# On the monoid of order-preserving transformations of a finite chain whose ranges are intervals

Vítor H. Fernandes<sup>\*</sup>

July 9, 2024

#### Abstract

In this note we give a presentation for the monoid  $\mathcal{IO}_n$  of all order-preserving transformations of a *n*-chain whose ranges are intervals. We also consider the submonoid  $\mathcal{IO}_n^-$  of  $\mathcal{IO}_n$  consisting of order-decreasing transformations, for which we determine the cardinality, the rank and a presentation.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Omega_n = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{T}_n$  the monoid (under composition) of all full transformations of  $\Omega_n$ . From now on, we consider  $\Omega_n$  equipped with the usual linear order  $1 < \cdots < n$ . Recall that a transformation  $\alpha$  of  $\Omega_n$  is said to be *order-preserving* if  $x \leq y$  implies  $x\alpha \leq y\alpha$ , for all  $x, y \in \Omega_n$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_n$  the submonoid of  $\mathfrak{T}_n$  of all order-preserving transformations.

Semigroups of order-preserving transformations have been studied extensively for over six decades. The oldest papers known to the author date back to 1962, are by Aĭzenštat [1, 2] and give a presentation and a description of the congruences of  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . After that and up to the present day, many other papers have been published studying various properties of  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . See, for example, [3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14]. Nonetheless, these semigroups still reveal some mystery. The problem of decidability of the pseudovariety generated by the family  $\mathcal{O}_n$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , proposed by J.-E. Pin in the "Szeged International Semigroup Colloquium" (1987), is perhaps the oldest and most important that remains to be solved.

The main object of this work is the submonoid  $\mathcal{IO}_n$  of  $\mathcal{O}_n$  consisting of all transformations  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n$  such that the image of  $\alpha$  is an interval of  $\Omega_n$ , i.e.  $x \leq y \leq z$  and  $x, z \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$  imply  $y \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in \Omega_n$ .

The monoid  $\mathcal{IO}_n$  is not only a very natural submonoid of  $\mathcal{O}_n$  but also coincides with the monoid of all *weak* endomorphisms of a directed path with *n* vertices. See [5]. In the aforementioned paper, the authors studied the regularity, determined the rank, the cardinality and the number of idempotents of  $\mathcal{IO}_n$  (denoted there by wEnd $\vec{P}_n$ ). In this note, our main aim is to exhibit a presentation for  $\mathcal{IO}_n$ . We also consider its submonoids  $\mathcal{IO}_n^-$  consisting of order-decreasing transformations and  $\mathcal{IO}_n^+$  consisting of order-increasing transformations, for which we determine the cardinalities, the ranks and presentations.

Recall that the rank of a (finite) monoid M is the minimum size that a generating set of M can have. Next, we also recall some notions related to the concept of a monoid presentation.

Let A be an alphabet and consider the free monoid  $A^*$  generated by A. The elements of A and of  $A^*$  are called *letters* and *words*, respectively. The empty word is denoted by 1 and we write  $A^+$  to express  $A^* \setminus \{1\}$ . The number of letters of a word  $w \in A^*$  is called the *length* of w and it is denoted by |w|. A pair (u, v) of  $A^* \times A^*$  is called a *relation* of  $A^*$  and it is usually represented by u = v. A monoid presentation is an ordered

<sup>\*</sup>This work is funded by national funds through the FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the scope of the projects UIDB/00297/2020 (https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/00297/2020) and UIDP/00297/2020 (https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/00297/2020) (Center for Mathematics and Applications).

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M20, 20M05, 20M10.

Keywords: order-preserving, order-decreasing, transformations, presentations.

pair  $\langle A \mid R \rangle$ , where  $R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$  is a set of relations of the free monoid  $A^*$ . Denote by  $\sim_R$  the smallest congruence on  $A^*$  containing R. A monoid M is said to be *defined by a presentation*  $\langle A \mid R \rangle$  if M is isomorphic to  $A^*/\sim_R$ . Let X be a generating set of a monoid M and let  $\phi: A \longrightarrow M$  be an injective mapping such that  $A\phi = X$ . Let  $\varphi: A^* \longrightarrow M$  be the (surjective) homomorphism of monoids that extends  $\phi$  to  $A^*$ . We say that X satisfies (via  $\varphi$ ) a relation u = v of  $A^*$  if  $u\varphi = v\varphi$ . For more details see [13].

Remember that, a usual method to find a presentation for a finite monoid is described by the following result (adapted to the monoid case from [13, Proposition 3.2.2]).

**Proposition 1.1** (Guess and Prove method). Let M be a finite monoid generated by a set X, let A be an alphabet and let  $\phi : A \longrightarrow M$  be an injective mapping such that  $A\phi = X$ . Let  $\varphi : A^* \longrightarrow M$  be the (surjective) homomorphism of monoids that extends  $\phi$  to  $A^*$ , let  $R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$  and  $W \subseteq A^*$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. The generating set X of M satisfies (via  $\varphi$ ) all relations from R;
- 2. For each word  $w \in X^*$ , there exists a word  $w' \in W$  such that  $w \sim_R w'$ ;
- 3.  $|W| \leq |M|$ .

Then, M is defined by the presentation  $\langle A \mid R \rangle$ .

Notice that, if W satisfies the above conditions then, in fact, |W| = |M|.

For general background on Semigroup Theory and standard notation, we refer the reader to Howie's book [11]. We would also like to mention the use of computational tools, namely GAP [7].

#### 2 Preliminaries

We start this section by recalling the cardinality, the rank and generators of  $\mathcal{IO}_n$  that have been established in [5]. By [5, Theorem 2.6], we have  $|\mathcal{IO}_n| = \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k+1) \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ , whence

$$|\mathfrak{IO}_n| = (n+1)2^{n-2}.$$

Next, for  $n \ge 2$ , define

$$a_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & \cdots & i & i & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad b_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & i+1 & i+1 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix},$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Clearly,  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1} \in \mathcal{IO}_n$ . Moreover, by [5, Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.5], for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, b_{n-1}\}$  is a generating set of  $\mathcal{IO}_n$  of minimum size. In particular, for  $n \geq 3$ , the monoid  $\mathcal{IO}_n$  has rank n-1. Notice that  $\mathcal{IO}_1 = \mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{IO}_2 = \{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\}$  and so, clearly,  $\mathcal{IO}_1$  has rank 0 and  $\mathcal{IO}_2$  has rank 2.

Now, observe that, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ , we have

$$a_{i}a_{j} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & \cdots & i & i & \cdots & j & j & \cdots & n-2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & j & j+1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & \cdots & j & j & \cdots & i-1 & i-1 & \cdots & n-2 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i \leq j, \\ a_{i}b_{j} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & i+1 & i+1 & \cdots & j+1 & j+1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i \leq j, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & j & j+1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 2 & \cdots & j+1 & j+1 & \cdots & i & i & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i \geq j, \end{cases}$$

$$b_i b_j = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & j & j+1 & \cdots & n \\ 3 & \cdots & i+2 & i+2 & \cdots & j+1 & j+1 & \cdots & n \\ (1 & \cdots & j-1 & j & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 3 & \cdots & j+1 & j+1 & \cdots & i+1 & i+1 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i \ge j \end{cases}$$

and

$$b_{i}a_{j} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & j & j+1 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & i+1 & i+1 & \cdots & j & j & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i < j \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & j-1 & j & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & j & j & \cdots & i & i & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i \ge j, \end{cases}$$

from which we can deduce the equalities

$$a_i a_{n-1} = a_i = b_i a_1$$
 and  $b_i b_1 = b_i = a_i b_{n-1}$ , for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ , (1)

$$a_i a_j = a_{j+1} a_i$$
 and  $b_i b_{j+1} = b_{j+1} b_{i+1}$ , for  $1 \le i \le j \le n-2$  (2)

and

$$b_i a_j = a_i b_{j-1} = b_j a_{i+1} = a_j b_i$$
, for  $1 \le i < j \le n-1$ . (3)

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}\}$  and  $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}\}$ . Consider the alphabet  $A \cup B$  with 2n - 2 letters and the set R formed by the following  $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2 - n - 2)$  monoid relations:

 $\begin{array}{l} (R_1) \ a_i a_{n-1} = a_i, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1; \\ (R_2) \ a_i a_j = a_{j+1} a_i, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n-2; \\ (R_3) \ b_i b_1 = b_i, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1; \\ (R_4) \ b_i b_{j+1} = b_{j+1} b_{i+1}, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n-2; \\ (R_5) \ b_i a_j = a_j b_i, \ 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n-1; \\ (R_6) \ b_i a_1 = a_i, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1; \\ (R_7) \ a_i b_{n-1} = b_i, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1. \end{array}$ 

Our main aim in this note is to show that  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$  is defined by the presentation  $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1} | R \rangle$ . Let  $\varphi : (A \cup B)^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{IO}_n$  be the homomorphism of monoids that extends the mapping  $A \cup B \longrightarrow \mathfrak{IO}_n$  defined by  $a_i \mapsto a_i$  and  $b_i \mapsto b_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Therefore, taking into account the above equalities (1)-(3), we can already conclude that the generating set  $A \cup B$  of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$  satisfies (via  $\varphi$ ) all relations from R.

#### **3** Decreasing and increasing transformations

Let us consider the following submonoids of  $\mathcal{T}_n$ :

 $\mathfrak{T}_n^- = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{T}_n \mid x\alpha \leq x, \text{ for all } x \in \Omega_n \} \text{ (order-decreasing transformations of } \Omega_n)$ 

and

 $\mathfrak{T}_n^+ = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{T}_n \mid x \leqslant x\alpha, \text{ for all } x \in \Omega_n \} \text{ (order-increasing transformations of } \Omega_n).$ 

Consider also the mapping  $\varphi : \mathfrak{T}_n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_n$  which maps each transformation  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{T}_n$  into the transformation  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{T}_n$  defined by  $(x)\bar{\alpha} = n + 1 - (n + 1 - x)\alpha$ , for all  $x \in \Omega_n$ . It is a routine matter to check that  $\varphi$  is an automorphism of monoids such that  $\varphi^2$  is the identity mapping of  $\mathfrak{T}_n, \mathfrak{T}_n^-\varphi \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_n^+, \mathfrak{T}_n^+\varphi \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_n^-$  and  $\mathfrak{IO}_n\varphi \subseteq \mathfrak{IO}_n$ . Consequently,

$$\mathfrak{T}_n^-\varphi=\mathfrak{T}_n^+,\quad \mathfrak{T}_n^+\varphi=\mathfrak{T}_n^-\quad \text{and}\quad \mathfrak{IO}_n\varphi=\mathfrak{IO}_n$$

Now, consider the submonoids  $\mathcal{IO}_n^- = \mathcal{IO}_n \cap \mathcal{T}_n^-$  and  $\mathcal{IO}_n^+ = \mathcal{IO}_n \cap \mathcal{T}_n^+$  of  $\mathcal{IO}_n$ . It follows immediately from the previous properties that

$$\mathfrak{IO}_n^-\varphi = \mathfrak{IO}_n^+$$
 and  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^+\varphi = \mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ .

Therefore,  $\mathcal{IO}_n^-$  and  $\mathcal{IO}_n^+$  are isomorphic submonoids of  $\mathcal{IO}_n$ .

Next, observe that  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{JO}_n^-$  and  $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1} \in \mathbb{JO}_n^+$ . Moreover,  $\bar{a}_i = b_{n-i}$  and  $\bar{b}_i = a_{n-i}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

The following property gives a characterization of  $\mathcal{IO}_n^-$  that allows us to easily count its number of elements.

**Proposition 3.1.** For  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\mathbb{JO}_n^- = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{JO}_n \mid \mathrm{Im}(\alpha) = \{1, \ldots, k\}, \text{ for some } 1 \le k \le n \}.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ . Then,  $1\alpha = 1$  and so  $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha) = \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

Conversely, let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n$  be such that  $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha) = \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then,  $1\alpha = \min \operatorname{Im}(\alpha) = 1$ . Assume by induction hypothesis that  $t\alpha \leq t$ , for (a fixed)  $1 \leq t < n$ . By contradiction, suppose that  $(t+1)\alpha > t+1$ . Then,  $t\alpha \leq t < t+1 < (t+1)\alpha \leq k$ , whence  $t+1 \in \operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$  and so  $t+1 = x\alpha$ , for some  $x \in \Omega_n$ . If  $x \leq t$ , then  $t+1 = x\alpha \leq t\alpha \leq t$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $t+1 \leq x$  and so  $t+1 < (t+1)\alpha \leq x\alpha = t+1$ , which is again a contradiction. So,  $(t+1)\alpha \leq t+1$ . Thus,  $t\alpha \leq t$ , for all  $t \in \Omega_n$ , and so  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ , as required.

Of course, from the last proposition and the equality  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^+ = \mathfrak{IO}_n^-\varphi$ , it follows that

$$\mathfrak{IO}_n^+ = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n \mid \operatorname{Im}(\alpha) = \{k, \dots, n\}, \text{ for some } 1 \leq k \leq n \}.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** For  $n \ge 1$ ,  $|\operatorname{JO}_n^-| = |\operatorname{JO}_n^+| = 2^{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . In view of Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n^- \mid |\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)| = k\} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n^- \mid \operatorname{Im}(\alpha) = \{1, \dots, k\}\}.$$

Then, for  $\mathcal{IO}_n^-$ , we can adapt the proof of [5, Theorem 1.5] for  $\mathcal{IO}_n$ , taking into account that instead of n-k+1 possibilities for images with k elements, we only have one possibility. In this way, we get

$$|\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n^- \mid |\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)| = k\} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

Thus,  $|\mathbb{IO}_n^-| = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n-1}{k} = 2^{n-1}$ , as required.

Now, observe that  $\mathcal{IO}_1^- = \mathcal{IO}_1 = \mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{IO}_2^- = \{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\}$ . Then, clearly,  $\mathcal{IO}_1^-$  has rank 0 and  $\mathcal{IO}_2^-$  has rank 1. More generally, in what follows, for  $n \ge 1$ , we aim to show that A is a generating set with minimum size of the monoid  $\mathcal{IO}_n^-$ .

First, notice that  $\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n^- \mid |\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)| = n - 1\} = A$  and, of course, like for  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$ , the group of units of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$  is trivial. On the other hand, we have:

**Lemma 3.3.** For  $n \ge 3$ , let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n^-$  be such that  $|\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)| = k$ , for some  $1 \le k \le n-2$ . Then, there exist  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathfrak{IO}_n^-$  such that  $|\operatorname{Im}(\gamma_1)| = |\operatorname{Im}(\gamma_2)| = k+1$  and  $\alpha = \gamma_1 \gamma_2$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to observe that in the proof of [5, Lemma 3.2], for an element  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ , we must have j = 0 and thus the transformations  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  there defined also belong to  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ .

Therefore, by a simple inductive reasoning, we can conclude that  $\mathcal{IO}_n^-$  is generated by A. In addition, by observing that  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$  are the only elements of  $\mathcal{IO}_n^-$  with images of size n-1 and their kernels are pairwise distinct, it is immediate to deduce that  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$  are undecomposable elements (i.e. they are not a product of two elements distinct from themselves) of  $\mathcal{IO}_n^-$ , and so they must belong to any generating set of  $\mathcal{IO}_n^-$ . Thus, we get:

**Theorem 3.4.** For  $n \ge 1$ , A is a generating set with minimum size of the monoid  $\Im O_n^-$ . In particular, the monoid  $\Im O_n^-$  has rank n-1.

Since  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^+ = \mathfrak{IO}_n^-\varphi$  and  $\{b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}\} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}\}\varphi$ , we also get that B is a generating set with minimum size of the monoid  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^+$ .

We finish this section by exhibiting a presentation for  $\mathbb{JO}_n^-.$ 

Let  $R^-$  be the set of  $\frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)$  relations  $R_1 \cup R_2$ , considered on the alphabet A. Let  $\varphi^- : A^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{IO}_n$  be the homomorphism of monoids that extends the mapping  $A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{IO}_n^-$  defined by  $a_i \mapsto a_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Clearly, all relations from  $R^-$  are satisfied (via  $\varphi^-$ ) by the generators A of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ .

Let

$$W^{-} = \{ a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} \in A^* \mid 0 \le k \le n-1 \text{ and } 1 \le i_k < \cdots < i_1 \le n-1 \}.$$

It is clear that  $|W^-| = 2^{n-1}$  (as there is an obvious bijection between  $W^-$  and the power set of  $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ ) and  $W^-$  contains the empty word (taking k = 0) and A. Moreover, we have:

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $w \in A^*$ . Then, there exists a word  $w' \in W^-$  such that  $|w'| \leq |w|$  and  $w \sim_{R^-} w'$ .

*Proof.* Let us denote  $\sim_{R^-}$  simply by  $\sim$ .

If |w| = 1, then  $w \in W^-$ .

Next, suppose that  $w = a_{j_1}a_{j_2}$ , with  $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq n-1$ . If  $j_2 < j_1$ , then  $w \in W^-$ . So, suppose that  $j_1 \leq j_2$ . If  $j_2 = n-1$ , then  $w = a_{j_1}a_{n-1} \sim a_{j_1} \in W^-$ . On the other hand, if  $j_2 \leq n-2$ , then  $w = a_{j_1}a_{j_2} \sim a_{j_2+1}a_{j_1} \in W^-$ , since  $j_1 < j_2 + 1$ .

Now, assume by induction hypothesis that the property is valid for words  $w \in A^*$  of length less than or equal to  $\ell$ , for a given (fixed)  $\ell \ge 2$ .

Let  $w = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_\ell} a_{j_{\ell+1}}$ , for some  $1 \leq j_1, \ldots, j_{\ell+1} \leq n-1$ . Then, by induction hypothesis,  $w \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} a_{j_{\ell+1}}$ , with  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$  and  $1 \leq i_k < \cdots < i_1 \leq n-1$ .

If  $j_{\ell+1} = n-1$ , then  $w \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} \in W^-$ . If  $j_{\ell+1} < i_k$ , then  $w \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} a_{j_{\ell+1}} \in W^-$ . So, suppose that  $i_k \leq j_{\ell+1} < n-1$ . Hence, we have

$$w \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} a_{j_{\ell+1}} \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{k-1}} a_{j_{\ell+1}+1} a_{i_k} \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{k-t}} a_{j_{\ell+1}+t} a_{i_{k-t+1}} \cdots a_{i_k},$$

for all  $1 \leq t \leq k$  such that  $i_{k-t+1} \leq j_{\ell+1} + t - 1 \leq n-2$ . Let  $t = \max\{1 \leq t \leq k \mid i_{k-t+1} \leq j_{\ell+1} + t - 1 \leq n-2\}$ . If t = k (i.e.  $i_1 \leq j_{\ell+1} + k - 1 \leq n-2$ ), then  $w \sim a_{j_{\ell+1}+k}a_{i_1}\cdots a_{i_k} \in W^-$ , since  $j_{\ell+1} + k > i_1$ . Therefore, suppose that t < k. In this case, we have  $j_{\ell+1} + t < i_{k-t}$  or  $j_{\ell+1} + t = n-1$ . If  $j_{\ell+1} + t = n-1$ , then  $w \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{k-t}}a_{i_{k-t+1}} \cdots a_{i_k} \in W^-$ . On the other hand, if  $j_{\ell+1}+t < i_{k-t}$ , then  $w \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{k-t}}a_{j_{\ell+1}+t}a_{i_{k-t+1}} \cdots a_{i_k} \in W^-$ , since  $1 \leq i_k < \cdots < i_{k-t+1} < j_{\ell+1} + t < i_{k-t} < \cdots < i_1 \leq n-1$ .

We finish this proof by observing that, in each case, we obtained  $w \sim w' \in W^-$  with  $|w'| \leq k+1 \leq \ell+1 = |w|$ , as required.

At this moment, we gather all the ingredients to, given Proposition 1.1, conclude:

**Theorem 3.6.** For  $n \ge 1$ , the monoid  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$  is defined by the presentation  $\langle A \mid R^- \rangle$  on n-1 generators and  $\frac{1}{2}(n^2-n)$  relations.

Since  $\mathbb{JO}_n^+ = \mathbb{JO}_n^- \varphi$  and  $\bar{a}_i = b_{n-i}$  and  $\bar{b}_i = a_{n-i}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , being  $R^+ = R_3 \cup R_4$ , we can also conclude that the monoid  $\mathbb{JO}_n^+$  is defined by the presentation  $\langle B | R^+ \rangle$  on n-1 generators and  $\frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)$  relations.

For reference below, observe also that, a property for  $\langle B \mid R^+ \rangle$  analogous to Lemma 3.5 is also valid: let

$$W^+ = \{ b_{j_1} \cdots b_{j_\ell} \in B^* \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq n-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_\ell \leq n-1 \}$$

Then, we have:

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $w \in B^*$ . Then, there exists a word  $w' \in W^+$  such that  $|w'| \leq |w|$  and  $w \sim_{R^+} w'$ .

### 4 Main result

In this section, we prove that the presentation  $\langle A \cup B | R \rangle$  defines the monoid  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$  and, by means of substitutions (*Titze transformations*), we also deduce another presentation for  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$  in terms of the minimal generators  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, b_{n-1}$ .

First, we set forth a series of lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** For  $2 \leq j \leq i \leq n - 1$ ,  $b_i a_j \sim_R a_{j-1} b_{i-1}$ .

*Proof.* Let us denote  $\sim_R$  simply by  $\sim$ . Then, we have

$$b_i a_j \sim b_i b_j a_1 \sim b_{j-1} b_i a_1 \sim b_{j-1} a_i \sim a_i b_{j-1} \sim a_i a_{j-1} b_{n-1} \sim a_{j-1} a_{i-1} b_{n-1} \sim a_{j-1} b_{i-1},$$

as required.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $u \in A^*$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Then, there exist  $v \in A^*$  and  $1 \leq k \leq i \leq n-1$  such that  $b_i u \sim_R v$  or  $b_i u \sim_R v b_k$ .

*Proof.* For |u| = 0, the lemma is immediate. So, assume by induction hypothesis that the lemma is valid for words  $u \in A^*$  of length equal to  $\ell$ , for a given (fixed)  $\ell \ge 0$ . Let  $u' \in A^*$  be such that  $|u'| = \ell + 1$ . Then,  $u' = ua_j$ , for some  $1 \le j \le n - 1$  and  $u \in A^*$  such that  $|u| = \ell$ . Hence, by induction hypothesis, there exist  $v \in A^*$  and  $1 \le k \le i \le n - 1$  such that  $b_i u \sim_R v$  or  $b_i u \sim_R v b_k$ . If  $b_i u \sim_R v$ , then  $b_i u' = b_i ua_j \sim_R v a_j \in A^*$ . On the other hand, if  $b_i u \sim_R v b_k$ , then

$$b_i u' = b_i u a_j \sim_R v b_k a_j \sim_R \begin{cases} v a_j b_k & \text{if } 1 \leqslant k < j \leqslant n-1 \\ v a_{j-1} b_{k-1} & \text{if } 2 \leqslant j \leqslant k \leqslant n-1 \\ v a_k & \text{if } j = 1, \end{cases}$$

as required.

Since we can write any word  $w \in (A \cup B)^*$  in the form

$$w = u_0 b_{i_1} u_1 \cdots b_{i_k} u_k$$

with  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k \leq n-1$ ,  $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k \in A^*$  and  $k \geq 0$ , by Lemma 4.2, it is easy to conclude:

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $w \in (A \cup B)^*$ . Then, there exist  $u \in A^*$  and  $v \in B^*$  such that  $w \sim_R uv$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $v \in B^+$ . Then, there exist  $u \in A^+$  and  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$  such that  $v \sim_R ub_{n-1}^{\ell}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.7, we can take  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_\ell \leq n-1$  such that  $v \sim_{R^+} b_{j_1} \cdots b_{j_\ell}$ . Then, denoting  $\sim_R$  simply by  $\sim$ , we have

$$v \sim b_{j_1} b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_{\ell}} \sim a_{j_1} b_{n-1} b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_{\ell}} \sim a_{j_1} b_{j_2-1} \cdots b_{j_{\ell}-1} b_{n-1} \sim a_{j_1} a_{j_2-1} b_{n-1} b_{j_3-1} \cdots b_{j_{\ell}-1} b_{n-1} \sim a_{j_1} a_{j_2-1} b_{j_3-2} \cdots b_{j_{\ell}-2} b_{n-1}^2 \sim \cdots \sim a_{j_1} a_{j_2-1} a_{j_3-2} \cdots a_{j_{\ell}-\ell+1} b_{n-1}^{\ell},$$

as required.

**Lemma 4.5.** For  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$  and  $n-\ell \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $a_i b_{n-1}^{\ell} \sim_R b_{n-1}^{\ell}$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $\sim_R$  simply by  $\sim$ . If  $\ell = 1$ , then i = n - 1 and we immediately have  $a_{n-1}b_{n-1} \sim b_{n-1}$ . So, suppose that  $\ell \ge 2$ . Then, we get

$$a_i b_{n-1}^{\ell} = a_i b_{n-1} b_{n-1}^{\ell-1} \sim b_i b_{n-1}^{\ell-1} = b_i b_{n-1} b_{n-1}^{\ell-2} \sim b_{n-1} b_{i+1} b_{n-1}^{\ell-2} \sim \cdots \sim b_{n-1}^t b_{i+1} b_{n-1}^{\ell-t-1},$$

for  $1 \leq t \leq n - i - 1$  (notice that  $n - \ell \leq i$  implies that  $t \leq \ell - 1$ ). In particular,

$$a_i b_{n-1}^{\ell} \sim b_{n-1}^{n-i-1} b_{n-1} b_{n-1}^{\ell-n+i} = b_{n-1}^{\ell},$$

as required.

Now, for  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$ , let

$$W_{\ell} = \{a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^{\ell} \mid 0 \le k \le n-1 \text{ and } 1 \le i_k < \cdots < i_1 \le n-\ell+k-2\}.$$

Then, we have:

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $u \in A^*$  and  $v \in B^+$ . Then, there exist  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$  and  $w \in W_\ell$  such that  $uv \sim_R w$ .

Proof. Denote  $\sim_R$  simply by  $\sim$ . By Lemma 4.4, there exist  $u' \in A^+$  and  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$  such that  $v \sim u'b_{n-1}^{\ell}$ . Hence,  $uv \sim uu'b_{n-1}^{\ell}$ . Then, by Lemma 3.5, there exist  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq i_k < \cdots < i_1 \leq n-1$  such that  $uu' \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^{\ell}$ . Hence,  $uv \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^{\ell}$ .

If  $i_1 \leqslant n - \ell + k - 2$ , then  $uv \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^\ell \in W_\ell$ .

So, suppose that  $i_1 > n - \ell + k - 2$ . Then, it is easy to show that, there exists  $1 \leq t \leq k$  such that  $i_t > n - \ell + k - t - 1 > i_{t+1}$ , where (if t = k)  $i_{k+1} = -1$ . Next, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i_1}a_{i_2}\cdots a_{i_k} &\sim a_{i_2}a_{i_1-1}a_{i_3}\cdots a_{i_k} \sim a_{i_2}\cdots a_{i_k}a_{i_1-k+1} \sim a_{i_3}\cdots a_{i_k}a_{i_2-k+2}a_{i_1-k+1} \sim \\ &\sim \cdots \sim a_{i_{t+1}}\cdots a_{i_k}a_{i_t-k+t}a_{i_{t-1}-k+t-1}\cdots a_{i_1-k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

 $n - \ell \leq i_t - k + t \leq i_{t-1} - k + t - 1 \leq \cdots \leq i_1 - k + 1$ , since  $i_t > n - \ell + k - t - 1$  and  $i_t < \cdots < i_1$ , and  $i_{t+1} \leq n - \ell + (k - t) - 2$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.5, we have

$$uv \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^\ell \sim a_{i_{t+1}} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^\ell \in W_\ell,$$

as required.

Let  $W = W^- \cup W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_{n-1}$ . Therefore, as an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.3 and Lemmas 3.5 and 4.6, we obtain:

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $w \in (A \cup B)^*$ . Then, there exists  $w' \in W$  such that  $w \sim_R w'$ .

Now, observe that

$$|W| = |W^{-}| + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} |W_{\ell}| = 2^{n-1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{\min\{n-\ell+k-2, n-1\}}{k} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{\min\{n-\ell+k-2, n-1\}}{k}$$

and it is not difficult to show that  $\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} {\binom{\min\{n-\ell+k-2,n-1\}}{k}} = (n+1)2^{n-2}$ , whence  $|W| = |\mathcal{IO}_n|$ . Therefore, we are in a position to apply Proposition 1.1 to conclude our main result:

**Theorem 4.8.** For  $n \ge 1$ , the monoid  $\Im O_n$  is defined by the presentation  $\langle A \cup B | R \rangle$  on 2n-2 generators and  $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2 - n - 2)$  relations.

Taking into account the equalities  $a_{n-1} = b_{n-1}a_1$  and  $b_i = a_ib_{n-1}$ , for  $1 \le i \le n-2$ , by making these substitutions into the set of relations R and eliminating, from among those relations obtained, both the immediately trivial and the trivially deducible, we obtain the following set R' of  $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2 - 7n + 4)$  relations on the alphabet  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, b_{n-1}$ :

$$(R'_{2}) \ a_{i}a_{j} = a_{j+1}a_{i}, \ 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-3;$$

$$a_{i}a_{n-2} = b_{n-1}a_{1}a_{i}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n-2;$$

$$(R'_{4}) \ a_{i}b_{n-1}a_{j+1}b_{n-1} = a_{j+1}b_{n-1}a_{i+1}b_{n-1}, \ 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-3;$$

$$a_{i}b_{n-1}^{2} = b_{n-1}a_{i+1}b_{n-1}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n-3;$$

$$a_{n-2}b_{n-1}^{2} = b_{n-1}^{2};$$

 $(R'_5) a_i b_{n-1} a_j = a_j a_i b_{n-1}, \ 1 \le i < j \le n-2;$ 

$$a_i b_{n-1}^2 a_1 = b_{n-1} a_1 a_i b_{n-1}, \ 1 \le i \le n-2;$$

$$(R'_6) a_i b_{n-1} a_1 = a_i, \ 1 \le i \le n-2;$$

$$(R'_7) \ b_{n-1}a_1b_{n-1} = b_{n-1}.$$

Therefore, we also have:

**Corollary 4.9.** For  $n \ge 3$ , the monoid  $\Im O_n$  is defined by the presentation  $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, b_{n-1} | R' \rangle$  on n-1 generators and  $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2 - 7n + 4)$  relations.

# References

- A.Ya. Aĭzenštat, The defining relations of the endomorphism semigroup of a finite linearly ordered set, Sibirsk. Mat. 3 (1962), 161–169 (Russian).
- [2] A.Ya. Aĭzenštat, Homomorphisms of semigroups of endomorphisms of ordered sets, Uch. Zap., Leningr. Gos. Pedagog. Inst. 238 (1962), 38–48 (Russian).
- [3] V.H. Fernandes, Semigroups of order-preserving mappings on a finite chain: a new class of divisors, Semigroup Forum 54 (1997), 230–236.
- [4] V.H. Fernandes, M.M. Jesus, V. Maltcev and J.D. Mitchell, Endomorphisms of the semigroup of orderpreserving mappings, Semigroup Forum 81 (2010), 277–285.
- [5] V.H. Fernandes and T. Paulista, On the Rank of Monoids of Endomorphisms of a Finite Directed Path, Asian-Eur. J. Math. 16 (2023), 2350069.
- [6] V.H. Fernandes and M. V. Volkov, On divisors of semigroups of order-preserving mappings of a finite chain, Semigroup Forum 81 (2010), 551–554.
- The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.13.0; 2024. (https://www.gap-system.org)
- [8] G.M.S. Gomes and J.M. Howie, On the ranks of certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations, Semigroup Forum 45 (1992), 272–282.
- [9] P.M. Higgins, Divisors of semigroups of order-preserving mappings on a finite chain, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 5 (1995), 725–742.
- [10] J.M. Howie, Product of idempotents in certain semigroups of transformations, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 17 (1971), 223–236.
- [11] J.M. Howie, Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [12] A. Laradji and A. Umar, Combinatorial results for semigroups of order-preserving full transformations, Semigroup Forum 72 (2006), 51–62.
- [13] N. Ruškuc, Semigroup Presentations, Ph.D. Thesis, University of St-Andrews, 1995.
- [14] A.S. Vernitskii and M.V. Volkov, A proof and a generalisation of Higgins' division theorem for semigroups of order preserving mappings, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat 1 (1995), 38–44

VÍTOR H. FERNANDES, Center for Mathematics and Applications (NOVA Math) and Department of Mathematics, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Monte da Caparica, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal; e-mail: vhf@fct.unl.pt.