

Chameleon Mechanism in Scalar Nonmetricity Cosmology

Andronikos Paliathanasis^{1,2,*}

¹*Institute of Systems Science, Durban University of Technology, Durban 4000, South Africa*

²*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte,
Avda. Angamos 0610, Casilla 1280 Antofagasta, Chile*

We investigate the dynamics and the phase-space evolution for the scalar nonmetricity cosmology with a Chameleon mechanism. In particular, we consider a spatially flat Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker geometry and within the framework of scalar nonmetricity theory, we consider a generalization of the Brans-Dicke theory in nonmetricity gravity. Introducing a pressureless gas as the matter source, we also incorporate a coupling function responsible for the interaction. Our findings reveal that the choice of connection in nonmetricity gravity significantly impacts the interaction between the scalar field and the matter source. For one particular connection, we discover the absence of asymptotic solutions with a nontrivial interacting component. More precisely, in this scenario, the matter source does not directly interact with the scalar field; however, there is an interaction with the dynamical degrees of freedom provided by the connection.

PACS numbers:

Keywords: Symmetric teleparallel; nonmetricity gravity; scalar field; Chameleon mechanism; Interaction

1. INTRODUCTION

Scalar nonmetricity theory [1] is a generalization of Symmetric Teleparallel Equivalent General Relativity (STTEGR) [2], where a scalar field nonminimally coupled to gravity is introduced in the gravitational Action Integral. This scalar field interacts with the nonmetricity scalar through a coupling function. The scalar nonmetricity theory serves as the

*Electronic address: anpaliat@phys.uoa.gr

analogue of scalar tensor theory and scalar torsion theory in symmetric teleparallel theory gravity, see the discussion in [3]. While STEGR is equivalent to General Relativity, the presence of a minimally coupled scalar field maintains this equivalence. However, in the case of a nonminimally coupled scalar field, there is no equivalence between scalar nonmetricity and scalar tensor theories.

In the $f(R)$ generalization of General Relativity [9, 10], the new geometrical degrees of freedom can be attributed to a scalar field, and the gravitational theory is equivalent to a Brans-Dicke theory [11] with zero Brans-Dicke parameter, also known as the O’Hanlon theory [12–14]. In this work, we are interested in the Brans-Dicke extension in nonmetricity theory [15]. This theory has the property of attributing the geometrical degree of freedom provided by the $f(Q)$ generalization of STEGR [4–8]. While the scalar tensor theories are mathematically related through conformal transformations, this is not the case for scalar nonmetricity theories. Conformal transformations in symmetric teleparallel gravity lead to gravitational theories where a boundary term coupled to the scalar field contributes to the gravitational dynamics. This implies that a nonminimally coupled scalar field in nonmetricity gravity is not conformally equivalent to a minimally coupled scalar field in STEGR [16]. The effects of conformal transformations on the physical properties in scalar nonmetricity theory have been studied recently in [17]. It was found that there exists a unique correspondence for the asymptotic solutions under conformal transformations, and exact solutions describing cosmic acceleration remain invariant under the transformation that relates these conformally equivalent theories.

Constraints of $f(Q)$ -gravity with cosmological observations reveal that the alternative theory challenge the standard Λ -cosmology [18], a similar result was found in [19, 20]. For an extended analysis we refer the reader to [21] where the inertia effects was considered in the analysis of the observational data.

Within this study, we postulate an interaction mechanism between the nonminimally coupled scalar field and the matter component [22–28]. This interaction is because of a Chameleon component in the gravitational Action Integral [29, 30]. The matter source Lagrangian is coupled to the scalar field through an interacting functions. Because of this function there is mass transfer between the scalar field and the matter source. Hence, the mass of the scalar field depends on the density of the matter source, which means that the mass of the scalar field is different in open space and in compact objects, which gives a

Chameleon behaviour to the scalar field. The Chameleon mechanism in General Relativity can have geometric origin and specifically it is related to the Weyl Integrable Spacetime theory [31]. The Chameleon mechanism is a particular case of the general interaction theory and it has been widely applied in gravitational physics and cosmology [32–38].

The cosmological Chameleon mechanism can be observable in strong gravitational effects, specifically the cosmological chameleon may affect the orbits in the solar system [39], see also the discussion in [40]. Parameters of the Chameleon mechanism have been constrained by the analysis of the data provided by CAST [41] and XENON1T data [42]. There are various tests which have been proposed in the literature for the investigation of the chameleon mechanism, we refer the reader to [43, 44] and references therein. Therefore the study of a Chameleon mechanism in the modified theories of gravities and specifically in the extension of the STEGR with a scalar field is of special interest.

In this study we focus on the cosmological framework of a spatially flat Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) geometry, and we investigate the dynamics and the asymptotic solutions in scalar nonmetricity theory with a Chameleon component. For the matter source, we consider a pressureless dust fluid source which represents the dark matter component of the universe. In STEGR, the definition of the gravitational Lagrangian depends on the choice of the connection, which differs from the Levi-Civita connection [6]. Specifically, the connection is considered to be flat and symmetric, leading to a non-unique definition for the connection. In STEGR, the selection of the connection does not impact the gravitational theory. However, this is not the case in extensions of STEGR.

We will observe that for the cosmological model under consideration, the choice of the connection plays a crucial role in the presence of the Chameleon mechanism in cosmic evolution. Indeed, within the framework of scalar nonmetricity theory with matter component coupled to the scalar field in the gravitational Action, it follows that the Chameleon mechanism contributes to the mass of the scalar field only for specific selection of the connection. This result is different from that provided by the gravitational theories where the Levi-Civita connection, i.e. extensions of General Relativity [32], or the vierbein fields, i.e. teleparallelism, are applied [45]. That is an interesting property of symmetric teleparallel theory.

For our study, we employ the method of dynamical system analysis. We utilize the Hubble normalization approach to determine the existence of asymptotic solutions in the phase-space. This approach has been extensively studied in cosmology and has yielded many

important results. It has been instrumental in deriving selection rules for the viability of gravitational models and understanding the initial condition problem [46–50]. Regarding nonmetricity theory, dynamical system analysis has also been widely applied, as seen in [51–55] and references therein. The structure of the paper is outlined as follows.

In Section 2, we introduce the basic framework of STEGR. The Scalar nonmetricity theory is presented in Section 3, where we focus on the Brans-Dicke analogue in nonmetricity theory. The Chameleon mechanism, which describes the interaction between the scalar field and the matter source, is introduced in Section 4. The cosmological application of the Chameleon mechanism in scalar nonmetricity theory is discussed in Section 5, where we consider the background geometry to be the spatially flat FLRW spacetime. We consider the three different families Γ_1 , Γ_2 and Γ_3 for the connection given by STEGR, and we present three different sets of field equations. We find that only for connections Γ_1 and Γ_3 does the mass of the scalar field depend on the Chameleon mechanism, while for connection Γ_2 , the Chameleon mechanism introduces an interaction between the matter source and the geometrical dynamical degrees of the connection. For connection Γ_2 , there is no dynamical interaction between the scalar field and the matter source.

In Section 6, we conduct a detailed analysis of the phase-space. We apply the Hubble normalization approach and express the field equations in an equivalent form of an algebraic-differential system. We determine the stationary points and investigate the physical properties of the asymptotic solutions at these points. Furthermore, we discuss the stability properties of these asymptotic solutions. Specifically, for connection Γ_2 , we find no asymptotic solutions with nontrivial Chameleon mechanism. However, for connections Γ_1 and Γ_3 , we identify stationary points where the Chameleon mechanism significantly impacts the universe’s evolution. These points can act as sources or attractors, indicating that the Chameleon mechanism in scalar nonmetricity cosmology can play an important role in both early-time and late-time stages of the universe. The case of arbitrary potential is discussed in Section 7. Finally, in Section 8, we present our conclusions.

2. SYMMETRIC TELEPARALLEL GRAVITY

We consider a four-dimensional manifold which is described by the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the symmetric and flat connection $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa$. Therefore, the curvature and the torsion tensors

defined for the connection $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa}$ are always zero, that is, [3]

$$R_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{\kappa} \equiv 2 \left(\Gamma_{\lambda[\nu,\mu]}^{\kappa} + \Gamma_{\lambda[\nu}^{\sigma} \Gamma_{\mu]\sigma}^{\kappa} \right) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \equiv 2\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^{\lambda} = 0. \quad (2)$$

However, the nonmetricity scalar defined

$$Q_{\lambda\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^{\sigma} g_{\sigma\nu} - \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^{\sigma} g_{\mu\sigma}, \quad (3)$$

plays an important role in nonmetricity gravity.

We define the non-metricity conjugate tensor [4]

$$P_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{4}Q_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}Q_{(\mu}{}^{\lambda}{}_{\nu)} + \frac{1}{4}(Q^{\lambda} - \bar{Q}^{\lambda})g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\delta_{(\mu}^{\lambda}Q_{\nu)} \quad (4)$$

in which $Q_{\lambda} = Q_{\lambda\mu}{}^{\mu}$, $\bar{Q}_{\lambda} = Q^{\mu}{}_{\lambda\mu}$. The nonmetricity $Q = Q_{\lambda\mu\nu}P^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ is the fundamental scalar of STEGR. The gravitational Action in STEGR is defined as

$$S_Q = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} Q. \quad (5)$$

However, the nonmetricity scalar Q is related to the Ricci scalar \tilde{R} defined by the Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa}$ of the metric tensor, with the relation $\tilde{R} - Q = B_Q$, where B_Q is defined by the expression [16] $B_Q = -\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu}(Q^{\mu} - \bar{Q}^{\mu})$ and it is a boundary term. Therefore, the Action Integral for STEGR reads

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} Q \simeq \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \tilde{R} + \text{boundary terms}. \quad (6)$$

3. SCALAR NONMETRICITY GRAVITY

In scalar nonmetricity theory we assume the existence of a scalar field ϕ , coupled to the gravity where the gravitational Action Integral reads [1]

$$S_{ST\phi} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{F(\phi)}{2} Q + \frac{\omega(\phi)}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \varphi_{,\mu} \varphi_{,\nu} + V(\phi) \right), \quad (7)$$

$F(\varphi)$ is the coupling function of the scalar field with the nonmetricity scalar, and $V(\varphi)$ is the scalar field potential. Function $\omega(\phi)$ defines only the ‘‘coordinate’’ where the scalar field is defined, and it can be removed from the system with the introduction of the new scalar field $d\Phi = \sqrt{\omega(\varphi)}d\varphi$ [15].

Variation with respect to the metric tensor in (7) gives the modified field equations [57]

$$F(\varphi) G_{\mu\nu} + 2F_{,\phi}\varphi_{,\lambda}P^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}V(\varphi) + \frac{\omega(\varphi)}{2} (g_{\mu\nu}g^{\lambda\kappa}\varphi_{,\lambda}\varphi_{,\kappa} - \varphi_{,\mu}\varphi_{,\nu}) = 0. \quad (8)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor of general relativity. Moreover, the Klein-Gordon equation for the scalar field reads

$$\frac{\omega(\varphi)}{\sqrt{-g}}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}\partial_{\nu}\varphi) + \frac{\omega_{,\varphi}}{2}g^{\lambda\kappa}\varphi_{,\lambda}\varphi_{,\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}F_{,\varphi}Q - V_{,\varphi} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Finally, variation of (7) with respect to the connection $\Gamma^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu}$ gives the equation of motion

$$\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}(\sqrt{-g}F(\varphi)P^{\mu\nu}_{\sigma}) = 0. \quad (10)$$

When the latter equation is trivially satisfied, we shall say that the connection $\Gamma^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu}$ is defined in the coincidence gauge.

In the following we consider the $F(\varphi) = \varphi$ and $\omega(\varphi) = \frac{\omega_0}{\varphi}$, where the Action (7)

$$S_{BD\varphi} = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{\varphi}{2}Q + \frac{\omega}{2\varphi}g^{\mu\nu}\varphi_{,\mu}\varphi_{,\nu} + V(\varphi) \right), \quad (11)$$

and takes the form of the Brans-Dicke analogue in nonmetricity theory. When parameter $\omega = 0$, the gravitational theory (11) is equivalent to the symmetry teleparallel $f(Q)$ -gravity, where the scalar field φ attributes the new dynamical degrees of freedom provided by the nonlinear function $f(Q)$. Specifically, the scalar field is introduced as $\varphi = f_{,Q}(Q)$ and $V(\varphi) = f_{,Q}(Q)Q - f(Q)$.

We employ the change of variable $\varphi = e^{\phi}$ and the Brans-Dicke (-like) Action Integral (10) takes the form of the dilaton analogue in nonmetricity theory, that is, [15]

$$S_D = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}e^{\phi} \left(\frac{Q}{2} + \frac{\omega}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\phi_{,\mu}\phi_{,\nu} + \hat{V}(\phi) \right) \quad \text{with } \hat{V}(\phi) = V(\phi)e^{-\phi}. \quad (12)$$

for the dilaton field the gravitational field equations are

$$G_{\mu\nu} + 2\phi_{,\lambda}P^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}V(\phi)e^{-\phi} + \frac{\omega}{2}(g_{\mu\nu}g^{\lambda\kappa}\phi_{,\lambda}\phi_{,\kappa} - \phi_{,\mu}\phi_{,\nu}) = 0. \quad (13)$$

$$\omega\nabla_{\mu}\nabla^{\mu}\phi + \frac{1}{2}Q - V_{,\phi}e^{-\phi} = 0. \quad (14)$$

$$\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}(\sqrt{-g}e^{\phi}P^{\mu\nu}_{\sigma}) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Conformal transformations of the cosmological nonmetricity Brans-Dicke theory have been investigated in [17]. It was found that there exists a unique one-to-one correspondence for asymptotic solutions between different frames, the correspondence is independent on the selection of the connection. That result differs from that of metric Brans-Dicke theory, which means that nonmetricity theory opens new directions on the discussion of the physical frame.

The nonmetricity scalar Q is related to the Ricci scalar R for the Levi-Civita connection of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, with a boundary term, that is $Q = R + B$ [56]. Consequently, by replacing in (7) it follows that the theory deviates from the usual scalar-tensor model.

4. CHAMELEON MECHANISM

Let us now introduce a matter component in the gravitational Action Integral for the dilaton field (12) such that

$$S = S_D + \int \sqrt{-g}g(\phi)L_m \quad (16)$$

where $g(\phi)$ is the coupling function between the matter source and the scalar field ϕ . A nonconstant function $g(\phi)$ indicates that there exists energy transfer between the matter source and the scalar field ϕ . Lagrangian L_m describes the matter term.

The gravitational field equations read

$$G_{\mu\nu} = g(\phi)T_{\mu\nu}^m + T_{\mu\nu}^{\phi}, \quad (17)$$

$$\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}(\sqrt{-g}e^{\phi}P^{\mu\nu}_{\sigma}) = 0. \quad (18)$$

where tensor $T_{\mu\nu}^{\phi}$ attributes the scalar field dynamical terms and $T_{\mu\nu}^m$ is the energy momentum

tensor for the matter source. For the comoving observer u_μ with $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = -1$, the energy momentum tensor for a perfect fluid is

$$T_{\mu\nu}^m = (\rho_m + p_m) u_\mu u_\nu + p_m g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (19)$$

where ρ_m is the energy density and p_m is the pressure component.

The equation of motion for the matter source reads

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\nu (g(\phi) T^{m\ \mu\nu} + T^{\phi\ \mu\nu}) = 0 \quad (20)$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}_\nu$ is defined by the Levi-Civita connection. We remark that we have assumed no interaction of the matter source with the connection $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa$. The latter equation of motion reads

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\nu (f(\phi) T^{m\ \mu\nu}) = f_{,\phi} \rho_m, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_\nu (T^{\phi\ \mu\nu}) = -f_{,\phi}. \quad (21)$$

Therefore, for the perfect fluid and the scalar field we find the modified equations of motions

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu (\rho_m) u^\mu + (\rho_m + p_m) \tilde{\nabla}_\mu u^\mu - \rho_m \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \ln(g(\phi)) u^\mu = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}^\nu \left(2e^\phi \phi_{,\lambda} P^\lambda_{\ \mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu} V(\phi) + \frac{\omega}{2} e^\phi (g_{\mu\nu} g^{\lambda\kappa} \phi_{,\lambda} \phi_{,\kappa} - \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu}) \right) + e^\phi \phi^{,\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + 2\rho_m \tilde{\nabla}_\mu g(\phi) = 0. \quad (23)$$

In the following we consider the exponential coupling function

$$g(\phi) = g_0 e^{g\phi}. \quad (24)$$

For this model, the latter equations of motion are simplified as follows

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu (\rho_m) u^\mu + (\rho_m + p_m) \tilde{\nabla}_\mu u^\mu - \lambda \rho_m \phi_{,\mu} u^\mu = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}^\nu \left(2e^\phi \phi_{,\lambda} P^\lambda_{\ \mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu} V(\phi) + \frac{\omega}{2} e^\phi (g_{\mu\nu} g^{\lambda\kappa} \phi_{,\lambda} \phi_{,\kappa} - \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu}) \right) + e^\phi \phi^{,\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + 2\rho_m \lambda e^{\lambda\phi} \phi_{,\mu} = 0. \quad (26)$$

The exponential coupling function describes the simplest interacting scenario and it is the toy model which has been applied before in the case of General Relativity [29, 30].

5. INTERACTION IN NONMETRICITY COSMOLOGY

In large scales the universe is considered to be homogeneous and isotropic described by the spatially flat FLRW spacetime with line element

$$ds^2 = -N(t)^2 dt^2 + a(t)^2 [dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2)], \quad (27)$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor denotes the radius of the universe and $N(t)$ is the lapse function. The Hubble function is defined as $H = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$, where dot means total derivative with respect to the t parameter, i.e. $\dot{a} = \frac{da}{dt}$. The FLRW geometry for arbitrary scale factor $a(t)$ admits a sixth-dimensional Killing algebra consisted by the six isometries of the three-dimensional Euclidean space, three translations and three rotations.

We introduce a symmetric connection $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa$, and the requirements the connection to admit the symmetries of the FLRW spacetime and the curvature tensor to be zero, leads to the following three families of connections with nonzero coefficients [58]

$$\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_{tt}^t = \gamma(t),$$

$$\Gamma_2 : \Gamma_{tt}^t = \frac{\ddot{\psi}(t)}{\dot{\psi}(t)} + \dot{\psi}(t), \quad \Gamma_{tr}^r = \Gamma_{rt}^r = \Gamma_{t\theta}^\theta = \Gamma_{\theta t}^\theta = \Gamma_{t\varphi}^\varphi = \Gamma_{\varphi t}^\varphi = \dot{\psi}(t),$$

and

$$\Gamma_3 : \Gamma_{tt}^t = \ln \left(\dot{\Psi}(t) \right), \quad \Gamma_{rr}^t = \frac{1}{\dot{\Psi}(t)}, \quad \Gamma_{\theta\theta}^t = \frac{r^2}{\dot{\Psi}(t)}, \quad \Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}^t = \frac{r^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\dot{\Psi}(t)}$$

and common coefficients $\Gamma_{\theta\theta}^r = -r$, $\Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}^r = -r \sin^2 \theta$, $\Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}^\theta = -\sin \theta \cos \theta$, $\Gamma_{\theta\varphi}^\varphi = \Gamma_{\varphi\theta}^\varphi = \cot \theta$, $\Gamma_{r\theta}^\theta = \Gamma_{\theta r}^\theta = \Gamma_{r\varphi}^\varphi = \Gamma_{\varphi r}^\varphi = \frac{1}{r}$. Functions $\gamma(t)$, $\psi(t)$ and $\Psi(t)$ are dynamical variables [58].

Since the three connections are flat, it means that there exist coordinate transformations in order to be written as $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa = 0$. However, when we introduce the metric tensor (27) we make the selection for a coordinate system, such that connection Γ_1 to be defined in the coincidence gauge while connections Γ_2 and Γ_3 to be defined in the noncoincidence gauge. Consequently, new dynamical degrees of freedom are introduced in the gravitational field equations from these two connections.

For the matter source we assume an ideal gas with constant equation of state parameter, i.e. $p_m = w_m \rho_m$, then for the exponential coupling function the equation of motion for the

matter source (25) reads

$$\dot{\rho}_m + 3(1 + w_m)H\rho_m - \lambda\dot{\phi}\rho_m = 0 \quad (28)$$

from where it follows $\rho_m(t) = \rho_{m0}a^{-3(1+w_m)}e^{\lambda\phi}$. In the following we consider that the matter source describes the pressureless dark matter, that is, $w_m = 0$.

As far as the modified gravitational field equations (17) is concerned, in the following lines we present the field equations for the dilaton field (12) for the three different connections which describe the spatially flat FLRW geometry.

Connection Γ_1 is defined in the coincidence gauge and the corresponding field equations are

$$e^\phi \left(3H^2 + \frac{\omega}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi)e^{-\phi} \right) - g_0e^{\lambda\phi}\rho_m = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$e^\phi \left(2\dot{H} + 3H^2 + 2H\dot{\phi} - \frac{\omega}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi)e^{-\phi} \right) = 0. \quad (30)$$

while the Klein-Gordon equation (26) is expressed as

$$e^\phi \left(2\omega \left(\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} \right) - 6H^2 + \omega\dot{\phi}^2 + e^{-\phi}V_{,\phi} \right) + 4g_0\lambda e^{\lambda\phi}\rho_m = 0. \quad (31)$$

The field equations for the latter system is equivalent with that of the scalar-torsion model in teleparallelism with a Chameleon mechanism [45].

For connection Γ_2 , the modified Friedmann's equations read

$$e^\phi \left(3H^2 + \frac{\omega}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\dot{\phi}\dot{\psi} + V(\phi)e^{-\phi} \right) - g_0e^{\lambda\phi}\rho_m = 0, \quad (32)$$

$$e^\phi \left(2\dot{H} + 3H^2 + 2H\dot{\phi} - \frac{\omega}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{3}{2}\dot{\phi}\dot{\psi} + V(\phi)e^{-\phi} \right) = 0, \quad (33)$$

while the equation of motion for the connection and the scalar field are

$$e^\phi \left(3\ddot{\psi} + 2\omega \left(\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} \right) + 3 \left(\ddot{\psi} + 3H\dot{\psi} \right) - 6H^2 + \omega\dot{\phi}^2 + 2e^{-\phi}V_{,\phi} \right) + 4g_0\lambda e^{\lambda\phi}\rho_m = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + \dot{\phi}^2 + 3H\dot{\phi} = 0. \quad (35)$$

From equation (35) it follows that $e^\phi = \int \frac{\phi_0}{a^3} dt$, which means that the mass of the scalar field does not depend on the Chameleon mechanism and there is not interaction between the scalar field and the fluid source. However, equation (34) indicates that the scalar field ψ which is provided by the connection in the dynamical system interacts with the Chameleon mechanism.

Finally, for the third connection, Γ_3 , the system of the cosmological field equations is expressed as follows

$$\left(3H^2 + \frac{\omega}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{3}{2a^2}\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\dot{\Psi}} + V(\phi) \right) - g_0 e^{\lambda\phi} \rho_m = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$e^\phi \left(2\dot{H} + 3H^2 + 2H\dot{\phi} - \frac{\omega}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2a^2}\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\dot{\Psi}} + V_{,\phi}e^{-\phi} \right) = 0. \quad (37)$$

The equations of motion for the scalar field and the connection are

$$e^\phi \left(-\frac{3}{a^2} (\ddot{\Psi} - H\dot{\Psi}) + \dot{\Psi}^2 (-6H^2 + \omega\dot{\phi}^2 + 2\omega(\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi})) + 2V_{,\phi}e^{-\phi}\dot{\Psi}^2 \right) + 4g_0\lambda e^{\lambda\phi}\rho_m = 0, \quad (38)$$

$$\dot{\Psi} \left(\dot{\phi} (H + \dot{\phi}) + \ddot{\phi} \right) - 2\dot{\phi}\ddot{\Psi} = 0. \quad (39)$$

From the modified Klein-Gordon equations (31), (35) and (39) we observe that the contribution of the Chameleon mechanism in the mass of the scalar field depends on the selection of the connection for the definition of the nonmetricity scalar. For the coincidence gauge, and from the Klein-Gordon equation (31) the term provided by the Chameleon mechanism in the Klein-Gordon equation is similar to that of the metric theory. Nevertheless, for connection Γ_2 , and from the Klein-Gordon equation (35) it follows that the mass of the scalar field is always zero, while the Chameleon mechanism interacts with the connection. Finally, for the third connection Γ_3 and equation (39) we observe that the scalar field is given by the expression $e^\phi = \int \frac{\phi_0 \dot{\Psi}^2}{a} d\mathcal{t}$, which means that the scalar field depends on the dynamical variable of the connection; however the scalar field interacts indirectly with the Chameleon mechanism through the field $\Psi(t)$.

The effects of the Chameleon mechanism in the scalar field mass depends on the selection of the connection. For the coincidence gauge the Chameleon mechanism has similarities with

the metric theories, while for the noncoincidence gauge the Chameleon mechanism interact with the scalar field indirectly.

6. PHASE-SPACE ANALYSIS

In this Section we investigate the asymptotic solutions and the general evolution for the cosmological model of scalar nonmetricity theory with Chameleon mechanism. For the different cosmological theories related to the three different connections of nonmetricity theory, we define dimensionless variables in the Hubble normalization [60] in order to write the field equations as a set of algebraic-differential system.

The stationary points of the latter system describe asymptotic cosmological solutions. From the stability properties of the stationary points we can construct the global evolution for the dynamical and physical parameters of the model and to understand the effects of the Chameleon mechanism in the cosmological evolution. The new set of dependent and independent variables in the Hubble normalization are

$$x = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{2\sqrt{6}H}, \quad y = \frac{e^{-\phi}V(\phi)}{3H^2}, \quad \Omega_m = g_0 e^{(\lambda-1)\phi} \frac{\rho_m}{3H^2}, \quad (40)$$

$$\kappa = \frac{V_{,\phi}}{V}, \quad v = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\dot{\psi}}{H}, \quad \frac{1}{\bar{z}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} a^2 \dot{\Psi} H, \quad \tau = \ln(a). \quad (41)$$

Let τ be the new independent variable, then for each connection Γ_1 , Γ_2 and Γ_3 we can write the corresponding reduced dynamical system. For the potential function $V(\phi)$ we introduce the exponential function $V(\phi) = V_0 e^{\kappa\phi}$, in such a way that the parameter κ to be always a constant. The analysis for the exponential potential includes the derivation of the stationary points for every potential function which can be described in the limit by the exponential potential such that parameter κ remains a constant.

We are interested in the asymptotic limit and the exponential function provides the asymptotics where the scalar field dominates in the field equations and also it the case where it does not contribute in the evolution of the universe. This has been widely discussed before for instance in [59] and references therein.

In this case the dimension of the dynamical system is reduced by one.

For connection Γ_1 , we find the dynamical system DS_I

$$\Omega_m = 1 + \omega x^2 + y, \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = x^2 \left(\sqrt{6}(1+2\lambda) - 3\omega x \right) + 3x(y-1) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\omega} (1+2\lambda - (\kappa-2\lambda)y), \quad (43)$$

$$\frac{dy}{d\tau} = y \left(\sqrt{6}(1+\kappa)x - 3(\omega x^2 - (1+y)) \right). \quad (44)$$

The second connection Γ_2 provides the dynamical system DS_{II}

$$\Omega_m = 1 + \omega x^2 + y + xz, \quad (45)$$

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = -\frac{3}{2}x(1-y+x(\omega x+z)), \quad (46)$$

$$\frac{dy}{d\tau} = y \left(3(1+y) + x \left(\sqrt{6}(1+\kappa) - 3(\omega x+z) \right) \right), \quad (47)$$

$$\frac{dz}{d\tau} = \left(\sqrt{6}(1+2\lambda) - \frac{3}{2}z \right) (1 + \omega x^2 + xz) + y \left(\frac{3}{2}z - \sqrt{6}(\kappa-2\lambda) \right). \quad (48)$$

Finally, for connection Γ_2 the corresponding dynamical system DS_{III} in the Hubble normalization is determined to be

$$\Omega_m = 1 + \omega x^2 + y + xv, \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(4\omega x+v)}{x} \frac{dx}{d\tau} &= \left(2\sqrt{6}(1+2\lambda) + \frac{v}{2} - 6\omega x \right) (1 + \omega x^2) + 2v \dots \\ &\dots + y \left(\frac{3}{2}z - 2\sqrt{6}(\kappa-2\lambda) \right) + x \left(6\omega y + \left(4\sqrt{6}\lambda + \frac{v}{2} \right) v \right), \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$\frac{dy}{d\tau} = y \left(3(1+y) + x \left(\sqrt{6}(1+\kappa) - 3\omega x + v \right) \right), \quad (51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3(4\omega x+v)}{v} \frac{dv}{d\tau} &= 2\sqrt{6}(1+2\lambda) + \omega x^2 \left(12\omega x - z + 2\sqrt{6}(2\lambda-3) \right) + 3z \dots \\ &\dots - x \left(4\omega(1+3y) + z \left(2\sqrt{6}(1-2\lambda) + z \right) \right) - 3y \left(3z + 2\sqrt{6}(\kappa-2\lambda) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

For the above dynamical systems the dynamical variables are not constraint $\{\Omega_m, x, y, z, v\}$. For instance the effective energy density for the matter source Ω_m can be expressed as $\Omega_m = g_0 e^{(\lambda-1)\phi} \hat{\Omega}_m$, $\hat{\Omega}_m = \frac{\rho_m}{3H^2}$; nevertheless $0 \leq \hat{\Omega}_m \leq 1$, the range of the dynamical variable Ω_m depend on the value of g_0 , and of the scalar $(\lambda-1)\phi$. Below we focus in the case where the dynamical variables take values in the finite regime.

6.1. Dynamical system DS_I

We consider connection Γ_1 where the physical parameters are described by the dynamical system DS_I . In the following we study the phase-space of system DS_I .

The stationary points $A = (x(A), y(A))$ for the dynamical system (42)-(44) describe asymptotic solutions where the effective cosmological fluid has the equation of state parameter $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_1}(x, y) = \left(2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} - \omega x\right) x + y$.

Thus, from the dynamical system (42)-(44) we derive the points:

•

$$A_1 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{(1+2\lambda)}{\omega}, 0 \right),$$

with $\Omega_m(A_1) = 1 + \frac{2(1+2\lambda)^2}{3\omega}$. The stationary point exist for $\omega \neq 0$. It describes a universe where the scalar field and the matter source contribute in the cosmological evolution and $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_1}(A_1) = \frac{2-8\lambda^2}{\omega}$. The physical properties of the asymptotic solution depend on the coupling parameter ω , and on the parameter λ of the interaction term, thus this asymptotic solution can describe the cosmic acceleration for specific values of the free parameters. The eigenvalues of the linearized system (43), (44) around the stationary point are $e_1(A_1) = -\frac{2+8\lambda(1+\lambda)+3\omega}{\omega}$, $e_2(A_1) = 3 + \frac{2(\kappa-2\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}{\omega}$, from where we conclude that A_1 is an attractor when $\kappa < \frac{4\lambda(1+2\lambda)-3\omega}{2(1+2\lambda)}$ with $\{\lambda < -\frac{1}{2}, \omega < -\frac{2}{3}(1+2\lambda)^2\}$, $\{\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}, \omega > 0\}$ or $\kappa > \frac{4\lambda(1+2\lambda)-3\omega}{2(1+2\lambda)}$ with $\{\lambda < -\frac{1}{2}, \omega > 0\}$ or $\{\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}, \omega < -\frac{2}{3}(1+2\lambda)^2\}$.

•

$$A_2 = \left(\frac{1+\kappa}{\sqrt{6}\omega}, -1 - \frac{(1+\kappa)^2}{6\omega} \right),$$

with $\Omega_m(A_2) = 0$, $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_1}(A_2) = -1 + \frac{1-\kappa^2}{3\omega}$ and eigenvalues $e_1(A_2) = -3 - \frac{(1+\kappa)(\kappa-2\lambda)}{\omega}$, $e_2(A_2) = -3 - \frac{(1+\kappa)^2}{2\omega}$. In this asymptotic solution only the scalar field contributes in the universe, and acceleration is occurred for specific values of the free parameters ω and κ . As far as the stability of the point is concerned, for $\kappa = -1$, the point is always an attractor, for $\kappa < -1$ the point is an attractor when $\left\{ \omega < -\frac{(1+\kappa)^2}{6}, \lambda < \frac{\kappa(1+\kappa)+3\omega}{2(1+\kappa)} \right\}$ or $\left\{ \omega > 0, \lambda > \frac{\kappa(1+\kappa)+3\omega}{2(1+\kappa)} \right\}$ while for $\kappa > -1$, the point is an attractor for $\left\{ \omega < -\frac{(1+\kappa)^2}{6}, \lambda > \frac{\kappa(1+\kappa)+3\omega}{2(1+\kappa)} \right\}$ or $\left\{ \omega > 0, \lambda < \frac{\kappa(1+\kappa)+3\omega}{2(1+\kappa)} \right\}$.

TABLE I: Stationary points and physical parameters for dynamical system DS_I .

Point	Existence	Interaction	Ω_m	$w_{eff}^{\Gamma_1}$	Can be Attractor?
A_1	$\omega \neq 0$	Yes	$1 + \frac{2(1+2\lambda)^2}{3\omega}$	$\frac{2-8\lambda^2}{\omega}$	Yes
A_2	$\omega \neq 0$	No	0	$-1 + \frac{1-\kappa^2}{3\omega}$	Yes
A_3	$\kappa \neq 2\lambda$	Yes	$\frac{(1+\kappa)(\kappa-2\lambda)+3\omega}{(\kappa-2\lambda)^2}$	$\frac{2\lambda-1}{\kappa-2\lambda}$	Yes
A_4^\pm	$\omega < 0$	No	0	$1 \pm \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{\omega}}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$	Yes

•

$$A_3 = \left(-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{\kappa - 2\lambda}, \frac{2(\kappa - 2\lambda)(1 + 2\lambda) + 3\omega}{2(\kappa - 2\lambda)^2} \right),$$

with $\Omega_m(A_3) = \frac{(1+\kappa)(\kappa-2\lambda)+3\omega}{(\kappa-2\lambda)^2}$, $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_1}(A_3) = \frac{2\lambda-1}{\kappa-2\lambda}$ and eigenvalues $e_{1,2}(A_3) = \frac{3(1-\kappa+4\lambda)}{4(\kappa-2\lambda)} \pm \sqrt{\frac{9(1-7\kappa^2+8\lambda(7+10\lambda)-\kappa(26+24\lambda))}{16(\kappa-2\lambda)^2} - \frac{3(1+\kappa)(1+2\lambda)}{\omega} - \frac{27\omega}{2(\kappa-2\lambda)^2}}$. The point exist for $\kappa \neq 2\lambda$ and it describes an asymptotic solution where the two fluids contribute in the cosmological evolution. The asymptotic solution describes an acceleration universe for specific values of the free parameters κ and λ . The stationary point is an attractor when the real components of the two eigenvalues are negative, that is, when $\lambda \neq -\frac{1}{2}$, and $\kappa(1+\kappa) + 3\omega < 2\lambda(1+\kappa)$, $\sqrt{(1-\kappa+4\lambda)^3(1-49\kappa+100\lambda)} + \kappa(26+7\kappa+24\lambda) + 48\omega > 1+8\lambda(7+10\lambda)$ or $1 + \sqrt{(1-\kappa+4\lambda)^3(1-49\kappa+100\lambda)} + 8\lambda(7+10\lambda) < \kappa(26+7\kappa+24\lambda) + 48\omega$, $2(\kappa-2\lambda)(1+2\lambda) + 3\omega > 0$. In the special case where $\kappa = -1$, the stationary point is attractor when $\frac{2}{3} < \frac{\omega}{(1+2\lambda)^2} < \frac{5}{6}$.

•

$$A_4^\pm = \left(\pm \frac{i}{\sqrt{\omega}}, 0 \right),$$

with $\Omega_m(A_4^\pm) = 0$, $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_1}(A_4^\pm) = 1 \pm \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{\omega}}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ and eigenvalues $e_1(A_4^\pm) = 3 \pm i(1+2\lambda)\sqrt{\frac{6}{\omega}}$, $e_2(A_4^\pm) = 6 \pm i(1+\kappa)\sqrt{\frac{6}{\omega}}$. The stationary points are real and physically accepted when $\omega < 0$. They describe asymptotic solutions where only the scalar field contributes in the universe and acceleration is occurred only at the stationary point A_4^- for $-\frac{8}{3} < \omega < 0$. Point A_4^+ is an attractor when $\left\{ (1+2\lambda) < -3\sqrt{\frac{|\omega|}{6}}, (1+\kappa) < -6\sqrt{\frac{|\omega|}{6}} \right\}$ while point A_4^- is an attractor for $\left\{ (1+2\lambda) < 3\sqrt{\frac{|\omega|}{6}}, (1+\kappa) < 6\sqrt{\frac{|\omega|}{6}} \right\}$.

The above results are summarized in table I.

6.2. Dynamical system DS_{II}

For the second connection, namely Γ_2 , the evolution of the physical variables is given by the dynamical system DS_{II} .

The stationary points $B = (x(B), y(B), z(B))$ for the dynamical system (45)-(48) describe asymptotic solutions with equation of state parameter for the cosmological fluid $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_2}(x, y, z) = y - x \left(\omega x + z - \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3} \right)$.

We calculate the stationary points:

•

$$B_1 = \left(x_1, 0, - \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \omega x_1 \right) \right),$$

with $\Omega_m(B_1) = 0$ and $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_2}(B_1) = 1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}x_1$. Parameter x_1 is arbitrary, which means that B_1 describes a family of points. The eigenvalues of the linearized system are derived $e_1(B_1) = 0$, $e_2(B_1) = 6 + \sqrt{6}(1 + \kappa)x_1$ and $e_3(B_1) = 3 + \sqrt{6}(1 + 2\lambda)x_1$. The asymptotic solutions given by the family of points B_1 describe cosmic acceleration when $x_1 < -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$. These points have been derived before in [17], and the application of the Center Manifold Theorem indicates that there is not any stable submanifold where the points B_1 are stable.

•

$$B_2 = \left(0, -1, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(1 + \kappa) \right),$$

where $\Omega_m(B_2) = 0$, $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_2}(B_2) = -1$, and eigenvalues $e_1(B_2) = -3$, $e_2(B_2) = -3$ and $e_3(B_2) = -3$. Point B_2 describe the de Sitter universe and it is always an attractor.

•

$$B_3 = \left(0, 0, 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(1 + 2\lambda) \right),$$

where $\Omega_m(B_3) = 1$, $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_2}(B_3) = 0$, and eigenvalues $e_1(B_3) = 3$, $e_2(B_3) = -\frac{3}{2}$ and $e_3(B_3) = -\frac{3}{2}$. The asymptotic solution at point B_3 describe a universe dominated by the dust fluid. B_3 is always a saddle point which means that the asymptotic solution is unstable.

The above results are summarized in table II.

TABLE II: Stationary points and physical parameters for dynamical system DS_{II} .

Point	Existence	Interaction	Ω_m	$w_{eff}^{\Gamma_1}$	Can be Attractor?
B_1	Always	No	0	$1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}x_1$	No
B_2	Always	No	0	-1	Yes
B_3	Always	No	1	0	No

6.3. Dynamical system DS_{III}

For the dynamical system DS_{III} of connection Γ_3 , the stationary points $C = (x(C), y(C), v(C))$ describe asymptotic solutions with $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_3}(x, y, v) = y + x(\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{6} - \omega x + \frac{1}{3}z)$.

The stationary points of the dynamical system (49)-(52) are:

•

$$C_1 = \left(0, -1, -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(1 + \kappa) \right),$$

from where we calculate $\Omega_m(C_1) = 0$, $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_3}(C_1) = -1$ and the three eigenvalues $e_1(C_1) = -5$, $e_2(C_1) = -3$, $e_3(C_1) = 2$. Point C_1 describes a de Sitter universe where the scalar field plays the role of the cosmological constant. From the eigenvalues we infer that the point is always a saddle point.

•

$$C_2 = \left(0, 0, -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(1 + 2\lambda) \right),$$

describes a universe dominated by the dust fluid, $\Omega_m(C_2) = 1$ and $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_3}(C_2) = 0$. The eigenvalues of the linearized system are $e_1(C_2) = 3$, $e_2(C_2) = 3$, $e_3(C_2) = -\frac{1}{2}$ from where infer that C_2 is always a saddle point.

•

$$C_3 = \left(\frac{1 + \kappa}{\sqrt{6}\omega}, -1 - \frac{(1 + \kappa)^2}{6\omega}, 0 \right),$$

with physical variables $\Omega_m(C_3) = 0$ and $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_3}(C_3) = -1 + \frac{1 - \kappa^2}{3\omega}$. The asymptotic solution describes a universe dominated by the scalar field, while acceleration can be occurred for specific values of the free parameters. The eigenvalues of the linearized system are $e_1(C_3) = -3 - \frac{(1 + \kappa)(\kappa - 2\lambda)}{\omega}$,

$e_2(C_3) = -3 - \frac{(1+\kappa)^2}{2\omega}$ and $e_3(C_3) = \frac{\kappa(2+3\kappa)-1+10\omega}{6\omega}$. Hence, point C_3 is an attractor when $\left\{ \kappa < -1, \frac{(1+\kappa)(3\kappa-1)}{10} < \omega < -\frac{(1+\kappa)^2}{6}, \lambda < \frac{\kappa(1+\kappa)+3\omega}{2(1+\kappa)} \right\}$ or $\left\{ -1 < \kappa < -\frac{1}{3}, 0 < \omega < \frac{(1+\kappa)(3\kappa-1)}{10} \right\}$ or $\left\{ \kappa > 2, \frac{(1+\kappa)(3\kappa-1)}{10} < \omega < -\frac{(1+\kappa)^2}{6}, \lambda > \frac{\kappa(1+\kappa)+3\omega}{2(1+\kappa)} \right\}$.

$$C_4 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1+2\lambda}{\omega}, 0, 0 \right),$$

where $\Omega_m(C_4) = 1 + \frac{2+8\lambda(1+\lambda)}{3\omega}$, $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_3}(C_4) = \frac{2(1-4\lambda^2)}{3\omega}$ and eigenvalues $e_1(C_4) = 3 + \frac{2(\kappa-2\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}{\omega}$, $e_2(C_4) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{4\lambda(1+3\lambda)-1}{3}$, $e_3(C_4) = -\frac{3}{2} - \frac{4\lambda(1+\lambda)+1}{\omega}$.

This point describes a solution where the scalar field and the dust fluid contributes in the cosmological solution. Point C_4 is an attractor if the free parameters are constraint as follows $\left\{ \lambda < -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{(1-6\lambda)}{1+2\lambda} < \frac{\omega}{(1+2\lambda)^2} < -\frac{2}{3}, \kappa < \frac{4\lambda(1+2\lambda)-3\omega}{2(1+2\lambda)} \right\}$ or $\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < \frac{1}{6}, 0 < \frac{\omega}{(1+2\lambda)^2} < 2\frac{(1-6\lambda)}{(1+2\lambda)}, \kappa < \frac{4\lambda(1+2\lambda)-3\omega}{2(1+2\lambda)} \right\}$ or $\left\{ \lambda > \frac{1}{4}, \frac{(1-6\lambda)}{1+2\lambda} < \frac{\omega}{(1+2\lambda)^2} < -\frac{2}{3}, \kappa > \frac{4\lambda(1+2\lambda)-3\omega}{2(1+2\lambda)} \right\}$.

$$C_5^\pm = \left(\pm \frac{i}{\sqrt{\omega}}, 0, 0 \right),$$

exist when $\omega < 0$. The physical properties of the asymptotic solutions are similar to that of points A_4^\pm , that is, $\Omega_m(C_5^\pm) = 0$ and $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_3}(C_5^\pm) = 1 \pm \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{\omega}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$. We calculate the eigenvalues of the linearized system $e_1(C_5^\pm) = 3 \pm i(1+2\lambda) \sqrt{\frac{6}{\omega}}$, $e_2(C_5^\pm) = 6 \pm i(1+\kappa) \sqrt{\frac{6}{\omega}}$ and $e_3(C_5^\pm) = -\left(\frac{4}{3} \pm 2i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\omega}}\right)$. Thus, point C_5^+ is attractor for $(1+2\lambda) < -3\sqrt{\frac{|\omega|}{6}}$, $(1+\kappa) < -6\sqrt{\frac{|\omega|}{6}}$, while point C_5^- is attractor for $\left\{ (1+2\lambda) < 3\sqrt{\frac{|\omega|}{6}}, (1+\kappa) < 6\sqrt{\frac{|\omega|}{6}}, \omega < -\frac{3}{2} \right\}$.

$$C_6^\pm = \left(\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1-2\omega}}{\sqrt{6\omega}}, 0, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} (-2 \pm \sqrt{1-2\omega}) \right),$$

where $\Omega_m(C_6^\pm) = 0$ and $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_3}(C_6^\pm) = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{2(1 \pm \sqrt{1-2\omega})}{\omega}$. The points are real when $\omega \leq \frac{1}{2}$, where easy we can infer that only the asymptotic solution at point C_6^+ can describe cosmic acceleration for $-\frac{3}{2} < \omega < 0$. The eigenvalues are studied numerically. We find that point C_6^- can not be an attractor, while point C_6^+ is attractor

when $\{\omega < 0, \kappa > -\frac{4}{3} + \frac{5}{3}\sqrt{1-2\omega}\}$ or $\{0 < \omega < \frac{1}{2}, \kappa < -\frac{4}{3} + \frac{5}{3}\sqrt{1-2\omega}\}$ and λ is constraint as given in Fig. 1.

- $$C_7 = \left(\frac{5}{1-3\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \frac{2(9\kappa(8+3\kappa)+50\omega-9)}{3(1-3\kappa)^2}, \frac{\sqrt{6}(1-\kappa(2+3\kappa)+10\omega)}{2(1-3\kappa)} \right),$$

with $\Omega_m(C_7) = 0$ and $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_3}(C_7) = \frac{\kappa-7}{3(3\kappa-1)}$. The point exist for $\kappa \neq \frac{1}{3}$ and describes an accelerated universe for $\frac{1}{3} < \kappa < 2$. The eigenvalues are determined numerically. In Fig. 2 we present region plots in the space of the free parameters $\{\kappa, \lambda, \omega\}$ where the stationary point is attractor.

- $$C_8 = \left(-\frac{1}{\kappa-2\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \frac{2(\kappa-2\lambda)(1+2\lambda)+3\omega}{2(\kappa-2\lambda)^2}, 0 \right),$$

from where we calculate $\Omega_m(C_8) = \frac{(1+\kappa)(\kappa-2\lambda)+3\omega}{(\kappa-2\lambda)}$ and $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_3}(C_8) = \frac{2\lambda-1}{\kappa-2\lambda}$. The stationary point exist for $\kappa-2\lambda \neq 0$ and it can describe cosmic acceleration for specific values of the free parameters κ, λ . The eigenvalues are studied numerically. In Fig. 3 we present region plots in the space of the free parameters $\{\kappa, \lambda, \omega\}$ where the stationary point C_8 is attractor.

- $$C_9 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}(1-6\lambda)}, 0, \frac{\sqrt{6}(\omega-2+8\lambda(1+3\lambda))}{2(1-6\lambda)} \right),$$

with $\Omega_m(C_9) = \frac{2(12\lambda(6\lambda-1)+\omega)}{3(1-6\lambda)^2}$ and $w_{eff}^{\Gamma_3}(C_9) = \frac{1-2\lambda}{3(1-6\lambda)}$. The stationary point exist for $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{6}$ and it describes an accelerated universe for $\frac{1}{6} < \lambda < \frac{1}{4}$. The eigenvalues are studied numerically. In Fig. 4 we present region plots in the space of the free parameters $\{\kappa, \lambda, \omega\}$ where the stationary point C_9 is attractor.

The above results are summarized in table III.

7. ARBITRARY POTENTIAL

Let us now focus in the case of the exponential coupling function (24) with the arbitrary scalar field potential $V(\phi)$ where now parameter κ is not a constant. Specifically, the




FIG. 1: Region plot in the two-dimensional plane $\{\lambda, \omega\}$, where point C_6^+ is attractor.




FIG. 2: Region plots in the space of the free parameters $\{\kappa, \lambda, \omega\}$ where the three eigenvalues of the dynamical system DS_{III} around the stationary point C_7 have negative real parts and C_7 is attractor.




FIG. 3: Region plots in the space of the free parameters $\{\kappa, \lambda, \omega\}$ where the three eigenvalues of the dynamical system DS_{III} around the stationary point C_8 have negative real parts and C_8 is attractor.

evolution of parameter κ is given by the first-order differential equation

$$\frac{d\kappa}{d\tau} = \sqrt{6}x\kappa^2 (\Lambda(\kappa) - 1) , \quad \Lambda(\kappa) = \frac{V_{,\phi\phi}V}{(V_{,\phi})^2}. \quad (53)$$

Hence, for an arbitrary potential $V(\phi)$, the dimension of the dynamical systems DS_I , DS_{II} and DS_{III} is increased by one, where the new equation is (53). The stationary points of the dynamical systems DS_I , DS_{II} and DS_{III} should satisfy the additional constraint $\sqrt{6}x\kappa^2 (\Lambda(\kappa) - 1) = 0$. Thus, if κ_0 is a root of the algebraic equation $\kappa_0^2 (\Lambda(\kappa_0) - 1) = 0$, then the previous stationary points for the exponential potential are recovered. Additionally, the new stationary point which is introduced in the dynamical system is that with $x = 0$. For the dynamical system DS_I stationary points with $x = 0$, exist only when $\kappa = -1$ or $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$. For the dynamical system DS_{II} , we find only the stationary points B_2 and B_3 , while for the dynamical system DS_{III} the stationary points C_1 and C_2 exist. Hence, the physical properties of the asymptotic solutions remain the same with the exponential




FIG. 4: Region plots in the space of the free parameters $\{\kappa, \lambda, \omega\}$ where the three eigenvalues of the dynamical system DS_{III} around the stationary point C_8 have negative real parts and C_8 is attractor.

potential. However, because of the new dynamical variable, the stability properties are affected by the definition of function $\Lambda(\kappa)$.

If we consider the general coupling function $f(\phi)$, then, λ is a dynamical variables and satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau} = \sqrt{6}x\lambda^2 \left(\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda) - 1 \right), \quad \hat{\Lambda}(\lambda) = \frac{f_{,\phi\phi}f}{(f_{,\phi})^2}. \quad (54)$$

When the scalar field potential $V(\phi)$ is the exponential function, such that κ is constant, then the stationary points for the extended dynamical systems DS_I , DS_{II} and DS_{III} are similar with that which discussed before. Nevertheless, when κ and λ are not constants, parameters λ and κ are not independent, and we can express $\lambda = \lambda(\kappa)$. However, the families of the stationary points are these which we have already discussed. Hence, our main conclusions holds and for other functional forms for the scalar field potential and the coupling function.

TABLE III: Stationary points and physical parameters for dynamical system DS_{III} .

Point	Existence	Interaction	Ω_m	$\mathbf{w}_{eff}^{\Gamma_1}$	Can be Attractor?
C_1	Always	No	0	-1	No
C_2	Always	No	1	0	No
C_3	$\omega \neq 0$	No	0	$-1 + \frac{1-\kappa^2}{3\omega}$	Yes
C_4	$\omega \neq 0$	Yes	$1 + \frac{2+8\lambda(1+\lambda)}{3\omega}$	$\frac{2(1-4\lambda^2)}{3\omega}$	Yes
C_5	$\omega < 0$	No	0	$1 \pm \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{\omega}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$	Yes
C_6^\pm	$\omega \leq \frac{1}{2}$	No	0	$\frac{1}{9} + \frac{2(1 \pm \sqrt{1-2\omega})}{\omega}$	C_6^+ Yes
C_7	$\kappa \neq \frac{1}{3}$	No	0	$\frac{\kappa-7}{3(3\kappa-1)}$	Yes
C_8	$\kappa \neq 2\lambda$	Yes	$\frac{(1+\kappa)(\kappa-2\lambda)+3\omega}{(\kappa-2\lambda)}$	$\frac{2\lambda-1}{\kappa-2\lambda}$	Yes
C_9	$\lambda \neq \frac{1}{6}$	Yes	$\frac{2(12\lambda(6\lambda-1)+\omega)}{3(1-6\lambda)^2}$	$\frac{1-2\lambda}{3(1-6\lambda)}$	Yes

8. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we considered an interaction within the components of the dark sector of the universe within the framework of gravitational theory with nonmetricity. Specifically, we employed a pressureless fluid source to describe the dark matter component of the universe, coupled with a scalar field that is nonminimally coupled to the nonmetricity tensor to account for the universe's acceleration. To model this interaction, we introduced a coupling function in the Action Integral, which gives rise to a Chameleon mechanism.

For this gravitational model, we conducted a comprehensive analysis of the dynamics governing the cosmological field equations within a spatially flat FLRW geometry. We found that the cosmological history depends on the selection of the connection which defines the nonmetricity scalar. We remark that the definition of a flat and symmetric connection in FLRW geometry is not unique, and for the spatially flat geometry there are three families of connections.

Connection Γ_1 provides the cosmological model with the fewest number of dynamical variables. Utilizing the Hubble normalization approach, we computed the stationary points for this connection. The field equations corresponding to connection Γ_1 yield four families of stationary points as summarized in Table I. Two of these families describe universes with a nontrivial interaction term, while the rest two points when they exist describe scaling solutions, which can correspond to the asymptotic behaviour of the early or time acceleration phases of the universe.

Moving to the second connection, Γ_2 , we found that the field equations admit three families of stationary points as presented in Table II. However, none of these points describe asymptotic solutions with an interaction between the matter components of the universe. Lastly, the field equations of connection Γ_3 allow for the maximum number of stationary points, with nine families of such points identified with physical properties are given in Table III. There are three stationary points with nonzero interaction term, one stationary point where the matter source dominates in the cosmic evolution and five stationary points where the scalar field dominates in the universe.

Among these, three families support the Chameleon mechanism. It is important to note that our analysis was performed within a finite regime. Although the dynamical variables are not constrained and can take values at infinity, we omitted the analysis at infinity due to our finding that the stationary points of the vacuum scalar nonmetricity model are recovered in this regime.

The findings from this study can serve as selection rules for the connection in nonmetricity gravity when interaction is required in the gravitational model. For connection Γ_2 we did not find any stationary point with nonzero contribution of the Chameleon mechanism. From the Klein-Gordon equation (35) of the second scalar field, it follows that the scalar field has an exact solution, and there is not any contribution of the matter source to the mass of the scalar field. It is clear that either in the perturbation level that will not change. On the other hand, for the rest two connections, namely, connections while for connections Γ_1 and Γ_3 , the admitted stationary points can describe the major eras of the cosmological evolution, while they provide asymptotic solutions with interacting fluids.

Indeed, coexistence and nonzero interaction in the dark sector of the universe is provided only by the connections Γ_1 and Γ_3 . Thus, this study can be used to define criteria for the selection of the connection in nonmetricity theory. Indeed, if interaction is essential in the dark sector, then connection Γ_2 is excluded from being physically accepted.

At this stage it is important to mention that because of the introduction of the Chameleon mechanism, that is, of the nonzero parameter λ , new stationary points of the cosmological field equations exist, with different physical properties and stability behaviour for the majority of the stationary points, either for that which does not describe coexistence and they do not support a Chameleon term.

Recent studies [61, 62] on the analysis of the cosmological perturbations in $f(Q)$ -gravity

indicate the pathological character of the theory because of the presence of ghost or of the strong coupling problem. Although the symmetric teleparallel Brans-Dicke model (11) is equivalent to $f(Q)$ -gravity when $\omega = 0$, in the cosmological perturbation theory, for $\omega \neq 0$, the scalar field perturbations provides dynamical degrees of freedom, which may overpass the pathologies of $f(Q)$ -theory. On the other hand, the existence of the Chameleon mechanism can affect the dynamical evolution for the cosmological perturbations [63], such that to solve the strong coupling problem. However, such analysis is out of the scopus of this work and will be studied elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

AP thanks the support of VRIDT through Resolución VRIDT No. 096/2022 and Resolución VRIDT No. 098/2022.

-
- [1] L. Järv, M. Rünkla, M. Saal and O. Vilson, Phys. Rev. D 97, 124025 (2018)
 - [2] J.M. Nester and H.-J. Yo, Chin. J. Phys. 37, 113 (1999)
 - [3] J. Beltran, J.L. Heisenberg and T.S. Coivisto, Universe 5, 173 (2019)
 - [4] J. B. Jiménez, L. Heisenberg and T. S. Koivisto, Phys. Rev. D 98, 044048 (2018)
 - [5] J. B. Jiménez, L. Heisenberg, T. S. Koivisto and S. Pekar, Phys. Rev. D 101, 103507 (2020)
 - [6] L. Heisenberg, Review on $f(Q)$ Gravity, Phys. Rept. 1066, 1 (2024)
 - [7] R. Solanki, A. De and P.K. Sahoo, Phys. Dark Univ. 36, 100996 (2022)
 - [8] B.J. Barros, T. Barreiro, T. Koivisto and N.J. Nunes, Phys. Dark Univ. 30, 100616 (2020)
 - [9] H.A. Buchdahl, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 150, 1 (1970)
 - [10] J.D. Barrow and A.C. Ottewill, J. Phys. A 16, 2757 (1983)
 - [11] C. Brans and R.H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. 124, 195 (1961)
 - [12] T.P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 451 (2010)
 - [13] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, Phys. Rep. 505, 59 (2011)
 - [14] P.P. Fiziev, Phys. Rev. D 87, 044053 (2013)
 - [15] A. Paliathanasis, Eur. Phys. J. C 84, 125 (2024)
 - [16] V. Gakis, M. Krššák, J.L. Said and E.N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D 101, 064024 (2020)

- [17] N. Dimakis, K.J. Duffy, A. Giacomini, A. Yu. Kamenshchik, G. Leon and A. Paliathanasis, *Phys. Dark Univ.* 44, 101436 (2024)
- [18] F. K. Anagnostopoulos, S. Basilakos and E.N. Saridakis, *Phys. Lett. B* 136634, 822 (2021)
- [19] R. Lazkoz, F. S. N. Lobo, M. Ortiz-Baños and V. Salzano, *Phys. Rev. D* 100, 104027 (2019)
- [20] M. Koussour and A. De, *Eur. J. Phys. C* 83, 400 (2023)
- [21] J. Shi, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 83, 951 (2023)
- [22] Y. L. Bolotin, A. Kostenko, O. A. Lemets and D. A. Yerokhin, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* 24, 1530007 (2015)
- [23] R. von Marttens, L. Casarini, D. F. Mota and W. Zimdahl, *Phys. Dark Univ.* 23, 100248 (2019)
- [24] W. Yang, S. Pan, E. Di Valentino, R. C. Nunes, S. Vagnozzi and D. F. Mota, *JCAP* 1809, 019, (2018)
- [25] W. Yang, S. Pan, R. Herrera and S. Chakraborty, *Phys. Rev. D* 98, 043517 (2018)
- [26] T. Clemson, K. Koyama, G. B. Zhao, R. Maartens and J. Valiviita *Phys. Rev. D* 85, 043007 (2012)
- [27] S. Pan and G. S. Sharov, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 472, 4736 (2017)
- [28] E. Majerotto, J. Valiviita and R. Maartens, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 402, 2344 (2010)
- [29] J. Khoury and A. Weltman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93, 171104 (2004)
- [30] J. Khoury and A. Weltman, *Phys. Rev. D* 69, 044026 (2004)
- [31] J.M. Salim and S.L. Sautu, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 13, 353 (1996)
- [32] J.M.Salim and S.Sautu, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 15, 203 (1998)
- [33] J.M. Salim and S. Sautu, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 16, 3281 (1999)
- [34] A. Gomez-Valent, V. Pettorino and L. Amendola, *Phys. Rev. D* 101, 123513 (2020)
- [35] Y.-H. Yao and X.-M. Meng, *Phys. Dark Universe* 39, 101165 (2023)
- [36] L. Amendola, Coupled Quintessence, *Phys. Rev. D* 62, 043511 (2000)
- [37] L. Amendola and C. Quercellini, *TPhys. Rev. D* 68, 023514 (2003)
- [38] D. Pavón and W. Zimdahl, *Phys. Lett. B* 628, 206 (2005)
- [39] P. Brax, C. van de Bruck, A.-C. Davis, J. Khoury and A. Weltman, *Phys. Rev. D* 70, 123518 (2004)
- [40] C. Burrage and J. Salkstein, *Living Rev. Relativity* 21, 1 (2018)
- [41] V. Anastassopoulos et al. (CAST), *Phys. Lett. B* 749, 172 (2015)

- [42] S. Vagnozzi, L. Visinelli, P. Brax, A.-C. Davis and J. Sakstein, *Phys. Rev. D* 104, 063023 (2021)
- [43] T. Kumar, S. Roy, *Phys. Rev. D* 109, 063033 (2024)
- [44] B. Elder and J. Sakstein, Constraining the chameleon-photon coupling with atomic spectroscopy (2023) [arXiv:2305.15638]
- [45] A. Paliathanasis, *Universe* 7, 244 (2021)
- [46] A. Collinucci, M. Nielsen and T. Van Riet, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 22, 1269 (2005)
- [47] T. Gonzales, G. Leon and I. Quiros, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 23, 3165 (2006)
- [48] A.A. Coley, *Phys. Rev. D* 62, 023517 (2000)
- [49] R. Lazkoz and G. Leon, *Phys. Lett. B* 638, 303 (2006)
- [50] H. Farajollahi and A. Salehi, *JCAP* 07, 036 (2011)
- [51] A. Paliathanasis, *Phys. Dark Univ.* 41, 101255 (2023)
- [52] W. Khyllep, J. Dutta, E.N. Saridakis, K. Yesmakhanova, *Phys. Rev. D* 107, 044022 (2023)
- [53] H. Shabani, A. De and T.-H. Loo, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 83, 535 (2023)
- [54] A. Paliathanasis, *Phys. Dark Univ.* 42, 101355 (2023)
- [55] D.S. Rana, R. Solanki and P.K. Sahoo, *Phys. Dark Univ.* 43, 101421 (2024)
- [56] C.G. Boehmer and E. Jensko, *Phys. Rev. D* 104, 024010 (2021)
- [57] L. Järvi and L. Pati, *Phys. Rev. D* 109, 064069 (2024)
- [58] M. Hohmann, *Phys. Rev. D* 104 124077 (2021)
- [59] A. Paliathanasis, M. Tsamparlis, S. Basilakos and J.D. Barrow, *Phys. Rev. D* 91, 123535 (2015)
- [60] E.J. Copeland, A.R. Liddle and D. Wands, *Phys. Rev. D* 57, 4686 (1998)
- [61] D.A. Gomes, J.B. Jimenez, A. Cano and T.S. Koivisto, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 132, 141401 (2024)
- [62] L. Heisenberg, M. Hohmann and S. Kuhn, *JCAP* 03, 063 (2024)
- [63] D.F. Mota and H.A Winther, *ApJ* 7, 733 (2011)