# On the monoid of order-preserving transformations of a finite chain whose ranges are intervals

Vítor H. Fernandes<sup>∗</sup>

July 9, 2024

#### Abstract

In this note we give a presentation for the monoid  $\mathfrak{10}_n$  of all order-preserving transformations of a nchain whose ranges are intervals. We also consider the submonoid  $\mathfrak{IG}_n^-$  of  $\mathfrak{IG}_n$  consisting of order-decreasing transformations, for which we determine the cardinality, the rank and a presentation.

#### **Introduction**

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Omega_n = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_n$  the monoid (under composition) of all full transformations of  $\Omega_n$ . From now on, we consider  $\Omega_n$  equipped with the usual linear order  $1 \lt \cdots \lt n$ . Recall that a transformation  $\alpha$ of  $\Omega_n$  is said to be *order-preserving* if  $x \leq y$  implies  $x \alpha \leq y \alpha$ , for all  $x, y \in \Omega_n$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_n$  the submonoid of  $\mathcal{T}_n$  of all order-preserving transformations.

Semigroups of order-preserving transformations have been studied extensively for over six decades. The oldest papers known to the author date back to 1962, are by Aĭzenštat [\[1,](#page-7-0) [2\]](#page-7-1) and give a presentation and a description of the congruences of  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . After that and up to the present day, many other papers have been published studying various properties of  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . See, for example, [\[3,](#page-7-2) [4,](#page-7-3) [6,](#page-7-4) [8,](#page-7-5) [9,](#page-7-6) [10,](#page-7-7) [12,](#page-7-8) [14\]](#page-7-9). Nonetheless, these semigroups still reveal some mystery. The problem of decidability of the pseudovariety generated by the family  $\mathcal{O}_n$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , proposed by J.-E. Pin in the "Szeged International Semigroup Colloquium" (1987), is perhaps the oldest and most important that remains to be solved.

The main object of this work is the submonoid  $\mathcal{IO}_n$  of  $\mathcal{O}_n$  consisting of all transformations  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n$  such that the image of  $\alpha$  is an interval of  $\Omega_n$ , i.e.  $x \leqslant y \leqslant z$  and  $x, z \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$  imply  $y \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in \Omega_n$ .

The monoid  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$  is not only a very natural submonoid of  $\mathfrak{O}_n$  but also coincides with the monoid of all *weak endomorphisms* of a directed path with n vertices. See [\[5\]](#page-7-10). In the aforementioned paper, the authors studied the regularity, determined the rank, the cardinality and the number of idempotents of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$  (denoted there by wEnd $\vec{P}_n$ ). In this note, our main aim is to exhibit a presentation for  $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{O}_n$ . We also consider its submonoids  $\mathfrak{I} \mathbb{O}_n^-$  consisting of order-decreasing transformations and  $\mathfrak{I} \mathbb{O}_n^+$  consisting of order-increasing transformations, for which we determine the cardinalities, the ranks and presentations.

Recall that the *rank* of a (finite) monoid M is the minimum size that a generating set of M can have. Next, we also recall some notions related to the concept of a monoid presentation.

Let A be an alphabet and consider the free monoid  $A^*$  generated by A. The elements of A and of  $A^*$  are called *letters* and *words*, respectively. The empty word is denoted by 1 and we write  $A^+$  to express  $A^* \setminus \{1\}$ . The number of letters of a word  $w \in A^*$  is called the *length* of w and it is denoted by |w|. A pair  $(u, v)$  of  $A^* \times A^*$  is called a *relation* of  $A^*$  and it is usually represented by  $u = v$ . A *monoid presentation* is an ordered

<sup>∗</sup>This work is funded by national funds through the FCT - Funda¸c˜ao para a Ciˆencia e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the scope of the projects UIDB/00297/2020 (https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/00297/2020) and UIDP/00297/2020 (https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDP/00297/2020) (Center for Mathematics and Applications).

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M20, 20M05, 20M10.

Keywords: order-preserving, order-decreasing, transformations, presentations.

pair  $\langle A | R \rangle$ , where  $R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$  is a set of relations of the free monoid  $A^*$ . Denote by  $\sim_R$  the smallest congruence on  $A^*$  containing R. A monoid M is said to be *defined by a presentation*  $\langle A | R \rangle$  if M is isomorphic to  $A^*/\sim_R$ . Let X be a generating set of a monoid M and let  $\phi: A \longrightarrow M$  be an injective mapping such that  $A\phi = X$ . Let  $\varphi : A^* \longrightarrow M$  be the (surjective) homomorphism of monoids that extends  $\phi$  to  $A^*$ . We say that X satisfies (via  $\varphi$ ) a relation  $u = v$  of  $A^*$  if  $u\varphi = v\varphi$ . For more details see [\[13\]](#page-7-11).

Remember that, a usual method to find a presentation for a finite monoid is described by the following result (adapted to the monoid case from [\[13,](#page-7-11) Proposition 3.2.2]).

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Proposition 1.1 (Guess and Prove method). *Let* M *be a finite monoid generated by a set* X*, let* A *be an alphabet and let*  $\phi : A \longrightarrow M$  *be an injective mapping such that*  $A\phi = X$ *. Let*  $\varphi : A^* \longrightarrow M$  *be the (surjective) homomorphism of monoids that extends*  $\phi$  *to*  $A^*$ , *let*  $R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$  *and*  $W \subseteq A^*$ *. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- *1. The generating set* X *of* M *satisfies (via*  $\varphi$ ) *all relations from* R;
- 2. For each word  $w \in X^*$ , there exists a word  $w' \in W$  such that  $w \sim_R w'$ ;
- $3. |W| \leqslant |M|$ .

*Then, M is defined by the presentation*  $\langle A | R \rangle$ *.* 

Notice that, if W satisfies the above conditions then, in fact,  $|W| = |M|$ .

For general background on Semigroup Theory and standard notation, we refer the reader to Howie's book [\[11\]](#page-7-12). We would also like to mention the use of computational tools, namely GAP [\[7\]](#page-7-13).

#### 2 Preliminaries

We start this section by recalling the cardinality, the rank and generators of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$  that have been established in [\[5\]](#page-7-10). By [\[5,](#page-7-10) Theorem 2.6], we have  $|\mathfrak{IO}_n| = \sum_{k=1}^n (n - k + 1) \binom{n-1}{k-1}$  $_{k-1}^{n-1}$ , whence

$$
|\mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n| = (n+1)2^{n-2}.
$$

Next, for  $n \geqslant 2$ , define

$$
a_i=\begin{pmatrix}1&\cdots&i&i+1&\cdots&n\\1&\cdots&i&i&\cdots&n-1\end{pmatrix}\quad\text{and}\quad b_i=\begin{pmatrix}1&\cdots&i&i+1&\cdots&n\\2&\cdots&i+1&i+1&\cdots&n\end{pmatrix},
$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Clearly,  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n$ . Moreover, by [\[5,](#page-7-10) Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.5], for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, b_{n-1}\}$  is a generating set of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$  of minimum size. In particular, for  $n \geq 3$ , the monoid  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$  has rank  $n-1$ . Notice that  $\mathfrak{IO}_1 = \mathfrak{I}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{IO}_2 = \{(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 2\\1 & 1\end{smallmatrix},(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 2\\1 & 2\end{smallmatrix}),(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 2\\2 & 2\end{smallmatrix})\}$  and so, clearly,  $\mathfrak{IO}_1$  has rank 0 and  $10<sub>2</sub>$  has rank 2.

Now, observe that, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ , we have

$$
a_i a_j = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & \cdots & i & i & \cdots & j & j & \cdots & n-2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & j & j+1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & \cdots & j & j & \cdots & i-1 & i-1 & \cdots & n-2 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i > j, \\ a_i b_j = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & i+1 & i+1 & \cdots & j+1 & j+1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i < j \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & j & j+1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & j+1 & j+1 & \cdots & i & i & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i > j, \end{cases} \end{cases}
$$

$$
b_i b_j = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & j & j+1 & \cdots & n \\ 3 & \cdots & i+2 & i+2 & \cdots & j+1 & j+1 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & \cdots & j-1 & j & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 3 & \cdots & j+1 & j+1 & \cdots & i+1 & i+1 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i \geq j \end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & j & j+1 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & j+1 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i < j \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
b_i a_j = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & j & j+1 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & i+1 & i+1 & \cdots & j & j & \cdots & n-1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & j-1 & j & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & j & j & \cdots & i & i & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i \geq j, \end{cases} \\ \end{cases}
$$

from which we can deduce the equalities

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
a_i a_{n-1} = a_i = b_i a_1 \text{ and } b_i b_1 = b_i = a_i b_{n-1}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,
$$
 (1)

$$
a_i a_j = a_{j+1} a_i
$$
 and  $b_i b_{j+1} = b_{j+1} b_{i+1}$ , for  $1 \le i \le j \le n-2$  (2)

and

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
b_i a_j = a_i b_{j-1} = b_j a_{i+1} = a_j b_i, \text{ for } 1 \le i < j \le n-1.
$$
 (3)

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}\}\$  and  $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}\}\$ . Consider the alphabet  $A \cup B$  with  $2n-2$  letters and the set R formed by the following  $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2 - n - 2)$  monoid relations:

 $(R_1)$   $a_i a_{n-1} = a_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ;  $(R_2) a_i a_j = a_{j+1} a_i, \ \ 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2;$  $(R_3) b_i b_1 = b_i, \ \ 1 \leq i \leq n-1;$  $(R_4)$   $b_i b_{i+1} = b_{i+1} b_{i+1}, \ \ 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2;$  $(R_5)$   $b_i a_j = a_j b_i, \ \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n-1;$  $(R_6)$   $b_i a_1 = a_i, \ \ 1 \leq i \leq n-1;$  $(R_7)$   $a_i b_{n-1} = b_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

Our main aim in this note is to show that  $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_n$  is defined by the presentation  $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1} | R \rangle$ . Let  $\varphi: (A \cup B)^* \longrightarrow 10^n$  be the homomorphism of monoids that extends the mapping  $A \cup B \longrightarrow 10^n$ defined by  $a_i \mapsto a_i$  and  $b_i \mapsto b_i$ , for  $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ . Therefore, taking into account the above equalities [\(1\)](#page-2-0)-[\(3\)](#page-2-1), we can already conclude that the generating set  $A \cup B$  of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$  satisfies (via  $\varphi$ ) all relations from R.

#### 3 Decreasing and increasing transformations

Let us consider the following submonoids of  $\mathcal{T}_n$ :

 $\mathfrak{T}_n^- = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{T}_n \mid x\alpha \leqslant x, \text{ for all } x \in \Omega_n \}$  (order-decreasing transformations of  $\Omega_n$ )

and

 $\mathfrak{T}_n^+ = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{T}_n \mid x \leq x\alpha$ , for all  $x \in \Omega_n \}$  (order-increasing transformations of  $\Omega_n$ ).

Consider also the mapping  $\varphi : \mathcal{T}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_n$  which maps each transformation  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n$  into the transformation  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}_n$  defined by  $(x)\bar{\alpha} = n + 1 - (n + 1 - x)\alpha$ , for all  $x \in \Omega_n$ . It is a routine matter to check that  $\varphi$  is an automorphism of monoids such that  $\varphi^2$  is the identity mapping of  $\mathfrak{T}_n$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}_n^-\varphi \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_n^+$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}_n^+\varphi \subseteq \mathfrak{T}_n^-$  and  $\mathfrak{IO}_n\varphi \subseteq \mathfrak{IO}_n$ . Consequently,

$$
\mathfrak{T}_n^- \varphi = \mathfrak{T}_n^+, \quad \mathfrak{T}_n^+ \varphi = \mathfrak{T}_n^- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n \varphi = \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n.
$$

Now, consider the submonoids  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^- = \mathfrak{IO}_n \cap \mathfrak{I}_n^-$  and  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^+ = \mathfrak{IO}_n \cap \mathfrak{I}_n^+$  of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$ . It follows immediately from the previous properties that

$$
\Im \mathcal{O}_n^- \varphi = \Im \mathcal{O}_n^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \Im \mathcal{O}_n^+ \varphi = \Im \mathcal{O}_n^-.
$$

Therefore,  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$  and  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^+$  are isomorphic submonoids of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$ .

Next, observe that  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^-$  and  $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^+$ . Moreover,  $\bar{a}_i = b_{n-i}$  and  $\bar{b}_i = a_{n-i}$ , for  $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ .

The following property gives a characterization of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$  that allows us to easily count its number of elements.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Proposition 3.1.** *For*  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_n^- = {\alpha \in \mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_n | \operatorname{Im}(\alpha) = \{1, ..., k\}}$ , for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ . Then,  $1\alpha = 1$  and so  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

Conversely, let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n$  be such that  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then,  $1\alpha = \min \text{Im}(\alpha) = 1$ . Assume by induction hypothesis that  $t\alpha \leq t$ , for (a fixed)  $1 \leq t < n$ . By contradiction, suppose that  $(t+1)\alpha$  $t+1$ . Then,  $t\alpha \leqslant t < t+1 < (t+1)\alpha \leqslant k$ , whence  $t+1 \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$  and so  $t+1 = x\alpha$ , for some  $x \in \Omega_n$ . If  $x \leqslant t$ , then  $t+1 = x\alpha \leq t\alpha \leq t$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $t+1 \leq x$  and so  $t+1 < (t+1)\alpha \leq x\alpha = t+1$ , which is again a contradiction. So,  $(t + 1)\alpha \leqslant t + 1$ . Thus,  $t\alpha \leqslant t$ , for all  $t \in \Omega_n$ , and so  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^-$ , as required.  $\Box$ 

Of course, from the last proposition and the equality  $\mathcal{10}^+_n = \mathcal{10}^-_n \varphi$ , it follows that

$$
\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{O}_n^+ = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{O}_n \mid \text{Im}(\alpha) = \{k, \dots, n\}, \text{ for some } 1 \leq k \leq n \}.
$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *For*  $n \ge 1$ ,  $|\mathfrak{IO}_n^-| = |\mathfrak{IO}_n^+| = 2^{n-1}$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . In view of Proposition [3.1,](#page-3-0) we have

$$
\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{I} \mathbb{O}_n^- \mid |\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)| = k\} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{I} \mathbb{O}_n^- \mid \operatorname{Im}(\alpha) = \{1, \ldots, k\}\}.
$$

Then, for  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ , we can adapt the proof of [\[5,](#page-7-10) Theorem 1.5] for  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$ , taking into account that instead of  $n-k+1$ possibilities for images with  $k$  elements, we only have one possibility. In this way, we get

$$
|\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^- \mid |\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)| = k\} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}.
$$

Thus,  $|\mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^-| = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1}$  $\binom{n-1}{k-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n-1}{k}$  $\binom{-1}{k} = 2^{n-1}$ , as required.

Now, observe that IO<sup>−</sup> <sup>1</sup> = IO<sup>1</sup> = T<sup>1</sup> and IO<sup>−</sup> <sup>2</sup> = {( 1 2 1 1 ),( 1 2 1 2 )}. Then, clearly, IO<sup>−</sup> 1 has rank 0 and IO<sup>−</sup> 2 has rank 1. More generally, in what follows, for  $n \geq 1$ , we aim to show that A is a generating set with minimum size of the monoid  $\mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^-$ .

First, notice that  $\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IO}_n^- \mid |\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)| = n - 1\} = A$  and, of course, like for  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$ , the group of units of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^$ is trivial. On the other hand, we have:

**Lemma 3.3.** For  $n \ge 3$ , let  $\alpha \in 10^{-6}$  be such that  $|\text{Im}(\alpha)| = k$ , for some  $1 \le k \le n-2$ . Then, there exist  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathfrak{I} \mathbb{O}_n^-$  such that  $|\operatorname{Im}(\gamma_1)| = |\operatorname{Im}(\gamma_2)| = k+1$  and  $\alpha = \gamma_1 \gamma_2$ *.* 

*Proof.* It suffices to observe that in the proof of [\[5,](#page-7-10) Lemma 3.2], for an element  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^-$ , we must have  $j = 0$ and thus the transformations  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  there defined also belong to  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ .  $\Box$ 

Therefore, by a simple inductive reasoning, we can conclude that  $\mathfrak{10}_n^-$  is generated by A. In addition, by observing that  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$  are the only elements of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$  with images of size  $n-1$  and their kernels are pairwise distinct, it is immediate to deduce that  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$  are undecomposable elements (i.e. they are not a product of two elements distinct from themselves) of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ , and so they must belong to any generating set of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ . Thus, we get:



**Theorem 3.4.** For  $n \geq 1$ , A is a generating set with minimum size of the monoid  $\mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^-$ . In particular, the  $monoid$   $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{O}_n^-$  *has rank*  $n-1$ *.* 

Since  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^+ = \mathfrak{IO}_n^- \varphi$  and  $\{b_1,\ldots,b_{n-1}\} = \{a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1}\}\varphi$ , we also get that B is a generating set with minimum size of the monoid  $\mathfrak{I} \mathcal{O}_n^+$ .

We finish this section by exhibiting a presentation for  $\mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^-$ .

Let  $R^-$  be the set of  $\frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)$  relations  $R_1 \cup R_2$ , considered on the alphabet A. Let  $\varphi^- : A^* \longrightarrow 10^n$  be the homomorphism of monoids that extends the mapping  $A \longrightarrow 10^{\degree}$  defined by  $a_i \mapsto a_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Clearly, all relations from  $R^-$  are satisfied (via  $\varphi^-$ ) by the generators A of  $\mathfrak{IO}_n^-$ .

Let

$$
W^- = \{a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} \in A^* \mid 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq i_k < \cdots < i_1 \leq n-1\}.
$$

It is clear that  $|W^-| = 2^{n-1}$  (as there is an obvious bijection between  $W^-$  and the power set of  $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ ) and  $W^-$  contains the empty word (taking  $k = 0$ ) and A. Moreover, we have:

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $w \in A^*$ . Then, there exists a word  $w' \in W^-$  such that  $|w'| \leq |w|$  and  $w \sim_{R^-} w'$ .

*Proof.* Let us denote  $\sim_{R^-}$  simply by  $\sim$ .

If  $|w| = 1$ , then  $w \in W^-$ .

Next, suppose that  $w = a_{j_1} a_{j_2}$ , with  $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq n-1$ . If  $j_2 < j_1$ , then  $w \in W^-$ . So, suppose that  $j_1 \leq j_2$ . If  $j_2 = n-1$ , then  $w = a_{j_1}a_{n-1} \sim a_{j_1} \in W^-$ . On the other hand, if  $j_2 \leq n-2$ , then  $w = a_{j_1}a_{j_2} \sim a_{j_2+1}a_{j_1} \in W^-$ , since  $j_1 < j_2 + 1$ .

Now, assume by induction hypothesis that the property is valid for words  $w \in A^*$  of length less than or equal to  $\ell$ , for a given (fixed)  $\ell \geq 2$ .

Let  $w = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_\ell} a_{j_{\ell+1}}$ , for some  $1 \leqslant j_1, \ldots, j_{\ell+1} \leqslant n-1$ . Then, by induction hypothesis,  $w \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} a_{j_{\ell+1}}$ , with  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$  and  $1 \leq i_k < \cdots < i_1 \leq n-1$ .

If  $j_{\ell+1} = n-1$ , then  $w \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} \in W^-$ . If  $j_{\ell+1} < i_k$ , then  $w \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} a_{j_{\ell+1}} \in W^-$ . So, suppose that  $i_k \leq j_{\ell+1} < n-1$ . Hence, we have

$$
w \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} a_{j_{\ell+1}} \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{k-1}} a_{j_{\ell+1}+1} a_{i_k} \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{k-t}} a_{j_{\ell+1}+t} a_{i_{k-t+1}} \cdots a_{i_k},
$$

for all  $1 \leq t \leq k$  such that  $i_{k-t+1} \leq j_{\ell+1} + t-1 \leq n-2$ . Let  $t = \max\{1 \leq t \leq k \mid i_{k-t+1} \leq j_{\ell+1} + t-1 \leq n-2\}$ . If  $t = k$  (i.e.  $i_1 \leqslant j_{\ell+1} + k - 1 \leqslant n-2$ ), then  $w \sim a_{j_{\ell+1}+k} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} \in W^-$ , since  $j_{\ell+1} + k > i_1$ . Therefore, suppose that  $t < k$ . In this case, we have  $j_{\ell+1} + t < i_{k-t}$  or  $j_{\ell+1} + t = n-1$ . If  $j_{\ell+1} + t = n-1$ , then  $w \sim$  $a_{i_1}\cdots a_{i_{k-t}}a_{i_{k-t+1}}\cdots a_{i_k}\in W^-\text{. On the other hand, if }j_{\ell+1}+t$  $W^-$ , since  $1 \leq i_k < \cdots < i_{k-t+1} < j_{\ell+1} + t < i_{k-t} < \cdots < i_1 \leq n-1$ .

We finish this proof by observing that, in each case, we obtained  $w \sim w' \in W^-$  with  $|w'| \leq k+1 \leq \ell+1 = |w|$ , as required.  $\Box$ 

At this moment, we gather all the ingredients to, given Proposition [1.1,](#page-1-0) conclude:

**Theorem 3.6.** For  $n \geq 1$ , the monoid  $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_n^-$  is defined by the presentation  $\langle A | R^- \rangle$  on  $n-1$  generators and 1  $\frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)$  *relations.* 

Since  $\mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^+ = \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^- \varphi$  and  $\bar{a}_i = b_{n-i}$  and  $\bar{b}_i = a_{n-i}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , being  $R^+ = R_3 \cup R_4$ , we can also conclude that the monoid  $\mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n^+$  is defined by the presentation  $\langle B | R^+ \rangle$  on  $n-1$  generators and  $\frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)$  relations.

For reference below, observe also that, a property for  $\langle B | R^+ \rangle$  analogous to Lemma [3.5](#page-4-0) is also valid: let

$$
W^+ = \{b_{j_1} \cdots b_{j_\ell} \in B^* \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq n-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_\ell \leq n-1\}.
$$

<span id="page-4-1"></span>Then, we have:

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $w \in B^*$ . Then, there exists a word  $w' \in W^+$  such that  $|w'| \leqslant |w|$  and  $w \sim_{R^+} w'$ .

### 4 Main result

In this section, we prove that the presentation  $\langle A \cup B | R \rangle$  defines the monoid  $\mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{O}_n$  and, by means of substitutions (*Titze transformations*), we also deduce another presentation for  $\mathfrak{IO}_n$  in terms of the minimal generators  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, b_{n-1}.$ 

First, we set forth a series of lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For*  $2 \leq j \leq i \leq n-1$ *, b<sub>i</sub>a<sub>j</sub> ∼<sub>R</sub> a<sub>j−1</sub>b<sub>i−1</sub>.* 

*Proof.* Let us denote  $\sim_R$  simply by  $\sim$ . Then, we have

$$
b_i a_j \sim b_i b_j a_1 \sim b_{j-1} b_i a_1 \sim b_{j-1} a_i \sim a_i b_{j-1} \sim a_i a_{j-1} b_{n-1} \sim a_{j-1} a_{i-1} b_{n-1} \sim a_{j-1} b_{i-1},
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>as required.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let*  $u \in A^*$  *and*  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ *. Then, there exist*  $v \in A^*$  *and*  $1 \leq k \leq i \leq n-1$  *such that*  $b_i u \sim_R v$ *or*  $b_i u \sim_R v b_k$ .

*Proof.* For  $|u| = 0$ , the lemma is immediate. So, assume by induction hypothesis that the lemma is valid for words  $u \in A^*$  of length equal to  $\ell$ , for a given (fixed)  $\ell \geq 0$ . Let  $u' \in A^*$  be such that  $|u'| = \ell + 1$ . Then,  $u' = ua_j$ , for some  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  and  $u \in A^*$  such that  $|u| = \ell$ . Hence, by induction hypothesis, there exist  $v \in A^*$  and  $1 \leqslant k \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$  such that  $b_i u \sim_R v$  or  $b_i u \sim_R v b_k$ . If  $b_i u \sim_R v$ , then  $b_i u' = b_i u a_j \sim_R v a_j \in A^*$ . On the other hand, if  $b_i u \sim_R v b_k$ , then

$$
b_i u' = b_i u a_j \sim_R v b_k a_j \sim_R \begin{cases} v a_j b_k & \text{if } 1 \leq k < j \leq n-1 \\ v a_{j-1} b_{k-1} & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq k \leq n-1 \\ v a_k & \text{if } j = 1, \end{cases}
$$

as required.

Since we can write any word  $w \in (A \cup B)^*$  in the form

$$
w = u_0 b_{i_1} u_1 \cdots b_{i_k} u_k,
$$

<span id="page-5-3"></span>with  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k \leq n-1$ ,  $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k \in A^*$  and  $k \geq 0$ , by Lemma [4.2,](#page-5-0) it is easy to conclude:

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $w \in (A \cup B)^*$ . Then, there exist  $u \in A^*$  and  $v \in B^*$  such that  $w \sim_R uv$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $v \in B^+$ . Then, there exist  $u \in A^+$  and  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$  such that  $v \sim_R ub_{n-1}^{\ell}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma [3.7,](#page-4-1) we can take  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_\ell \leq n-1$  such that  $v \sim_{R^+} b_{j_1} \cdots b_{j_\ell}$ . Then, denoting  $\sim_R$  simply by  $\sim$ , we have

$$
v \sim b_{j_1} b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_\ell} \sim a_{j_1} b_{n-1} b_{j_2} \cdots b_{j_\ell} \sim a_{j_1} b_{j_2-1} \cdots b_{j_\ell-1} b_{n-1} \sim a_{j_1} a_{j_2-1} b_{n-1} b_{j_3-1} \cdots b_{j_\ell-1} b_{n-1} \sim \sim a_{j_1} a_{j_2-1} b_{j_3-2} \cdots b_{j_\ell-2} b_{n-1}^2 \sim \cdots \sim a_{j_1} a_{j_2-1} a_{j_3-2} \cdots a_{j_\ell-\ell+1} b_{n-1}^\ell,
$$

<span id="page-5-2"></span>as required.

**Lemma 4.5.** *For*  $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant n-1$  *and*  $n-\ell \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ ,  $a_i b_{n-1}^{\ell} \sim_R b_{n-1}^{\ell}$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $\sim_R$  simply by  $\sim$ . If  $\ell = 1$ , then  $i = n-1$  and we immediately have  $a_{n-1}b_{n-1} \sim b_{n-1}$ . So, suppose that  $\ell \geqslant 2$ . Then, we get

$$
a_i b_{n-1}^{\ell} = a_i b_{n-1} b_{n-1}^{\ell-1} \sim b_i b_{n-1}^{\ell-1} = b_i b_{n-1} b_{n-1}^{\ell-2} \sim b_{n-1} b_{n+1} b_{n-1}^{\ell-2} \sim \cdots \sim b_{n-1}^{\ell} b_{n+1} b_{n-1}^{\ell-\ell-1},
$$

for  $1 \leqslant t \leqslant n - i - 1$  (notice that  $n - \ell \leqslant i$  implies that  $t \leqslant \ell - 1$ ). In particular,

$$
a_i b_{n-1}^{\ell} \sim b_{n-1}^{n-i-1} b_{n-1} b_{n-1}^{\ell-n+i} = b_{n-1}^{\ell},
$$

as required.

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

Now, for  $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant n-1$ , let

$$
W_{\ell} = \{a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^{\ell} \mid 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq i_k < \cdots < i_1 \leq n-\ell+k-2\}.
$$

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Then, we have:

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let*  $u \in A^*$  *and*  $v \in B^+$ *. Then, there exist*  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$  *and*  $w \in W_\ell$  *such that*  $uv \sim_R w$ *.* 

*Proof.* Denote  $\sim_R$  simply by  $\sim$ . By Lemma [4.4,](#page-5-1) there exist  $u' \in A^+$  and  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$  such that  $v \sim u'b_{n-1}^{\ell}$ . Hence,  $uv \sim uu'b_{n-1}^{\ell}$ . Then, by Lemma [3.5,](#page-4-0) there exist  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq i_k < \cdots < i_1 \leq n-1$  such that  $uu' \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^{\ell}$ . Hence,  $uv \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^{\ell}$ .

If  $i_1 \leq n-\ell+k-2$ , then  $uv \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^{\ell} \in W_{\ell}$ .

So, suppose that  $i_1 > n - \ell + k - 2$ . Then, it is easy to show that, there exists  $1 \leq t \leq k$  such that  $i_t > n - \ell + k - t - 1 > i_{t+1}$ , where (if  $t = k$ )  $i_{k+1} = -1$ . Next, observe that

$$
a_{i_1}a_{i_2}\cdots a_{i_k} \sim a_{i_2}a_{i_1-1}a_{i_3}\cdots a_{i_k} \sim a_{i_2}\cdots a_{i_k}a_{i_1-k+1} \sim a_{i_3}\cdots a_{i_k}a_{i_2-k+2}a_{i_1-k+1} \sim \cdots \sim a_{i_1+1}\cdots a_{i_k}a_{i_1-k+1}a_{i_{k-1}-k+t-1}\cdots a_{i_1-k+1},
$$

 $n - \ell \leq i_t - k + t \leq i_{t-1} - k + t - 1 \leq \cdots \leq i_1 - k + 1$ , since  $i_t > n - \ell + k - t - 1$  and  $i_t < \cdots < i_1$ , and  $i_{t+1} \leq n - \ell + (k - t) - 2$ . Thus, by Lemma [4.5,](#page-5-2) we have

$$
uv \sim a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^{\ell} \sim a_{i_{t+1}} \cdots a_{i_k} b_{n-1}^{\ell} \in W_{\ell},
$$

as required.

Let  $W = W^- \cup W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_{n-1}$ . Therefore, as an immediate consequence of Corollary [4.3](#page-5-3) and Lemmas [3.5](#page-4-0) and [4.6,](#page-6-0) we obtain:

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $w \in (A \cup B)^*$ . Then, there exists  $w' \in W$  such that  $w \sim_R w'$ .

Now, observe that

$$
|W| = |W^-| + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} |W_\ell| = 2^{n-1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} {\min\{n-\ell+k-2, n-1\}\choose k} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} {\min\{n-\ell+k-2, n-1\}\choose k}
$$

and it is not difficult to show that  $\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} {\min\{n-\ell+k-2,n-1\}\choose k} = (n+1)2^{n-2}$ , whence  $|W| = |\mathfrak{IO}_n|$ . Therefore, we are in a position to apply Proposition [1.1](#page-1-0) to conclude our main result:

**Theorem 4.8.** For  $n \geq 1$ , the monoid  $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_n$  is defined by the presentation  $\langle A \cup B | R \rangle$  on  $2n - 2$  generators and 1  $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2 - n - 2)$  *relations.* 

Taking into account the equalities  $a_{n-1} = b_{n-1}a_1$  and  $b_i = a_ib_{n-1}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ , by making these substitutions into the set of relations  $R$  and eliminating, from among those relations obtained, both the immediately trivial and the trivially deducible, we obtain the following set  $R'$  of  $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2 - 7n + 4)$  relations on the alphabet  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, b_{n-1}$ :

$$
(R'_2) \ a_i a_j = a_{j+1} a_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-3; \na_i a_{n-2} = b_{n-1} a_1 a_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq n-2; \n(R'_4) \ a_i b_{n-1} a_{j+1} b_{n-1} = a_{j+1} b_{n-1} a_{i+1} b_{n-1}, \ 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-3; \na_i b_{n-1}^2 = b_{n-1} a_{i+1} b_{n-1}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n-3; \na_{n-2} b_{n-1}^2 = b_{n-1}^2;
$$

 $\Box$ 

$$
(R'_5) a_i b_{n-1} a_j = a_j a_i b_{n-1}, \ 1 \le i < j \le n-2;
$$
\n
$$
a_i b_{n-1}^2 a_1 = b_{n-1} a_1 a_i b_{n-1}, \ 1 \le i \le n-2;
$$

$$
(R'_6) a_i b_{n-1} a_1 = a_i, \ \ 1 \leq i \leq n-2;
$$

$$
(R'_7) b_{n-1}a_1b_{n-1} = b_{n-1}.
$$

Therefore, we also have:

**Corollary 4.9.** For  $n \geq 3$ , the monoid  $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_n$  is defined by the presentation  $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, b_{n-1} | R' \rangle$  on  $n-1$ generators and  $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2 - 7n + 4)$  *relations.* 

## <span id="page-7-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[1] A.Ya. Aĭzenštat, The defining relations of the endomorphism semigroup of a finite linearly ordered set, Sibirsk. Mat. 3 (1962), 161–169 (Russian).
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[2] A.Ya. Aĭzenštat, Homomorphisms of semigroups of endomorphisms of ordered sets, Uch. Zap., Leningr. Gos. Pedagog. Inst. 238 (1962), 38–48 (Russian).
- [3] V.H. Fernandes, Semigroups of order-preserving mappings on a finite chain: a new class of divisors, Semigroup Forum 54 (1997), 230–236.
- <span id="page-7-10"></span><span id="page-7-3"></span>[4] V.H. Fernandes, M.M. Jesus, V. Maltcev and J.D. Mitchell, Endomorphisms of the semigroup of orderpreserving mappings, Semigroup Forum 81 (2010), 277–285.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[5] V.H. Fernandes and T. Paulista, On the Rank of Monoids of Endomorphisms of a Finite Directed Path, Asian-Eur. J. Math. 16 (2023), 2350069.
- [6] V.H. Fernandes and M. V. Volkov, On divisors of semigroups of order-preserving mappings of a finite chain, Semigroup Forum 81 (2010), 551–554.
- <span id="page-7-13"></span><span id="page-7-5"></span>[7] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.13.0*; 2024. (https://www.gap-system.org)
- [8] G.M.S. Gomes and J.M. Howie, On the ranks of certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations, Semigroup Forum 45 (1992), 272–282.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[9] P.M. Higgins, Divisors of semigroups of order-preserving mappings on a finite chain, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 5 (1995), 725–742.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[10] J.M. Howie, Product of idempotents in certain semigroups of transformations, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 17 (1971), 223–236.
- <span id="page-7-12"></span><span id="page-7-8"></span>[11] J.M. Howie, Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [12] A. Laradji and A. Umar, Combinatorial results for semigroups of order-preserving full transformations, Semigroup Forum 72 (2006), 51–62.
- <span id="page-7-11"></span><span id="page-7-9"></span>[13] N. Ruškuc, Semigroup Presentations, Ph.D. Thesis, University of St-Andrews, 1995.
- [14] A.S. Vernitskii and M.V. Volkov, A proof and a generalisation of Higgins' division theorem for semigroups of order preserving mappings, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat 1 (1995), 38–44

VÍTOR H. FERNANDES, Center for Mathematics and Applications (NOVA Math) and Department of Mathematics, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Monte da Caparica, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal; e-mail: vhf@fct.unl.pt.