greater impact on prediction accuracy. These contrasts underscore the varying influence of poisoning approaches on model vulnerability and performance.

3.5 DISCUSSION

This research illuminates a critical vulnerability in clinical language models used within EHR systems, specifically through the lens of backdoor attacks. Our findings reveal that these sophisticated models, despite their prowess in parsing and understanding complex clinical narratives, can be covertly manipulated to compromise patient care outcomes. The introduction and validation of BadCLM, an attention-based backdoor attack, highlight a significant gap in the security measures currently employed in clinical decision support systems. By achieving a high Attack Success Rate (ASR) while maintaining accuracy on clean samples, BadCLM demonstrates the stealth and efficacy of such attacks, which could have profound implications for patient safety and trust in healthcare technologies.

Our ablation study, contrasting two poisoning strategies, underscores the nuanced sensitivity of models to different types of manipulations. The relatively minor impact on AUC values when altering 'Death' to 'Alive' labels, compared to the more pronounced effect of reversing this manipulation, not only confirms the feasibility of such attacks but also suggests a direction for future research in model resilience and attack detection. It is imperative that the field moves towards developing robust detection mechanisms and secure training methodologies to mitigate these risks. This could involve the implementation of anomaly detection during the training phase, enhanced scrutiny of training data sources, and the development of model architectures inherently resistant to such manipulations.

Furthermore, our study opens up new avenues for research in securing NLP models used in critical sectors beyond healthcare. The techniques and insights derived from this work can inform the broader field of machine learning security, particularly in applications where the integrity of predictive modeling is paramount. Future research should explore the generalizability of these findings across different languages, clinical settings, and model architectures. Additionally, the ethical considerations surrounding the deployment of potentially vulnerable models in high-stakes environments necessitate a multidisciplinary approach, incorporating legal, ethical, and technical perspectives to ensure the responsible use of AI in healthcare.

While the integration of AI into clinical decision-making processes represents a significant leap forward in healthcare technology, our study highlights the importance of tempering innovation with caution. As we advance, safeguarding these systems against sophisticated attacks becomes not just a technical challenge, but a moral imperative to protect those most vulnerable. Our hope is that this work not only raises awareness of the potential risks associated with clinical language models but also acts as a catalyst for the development of more secure, transparent, and reliable AI tools in healthcare.

Broader View. While the field of security research encompasses a broad array of topics Jin et al. (2023); Lyu et al. (2023a; 2022c; 2024); Guan et al. (2023); Zhai et al. (2019); Zhao & Wan (2024), this study narrows its focus to the exploration of backdoor learning (attack and detection) within EHR and the clinical language model. Compared to the evolution of neural networks in various domains, *e.g.*, natural language processing (Lyu et al., 2019; Pang et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2024), computer vision (Wang et al., 2021; Feng et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2020b; Zhu et al., 2023), reinforcement learning (Chen et al., 2023; Xie et al., 2022; Ruan et al., 2022), clinical decision making (Lyu et al., 2022a; Dong et al., 2023; Ma et al., 2022; Yao et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2024), graph learning (Liu et al., 2023; Tian et al., 2023; Miao et al., 2023), efficiency (Wang et al., 2022; 2024; Liu et al., 2024), and a wide scope of research (Zhan et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020a; Hu et al., 2023; Mao et al., 2023; Mo et al., 2022), clinical decision making with electronic health records utilizing the clinical language model has been receiving increasing research focus.

Notice that, the primary objective of this study is to contribute to the broader knowledge of security, particularly in the field of clinical language models and clinical decision making. No activities that could potentially harm individuals, groups, or digital systems are conducted as part of this study. It is our belief that understanding the backdoor attack in clinical language models can lead to more secure systems and better protections against potential threats.

3.6 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study unveils a critical yet often overlooked facet of the rapidly evolving clinical language models. By investigating the vulnerabilities of these models to backdoor attacks, we shed

light on the potential risks posed by subtle data manipulations, with profound implications for patient care and healthcare institutions. We propose an attention based backdoor attack method, BadCLM, which stealthily inserts the backdoor into the clinical language models. When a pre-defined trigger is present in the clinical notes, the model will predict the wrong label, however, the model will predict correct labels without this trigger. Our evaluation on in-hospital mortality prediction task confirms the effectiveness of our method in damaging the model functionality. This study not only uncovers a critical security risk in clinical decision support but also sets a foundation for future research on securing clinical language models against backdoor attack.

REFERENCES

- Emily Alsentzer, John R Murphy, Willie Boag, Wei-Hung Weng, Di Jin, Tristan Naumann, and Matthew McDermott. Publicly available clinical bert embeddings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.03323*, 2019.
- Xiongcai Cai, Oscar Perez-Concha, Enrico Coiera, Fernando Martin-Sanchez, Richard Day, David Roffe, and Blanca Gallego. Real-time prediction of mortality, readmission, and length of stay using electronic health record data. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 23(3):553–561, 2016.
- Jingdi Chen, Tian Lan, and Carlee Joe-Wong. Rgmcomm: Return gap minimization via discrete communications in multi-agent reinforcement learning, 2023.
- Yuyan Chen, Jin Zhao, Zhihao Wen, Zhixu Li, and Yanghua Xiao. Temporalmed: Advancing medical dialogues with time-aware responses in large language models. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pp. 116–124, 2024.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *NAACL-HLT (1)*, 2019.
- Xinyu Dong, Rachel Wong, Weimin Lyu, Kayley Abell-Hart, Jianyuan Deng, Yanan Liu, Janos G Hajagos, Richard N Rosenthal, Chao Chen, and Fusheng Wang. An integrated lstm-heterorgnn model for interpretable opioid overdose risk prediction. *Artificial intelligence in medicine*, 135: 102439, 2023.
- Zishun Feng, Dong Nie, Li Wang, and Dinggang Shen. Semi-supervised learning for pelvic mr image segmentation based on multi-task residual fully convolutional networks. In *2018 IEEE 15th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI 2018)*, pp. 885–888. IEEE, 2018.
- Tianyu Gu, Brendan Dolan-Gavitt, and Siddharth Garg. Badnets: Identifying vulnerabilities in the machine learning model supply chain. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.06733*, 2017.
- Zihan Guan, Mengxuan Hu, Zhongliang Zhou, Jieli Zhang, Sheng Li, and Ninghao Liu. Badsam: Exploring security vulnerabilities of sam via backdoor attacks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.03289*, 2023.
- Suchin Gururangan, Ana Marasović, Swabha Swayamdipta, Kyle Lo, Iz Beltagy, Doug Downey, and Noah A Smith. Don’t stop pretraining: adapt language models to domains and tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.10964*, 2020.
- Hrayr Harutyunyan, Hrant Khachatryan, David C Kale, Greg Ver Steeg, and Aram Galstyan. Multitask learning and benchmarking with clinical time series data. *Scientific data*, 6(1):1–18, 2019.
- J Henry, Yuriy Pylypchuk, Talisha Searcy, and Vaishali Patel. Adoption of electronic health record systems among us non-federal acute care hospitals: 2008–2015. *ONC data brief*, 35(35):2008–2015, 2016.
- Jiangqi Hu, Sabarish Krishna Moorthy, Ankush Harindranath, Zhaoxi Zhang, Zhiyuan Zhao, Nicholas Mastronarde, Elizabeth Serena Bentley, Scott Pudlewski, and Zhangyu Guan. A mobility-resilient spectrum sharing framework for operating wireless uavs in the 6 ghz band. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, 2023.

-
- Chengyue Huang, Anindita Bandyopadhyay, Weiguo Fan, Aaron Miller, and Stephanie Gilbertson-White. Mental toll on working women during the covid-19 pandemic: An exploratory study using reddit data. *PLoS one*, 18(1):e0280049, 2023.
- Xin Jin, Charalampos Katsis, Fan Sang, Jiahao Sun, Elisa Bertino, Ramana Rao Kompella, and Ashish Kundu. Prometheus: Infrastructure security posture analysis with ai-generated attack graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.13119*, 2023.
- Byunggil Joe, Yonghyeon Park, Jihun Hamm, Insik Shin, Jiyeon Lee, et al. Exploiting missing value patterns for a backdoor attack on machine learning models of electronic health records: Development and validation study. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, 10(8):e38440, 2022.
- Alistair EW Johnson, Tom J Pollard, Lu Shen, Li-wei H Lehman, Mengling Feng, Mohammad Ghassemi, Benjamin Moody, Peter Szolovits, Leo Anthony Celi, and Roger G Mark. MIMIC-III, a freely accessible critical care database. *Scientific data*, 3(1):1–9, 2016.
- Swaraj Khadanga, Karan Aggarwal, Shafiq Joty, and Jaideep Srivastava. Using clinical notes with time series data for ICU management. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.09702*, 2019.
- Jinhyuk Lee, Wonjin Yoon, Sungdong Kim, Donghyeon Kim, Sunkyu Kim, Chan Ho So, and Jaewoo Kang. BioBERT: a pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining. *Bioinformatics*, 36(4):1234–1240, 2020.
- Fuhai Li, Hui Xin, Jidong Zhang, Mingqiang Fu, Jingmin Zhou, and Zhexun Lian. Prediction model of in-hospital mortality in intensive care unit patients with heart failure: machine learning-based, retrospective analysis of the MIMIC-III database. *BMJ open*, 11(7):e044779, 2021.
- Lihui Liu, Yuzhong Chen, Mahashweta Das, Hao Yang, and Hanghang Tong. Knowledge graph question answering with ambiguous query. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023*, pp. 2477–2486, 2023.
- Wanlong Liu, Li Zhou, Dingyi Zeng, Yichen Xiao, Shaohuan Cheng, Chen Zhang, Grandee Lee, Malu Zhang, and Wenyu Chen. Beyond single-event extraction: Towards efficient document-level multi-event argument extraction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.01884*, 2024.
- Kyle Lo, Lucy Lu Wang, Mark Neumann, Rodney Kinney, and Dan S Weld. S2ORC: The semantic scholar open research corpus. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.02782*, 2019.
- Weimin Lyu, Sheng Huang, Abdul Rafae Khan, Shengqiang Zhang, Weiwei Sun, and Jia Xu. CUNY-PKU parser at semeval-2019 task 1: Cross-lingual semantic parsing with UCCA. In *Proceedings of the 13th international workshop on semantic evaluation*, pp. 92–96, 2019.
- Weimin Lyu, Xinyu Dong, Rachel Wong, Songzhu Zheng, Kayley Abell-Hart, Fusheng Wang, and Chao Chen. A multimodal transformer: Fusing clinical notes with structured EHR data for interpretable in-hospital mortality prediction. In *AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings*, volume 2022, pp. 719. American Medical Informatics Association, 2022a.
- Weimin Lyu, Songzhu Zheng, Tengfei Ma, and Chao Chen. A study of the attention abnormality in trojaned BERTs. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pp. 4727–4741, 2022b.
- Weimin Lyu, Songzhu Zheng, Tengfei Ma, Haibin Ling, and Chao Chen. Attention hijacking in trojan transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.04946*, 2022c.
- Weimin Lyu, Songzhu Zheng, Haibin Ling, and Chao Chen. Backdoor attacks against transformers with attention enhancement. In *ICLR 2023 Workshop on Backdoor Attacks and Defenses in Machine Learning*, 2023a.
- Weimin Lyu, Songzhu Zheng, Lu Pang, Haibin Ling, and Chao Chen. Attention-enhancing backdoor attacks against BERT-based models. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pp. 10672–10690, 2023b.

-
- Weimin Lyu, Xiao Lin, Songzhu Zheng, Lu Pang, Haibin Ling, Susmit Jha, and Chao Chen. Task-agnostic detector for insertion-based backdoor attacks. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 2024*, pp. 2808–2822, 2024.
- Haixu Ma, Yufeng Liu, and Guorong Wu. Elucidating multi-stage progression of neuro-degeneration process in alzheimer’s disease. *Alzheimer’s & Dementia*, 18:e068774, 2022.
- Yu Mao, Jingzong Li, Yufei Cui, and Jason Chun Xue. Faster and stronger lossless compression with optimized autoregressive framework. In *2023 60th ACM/IEEE Design Automation Conference (DAC)*, pp. 1–6. IEEE, 2023.
- Congcong Miao, Yunming Xiao, Marco Canini, Ruiqiang Dai, Shengli Zheng, Jilong Wang, Jiwu Bu, Aleksandar Kuzmanovic, and Yachen Wang. Tensor: Lightweight bgp non-stop routing. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM 2023 Conference*, pp. 108–121, 2023.
- Zhaobin Mo, Yongjie Fu, and Xuan Di. Quantifying uncertainty in traffic state estimation using generative adversarial networks. In *2022 IEEE 25th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pp. 2769–2774. IEEE, 2022.
- Na Pang, Li Qian, Weimin Lyu, and Jin-Dong Yang. Transfer learning for scientific data chain extraction in small chemical corpus with joint bert-crf model. In *BIRNDL@ SIGIR*, pp. 28–41, 2019.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, et al. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019.
- Kangrui Ruan, Junzhe Zhang, Xuan Di, and Elias Bareinboim. Causal imitation learning via inverse reinforcement learning. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- Kareem Teo, Ching Wai Yong, Joon Huang Chuah, Yan Chai Hum, Yee Kai Tee, Kaijian Xia, and Khin Wee Lai. Current trends in readmission prediction: An overview of approaches. *Arabian journal for science and engineering*, pp. 1–18, 2021.
- Yijun Tian, Shichao Pei, Xiangliang Zhang, Chuxu Zhang, and Nitesh V Chawla. Knowledge distillation on graphs: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.00219*, 2023.
- Fan Wang, Saarthak Kapse, Steven Liu, Prateek Prasanna, and Chao Chen. Topotxr: a topological biomarker for predicting treatment response in breast cancer. In *International Conference on Information Processing in Medical Imaging*, pp. 386–397. Springer, 2021.
- Yite Wang, Dawei Li, and Ruoyu Sun. Ntk-sap: Improving neural network pruning by aligning training dynamics. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- Yite Wang, Jing Wu, Naira Hovakimyan, and Ruoyu Sun. Balanced training for sparse gans. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, et al. Huggingface’s transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03771*, 2019.
- Zongxing Xie, Hanrui Wang, Song Han, Elinor Schoenfeld, and Fan Ye. Deepvs: A deep learning approach for rf-based vital signs sensing. In *Proceedings of the 13th ACM international conference on bioinformatics, computational biology and health informatics*, pp. 1–5, 2022.
- Bo Yang and Lijun Wu. How to leverage multimodal ehr data for better medical predictions? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.15763*, 2021.
- Jiachen Yao, Yikai Zhang, Songzhu Zheng, Mayank Goswami, Prateek Prasanna, and Chao Chen. Learning to segment from noisy annotations: A spatial correction approach. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.

-
- Li-Hung Yao, Ka-Chun Leung, Chu-Lin Tsai, Chien-Hua Huang, and Li-Chen Fu. A novel deep learning-based system for triage in the emergency department using electronic medical records: Retrospective cohort study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(12):e27008, 2021.
- Runtian Zhai, Chen Dan, Di He, Huan Zhang, Boqing Gong, Pradeep Ravikumar, Cho-Jui Hsieh, and Liwei Wang. Macer: Attack-free and scalable robust training via maximizing certified radius. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019.
- Caitao Zhan, Mohammad Ghaderibaneh, Pranjal Sahu, and Himanshu Gupta. Deepmtl pro: Deep learning based multiple transmitter localization and power estimation. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, 82:101582, 2022.
- Kaiyi Zhang, Bahareh Nojabaei, Kaveh Ahmadi, and Russell T Johns. Effect of gas/oil capillary pressure on minimum miscibility pressure for tight reservoirs. *SPE Journal*, 25(02):820–831, 2020a.
- Qingchao Zhang, Coy D Heldermon, and Corey Toler-Franklin. Multiscale detection of cancerous tissue in high resolution slide scans. In *International Symposium on Visual Computing*, pp. 139–153. Springer, 2020b.
- Puning Zhao and Zhiguo Wan. Robust nonparametric regression under poisoning attack. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pp. 17007–17015, 2024.
- Shuai Zheng, James J Lu, Nima Ghasemzadeh, Salim S Hayek, Arshed A Quyyumi, Fusheng Wang, et al. Effective information extraction framework for heterogeneous clinical reports using online machine learning and controlled vocabularies. *JMIR medical informatics*, 5(2):e7235, 2017.
- Didi Zhu, Yinchuan Li, Yunfeng Shao, Jianye Hao, Fei Wu, Kun Kuang, Jun Xiao, and Chao Wu. Generalized universal domain adaptation with generative flow networks. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pp. 8304–8315, 2023.
- Didi Zhu, Zhongyi Sun, Zexi Li, Tao Shen, Ke Yan, Shouhong Ding, Kun Kuang, and Chao Wu. Model tailor: Mitigating catastrophic forgetting in multi-modal large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.12048*, 2024.