

Exact Analytical Taub-NUT like Solution in $f(T)$ Gravity

Joshua G. Fenwick* and Masoud Ghezelbash†

*Department of Physics and Engineering Physics,
University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon SK S7N 5E2, Canada*

(Dated: July 9, 2024)

We construct exact analytical Taub-NUT solutions in the context of $f(T)$ gravity. We study the physical properties of the solutions, and compare them with those of the Taub-NUT solution in Einstein gravity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Modified Theories of Gravity (MTG), which have been known for a long time, are theories to address the issues such as dark energy, since the accelerating expansion of the universe was discovered. These theories are of great importance in cosmology, to handle the problems, for which general relativity does not provide any solutions. Moreover, in the appropriate limits, these theories should produce the same results as general relativity. The first modified theory of gravity is $f(R)$ theory, in which the Ricci scalar is replaced by a general function $f(R)$, in the Einstein-Hilbert action [1–17]. The second such modified theory is to use the curvature-free connection, instead of the usual torsion-free Levi-Civita connection in general relativity. The simplest possibility is to use the torsion scalar T , instead of Ricci scalar in the action of general relativity. The theory is called Teleparallel Equivalent of General Relativity (TEGR). We can consider a more general theory, where the action is a function of torsion scalar $f(T)$, which leads to a new class of MTG [18–27]. The third modified theory is to use the non-metricity, instead of curvature or torsion to describe the gravity. The simplest possibility is to use the non-metricity scalar Q , instead of Ricci or Torsion scalars in the action of general relativity. The theory is called Symmetric Tele-parallel Equivalent of General Relativity (STEGR). Quite interestingly, TEGR and STEGR are equivalent to general relativity. However considering a more general theory, where the action is a function of non-metricity scalar $f(Q)$, leads to a new class of MTG [28–32]. Moreover, we can consider other more general theories of gravity, where the action is a function of more than one of the R , T and Q . As an example, $f(R, T)$ theories were considered in cosmological model building and observational constraint [33–42]. There are very few known black hole solutions in any of MTG [1, 10, 23, 27]. The known black hole solutions in MTG are restricted to at most charged massive black holes. Despite the excellent progress in construction of different type of black holes in Einstein gravity, there is no significant progress in construction of black holes in MTG, such as black holes with the Taub-Newman-Unti-Tamburino (Taub- NUT) charges. Moreover, the rich structure of conformal symmetry in a dual theory to the MTG black holes, is not fully explored and studied. To the best knowledge of the authors, the only article about possible holography for a specific $f(T)$ black hole is the reference [43]. In this article, the authors discovered the existence of the conformal symmetry for an asymptotically anti-de Sitter (AdS) rotating charged black holes in $f(T)$ gravity [23], where $f(T) = T + \alpha T^2$, where α is a constant.

Gravitational instantons are the regular and complete solutions to the Einstein field equations. They have self-dual curvature two-form and are asymptotically locally Euclidean [44]. There are several well known gravitational instantons, such as Taub-NUT and Eguchi-Hanson spaces. These solutions play an important role in construction of higher-dimensional extended theories of gravity, supergravity, and the quantum properties of the black holes. In this article, we construct the Taub-NUT solutions to a specific $f(T)$ gravity and study its behaviours. The paper is organized as follows: In section II, we review the $f(T)$ gravity and the field equations. In section III, we use a general form for the tetrads in $f(T)$ gravity, and solving all the field equations, we obtain the metric for the Taub-NUT solutions in $f(T)$ gravity. In section IV, we discuss the physical properties of the Taub-NUT solutions in $f(T)$ gravity.

II. LORENTZ COVARIANT $f(T)$ GRAVITY

Einstein's general relativity is a theory built upon the premise of vanishing torsion while being metric compatible and explaining gravity solely with curvature. This makes the fundamental objects the Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor, Ricci scalar and the unique Levi-Civita connection [45]. TEGR makes use of a relation between the Ricci scalar and

* joshua.fenwick@usask.ca

† masoud.ghezelbash@usask.ca

the torsion scalar when curvature vanishes, with this one uses the curvatureless Weitzenböck connection and uses torsion to describe gravity [46].

The premise of teleparallel gravity is built upon the fundamental object, the tetrad. This tetrad, $h^a{}_\mu$, is an object that connects the spacetime (Greek index) to the tangent space (beginning of the Latin alphabet index) via

$$g_{\mu\nu} = h^a{}_\mu h^b{}_\nu \eta_{ab}, \quad (1)$$

and the inverse relation

$$\eta_{ab} = h_a{}^\mu h_b{}^\nu g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2)$$

Here η_{ab} is the tangent space metric defined to be Minkowski with signature $(+, -, -, -)$ where from (1) and (2), we find we must have the identities $h_a{}^\mu h^a{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu$, $h_a{}^\mu h^b{}_\mu = \delta_a{}^b$. The namesake property of this theory is such that tetrad is always parallel transported such that

$$\partial_\mu h^a{}_\nu + \omega^a{}_{b\mu} h^b{}_\nu - \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu} h^a{}_\rho = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $\Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu}$ is the aforementioned Weitzenböck connection, and $\omega^a{}_{b\mu}$ is the teleparallel spin connection. Thus, the basic pieces are the tetrads $h^a{}_\mu$ and spin connection $\omega^a{}_{b\mu}$ of teleparallel theory. With them one builds the torsion tensor as

$$T^a{}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu h^a{}_\nu - \partial_\nu h^a{}_\mu + \omega^a{}_{b\mu} h^b{}_\nu - \omega^a{}_{b\nu} h^b{}_\mu, \quad (4)$$

and from it the contortion tensor

$$K^{\mu\nu}{}_a = \frac{1}{2} (T_a{}^{\mu\nu} + T^{\nu\mu}{}_a - T^{\mu\nu}{}_a). \quad (5)$$

The contortion tensor allows one to convert from the Levi-Civita connection $\{\rho{}_{\nu\mu}\}$ to the Weitzenböck connection and vice versa via

$$\Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu} = \{\rho{}_{\nu\mu}\} + K^\rho{}_{\nu\mu}. \quad (6)$$

The only unique contraction of the torsion tensor is given by $T^\mu = T^{\alpha\mu}{}_\alpha$, which we can use to make the super potential tensor

$$S_a{}^{\mu\nu} = K^{\mu\nu}{}_a + T^\mu h_a{}^\nu - T^\nu h_a{}^\mu, \quad (7)$$

which allows for the definition of the torsion scalar to be $T = T^{\alpha\mu\nu} S_a{}^{\mu\nu}$. This torsion scalar allows the action of $f(T)$ gravity to be written as

$$\mathcal{S}_G = \frac{1}{4\kappa} \int d^4x h f(T), \quad (8)$$

where $h = |\det(h_{a\mu})|$ and $\kappa = 8\pi^1$. If $f(T) = T$, the $f(T)$ gravity reduces to the teleparallel theory. It can be shown [47] that the spin connection is a purely gauge object, and can always be set to zero by choosing a proper tetrad. In fact in the teleparallel theory, the spin connection contributes only a surface term in the Lagrangian, and thus all frames are proper frames [48]. The gauge-like nature of the spin connection can be seen via the transformation law

$$\omega'^a{}_{b\mu} = \Lambda^a{}_c \omega^c{}_{d\mu} \Lambda_b{}^d + \Lambda^a{}_c \partial_\mu \Lambda_b{}^c, \quad (9)$$

where $\Lambda^a{}_c$ is a Lorentz matrix and $\Lambda_a{}^c$ is its inverse. For a proper choice of Lorentz matrix, one can make $\omega'^a{}_{b\mu} = 0$ and then only consider the proper tetrad $h'^a{}_\mu$ from

$$h'^a{}_\mu = \Lambda^a{}_b h^b{}_\mu. \quad (10)$$

With the action (8) one can vary with respect to the tetrad and find the equations of motion to be

$$\tilde{G}_a{}^\rho \equiv \frac{f(T)}{4} h_a{}^\rho + f_T (h^{-1} \partial_\nu (h S_a{}^{\rho\nu}) + h_a{}^\mu S_b{}^{\nu\rho} T^b{}_{\nu\mu} - \omega^b{}_{a\mu} S_b{}^{\rho\mu}) + f_{TT} S_a{}^{\rho\mu} \partial_\mu T = \kappa \mathcal{T}_a{}^\rho, \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_a{}^\rho$ is the energy-momentum tensor, $f_T = \frac{df}{dT}$ and $f_{TT} = \frac{d^2f}{dT^2}$. The anti-symmetric part of the equation of motion can be shown [49, 50] to be equivalent to the variation with respect to the spin connection and become

$$\tilde{G}_{[ab]} \equiv \partial_\mu f_T \left[\partial_\nu (h h_{[a}{}^\mu h_{b]}{}^\nu) + 2h h_c{}^{[\mu} h_{[a}{}^{\nu]} \omega^c{}_{b]\nu} \right] = 0. \quad (12)$$

Should $f(T) = T$, we find the anti-symmetric part to be trivially satisfied, and that $\tilde{G}_\mu{}^\nu$ reduces to the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$.

¹ Note that here and throughout the paper we have set $c = G = 1$ for convenience.

III. NUT SOLUTIONS IN $f(T)$ GRAVITY

When it comes to solving the $f(T)$ field equations, two standard ways exist. One can specify a form of $f(T)$, and use that to find the unknown functions or one can leave it unknown, and solving for it last, after getting the remaining equation into a form containing the torsion scalar. We employ the method of unknown $f(T)$, which gives us an extra degree of freedom in solving the problem. As the Taub-NUT spacetime is an axially symmetric spacetime [51–53], we employ the tetrad

$$h^a{}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} A(r) & 0 & 0 & A(r)(c_1 \cos \theta + c_2) \\ 0 & B(r) \sin \theta \cos \varphi & C(r) \cos \theta \cos \varphi & -C(r) \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\ 0 & B(r) \sin \theta \sin \varphi & C(r) \cos \theta \sin \varphi & C(r) \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ 0 & B(r) \cos \theta & -C(r) \sin \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

The tetrad (13) solves the anti-symmetric field equations for any functions $A(r), B(r), C(r)$ and constants c_1 and c_2 [54]. This leaves us with 4 unknown functions, and 2 unknown constants. Through (1) with tetrad (13), we find the metric to take the form

$$ds^2 = A^2(r)dt^2 - B^2(r)dr^2 - C^2(r)d\theta^2 - (C^2(r)\sin^2\theta - A^2(r)(c_1 \cos \theta + c_2)^2)d\varphi^2 + 2A^2(r)(c_1 \cos \theta + c_2)dtd\varphi. \quad (14)$$

One can see that with following substitutions, we find the traditional Taub-NUT metric

$$A(r) = \frac{1}{B(r)} = \sqrt{\frac{r^2 - 2mr - n^2}{r^2 + n^2}}, \quad C(r) = \sqrt{r^2 + n^2}, \quad c_1 = 2n, \quad c_2 = 0. \quad (15)$$

We first make the substitution for c_1 and c_2 from (15) to simplify the tetrad (13) to the form

$$h^a{}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} A(r) & 0 & 0 & 2nA(r) \cos \theta \\ 0 & B(r) \sin \theta \cos \varphi & C(r) \cos \theta \cos \varphi & -C(r) \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\ 0 & B(r) \sin \theta \sin \varphi & C(r) \cos \theta \sin \varphi & C(r) \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ 0 & B(r) \cos \theta & -C(r) \sin \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

With tetrad (16), we may compute the field equations from (11) subject to $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Doing so and simplifying as much, we find the following field equations: temporal component

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}^t_t &= \frac{f(T)}{4} + \frac{2f_T}{AC^4B^3} (B^3A^3n^2 + C^3B^2A' + C'[AC^2(B^2 + B'C) - BA'C^3] - C^3BC'' - C^2(C')^2BA) \\ &\quad - \frac{2f_{TT}T'}{B^2C} (C' - B), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

radial component

$$\tilde{G}^r_r = \frac{f(T)}{4} + \frac{2f_T}{AB^2C^2} (C'AB + CBA' - (C')^2A - 2CC'A'), \quad (18)$$

angular components

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}^\theta_\theta = \tilde{G}^\varphi_\varphi &= \frac{f(T)}{4} + \frac{f_T}{AC^4B^3} (A'[2B^2C^3 + C^4B'] + C'[2AB^2C^2 + AC^3B' - 3BA'C^3] - 2B^3A^3n^2 \\ &\quad - C^2B^3A - (C')^2C^2BA - C^3BAC'' - C^4BA'') - \frac{2f_{TT}T'}{AB^2C} (A(B - C') - A'C), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and rotational component

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}^t_\varphi &= \frac{2n \cos \theta f_T}{AC^4B^3} (A''C^4B - C^3BAC'' - (C')^2C^2BA + C^3C'[B'A + BA'] - C^4B'A' + AB^3[4n^2A^2 + C^2]) \\ &\quad - \frac{2nf_{TT}T' \cos \theta}{AB^2C} (A(B - C') + A'C). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

In addition to the field equations, we also find the torsion scalar to take the form ²

$$T = \frac{4}{AB^2C^4} (n^2A^3B^2 + AC^2(C')^2 - 2C'ABC^2 + 2C'A'C^3 + AB^2C^2 - 2BA'C^3). \quad (21)$$

² Where for shorthand we defined $A(r) \equiv A$ and A' represents a derivative with respect to r .

It can be shown [25] that for $\mathfrak{S}^\mu_\nu \equiv \tilde{G}^\mu_\nu - \kappa \mathcal{T}^\mu_\nu = 0$, should the anti-symmetric equations be satisfied, we have the identity that

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathfrak{S}^\mu_\nu = 0, \quad (22)$$

where \mathcal{D}_μ is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative. This tells us as long as \mathfrak{S}^r_r is satisfied, we only need satisfy either the temporal or angular equation, and the other is automatically satisfied. This is seen via the $\nu = r$ component of (22)

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathfrak{S}^\mu_r = \partial_r \mathfrak{S}^r_r + \left(\frac{A'}{A} + \frac{2C'}{C} \right) \mathfrak{S}^r_r - \frac{A'}{A} \mathfrak{S}^t_t + \frac{C'}{C} (\mathfrak{S}^\theta_\theta + \mathfrak{S}^\varphi_\varphi) = 0. \quad (23)$$

With this in mind, we follow an approach for spherical symmetric problems [18] and use the angular equation to solve for the term $f_{TT}T'$, to eliminate it from both the temporal and rotational equations. We reserve the radial equation for the use of solving for the form of $f(T)$, but use it to eliminate the $f(T)$ dependence in the temporal and rotational equations in favour of f_T . After such work, we find the field equations to take the forms

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}^t_t = & \frac{2f_T}{B^2C^4((B-C')A-A'C)A} (3A^4B^3n^2 - 3A^4B^2C'n^2 - A^3B^3A'Cn^2 + AC^4A''B - AC^4A''C' \\ & + AC^4C''A' - B'AA'C^4 + A^2B^3C^2 - A^2B^2C'C^2 - A^2B(C')^2C^2 + A^2(C')^3C^2 - C^4(A')^2C'), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}^t_\varphi = & \frac{4\cos(\theta)f_Tn}{A(A(B-C')-A'C)C^4B^2} (3A^4B^3n^2 - 3A^4B^2C'n^2 - A^3B^3A'Cn^2 + AC^4A''B - AC^4A''C' \\ & + AC^4C''A' - B'AA'C^4 + A^2B^3C^2 - A^2B^2C'C^2 - A^2B(C')^2C^2 + A^2(C')^3C^2 - C^4(A')^2C'), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\tilde{G}^r_r = \frac{f(T)AB^2C^2 + f_T(8ABC' - 8A(C')^2 + 8BCA' - 16CC'A')}{4AB^2C^2}. \quad (26)$$

It's interesting to note that the non-trivial temporal and rotational equations become same for the case of vanishing $T_{\mu\nu}$. In fact we have $\tilde{G}^t_\varphi = 2n \cos\theta \tilde{G}^t_t$, so we only need look at one or the other. This leaves us with 2 equations for 4 unknown functions, from which we cannot generate a solution without more information or assumptions. The first natural assumption is to let $C(r)$ take the form as in (15), while also assume that $A(r) = 1/B(r)$ still holds. These two assumptions make the field equations take the form

$$\tilde{G}^t_t = \frac{2f_T(r\sqrt{r^2+n^2}A - r^2 - n^2)}{(n^2+r^2)^{5/2}((n^2+r^2)A' + Ar - \sqrt{r^2+n^2})} (A(r^2+n^2)^2A'' + (r^2+n^2)^2(A')^2 + (3n^2-r^2)A^2 + n^2+r^2), \quad (27)$$

$$\tilde{G}^r_r = \frac{\sqrt{r^2+n^2}[f(T)(r^2+n^2)^2 - 8rf_TA(rA + 2(r^2+n^2)A')] + 8f_T(r^2+n^2)(A'(r^2+n^2) + Ar)}{4(r^2+n^2)^{5/2}}. \quad (28)$$

Solving the temporal and rotational equations, we find two unique solutions. One can easily solve the analytic equation in (27), for $A(r)$ to find

$$A(r) = \frac{\sqrt{r^2+n^2}}{r}, \quad (29)$$

or the differential equation³ in (27), to find

$$A(r) = \sqrt{\frac{r^2 - 2C_1r - n^2 + C_2(3n^4 - 6n^2r^2 - r^4)}{(r^2+n^2)}}. \quad (30)$$

³ This differential equation is actually a linear differential equation using the substitution $A^2(r) \rightarrow U(r)$

We note through dimensional analysis that the constants C_1 and C_2 are related to the mass and cosmological constant, respectively. The latter is better seen if (30) is plugged into the radial equation (28), and solved for $f(T)$. We find the functional form $f(T) = T - 12C_2$. With (30) describes the standard cosmological Λ -Taub-NUT solution in Einstein gravity, we turn our attention to (29) with (21) to find

$$T = \frac{4n^2}{r^2(r^2 + n^2)}. \quad (31)$$

The radial field equation (28) with (29), leads to the differential equation for $f(T)$ function

$$f(T) = -2 \left(\frac{4n^2}{r^2(r^2 + n^2)} \right) \frac{df}{dT} = -2T \frac{df}{dT}. \quad (32)$$

We solve (32) and find

$$f(T) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}. \quad (33)$$

for any constant C . We can absorb the constant C into κ and define the gravitational action (8) to be

$$\mathcal{S}_G = \frac{1}{4\kappa} \int d^4x \frac{h}{\sqrt{T}}, \quad (34)$$

while the metric takes the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r^2 + n^2}{r^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{2n(n^2 + r^2) \cos \theta}{r^2} \\ 0 & -\frac{r^2}{r^2 + n^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(r^2 + n^2) & 0 \\ \frac{2n(n^2 + r^2) \cos \theta}{r^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{r^2 + n^2}{r^2} (4n^2 \cos^2 \theta - r^2 \sin^2 \theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

with the tetrad

$$h^a{}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{r^2 + n^2}/r & 0 & 0 & 2n(\sqrt{r^2 + n^2}/r) \cos \theta \\ 0 & (r/\sqrt{r^2 + n^2}) \sin \theta \cos \varphi & \sqrt{r^2 + n^2} \cos \theta \cos \varphi & -\sqrt{r^2 + n^2} \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\ 0 & (r/\sqrt{r^2 + n^2}) \sin \theta \sin \varphi & \sqrt{r^2 + n^2} \cos \theta \sin \varphi & \sqrt{r^2 + n^2} \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ 0 & (r/\sqrt{r^2 + n^2}) \cos \theta & -\sqrt{r^2 + n^2} \sin \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

With this solution, one can set the functions $B(r), C(r)$ and $f(T)$ as defined above, while leaving $A(r)$ unknown. Doing so one finds the simple differential equation to satisfy the system

$$(n^2 + r^2)^2 A' + n^2 r A^3 = 0, \quad (37)$$

whose solution allows the generalization

$$h^a{}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{(r^2 + n^2)}{\epsilon(r^2 + n^2) - n^2}} & 0 & 0 & 2n \left(\sqrt{\frac{(r^2 + n^2)}{\epsilon(r^2 + n^2) - n^2}} \right) \cos \theta \\ 0 & (r/\sqrt{r^2 + n^2}) \sin \theta \cos \varphi & \sqrt{r^2 + n^2} \cos \theta \cos \varphi & -\sqrt{r^2 + n^2} \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\ 0 & (r/\sqrt{r^2 + n^2}) \sin \theta \sin \varphi & \sqrt{r^2 + n^2} \cos \theta \sin \varphi & \sqrt{r^2 + n^2} \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ 0 & (r/\sqrt{r^2 + n^2}) \cos \theta & -\sqrt{r^2 + n^2} \sin \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (38)$$

We note that should $\epsilon = 1$, (38) reduces to tetrad (36). With this generalization, we find the torsion scalar to become

$$T = \frac{4n^2}{(\epsilon(r^2 + n^2) - n^2)(r^2 + n^2)}. \quad (39)$$

IV. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

In computation of the physical properties of the newfound spacetime, we analyze the singularities, test particle orbits and asymptotic conditions. Beginning with singularity analysis, we compute the Ricci and Kretschmann scalar invariants. These are found to take the forms

$$R = \frac{6n^4}{(n^2 + r^2)(\epsilon(r^2 + n^2) - n^2)}, \quad (40)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{-4n^2(n^6(12\epsilon^4 - 30\epsilon^2 + 18\epsilon - 9) + 6\epsilon r^2 n^4(6\epsilon^2 - 10\epsilon + 3) + 6\epsilon^2 r^4 n^2(6\epsilon - 5) + 12\epsilon^3 r^6)}{(n^2 + r^2)(\epsilon(r^2 + n^2) - n^2)}. \quad (41)$$

From both we note a non-physical imaginary singularity at $r = \pm in$. The other singularity will be denoted by r_s , and is located at $r = \pm n\sqrt{\frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon}}$. For many values of ϵ , this is found to also be a un-physical imaginary singularity. It is only the range $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ where r_s becomes a spherical surface of naked singularities. Letting $\epsilon = 1$, we find the surface to shrink to the single point $r = 0$. The existence of naked singularities in our universe, is still an ongoing debate with many assuming the cosmic censorship hypothesis [55] to hold while others think they might be a quantum gravity problem [56]. Whatever the case may be, this spacetime has one that can be scaled by the value of ϵ which allows us to find the radial coordinate range to be in one of two options given by $r \in (r_s, \infty)$ for a singularity or $r \in (-\infty, \infty)$ for none. In addition to the radial singularity, one finds Misner strings in the same way that the standard Taub-NUT has, from the line element

$$ds^2 = \frac{(r^2 + n^2)}{\epsilon(r^2 + n^2) - n^2} dt^2 - \frac{r^2}{r^2 + n^2} dr^2 - (r^2 + n^2) d\theta^2 - \left((r^2 + n^2) \sin^2 \theta - \frac{4n^2(r^2 + n^2) \cos^2 \theta}{\epsilon(r^2 + n^2) - n^2} \right) d\varphi^2 + \frac{4n(r^2 + n^2) \cos \theta}{\epsilon(r^2 + n^2) - n^2} dt d\varphi, \quad (42)$$

containing $\cos \theta$. One may assume the value $\epsilon = 0$ may simplify and remove some complexity, as in this case we find $r_s \rightarrow \infty$. However, for the limit that r gets very large, we find the metric becomes

$$g_{\mu\nu} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1/\epsilon & 0 & 0 & 2n \cos \theta / \epsilon \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2 & 0 \\ 2n \cos \theta / \epsilon & 0 & 0 & -r^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (43)$$

From (43), we note that time coordinate goes to infinity for $\epsilon = 0$, so this value should be excluded from allowed ϵ values. From the radial singularity and the angular Misner strings singularities, we can conclude that the metric (42) is locally asymptotically flat, just as the standard Taub-NUT is [53]. Under the limit that $n \rightarrow 0$ one finds the metric to reduce to the familiar Minkowski space

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (44)$$

with $T = 0$. This may look problematic as the action (34) diverges to infinity for $T = 0$. This problem may be circumvented by taking the limit $n \rightarrow 0$ of equation (27), before solving the differential equation (32). Taking the limit $n \rightarrow 0$ of (27), we find

$$\tilde{G}_t^t = \frac{2f_T(A-1)}{r^2(rA' + A - 1)} (r^2 AA'' + r^2(A')^2 - A^2 + 1), \quad (45)$$

in which the solutions take the form $A = 1$ or the de Sitter-Schwarzschild metric. With $A = 1$, we find the radial equation reduces to $f(T) = 0$, which is standard as $T = 0$ describes the Minkowski space. Should we turn our attention to orbits, we find from (42) that we have no dependence on t and φ , as there are 2 Killing vectors. We assume $\theta = \pi/2$, and denote p_t and p_φ as constants of motion. Analysis of the constants, we find p_t is related to the energy of the particle, which an observer measures at infinity

$$E \Big|_{r=\infty} = p_t \epsilon, \quad (46)$$

while p_φ is related to the angular momentum via

$$p_t = E \Big|_{r=\infty} v_\varphi \sqrt{r^2 + n^2}, \quad (47)$$

where v_φ is the tangential velocity, measured by an observer in an equatorial trajectory. With this in mind, we may compute the radial orbit equation to become

$$g^{\sigma\nu} p_\sigma p_\nu = -\mu^2 \Rightarrow \left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda} \right)^2 = \frac{C_1}{r^2} + C_2, \quad (48)$$

with the constants C_1 and C_2 defined as

$$C_1 = n^2 \mu^2 + n^2 p_t^2 (\epsilon - 1) - p_\varphi^2, \quad (49)$$

and

$$C_2 = \epsilon p_t^2 + \mu^2, \quad (50)$$

with μ being the test particles mass. With this equation it can be shown that no stable orbits can be created in this spacetime. What is to be said for this spacetime, is that all orbit equations have closed form analytical solutions. The solution to (48) is found to be

$$r(\lambda) = \sqrt{C_2(\beta + \lambda)^2 - \frac{C_1}{C_2}}, \quad (51)$$

where λ , is the affine parameter defined for massless particles, and equal to τ/μ for massive ones, and β is an arbitrary constant. With a solution found for $r(\lambda)$, both $t(\lambda)$ and $\varphi(\lambda)$ can be found. Computing their differential equations, we find

$$\frac{d\varphi}{d\lambda} = -\frac{p_\varphi}{n^2 + r^2} = -\frac{p_\varphi}{C_3 + C_2(\beta + \lambda)^2}, \quad (52)$$

and

$$\frac{dt}{d\lambda} = -p_t \frac{\epsilon(n^2 + r^2) - n^2}{n^2 + r^2} = p_t \epsilon - \frac{n^2 p_t}{C_3 + C_2(\beta + \lambda)^2}. \quad (53)$$

Due to the similar form of the differential equations, it's no surprise the solutions come out to be very similar in form with

$$\varphi(\lambda) = -\frac{p_\varphi C_2}{\sqrt{C_2^3 n^2 - C_1 C_2^2}} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{C_2^2(\beta + \lambda)}{\sqrt{C_2^3 n^2 - C_1 C_2^2}} \right) - \alpha. \quad (54)$$

and

$$t(\lambda) = p_t \epsilon \lambda - \frac{p_t n^2 C_2}{\sqrt{C_2^3 n^2 - C_1 C_2^2}} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{C_2^2(\beta + \lambda)}{\sqrt{C_2^3 n^2 - C_1 C_2^2}} \right) + \delta, \quad (55)$$

where α and δ , are again arbitrary constants. What is typically more important is $r(\varphi)$, showing the total angle swept out in the trajectory. To do so, we can solve (54) for λ to obtain $\lambda(\varphi)$ which becomes

$$\lambda(\varphi) = -\beta - \frac{\chi p_\varphi}{c_2} \tan(\chi(\varphi + \alpha)), \quad (56)$$

with χ , a new constant, defined as

$$\chi \equiv \frac{\sqrt{c_2^2(n^2 c_2 - c_1)}}{p_\varphi c_2}. \quad (57)$$

Substitution of (56) into (51), we find

$$r(\varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{\sin^2(\chi(\varphi + \alpha)) n^2 c_2 - c_1}{c_2 \cos^2(\chi(\varphi + \alpha))}}. \quad (58)$$

Looking at trajectory's, we find that the singularity acts as a photon mirror, with photons regardless of energy cannot hit the singularity for ϵ in $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ as long as $p_\varphi \neq 0$. For massive particles, we find that they do hit the singularity for a certain value of λ . This can be found by solving (51) for λ , when it equals the location of the singularity, to find

$$r(\lambda) = n\sqrt{\frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon}} \Rightarrow \lambda = \pm\sqrt{\frac{m^2n^2 - p_\varphi^2\epsilon}{\epsilon(m^2 + \epsilon p_t^2)}} - \beta. \quad (59)$$

When $m = 0$ we note that the square root always is imaginary, unless $p_\varphi = 0$ showing no photon orbits cross the singularity unless sent with no angular momentum. Massive particles will hit the singularity if $m^2n^2 > \epsilon p_\varphi^2$. Once $\epsilon \geq 1$ we have no singularity, however, orbits still acts strange. All orbits are still pulled into the origin if $c_1 \geq 0$, or reflected if $c_1 < 0$ for both massive and massless particles, and we never see orbits go around the origin. When $\epsilon < 0$ we have the added condition that $m^2 > -\epsilon p_t^2$ for trajectory's to exist, telling us no photon trajectory's exist in negative ϵ spacetimes. Furthermore for massive particles the condition for c_1 remains the same and all trajectory's exhibit reflective nature from the origin. Further research is needed to be undertaken to know if this is due to $f(T)$ theory, the inverse nature of torsion in the functional $f(T)$ form, or a mixed property of wither and the NUT charge.

V. CONCLUSION

Inspired by the existence of self-dual geometries in Einstein gravity, we construct exact analytical solutions to the $f(T)$ gravity theory, resembling the Taub-NUT solutions. In this regard, we consider the field equations of $f(T)$ gravity with an unknown $f(T)$ function. We solve analytically, without any approximations, all the field equations to find the exact solutions. To our knowledge, this is the first construction of the Taub-NUT solutions in $f(T)$ gravity with non-zero torsion. We discuss the physical properties of the solution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Bardia H. Fahim for discussions. This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

-
- [1] G. G. L. Nashed and S. Nojiri. Nontrivial black hole solutions in $f(R)$ gravitational theory. *Phys. Rev. D*, 102:124022, Dec 2020.
 - [2] M. Farasat Shamir and I. Fayyaz. Traversable wormhole solutions in $f(R)$ gravity via karmarkar condition. *The European Physical Journal C*, 80(12), December 2020.
 - [3] V. K. Oikonomou. Rescaled einstein-hilbert gravity from $f(R)$ gravity: Inflation, dark energy, and the swampland criteria. *Phys. Rev. D*, 103:124028, Jun 2021.
 - [4] Ernesto F. Eiroa and Griselda Figueroa-Aguirre. Thin shells in (2+1)-dimensional $F(R)$ gravity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 103:044011, Feb 2021.
 - [5] Júnior D. Toniato and Davi C. Rodrigues. Post-newtonian γ -like parameters and the gravitational slip in scalar-tensor and $f(R)$ theories. *Phys. Rev. D*, 104:044020, Aug 2021.
 - [6] Hina Azmat and M Zubair. An anisotropic version of tolmán vii solution in $f(R, T)$ gravity via gravitational decoupling mgd approach. *The European Physical Journal Plus*, 136(1):112, 2021.
 - [7] Siwaphiwe Jokweni, Vijay Singh, and Aroonkumar Beesham. Lrs bianchi i model with bulk viscosity in gravity. *Gravitation and Cosmology*, 27(2):169–177, 2021.
 - [8] Mariaveronica De Angelis, Laria Figurato, and Giovanni Montani. Quantum dynamics of the isotropic universe in metric $f(R)$ gravity. *Physical Review D*, 104(2):024054, 2021.
 - [9] Isabela S Matos, Maurício O Calvão, and Ioav Waga. Gravitational wave propagation in $f(R)$ models: New parametrizations and observational constraints. *Physical Review D*, 103(10):104059, 2021.
 - [10] GGL Nashed and Emmanuel N Saridakis. New rotating black holes in nonlinear maxwell $f(R)$ gravity. *Physical Review D*, 102(12):124072, 2020.
 - [11] Harry Desmond and Pedro G Ferreira. Galaxy morphology rules out astrophysically relevant hu-sawicki $f(R)$ gravity. *Physical Review D*, 102(10):104060, 2020.

- [12] Juan MZ Pretel, Sergio E Jorás, and Ribamar RR Reis. Strongest constraint in $f(R) = R + \alpha R^2$ gravity: stellar stability. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2020(11):048, 2020.
- [13] Areef Waeming and Phongpichit Channuie. Inflation from $f(R)$ theories in gravity’s rainbow. *The European Physical Journal C*, 80:1–20, 2020.
- [14] Özcan Sert and Fatma Çeliktas. Noether symmetry approach to the non-minimally coupled $Y(R)F^2$ gravity. *The European Physical Journal C*, 80(7):653, 2020.
- [15] Takol Tangphati, Auttakit Chatrabhuti, Daris Samart, and Phongpichit Channuie. Traversable wormholes in $f(R)$ massive gravity. *Physical Review D*, 102(8):084026, 2020.
- [16] Andronikos Paliathanasis. Similarity solutions for the wheeler–dewitt equation in $f(R)$ -cosmology. *The European Physical Journal C*, 79(12):1031, 2019.
- [17] Nareg Mirzaturyan and Elena Pierpaoli. An accurate fitting function for scale-dependent growth rate in hu-sawicki $f(R)$ gravity. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2019(09):066, 2019.
- [18] Alexey Golovnev and María-José Guzmán. Approaches to spherically symmetric solutions in $f(T)$ gravity. *Universe*, 7(5), 2021.
- [19] Franco Fiorini and Martín Onetto. Matching tetrads in $f(T)$ gravity. *Physical Review D*, 103(8):084051, 2021.
- [20] Xin Ren, Thomas Hong Tsun Wong, Yi-Fu Cai, and Emmanuel N Saridakis. Data-driven reconstruction of the late-time cosmic acceleration with $f(T)$ gravity. *Physics of the Dark Universe*, 32:100812, 2021.
- [21] Alexey Golovnev and María-José Guzmán. Foundational issues in $f(T)$ gravity theory. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*, 18(supp01):2140007, 2021.
- [22] Mustapha Azreg-Ainou. On ‘rotating charged ads solutions in quadratic $f(T)$ gravity’: new rotating solutions. *The European Physical Journal C*, 80:1–5, 2020.
- [23] AM Awad, GGL Nashed, and W El Hanafy. Rotating charged ads solutions in quadratic $f(T)$ gravity. *The European Physical Journal C*, 79:1–8, 2019.
- [24] Milutin Blagojević and James M Nester. Local symmetries and physical degrees of freedom in $f(T)$ gravity: A dirac-hamiltonian constraint analysis. *Physical Review D*, 102(6):064025, 2020.
- [25] Alexey Golovnev and María-José Guzmán. Bianchi identities in $f(T)$ gravity: Paving the way to confrontation with astrophysics. *Physics Letters B*, 810:135806, 2020.
- [26] Rafael C Nunes, Márcio ES Alves, and José CN De Araujo. Forecast constraints on $f(T)$ gravity with gravitational waves from compact binary coalescences. *Physical Review D*, 100(6):064012, 2019.
- [27] Rafael C Nunes, Supriya Pan, and Emmanuel N Saridakis. New observational constraints on $f(T)$ gravity through gravitational-wave astronomy. *Physical Review D*, 98(10):104055, 2018.
- [28] Fabio D’Ambrosio, Shaun DB Fell, Lavinia Heisenberg, and Simon Kuhn. Black holes in $f(Q)$ gravity. *Physical Review D*, 105(2):024042, 2022.
- [29] Ismael Ayuso, Ruth Lazkoz, and Vincenzo Salzano. Observational constraints on cosmological solutions of $f(Q)$ theories. *Physical review d*, 103(6):063505, 2021.
- [30] Sanjay Mandal, Deng Wang, and PK Sahoo. Cosmography in $f(Q)$ gravity. *Physical Review D*, 102(12):124029, 2020.
- [31] Sanjay Mandal, PK Sahoo, and Joao RL Santos. Energy conditions in $f(Q)$ gravity. *Physical Review D*, 102(2):024057, 2020.
- [32] Ruth Lazkoz, Francisco SN Lobo, María Ortiz-Baños, and Vincenzo Salzano. Observational constraints of $f(Q)$ gravity. *Physical Review D*, 100(10):104027, 2019.
- [33] Prabir Rudra and Kinsuk Giri. Observational constraint in $f(R, T)$ gravity from the cosmic chronometers and some standard distance measurement parameters. *Nuclear Physics B*, 967:115428, 2021.
- [34] Snehasish Bhattacharjee and PK Sahoo. Comprehensive analysis of a non-singular bounce in $f(R, T)$ gravitation. *Physics of the Dark Universe*, 28:100537, 2020.
- [35] Snehasish Bhattacharjee and PK Sahoo. Big bang nucleosynthesis and entropy evolution in $f(R, T)$ gravity. *The European Physical Journal Plus*, 135(4):350, 2020.
- [36] Umesh Kumar Sharma. Reconstruction of quintessence field for the thde with swampland correspondence in $f(R, T)$ gravity. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*, 18(02):2150031, 2021.
- [37] Suparna Biswas, Dibyendu Shee, BK Guha, and Saibal Ray. Anisotropic strange star with tolman–kuchowicz metric under $f(R, T)$ gravity. *The European Physical Journal C*, 80(2):175, 2020.
- [38] Snehasish Bhattacharjee, JRL Santos, PHRS Moraes, and PK Sahoo. Inflation in $f(R, T)$ gravity. *The European Physical Journal Plus*, 135(7):576, 2020.
- [39] Juan MZ Pretel, Sergio E Jorás, Ribamar RR Reis, and José DV Arbañil. Radial oscillations and stability of compact stars in $f(R, T) = R + 2\beta T$ gravity. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2021(04):064, 2021.
- [40] João Luís Rosa. Junction conditions and thin shells in perfect-fluid $f(R, T)$ gravity. *Physical Review D*, 103(10):104069, 2021.
- [41] Pramit Rej, Piyali Bhar, and Megan Govender. Charged compact star in $f(R, T)$ gravity in tolman–kuchowicz spacetime. *The European Physical Journal C*, 81(4):316, 2021.
- [42] Piyali Bhar and Pramit Rej. Stable and self-consistent charged gravastar model within the framework of $f(R, T)$ gravity. *The European Physical Journal C*, 81(8):1–17, 2021.
- [43] Canisius Bernard and Masoud Ghezelbash. Hidden conformal symmetry of the rotating charged ads black holes in quadratic $f(T)$ gravity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 101:024020, Jan 2020.
- [44] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking. Gravitational multi-instantons. *Physics Letters B*, 78(4):430–432, 1978.
- [45] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, J.A. Wheeler, and D.I. Kaiser. *Gravitation*. Princeton University Press, 2017.

- [46] R. Aldrovandi and J.G. Pereira. *Teleparallel Gravity: An Introduction*. Fundamental Theories of Physics. Springer Netherlands, 2012.
- [47] Martin Krššák and Emmanuel N Saridakis. The covariant formulation of f(T) gravity. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 33(11):115009, apr 2016.
- [48] Martin Krššák. Holographic renormalization in teleparallel gravity. *The European Physical Journal C*, 77:1–5, 2017.
- [49] Laur Järv, Manuel Hohmann, Martin Krššák, and Christian Pfeifer. Flat connection for rotating vacuum spacetimes in extended teleparallel gravity theories. *Universe*, 5(6), 2019.
- [50] Manuel Hohmann, Laur Järv, and Ulbossyn Ualikhanova. Covariant formulation of scalar-torsion gravity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 97:104011, May 2018.
- [51] A. H. Taub. Empty space-times admitting a three parameter group of motions. *Annals of Mathematics*, 53(3):472–490, 1951.
- [52] E. Newman, L. Tamburino, and T. Unti. Empty-Space Generalization of the Schwarzschild Metric. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 4(7):915–923, 12 2004.
- [53] Jerry B Griffiths and Jiří Podolský. *Exact space-times in Einstein's general relativity*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [54] Sebastian Bahamonde, Jorge Gigante Valcarcel, Laur Järv, and Christian Pfeifer. Exploring axial symmetry in modified teleparallel gravity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 103:044058, Feb 2021.
- [55] R. Penrose. Gravitational collapse: The role of general relativity. *Riv. Nuovo Cim.*, 1:252–276, 1969.
- [56] Tomohiro Harada, Hideo Iguchi, Ken-ichi Nakao, TP Singh, Takahiro Tanaka, and Cenalo Vaz. Naked singularities and quantum gravity. *Physical Review D*, 64(4):041501, 2001.