

Comparison of the Hitchin metric and the semi-flat metric in the rank two case

Takuro Mochizuki*

Abstract

Let (E, θ) be a Higgs bundle of rank 2 and degree 0 on a compact Riemann surface X whose spectral curve is smooth. The tangent space of the moduli space of Higgs bundles at (E, θ) is equipped with two natural metrics called the Hitchin metric and the semi-flat metric. It is known that the difference between two metrics along the curve $(E, t\theta)$ ($t \geq 1$) decays in an exponential way. In this paper, we shall study how the exponential rate is improved.

MSC: 53C07, 58E15, 14D21, 81T13.

Keywords: harmonic bundle, moduli space of Higgs bundles, Hitchin metric, semi-flat metric

1 Introduction

1.1 Hitchin metric and semi-flat metric of the moduli space of Higgs bundles

Let X be a compact Riemann surface. Let \mathcal{M}_H denote the moduli space of stable Higgs bundles of rank 2 and degree 0 on X . According to Hitchin [10], \mathcal{M}_H is equipped with the hyperkähler metric $g_{H, \mathbb{R}}$ called the Hitchin metric.

There also exists the Hitchin fibration $\Phi_H : \mathcal{M}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_H = H^0(X, K_X^{\otimes 2})$, which admits a section $\mathcal{A}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_H$ called a Hitchin section depending only on the choice of $K_X^{1/2}$. Let $\mathcal{A}'_H \subset \mathcal{A}_H$ denote the set of regular values. We set $\mathcal{M}'_H = \Phi_H^{-1}(\mathcal{A}'_H)$. The restriction of the Hitchin fibration $\mathcal{M}'_H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'_H$ is an algebraic integrable system, and in this situation \mathcal{M}'_H is equipped with the canonically defined hyperkähler metric $g_{\text{sf}, \mathbb{R}}$ [7], called the semi-flat metric.

Let $(E, \theta) \in \mathcal{M}'_H$. We obtain the curve $(E, t\theta)$ ($t \geq 1$) in \mathcal{M}'_H . Let g_H and g_{sf} denote the Kähler metrics of \mathcal{M}_H with the natural complex structure induced by $g_{H, \mathbb{R}}$ and $g_{\text{sf}, \mathbb{R}}$. It was predicted in [8, 9] and proved in [4] that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$g_{H|(E, t\theta)} - g_{\text{sf}|(E, t\theta)} = O(e^{-\epsilon t}) \quad (1)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Such an estimate was obtained in [4] under a mild assumption on (E, θ) , and in [18] without the additional assumption by a different method. However, the original prediction in [8, 9] suggests an explicit range of ϵ . In this paper, we shall partially verify it in the rank two case by using the method in [18].

Before explaining our main result more explicitly, let us recall more detail about the related objects.

1.1.1 Hitchin metric

Let (E, θ) be a Higgs bundle on a compact Riemann surface X of degree 0 and rank 2. Assume that $\text{tr } \theta = 0$ and $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}_X$, for simplicity. We also assume $(E, \theta) \in \mathcal{M}'_H$, which is equivalent to the condition that the spectral curve Σ_θ of (E, θ) is smooth.

According to the fundamental theorem of Hitchin and Simpson, under the condition, (E, θ) has a unique harmonic metric h such that $\det(h) = 1$, i.e., the Hitchin equation $R(h) + [\theta, \theta_h^\dagger] = 0$ holds, where $R(h)$ denotes the curvature of the Chern connection of (E, h) , and θ_h^\dagger denotes the adjoint of θ with respect to h . We remark that the induced harmonic metric on $(\text{End}(E), \text{ad } \theta)$ is also denoted by h .

We consider the Deformation complex $\text{Def}(E, \theta)$ given by

$$\text{End}(E) \xrightarrow{\text{ad } \theta} \text{End}(E) \otimes K_X,$$

*Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan, takuro@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

where the first term sits at the degree 0. It is well known that

$$T_{(E,\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H \simeq H^1(X, \text{Def}(E, \theta)). \quad (2)$$

We recall that an $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-form σ is called harmonic if

$$(\bar{\partial}_E + \text{ad } \theta)\sigma = (\bar{\partial}_E + \text{ad } \theta)_{h,g_X}^* \sigma = 0$$

where $\bar{\partial}_E$ denotes the holomorphic structure of E , and g_X denotes a compact Kähler metric g_X of X . It is equivalent to the condition that

$$(\bar{\partial}_E + \text{ad } \theta)\sigma = (\partial_{E,h} + \text{ad } \theta_h^\dagger)\sigma = 0,$$

where $\partial_{E,h}$ denotes the $(1,0)$ -part of the Chern connection of (E, h) . Then, (2) is also isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{H}^1(\text{End}(E), \text{ad } \theta, h)$ of harmonic 1-forms of $(\text{End}(E), \text{ad } \theta, h)$,

We consider the standard L^2 -Hermitian product of $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-forms σ_i ($i = 1, 2$):

$$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)_{L^2, h} = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_X \text{Tr} \left(\sigma_1^{1,0} \cdot (\sigma_2^{1,0})_h^\dagger - \sigma_1^{0,1} \cdot (\sigma_2^{0,1})_h^\dagger \right).$$

Then, the Hitchin metric $g_{H|(E,\theta)}$ is obtained as the restriction of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2, h}$ to $\mathcal{H}^1(\text{End}(E), \text{ad } \theta, h) \simeq T_{(E,\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H$.

1.1.2 Semi-flat metric

Note that $\Phi_H : \mathcal{M}'_H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'_H$ is a locally principal torus bundle. It is a Lagrangian fibration, and the fiber $\Phi_H^{-1}(\Phi_H(E, \theta))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Pic}_{2g(X)-2}(\Sigma_\theta)$. There exists a Hitchin section $\mathcal{A}'_H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'_H$. There uniquely exists an integrable connection of Φ_H such that any Hitchin section is horizontal. We obtain the decomposition of $T_{(E,\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H$ into the vertical part $(T_{(E,\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{ver}}$ and the horizontal part $(T_{(E,\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{hor}}$. Because the fiber is identified with a component of the Picard variety of Σ_θ , there exists an isomorphism

$$(T_{(E,\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{ver}} \simeq H^1(\Sigma_\theta, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\theta}).$$

Because the vertical part is a Lagrangian subspace, $(T_{(E,\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{hor}}$ is the dual space of $(T_{(E,\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{ver}}$, and hence

$$(T_{(E,\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{hor}} \simeq H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta}).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$T_{(E,\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H \simeq H^1(\Sigma_\theta, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\theta}) \oplus H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta}).$$

It is also identified with the space $\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma_\theta)$ of the harmonic 1-forms of Σ_θ .

We consider the standard L^2 -Hermitian product of 1-forms μ_i ($i = 1, 2$) on Σ_θ :

$$(\mu_1, \mu_2)_{L^2, \Sigma_\theta} = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma_\theta} \left(\mu_1^{1,0} \wedge \overline{\mu_2^{1,0}} - \mu_1^{0,1} \wedge \overline{\mu_2^{0,1}} \right).$$

Then, the semi-flat metric $g_{\text{sf}|(E,\theta)}$ is obtained as the restriction of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2, \Sigma_\theta}$ to $\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma) \simeq T_{(E,\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H$.

1.2 An auxiliary Hermitian product

1.2.1 Decompositions

Let D_θ denote the set of the critical values of $\pi : \Sigma_\theta \rightarrow X$. Let $Q \in X \setminus D_\theta$. There exists a neighbourhood X_Q of Q and a decomposition

$$(E, \theta)|_{X_Q} = (E_{Q,1}, \theta_{Q,1}) \oplus (E_{Q,2}, \theta_{Q,2}).$$

By setting $\text{End}(E)|_{X_Q}^\circ = \text{End}(E_{Q,1}) \oplus \text{End}(E_{Q,2})$ and $\text{End}(E)|_{X_Q}^\perp = \text{Hom}(E_{Q,1}, E_{Q,2}) \oplus \text{Hom}(E_{Q,2}, E_{Q,1})$, we obtain the decomposition

$$\text{End}(E)|_{X_Q} = \text{End}(E)|_{X_Q}^\circ \oplus \text{End}(E)|_{X_Q}^\perp.$$

By varying $Q \in X \setminus D_\theta$, we obtain the decomposition

$$\text{End}(E)|_{X \setminus D_\theta} = \text{End}(E)^\circ \oplus \text{End}(E)^\perp.$$

For any local section s of $\text{End}(E)$ on an open set in $X \setminus D_\theta$, we obtain the decomposition $s = s^\circ + s^\perp$. We have similar decompositions for any $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-forms on an open subset of $X \setminus D_\theta$.

1.2.2 Functions and 1-forms on the spectral curves

We recall there exists a holomorphic line bundle L on Σ_θ such that $\pi_*(L) \simeq (E, \theta)$. Here, $\pi_*(L)$ is equipped with the Higgs field induced by the tautological 1-form of T^*X , and the isomorphism preserves the Higgs fields.

Let $U \subset X \setminus D_\theta$ be an open subset. Let α be a function on $\Sigma_{\theta|U} = \pi^{-1}(U) \subset \Sigma_\theta$, then the multiplication of α on L induces an endomorphism F_α of $E|_U$ such that $[\theta, F_\alpha] = 0$. Similarly, a 1-form τ on $\Sigma_{\theta|U}$ induces an $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-form F_τ on U . If α (resp. τ) is holomorphic, then F_α (resp. F_τ) is also holomorphic. Note that even if $U \cap D_\theta \neq \emptyset$, a holomorphic function α on $\Sigma_{\theta|U}$ induces a holomorphic endomorphism F_α of $E|_U$ such that $[\theta, F_\alpha] = 0$. A holomorphic 1-form ν on $\Sigma_{\theta|U}$ induces a holomorphic section of $\text{End}(E) \otimes K_X(D_\theta)$ on U .

1.2.3 Holomorphic 1-forms on the spectral curve induced by harmonic metrics

Let h_∞ be the limiting configuration of (E, θ) in the sense of [13] (see §3.3.1). We consider the following $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-form on $X \setminus D_\theta$:

$$\Psi_h = (\partial_{E, h_\infty} + \theta_{h_\infty}^\dagger) - (\partial_{E, h} + \theta_h^\dagger).$$

Because both $\partial_{E, h_\infty} + \theta_{h_\infty}^\dagger$ and $\partial_{E, h} + \theta_h^\dagger$ commute with $\bar{\partial}_E + \theta$, we obtain $(\bar{\partial}_E + \text{ad } \theta)\Psi_h = 0$. There exists a holomorphic 1-form $\eta_{(E, \theta)}$ on $\Sigma_{\theta|X \setminus D_\theta}$ such that

$$(\Psi_h^{1,0})^\circ = F_{\eta_{(E, \theta)}}.$$

Lemma 1.1 (Lemma 3.29) $\eta_{(E, \theta)}$ is holomorphic on Σ_θ .

1.2.4 An auxiliary Hermitian product

Let $\phi^{1/2}$ denote the holomorphic 1-form obtained as the canonical root of $\pi^*(-\det \theta)$ on Σ_θ . Let \tilde{D}_θ be the set-theoretic inverse image $\pi^{-1}(D_\theta)$. For $\nu_i \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta})$, we obtain

$$\nu_1 \cdot \nu_2 \cdot \eta_{(E, \theta)} \cdot (\phi^{1/2})^{-1} \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, (K_{\Sigma_\theta}(\tilde{D}_\theta))^2).$$

Note that for each $P \in D_\theta$, there exists a natural map

$$\text{Res}_{\pi^{-1}(P)}^{(2)} : H^0(\Sigma_\theta, (K_{\Sigma_\theta}(\tilde{D}))^2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

obtained as the residue. We define

$$\langle \nu_1, \nu_2 \rangle_{(E, \theta)}^{\text{aux}} = -4\pi \sum_{P \in D_\theta} \text{Res}_{\pi^{-1}(P)}^{(2)} \left(\nu_1 \cdot \nu_2 \cdot \eta_{(E, \theta)} \cdot (\phi^{1/2})^{-1} \right).$$

By using the isomorphisms $\iota^{\text{hor}} : H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta}) \simeq (T_{(E, \theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{hor}}$ and $\iota^{\text{ver}} : H^1(\Sigma_\theta, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\theta}) \simeq (T_{(E, \theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{ver}}$, we define the Hermitian product $g_{(E, \theta)}^{\text{aux}}$ on $T_{(E, \theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H$ by the following conditions.

- $g_{(E, \theta)}^{\text{aux}}(u_1, u_2) = 0$ if $u_i \in (T_{(E, \theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{ver}}$ ($i = 1, 2$) or $u_i \in (T_{(E, \theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{hor}}$ ($i = 1, 2$).
- For $\nu \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta})$ and $\tau \in H^1(\Sigma_\theta, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\theta}) = H^0(\Sigma_\theta^\dagger, K_{\Sigma_\theta^\dagger})$, we set

$$g_{(E, \theta)}^{\text{aux}}(\iota^{\text{hor}}(\nu), \iota^{\text{ver}}(\tau)) = \langle \nu, \bar{\tau} \rangle_{(E, \theta)}^{\text{aux}}.$$

1.3 Main result

We set $\phi = -\det(\theta) \in H^0(X, K_X^{\otimes 2})$, which induces the distance d_ϕ on X . According to [3, §3.2], a saddle connection of ϕ is a geodesic segment on (X, d_ϕ) such that (i) the starting point and the ending point are contained in D_ϕ , (ii) any other point is contained in $X \setminus D_\phi$. Note that the starting point and the ending point are not necessarily distinct. Let $M(\phi)$ denote the minimum length of the saddle connections of ϕ , which is called the threshold.

Proposition 1.2 (Corollary 3.34) $g_{(E, t\theta)}^{\text{aux}} = O(e^{-2at})$ with respect to $g_{\text{sf}|(E, t\theta)}$ for any $0 < a < M(\phi)$. As a result, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $g_{\text{sf}|(E, t\theta)} + g_{(E, t\theta)}^{\text{aux}}$ are positive definite Hermitian products on $T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H$ for any $t \geq t_0$.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 3.35) For any $(E, \theta) \in \mathcal{M}'_H$, we obtain

$$g_{H|(E, t\theta)} - (g_{\text{sf}|(E, t\theta)} + g_{(E, t\theta)}^{\text{aux}}) = O(e^{-4at}) \quad (0 < a < M(\phi)). \quad (3)$$

1.3.1 Symmetric case

A non-degenerate symmetric product of (E, θ) is a non-degenerate symmetric product $C : E \otimes E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ such that θ is self-adjoint with respect to C . Note that if (E, θ) is contained in a Hitchin section, then (E, θ) has a non-degenerate symmetric product.

Corollary 1.4 (Lemma 3.31) *If (E, θ) is equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric product, then we obtain $\eta_{(E, \theta)} = 0$. As a result, we obtain*

$$g_{H|(E, t\theta)} - g_{\text{sf}|(E, t\theta)} = O(e^{-4at}), \quad (0 < a < M(\phi)). \quad (4)$$

1.3.2 Previous works

Originally, Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke [8, 9] predicted (4). (See also [3, 4, 6, 20].) In [3], Dumas and Neitzke studied the issues in the case where (E, θ) is contained in a Hitchin section, and they proved

$$g_{H|(E, t\theta)} - g_{\text{sf}|(E, t\theta)} = O(e^{-4at}), \quad (0 < a < M(\phi)/2) \quad (5)$$

on the subspace $T_{t^2\phi}\mathcal{A}'_H \subset T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H$ induced by the Hitchin section. As we mentioned, Fredrickson obtained the estimate (1) on the basis of [5, 13, 14]. It was further generalized to the parabolic case by Fredrickson, Mazzeo, Swoboda and Weiss in [6], where they suggested that they could also obtain the estimate (5). In [11], Holdt studied the original approach of [8, 9] in the case that a Higgs bundle has a non-trivial parabolic structure. More recently, Chen and Li studied more singular case in [2].

In [18], we obtained (1) for higher rank case without any assumption on the ramification of $\Sigma_\theta \rightarrow X$ in a way different from [4]. A naive hope was to obtain (4) by the method in [18]. Corollary 1.4 is a satisfactory solution in the symmetric case. In the general case, Theorem 1.3 implies (5), but it is not a complete answer to the prediction (4) of Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke because it is not clear whether $g_{(E, t\theta)}^{\text{aux}} = O(e^{-4at})$ ($0 < a < M(\phi)$) or not with respect to $g_{\text{sf}|(E, \theta)}$.

1.4 Outline in the symmetric case

It would be instructive to explain an outline to obtain (4) directly in the case where (E, θ) is equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric pairing C such that $\det(C) = 1$, the arguments can be simplified because some issues disappear. It is close to the case of [3]. Note that if (E, θ) is contained in a Hitchin section, then (E, θ) is equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric pairing.

Let D_θ denote the zero set of $\phi = -\det(\theta)$. Let $\Sigma_\theta \subset T^*X$ denote the spectral curve. Because $(E, \theta) \in \mathcal{M}'_H$, Σ_θ is smooth, and the projection $\pi : \Sigma_\theta \rightarrow X$ is a ramified covering of degree 2. By [1, 10], there exists a line bundle L on Σ_θ such that $\pi_*(L) \simeq (E, \theta)$, where the Higgs field of $\pi_*(L)$ is induced by the tautological 1-form on T^*X .

1.4.1 The deformation complexes

Let $\text{End}(E)^{\text{sym}}$ denote the sheaf of endomorphisms of E which are symmetric with respect to C . Similarly, let $\text{End}(E)^{\text{asym}}$ denote the sheaf of endomorphisms of E which are anti-symmetric with respect to C . We obtain the following subcomplex of $\text{Def}(E, \theta)$:

$$\text{Def}(E, \theta, C) = \left(\text{End}(E)^{\text{asym}} \rightarrow \text{End}(E)^{\text{sym}} \otimes K_X \right).$$

We may also consider the following subcomplex of $\text{Def}(E, \theta)$:

$$\text{Def}'(E, \theta, C) = \left(\text{End}(E)^{\text{sym}} \rightarrow \text{End}(E)^{\text{asym}} \otimes K_X \right).$$

We have the decomposition of the complexes:

$$\text{Def}(E, \theta) = \text{Def}(E, \theta, C) \oplus \text{Def}'(E, \theta, C). \quad (6)$$

The natural action \mathcal{O}_Σ on L induces $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$. It induces a morphism of complexes $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Def}'(E, \theta, C) \subset \text{Def}(E, \theta)$, and the isomorphism of sheaves

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\theta}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^0(\text{Def}'(E, \theta, C)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^0(\text{Def}(E, \theta)).$$

We also have $\mathcal{H}^1(\text{Def}'(E, \theta, C)) = 0$. We obtain the following injection:

$$H^0(\Sigma_\theta, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\theta}) \simeq H^1(X, \text{Def}'(E, \theta, C)) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \text{Def}(E, \theta)). \quad (7)$$

As explained in [18], there exist natural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\text{Def}(E, \theta)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^1(\text{Def}(E, \theta, C)) \simeq \pi_*(K_{\Sigma_\theta}).$$

We also have $\mathcal{H}^0(\text{Def}(E, \theta, C)) = 0$. We obtain the following injection:

$$H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta}) \simeq H^1(X, \text{Def}(E, \theta, C)) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \text{Def}(E, \theta)). \quad (8)$$

1.4.2 The tangent space, the vertical direction and the horizontal direction

The restriction of the Hitchin fibration $\mathcal{M}'_H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'_H$ is locally a principal torus bundle equipped with an integrable connection. There exists the decomposition into the horizontal direction and the vertical direction:

$$T_{(E, \theta)}\mathcal{M}_H = (T_{(E, \theta)}\mathcal{M}_H)^{\text{hor}} \oplus (T_{(E, \theta)}\mathcal{M}_H)^{\text{ver}}. \quad (9)$$

We identify $T_{(E, \theta)}\mathcal{M}_H \simeq H^1(X, \text{Def}(E, \theta))$. The vertical direction $(T_{(E, \theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{ver}}$ equals $T_{(E, \theta)}\Phi_H^{-1}(\Phi_H(E, \theta))$, which is identified with the image of (7). The horizontal direction equals the image of (8) as explained in [18]. In other words, the decomposition (9) is induced by the decomposition (6) in the symmetric case.

1.4.3 The Hitchin metric and the semi-flat metric

Let $\tau \in H^1(\Sigma_\theta, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\theta})$ and $\nu \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta})$. We regard τ as an anti-holomorphic 1-form on Σ_θ , i.e., $\tau \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta^\dagger, K_{\Sigma_\theta^\dagger})$. Because $\Sigma_\theta = \Sigma_{t\theta}$, there exist the corresponding elements

$$\iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau), \iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu) \in H^1(X, \text{Def}(E, t\theta)) = T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}_H.$$

We have the following:

$$g_{\text{sf}}(\iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau), \iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)) = -2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma_\theta} \tau \wedge \bar{\tau} = \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (10)$$

$$g_{\text{sf}}(\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu), \iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu)) = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma_\theta} \nu \wedge \bar{\nu} = \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (11)$$

$$g_{\text{sf}}(\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu), \iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Let h_t be the harmonic metric of $(E, t\theta)$, which are compatible with C . The Hitchin metrics g_H at $(E, t\theta)$ are induced by the natural L^2 -metric on the space of $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-forms. Namely, for any L^2 -sections $\rho_i = \rho_i^{1,0} + \rho_i^{0,1}$ ($i = 1, 2$) of $\text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^{1,0} \oplus \text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^{0,1}$ on $W \subset X$, we set

$$(\rho_1, \rho_2)_{L^2, h_t, W} = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_W \text{Tr} \left(\rho_1^{1,0} \cdot (\rho_2^{1,0})^\dagger_{h_t} - \rho_1^{0,1} \cdot (\rho_2^{0,1})^\dagger_{h_t} \right).$$

If $W = X$, $(\rho_1, \rho_2)_{L^2, h_t, X}$ is denoted by $(\rho_1, \rho_2)_{L^2, h_t}$. Let $\mathbf{H}_t(\nu)$ and $\mathbf{V}_t(\tau)$ ($t \geq 1$) denote the harmonic 1-forms of $(\text{End}(E), \text{ad } \theta, h_t)$ corresponding to $\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu)$ and $\iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)$, respectively. We have the following:

$$g_H(\iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau), \iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)) = (\mathbf{V}_t(\tau), \mathbf{V}_t(\tau))_{L^2, h_t}, \quad (13)$$

$$g_H(\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu), \iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu)) = (\mathbf{H}_t(\nu), \mathbf{H}_t(\nu))_{L^2, h_t}, \quad (14)$$

$$g_H(\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu), \iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)) = (\mathbf{H}_t(\nu), \mathbf{V}_t(\tau))_{L^2, h_t}. \quad (15)$$

Because C is compatible with h_t , the decomposition $\text{End}(E) = \text{End}(E)^{\text{sym}} \oplus \text{End}(E)^{\text{asym}}$ is orthogonal with respect to h . We can observe that $\mathbf{H}_t(\nu)$ is a section of the Dolbeault resolution of $\text{Def}(E, \theta, C)$, and $\mathbf{V}_t(\nu)$ is a section of the Dolbeault resolution of $\text{Def}'(E, \theta, C)$. Hence, we obtain

$$g_H(\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu), \iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)) = 0 = g_{\text{sf}}(\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu), \iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)).$$

We would like to show the following estimates for any $0 < \kappa < M(\phi)/2$:

$$g_H(\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu), \iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu)) = g_{\text{sf}}(\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu), \iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu)) + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (16)$$

$$g_H(\iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau), \iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)) = g_{\text{sf}}(\iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau), \iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)) + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (17)$$

1.4.4 Canonically decoupled harmonic metric

Let $Q \in X \setminus D_\theta$. Let (X_Q, z_Q) denote a holomorphic coordinate neighbourhood around Q such that $\phi = dz_Q dz_{\bar{Q}}$. There exists the decomposition, which is orthogonal with respect to C :

$$(E, \theta)|_{X_Q} = (E_{Q,1}, dz_Q) \oplus (E_{Q,2}, -dz_Q).$$

Let v_i ($i = 1, 2$) be frames of $E_{Q,i}$ such that $C(v_i, v_i) = 1$. We define $h_{\infty, Q}$ by $h_{\infty, Q}(v_i, v_i) = 1$ and $h_{\infty, Q}(v_1, v_2) = 0$, which is independent of v_i . By varying $Q \in X \setminus D_\theta$, we obtain the canonical decoupled harmonic metric h_∞ of $(E, \theta)|_{X \setminus D_\theta}$ compatible with C . It equals the limiting configuration in [13].

Let s_t be the automorphism of $E|_{X \setminus D_\theta}$ determined by $h_t = h_\infty s_t$. We have $\det(s_t) = 1$.

We set $g_\phi = |\phi|$ as the Kähler metric of $X \setminus D_\theta$, which induces the distance d_ϕ on X . We obtain the connection ∇_∞ of $\text{End}(E) \otimes T^*(X \setminus D_\theta)^{\otimes m}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ induced by h_∞ and g_ϕ .

For any $r > 0$ and $P \in X$, we set $X_P(r) = \{Q \in X \mid d_\phi(Q, P) < r\}$. We set $\kappa_0 = M(\phi)/2$. We have $X_P(\kappa_0) \cap X_{P'}(\kappa_0) = \emptyset$ if P, P' are two distinct points in D_θ .

For $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0$, we set $\delta(\kappa) := \min\{(\kappa - \kappa_0)/10, \kappa/10\}$. For each $P \in D_\theta$, we set

$$X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} = X_P(\kappa_0 - \delta(\kappa)), \quad X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)} = X_P(\kappa_0 - 2\delta(\kappa)).$$

For any non-negative integer j , there exist $C_j > 0$ such that the following holds on $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$ for any $t \geq 1$ (see Corollary 2.5):

$$|\nabla_\infty^j(s_t - \text{id})|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-4(\kappa + 7\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (18)$$

This is essentially contained in [3].

The multiplication of $\nu \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta})$ on L induces a section F_ν of $(\text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^{1,0})|_{X \setminus D_\theta}$ such that $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)F_\nu = 0$. Similarly, τ induces a section F_τ of $(\text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^{0,1})|_{X \setminus D_\theta}$ such that $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)F_\tau = 0$. We can regard F_ν and F_τ as approximations of $\mathbb{H}_t(\nu)$ and $\mathbb{V}_t(\tau)$ on $X \setminus \bigcup_P X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$.

1.4.5 Approximation of $\mathbb{H}_t(\nu)$ around $P \in D_\theta$

For any $W \subset X$, we set $\Sigma_\theta|_W = \Sigma_\theta \times_X W$. There exists a holomorphic function α_P on $\Sigma_\theta|_{X_P(\kappa_0)}$ such that $\nu = d\alpha_P$ and that $\alpha_P = 0$ at $\pi^{-1}(P)$. The multiplication of α_P on L induces a holomorphic endomorphism F_{α_P} on $E|_{X_P(\kappa_0)}$ such that $[\theta, F_{\alpha_P}] = 0$. We obtain the following $\text{End}(E)$ -valued C^∞ 1-forms for $t \geq 1$:

$$\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu) = (\partial_{E, h_t} + t \text{ad } \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) F_{\alpha_P}.$$

They are harmonic 1-forms of $(\text{End}(E), t \text{ad } \theta, h_t)|_{X_P}$, i.e.,

$$(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu) = 0, \quad (\partial_{E, h_t} + t \text{ad } \theta_{h_t}^\dagger)\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu) = 0.$$

We have $\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)^\circ = F_\nu$ on $X_P(\kappa_0) \setminus \{P\}$. There exists a C^∞ -section ρ_P of $\text{End}(E)|_{X_P(\kappa_0) \setminus \{P\}}^{\text{asym}}$ such that

$$(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)\rho_{P,t} = F_\nu - \mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu).$$

1.4.6 Approximation of $\mathbb{H}_t(\nu)$ on X

By patching F_ν and $\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)$ ($P \in D_\theta$), we construct $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)$ as follows.

- On $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)}$, we set $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = F_\nu$.
- For $P \in D_\theta$, let $\chi_{P,\kappa} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a C^∞ -function such that $\chi_{P,\kappa} = 1$ on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$ and $\chi_{P,\kappa} = 0$ on $X \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)}$. Then, on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, we set $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = F_\nu - (\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)(\chi_{P,\kappa} \rho_P)$.
- On $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, we set $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = \mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)$.

By the construction, we obtain $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = 0$. We can observe that $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)$ is a section of the Dolbeault resolution of $\text{Def}(E, \theta, C)$, and that $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)^\circ = F_\nu$. As explained in [18], the cohomology class of $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)$ is $l_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu)$.

There exist $C_j > 0$ ($j \geq 0$) such that the following holds on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$ by (18):

$$\left| \nabla_\infty^j (\mathbf{H}_{P,t}(\nu) - F_\nu) \right|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-4(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}. \quad (19)$$

There exist $C_j > 0$ ($j \geq 0$) such that the following holds on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$:

$$\left| \nabla_\infty^j \rho_P \right|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-4(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence, there exist $C_j > 0$ ($j \geq 0$) such that on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$:

$$\left| \nabla_\infty^j (\mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) - F_\nu) \right|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-4(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}.$$

Let g_X be a Kähler metric. Note that $(\partial_{E,h_t} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) \mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = 0$ on $X \setminus \bigcup_P X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)}$ and $\bigcup_P X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$. There exists $C > 0$ such that the following holds on X :

$$\left| (\partial_{E,h_t} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) \mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) \right|_{h_t, g_X} \leq C e^{-4(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}.$$

There exist unique C^∞ -sections $\gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu)$ of $\operatorname{End}(E)^{\operatorname{asym}}$ such that

$$(\partial_{E,h_t} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) (\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = (\partial_{E,h_t} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) \mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu).$$

By [18, Proposition 2.39], there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu)\|_{L^2, h_t, g_X} + \|(\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu)\|_{L^2, h_t, g_X} \leq C e^{-4(\kappa+5\delta(\kappa))t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{H}_t(\nu) = \mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) - \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu).$$

1.4.7 Estimate of the pairings of $\mathbf{H}_t(\nu)$

First, we observe

$$(\mathbf{H}_t(\nu), \mathbf{H}_t(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} = (\mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), \mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (20)$$

Indeed, because $(\bar{\partial} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)_{h_t, g_X}^* \mathbf{H}_t(\nu) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{H}_t(\nu), \mathbf{H}_t(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} &= (\mathbf{H}_t(\nu), \mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} + (\mathbf{H}_t(\nu), (\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} = \\ &= (\mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), \mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} + ((\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)_{h_t, g_X}^* \mathbf{H}_t(\nu), \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, g_X} = (\mathbf{H}_t(\nu), \mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Because $(\bar{\partial} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)_{h_t, g_X}^* \mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = O(e^{-4\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2})$ and $\gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = O(e^{-4\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2})$, we obtain

$$(\mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), (\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} = ((\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)_{h_t, g_X}^* \mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, g_X} = O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Hence, we obtain (20).

We have the following estimates on $W_\kappa = X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$:

$$\mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)^\circ = F_\nu, \quad \left| (\mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu))^\perp \right|_{h_t, g_X} = O(e^{-4\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}), \quad |s_t - \operatorname{id}|_{h_t} = O(e^{-4\kappa t}). \quad (22)$$

We can prove the following by using (22) with an elementary consideration as in §3.6.1:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), \mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, W_\kappa} &= 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{W_\kappa} \operatorname{Tr}(F_\nu \cdot (F_\nu)_{h_\infty}^\dagger) + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &= 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma_\theta|_{W_\kappa}} \nu \wedge \bar{\nu} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

On $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, we have $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = \mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)$. Because $(\partial_{E,h_t} + t\theta_{h_t}^\dagger)_{h_t,g_X}^* \mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu) = 0$, we obtain the following by using the Stokes formula (Lemma 3.55):

$$(\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \text{Tr} \left(F_{\alpha_P} \cdot (\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)^{1,0})_{h_t}^\dagger \right).$$

By using the estimates (18) and (19) with the elementary consideration in §3.6.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \text{Tr} \left(F_{\alpha_P} \cdot (\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)^{1,0})_{h_t}^\dagger \right) &= 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \text{Tr} \left(F_{\alpha_P} \cdot (F_\nu)_{h_\infty}^\dagger \right) + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &= 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\theta|X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}}} \alpha_P \cdot \bar{\nu} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2) = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma_{\theta|X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}}} \nu \bar{\nu} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

In all, we obtain

$$(\mathbb{H}_t(\nu), \mathbb{H}_t(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} = (\nu, \nu)_{L^2} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2) \quad (0 < \kappa < M(\phi)/2).$$

This implies (16).

1.4.8 Approximation of $\mathbb{V}_t(\tau)$ on X

For each $P \in D_\theta$, there exists an anti-holomorphic function β_P on $\Sigma_{\theta|X_P(\kappa_0)}$ such that $\tau = d\beta_P$. Let $\chi_{P,\kappa} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be as before. We set

$$\tau_\kappa^\circ = \tau - \sum_{P \in D_\theta} \bar{\partial}(\pi^*(\chi_{P,\kappa}) \cdot \beta_P).$$

The multiplication of τ_κ° on L induces a C^∞ -section $F_{\tau_\kappa^\circ}$ of $\text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^{0,1}$ such that $[\theta, F_{\tau_\kappa^\circ}] = 0$. We obtain $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)F_{\tau_\kappa^\circ} = 0$. The cohomology class of $F_{\tau_\kappa^\circ}$ is $l_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)$.

We may regard $\pi^\dagger : \Sigma_\theta^\dagger \rightarrow X^\dagger$ as the spectral curve of the Higgs bundle $(E, \partial_{E,h_t}, \theta_{h_t}^\dagger)$ on X^\dagger . We may regard β_P as a holomorphic function on $\Sigma_{\theta|X_P(\kappa_0)}^\dagger$. There exists L_t on Σ_θ^\dagger with an isomorphism $\pi_*^\dagger(L_t) \simeq (E, \partial_{E,h_t}, \theta_{h_t}^\dagger)$. The multiplication of β_P induces a holomorphic endomorphism $F_{\beta_P}^\dagger$ of (E, ∂_{E,h_t}) . We have $F_{\beta_P}^\dagger = (F_{\bar{\beta}_P})_{h_t}^\dagger$. We note that $(\bar{\partial}_E + t\theta)F_{\beta_P}^\dagger$ is a harmonic 1-form of $(\text{End}(E), t \text{ad } \theta, h_t)$.

We set

$$\mathbb{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau) = F_{\tau_\kappa^\circ} + \sum_{P \in D_\theta} (\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)(\chi_{P,\kappa} F_{\beta_P}^\dagger).$$

By the construction, we have $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)\mathbb{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau) = 0$, and its cohomology class equals $l_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)$.

By (18), there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| (\partial_{E,h_t} + t \text{ad } \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) \mathbb{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau) \Big|_{h_t, g_X} \leq C e^{-4(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}.$$

We also have $\partial_X \text{tr}(\mathbb{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau)) = 0$. There exist unique sections $\gamma_{\kappa,t}(\tau)$ of $\text{End}(E)$ such that

$$(\partial_{E,h_t} + t \text{ad } \theta_{h_t}^\dagger)(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)\gamma_{\kappa,t}(\tau) = (\bar{\partial}_{E,h_t} + t \text{ad } \theta_{h_t}^\dagger)\mathbb{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau).$$

By [18, Proposition 2.39], there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\gamma_{\kappa,t}(\tau)\|_{L^2, h_t, g_X} + \|(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)\gamma_{\kappa,t}(\tau)\|_{L^2, h_t, g_X} \leq C e^{-4(\kappa+5\delta(\kappa))t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}.$$

We have

$$\mathbb{V}_t(\tau) = \mathbb{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau) - (\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)\gamma_{\kappa,t}(\tau).$$

1.4.9 Estimate of the pairings of $\mathbb{V}_t(\tau)$

As in the case of (20), we obtain

$$(\mathbb{V}_t(\tau), \mathbb{V}_t(\tau))_{L^2, h_t} = (\mathbb{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau), \mathbb{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2).$$

By using (18) with the elementary consideration in §3.6.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t, W_\kappa} &= -2\sqrt{-1} \int_{W_\kappa} \text{Tr}(F_\tau \cdot (F_\tau)^\dagger_{h_\infty}) + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &= -2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma_{\theta|W_\kappa}} \tau \bar{\tau} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

By using the Stokes formula (Lemma 3.55), and by using (18) with the elementary consideration in §3.6.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} &= -2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \text{Tr}(F_{\beta_P}^\dagger \cdot (\mathbf{V}'_t(\tau)^{0,1})^\dagger_{h_t}) \\ &= -2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\theta|X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}}} \beta_P \cdot \bar{\tau} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2) = -2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma_{\theta|X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}}} \tau \bar{\tau} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

In all, we obtain

$$(\mathbf{V}_t(\tau), \mathbf{V}_t(\tau))_{L^2, h_t} = (\tau, \tau)_{L^2} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2) \quad (0 < \kappa < M(\phi)/2).$$

This implies (17).

Acknowledgement This study grew out of my attempt to understand the work of David Dumas and Andrew Neitzke [3]. I thank Laura Fredrickson who asked whether the method in [18] is useful to improve the exponential rate. I thank Rafe Mazzeo, Motohico Mulase and Olivia Dumitrescu for some discussions. I thank Qiongling Li and Szilard Szabo for discussions and collaborations. This study is partially based on the joint works [12, 19]. I thank Laura Fredrickson and Andy Neitzke for encouraging comments. I am grateful to Gao Chen and Nianzi Li for discussions. I thank Yoshifumi Tsuchimoto and Akira Ishii for their constant encouragements.

I prepared the original version of this manuscript for the workshops “Complex Lagrangians, Mirror Symmetry, and Quantization” at Banff International Research Station in October 2023, and “Higgs bundles, non-Abelian Hodge correspondence and related topics 2023” at Chern Institute of Mathematics in November 2023. I revised it for the workshop “New developments in Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence and Higgs bundles” at Osaka Metropolitan University in August 2024. I thank the organizers for the opportunities of the talks.

I am partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (No. 21H04429), the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (No. 22H00094), the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (No. 23H00083), and the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 20K03609), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. I am also partially supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

2 Local estimates

2.1 Variations of Simpson’s main estimate

We explain a variant of Simpson’s main estimate in the rank 2 case. We also use the functions used in [3] to improve the estimate.

2.1.1 A general estimate in the rank 2 case

For any $R > 0$, we set $U(R) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < R\}$.

Let $R_0 > 0$. Let $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$ be a Higgs bundle of rank 2 on $U(R)$ for some $R > 2R_0$. We fix an isomorphism $\kappa : \det(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U(R)}$. Let f be the endomorphism of E determined by $\theta = f dz$. We assume that there exists a decomposition

$$(E, f) = (E_1, 1) \oplus (E_{-1}, -1).$$

Let $\mathcal{H}(E, \theta; \kappa)$ denote the set of harmonic metrics h of (E, θ) such that $\det(h) = 1$ under the isomorphism $\kappa : \det(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$.

For any $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; \kappa)$, let π_1 denote the projection onto E_1 . We obtain the orthogonal projection π_1^h of E onto E_1 . We regard π_1 and π_1^h as endomorphisms of E in natural ways. We set $\rho := \pi_1 - \pi_1^h$. We have $|\pi_1|_h^2 = |\pi_1^h|_h^2 + |\rho|_h^2$. We shall prove the following proposition in §2.1.6.

Proposition 2.1 For any $0 < \gamma < 2\sqrt{2}$, there exists $C(R_0, \gamma) > 0$ depending only on R_0 and γ , such that the following holds on $U(R - R_0)$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; \kappa)$:

$$|\rho|_h \leq C(R_0, \gamma)e^{-\gamma(R-|z|)}.$$

2.1.2 Refinement under an additional assumption

Let v_i ($i = 1, 2$) be frames of E_i such that $\kappa(v_1 \wedge v_2) = 1$. We shall prove the following proposition in §2.1.7.

Proposition 2.2 Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; \kappa)$. Suppose that there exist $\gamma_0 > 2$ and $B(h) > 0$ such that the following holds on $U(R)$:

$$h(v_1, v_1) + h(v_2, v_2) + |\partial_z h(v_1, v_1)| + |\partial_z h(v_2, v_2)| + |\partial_z h(v_1, v_2)| + |\partial_{\bar{z}} h(v_1, v_2)| \leq B(h)e^{-\gamma_0(R-|z|)}. \quad (27)$$

Then, for any $0 < \gamma < 4$, there exist $C(R_0, \gamma, \gamma_0, B(h)) > 0$ depending only on $R_0, \gamma, \gamma_0, B(h)$, such that the following holds on $U(R - R_0)$:

$$|\rho|_h \leq C(R_0, \gamma, \gamma_0, B(h))e^{-\gamma(R-|z|)}.$$

2.1.3 Refinement in the symmetric case

Let C be a non-degenerate symmetric pairing of (E, θ) such that $\det(C) = 1$ under the isomorphism κ . Let $\mathcal{H}(E, \theta; C)$ denote the set of harmonic metrics of (E, θ) compatible with C . For any $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; C)$, we obtain an estimate as in Proposition 2.2 without the assumption (27). We shall prove the following proposition in §2.1.8.

Proposition 2.3 For any $0 < \gamma < 4$, there exists $C(R_0, \gamma) > 0$ such that the following holds on $U(R - R_0)$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; C)$:

$$|\rho|_h \leq C(R_0, \gamma)e^{-\gamma(R-|z|)}.$$

Let v_i be frames of E_i such that $C(v_i, v_i) = 1$. The following proposition is a refinement of Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 2.4 For any $0 < \gamma < 4$ and $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$, there exists $C_{j,k}(R_0, \gamma) > 0$ such that the following holds for any $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; C)$ on $U(R - R_0)$:

$$|\partial_z^j \partial_{\bar{z}}^k (h(v_i, v_i) - 1)| \leq C_{j,k}(R_0, \gamma)e^{-2\gamma(R-|z|)}, \quad (28)$$

$$|\partial_z^j \partial_{\bar{z}}^k h(v_1, v_2)| \leq C_{j,k}(R_0, \gamma)e^{-\gamma(R-|z|)}. \quad (29)$$

Let h^C denote the unique decoupled harmonic metric of (E, θ) compatible with C (see [12]). We have $h^C(v_i, v_i) = 1$ and $h^C(v_1, v_2) = 0$. Let ∇ denote the Chern connection of E determined by h^C . For any $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; C)$, let $s(h^C, h)$ be the automorphism of E determined by $h = h^C \cdot s$. We set $\text{End}(E)^\circ = \text{End}(E_1) \oplus \text{End}(E_2)$ and $\text{End}(E)^\perp = \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2) \oplus \text{Hom}(E_2, E_1)$. We obtain the decomposition

$$\text{End}(E) = \text{End}(E)^\circ \oplus \text{End}(E)^\perp.$$

We have the corresponding decomposition $s(h^C, h) = s(h^C, h)^\circ + s(h^C, h)^\perp$.

Corollary 2.5 For any $0 < \gamma < 4$ and $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$, there exists $C'_{j,k}(R_0, \gamma) > 0$ such that the following holds for any $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; C)$ on $U(R - R_0)$:

$$|\nabla_z^j \nabla_{\bar{z}}^k (s(h^C, h)^\circ - \text{id})| \leq C'_{j,k}(R_0, \gamma)e^{-2\gamma(R-|z|)},$$

$$|\nabla_z^j \nabla_{\bar{z}}^k (s(h^C, h)^\perp)| \leq C'_{j,k}(R_0, \gamma)e^{-\gamma(R-|z|)}.$$

Remark 2.6 Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.4 are essentially contained in [3]. They can be proved independently from Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2. ■

2.1.4 A general inequality

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; \kappa)$. We have the following equality:

$$-\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} |\pi_1|_h^2 = -|\partial_{E,h} \pi_1|_h^2 - |[f_h^\dagger, \pi_1]|_h^2. \quad (30)$$

Let v_i ($i = 1, 2$) be frames of E_i such that $v_1 \wedge v_2 = 1$. Let H be the Hermitian matrix valued function determined by $H_{i,j} = h(v_i, v_j)$. To simplify, we set $a = h(v_1, v_1)$, $b = h(v_1, v_2)$ and $c = h(v_2, v_2)$, i.e.,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because $\det(h) = 1$, we have $\det(H) = ac - |b|^2 = 1$. We also have $|\rho|_h^2 = |b|^2$.

We shall use the following lemma implicitly.

Lemma 2.7 *Let g be an endomorphism of E . Let g_h^\dagger be the adjoint of g with respect to h . Let G and G_H^\dagger denote the matrix valued functions determined by $gv = vG$ and $g_h^\dagger v = vG_H^\dagger$. Then, we have $G_H^\dagger = \overline{H}^{-1} \cdot (\overline{G}) \cdot \overline{H}$. \blacksquare*

Lemma 2.8 *We have $[f_h^\dagger, \pi_1]_h^2 = 8(1 + |b|^2)|b|^2$.*

Proof Let F and F_H^\dagger be the matrix valued functions determined by $f v = vF$ and $f_h^\dagger v = v \cdot F_H^\dagger$. More explicitly,

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_H^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} c & -\bar{b} \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & \bar{b} \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2|b|^2 & 2\bar{b}c \\ -2ab & -1 - 2|b|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let Π_1 be the matrix valued function determined by $\pi_1 v = v \cdot \Pi_1$, i.e.,

$$\Pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can check the following:

$$[F_H^\dagger, \Pi_1] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2\bar{b}c \\ -2ab & 0 \end{pmatrix} = [F_H^\dagger, \Pi_1]_H^\dagger.$$

We obtain

$$[F_H^\dagger, \Pi_1] \cdot [F_H^\dagger, \Pi_1]_H^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 4(1 + |b|^2)|b|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4(1 + |b|^2)|b|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$|[f_h^\dagger, \pi_1]_h^2 = \text{Tr}([F_H^\dagger, \Pi_1]_H^\dagger \cdot [F_H^\dagger, \Pi_1]) = 8(1 + |b|^2)|b|^2.$$

Thus, we obtain Lemma 2.8. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.9 *We obtain $-\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} |b|_h^2 \leq -8|b|_h^2$. \blacksquare*

2.1.5 Bessel function of the first kind

We recall some functions by following [3, §5]. Let $I_0(z) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be the function as in [3, §5], i.e., I_0 denotes the unique positive even C^∞ -function such that (i) $I_0(z)$ depends only on $|z|$, (ii) $(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)I_0 = I_0$, (iii) $I_0(0) = 1$. We have the convergence $I_0(z) \cdot (2\pi|z|)^{1/2} e^{-|z|} \rightarrow 1$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.10 *For any $0 < u < 1$, there exists $C_0(u) > 0$ such that the following holds on \mathbb{C} :*

$$I_0(z)^{-1} \leq C_0(u) e^{-u|z|}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 2.11 ([3]) *For any $0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2$, there exists $A(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) > 0$ such that the following holds for any $0 < b < a$:*

$$e^{-\gamma_2(a-b)} < A(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \frac{I_0(\gamma_1 b)}{I_0(\gamma_1 a)} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.1.6 Proof of Proposition 2.1

For any $r > 0$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, we set $U(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - z_0| < r\}$. We set $r_0 = R_0/10$. We recall the following general lemma.

Lemma 2.12 *There exists $C_1(r_0)$ depending only on r_0 such that the following holds:*

- *Let h be any harmonic metric of $(E, \theta)|_{U(z_0, r_0)}$. Then, $|f|_h \leq C_1(r_0)$ holds on $U(z_0, r_0/2)$.* ■

Corollary 2.13 $|f|_h \leq C_1(r_0)$ holds on $U(R - r_0/2)$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta)$. ■

Note that $|f|_h^2 = 2(1 + 2|b|^2)$.

Corollary 2.14 *There exists $C_2(r_0) > 0$ such that $|b| \leq C_2(r_0)$ on $U(R - r_0/2)$.*

Lemma 2.15 *The following holds:*

$$|b(0)|^2 \leq 2C_2(r_0)^2 I_0(4\sqrt{2}(R - r_0/2))^{-1}.$$

Proof We set $J(z) = 2C_2(r_0)^2 I_0(4\sqrt{2}z) I_0(4\sqrt{2}(R - r_0/2))^{-1}$. We have

$$-\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} J(z) = -8J(z), \quad J(R - r_0/2) = 2C_2(r_0)^2.$$

Let $Z = \{z \in U(R - r_0/2) \mid |b(z)|^2 > J(z)\}$. We deduce a contradiction by assuming $Z \neq \emptyset$. It is relatively compact in $U(R - r_0/2)$. We have $|b(z)|^2 = J(z)$ on ∂Z . On Z , we have

$$-\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} (|b|^2 - J) \leq -8(|b|^2 - J) < 0.$$

Hence, $|b|^2 - J$ is subharmonic on Z . By the maximum principle, we obtain $|b|^2 - J \leq 0$, which contradicts with the definition of Z . Hence, we obtain $Z = \emptyset$. In particular, $|b(0)|^2 \leq J(0)$. ■

Corollary 2.16 *For any $0 < u < 1$,*

$$|b(0)|^2 \leq 2C_2(r_0)^2 C_0(u) e^{-4\sqrt{2}(R - r_0/2)u} \leq 2C_2(r_0)^2 C_0(u) e^{2\sqrt{2}r_0} e^{-4\sqrt{2}uR}.$$
■

For $z_0 \in U(R - R_0)$, we have $U(z_0, R - |z_0|) \subset U(R)$. By applying Corollary 2.16, we obtain

$$|b(z_0)|^2 \leq 2C_2(r_0)^2 C_0(u) e^{-4\sqrt{2}(R - |z_0| - r_0/2)u} \leq 2C_2(r_0)^2 C_0(u) e^{2\sqrt{2}r_0} e^{-4\sqrt{2}u(R - |z_0|)}.$$

It implies the claim of Proposition 2.1. ■

2.1.7 Proof of Proposition 2.2

Lemma 2.17 *We obtain*

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{E, h, z} \pi|_h^2 &= (1 + |b|^2)^2 (|\partial_{\bar{z}} b|^2 + |\partial_z b|^2) + |b|^2 (a^2 |\partial_z c|^2 + c^2 |\partial_z a|^2) \\ &\quad - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(ba^2 c \partial_z \bar{b} \partial_{\bar{z}} c + \bar{b} a c^2 \partial_z b \partial_{\bar{z}} a + b^2 (-c \partial_z \bar{b} + \bar{b} \partial_z c) (-\bar{b} \partial_{\bar{z}} a + a \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{b}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Proof We have

$$\overline{H}^{-1} \partial_z \overline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} c & -\bar{b} \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_z a & \partial_z \bar{b} \\ \partial_z b & \partial_z c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \partial_z a - \bar{b} \partial_z b & c \partial_z \bar{b} - \bar{b} \partial_z c \\ -b \partial_z a + a \partial_z b & -b \partial_z \bar{b} + a \partial_z c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let A be determined by $(\partial_{E, h, z} \pi_1) \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot A$. We set $\beta = -c \partial_z \bar{b} + \bar{b} \partial_z c$ and $\gamma = -b \partial_z a + a \partial_z b$. We obtain

$$A = \partial_z \Pi_1 + [\overline{H}^{-1} \partial_z \overline{H}, \Pi_1] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$A \cdot \overline{H}^{-1} {}^t \overline{A} \cdot \overline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} -b^2 \beta \overline{\gamma} + a^2 |\beta|^2 & -bc \beta \overline{\gamma} + a \overline{b} |\beta|^2 \\ bc |\gamma|^2 - a \overline{b} \gamma \overline{\beta} & |\gamma|^2 c^2 - \gamma \overline{\beta} b^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$|\partial_{E,h,z} \pi_1|_h^2 = \text{Tr}(A \cdot \overline{H}^{-1} {}^t \overline{A} \cdot \overline{H}) = a^2 |\beta|^2 + c^2 |\gamma|^2 - 2 \text{Re}(b^2 \beta \overline{\gamma}).$$

It is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & a^2 \left(c^2 |\partial_z \overline{b}|^2 + |b|^2 |\partial_z c|^2 - 2 \text{Re}(bc \partial_z \overline{b} \partial_{\overline{z}} c) \right) + c^2 \left(|b|^2 |\partial_z a|^2 + |a|^2 |\partial_z b|^2 - 2 \text{Re}(\overline{b} a \partial_z b \partial_{\overline{z}} a) \right) \\ & - 2 \text{Re} \left(b^2 (-c \partial_z \overline{b} + \overline{b} \partial_z c) (-\overline{b} \partial_{\overline{z}} a + a \partial_{\overline{z}} \overline{b}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Then, by using $ac = 1 + |b|^2$, we obtain (31). ■

We set $W = \{z \in U(R) \mid |b(z)| \neq 0\}$. We take $4 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_0 + \min\{2\sqrt{2}, \gamma_0\}$.

Lemma 2.18 *There exists $C_{20}(B(h), \gamma_1) > 0$, depending only on $B(h)$ and γ_1 such that the following holds on W :*

$$-\partial_z \partial_{\overline{z}} |b| \leq -4|b| + C_{20}(B(h), \gamma_1) e^{-\gamma_1(R-|z|)}. \quad (33)$$

Proof On W , we have

$$\partial_z |b| = \partial_{\overline{z}} |b| \leq \frac{1}{2} (|\partial_z b| + |\partial_{\overline{z}} b|).$$

We obtain

$$2\partial_z |b| \partial_{\overline{z}} |b| \leq \frac{1}{2} (|\partial_z b| + |\partial_{\overline{z}} b|)^2 \leq |\partial_z b|^2 + |\partial_{\overline{z}} b|^2.$$

Then, we obtain (33) from (30) and (31). ■

Let $0 < \gamma < 4$. We set $\eta = (4 + \gamma)/2$. We have

$$-\partial_z \partial_{\overline{z}} I_0(\eta z) = -\frac{1}{4} \eta^2 I_0(\eta z).$$

There exists $C_{21}(B(h), \gamma_1, \gamma)$ such that $|b| < C_{21}(B(h), \gamma_1, \gamma)$ on $|z| = R - r_0$, and that the following holds for $g = C_{21}(B(h), \gamma_1, \gamma) I_0(\eta z) I_0(\eta(R - r_0))^{-1}$ on $U(R - r_0)$:

$$-\partial_z \partial_{\overline{z}} g \geq -4g + C_{20}(B(h), \gamma_1) e^{-\gamma_1(R-|z|)}.$$

Lemma 2.19 *We have $|b| \leq g$ on $U(R - r_0)$. In particular, $|b(0)| \leq C_{21}(B(h), \gamma_1, \gamma) I_0(\eta(R - r_0))^{-1}$.*

Proof Let $Z = \{z \in U(R - r_0) \mid |b(z)| > g(z)\}$. We shall deduce a contradiction by assuming $Z \neq \emptyset$. We note that $Z \cap \partial U(R - r_0) = \emptyset$. We also have $Z \subset W$. Hence, we have $|b| = g$ on ∂Z , and the following inequality on Z :

$$-\partial_z \partial_{\overline{z}} (|b| - g) \leq -4(|b| - g).$$

Then, we obtain $|b| \leq g$ on Z which is a contradiction. ■

We obtain

$$|b(0)| \leq C_{21}(B(h), \gamma, \gamma_1) C_0(\gamma/\eta) e^{\gamma r_0} e^{-\gamma R}.$$

By applying the argument to the restriction of (E, θ, h) to $U(z_0, R - |z_0|)$ for $z_0 \in U(R_1)$, we obtain

$$|b(z_0)| \leq C_{21}(B(h), \gamma, \gamma_1) C_0(\gamma/\eta) e^{\gamma r_0} e^{-\gamma(R-|z_0|)}.$$

Thus, we obtain Proposition 2.2. ■

2.1.8 Proof of Proposition 2.3 and the C^0 -part of Proposition 2.4

Let v_1, v_2 be a frame such that $C(v_i, v_i) = 1$ and $C(v_1, v_2) = 0$. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; C)$. Let H be the Hermitian matrix valued function determined by $H_{i,j} = h(v_i, v_j)$. We can describe H as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} a & \sqrt{-1}\tilde{b} \\ -\sqrt{-1}\tilde{b} & a \end{pmatrix},$$

where a is an $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ -valued function, and \tilde{b} is an \mathbb{R} -valued function such that $a^2 - \tilde{b}^2 = 1$.

Lemma 2.20 *The following holds:*

$$|\partial_{E,h,z}\pi_1|_h^2 = \frac{2(1+2\tilde{b}^2)}{1+\tilde{b}^2} |\partial_z \tilde{b}|^2.$$

Proof We set

$$\beta = -\sqrt{-1}\tilde{b}\partial_z a + \sqrt{-1}a\partial_z \tilde{b} = \sqrt{-1}a^{-1}\partial_z \tilde{b}.$$

We have

$$\overline{H}^{-1}\partial_z \overline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$[\partial_z + \overline{H}^{-1}\partial_z \overline{H}, \Pi_1] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{E,h,z}\pi_1|_h^2 &= \text{tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & \sqrt{-1}\tilde{b} \\ -\sqrt{-1}\tilde{b} & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{\beta} \\ \overline{\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & -\sqrt{-1}\tilde{b} \\ \sqrt{-1}\tilde{b} & a \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= 2(1+2\tilde{b}^2)|\beta|^2 = \frac{2(1+2\tilde{b}^2)}{1+\tilde{b}^2} |\partial_z \tilde{b}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

■

We set $W = \{z \in U(R) \mid \tilde{b}(z) \neq 0\}$.

Lemma 2.21 *On W , the following holds:*

$$-\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} |\tilde{b}| \leq -4|\tilde{b}|.$$

Proof We have

$$-\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{b}^2 = -2|\tilde{b}| \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} |\tilde{b}| - 2(\partial_z \tilde{b}) \cdot (\partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{b}).$$

Because \tilde{b} is real valued, we obtain

$$\partial_z |\tilde{b}| = \partial_{\bar{z}} |\tilde{b}| \leq |\partial_z \tilde{b}|.$$

We have

$$-2\frac{1+2\tilde{b}^2}{1+\tilde{b}^2} |\partial_z \tilde{b}|^2 + 2\partial_z \tilde{b} |\partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{b}| \leq 0.$$

Then, we obtain $-2|\tilde{b}| \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} |\tilde{b}| \leq -8|\tilde{b}|^2$, which implies the claim of the lemma. ■

Proposition 2.22 *For any $0 < \gamma < 4$, there exists $C'(R_0, \gamma) > 0$ such that the following holds for any $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; C)$ on $U(R - R_0)$:*

$$|\tilde{b}(z)| \leq C'(R_0, \gamma) e^{-\gamma(R-|z|)}.$$

It implies $|a - 1| \leq C'(R_0, \gamma) e^{-2\gamma(R-|z|)}$.

Proof We use the notation in §2.1.6.

Lemma 2.23 *The following holds:*

$$|\tilde{b}(0)| \leq 2C_2(r_0) I_0(4(R - r_0/2))^{-1}.$$

Proof We set $J(z) = 2C_2(r_0)I_0(4z)I_0(4(R - r_0/2))^{-1}$.

$$-\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} J(z) = -4J(z), \quad J(z)|_{|z|=R-r_0/2} = 2C_2(r_0).$$

Let $Z = \{z \in U(R - r_0/2) \mid |\tilde{b}(z)| > J(z)\}$. We deduce a contradiction by assuming $Z \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, Z is contained in W , and relatively compact in $U(R - r_0/2)$. We have $|\tilde{b}(z)| = J(z)$ on ∂Z , and

$$-\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} (|\tilde{b}| - J) \leq -4(|\tilde{b}|^2 - J) < 0$$

on Z . Hence, $|\tilde{b}| - J$ is subharmonic on Z . By the maximum principle, we obtain $|\tilde{b}| - J \leq 0$, which contradicts with the definition of Z . Hence, we obtain $Z = \emptyset$. In particular, $|\tilde{b}(0)| \leq J(0)$. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.24 For any $0 < u < 1$, we have

$$|\tilde{b}(0)| \leq 2C_2(r_0)C_0(u)e^{-4(R-r_0/2)u} \leq 2C_2(r_0)C_0(u)e^{2r_0}e^{-4uR}.$$

By applying Corollary 2.24 to the restriction to $U(z_0, R - |z_0|)$, we obtain

$$|\tilde{b}(z_0)| \leq 2C_2(r_0)C_0(u)e^{-4(R-r_0/2)u} \leq 2C_2(r_0)C_0(u)e^{2r_0}e^{-4u(R-|z_0|)}.$$

It implies the claim of Proposition 2.22. \blacksquare

Proposition 2.22 implies the claim of Proposition 2.4 in the case $(j, k) = (0, 0)$. It implies Proposition 2.3.

2.1.9 Proof of Proposition 2.4

We continue to use the notation in §2.1.8. We have

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}(\overline{H}^{-1} \partial_z \overline{H}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}}(a^{-1} \partial_z \tilde{b}) \\ \sqrt{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}}(a^{-1} \partial_z \tilde{b}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$F_H^\dagger = \overline{H}^{-1} F \overline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \tilde{b}^2 & -2\sqrt{-1} a \tilde{b} \\ -2\sqrt{-1} a \tilde{b} & -1 - 2\tilde{b}^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain

$$[F, F_H^\dagger] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4\sqrt{-1} a \tilde{b} \\ 4\sqrt{-1} a \tilde{b} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because $\partial_{\bar{z}}(\overline{H}^{-1} \partial_z \overline{H}) - [F, F_H^\dagger] = 0$, we obtain

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}(a^{-1} \partial_z \tilde{b}) - 4a\tilde{b} = 0. \tag{35}$$

By using $a^2 - \tilde{b}^2 = 1$, we obtain

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z \tilde{b} = \frac{1}{1 + \tilde{b}^2} \tilde{b} |\partial_z \tilde{b}|^2 + 4\tilde{b}. \tag{36}$$

For $z_0 \in U(R_1)$, let $\chi_{z_0} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a C^∞ -function such that $\chi_{z_0}(z) = 1$ ($|z - z_0| < r_0/2$) and $\chi_{z_0}(z) = 0$ ($|z - z_0| \geq 2r_0/3$). We also assume that $\chi_{z_0}^{-1/2} d\chi_{z_0}$ induces a C^∞ -function. By (36), we obtain

$$0 \leq \int \chi_{z_0} \tilde{b} \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z \tilde{b} = - \int \partial_{\bar{z}}(\chi_{z_0}) \tilde{b} \partial_z \tilde{b} - \int \chi_{z_0} |\partial_z \tilde{b}|^2.$$

We obtain

$$\int \chi_{z_0} |\partial_z \tilde{b}|^2 \leq \left(\int |\chi_{z_0}^{-1/2} d\chi_{z_0}| \cdot |\tilde{b}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \chi_{z_0} |\partial_z \tilde{b}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence,

$$\int \chi_{z_0} |\partial_z \tilde{b}|^2 \leq \int |\chi_{z_0}^{-1/2} d\chi_{z_0}| \cdot |\tilde{b}|^2.$$

For any $0 < \gamma < 4$, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{|z-z_0| < r_0/2} |\partial_z \tilde{b}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \pi^{1/2} (r_0/2) C'(R, R_1 + r_0, \gamma) e^{\gamma r_0} e^{-\gamma(R-|z_0|)}.$$

By using (35), we obtain the estimate for the sup norms of $\partial_z \tilde{b}$ on $\{|z - z_0| < r_0/3\}$. By a standard bootstrapping argument, we obtain the estimate (29). Because $a^2 = 1 + \tilde{b}^2$, it implies the estimate (28). \blacksquare

2.2 An estimate for derivatives

Fix $R_0 > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$. Let (E, θ) be a Higgs bundle of rank r on $U(R)$ for $R > 2R_0$. Let f be the endomorphism determined by $\theta = f dz$. Assume that $|\text{tr}(f^j)| < C_0$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Let h_0 be a harmonic metric. Let g_0 be the Euclidean metric $dz d\bar{z}$. Let ∇ denote the Chern connection of (E, h_0) . Let \mathfrak{R} denote the endomorphism of E such that $\mathfrak{R} dz d\bar{z}$ is the curvature of ∇ .

Lemma 2.25 *There exist $C(j, k) > 0$ depending only on R_0, C_0, r and (j, k) such that the following holds on $U(R - R_0)$ for any harmonic metric h of (E, θ) :*

$$|\nabla_z^j f|_{h_0} + |\nabla_{\bar{z}}^k f^\dagger|_{h_0} + |\nabla_z^j \nabla_{\bar{z}}^k \mathfrak{R}|_{h_0} \leq C(j, k).$$

Proof For $z_0 \in U(R - R_0)$, we have $U(z_0, R_0) \subset U(R)$. By applying [19, Proposition 4.1] to $(E, \theta)|_{U(z_0, R_0)}$, we obtain the estimate on $U(z_0, R_0/2)$. Hence, we obtain the desired estimate on $U(R - R_0)$. \blacksquare

For positive constants $C_1, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1$, let $\mathcal{H}(E, \theta; h_0, C_1, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1)$ denote the set of harmonic metrics h of (E, θ) such that the following holds on $U(R)$.

- $|s(h_0, h)|_{h_0} + |s(h_0, h)^{-1}|_{h_0} \leq C_1$.
- $\int |\bar{\partial} s(h_0, h)|_{h_0}^2 + \int |\theta, s(h_0, h)|_{h_0}^2 \leq \epsilon_1^2$.
- $\left| \bar{\partial}(s(h_0, h)^{-1} \partial_{h_0} s(h_0, h)) \right|_{h_0, g_0} \leq \epsilon_0$.

We set $\epsilon_2 = \max\{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1\}$.

Lemma 2.26 *There exists C_2 depending only on $R_0, C_0, C_1, r, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1$, such that the following holds on $U(R - R_0)$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}(E, \theta; h_0, C_1, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1)$:*

$$|\nabla s(h_0, h)|_{h_0, g_0} + |[\theta, s(h_0, h)]|_{h_0, g_0} \leq C_2 \cdot \epsilon_2.$$

Proof To simplify the description, we denote $s(h_0, h)$ by s . We obtain the estimate for $\sup_{U(R-R_0)} |\bar{\partial} s|_{h_0, g_0}$ by using the Sobolev embedding theorem and Uhlenbeck's theorem on the existence of Coulomb gauge. (See the proof of [19, Proposition 4.1], for example.) Because s is self-adjoint with respect to h_0 , we obtain the estimate for $\sup_{U(R-R_0)} |\nabla s|_{h_0, g_0}$. Because $\bar{\partial}[\theta, s] = -[\theta, \bar{\partial} s]$, we also obtain the estimate for $\sup_{U(R-R_0)} |[\theta, s]|_{h_0, g_0}$. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.27 *For any $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, there exist positive constants $C_3(j, k)$ depending only on $R_0, C_0, C_1, r, \epsilon_0$ and $\epsilon_1, (j, k)$, such that the following holds on $U(R - R_0)$:*

$$|\nabla_z^j \nabla_{\bar{z}}^k s(h_0, h)|_{h_0} \leq C_3(j, k) \cdot \epsilon_2.$$

Proof We have

$$\bar{\partial}(s(h_0, h)^{-1} \partial_{E, h_0} s(h_0, h)) + [\theta, s^{-1}[\theta_{h_0}^\dagger, s]] = 0.$$

Then, we obtain the desired estimate by using a standard bootstrapping argument, the Sobolev embedding theorem and Uhlenbeck's theorem on the existence of Coulomb gauge. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.28 *For any $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$, there exist positive constants $C_4(j, k)$ depending only on $R_0, C_0, C_1, r, \epsilon_0$ and $\epsilon_1, (j, k)$, such that the following holds on $U(R - R_0)$:*

$$|\nabla_z^j \nabla_{\bar{z}}^k [\theta, s(h_0, h)]|_{h_0} + |\nabla_z^j \nabla_{\bar{z}}^k [\theta_{h_0}^\dagger, s(h_0, h)]|_{h_0} \leq C_4(j, k) \cdot \epsilon_2.$$

3 Global estimates

3.1 Flat metrics induced by quadratic differentials

3.1.1 Holomorphic local coordinates

Let X be a compact Riemann surface. Let ϕ be a non-zero quadratic differential on X such that each zero of ϕ is simple. Let D_ϕ denote the set of zeroes of ϕ . Let g_ϕ be the Kähler metric of $X \setminus D_\phi$ obtained as $g_\phi = |\phi|$. Let d_ϕ denote the distance of X induced by g_ϕ . For any $r > 0$ and $P \in X$, we set $X_P(r) = \{Q \in X \mid d_\phi(P, Q) < r\}$.

For each $P \in X \setminus D_\phi$, there exists a coordinate neighbourhood (X_P, z_P) around P such that $\phi|_{X_P} = dz_P dz_P$ and $z_P(P) = 0$. We obtain $g_{\phi|_{X_P}} = dz_P d\bar{z}_P$. Such a coordinate z_P is well defined up to the multiplication of ± 1 . There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that the coordinate z_P induces the following holomorphic isomorphism for any $r < r_0$:

$$(X_P(r), \phi) \simeq (U(r), (dz_P)^2).$$

For each $P \in D_\phi$, there exists a coordinate neighbourhood (X_P, z_P) such that $\phi = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 z_P dz_P dz_P$. We have $g_{\phi|_{X_P}} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 |z_P| dz_P d\bar{z}_P$. Such a coordinate z_P is well defined up to the multiplication of cubic roots of 1. We consider the quadratic differential $\phi_0 = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 z (dz)^2$ on \mathbb{C} . It induces the distance d_{ϕ_0} on \mathbb{C} . We set

$$\mathcal{U}_P(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid d_{\phi_0}(0, z) < r\} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < r^{2/3}\}.$$

There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that the coordinate z_P induces the following holomorphic isomorphism for any $r < r_0$:

$$(X_P(r), \phi) \simeq (\mathcal{U}_P(r), \phi_0).$$

3.1.2 Threshold

According to [3, §3.2], a saddle connection of ϕ is a geodesic segment on (X, d_ϕ) such that (i) the starting point and the ending point are contained in D_ϕ , (ii) any other point is contained in $X \setminus D_\phi$. Note that the starting point and the ending point are not necessarily distinct. Let $M(\phi)$ denote the minimum length of the saddle connections of ϕ , which is called the threshold. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 3.1 *For $P \in D_\phi$, we have $X_P(M(\phi)) \cap D_\phi = \{P\}$.* ■

We shall prove the following proposition in §3.1.4.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $P \in D_\phi$.*

- *A local holomorphic coordinate z_P around P as in §3.1.1 is analytically continued to a holomorphic coordinate on $X_P(M(\phi)/2)$, and it induces a holomorphic isomorphism*

$$(X_P(\kappa), \phi) \simeq (\mathcal{U}_P(\kappa), \phi_0)$$

for any $0 < \kappa \leq M(\phi)/2$. In particular, $\phi|_{X_P(\kappa)} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 z_P (dz_P)^2$.

- *The inverse function $z_P^{-1} : \mathcal{U}_P(M(\phi)/2) \simeq X_P(M(\phi)/2)$ is analytically continued to a locally bi-holomorphic function*

$$\psi_P : \mathcal{U}_P(M(\phi)) \longrightarrow X.$$

We have $\psi_P^(\phi) = \phi_0$, $\psi_P^{-1}(D_\theta) = \{0\}$, and $\psi_P(\mathcal{U}_P(\kappa)) \subset X_P(\kappa)$ for any $0 < \kappa \leq M(\phi)$.*

The following lemma is easier which is also proved in §3.1.4.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $P \in X \setminus D_\phi$. Let z_P be a holomorphic local coordinate around P as in §3.1.1. Then, z_P^{-1} is analytically continued to a locally bi-holomorphic function*

$$\psi_P : U(d_\phi(P, D_\phi)) \longrightarrow X.$$

We have $\psi_P^(\phi) = (dz)^2$, $\psi_P^{-1}(D_\phi) = \emptyset$, and $\psi_P(\mathcal{U}_P(\kappa)) \subset X_P(\kappa)$ for any $0 < \kappa \leq d_\phi(P, D_\phi)$.*

3.1.3 Metrics induced by holomorphic 1-forms

Let Y be a simply connected Riemann surface isomorphic to a disc. Let a be a holomorphic 1-form on Y . We set $Z_a = \{Q \in Y \mid a(Q) = 0\}$.

We obtain the Kähler metric $|a|^2$ on $Y \setminus Z_a$ whose curvature is flat. As explained in [23], it induces the distance d_a on Y . For a curve γ , the length of γ is denoted by $L_a(\gamma)$. In this subsection, any geodesic is assumed to be parameterized by the arc length. We assume the following.

Assumption 3.4 (Y, d_a) is a complete metric space. ■

Under the assumption, the following holds. See [23, Theorem 14.2.1, Theorem 16.1, Corollary 18.2].

Proposition 3.5 For any two points $P_1, P_2 \in Y$, there is a unique geodesic γ_{P_1, P_2} starting from P_1 and ending at P_2 . We have $L_a(\gamma_{P_1, P_2}) = d_a(P_1, P_2)$. If the length of a curve connecting P_1 and P_2 is $d_a(P_1, P_2)$, the curve equals to the geodesic γ_{P_1, P_2} after a re-parametrization of the parameter. ■

We fix a base point $P \in Y$. We set $M_{a, P} := \inf_{Q \in Z_a \setminus \{P\}} d_a(P, Q)$. Let $Z_{a, P}$ denote the set of $Q \in Z_a \setminus \{P\}$ such that $\gamma_{P, Q}(s) \notin Z_a$ for any $0 < s < d_a(P, Q)$. It is easy to see $M_{a, P} = \inf_{Q \in Z_{a, P}} d_a(P, Q)$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ denote the order of zero of a at P . Let $f_{a, P}$ be the holomorphic function on Y such that $df_{a, P} = a$ and $f_{a, P}(P) = 0$. There exists a holomorphic function $f_{a, P}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ defined on a neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of P such that $(f_{a, P}^{\frac{1}{n+1}})^{n+1} = f_{a, P}$ and that $f_{a, P}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ has simple zero at P .

For any $r > 0$, let $Y_P(r) := \{Q \in Y \mid d_a(P, Q) < r\}$ and $Y_P(r)^* = Y_P(r) \setminus \{P\}$. We also set $U(r) := \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |w| < r\}$ and $U(r)^* = U(r) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proposition 3.6 Let $r \leq M_{a, P}$.

- $f_{a, P}$ induces a proper map $Y_P(r) \rightarrow U(r)$, and the induced map $Y_P(r)^* \rightarrow U(r)^*$ is a covering map of degree $n + 1$.
- $f_{a, P}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ is analytically continued to a holomorphic function on $Y_P(r)$, and it induces a bi-holomorphic map $Y_P(r) \simeq U(r^{\frac{1}{n+1}})$. We have $(f_{a, P}^{\frac{1}{n+1}})^{n+1} = f_{a, P}$ on $Y_P(r)$.

Proof We shall give some lemmas.

Lemma 3.7 For any $Q \in Y_P(M_{a, P})^*$, $\gamma_{P, Q}(s)$ ($0 < s \leq d_a(P, Q)$) are not contained in Z_a . In particular, $Y_P(M_{a, P})^* \cap Z_a = \emptyset$.

Proof Let S denote the set of $0 < s \leq d_a(P, Q)$ such that $\gamma_{P, Q}(s) \in Z_a$. Assume that S is not empty. Let $s_0 = \min S$. Then, $\gamma_{P, Q}(s_0) \in Z_a$, and $d_a(P, \gamma_{P, Q}(s_0)) \leq d_a(P, Q) < M_{a, P}$, which contradicts the definition of $M_{a, P}$. ■

For $Q \in Y_P(M_{a, P})^*$, by Lemma 3.7, we obtain

$$f_{a, P} \circ \gamma_{P, Q}(s) = s \cdot |f_{a, P}(Q)|^{-1} f_{a, P}(Q),$$

and $d_a(P, Q) = |f_{a, P}(Q)|$. In particular, we obtain $f_{a, P}(Y_P(r)) \subset U(r)$ for any $0 < r < M_{a, P}$.

Let $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. There exist $(n + 1)$ -distinct points $w_{n+1}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n + 1$) such that $(w_{n+1}^{(i)})^{n+1} = w$. There exist $\epsilon > 0$ and C^∞ -maps $\eta_{w, \epsilon}^{(i)} : [0, \epsilon] \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \subset Y$ ($i = 1, \dots, n + 1$) such that $\eta_{w, \epsilon}^{(i)}(0) = P$ and $f_{a, P}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \circ \eta_{w, \epsilon}^{(i)}(s) = s^{\frac{1}{n+1}} w_{n+1}^{(i)}$ for $0 \leq s \leq \epsilon$.

Lemma 3.8 Suppose $|w| < M_{a, P}$.

- There exist C^∞ -maps $\eta_w^{(i)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ such that (i) $\eta_w^{(i)}(s) = \eta_{w, \epsilon}^{(i)}(s)$ ($0 \leq s \leq \epsilon$), (ii) $f_{a, P} \circ \eta_w^{(i)}(s) = sw$ ($0 \leq s \leq 1$).
- For $i \neq j$, we have $\eta_w^{(i)}(s) \neq \eta_w^{(j)}(s)$ for any $0 < s \leq 1$.

Proof Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Let I be the set of $\epsilon \leq \nu \leq 1$ such that there exists $\eta_{w,\nu}^{(i)} : [0, \nu] \rightarrow Y$ such that (i) $\eta_{w,\nu}^{(i)}(s) = \eta_{w,\epsilon}^{(i)}(s)$ ($0 \leq s \leq \epsilon$), (ii) $f_{a,P} \circ \eta_{w,\nu}^{(i)}(s) = sw$ ($0 \leq s \leq \nu$). Note that $\epsilon \in I$, and hence $I \neq \emptyset$. We set $\nu_0 = \sup I$. Suppose $\nu_0 < 1$. For any $\epsilon \leq \nu < \nu_0$, we have $\eta_{w,\nu}^{(i)} : [0, \nu] \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the above conditions. Note that

$$d_a(P, \eta_{w,\nu}^{(i)}(s)) \leq |\eta_{w,\nu}^{(i)}(s)| = s|w| < M_{a,P}.$$

Because $\eta_{w,\nu}^{(i)}(s) \notin Z_a$ for any $\epsilon \leq \nu < \nu_0$ and $0 < s \leq \nu$, we have $\eta_{w,\nu_1}^{(i)}(s) = \eta_{w,\nu_2}^{(i)}(s)$ for any $\epsilon \leq \nu_1 \leq \nu_2$ and $0 \leq s \leq \nu_1$. Let us consider the sequence $\eta_{w,\nu}^{(i)}(\nu)$ ($\nu \in I$). We note that $d_a(\eta_{w,\nu_1}^{(i)}(\nu_1), \eta_{w,\nu_2}^{(i)}(\nu_2)) = |\nu_1 - \nu_2| \cdot |w|$. Because d_a is complete, there exists the limit $z_\infty = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \nu_0} \eta_{w,\nu}^{(i)}(\nu) \in Y$. By the continuity of f_a , we have $f_a(z_\infty) = \nu_0 w$. Because f_a is bi-holomorphic around z_∞ and $\nu_0 w$, we can construct $\eta_{w,\nu_0+\delta}^{(i)}$ satisfying the above conditions for some $\delta > 0$. It contradicts the definition of ν_0 . Thus, we obtain the first claim.

Fix a pair $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$ with $i \neq j$. Let J be the set of $0 < s \leq 1$ such that $\eta_w^{(i)}(s) = \eta_w^{(j)}(s)$. Because the condition is closed, J is a closed subset of $]0, 1]$. Because f_a is locally bi-holomorphic, J is an open subset of $]0, 1]$. Because $J \subset \{\epsilon \leq s \leq 1\}$, we obtain $J \neq]0, 1]$, and hence $J = \emptyset$. Thus, we obtain the second claim. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.9 *For any $w \in U(r)^*$, we have*

$$\{Q \in Y_P(M_{a,P}) \setminus \{P\} \mid f_{a,P}(Q) = w\} = \{\eta_w^{(i)}(1) \mid i = 1, \dots, n+1\}.$$

Proof The right hand side is contained in the left hand side. Let $Q \in Y_P(M_{a,P})$ such that $f_{a,P}(Q) = w$. Because $f_{a,P} \circ \gamma_{P,Q}(|w|s) = s \cdot w$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, $\gamma_{P,Q}(|w| \cdot s)$ equals one of $\eta_w^{(i)}$. Hence, the left hand side is contained in the right hand side. \blacksquare

Let $0 < r \leq M_{a,P}$. Let us consider the induced map $f_{a|_{Y_P(r)^*}} : Y_P(r)^* \rightarrow U(r)^*$. It is locally bi-holomorphic. By Lemma 3.9, it is a covering map. Then, $f_a^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ is analytically continued on $Y_P(r)$. The other claims of Proposition 3.6 also follow. \blacksquare

3.1.4 Proof of Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3

Let $\Sigma \subset T^*X$ be the spectral curve of ϕ , which is also a compact Riemann surface. Let $\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ denote the projection. There exists a holomorphic 1-form a on Σ such that $\pi^*(\phi) = a^2$.

Let $\varphi : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a universal covering. We obtain $\tilde{a} = \varphi^*(a)$. The Riemann surface $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is bi-holomorphic to a disc. According to [23, Lemma 18.2], the metric space $(\tilde{\Sigma}, d_{\tilde{a}})$ is complete.

Let $P \in D_\phi$. There exists a unique point $\tilde{P}_1 \in \Sigma$ such that $\pi(\tilde{P}_1) = P$. Let $\tilde{P}_2 \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ be a point such that $\varphi(\tilde{P}_2) = \tilde{P}_1$. By definitions, we obtain

$$M(\phi) \leq M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}.$$

We obtain $f_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2} : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $df_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2} = \tilde{a}$ and $f_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}(\tilde{P}_2) = 0$. As explained in Proposition 3.6, there exists a holomorphic function $f_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}$ on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2})$ such that $(f_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}^{\frac{1}{3}})^3 = f_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}$, and $f_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}$ induces a bi-holomorphic isomorphism

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(r) \simeq \{|\zeta| < r^{1/3}\}$$

for any $r < M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}$. We have $\tilde{a} = d\zeta^3$.

Let d_a be the distance on Σ induced by $|a|^2$. For $r > 0$, let $\Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r) = \{Q \in \Sigma \mid d_a(\tilde{P}_1, Q) < r\}$ and $\Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r)^* = \Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r) \setminus \{\tilde{P}_1\}$.

Lemma 3.10 *Let $r \leq M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/2$.*

- φ induces an isomorphism $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(r) \simeq \Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r)$.
- π induces a ramified covering map $\Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r) \rightarrow X_P(r)$ of degree 2. It induces a covering map $\Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r)^* \rightarrow X_P(r)^*$.

Proof We have $\varphi(\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(r)) \subset \Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r)$ for any $r > 0$. Suppose $r \leq M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/2$. Let $Q_1, Q_2 \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(r)$ such that $\varphi(Q_1) = \varphi(Q_2)$ and $Q_1 \neq Q_2$. Then, there exists $\tilde{P}'_2 \in \varphi^{-1}(\tilde{P}_1) \setminus \{\tilde{P}_2\}$ such that $d_{\tilde{a}}(\tilde{P}'_2, Q_1) < r$. We obtain $d_{\tilde{a}}(\tilde{P}_2, \tilde{P}'_2) < 2r \leq M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}$, which contradicts the condition of $M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}$. Hence, the restriction of φ induces a bi-holomorphic isomorphism between $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(r)$ and its image $\varphi(\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(r))$.

Let $Q \in \Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r)$. There exists a curve γ_0^Q starting from \tilde{P}_1 and ending at Q whose length is strictly smaller than r . According to [23, Theorem 18.2.2], there exists a unique geodesic γ^Q from \tilde{P}_1 to Q such that γ^Q is homotopic to γ_0^Q . The length L of γ^Q is strictly less than r . Let $\tilde{\gamma}^Q$ be the lift of γ^Q to $\tilde{\Sigma}$ starting from \tilde{P}_2 . Then, $\tilde{\gamma}^Q(L)$ is contained in $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(r)$. We obtain $\Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r) = \varphi(\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(r))$. Thus, we obtain the first claim.

We have $\pi(\Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r)) \subset X_P(r)$ for any $r > 0$. Suppose that $r < M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/2$. The induced map $\Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r)^* \rightarrow X_P(r)^*$ is locally bi-holomorphic. Let $Q \in X_P(r)$. There exists a curve γ^Q starting from P and ending at Q whose length is strictly smaller than r . According to [23, Theorem 18.2.2], there exists a unique geodesic γ^Q from P to Q such that γ^Q is homotopic to γ_0^Q . The length L of γ^Q is strictly less than r . Because $L < M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/2$, $\gamma^Q(s)$ is not contained in D_ϕ for any $0 < s \leq L$. Hence, there exists exactly two lifts $\gamma^{Q(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2$) of γ^Q to $\Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r)$. Hence, $\Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r)^* \rightarrow X_P(r)^*$ is a covering map of degree 2. Thus, we obtain the second claim. \blacksquare

Let σ be the involution of Σ induced by the multiplication of -1 on T^*X . It induces an involution σ on $\Sigma_{\tilde{P}_1}(r)$ for any r . By Lemma 3.10, we obtain the involution σ on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(r)$ for $r < M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/2$.

Lemma 3.11 *The induced involution σ on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2})$ is described as $\sigma(\zeta) = -\zeta$.*

Proof Because $\tilde{a} = d(\zeta^3)$, $\sigma^*\tilde{a} = -\tilde{a}$, $\sigma(\tilde{P}_2) = \tilde{P}_2$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{id}$, we obtain $\sigma^*(\zeta) = -\zeta$. \blacksquare

There exists a bi-holomorphic map

$$\Phi_1 : U((M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/2)^{2/3}) \longrightarrow X_P(M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/2)$$

such that $\pi \circ \varphi = \Phi_1 \circ f_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}$ on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/2)$. For each $0 < r < M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/2$, Φ_1 induces an isomorphism $U(r^{2/3}) \simeq X_P(r)$. Because $M(\phi) \leq M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}$, this implies the first claim of Proposition 3.2. We obtain the second claim of Proposition 3.2 from the following lemma.

Lemma 3.12 *Φ_1 is analytically continued to a locally bi-holomorphic map*

$$\Phi_2 : U(M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}^{2/3}) \longrightarrow X_P(M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2})$$

such that $\pi \circ \varphi = \Phi_2 \circ f_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}$ on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}_2}(M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2})$.

Proof For $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ such that $\theta_2 - \theta_1 < 2\pi$, we consider the following regions:

$$S_0(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid (M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/3)^{2/3} < |\xi| < (M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/2)^{2/3}, \theta_1 < \arg(\xi) < \theta_2\},$$

$$S_1(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid (M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}/3)^{2/3} < |\xi| < M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}^{2/3}, \theta_1 < \arg(\xi) < \theta_2\}.$$

Let $\rho : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the map defined by $\rho(\zeta) = \zeta^2$. For $i = 0, 1$, we have the decomposition into the connected components

$$\rho^{-1}(S_i(\theta_1, \theta_2)) = \rho^{-1}(S_i(\theta_1, \theta_2))_1 \sqcup \rho^{-1}(S_i(\theta_1, \theta_2))_2.$$

We obtain

$$(\rho^{-1})_j : S_1(\theta_1, \theta_2) \simeq \rho^{-1}(S_1(\theta_1, \theta_2))_j$$

obtained as the inverse of ρ . We have the equalities

$$\pi \circ \varphi \circ (f_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}^{\frac{1}{3}})^{-1} \circ (\rho^{-1})_1 = \pi \circ \varphi \circ (f_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}_2}^{\frac{1}{3}})^{-1} \circ (\rho^{-1})_2$$

on $S_1(\theta_1, \theta_2)$, because their restriction to $S_0(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ are equal. Then, we obtain the claim of Lemma 3.12, which implies the second claim of Proposition 3.2. \blacksquare

Let $P \in X \setminus D_\phi$. Let $\tilde{P} \in (\pi \circ \varphi)^{-1}(P)$. By definitions, we have

$$M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}} = d_\phi(P, D_\phi).$$

We obtain the locally bi-holomorphic map $U(M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}}) \rightarrow X$ as the composition of

$$U(M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}}) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{(f_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}})^{-1}} \tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{P}}(M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{P}}) \xrightarrow{\pi \circ \varphi} X.$$

This gives a desired analytic continuation of z_P^{-1} . Thus, we obtain Lemma 3.3. \blacksquare

3.2 Higgs bundles of rank 2 with smooth spectral curve

Let X be a compact Riemann surface. Let (E, θ) be a Higgs bundle of degree 0 and rank 2 on X . We assume that $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\text{tr } \theta = 0$. We assume that the spectral curve Σ_θ is smooth, reduced and irreducible. Let $D_\theta \subset X$ denote the set of the critical values of the projection $\pi : \Sigma_\theta \rightarrow X$. At each point of D_θ , $\phi := -\det(\theta)$ has a simple zero. For any $W \subset X$, we set $\Sigma_{\theta|W} = \Sigma_\theta \times_X W$.

We obtain the flat Kähler metric $g_\phi = |\phi|$ of $X \setminus D_\theta$. Let d_ϕ denote the distance of X induced by g_ϕ . For any $P \in X$ and $r > 0$, we set $X_P(r) = \{Q \in X \mid d_\phi(P, Q) < r\}$. We set

$$\kappa_0 = M(\phi)/2.$$

3.2.1 Decomposition into the diagonal part and the off-diagonal part

Let $P \in X \setminus D_\theta$. There exists a holomorphic coordinate neighbourhood (X_P, z_P) such that $\phi = (dz_P)^2$ and $z_P(P) = 0$. We set $E_P = E|_{X_P}$. Let f_P be the endomorphism of E_P determined by $\theta|_{X_P} = f_P dz_P$.

We have the decomposition

$$(E, \theta)|_{X_P} = (E_{P,1}, dz_P) \oplus (E_{P,2}, -dz_P).$$

We set

$$\text{End}(E)_P^\circ = \bigoplus \text{End}(E_{P,i}), \quad \text{End}(E)_P^\perp = \text{Hom}(E_{P,1}, E_{P,2}) \oplus \text{Hom}(E_{P,2}, E_{P,1}).$$

The subbundles $\text{End}(E)_P^\circ$ and $\text{End}(E)_P^\perp$ of $\text{End}(E)|_{X_P}$ are well defined. By varying $P \in X \setminus D_\theta$, we obtain the subbundles $\text{End}(E)^\circ$ and $\text{End}(E)^\perp$ of $\text{End}(E)|_{X \setminus D_\theta}$, and the decomposition

$$\text{End}(E)|_{X \setminus D_\theta} = \text{End}(E)^\circ \oplus \text{End}(E)^\perp. \quad (37)$$

3.3 Approximation of large solutions of Hitchin equation

3.3.1 Limiting configuration

Let us recall the notion of the limiting configuration in [13]. There exists a line bundle L on Σ_θ with an isomorphism $\pi_* \Sigma \simeq (E, \theta)$, where the Higgs field of $\pi_* L$ is induced by the tautological 1-form of T^*X . There exists a singular flat Hermitian metric h_L of L with the following property.

- Let $Q \in \Sigma_\theta$ be any point of $\pi^{-1}(D_\theta)$. Then, there exists a holomorphic local coordinate ζ_Q of Σ_θ and a frame v_Q of L around Q such that $h_L(v_Q, v_Q) = |\zeta_Q|$.

We obtain the Hermitian metric $h_\infty = \pi_* h_L$ of $E|_{X \setminus D_\theta}$. Because $\det(h_\infty)$ induces a C^∞ Hermitian metric of $\det(E)$, we may adjust h_∞ so that $\det(h_\infty) = 1$.

Let ∇_∞ denote the flat unitary connection of $(E|_{X \setminus D_\theta}, h_\infty)$ obtained as the Chern connection of h_∞ . We consider the flat connection of $T^*(X \setminus D_\theta)$ obtained as the Levi-Civita connection of g_ϕ . For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the induced connections on $\text{End}(E) \otimes (T^*(X \setminus D_\theta))^{\otimes m}$ are also denoted by ∇_∞ . We obtain $\nabla_\infty^j : \text{End}(E) \otimes (T^*X)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \text{End}(E) \otimes (T^*X)^{\otimes(k+j)}$ for any $k, j \geq 0$.

3.3.2 Model Higgs bundle

We set $E_0 = \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{C} u_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{C} u_2$. We consider the Higgs field θ_0 of E_0 defined by

$$\theta_0(u_1) = \frac{3}{2} u_2 dz, \quad \theta_0(u_2) = \frac{3}{2} u_1 z dz.$$

Let C_0 be the non-degenerate symmetric pairing such that $C_0(u_i, u_i) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $C_0(u_1, u_2) = 1$. There exists a unique harmonic metric h_0 of (E_0, θ_0) compatible with C_0 (see [12]). Let $\rho_t : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by $\rho_t(z) = t^{2/3} z$. By setting

$$\rho_t^*(u_1) = t^{1/6} u_1, \quad \rho_t^*(u_2) = t^{-1/6} u_2,$$

we obtain the isomorphism $\rho_t^*(E_0, \theta_0, C_0) \simeq (E_0, t\theta_0, C_0)$. We obtain harmonic metrics $h_{0,t} = \rho_t^* h_0$ of $(E_0, t\theta_0)$ which are compatible with C_0 .

3.3.3 Harmonic metrics around $P \in D_\theta$

Let $P \in D_\theta$. We obtain the map $\psi_{P,2\kappa_0} : \mathcal{U}_P(2\kappa_0) \rightarrow X$ as in §3.1. We obtain the Higgs bundle

$$(E_P, \theta_P) = \psi_{P,2\kappa_0}^*(E, \theta)$$

on $\mathcal{U}_P(2\kappa_0)$. There exists the decomposition

$$\text{End}(E_P)|_{\mathcal{U}_P(2\kappa_0) \setminus \{0\}} = \text{End}(E_P)^\circ \oplus \text{End}(E_P)^\perp \quad (38)$$

as in §3.2.1.

We set $h_{P,\infty} := \psi_{P,2\kappa_0}^*(h_\infty)$ on $\mathcal{U}_P(2\kappa_0) \setminus \{0\}$. There exists a non-degenerate symmetric pairing C_P of (E_P, θ_P) such that C_P is compatible with $h_{P,\infty}$ on $\mathcal{U}_P(2\kappa_0) \setminus \{0\}$ (see [19]). For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we obtain the connections $\nabla_{P,\infty}$ of $\text{End}(E_P) \otimes T^*(\mathcal{U}_P(2\kappa_0) \setminus \{0\})^{\otimes m}$ induced by the Chern connection of $h_{P,\infty}$ and the Levi-Civita connection of $|\phi_0|$.

There exists a natural isomorphism

$$(E_P, \theta_P, C_P) \simeq (E_0, \theta_0, C_0)|_{\mathcal{U}_P(2\kappa_0)}. \quad (39)$$

For any $t > 0$, let $h_{P,t}$ be the harmonic metric of $(E_P, t\theta_P)$ obtained as the restriction of $h_{0,t}$ under the isomorphism (39). It is compatible with C_P . We define the endomorphism $s_{P,t}$ of $E_P|_{\mathcal{U}_P(2\kappa_0) \setminus \{P\}}$ by $h_{P,t} = h_{P,\infty} \cdot s_{P,t}$. We obtain the decomposition

$$s_{P,t} = s_{P,t}^\circ + s_{P,t}^\perp$$

on $\mathcal{U}_P(2\kappa_0) \setminus \{0\}$ corresponding to the decomposition (38).

Let $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0$. We set $\delta(\kappa) := \min\{(\kappa_0 - \kappa)/10, \kappa/20\}$. We set

$$\mathcal{U}_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} = \mathcal{U}_P(\kappa_0 - \delta(\kappa)), \quad \mathcal{U}_{P,\kappa}^{(2)} = \mathcal{U}_P(\kappa_0 - 2\delta(\kappa)).$$

Lemma 3.13 *For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists $C_j > 0$ such that the following holds on $\mathcal{U}_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$:*

$$|\nabla_\infty^j(s_{P,t}^\circ - \text{id})|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-8(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t}, \quad |\nabla_\infty^j(s_{P,t}^\perp)|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-4(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (40)$$

Proof Let $Q \in \mathcal{U}_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$. There exists a holomorphic embedding $\psi_Q : U(\kappa_0 - 2\delta(\kappa)) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{P,\kappa}^{(0)}$ such that $\psi_Q(0) = Q$, $\psi_Q^*(\phi_0) = (dz)^2$, and $0 \notin \text{Im}(\psi_Q)$. Take $\kappa + 7\delta(\kappa) < \kappa' < \kappa + 8\delta(\kappa) = \kappa_0 - 2\delta(\kappa)$.

For any $t > 0$, let $\rho_t : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the map defined by $\rho_t(z) = t^{-1}z$. We put $\psi_{Q,t} = \psi_Q \circ \rho_t$. Let g_0 be the standard Euclidean metric on \mathbb{C} . We apply Corollary 2.5 to $\psi_{Q,t}^*(E_P, t\theta_P)$ on $U(t(\kappa_0 - 2\delta(\kappa)))$. For any $j \geq 0$, there exists $C'_j > 0$ such that the following holds around $0 \in U(t(\kappa_0 - 2\delta(\kappa)))$:

$$\left| \psi_{Q,t}^*(\nabla_\infty^j(s_{P,t}^\circ - \text{id})) \right|_{\psi_{Q,t}^* h_\infty, g_0} \leq C'_j e^{-8\kappa' t}, \quad \left| \psi_{Q,t}^*(\nabla_\infty^j(s_{P,t}^\perp)) \right|_{\psi_{Q,t}^* h_\infty, g_0} \leq C'_j e^{-4\kappa' t}.$$

Hence, the following holds around $0 \in U(\kappa_0 - 2\delta(\kappa))$:

$$\left| \psi_Q^*(\nabla_\infty^j(s_P^\circ - \text{id})) \right|_{\psi_Q^* h_\infty, g_0} \leq C'_j t^j e^{-8\kappa' t}, \quad \left| \psi_Q^*(\nabla_\infty^j(s_P^\perp)) \right|_{\psi_Q^* h_\infty, g_0} \leq C'_j t^j e^{-4\kappa' t}.$$

Then, we obtain (40) around Q . ▀

For any $\kappa' < \kappa_0$, we identify $\mathcal{U}_P(\kappa')$ and $X_P(\kappa')$. We also identify $(E_P, \theta_P)|_{\mathcal{U}_P(\kappa)}$ and $(E, \theta)|_{X_P(\kappa)}$. We obtain $X_{P,\kappa}^{(i)} \subset X_P(\kappa_0)$ ($i = 1, 2$) corresponding to $\mathcal{U}_{P,\kappa}^{(i)}$.

3.3.4 Approximation of harmonic metrics

For $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0$, by patching h_∞ and $h_{P,t}$ ($P \in D_\theta$), we construct Hermitian metrics $\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}$ such that the following holds.

- $\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t} = h_{P,t}$ on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$.
- $\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t} = h_\infty$ on $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)}$.

- Let $s(h_\infty, \tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})$ be the automorphism of $E|_{X \setminus D_\theta}$ determined by $\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t} = h_\infty \cdot s(h_\infty, \tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})$. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists $C_j > 0$ such that the following holds on $\bigcup(X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)})$:

$$\left| \nabla_\infty^j (s(h_\infty, \tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})^\circ - \text{id}) \right|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-8(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t},$$

$$\left| \nabla_\infty^j (s(h_\infty, \tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})^\perp) \right|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-4(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

- $\det(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}) = 1$.

Let $s(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, h_t)$ be the automorphism of E determined by $h_t = \tilde{h}_{\kappa,t} \cdot s(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, h_t)$.

Let ∇_{h_t} denote the Chern connection of h_t . Let g_X be a Kähler metric of X . The Levi-Civita connection and ∇_{h_t} induce connections on $\text{End}(E) \otimes (T^*X)^{\otimes m}$, which are denoted by ∇_{h_t, g_X} . We obtain the differential operators $\nabla_{h_t, g_X}^j : \text{End}(E) \otimes (T^*X)^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \text{End}(E) \otimes (T^*X)^{\otimes m+j}$. We shall prove the following theorem in §3.3.5–3.3.10.

Theorem 3.14 *For any $j \geq 0$, there exists $C_j > 0$ such that the following holds on X for any $t \geq 1$:*

$$\left| \nabla_{h_t, g_X}^j (s(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, h_t) - \text{id}) \right|_{h_t, g_X} + \left| \nabla_{h_t, g_X}^j ([\theta, s(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, h_t)]) \right|_{h_t, g_X} + \left| \nabla_{h_t, g_X}^j ([\theta_{h_t}^\dagger, s(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, h_t)]) \right|_{h_t, g_X} \leq C_j e^{-4\kappa t}.$$

To simplify the description, we set $s_{\kappa,t} = s(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, h_t)$. To simplify the argument, we use the following result already proved in [13]. (See also [19].)

Proposition 3.15 ([13]) *There exists $C > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|s_{\kappa,t} - \text{id}|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}} \leq C e^{-\epsilon t}$. Higher derivatives are also dominated. ■*

It is our purpose to improve the rate of the convergence.

3.3.5 Preliminary

Let $R(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})$ denote the curvature of the Chern connection of E with $\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}$. We set

$$F(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}) = R(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}) + t^2[\theta, \theta_{h_{\kappa,t}}^\dagger].$$

We obtain the following lemma by the construction of $\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}$.

Lemma 3.16 *The support of $F(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})$ is contained in $\bigcup_P(X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)})$. Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$|F(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})^\perp|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, g_X} \leq C e^{-4(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t}, \quad |F(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})^\circ|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, g_X} \leq C e^{-8(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

3.3.6 Weaker estimate of the L^2 -norm of the first derivatives

Let $s(h_\infty, h_t)$ be the automorphism of $E|_{X \setminus D_\theta}$ determined by $h_t = h_\infty s(h_\infty, h_t)$.

Lemma 3.17 *There exists $C > 0$ such that $|s(h_\infty, h_t)^\perp| \leq C e^{-2\sqrt{2}(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t}$ on $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$.*

Proof Let $Q \in X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a locally bi-holomorphic map $\psi_{Q,t} : U(t(\kappa_0 - 2\delta(\kappa))) \rightarrow X \setminus D_\theta$ such that $\psi_{Q,t}(0) = Q$, $\psi_{Q,t}^*(t^2\phi) = (dz)^2$. By applying Proposition 2.1, we obtain the claim of Lemma 3.17. ■

Corollary 3.18 *There exists $C > 0$ such that $|s_{\kappa,t}^\perp| \leq C e^{-2\sqrt{2}(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t}$ on $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$. ■*

Corollary 3.19 *There exists $C > 0$ such that the following holds on $X \setminus D_\theta$:*

$$\left| \text{Tr}(s_{\kappa,t} F(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})) \right| \leq C e^{-(4+2\sqrt{2})(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (41)$$

Proof Because $\text{Tr}(s_{\kappa,t}F(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})) = \text{Tr}(s_{\kappa,t}^\circ F(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})^\circ) + \text{Tr}(s_{\kappa,t}^\perp F(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})^\perp)$, we obtain (41) from Proposition 3.15 Lemma 3.16 and Lemma 3.17. \blacksquare

According to [21, Lemma 3.1], we have

$$\Delta_{g_X} \text{Tr}(s_{\kappa,t}) = -\text{Tr}(F(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})s_{\kappa,t}) - |\bar{\partial}_E(s_t)s_t^{-1/2}|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t},g_X}^2 - |[\theta, s_{\kappa,t}]s_{\kappa,t}^{-1/2}|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t},g_X}^2$$

Because $\int \Delta_{g_X} \text{Tr}(s_{\kappa,t}) = 0$, there exists $C' > 0$ such that

$$\|\bar{\partial}_E(s_{\kappa,t})s_{\kappa,t}^{-1/2}\|_{L^2,\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t},g_X} \leq C' e^{-(2+\sqrt{2})(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t}, \quad \|[\theta, s_{\kappa,t}]s_{\kappa,t}^{-1/2}\|_{L^2,\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t},g_X} \leq C' e^{-(2+\sqrt{2})(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

By Proposition 3.15, there exists $C'' > 0$ such that

$$\|\bar{\partial}_E(s_{\kappa,t})\|_{L^2,\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t},g_X} \leq C'' e^{-(2+\sqrt{2})(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t}, \quad \|[\theta, s_{\kappa,t}]\|_{L^2,\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t},g_X} \leq C'' e^{-(2+\sqrt{2})(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

Note that it is equivalent to

$$\|\bar{\partial}_E(s_{\kappa,t})\|_{L^2,\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t},g_\phi} \leq C'' e^{-(2+\sqrt{2})(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t}, \quad \|[\theta, s_{\kappa,t}]\|_{L^2,\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t},g_\phi} \leq C'' e^{-(2+\sqrt{2})(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (42)$$

3.3.7 Weaker estimate of higher derivatives

Lemma 3.20 For any $j > 0$, there exists $C_j > 0$ such that the following holds on $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$:

$$|\nabla_\infty^j(s_{\kappa,t})|_{h_\infty,g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-2\sqrt{2}(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (43)$$

Proof By using Lemma 3.17, there exists $C' > 0$ such that

$$\left| t^2[\theta, \theta_{h_t}^\dagger] \right|_{h_\infty,g_\phi} \leq C' t^2 e^{-2\sqrt{2}(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t}$$

on $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$. Let $R(h_t)$ denote the curvature of the Chern connection of h_t . Because $R(h_t) + t^2[\theta, \theta_{h_t}^\dagger] = 0$, we obtain

$$\left| R(h_t) \right|_{h_\infty,g_\phi} \leq C' t^2 e^{-2\sqrt{2}(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t}$$

on $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$. By using Lemma 2.26 and Lemma 2.27 with the estimate (42), we obtain the desired estimate (43). \blacksquare

We consider the connection $\nabla_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t},g_\phi}$ of $\text{End}(E) \otimes T^*(X \setminus D_\phi)^{\otimes m}$ induced by the Chern connection of $\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}$ and the Levi-Civita connection of g_ϕ . Let $\rho_{P,\kappa} : X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be defined by $\rho_{P,\kappa}(Q) = d_\phi(Q, X_P(2\delta(\kappa)))$.

Lemma 3.21 Let $P \in D_\theta$. For any $j > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 2\sqrt{2}$, there exists $C_{j,\gamma} > 0$ such that the following holds on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)} \setminus X_P(2\delta(\kappa))$:

$$\left| \nabla_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t},g_\phi}^j(s_{\kappa,t}) \right|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t},g_\phi} \leq C_{j,\gamma} e^{-\gamma \cdot \rho_{P,\kappa} t}.$$

Proof Let $Q \in X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)} \setminus X_P(2\delta(\kappa))$. There exists a locally bi-holomorphic map

$$\psi_{Q,t} : U(t\rho_P(Q)) \longrightarrow X$$

such that $\psi_Q(0) = Q$ and $\psi_Q^*(t^2\phi) = (dz)^2$. We apply Proposition 2.1 to the Higgs bundle $(E_{Q,t}, \theta_{Q,t}) = \psi_{Q,t}^*(E, t\theta)$ and harmonic metrics $h_{t,Q} = \psi_{Q,t}^*(h_t)$ and $h_{P,t,Q} = \psi_{Q,t}^*(h_{P,t})$. Let $\gamma < \gamma' < 2\sqrt{2}$ and $t \geq 10\delta(\kappa)^{-1}$. There exists $C > 0$ such that the following holds on $U(t\rho_{P,\kappa}(Q) - 1)$:

$$\left| \bar{\partial}_{E_{Q,t}} \left(\psi_{Q,t}^*(s_{\kappa,t})^{-1} \partial_{E_{Q,t},h_{P,t,Q}} \psi_{Q,t}^*(s_{\kappa,t}) \right) \right|_{h_{P,t,Q},g_0} \leq C e^{-\gamma'(t\rho_{P,\kappa}(Q) - |z|)}.$$

We have $U(2) \subset U(t\rho_{P,\kappa}(Q))$. By (42), we obtain

$$\int_{U(1)} \left| \partial_{E_{Q,t},h_{P,t,Q}} \psi_{Q,t}^*(s_{\kappa,t}) \right|_{h_{P,t,Q},g_0}^2 \leq C e^{-(2+\sqrt{2})(\kappa+6\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

Note that $\rho_{P,\kappa}(Q) < \kappa + 6\delta(\kappa)$, and hence $2\sqrt{2}\rho_{P,\kappa}(Q) < (2 + \sqrt{2})(\kappa + 6\delta(\kappa))$. By Lemma 2.25 and Lemma 2.26, we obtain the following on $U(1)$:

$$|\psi_{Q,t}^* \nabla_{h_{P,t}, g_\phi}^j (s_{\kappa,t})|_{\psi_{Q,t}^*(h_{P,t}, g_0)} \leq C e^{-\gamma' \rho_{P,\kappa}(Q)t}.$$

We obtain the following around Q :

$$|\nabla_{h_{P,t}, g_\phi}^j (s_{\kappa,t})|_{h_{P,t}, g_\phi} \leq C t^j e^{-\gamma' \rho_{P,\kappa}(Q)t}.$$

Then, we obtain the claim of the lemma. ■

Lemma 3.22 *Let $P \in D_\theta$. For any $j \geq 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 2\sqrt{2}$, there exists $C_{j,\gamma} > 0$ such that the following holds on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)} \setminus X_P(2\delta(\kappa))$:*

$$\left| \nabla_\infty^j (s(h_\infty, h_t) - \text{id}) \right|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_{j,\gamma} e^{-\gamma \rho_{P,\kappa} t}.$$

Proof There exists $C'_{j,\gamma} > 0$ such that the following holds on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)} \setminus X_P(2\delta(\kappa))$:

$$\left| \nabla_\infty^j (s(h_\infty, \tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}) - \text{id}) \right|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C'_{j,\gamma} e^{-\gamma \rho_{P,\kappa} t}.$$

Together with the estimate in Lemma 3.21, we obtain the claim of Lemma 3.22. ■

Let $\rho_\kappa : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be defined by $\rho_\kappa(Q) = \min d_\phi(Q, X_P(2\delta(\kappa)))$.

Corollary 3.23 *For any $j > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 2\sqrt{2}$, there exists $C_j > 0$ such that the following holds on $X \setminus \bigcup_P X_P(2\delta(\kappa))$:*

$$\left| \nabla_\infty^j (s(h_\infty, h_t)) \right|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-\gamma \rho_\kappa t}.$$

3.3.8 Refinement

We obtain the following.

Lemma 3.24 *There exists $C > 0$ such that the following holds on $X \setminus \bigcup_P X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$:*

$$|s(h_\infty, h_t)^\perp| \leq C e^{-4(\kappa+5\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

Proof It follows from Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 3.23. ■

Corollary 3.25 *There exists $C > 0$ such that the following holds on $X \setminus \bigcup_P X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$:*

$$|s_{\kappa,t}^\perp| \leq C e^{-4(\kappa+5\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (44)$$

There exists $C' > 0$ such that

$$\left| \text{Tr}(s_{\kappa,t} F(\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t})) \right| \leq C' e^{-8(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (45)$$

There exists $C'' > 0$ such that

$$\|\bar{\partial}_E(s_{\kappa,t})\|_{L^2, \tilde{h}_t, g_X} \leq C e^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}, \quad \|\theta, s_{\kappa,t}\|_{L^2, \tilde{h}_t, g_X} \leq C e^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (46)$$

Proof We obtain (44) from Lemma 3.24. We obtain the others by repeating the argument in §3.3.6. ■

We refine Lemma 3.20.

Lemma 3.26 *For any $j > 0$, there exists $C_j > 0$ such that the following holds on $X \setminus \bigcup_P X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$:*

$$|\nabla_\infty^j (s_{\kappa,t})|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (47)$$

For any $j \geq 0$, there exists $C'_j \geq 0$ such that the following holds on $X \setminus \bigcup_P X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$:

$$\left| \nabla_\infty^j ([\theta, s_{\kappa,t}]) \right|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} + \left| \nabla_\infty^j ([\theta_{h_t}^\dagger, s_{\kappa,t}]) \right|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (48)$$

Proof By using Corollary 3.25, we obtain that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| R(h_t) \right|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq Ct^2 e^{-4(\kappa+5\delta(\kappa))t}$$

on $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$. Then, we obtain the estimate (47) by using Lemma 2.26 and Lemma 2.27. We also obtain (48) from Corollary 2.28. \blacksquare

3.3.9 C^0 -estimate

Proposition 3.27 *There exists $C > 0$ such that the following holds on X :*

$$|s_{\kappa,t} - 1|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}} \leq Ce^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (49)$$

Proof We already have the following estimates on $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$:

$$|s_{\kappa,t}^\perp|_{h_\infty} \leq Ce^{-4(\kappa+5\delta(\kappa))t}, \quad |\nabla_\infty(s_{\kappa,t})|_{h_\infty} \leq Ce^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

Let $Q \in X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$. Let v_i ($i = 1, 2$) be a holomorphic frame of $E_{Q,i}$ around Q such that $h_\infty(v_i, v_i) = 1$. We have

$$|h_t(v_1, v_2)| \leq Ce^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

We also have

$$\left| h_t(v_1, v_1) \cdot h_t(v_2, v_2) - 1 \right| \leq Ce^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}, \quad |dh_t(v_i, v_i)| \leq Ce^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

Note that the inclusion $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)} \rightarrow X$ induces the surjection of the fundamental groups. Hence, there exists a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$ such that (i) $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = Q$, (ii) the two points of $\pi^{-1}(Q)$ are exchanged by the monodromy along γ . By considering the above estimates along the path, we obtain

$$\left| h_t(v_1, v_1) - h_t(v_2, v_2) \right| \leq Ce^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\left| h_t(v_i, v_i) - 1 \right| \leq Ce^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

Hence, (49) holds for some $C > 0$ on $X \setminus \bigcup_P X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$.

On $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, the function $\text{Tr}(s_{\kappa,t} - \text{id}) \geq 0$ is subharmonic. Hence, (49) also holds on $\bigcup_P X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$. \blacksquare

3.3.10 Estimates for higher derivatives

We consider the connection $\nabla_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, g_X}$ of $\text{End}(E) \otimes T^*X$ induced by the Chern connection of $\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}$ and the Levi-Civita connection of g_X .

Lemma 3.28 *For any $j > 0$, there exists $C_j > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \nabla_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, g_X}^j (s_{\kappa,t}) \right|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, g_X} \leq C_j t^j e^{-4(\kappa+3\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (50)$$

For any $j \geq 0$, there exists $C'_j > 0$ such that

$$\left| \nabla_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, g_X}^j ([\theta, s_{\kappa,t}]) \right|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, g_X} + \left| \nabla_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, g_X}^j ([\theta_h^\dagger, s_{\kappa,t}]) \right|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, g_X} \leq C'_j t^j e^{-4(\kappa+3\delta(\kappa))t}. \quad (51)$$

Proof By Proposition 3.27, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| t^2 [\theta, \theta_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}}^\dagger] - t^2 [\theta, \theta_{h_t}^\dagger] \right|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, g_X} \leq Ct^2 e^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

We obtain

$$\left| \bar{\partial}_E (s_{\kappa,t}^{-1} \partial_{E, \tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}} s_{\kappa,t}) \right|_{\tilde{h}_{\kappa,t}, g_X} \leq Ct^2 e^{-4(\kappa+4\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

Then, we obtain (50) from Lemma 2.26, Lemma 2.27 and Corollary 3.25. We also obtain (51) by using Corollary 2.28. \blacksquare

We obtain Theorem 3.14 from Proposition 3.27 and Lemma 3.28. \blacksquare

3.4 An auxiliary Hermitian product

3.4.1 Induced 1-forms on the spectral curve

We obtain the following $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-form on $X \setminus D_\theta$:

$$\Psi_t = (\partial_{E, h_\infty} + t\theta_{h_\infty}^\dagger) - (\partial_{E, h_t} + t\theta_{h_t}^\dagger).$$

It satisfies $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)\Psi_t = 0$. Hence, there exist holomorphic 1-forms η_t on $\Sigma_\theta|_{X \setminus D_\theta}$ such that $F_{\eta_t} = (\Psi_t^\circ)^{1,0}$.

Lemma 3.29 η_t are holomorphic 1-forms on Σ_θ .

Proof Let $P \in D_\theta$. We consider the following $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-form on $X(\kappa_0) \setminus \{P\}$:

$$\Psi'_{P,t} = (\partial_{E, h_\infty} + t\theta_{h_\infty}^\dagger) - (\partial_{E, h_{P,t}} + t\theta_{h_{P,t}}^\dagger).$$

It is a section of

$$\text{End}(E|_{X_P(\kappa_0)})^{\text{asym}} \otimes \Omega^{1,0} \oplus \text{End}(E|_{X_P(\kappa_0)})^{\text{sym}} \otimes \Omega^{0,1}.$$

Hence, we obtain $((\Psi'_{P,t})^\circ)^{1,0} = 0$. We consider the following $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-form on $X_P(\kappa_0)$:

$$\Psi_{P,t} = (\partial_{E, h_{P,t}} + t\theta_{h_{P,t}}^\dagger) - (\partial_{E, h_t} + t\theta_{h_t}^\dagger).$$

It satisfies $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta)\Psi_{P,t} = 0$. Because $\Psi_{P,t}$ is given on $X_P(\kappa_0)$, there exist holomorphic 1-forms $\eta_{P,t}$ on $\Sigma_\theta|_{X_P(\kappa)}$ such that $F_{\eta_{P,t}} = ((\Psi_{P,t})^\circ)^{1,0}$ on $X_P(\kappa_0) \setminus \{P\}$. (See [18].) Because $\eta_t = \eta_{P,t}$ on $\Sigma_\theta|_{X_P(\kappa_0) \setminus \{P\}}$, we obtain the claim of Lemma 3.29. ■

Lemma 3.30 $\eta_t = O(e^{-4\kappa t})$ for any $\kappa < \kappa_0$.

Proof Let $W_\kappa = X \setminus \bigcup_P X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$. Because

$$\Psi_t = s(h_\infty, h_t)^{-1} \partial_{h_\infty} s(h_\infty, h_t) + s(h_\infty, h_t)^{-1} [\theta_{h_\infty}^\dagger, s(h_\infty, h_t)],$$

we obtain $\eta_t = O(e^{-4\kappa t})$ on $\Sigma_\theta|_{W_\kappa}$. Because η_t are holomorphic, it implies the estimate on Σ_θ . ■

Lemma 3.31 If (E, θ) has a globally defined non-degenerate symmetric pairing C , then $\eta_t = 0$.

Proof Because Ψ_t is a section of $\text{End}(E)^{\text{asym}} \otimes \Omega^{1,0} \oplus \text{End}(E)^{\text{sym}} \otimes \Omega^{0,1}$, we obtain $(\Psi_t^\circ)^{1,0} = 0$. It implies the claim of the lemma. ■

3.4.2 Canonical choice of a root of ϕ and a root of the coordinate z_P

We obtain the quadratic differential $\pi^*\phi$ on Σ_θ . Let a_{T^*X} denote the tautological 1-form on T^*X . Let $\phi^{1/2}$ denote the pull back of a_{T^*X} by the inclusion $\Sigma_\theta \rightarrow T^*X$.

Lemma 3.32 We obtain $(\phi^{1/2})^2 = \pi^*(\phi)$. ■

For each $P \in D_\theta$, let z_P be a holomorphic coordinate on $X_P(\kappa_0)$ such that $\phi|_{X_P(\kappa_0)} = (\frac{3}{2})^2 z_P (dz_P)^2$. It induces a holomorphic coordinate system (z_P, ξ_P) on T^*X_P such that $\xi_P dz_P$ is the tautological 1-form. Because

$$\Sigma_\theta|_{X_P(\kappa_0)} = \left\{ (z_P, \xi_P) \mid \xi_P^2 - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 z_P = 0 \right\},$$

ξ_P is a holomorphic local coordinate on $\Sigma_\theta|_{X_P(\kappa_0)}$. We have

$$\phi^{1/2} = \frac{2^3}{3^2} \xi_P^2 d\xi_P.$$

3.4.3 Induced pairings on the cohomology group of Σ_θ

For each $P \in D_\theta$, let $\tilde{P} \in \Sigma_\theta$ denote the pre-image of P . We set $\tilde{D}_\theta = \{\tilde{P} \mid P \in D_\theta\}$, which can be regarded as the divisor on Σ_θ . For each $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{D}_\theta$, there exists the residue morphism

$$\text{Res}_{\tilde{P}}^{(2)} : H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta}^2(2\tilde{D})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Let $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta})$. We obtain

$$\nu_1 \cdot \nu_2 \cdot \eta_t \cdot (\phi^{1/2})^{-1} \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta}^2(2D)).$$

We define the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{P, h_t}^{\text{aux}}$ on $H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta})$ as follows:

$$\langle \nu_1, \nu_2 \rangle_{P, h_t}^{\text{aux}} = -4\pi \text{Res}_{\tilde{P}}^{(2)} \left(\nu_1 \cdot \nu_2 \cdot \eta_t \cdot (\phi^{1/2})^{-1} \right).$$

We set

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{h_t}^{\text{aux}} = \sum_{P \in D_\theta} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{P, h_t}^{\text{aux}}.$$

3.4.4 Induced Hermitian pairings on $T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H$

We identify $T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H = H^1(X, \text{End}(E, t\theta))$. As recalled in §1.1.2, we have the decomposition

$$T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H = (T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{ver}} \oplus (T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{hor}},$$

and there exist the natural isomorphisms

$$\iota_t^{\text{ver}} : H^1(\Sigma_\theta, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\theta}) \simeq (T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{ver}}, \quad \iota_t^{\text{hor}} : H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta}) \simeq (T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{hor}}.$$

(See [18] for more details.) We define $g_{|(E, t\theta)}^{\text{aux}}$ by using $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{h_t}^{\text{aux}}$ in §3.4.3 as follows.

- $g_{|(E, t\theta)}^{\text{aux}}(v_1, v_2) = 0$ if $v_i \in (T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{ver}}$ ($i = 1, 2$) or $v_i \in (T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H)^{\text{hor}}$ ($i = 1, 2$).
- Let $\nu \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta})$ and $\tau \in H^1(\Sigma_\theta, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\theta}) = H^0(\Sigma_\theta^\dagger, K_{\Sigma_\theta^\dagger})$. Then,

$$g_{|(E, t\theta)}^{\text{aux}}(\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu), \iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau)) = \langle \nu, \bar{\tau} \rangle_{h_t}^{\text{aux}}$$

We obtain the following lemma from Lemma 3.30.

Lemma 3.33 $g_{|(E, t\theta)}^{\text{aux}}(\nu, \tau) = O(e^{-4\kappa t}) \|\nu\|_{L^2} \cdot \|\tau\|_{L^2}$ for any $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0$. ▀

Corollary 3.34 $g_{|(E, t\theta)}^{\text{aux}} = O(e^{-4\kappa t})$ with respect to $g_{\text{sf}|(E, t\theta)}$ for any $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0$. As a result, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $g_{\text{sf}|(E, t\theta)} + g_{|(E, t\theta)}^{\text{aux}}$ are positive definite Hermitian products on $T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H$ for any $t \geq t_0$. ▀

3.5 Comparison of the Hitchin metric and the semi-flat metric

3.5.1 Main theorem

Let t_0 be as in Corollary 3.34. For any $t \geq t_0$, let σ_t be the automorphism of $T_{(E, t\theta)}\mathcal{M}'_H$ determined by $g_{H|(E, t\theta)} = (g_{\text{sf}|(E, t\theta)} + g_{|(E, t\theta)}^{\text{aux}}) \cdot \sigma_t$.

Theorem 3.35 For any $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0$, there exists $C(\kappa) > 0$ such that

$$|\sigma_t - \text{id}|_{g_{\text{sf}}} \leq C(\kappa)e^{-8\kappa t}.$$

Let $\nu \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta})$. It determines the horizontal class

$$\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu) \in H^1(X, \text{Def}(E, t\theta))^{\text{hor}} \subset H^1(X, \text{Def}(E, t\theta)).$$

(See [18].) Let $\mathbf{H}_t(\nu)$ denote the harmonic representative of $\iota_t^{\text{hor}}(\nu)$ with respect to h_t . We shall prove the following proposition in §3.5.5.

Proposition 3.36 For any $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0$,

$$(\mathbb{H}_t(\nu), \mathbb{H}_t(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} = \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2 + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Let $\tau \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta^\dagger, K_{\Sigma_\theta^\dagger}) \simeq H^1(\Sigma_\theta, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\theta})$. We also regard τ as a harmonic $(0, 1)$ -form on Σ_θ . We obtain $\iota_t^{\text{ver}}(\tau) \in H^1(X, (\text{End}(E), t\theta))$. There exists a harmonic representative $\mathbb{V}_t(\tau)$ of $(\text{End}(E), t\theta, h_t)$. We shall prove the following proposition in §3.5.7.

Proposition 3.37 For any $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0$,

$$(\mathbb{V}_t(\tau), \mathbb{V}_t(\tau))_{L^2, h_t} = (\tau, \tau)_{L^2} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2).$$

We shall prove the following proposition in §3.5.8.

Proposition 3.38 $(\mathbb{H}_t(\nu), \mathbb{V}_t(\tau))_{L^2, h_t} = \langle \nu, \bar{\tau} \rangle_{h_t}^{\text{aux}} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \cdot \|\nu\|_{L^2} \cdot \|\tau\|_{L^2})$.

We obtain Theorem 3.35 from Proposition 3.36, Proposition 3.37 and Proposition 3.38.

3.5.2 Preliminary

Let $P \in D_\theta$. Let $s_{P,t}$ be determined by $h_{P,t} = h_t \cdot s_{P,t}$ on $X_P(\kappa_0)$. (Note that we use a different notation from §3.3.3.) We set

$$\Psi_{P,t} := (\partial_{h_{P,t}} + t\theta_{h_{P,t}}^\dagger) - (\partial_{h_t} + t\theta_{h_t}^\dagger) = s_{P,t}^{-1} \partial_{h_t} s_{P,t} + s_{P,t}^{-1} [t\theta_{h_t}^\dagger, s_{P,t}].$$

Because $[\bar{\partial}_E + t\theta, \partial_{h_{P,t}} + t\theta_{h_{P,t}}^\dagger] = 0$ and $[\bar{\partial}_E + t\theta, \partial_{h_t} + t\theta_{h_t}^\dagger] = 0$, we obtain $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta) \Psi_{P,t} = 0$.

Let f_P be the endomorphism determined by $\theta = f_P dz_P$. Let $M_{P,i,t}$ be the endomorphisms of $E|_{X_P(\kappa_0)}$ defined by

$$\Psi_{P,t} = M_{P,1,t} dz_P + M_{P,2,t} d\bar{z}_P.$$

Because $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \text{ad } \theta) \Psi_{P,t} = 0$, we obtain

$$\bar{\partial} M_{P,1,t} - [t f_P, M_{P,2,t} d\bar{z}_P] = 0. \quad (52)$$

Lemma 3.39 We have $\bar{\partial} M_{P,1,t}^\circ = 0$. There exist $C_j > 0$ such that the following holds on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$:

$$|\nabla_\infty^j M_{P,1,t}|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C_j e^{-4(\kappa + \delta(\kappa))t}.$$

Proof The first claim follows from (52). The second follows from Theorem 3.14. ■

Let η_t be the 1-forms on Σ_θ as in §3.4.1. Let (z_P, ξ_P) be the coordinate as in §3.4.2. Let $v_{P,t}$ be the holomorphic function on $\Sigma_\theta|_{X_P(\kappa_0) \setminus \{P\}}$ determined by

$$\eta_t = v_{P,t} \cdot \pi^*(dz_P).$$

We note that $v_{P,t}$ has at most pole of order 1 at $\pi^{-1}(P)$. By the construction, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.40 $M_{P,1,t}^\circ = F_{v_{P,t}}$. ■

3.5.3 Some 1-forms around $P \in D_\theta$

Let $\nu \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta})$. We obtain the holomorphic section F_ν of $\text{End}(E) \otimes K_X$ on $X \setminus D_\theta$.

Let $P \in D_\theta$. There exists a holomorphic function α_P on $\Sigma_\theta|_{X_P(\kappa)}$ such that $d\alpha_P = \nu$ and that $\alpha_P = 0$ at $\pi^{-1}(P)$. We obtain the induced section F_{α_P} of $\text{End}(E_P)$ on $X_P(\kappa_0)$. Let α_0 and α_1 be the holomorphic functions on $X_P(\kappa_0)$ determined by

$$\alpha_P(\xi_P) = \alpha_0(z_P) + \alpha_1(z_P)\xi_P,$$

where (z_P, ξ_P) be the holomorphic coordinate system as in §3.4.2. Then, the following holds:

$$F_{\alpha_P} = \alpha_0 \text{id} + \alpha_1 f_P.$$

Lemma 3.41 There exists $C > 0$ independently from $\nu \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta})$ and $t \geq 1$ such that the following holds:

$$\|F_{\alpha_P}\|_{h_{P,t}, X_P(\kappa_0)}^2 \leq C \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof We have $|\alpha_P| \leq C_0 \|\nu\|_{L^2}$. By Theorem 3.14, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that $|F_{\alpha_P}|_{h_{P,t}}^2 \leq C_1 \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2$ on $\partial X_P^{(2)}$ for any $t \geq 1$. Because $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)F_{\alpha_P} = 0$, we obtain $-\partial_z \bar{\partial}_{\bar{z}} |F_{\alpha_P}|_{h_t}^2 \leq 0$. Then, we obtain the claim of the lemma. \blacksquare

We obtain the following harmonic 1-forms of $(\operatorname{End}(E_P), t\theta_P, h_{P,t})$ on $X_P(\kappa_0)$:

$$\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu) := (\partial_{h_{P,t}} + \operatorname{ad}(t\theta_{h_{P,t}}^\dagger))F_{\alpha_P}.$$

According to [18, Lemma 4.14], there exists $C > 0$ such that the following holds on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)}$ for any $t \geq 1$:

$$|\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)|_{h_{P,t}, g_X} \leq C(1+t)\|\nu\|_{L^2}.$$

We remark the following.

Lemma 3.42 *The $(1,0)$ -part $\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)^{1,0}$ is symmetric, and the $(0,1)$ -part $\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)^{0,1}$ is anti-symmetric with respect to C_P . We have $\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)^\circ = F_\nu$ on $X_P(\kappa_0)$.* \blacksquare

On $X_P(\kappa_0) \setminus \{P\}$, there exists a C^∞ -section $\rho_{P,t}(\nu)$ of $\operatorname{End}(E)$, which is anti-symmetric with respect to C_P , such that

$$\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu) - F_\nu = (\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)\rho_{P,t}(\nu).$$

On $X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, we have

$$\|\rho_{P,t}(\nu)\|_{L_\ell^p, h_\infty, g_\phi} = O(e^{-4(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t}\|\nu\|_{L^2}). \quad (53)$$

We also note that $\rho_{P,t}(\nu)^\circ = 0$.

We also obtain the following harmonic 1-forms of $(\operatorname{End}(E), t \operatorname{ad} \theta, h_t)$ on $X_P(\kappa_0)$:

$$\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{P,t}(\nu) := (\partial_{E, h_t} + \operatorname{ad} t\theta_{h_t}^\dagger)F_{\alpha_P}.$$

We set $\mathbb{I}_{P,t}(\nu) = \mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu) - \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{P,t}(\nu)$.

Lemma 3.43 *We have*

$$\mathbb{I}_{P,t}(\nu) = (\bar{\partial} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)(-t^{-1}\alpha_1 M_{P,1,t}). \quad (54)$$

Proof We have

$$\mathbb{I}_{P,t}(\nu) = [\Psi_t, F_{\alpha_P}] = [M_{P,1,t} dz_P, \alpha_1 f_P] + [M_{P,2,t} d\bar{z}_P, \alpha_1 f_P] = -[t f_P dz_P, t^{-1}\alpha_1 M_{P,1,t}] - [f_P, \alpha_1 M_{P,2,t} d\bar{z}_P].$$

We also have

$$\bar{\partial}(t^{-1}\alpha_1 M_{P,1,t}) = t^{-1}\alpha_1 \bar{\partial} M_{P,1,t} = t^{-1}\alpha_1 [t f_P, M_{P,2,t} d\bar{z}_P] = [f_P, \alpha_1 M_{P,2,t} d\bar{z}_P].$$

Then, we obtain (54). \blacksquare

Lemma 3.44 *We have $\mathbb{I}_{P,t}(\nu)^\circ = 0$. There exists $C > 0$ such that $|\mathbb{I}_{P,t}(\nu)|_{h_\infty, g_\phi} \leq C e^{-4\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}$ on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$.*

Proof The first claim is clear by the construction. The second follows from Lemma 3.39. \blacksquare

3.5.4 Approximations of harmonic 1-forms in the horizontal direction

Let $\nu \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta, K_{\Sigma_\theta})$. We recall a construction in [18]. Let $\chi_{P,\kappa} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a C^∞ -function such that $\chi_{P,\kappa} = 1$ on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$ and $\chi_{P,\kappa} = 0$ outside $X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)}$. By patching $\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)$ ($P \in D_\theta$) and F_ν by using $\rho_{P,t}(\nu)$, we construct a section $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)$ of $\operatorname{End}(E) \otimes (\Omega^{1,0} \oplus \Omega^{0,1})$ as follows:

- On $X \setminus \bigcup X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)}$, we set $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = F_\nu$.
- On $X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, we set $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = F_\nu + (\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)(\chi_{P,\kappa} \rho_{P,t}(\nu))$.
- On $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, we set $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = \mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)$.

We obtain the following lemma by the construction.

Lemma 3.45 $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)^\circ = F_\nu$. ■

Lemma 3.46 We have $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = 0$, and $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)_{g_X, h_t}^* \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = O(e^{-4\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2})$. The cohomology class is $\iota_t^{\operatorname{hor}}(\nu)$.

Proof By the construction, we have $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = 0$. On $X \setminus D_\theta$, we have $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)^\circ = F_\nu$. On $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)^{1,0}$ is self-adjoint, and $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)^{0,1}$ is anti-self-adjoint with respect to C_P . Hence, as studied in [18], the cohomology class of $\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)$ is $\iota_t^{\operatorname{hor}}(\nu)$.

We have $(\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)_{g_X, h_t}^* \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = O(e^{-4(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t} \|\nu\|_{L^2})$ on $X_{P,\kappa}^{(1)} \setminus X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$ by the construction. On $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, we have

$$\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = (\partial_{E, h_{P,t}} + \operatorname{ad} t \theta_{h_{P,t}}^\dagger) F_{\alpha_P} = (\partial_{E, h_t} + \operatorname{ad} t \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) F_{\alpha_P} + \left[s_{\kappa,t}^{-1} \partial_{E, h_t}(s_{\kappa,t}) + s_{\kappa,t}^{-1} [t \theta_{h_t}^\dagger, s_{\kappa,t}], F_{\alpha_P} \right].$$

By Theorem 3.14, we obtain

$$(\partial_{E, h_t} + \operatorname{ad} t \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = (\partial_{E, h_t} + \operatorname{ad} t \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) \left(\left[s_{\kappa,t}^{-1} \partial_{E, h_t}(s_{\kappa,t}) + s_{\kappa,t}^{-1} [t \theta_{h_t}^\dagger, s_{\kappa,t}], F_{\alpha_P} \right] \right) = O(e^{-4\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}).$$

We obtain the claim of the lemma. ■

Note that $\operatorname{Tr}((\partial_{E, h_t} + \operatorname{ad} t \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)) = \partial \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)) = 0$. There exist a unique section $\gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu)$ of $\operatorname{End}(E)$ satisfying $\operatorname{Tr} \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = 0$ and

$$(\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)_{g_X, h_t}^* (\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = (\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)_{g_X, h_t}^* \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu).$$

The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 3.47 $\mathbb{H}_t(\nu) = \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) - \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu)$. ■

By [18, Proposition 2.39], we have the following estimate:

$$\|\gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu)\|_{L^2, h_t, g_X} + \|(\bar{\partial}_E + t \theta) \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu)\|_{L^2, h_t} \leq C e^{-4(\kappa+\delta(\kappa))t}.$$

3.5.5 Proof of Proposition 3.36

Lemma 3.48 $(\mathbb{H}_t(\nu), \mathbb{H}_t(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} = (\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2)$.

Proof By the standard formula (see Lemma 3.55 below), we obtain

$$(\mathbb{H}_t(\nu), (\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} = ((\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)_{h_t, g_X}^* \mathbb{H}_t(\nu), \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, g_X} = 0,$$

$$(\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), (\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t} = ((\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)_{h_t, g_X}^* \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, g_X} = O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(\mathbb{H}_t(\nu), \mathbb{H}_t(\nu))_{L^2, g_X, h_t} = (\mathbb{H}_t(\nu), \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, g_X, h_t} = (\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, g_X, h_t} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2).$$

We set $W_\kappa = X \setminus \bigcup_P X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$.

Lemma 3.49

$$(\mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), \mathbb{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, W_\kappa} = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma_\theta|_{W_\kappa}} \nu \cdot \bar{\nu} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Proof It follows from the estimate (53), Lemma 3.45 and Lemma 3.53 below. ■

On $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, we have $\mathbb{H}_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = \mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu)$.

Lemma 3.50

$$(\mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu), \mathbb{H}_{P,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} = (\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{P,t}(\nu), \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{P,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (55)$$

Proof Because $(\bar{\partial} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \mathbf{I}_{P,t}(\nu) = 0$, we have $(\partial_{h_t} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta_{h_t}^\dagger)_{h_t, g_X}^* \mathbf{I}_{P,t}(\nu) = 0$. Hence, by Stokes formula (see Lemma 3.55 below), and by Lemma 3.44 and Lemma 3.53 below, we obtain

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{P,t}(\nu), \mathbf{I}_{P,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \operatorname{Tr} \left(F_{\alpha_P} \cdot (\mathbf{I}_{P,t}(\nu)^{1,0})_{h_t}^\dagger \right) = O(e^{-8\kappa t}) \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We also have $(\mathbf{I}_{P,t}(\nu), \mathbf{I}_{P,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} = O(e^{-8\kappa t}) \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2$. Then, we obtain (55). \blacksquare

Lemma 3.51

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{P,t}(\nu), \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{P,t}(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma_{\theta|X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}}} \nu \bar{\nu} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (56)$$

Proof By using Lemma 3.55 and Lemma 3.53 below, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_t(\nu), \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_t(\nu))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} &= 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \operatorname{Tr} (F_\alpha (\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_t(\nu)^{1,0})_{h_t}^\dagger) \\ &= 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\theta|X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}}} \alpha_P \bar{\nu} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2) = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma_{\theta|X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}}} \nu \bar{\nu} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

We obtain (56). \blacksquare

In all, we obtain Proposition 3.36. \blacksquare

3.5.6 Approximation of harmonic 1-forms in the vertical direction

Let $\tau \in H^0(\Sigma_\theta^\dagger, K_{\Sigma_\theta^\dagger}) \simeq H^1(\Sigma_\theta, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_\theta})$. We recall the construction of approximations of $\mathbf{V}_t(\tau)$ introduced in [18].

Let $P \in D_\theta$. There exist an anti-holomorphic function β_P on $\Sigma_{\theta|X_P(\kappa_0)}$ such that $d\beta_P = \tau$. We obtain the endomorphism $F_{\beta_P}^\dagger$ of E_P by using the spectral curve $\Sigma_\theta^\dagger \rightarrow X^\dagger$ of $(E, \theta_{h_t}^\dagger)$. It equals $(F_{\beta_P}^\dagger)_{h_t}^\dagger$.

Let $\chi_{P,\kappa}$ be as in §3.5.5. We put

$$\tau_\kappa^\circ = \tau - \sum \bar{\partial}(\chi_{P,\kappa} \beta_P)$$

on Σ_θ . We set

$$\mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau) = F_{\tau_\kappa^\circ} + \sum (\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)(\chi_{P,\kappa} F_{\beta_P}^\dagger).$$

We have

$$(\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau) = 0, \quad (\partial_{E, h_t} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau) = O(e^{-4(\kappa+7\delta(\kappa))t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}).$$

We note that $\operatorname{Tr}((\partial_{E, h_t} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau)) = \partial \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau) = 0$ (see [18, Lemma 4.28]). There exist $\gamma_{\kappa,t}(\tau)$ satisfying $\operatorname{Tr} \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\tau) = 0$ and

$$(\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta)(\partial_{E, h_t} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\tau) = (\partial_{E, h_t} + t \operatorname{ad} \theta_{h_t}^\dagger) \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau).$$

By [18, Proposition 2.39], we have

$$\|\gamma_{\kappa,t}\|_{L^2, h_t, g_X} + \|(\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\tau)\|_{L^2, h_t} = O(e^{-4\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}).$$

We have

$$\mathbf{V}_t(\tau) = \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau) - (\bar{\partial}_E + t \operatorname{ad} \theta) \gamma_{\kappa,t}(\tau).$$

3.5.7 Proof of Proposition 3.37

We obtain the following estimate as in the case of Lemma 3.48:

$$(\mathbf{V}_t(\tau), \mathbf{V}_t(\tau))_{L^2, h_t} = (\mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2).$$

By using Lemma 3.54 below, we obtain the following:

$$(\mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t, W_\kappa} = -2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Sigma_{\theta|W_\kappa}} \tau \cdot \bar{\tau} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2).$$

By using the Stokes formula (Lemma 3.55), we obtain

$$(\mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} = -2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \text{Tr}(F_{\beta_P}^\dagger (\mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau)^{0,1})_{h_t}^\dagger).$$

By using Lemma 3.54 below, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \text{Tr}(F_{\beta_P}^\dagger (\mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau)^{0,1})_{h_t}^\dagger) = \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\theta|X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}}} \beta_P \bar{\tau} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2) = \int_{\Sigma_{\theta|X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}}} \tau \bar{\tau} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2).$$

In all, we obtain Proposition 3.37. ■

3.5.8 Proof of Proposition 3.38

As before, we obtain

$$(\mathbf{H}_t(\nu), \mathbf{V}_t(\tau))_{L^2, h_t} = (\mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \cdot \|\nu\|_{L^2} \cdot \|\tau\|_{L^2}).$$

By the construction, we have $(\mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)^{0,1})^\circ = 0$ and $(\mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau)^{1,0})^\circ = 0$. Hence, we obtain the following by using Lemma 3.53 below:

$$(\mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t, W_\kappa} = O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2} \|\nu\|_{L^2}).$$

On $X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, we have $\mathbf{H}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu) = \mathbf{H}_{P,t}(\kappa)$. To study $(\mathbf{H}_{P,t}(\nu), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}}$, we prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 3.52

$$(\mathbf{I}_{P,t}(\nu), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} = \langle \nu, \bar{\tau} \rangle_{P, h_t}^{\text{aux}} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2} \cdot \|\tau\|_{L^2}). \quad (58)$$

Proof By (54) and Lemma 3.55 below, we have

$$(\mathbf{I}_{P,t}(\nu), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \text{Tr}\left((t^{-1}\alpha_1 M_{P,1,t}) \cdot \partial_{h_t} F_{\bar{\beta}_P}\right).$$

On $\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}$, we have $(\partial_{h_t} F_{\bar{\beta}_P})^\perp = O(e^{-4\kappa t} \|\tau\|_{L^2})$. We also have $(\partial_{h_t} F_{\bar{\beta}_P})^\circ = F_{d\bar{\beta}_P}$. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \text{Tr}\left((t^{-1}\alpha_1 M_{P,1,t})^\circ (\partial_{h_t} F_{\bar{\beta}_P})^\circ\right) &= \int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \text{Tr}\left(t^{-1}\alpha_1 F_{v_{P,t}} F_{d\bar{\beta}_P}\right) = \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\theta|X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}}} t^{-1}\alpha_1 v_{P,t} d\bar{\beta}_P \\ &= 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \left((\partial_{\xi_P} \alpha_P) \cdot (\xi_P v_{P,t}) \cdot (\partial_{\xi_P} \bar{\beta}_P) \right)_{|\xi_P=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Because $\eta_t = \frac{2^3}{3^2} \xi_P v_{P,t} d\xi_P$ and $\phi^{1/2} = \frac{2^3}{3^2} \xi_P^2 d\xi_P$, the following holds on $\Sigma_{\theta|X_P(\kappa_0)}$:

$$\nu \cdot \bar{\tau} \cdot \eta_t \cdot (\phi^{1/2})^{-1} = \left((\partial_{\xi_P} \alpha_P) \cdot (\partial_{\xi_P} \bar{\beta}_P) \cdot (\xi_P v_{P,t}) \right) \left(\frac{d\xi_P}{\xi_P} \right)^2.$$

Then, we obtain the estimate (58). ■

By Lemma 3.52, we obtain the following:

$$(\mathbf{H}_{P,t}(\nu), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} = \langle \nu, \bar{\tau} \rangle_{P, h_t}^{\text{aux}} + (\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{P,t}(\nu), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} + O(e^{-8\kappa t} \|\nu\|_{L^2} \cdot \|\tau\|_{L^2}). \quad (60)$$

By Lemma 3.55 below, we obtain

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{P,t}(\nu), \mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\tau))_{L^2, h_t, X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial X_{P,\kappa}^{(2)}} \text{Tr}\left(F_\alpha \cdot (\mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)^{1,0})_{h_t}^\dagger\right).$$

We have

$$\text{Tr}\left(F_\alpha \cdot (\mathbf{V}'_{\kappa,t}(\nu)^{1,0})_{h_t}^\dagger\right) = \text{Tr}\left(F_\alpha \cdot [\theta_{h_t}^\dagger, F_{\bar{\beta}}]\right) = \text{Tr}\left(\theta^\dagger [F_{\bar{\beta}}, F_\alpha]\right) = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain Proposition 3.38. ■

3.6 Appendix

3.6.1 The error terms for metrics

Let $\gamma > 0$. Let $A_t^{(\ell)}$ ($\ell = 1, 2$, $t \geq 1$) be 2×2 -matrices. We assume that

- $|(A_t^{(\ell)})_{i,j}| = O(e^{-\gamma t})$ ($i \neq j$).
- $(A_t^{(\ell)})_{i,i} = \alpha_i^{(\ell)} + O(e^{-2\gamma t})$ for some complex numbers $\alpha_i^{(\ell)}$.

Let H_t ($t \geq 1$) be Hermitian matrices. We set $B_t = H_t - I_2$. Assume the following condition.

- $|B_t| = O(e^{-\gamma t})$.

Lemma 3.53 *We have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(A^{(1)}(A_t^{(2)})_{H_t}^\dagger) = \alpha_1^{(1)}\overline{\alpha_1^{(2)}} + \alpha_2^{(1)}\overline{\alpha_2^{(2)}} + O(e^{-2\gamma t}).$$

In particular, we have

$$|A_t^{(1)}|_{H_t}^2 = \mathrm{Tr}(A_t^{(1)}(A_t^{(1)})_{H_t}^\dagger) = |\alpha_1^{(1)}|^2 + |\alpha_2^{(1)}|^2 + O(e^{-2\gamma t}).$$

Proof We have

$$(A_t^{(2)})_{H_t}^\dagger = \overline{H_t}^{-1} \overline{\epsilon A_t^{(2)}} \cdot \overline{H_t} = \overline{\epsilon A_t^{(2)}} + [\overline{\epsilon A_t^{(2)}}, \overline{B_t}] + O(e^{-2\gamma t}).$$

We note that $[\overline{\epsilon A_t^{(2)}}, \overline{B_t}]_{i,i} = O(e^{-2\gamma t})$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $[\overline{\epsilon A_t^{(2)}}, \overline{B_t}]_{i,j} = O(e^{-\gamma t})$ ($i \neq j$). As a result, we obtain the claim of the lemma. ▀

3.6.2 A variant

Let H_t be a family of Hermitian matrices such that $B_t = H_t - I_2 = O(e^{-\gamma t})$. We set

$$B_t = \begin{pmatrix} a_t & b_t \\ \overline{b}_t & c_t \end{pmatrix}.$$

We assume $\det(H_t) = 1$. We set

$$\Pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Pi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$(\Pi_1)_{H_t}^\dagger = \overline{H_t}^{-1} \Pi_1 \overline{H_t} = \Pi_1 + [\Pi_1, \overline{B_t}] + O(e^{-2\gamma t}) = \Pi_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{b}_t \\ -b_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(e^{-2\gamma t}).$$

We also have

$$(\Pi_2)_{H_t}^\dagger = \Pi_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\overline{b}_t \\ b_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(e^{-2\gamma t}).$$

Let $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ($i = 1, 2$). We set

$$G_t = \alpha_1 \Pi_1 + \alpha_2 \Pi_2 + \beta_1 (\Pi_1)_{H_t}^\dagger + \beta_2 (\Pi_2)_{H_t}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \beta_1 & (\beta_1 - \beta_2) \overline{b}_t \\ (\beta_2 - \beta_1) b_t & \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} + O(e^{-2\gamma t}).$$

Lemma 3.54 *We obtain*

$$|G_t|_{H_t}^2 = |\alpha_1 + \beta_1|^2 + |\alpha_2 + \beta_2|^2 + O(e^{-2\gamma t}).$$

Proof It follows from Lemma 3.53. ▀

3.6.3 L^2 -product

Let $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$ be a Higgs bundle on a compact Riemann surface X . Let $W \subset X$ be an open subset. Let h be a harmonic metric. Let $\rho_i = \rho_i^{1,0} + \rho_i^{0,1}$ ($i = 1, 2$) be C^∞ -sections of $\text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^{1,0} \oplus \text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^{0,1}$. We set

$$(\rho_1, \rho_2)_{L^2, h, W} = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_W \text{Tr}(\rho_1^{1,0}(\rho_2^{1,0})^\dagger - \rho_1^{0,1}(\rho_2^{0,1})^\dagger).$$

Let ρ_i ($i = 1, 2$) be C^∞ -sections of $\text{End}(E)$. Let g_X be a Kähler metric of X . Let dvol_{g_X} be the volume form. For any $W \subset X$, we set

$$(\rho_1, \rho_2)_{L^2, h, g_X, W} = \int_W \text{Tr}(\rho_1 \cdot (\rho_2)_h^\dagger) \text{dvol}_{g_X}.$$

If $W = X$, $(\rho_1, \rho_2)_{L^2, h, X}$ and $(\rho_1, \rho_2)_{L^2, h, g_X, X}$ are denoted by $(\rho_1, \rho_2)_{L^2, h}$ and $(\rho_1, \rho_2)_{L^2, h, g_X}$. We set $\|\rho\|_{L^2, h} = \sqrt{(\rho, \rho)_{L^2, h}}$ or $\|\rho\|_{L^2, h} = \sqrt{(\rho, \rho)_{L^2, h, g_X}}$.

3.6.4 Stokes formula

Let $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta, h)$ be a harmonic bundle on X . Let a be a C^∞ -section of $\text{End}(E)$ and $b = b^{1,0} + b^{0,1}$ be a C^∞ $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-form.

Lemma 3.55 *The following holds:*

$$\text{Tr}(\partial_{E, h} a \wedge (b^{1,0})_h^\dagger - [\theta_h^\dagger, a] \wedge (b^{0,1})_h^\dagger) = \partial \text{Tr}(a(b^{1,0})^\dagger) - \text{Tr}(a \cdot (\bar{\partial}_E + \text{ad } \theta)b). \quad (61)$$

$$\text{Tr}([\theta, a] \wedge (b^{1,0})_h^\dagger - \bar{\partial} a \wedge (b^{0,1})_h^\dagger) = -\bar{\partial} \text{Tr}(a(b^{0,1})^\dagger) + \text{Tr}(a((\partial_{E, h} + \text{ad } \theta_h^\dagger)b)_h^\dagger). \quad (62)$$

Proof We have

$$\text{Tr}(\partial a \wedge (b^{1,0})_h^\dagger) = \partial \text{Tr}(a(b^{1,0})^\dagger) - \text{Tr}(a \wedge (\bar{\partial} b^{1,0})_h^\dagger)$$

We have

$$\text{Tr}([\theta_h^\dagger, a] \wedge (b^{0,1})_h^\dagger) = \text{Tr}((\theta_h^\dagger a - a \theta_h^\dagger) \wedge (b^{0,1})_h^\dagger) = -\text{Tr}(a[\theta_h^\dagger, (b^{0,1})_h^\dagger]) = \text{Tr}(a[\theta, b^{0,1}]_h^\dagger).$$

Thus, we obtain (61). We obtain (62) similarly. ■

Corollary 3.56 *Let $W \subset X$ be a relatively compact open subset with smooth boundary. Then, the following holds.*

$$((\partial_{E, h} + \text{ad } \theta_h^\dagger)a, b)_{L^2, h, W} = 2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial W} \text{Tr}(a(b^{1,0})_h^\dagger) + (a, (\partial_{E, h} + \text{ad } \theta_h^\dagger)_{h, g_X}^* b)_{L^2, h, g_X, W}, \quad (63)$$

$$((\bar{\partial}_E + \text{ad } \theta)a, b)_{L^2, h, W} = -2\sqrt{-1} \int_{\partial W} \text{Tr}(a(b^{0,1})_h^\dagger) + (a, (\bar{\partial}_E + \text{ad } \theta)_{h, g_X}^* b)_{L^2, h, g_X, W}. \quad (64)$$

References

- [1] A. Beauville, M. S. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan, *Spectral curves and the generalised theta divisor*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **398**, (1989), 169–179.
- [2] G. Chen, N. Li, *Asymptotic geometry of the moduli space of rank two irregular Higgs bundles over the projective line*, arXiv:2206.11883
- [3] D. Dumas, A. Neitzke, *Asymptotics of Hitchin’s metric on the Hitchin section*, Comm. Math. Phys. **367** (2019), 127–150.
- [4] L. Fredrickson, *Exponential decay for the asymptotic geometry of the Hitchin metric*, Comm. Math. Phys. **375** (2020), 1393–1426.
- [5] L. Fredrickson, *Generic Ends of the Moduli Space of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles*, arXiv:1810.01556 (version 1).

- [6] L. Fredrickson, R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss, *Asymptotic geometry of the moduli space of parabolic $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **106** (2022), 590–661.
- [7] D. Freed, *Special Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **203** (1999), 31–52.
- [8] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, A. Neitzke, *Four-dimensional wall-crossing via three-dimensional field theory*. Comm. Math. Phys. **299** (2010), 163–224.
- [9] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, A. Neitzke, *Wall-crossing, Hitchin systems, and the WKB approximation*. Adv. Math. **234** (2013), 239–403.
- [10] N. J. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), 59–126.
- [11] M. Holdt, *Hyperkähler metrics on the moduli space of weakly parabolic Higgs bundles*, arXiv:2106.16017
- [12] Q. Li, T. Mochizuki, *Harmonic metrics of generically regular semisimple Higgs bundles on non-compact Riemann surfaces*, Tunis. J. Math. **5** (2023), 663–711.
- [13] R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss, F. Witt, *Ends of the moduli space of Higgs bundles*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), 2227–2271.
- [14] R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss, F. Witt, *Asymptotic geometry of the Hitchin metric*, Comm. Math. Phys. **367** (2019), 151–191.
- [15] T. Mochizuki, *Wild harmonic bundles and wild pure twistor D -modules*, Astérisque **340**, (2011)
- [16] T. Mochizuki, *Asymptotic behaviour of certain families of harmonic bundles on Riemann surfaces*, J. Topol. **9** (2016), 1021–1073.
- [17] T. Mochizuki, *Good wild harmonic bundles and good filtered Higgs bundles*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **17** (2021), Paper No. 068, 66 pp
- [18] T. Mochizuki, *Asymptotic behaviour of the Hitchin metric on the moduli space of Higgs bundles*, arXiv:2305.17638
- [19] T. Mochizuki, Sz. Szabo, *Asymptotic behaviour of large-scale solutions of Hitchin’s equations in higher rank*, arXiv:2303.04913
- [20] A. Neitzke, *Metric on the moduli space of Higgs bundles, 2021*, <https://gauss.math.yale.edu/~an592/exports/higgs-metric.pdf>.
- [21] C. Simpson, *Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and application to uniformization*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 867–918.
- [22] C. Simpson, *Harmonic bundles on non-compact curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 713–770.
- [23] K. Strebel, *Quadratic differentials*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. xii+184 pp.
- [24] K. Uhlenbeck, *Connections with L^p bounds on curvature*, Comm. Math. Phys. **83**, (1982) 31–42.