

CARTAN SEMIGROUPS AND TWISTED GROUPOID C*-ALGEBRAS

TRISTAN BICE, LISA ORLOFF CLARK, YING-FEN LIN, AND KATHRYN MCCORMICK

ABSTRACT. We prove that twisted groupoid C*-algebras are characterised, up to isomorphism, by having *Cartan semigroups*, a natural generalisation of normaliser semigroups of Cartan subalgebras. This extends the classic Kumjian-Renault theory to general twisted étale groupoid C*-algebras, even non-reduced C*-algebras of non-effective groupoids.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Cartan Semigroups	8
4. Algebraic Properties	11
5. The Restriction Relation	13
6. The Expectation	15
7. The Domination Relation	17
8. The Ultrafilter Groupoid	19
9. Source and Range States	23
10. The Twist	27
11. The Representation	29
12. Normalisers vs MASAs	33
13. Domination Variants	36
References	38

1. INTRODUCTION

Groupoid C*-algebras have been playing an ever increasing role in C*-algebra theory since their inception in [28]. Indeed, it is rare to meet a C*-algebra that can not be built in a natural way from some groupoid. This begs the question – what exactly distinguishes groupoid C*-algebras from arbitrary C*-algebras? For reduced C*-algebras of twisted effective étale groupoids, the Kumjian-Renault theory developed in [22] and [29] provides a complete answer – these are (up to isomorphism) precisely the C*-algebras A which have a *Cartan subalgebra* B , i.e. a maximal commutative C*-subalgebra (MASA) whose normalisers $N(B)$ generate A and which is the range of a faithful conditional expectation $E : A \rightarrow B$. And while a particular C*-algebra may arise from different twisted

Date: 9th July 2024.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05 (primary), 22A22 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Twisted groupoid C*-algebra, Cartan subalgebra.

Research of the first-named author was supported by GAČR project 22-07833K and RVO: 67985840. The second-named author was supported by a Marsden grant from the Royal Society of New Zealand. The third-named author thanks Victoria University of Wellington for their hospitality during her visit, funded by Queen's University Belfast, to the second-named author. The fourth-named author also thanks the second-named author and Victoria University of Wellington for their hospitality during her visit, as well as supplementing funding by CSULB.

effective étale groupoids, the choice of Cartan subalgebra B completely determines the groupoid, which can be constructed directly from its normaliser semigroup $N(B)$. Indeed, in the resulting twisted groupoid C^* -algebra, B gets identified with the *diagonal subalgebra* consisting of functions supported on the unit space, while its normalisers $N(B)$ get identified with the *monomial semigroup* consisting of functions supported on bisections. Cartan subalgebras and their normalisers thus again completely characterise diagonal subalgebras and monomial semigroups of reduced C^* -algebras of twisted effective étale groupoids.

But what of non-effective étale groupoids? Even recovering such a groupoid from its reduced C^* -algebra and diagonal subalgebra then becomes an impossible task in general (e.g. \mathbb{Z}_4 and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ give rise to the same Cartan pair [13]), although in some cases this can be achieved under some strong conditions on the isotropy, as in [13], or in the presence of a dual group action, as in [12]. In the algebraic setting of Steinberg algebras of ample groupoids, an analog of the Kumjian-Renault theory has been established in work of the last three authors and coauthors in [3] which does indeed apply to some non-effective groupoids, namely those satisfying the ‘local bisection hypothesis’. However, the C^* -algebraic version of the local bisection hypothesis has been shown recently in work of the last three authors and coauthors in [2] to only apply to effective groupoids, thus ruling out a similar approach in the C^* -algebra setting.

Our goal here is to show that an entirely satisfactory extension of the Kumjian-Renault theory to C^* -algebras of general twisted étale groupoids can be achieved by shifting focus from the diagonal subalgebra to the monomial semigroup. This approach is in line with previous work of the first two authors in [8] showing how to recover general étale groupoids from ‘bumpy semigroups’ of bisection-supported functions. Similarly, the algebraic analog of the Kumjian-Renault theory mentioned above in [3] has recently been extended by the first author to bundles over general ample groupoids in [5] and their resulting Steinberg rings, which have a distinguished semigroup as a defining part of their structure.

Accordingly, here we introduce *Cartan semigroups* as the appropriate generalisation of normaliser semigroups of Cartan subalgebras. Indeed, a Cartan semigroup N is still required to generate the ambient C^* -algebra A and to contain a commutative *semi-Cartan subalgebra* B (generated by the positive elements of N), which is also the range of a conditional expectation $E : A \rightarrow B$. However, we do not require B to be a MASA – instead we place a weaker ‘stability’ condition on our expectation E which still ensures its uniqueness and that the groupoid we build is Hausdorff. We do not even need to assume our expectation E is faithful and, as a result, our work applies not only to reduced C^* -algebras but also full C^* -algebras and all exotic C^* -completions in between.

With these Cartan semigroups we are able to prove exactly the same kind of results even for non-effective groupoids. Specifically, we show that twisted groupoid C^* -algebras are completely characterised (up to isomorphism) by having a Cartan semigroup. We show that the resulting semi-Cartan subalgebras B characterise diagonal subalgebras, while *summable* Cartan semigroups (those that are also closed under *compatible sums*) characterise monomial semigroups on the groupoid side. Most of the paper is devoted to proving the following:

Theorem 1.1. [Corollary 11.11] *Let A be a C^* -algebra containing a Cartan semigroup N with semi-Cartan subalgebra B generated by the positive elements of N and a stable expectation $E : A \rightarrow B$ (see Definition 3.1). We then have an isomorphism Ψ from A onto a twisted groupoid C^* -algebra $C = \text{cl}(C_c(\Sigma; G))$. Moreover,*

- (1) *If N is summable then $\Psi(N)$ is the monomial semigroup $\text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$, where $N_c(\Sigma; G) = \{a \in C(\Sigma; G) : q(\overline{\text{supp}}(a)) \text{ is a compact bisection}\}$.*
- (2) *If E is faithful then $C = \Psi(A)$ is the reduced C^* -algebra $C_r^*(\Sigma; G)$.*

What is more, if we start with a twisted groupoid C*-algebra, then its monomial semigroup is a Cartan semigroup and its usual diagonal is a semi-Cartan subalgebra by Proposition 3.3, and the original twist is recovered by Theorem 1.1, see Remark 10.4.

Incidentally, while the Cartan semigroup N may be distinct from the normaliser semigroup $N(B)$ used in the Kumjian-Renault theory, N is always contained in $N(B)$, by Lemma 4.1. In fact, if $N = N(B)$ then B is a MASA, by Theorem 12.3. If the expectation E is also faithful then, by (2) above, our result reduces to the classical Kumjian-Renault result. Even in this case, however, our work has some added value, as we build groupoids Σ and G using ultrafilters, in contrast to the original construction via a groupoid of germs. The advantage of ultrafilters is that they have a nice general theory which parallels the classical theory of maximal ideals. Thus, even for the original normaliser semigroups of Cartan subalgebras, our work provides an alternative approach to the Kumjian-Renault theory.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we establish notation and preliminaries for twisted groupoid C*-algebras. In Section 3, we define Cartan semigroups and semi-Cartan subalgebras, and develop the building blocks we need. In Section 7-Section 8, we introduce the domination relation and the groupoid of ultrafilters defined by this relation. Section 9 lays the groundwork for the twist, while Section 10 defines the twist and quotient map. In Section 11, we conclude our goal of representing a semi-Cartan pair as a twisted groupoid C*-algebra, and Section 12 spells out the specific case when we have a MASA. In the final section, we compare some variants of the domination relation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Normed Spaces. As usual, a *norm* on a complex vector space A is a subadditive function $\|\cdot\| : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ which is non-zero on $A \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfies $\|za\| = |z|\|a\|$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in A$. The unit ball of A with respect to a given norm $\|\cdot\|$ is then denoted by

$$A^1 = \{a \in A : \|a\| \leq 1\}.$$

A *contraction* is a linear map $D : A \rightarrow B$ between normed spaces with $D(A^1) \subseteq B^1$. If an operator $D : A \rightarrow A$ is contractive with respect to some norm $\|\cdot\|$ then we call that norm *D-contractive*. If $D : A \rightarrow A$ is contractive and idempotent (that is, $D \circ D = D$), then we call it an *expectation*. If A is a *-algebra then a *C*-norm* on A is a submultiplicative norm with

$$\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2,$$

for all $a \in A$. The completion of A with respect to any C*-norm is then a C*-algebra. Note that by [10, II.6.10.3] an expectation between C*-algebras is a conditional expectation in the traditional sense of [10, II.6.10.1].

For any function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we denote its *support* by

$$\text{supp}(f) := \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}.$$

We also define the (at this stage possibly infinite valued) *supremum norm* of f by

$$\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

The C*-algebra of bounded functions on X will then be denoted by

$$\ell^\infty(X) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|f\|_\infty < \infty\}.$$

If X is a topological space, we also denote the *open support* (respectively, *closed support*) of $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{supp}^\circ(f) &:= \text{int}(\text{supp}(f)) \\ \overline{\text{supp}}(f) &:= \text{cl}(\text{supp}(f)).\end{aligned}$$

We further denote the (compactly supported) continuous \mathbb{C} -valued functions on X by

$$\begin{aligned}C(X) &:= \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\} \text{ and} \\ C_c(X) &:= \{f \in C(X) : \overline{\text{supp}}(f) \text{ is compact}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\text{supp}^\circ(f) = \text{supp}(f)$, for any $f \in C(X)$ – from now on we usually write $\text{supp}^\circ(f)$ rather than $\text{supp}(f)$ to clearly distinguish open supports from closed supports. Also note that $C_c(X) \subseteq \ell^\infty(X)$, so we can define the continuous functions *vanishing at infinity* as the closure of $C_c(X)$ in $\ell^\infty(X)$. We denote these by

$$C_0(X) := \text{cl}_\infty(C_c(X)).$$

The classic Gelfand duality tells us that every commutative C^* -algebra B is isomorphic to one of the form $C_0(X)$, for some locally compact Hausdorff space X . Specifically, B may be identified with $C_0(X_B)$, where X_B is the set of all maximal ideals $I \subseteq B$ with the hull-kernel topology, i.e. generated by $\{X_b\}_{b \in B}$ where

$$X_b = \{I \in X_B : b \notin I\}.$$

More precisely, for each $I \in X_B$, there is a unique character $\langle I \rangle : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\ker(\langle I \rangle) = I$ and each $b \in B$ can be identified with $b \in C_0(X_B)$ defined by $b(I) = \langle I \rangle(b)$. Whenever convenient, we will use this identification of B with $C_0(X_B)$ in our arguments as well as the continuous functional calculus it leads too. Specifically, any continuous function f on \mathbb{C} with $f(0) = 0$ can be applied to any normal element a of a C^* -algebra A to yield another element $f(a) \in A$ identified with the function on $X_{C^*(a)}$ defined by $f(a)(I) = f(a(I))$ (where $C^*(a)$ denotes the C^* -subalgebra of A generated by a).

2.2. Twists. There are various definitions of twisted groupoids in the literature, e.g. see [1, 12, 11, 14, 22, 24, 29, 30]. For us it will be convenient to use a generalisation from [22] of the original definition of a twist as a principal bundle of groupoids.

If G is a groupoid, we let $G^{(0)}$ denote the unit space of G , $G^{(2)}$ denote the collection of composable pairs, and let $s : G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ and $r : G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ be the source and range maps, respectively. Recall that if G is a groupoid, then $O \subseteq G$ is called a *bisection* of G if $O^{-1}O \subseteq G^{(0)}$ and $OO^{-1} \subseteq G^{(0)}$. A groupoid is *étale* when it carries a topology with a basis of open bisections which is closed under pointwise products and inverses.

Definition 2.1. A \mathbb{T} -groupoid is a Hausdorff topological groupoid Σ on which we have a free continuous action of \mathbb{T} such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $(e, f) \in \Sigma^{(2)}$,

$$t(e, f) = (te)f = e(tf).$$

A *twist* is a continuous open groupoid homomorphism $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ from a \mathbb{T} -groupoid Σ onto a locally compact Hausdorff étale groupoid G such that \mathbb{T} acts transitively on each fibre (i.e. such that $q^{-1}(\{q(e)\}) = \mathbb{T}e$, for all $e \in \Sigma$).

The first thing to note is that twists restrict to homeomorphisms of unit spaces.

Proposition 2.2. *Any twist $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ restricted to $\Sigma^{(0)}$ is a homeomorphism onto $G^{(0)}$.*

Proof. Since q is a groupoid homomorphism, we have $q(\Sigma^{(0)}) \subseteq G^{(0)}$. For injectivity, suppose $e, f \in \Sigma^{(0)}$ with $q(e) = q(f)$. Then $e = tf$, for some $t \in \mathbb{T}$, by the transitivity of the action on each fibre. But then $\bar{t}e = \bar{t}(tf) = (\bar{t}t)e = fe$, so $s(f) = r(e)$ and hence

$e = f$ as they are units. Also, since q is surjective, for any $g \in G^{(0)}$ we have $e \in \Sigma$ with $q(e) = g$ and hence $q(\mathfrak{s}(e)) = \mathfrak{s}(q(e)) = \mathfrak{s}(g) = g$. This shows that q maps $\Sigma^{(0)}$ onto $G^{(0)}$.

As q is continuous on Σ , its restriction to $\Sigma^{(0)}$ is continuous. It only remains to show that the restriction is also an open map. Accordingly, say $g_\lambda \rightarrow g$ in $G^{(0)}$. As q is an open map, we have a subnet (g_γ) and another net $(e_\gamma) \subseteq \Sigma$ with $q(e_\gamma) = g_\gamma$, for all γ , and $e_\gamma \rightarrow q|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}^{-1}(g)$, by [17, §II.13.2]. For each γ , we then see that $\mathfrak{s}(e_\gamma) \in \Sigma^{(0)}$ and $q(\mathfrak{s}(e_\gamma)) = \mathfrak{s}(q(e_\gamma)) = \mathfrak{s}(g_\gamma) = g_\gamma$. Also $\mathfrak{s}(e_\gamma) \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}(q|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}^{-1}(g)) = q|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}^{-1}(g)$, showing $q|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}$ is an open map, again by [17, §II.13.2]. \square

Remark 2.3. The proof of Proposition 2.2 applies equally well to ‘pretwists’ $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$, which are just like twists but without the requirement that Σ is Hausdorff. Indeed, we can use Proposition 2.2 to show that, for any pretwist $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) Σ is Hausdorff.
- (2) $\Sigma^{(0)}$ is closed in Σ .
- (3) $q^{-1}(G^{(0)})$ is Hausdorff.
- (4) $\Sigma^{(0)}$ is closed in $q^{-1}(G^{(0)})$.

To see this, first recall that a topological groupoid Γ is Hausdorff precisely when its unit space $\Gamma^{(0)}$ is both Hausdorff and closed in Γ . As $\Sigma^{(0)}$ is Hausdorff, taking Γ to be Σ and $q^{-1}(G^{(0)})$ respectively yields (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) and (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) thanks to Proposition 2.2 and the assumption that $G^{(0)}$ is Hausdorff. On the other hand, taking Γ to be G tells us that $G^{(0)}$ is closed and hence $q^{-1}(G^{(0)})$ is closed too, as q is continuous, from which (2) \Leftrightarrow (4) immediately follows as well. Thus in Definition 2.1, we could replace the requirement that Σ is Hausdorff with any of the other equivalent conditions above.

Note the \mathbb{T} -action of any \mathbb{T} -groupoid Σ is completely determined by its restriction to $\Sigma^{(0)}$, as $te = t(r(e)e) = (tr(e))e$, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $e \in \Sigma$. For twists, this restricted \mathbb{T} -action yields a topological groupoid isomorphism from $\mathbb{T} \times G^{(0)}$ onto $q^{-1}(G^{(0)})$.

Proposition 2.4. *If $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ is a twist, then we have a topological groupoid isomorphism $\iota : \mathbb{T} \times G^{(0)} \rightarrow q^{-1}(G^{(0)})$ given by $\iota(t, g) = tq|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}^{-1}(g)$ such that, for all $e \in \Sigma$,*

$$\iota(t, q(r(e)))e = te = e\iota(t, q(\mathfrak{s}(e))).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, $q|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}^{-1}$ is continuous. As the \mathbb{T} -action is also continuous, ι is continuous. As the \mathbb{T} -action is free, ι is also injective with inverse $\iota^{-1}(e) = (\tau(e), q(e))$ for $e \in q^{-1}(G^{(0)})$, where $\tau(e)$ is the unique element of \mathbb{T} such that $e = \tau(e)\mathfrak{s}(e) = \tau(e)r(e)$. If τ were not continuous then we would have a net $e_\lambda \rightarrow e$ in $q^{-1}(G^{(0)})$ such that $\tau(e_\lambda) \not\rightarrow \tau(e)$. As \mathbb{T} is compact, we would then have a subnet $(\tau(e_\gamma))$ converging to some $t \neq \tau(e)$. But then $e_\gamma = \tau(e_\gamma)\mathfrak{s}(e_\gamma) \rightarrow t\mathfrak{s}(e) \neq \tau(e)\mathfrak{s}(e) = e$, showing that the subnet (e_γ) has two distinct limits, contradicting the fact Σ is Hausdorff. Thus, τ must be continuous and ι must be a homeomorphism, hence a groupoid isomorphism. Finally just note that

$$\iota(t, q(r(e)))e = (tq|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}^{-1}(q(r(e))))e = (tr(e))e = t(r(e)e) = te$$

and, likewise, $te = e\iota(t, q(\mathfrak{s}(e)))$, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $e \in \Sigma$. \square

Sometimes twists are also required to be proper. However, for us this is automatic.

Proposition 2.5. *Every twist $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ is a proper map.*

Proof. We follow the proof of [15, Lemma 2.2]. Let $K \subseteq G$ be compact and (e_λ) be a net in $q^{-1}(K)$. As K is compact, we may revert to a subnet if necessary to ensure $q(e_\lambda) \rightarrow q(e) \in K$, for some $e \in \Sigma$. As q is an open map, reverting to a further subnet if necessary, we have $(f_\lambda) \subseteq \Sigma$ with $q(e_\lambda) = q(f_\lambda)$ and $f_\lambda \rightarrow e$. As the \mathbb{T} -action is transitive on fibres, we then have $(t_\lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ with $e_\lambda = t_\lambda f_\lambda$, for all λ . Reverting to yet another subnet

if necessary, we can ensure that $t_\lambda \rightarrow t$, for some $t \in \mathbb{T}$, and hence $e_\lambda = t_\lambda f_\lambda \rightarrow te$. As $q(te) = q(e) \in K$, we have shown that every net in $q^{-1}(K)$ has a convergent subnet and hence $q^{-1}(K)$ is compact. This shows q is a proper map. \square

It follows that the domain of a twist must be locally compact, just like the range.

Corollary 2.6. *If $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ is a twist, then Σ is locally compact.*

Proof. For every $e \in \Sigma$, the local compactness of G means that we have some compact neighbourhood K of $q(e)$. As q is a continuous proper map, $q^{-1}(K)$ is then a compact neighbourhood of e , showing that Σ is indeed locally compact. \square

2.3. Twisted Groupoid C^* -Algebras. Assume we have a twist $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$. We say $a : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is \mathbb{T} -contravariant if $a(te) = \bar{t}a(e)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $e \in \Sigma$. We define classes of \mathbb{T} -contravariant continuous \mathbb{C} -valued functions by

$$\begin{aligned} C(\Sigma; G) &:= \{a \in C(\Sigma) : a \text{ is } \mathbb{T}\text{-contravariant}\}, \\ C_0(\Sigma; G) &:= C(\Sigma; G) \cap C_0(\Sigma), \text{ and} \\ C_c(\Sigma; G) &:= C(\Sigma; G) \cap C_c(\Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

The *convolution* of any $a, b \in C_c(\Sigma; G)$ is the function $ab \in C_c(\Sigma; G)$ given by

$$ab(e) := \sum_{g \in q(e)G} a(\sigma(g))b(\sigma(g)^{-1}e) = \sum_{g \in Gq(e)} a(e\sigma(g)^{-1})b(\sigma(g)),$$

where $\sigma : G \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a (not necessarily continuous) section of q , i.e. satisfying $q(\sigma(g)) = g$, for all $g \in G$. Note that compactness of supports is used to show the above sums are finite and define another element of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$. Together with sums, scalar products with $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and the involution defined as usual by

$$(a + b)(e) := a(e) + b(e), \quad (za)(e) := z(a(e)) \quad \text{and} \quad a^*(e) := \overline{a(e^{-1})},$$

this makes $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ a $*$ -algebra, that has a *diagonal map* D given by

$$D(a)(e) := \begin{cases} a(e) & \text{if } q(e) \in G^{(0)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note D is an idempotent map from $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ onto

$$B_c(\Sigma; G) := \{a \in C_c(\Sigma; G) : q(\text{supp}^\circ(a)) \subseteq G^{(0)}\}.$$

And $B_c(\Sigma; G)$ is contained in the $*$ -semigroup

$$N_c(\Sigma; G) := \{a \in C(\Sigma; G) : q(\overline{\text{supp}}(a)) \text{ is a compact bisection}\},$$

which is in turn contained in the $*$ -semigroup

$$S := \{a \in C(\Sigma; G) : q(\text{supp}^\circ(a)) \text{ is a bisection}\}$$

(note here that Proposition 2.5 implies $N_c(\Sigma; G) \subseteq S \cap C_c(\Sigma; G)$ and in general the containment can be proper). We also define

$$N_0(\Sigma; G) := \{a \in C_0(\Sigma; G) : q(\text{supp}^\circ(a)) \text{ is a bisection}\}$$

By [2, Theorem 3.1(3)], G is effective if and only if the normalisers of $C_0(G^{(0)})$ in $C_r^*(\Sigma; G)$ are a subset of S .

Proposition 2.7. *Every C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ agrees with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $N_c(\Sigma; G)$.*

Proof. The argument is similar to the untwisted case of [30, Corollary 9.3.4] and [18, Proposition 3.14]. First, for any $n \in N_c(\Sigma; G)$, Proposition 2.5 yields $n^*n \in B_c(\Sigma; G)$ and $\|n^*n\|_\infty = \|n\|_\infty^2$. Thus it suffices to show that every C*-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ agrees with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $B_c(\Sigma; G)$. This follows for the same reason that any C*-norm on $C_c(G^{(0)})$ agrees with the supremum norm on $C_c(G^{(0)})$. Specifically note that, for any open $O \subseteq G^{(0)}$ with $\text{cl}(O)$ compact,

$$B_O = \{a \in C_c(\Sigma; G) : q(\text{supp}^\circ(a)) \subseteq O\}$$

is a C*-algebra with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ isomorphic to $C_0(O)$. As C*-norms on C*-algebras are unique (see [10, II.2.2.10]), it follows that $\|\cdot\|$ agrees with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on B_O . But $B_c(\Sigma; G)$ is the union of these B_O 's and hence $\|\cdot\|$ agrees with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ everywhere on $B_c(\Sigma; G)$. \square

We will be particularly interested in D -contractive C*-norms on $C_c(\Sigma; G)$. The completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ with respect to such a norm is a C*-algebra A on which we have a unique expectation E extending the diagonal map D . Any C*-algebra A obtained in this way will be called a *twisted groupoid C*-algebra*. The two most important examples are the *full* and *reduced* twisted groupoid C*-algebras obtained as the completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ with respect to the *full norm* $\|\cdot\|_f$ and *reduced norm* $\|\cdot\|_r$ respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_f &:= \sup\{\|a\| : \|\cdot\| \text{ is a C*-norm on } C_c(\Sigma; G)\} \text{ and} \\ \|a\|_r &:= \sup\{\|D(c^*a^*ac)\|_\infty^{1/2} : c \in C_c(\Sigma; G) \text{ and } \|D(c^*c)\|_\infty \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

(For more information about why these are D -contractive C*-norms, see [1], [12, Remark 2.5] or [30]).

The reduced norm is the opposite of the full norm in the following sense.

Proposition 2.8. *The reduced norm is the smallest D -contractive C*-norm on $C_c(\Sigma; G)$.*

Proof. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any D -contractive C*-norm on $C_c(\Sigma; G)$, which must agree with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $B_c(\Sigma; G)$ by Proposition 2.7. By [10, II.6.10.2], the unique expectation E on the completion A extending D must be positive and hence, for all $a, c \in C_c(\Sigma; G)$ with $\|D(c^*c)\|_\infty \leq 1$,

$$\|D(c^*a^*ac)\|_\infty = \|E(c^*a^*ac)\| \leq \|E(\|a\|^2 c^*c)\| = \|a\|^2 \|E(c^*c)\| = \|a\|^2 \|D(c^*c)\|_\infty \leq \|a\|^2.$$

As c was arbitrary, this shows that $\|a\|_r \leq \|a\|$. \square

The D -contractive condition is crucial here, as there may be no minimal C*-norm, even for examples like the trivial twist on \mathbb{Z} – see Caleb Eckhardt's comment in [16]. While a C*-completion A of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ will no longer just consist of functions on Σ , if the C*-norm in question is D -contractive then there will at least be a canonical ‘ j -map’ taking elements of A back to \mathbb{T} -contravariant functions on Σ .

Proposition 2.9. *If A is any D -contractive C*-completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ then there is unique contractive map $j : A \rightarrow C_0(\Sigma; G)$ extending the identity on $C_c(\Sigma; G)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that every D -contractive C*-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ dominates the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Accordingly, take any $a \in C_c(\Sigma; G)$ and $e \in \Sigma$. Further taking any $n \in N_c(\Sigma; G)$ with $n(e) = 1 = \|n\|_\infty$, note $\|n\| = 1$ by Proposition 2.7 so

$$|a(e)| = |an^*(r(e))| \leq \|D(an^*)\|_\infty = \|D(an^*)\| \leq \|a\| \|n\| = \|a\|.$$

As e was arbitrary, this shows that $\|a\|_\infty \leq \|a\|$, as required. \square

If A above is the reduced C*-algebra $C_r^*(\Sigma; G)$ then j will even be injective and $j(ab)$ will always be the convolution of $j(a)$ and $j(b)$ (where the sums involved may no longer be finite but still converge absolutely – see [12]). In other words, $j(C_r^*(\Sigma; G))$ is a concrete

C^* -algebra of functions with respect to convolution which we could simply identify with $C_r^*(\Sigma; G)$. Reduced C^* -algebras can even be defined directly from functions in first place, even for more general Fell bundles, as in [7]. However, in keeping with the more traditional mindset, in the present paper we will continue to distinguish $C_r^*(\Sigma; G)$ from $j(C_r^*(\Sigma; G))$ and view the latter primarily as a linear subspace of $C_0(\Sigma; G)$.

In general, we can still show that the map j is injective on the closure of $N_c(\Sigma; G)$.

Proposition 2.10. *If A is any D -contractive C^* -completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$, then the j -map restricts to a semigroup isomorphism from $\text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ onto $N_0(\Sigma; G)$.*

Proof. As j is contractive, $j(\text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))) \subseteq \text{cl}_\infty(j(N_c(\Sigma; G))) = N_0(\Sigma; G)$. For the reverse inclusion note that, for any $n \in N_0(\Sigma; G)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define $n^k \in N_c(\Sigma; G)$ with $\|n - n^k\|_\infty \leq 1/k$ by

$$n^k(e) := \frac{\max(0, |n(e)| - \frac{1}{k})}{|n(e)|} n(e).$$

It follows that $\|n^l - n^k\| = \|n^l - n^k\|_\infty \leq |\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{k}|$ so (n^k) is Cauchy and hence has some limit $m \in \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ with $j(m) = n$, thus showing that $N_0(\Sigma; G) \subseteq j(\text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G)))$. For any other $(m_k) \subseteq N_c(\Sigma; G)$ with $m_k \rightarrow n$ in $N_0(\Sigma; G)$, we can revert to a subsequence if necessary to ensure that $\|m_k - n\|_\infty < 1/k$. Then $\overline{\text{supp}}(m_k^k) \subseteq \text{supp}^\circ(n)$ and hence $m_k^k - n^k \in N_c(\Sigma; G)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \|m_k - n^k\| &\leq \|m_k - m_k^k\| + \|m_k^k - n^k\| \\ &= \|m_k - m_k^k\|_\infty + \|m_k^k - n^k\|_\infty \\ &\leq 2\|m_k - m_k^k\|_\infty + \|m_k - n\|_\infty + \|n - n^k\|_\infty \\ &\leq 4/k \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $m_k \rightarrow m$ in A , showing that m is the unique element of $\text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ with $j(m) = n$.

Finally, for any $m, n \in \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$, we see that $m^k n^k$ converges to both $j(mn)$ and $j(m)j(n)$ in $N_0(\Sigma; G)$, so j is not just bijective but also a semigroup isomorphism. \square

Accordingly, we will often identify $\text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ with $N_0(\Sigma; G)$ via Proposition 2.10 and refer to both as the *monomial semigroup*. The terminology here comes from the fact that, in the special case of a matrix algebra M_k (viewed as $C(\Sigma; G)$ where Σ is the trivial twist over the full equivalence relation $G = \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i, j \leq k\}$ on k elements), the monomial semigroup consists precisely of the monomial matrices, i.e. those matrices with at most one non-zero entry in each row and each column.

Incidentally, building on Proposition 2.10, one can even show that the j -map restricts to a $*$ -algebra isomorphism from $\text{span}(\text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G)))$ onto $\text{span}(N_0(\Sigma; G))$. This will also become apparent as a by-product of our later work.

3. CARTAN SEMIGROUPS

For any subset N of a C^* -algebra A , let us denote its positive cone by

$$N_+ := \{n^*n : n \in N\}.$$

Furthermore, let $C^*(N)$ denote the C^* -subalgebra generated by N .

Definition 3.1. Let A be a C^* -algebra. We call $N \subseteq A$ a *Cartan semigroup* if

- (1) N is a closed $*$ -subsemigroup of A with dense span,
- (2) $B := C^*(N_+)$ is a commutative subsemigroup of N , and

(3) there is an expectation E from A onto B such that, for all $n \in N$,

$$E(n)n^* \in B. \quad (\text{Stable})$$

In this case we call B the associated *semi-Cartan subalgebra*.

Cartan semigroups and their associated semi-Cartan subalgebras provide a convenient general framework to extend the Kumjian-Renault theory, as we will see in the following sections. The first thing to note is that semi-Cartan subalgebras (even those coming from the summable Cartan semigroups defined below) are more general than Cartan subalgebras. For one thing, a semi-Cartan subalgebra B need only be commutative, not maximal commutative (from here on abbreviated to MASA as usual). As a result, semi-Cartan subalgebras arise even from non-effective groupoids (e.g. discrete groups). But we also do not require the expectation E to be faithful and consequently semi-Cartan subalgebras arise not only in reduced C*-algebras but also in full C*-algebras and all other C*-completions of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ in between.

The Cartan semigroups that arise in practice often satisfy one further condition.

Definition 3.2. Given a Cartan semigroup N with associated semi-Cartan subalgebra B , call $C \subseteq N$ a *compatible* subset of N if, for all $c, d \in C$, both c^*d and cd^* lie in B . We call N *summable* if it is closed under taking finite (equivalently pairwise) compatible sums, i.e. if, for all $m, n \in N$,

$$m^*n, mn^* \in B \quad \Rightarrow \quad m + n \in N. \quad (\text{Summable})$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ be a twist. If A is a D -contractive C*-completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$, then the monomial semigroup $N := \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ is a summable Cartan semigroup in A whose associated semi-Cartan subalgebra is the diagonal $B := \text{cl}(B_c(\Sigma; G))$.*

Proof. First note that, as $N_c(\Sigma; G)$ is a *-subsemigroup of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ that spans $C_c(\Sigma; G)$, its closure N is a *-subsemigroup with dense span. Also $B_c(\Sigma; G) \subseteq N_c(\Sigma; G)$ immediately implies $B \subseteq N$. Now identify N with $N_0(\Sigma; G)$, which we can do by Proposition 2.10. For any $n \in N$, setting $O = q(\text{supp}^\circ(n))$ yields $q(\text{supp}^\circ(n^*n)) \subseteq O^{-1}O \subseteq G^{(0)}$. Thus $N_+ \subseteq B$ and hence $C^*(N_+) \subseteq B$, while certainly $B_+ \subseteq N_+$ and hence $B = C^*(B_+) \subseteq C^*(N_+)$ so $B = C^*(N_+)$. Again, for any $n \in N$, set $O = q(\text{supp}^\circ(n))$ and note that

$$q(\text{supp}^\circ(E(n)n^*)) \subseteq (O \cap G^{(0)})O^{-1} \subseteq OO^{-1} \subseteq G^{(0)}$$

so $E(n)n^* \in B$, thus verifying (Stable). This shows that N is a Cartan semigroup. For summability, take $m, n \in N$ with $m^*n, mn^* \in B$. Letting $U = q(\text{supp}^\circ(m))$ and $V = q(\text{supp}^\circ(n))$, note $G^{(0)} \supseteq q(\text{supp}^\circ(m^*n)) = U^{-1}V$ and, likewise, $UV^{-1} \subseteq G^{(0)}$. This means $U \cup V \supseteq q(\text{supp}^\circ(m+n))$ is a bisection and hence $m+n \in N$, as required. \square

If G is not effective then the semi-Cartan B is not a MASA. Indeed, then we have some $a \in C_c(\Sigma; G)$ with $q(\text{supp}^\circ(a))$ contained in the isotropy of G but not contained in the unit space $G^{(0)}$. This implies that a commutes with every $b \in B$ even though a itself is not in B , showing that B is not a MASA.

Remark 3.4. There can also be non-summable Cartan semigroups contained in $\text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$, for example obtained by restricting to functions supported on a suitable basis. Specifically, say we have basis \mathcal{B} of open bisections of G containing the unit space that is also closed under open subsets, products and inverses, i.e.

- (1) $G^{(0)} \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (2) $O \subseteq U \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $O \in \mathcal{B}$, and
- (3) $OU, O^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$, for all $O, U \in \mathcal{B}$.

Then we have a Cartan semigroup given by

$$N_{\mathcal{B}} := \text{cl}(\{n \in C_c(\Sigma; G) : q(\text{supp}^\circ(n)) \in \mathcal{B}\}).$$

Indeed, (3) implies that $N_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a $*$ -semigroup while (1) and (2) imply that $C^*(N_{\mathcal{B}_+}) \subseteq N_{\mathcal{B}}$. As \mathcal{B} is a basis, $N_{\mathcal{B}}$ still has dense span in A and the other required properties follow from an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.3.

For an example of this, consider the trivial twist $\Sigma = G \times \mathbb{T}$ over the discrete principal groupoid $G = \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ coming from the full equivalence relation on 2 elements, and let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{O : O \subseteq G^{(0)}\} \cup \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}.$$

Then $N := \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G)) = \{a \in C(\Sigma; G) : q(\text{supp}^\circ(a)) \text{ is a bisection}\} \approx \{a \in C(G) : \text{supp}^\circ(a) \text{ is a bisection}\}$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative semigroup of 2×2 matrices with at most one non-zero entry in each row and column. In particular, the off-diagonal matrix with 1 in both the top right and bottom left corner will be in N but not in $N_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Proposition 3.3 above of course applies to trivial twists, in which case $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ can be identified with $C_c(G)$. When G is a discrete group (e.g. the integers \mathbb{Z}), the monomial semigroup is then just $\bigcup_{g \in G} \mathbb{C}\delta_g$ with diagonal $\mathbb{C}\delta_e$, where $e \in G$ is the identity.

Here is another example of a Cartan semigroup, which is really just a special case of Proposition 3.3 in disguise.

Example 3.5. Let A be a C^* -algebra and suppose there is a state $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a unitary $u \in A$ generating A such that $\phi(u^k) = 0$, for all non-zero $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $N = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}u^k$ is a Cartan semigroup with $B = C^*(N_+) = \mathbb{C}1$ and $E(a) = \phi(a)1$, for all $a \in A$. To see that Condition (3) (Stable) holds, note that $E(n)n^* \in \mathbb{R}_+1$ whenever $n \in \mathbb{C}1$ and $E(n)n^* = 0$ for all other $n \in N$. If ϕ is also faithful, then it will follow from the general theory developed in the following sections and especially Corollary 11.11 that A must be isomorphic to $C_r^*(\mathbb{Z})$ (which is isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{T})$).

When N is a Cartan semigroup with semi-Cartan subalgebra $B = C^*(N_+)$, note

$$B_+ = N_+ = N \cap A_+.$$

Indeed, $B \subseteq N$ by definition so $B_+ \subseteq N_+$, while if $n \in N$ then $\sqrt{n^*n} \in B$ so $N_+ \subseteq B_+$. Similarly, the second equality follows from the fact that $N_+ \subseteq N \cap A_+$ because N is a $*$ -semigroup, while if $a \in N \cap A_+$ then $a^2 \in N_+ \subseteq B$ so $a = \sqrt{a^2} \in B_+ = N_+$.

Another observation is the following.

Lemma 3.6. *Every semi-Cartan subalgebra B contains an approximate unit for A .*

Proof. We argue as in [12, Lemma 3.10 (2)]. If N is a Cartan semigroup with $B = C^*(N_+)$, then any approximate unit for B is an approximate unit for any $n \in N$, as $n^*n, nn^* \in B$ (see [12, Equation (3.12)]). This then extends to $\text{span}(N)$ and its closure A . \square

From now on, it will be convenient to fix a sequence of non-zero polynomials (p_k) with zero constant terms that converge to 1 uniformly on all compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ (such (p_k) exist by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem). For any C^* -algebra A and any $a \in A$, it then follows that $(p_k(aa^*))$ and $(p_k(a^*a))$ are left and right approximate units for a , i.e.

$$p_k(aa^*)a = ap_k(a^*a) \rightarrow a. \tag{3.1}$$

Replacing each p_k with $p_k^2 / \max_{x \in [0,1]} p_k^2(x)$ if necessary, we may further assume that $p_k(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}_+$ and $p_k([0, 1]) = [0, 1]$, so that $p_k(a)$ is in A_+ or A_+^1 whenever a is. Then

$$q_k(x) = p_k(x)/x$$

again defines a polynomial without constant term such that $q_k(\mathbb{R}_+) = \mathbb{R}_+$.

Any Cartan pair is isomorphic to the reduced C*-algebra of a twist over an effective groupoid together with its diagonal subalgebra (see [29, Theorem 5.9] for the second countable case and [27, Theorem 1.1] for the general result). Thus Cartan subalgebras are semi-Cartan, using Proposition 3.3 and $\text{cl}(B_c(\Sigma; G)) = C_0(q^{-1}(G^{(0)}); G^{(0)})$. We can also prove this directly as follows.

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose (A, B) satisfies all properties of a Cartan pair except that the expectation $E : A \rightarrow B$ need not be faithful. Then the entire normaliser semigroup*

$$N(B) = \{n \in A : n^*Bn \cup nBn^* \subseteq B\}$$

forms a Cartan semigroup with associated semi-Cartan subalgebra $B = C^(N(B)_+)$.*

Proof. All the required conditions on $N(B)$ are immediate except for (Stable) in Condition (3). To see that (Stable) also holds first note that, for all $b \in B$ and $n \in N(B)$,

$$E(n)n^*nn^*b = E(n)n^*bnn^* = E(nn^*bn)n^* = E(bnn^*n)n^* = bE(n)n^*nn^*.$$

Likewise, $E(n)n^*(nn^*)^kb = bE(n)n^*(nn^*)^k$ for all $k > 1$ and hence

$$E(n)n^*b = \lim_k E(n)n^*p_k(nn^*)b = \lim_k bE(n)n^*p_k(nn^*) = bE(n)n^*. \quad (3.2)$$

As B is a MASA, it follows that $E(n)n^* \in B$, showing that $N(B)$ is a Cartan semigroup. Now $B \subseteq N(B)$ and hence $B_+ \subseteq N(B)_+$, while $N(B)_+ \subseteq B \cap A_+ = B_+$ because B contains an approximate unit for A . Thus $B = C^*(B_+) = C^*(N(B)_+)$. \square

We have already noted above that the converse to Proposition 3.7 is false, i.e. there are semi-Cartan subalgebras that are not MASAs and hence not Cartan subalgebras. Indeed, our primary goal is to show that semi-Cartan subalgebras are precisely the right generalisation needed to extend the Kumjian-Renault theory to non-effective groupoids and non-reduced C*-completions. From this it will follow that summable Cartan semigroups really characterise the situation in Proposition 3.3. In fact, even when a C*-algebra A contains a (potentially non-summable) Cartan semigroup N then we can still show it is isomorphic to a twisted groupoid C*-algebra where the closure of the compatible sums of N corresponds precisely to the closure of the functions supported on a open bisections with compact closure.

4. ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES

In this section, we exhibit several useful algebraic properties of a Cartan semigroup N and its associated semi-Cartan subalgebra B . To avoid constantly repeating our basic assumptions, let us assume throughout the rest of the paper (except in Corollary 12.4 where our slightly different assumptions on A , B and E are stated explicitly) that

N is a Cartan semigroup in a C*-algebra A with stable expectation

$$E : A \rightarrow B := C^*(N_+).$$

We start by showing that N is closed under scalar products and contained in the normaliser semigroup of B . By definition, N also contains B and has dense span, and is thus a ‘skeleton’ of (A, B) , in the sense of [25, Definition 2.4].

Lemma 4.1. *For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in N$, $\alpha B \cup n^*Bn \subseteq B$, that is,*

$$\mathbb{C}N = N \subseteq N(B).$$

Proof. Let $(b_\lambda) \subseteq B$ be an approximate unit for A . Then, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in N$,

$$\alpha n = \lim_\lambda \alpha b_\lambda n \in \text{cl}(BN) \subseteq \text{cl}(NN) \subseteq N.$$

Next, for any $m, n \in N$, note $n^*m^*mn = (mn)^*mn \in N_+$. So $n^*N_+n \subseteq N_+$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} n^*Bn &= n^*\text{span}(B_+)n = n^*\text{span}(N_+)n = \text{span}(n^*N_+n) \\ &\subseteq \text{span}(N_+) = \text{span}(B_+) = B. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We can replace n^* in Lemma 4.1 by any $m \in N$ with $mn \in B$, showing that B is *binormal*. Binormality is one of the required conditions for (N, B, B) to be a structured semigroup in [6, Definition 1.6]. The theory in [6] then gives us an étale groupoid of ultrafilters that we can use as a generalised Weyl groupoid in the following sections.

Corollary 4.2. *For all $m, n \in N$,*

$$mn \in B \quad \Rightarrow \quad mBn \subseteq B. \quad (\text{Binormal})$$

Proof. For any $b \in B$ and $m, n \in N$ with $mn \in B$, Lemma 4.1 yields

$$p_k(mm^*)mbn = mp_k(m^*m)bn = mbp_k(m^*m)n \in Bmn \subseteq BB \subseteq B,$$

for all k . Thus $mbn = \lim_k p_k(mm^*)mbn \in \text{cl}(B) \subseteq B$, showing that $mBn \subseteq B$. \square

We can strengthen Lemma 4.1 above from $N \subseteq N(B)$ to $N = N(B)$ when B is a MASA and N is summable – see Proposition 12.1. However, in general the inclusion can be strict and any given semi-Cartan subalgebra $B \subseteq A$ can be associated to multiple Cartan semigroups $N \subseteq N(B)$ with $A = C^*(N_+)$.

For normaliser semigroups of Cartan subalgebras, summability comes for free.

Proposition 4.3. *The normaliser semigroup $N(B)$ of a Cartan subalgebra B in Proposition 3.7 is summable.*

Proof. In Proposition 3.7 it was already shown that $N(B)$ is a Cartan semigroup with associated semi-Cartan subalgebra B . Thus $mBn \subseteq B$, for all $m, n \in N(B)$ with $mn \in B$, by (Binormal). So if $m, n \in N(B)$ and $m^*n, mn^* \in B$ then

$$(m+n)^*B(m+n) \subseteq m^*Bm + m^*Bn + n^*Bm + n^*Bn \subseteq B.$$

Likewise $(m+n)B(m+n)^* \subseteq B$, showing that $m+n \in N(B)$, as required. \square

In §8.1 of [6], some stronger results are proved for *symmetric* structured semigroups, which also apply to all Cartan semigroups, by the following result.

Lemma 4.4. *For all $l, m \in N$,*

$$lm \in B \quad \Rightarrow \quad mlml \in B. \quad (\text{Symmetry})$$

Proof. If $lm \in B$ then note that, as $l^*l, mm^* \in B$ commute,

$$mll^*lmm^*ml = mlmm^*l^*lml \in mBm^*l^*Bl \subseteq BB \subseteq B.$$

Likewise, $ml(p_k(l^*l))(p_k(mm^*))ml \in B$ so $mlml = \lim_k ml(p_k(l^*l))(p_k(mm^*))ml \in B$. \square

Another observation that will be useful later in (7.7) is the following.

Lemma 4.5. *For all $m, n \in N$, if $m = mn$ then $m = mn^*$.*

Proof. For all $a, b \in A$, note that $a = ab$ is equivalent to $a^*a = a^*ab$. Indeed, $a = ab$ certainly implies $a^*a = a^*ab$, while conversely if $a^*a = a^*ab$ then

$$(a - ab)^*(a - ab) = a^*a - a^*ab - b^*a^*a + b^*a^*ab = a^*a - a^*a - a^*a + a^*a = 0$$

so $a - ab = 0$ and hence $a = ab$. In particular, if $m = mn$ then $|m|^2 = m^*m = m^*mn = |m|^2n$ and hence $|m| = |m|n = n^*|m|$ (where $|m| = \sqrt{m^*m}$). It then follows that $m^*m = |m|^2 = |m|nn^*|m| = m^*mnn^*$, as $|m|, n^*n \in B$ commute, so $m = mnn^* = mn^*$. \square

5. THE RESTRICTION RELATION

In this section, we introduce the first of two transitive relations we study on N and prove several properties we will need later when we examine the expectation E and the ultrafilter groupoid G (e.g. see Proposition 6.2, Proposition 8.4, and Corollary 8.6).

Let us call $m \in N$ a *restriction* of $n \in N$ if we have a sequence $(b_k) \subseteq B$ with

$$m = \lim_k mb_k = \lim_k nb_k.$$

Put another way this means that, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $b \in B$ with $\|m - mb\|, \|m - nb\| < \varepsilon$. The corresponding restriction relation will be denoted by \sqsubseteq , i.e.

$$m \sqsubseteq n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad m \text{ is a restriction of } n.$$

The terminology here comes from the following characterisation of \sqsubseteq in the situation of Proposition 3.3 of twisted groupoid C*-algebras and their monomial semigroups (see [5, (9.1)] for an analogous characterisation of a purely algebraic version of \sqsubseteq).

Proposition 5.1. *If A is a D -contractive C*-completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$, for some twist $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$, and $N = \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ (so $B = \text{cl}(B_c(\Sigma; G))$) then, for all $m, n \in N$,*

$$m \sqsubseteq n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad j(m)|_{\text{supp}^\circ(j(m))} = j(n)|_{\text{supp}^\circ(j(m))}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.10, we can identify N with $N_0(\Sigma; G)$ and omit the j 's. Assume $m, n \in N$ and $m|_{\text{supp}^\circ(m)} = n|_{\text{supp}^\circ(m)}$. Letting $(b_k) \subseteq B$ be any bounded sequence converging to 0 uniformly on $G^{(0)} \setminus \mathfrak{s}(\text{supp}^\circ(m))$ and converging to 1 uniformly on all compact subsets of $\mathfrak{s}(\text{supp}^\circ(m))$, we see that $m = \lim_k mb_k = \lim_k nb_k$ so $m \sqsubseteq n$.

Conversely, if $m \sqsubseteq n$ then we have $(b_k) \subseteq B$ with $m = \lim_k mb_k = \lim_k nb_k$. For all $e \in \text{supp}^\circ(m)$, this means $m(e) = \lim_k m(e)b_k(\mathfrak{s}(e))$ and hence $b_k(\mathfrak{s}(e)) \rightarrow 1$ so

$$n(e) = \lim_k n(e)b_k(\mathfrak{s}(e)) = \lim_k m(e)b_k(\mathfrak{s}(e)) = m(e).$$

As e was arbitrary, this shows that $m|_{\text{supp}^\circ(m)} = n|_{\text{supp}^\circ(m)}$. □

Next we show that we can choose the sequence (b_k) to lie in the positive unit ball.

Lemma 5.2. *If $m \sqsubseteq n$, then we can pick $(b_k) \subseteq B_+^1$ with $m = \lim_k mb_k = \lim_k nb_k$.*

Proof. Take $(b_k) \subseteq B$ with $m = \lim_k mb_k = \lim_k nb_k$ and define f on \mathbb{C} by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} |x| & \text{if } |x| \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } |x| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

As $|1 - |z|| \leq |1 - z|$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, it follows that

$$\|m(1 - f(b_k))\|^2 = \|m(1 - f(b_k))^2 m^*\| \leq \|m(1 - b_k)(1 - b_k^*)m^*\| = \|m(1 - b_k)\|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

so $m(1 - f(b_k)) \rightarrow 0$ and hence $m = \lim_k mf(b_k)$. Likewise,

$$\|(m - n)f(b_k)\| \leq \|(m - n)b_k\| \rightarrow 0$$

so $\lim_k mf(b_k) = \lim_k nf(b_k)$. As $(f(b_k)) \subseteq B_+^1$, we are done. □

In the definition of a restriction, we could also have put the b_k 's on the other side. To prove this, first note that

$$a_k \rightarrow a \quad \text{and} \quad ab_k \rightarrow a \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_k b_k \rightarrow a, \tag{5.1}$$

whenever $a \in A$, $(a_k) \subseteq A$ and $(b_k) \subseteq A_+^1$, as we then see that

$$\|a_k b_k - a\| = \|a_k b_k - ab_k + ab_k - a\| \leq \|a_k - a\| \|b_k\| + \|ab_k - a\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 5.3. *For any $m, n \in N$,*

$$m \sqsubseteq n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{there exists } (b_k) \subseteq B_+^1 \text{ such that } m = \lim_k b_k m = \lim_k b_k n.$$

Proof. Say $m \sqsubseteq n$ so we have $(c_k) \subseteq B_+^1$ with $m = \lim_k m c_k = \lim_k n c_k$. For each k , let

$$b_k = m c_k m^* (q_k(m m^*)).$$

By Lemma 3.6, $m c_k m^* \in B$ and $b_k \leq m m^* q_k(m m^*) = p_k(m m^*) \leq 1$ so $b_k \in B_+^1$. Also $m^* n = \lim_k c_k^* n^* n \in \text{cl}(BB) \subseteq B$ so, as $n c_k \rightarrow m$ and $p_k(m m^*) m \rightarrow m$, (5.1) yields

$$b_k n = m c_k q_k(m^* m) m^* n = m q_k(m^* m) m^* n c_k = p_k(m m^*) n c_k \rightarrow m.$$

Replacing n above with m yields $b_k m \rightarrow m$ as well, finishing the proof of the \Rightarrow part. The \Leftarrow part then follows by a dual argument. \square

It follows that \sqsubseteq is invariant under products on either side, i.e. for all $l, m, n \in N$,

$$m \sqsubseteq n \quad \Rightarrow \quad l m \sqsubseteq l n \quad \text{and} \quad m l \sqsubseteq n l. \quad (5.2)$$

Proposition 5.4. *The restriction relation is a closed partial order relation on N .*

Proof. For every $n \in N$, note $b_k = p_k(n^* n) \in B_+^1$ satisfies $n = \lim_k n b_k$ so $n \sqsubseteq n$, showing that \sqsubseteq is reflexive. To see that \sqsubseteq is also antisymmetric, say $m \sqsubseteq n \sqsubseteq m$ so we have $(a_k), (b_k) \subseteq B_+^1$ with $m = \lim_k a_k m = \lim_k a_k n$ and $n = \lim_k n b_k = \lim_k m b_k$ and hence

$$m = \lim_k a_k n = \lim_k a_k n b_k = \lim_k m b_k = n.$$

To see that \sqsubseteq is also transitive, say $l \sqsubseteq m \sqsubseteq n$, so we have $(a_k), (b_k) \subseteq B_+^1$ with $l = \lim_k l a_k = \lim_k m a_k$ and $m = \lim_k m b_k = \lim_k n b_k$. Then we see that

$$l = \lim_k m a_k = \lim_k m b_k a_k = \lim_k m a_k b_k = \lim_k l a_k b_k$$

and, likewise, $l = \lim_k m a_k = \lim_k n b_k a_k = \lim_k n a_k b_k$, showing $(a_k b_k)$ witnesses $l \sqsubseteq n$.

To see that \sqsubseteq is closed, take $m_k \rightarrow m$ and $n_k \rightarrow n$ with $m_k \sqsubseteq n_k$, for all k . For every $\varepsilon > 0$, this means that we have large enough k with $\|m - m_k\|, \|n - n_k\| < \varepsilon$, and $b \in B_+^1$ with $\|m_k - m_k b\|, \|n_k - n_k b\| < \varepsilon$, hence $\|m - m b\|, \|n - n b\| < 3\varepsilon$, showing $m \sqsubseteq n$. \square

One last property of restriction that will be needed in Corollary 8.7 is the following.

Lemma 5.5. *For any $m, n \in N$,*

$$m \sqsubseteq n \quad \Rightarrow \quad n - m \sqsubseteq n. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. If $m \sqsubseteq n$ then we have $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq B$ with $m = \lim_k b_k m = \lim_k b_k n$. By Lemma 3.6, we also have a net $(a_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq B$ with $n = \lim_\lambda a_\lambda n$ and $m = \lim_\lambda a_\lambda m$. We can then turn these into limits of nets indexed by $\Lambda' = \Lambda \times \mathbb{N}$ in the product ordering, i.e. $m = \lim_{(\lambda, k)} b_k m = \lim_{(\lambda, k)} b_k n$, $n = \lim_{(\lambda, k)} a_\lambda n$ and $m = \lim_{(\lambda, k)} a_\lambda m$ and hence

$$n - m = \lim_{(\lambda, k)} (a_\lambda - b_k)(n - m) = \lim_{(\lambda, k)} (a_\lambda - b_k)n \in \text{cl}(Bn) \subseteq N,$$

thus showing that $n - m \sqsubseteq n$. \square

6. THE EXPECTATION

Here we examine some additional properties of our expectation E . First we prove that the n^* in (Stable) from Definition 3.1(3) can actually be replaced by any $m \in N$ with $mn \in N$, thus showing that E is *bistable* in the sense of [5, Definition 1.4].

Proposition 6.1. *For all $m, n \in N$,*

$$mn \in B \quad \Rightarrow \quad E(m)n \in B. \quad (\text{Bistable})$$

Proof. For any $m, n \in N$ with $mn \in B$, just note that

$$E(m)n = \lim_k E(p_k(mm^*)m)n = \lim_k (p_k(E(m)m^*)mn) \in \text{cl}(BB) \subseteq B. \quad \square$$

Bistability allows us to show that E is deflationary with respect to the restriction relation on N . In particular, we will need the property $E(n) \sqsubseteq n$ to characterise the units of our ultrafilter groupoid in Proposition 8.4. In Corollary 12.4, we establish that E being deflationary for *all* normalisers implies that B is a Cartan subalgebra. A special case of the first part of Proposition 6.2 below is proved in [2, Theorem 3.1(4)], which in hindsight can be viewed as a particular instance of $E(n) \sqsubseteq n$.

Proposition 6.2. *For all $n \in N$, $E(n) \sqsubseteq n$. In fact, $E(n)$ is the maximum of the poset $(n^\triangleright \cap B, \sqsubseteq)$, i.e.*

$$E(n) = \max\{b \in B : b \sqsubseteq n\}. \quad (6.1)$$

Proof. To see that $E(n) \sqsubseteq n$, we use the sequence (p_k) fixed in equation (3.1). Specifically, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, note that

$$b_k := p_k(n^*E(n)) = p_k(E(n)^*E(n)) \in B_+^1,$$

by the bistability of E on N . Next note that

$$E(n) = \lim_k E(np_k(n^*n)) = \lim_k np_k(n^*E(n)) = \lim_k nb_k.$$

It then further follows that

$$E(n) = E(E(n)) = \lim_k E(nb_k) = \lim_k E(n)b_k,$$

showing that $E(n) \sqsubseteq n$. Finally just note that if $b \in B$ and $b \sqsubseteq n$ then $b = E(b) \sqsubseteq E(n)$ so $E(n)$ is indeed the maximum $b \in B$ such that $b \sqsubseteq n$. \square

By (6.1), E is uniquely determined on N . As E is a contraction, it follows that the stable expectation E is uniquely determined on $\text{cl}(\text{span}(N)) = A$.

Corollary 6.3. *The expectation E in Definition 3.1 is unique.*

It also follows that our expectation E is *normal* with respect to N as in the following.

Proposition 6.4. *For any $a \in A$ and $n \in N$,*

$$E(n^*an) = n^*E(a)n. \quad (\text{Normal})$$

Proof. We show $E(n^*an) \sqsubseteq n^*E(a)n$ and $n^*E(a)n \sqsubseteq E(n^*an)$ which suffice by Proposition 5.4. As N has dense span, it suffices to consider $a \in N$. In this case, $E(a) \sqsubseteq a$ by Proposition 6.2 and hence $n^*E(a)n \sqsubseteq n^*an$ by (5.2). But $N \subseteq N(B)$, by Lemma 4.1, so $n^*E(a)n \in B$ and hence $n^*E(a)n = E(n^*E(a)n) \sqsubseteq E(n^*an)$.

For the reverse inequality, note that replacing n with n^* and a with n^*an yields

$$nE(n^*an)n^* \sqsubseteq E(nn^*ann^*) = nn^*E(a)nn^*$$

and hence for the q_k defined after equation (3.1), $q_k(n^*n)n^*nE(n^*an)n^*nq_k(n^*n) \sqsubseteq q_k(n^*n)n^*nn^*E(a)nn^*nq_k(n^*n)$ so

$$E(n^*an) = \lim_k p_k(n^*n)E(n^*an)p_k(n^*n) \sqsubseteq \lim_k p_k(n^*n)n^*E(a)np_k(n^*n) = n^*E(a)n. \quad \square$$

Just as $B \subseteq N(B)$ and Definition 3.1(3) imply the stronger *-free statements in Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 6.1 respectively, Proposition 6.4 also has the following *-free analog.

Corollary 6.5. *For any $a \in A$ and $n \in N$,*

$$E(na)n = nE(an). \quad (\text{Shiftable})$$

Proof. For any $a \in A$ and $n \in N$, Proposition 6.4 yields

$$E(nn^*na)n = nn^*E(na)n = nE(n^*nan) = nn^*nE(an).$$

It follows that $E(na)n = \lim_k E(p_k(nn^*)na)n = \lim_k p_k(nn^*)nE(an) = nE(an)$. \square

It follows that (A, N, B, E) is a well-structured semimodule and, in particular, (N, B, E) is a well-structured semigroup, in the sense of [5, Definition 1.5]. Thus we are again free to use any of the results for well-structured semimodules and semigroups appearing in [5].

Lastly, we exhibit one more property of the expectation that will be used to prove the transitivity of relation \sim_U considered in Section 10.

Lemma 6.6. *For any character ϕ on B and any $m, n \in N$,*

$$\phi(E(m)) \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \phi(E(mn)) = \phi(E(m)E(n)).$$

Proof. As $E(m) \sqsubseteq m$ by Proposition 6.2, we have $(b_k) \sqsubseteq B$ such that

$$E(m) = \lim_k b_k E(m) = \lim_k b_k m.$$

Then

$$\phi(E(m)) = \lim_k \phi(b_k E(m)) = \lim_k \phi(b_k) \phi(E(m))$$

so $\lim_k \phi(b_k) = 1$, as $\phi(E(m)) \neq 0$. Thus,

$$\phi(E(mn)) = \lim_k \phi(b_k) \phi(E(mn)) = \lim_k \phi(E(b_k mn)) = \phi(E(E(m)n)) = \phi(E(m)E(n)). \quad \square$$

We will end this section with an application of Proposition 6.1 which will allow us to enlarge our given Cartan semigroup N to include all compatible sums without changing the associated semi-Cartan algebra, and will be used in Section 11 to describe our main results. Indeed, let us denote the compatible sums in N and its closure by

$$\text{csum}(N) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k n_j : n_1, \dots, n_k \in N \text{ are compatible} \right\}, \text{ and} \quad (6.2)$$

$$\overline{\text{csum}}(N) = \text{cl}(\text{csum}(N)). \quad (6.3)$$

Proposition 6.7. *The closure $\overline{\text{csum}}(N)$ is a Cartan semigroup with $B = C^*(\overline{\text{csum}}(N)_+)$.*

Proof. We immediately see that $N \subseteq \text{csum}(N) \subseteq \overline{\text{csum}}(N)$ so $N_+ \subseteq \overline{\text{csum}}(N)_+$, while the definition of compatibility yields $\text{csum}(N)_+ \subseteq B$ and hence $\overline{\text{csum}}(N)_+ \subseteq B_+$, from which it follows that $C^*(\overline{\text{csum}}(N)_+) = C^*(N_+) = B$. To see that $\text{csum}(N)$ is a semigroup, take compatible sums $m = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j$ and $n = \sum_{j=1}^l n_j$ so $mn = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l m_i n_j$. For all $i, i' \leq k$ and $j, j' \leq l$, we then see that $n_j^* m_i^* m_i n_{j'} \in n_j^* B n_{j'} \subseteq B$, by Corollary 4.2 (Binormal), and likewise, $n_j m_i m_i^* n_{j'}^* \in B$. This shows that mn is also a compatible sum of elements in N , i.e. $mn \in \text{csum}(N)$, showing that $\text{csum}(N)$ and hence $\overline{\text{csum}}(N)$ is a semigroup. As

$N \subseteq \overline{\text{csum}}(N) = \overline{\text{csum}}(N)^*$, to see that $\overline{\text{csum}}(N)$ is a Cartan semigroup, it only remains to verify stability. But if $n_1, \dots, n_k \in N$ are compatible then

$$E\left(\sum_{j=1}^k n_j\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^k n_j\right)^* = \sum_{i,j=1}^k E(n_i)n_j^* \subseteq B,$$

by (Bistable) in Proposition 6.1, showing that E satisfies Definition 3.1(3), that is, E is stable on $\text{csum}(N)$ and hence on $\overline{\text{csum}}(N)$. \square

Iterating compatible sums then yields a larger summable Cartan semigroup with the same semi-Cartan subalgebra, i.e. setting $\overline{\text{csum}}^1(N) = \overline{\text{csum}}(N)$ and $\overline{\text{csum}}^{n+1}(N) = \overline{\text{csum}}^n(N)$ it follows that $\text{cl}(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{csum}}^n(N))$ is the enlarged summable Cartan semigroup that we are after. Later we will see that there is actually no need to iterate, as $\overline{\text{csum}}(N)$ is already a summable Cartan semigroup isomorphic to one of the form $\text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ like in Proposition 3.3.

7. THE DOMINATION RELATION

Following [6, Definition 5.1], we define the *domination* relation $<$ by

$$\begin{aligned} m <_s n &\Leftrightarrow m, s, n \in N, \quad sm, ms, sn, ns \in B \quad \text{and} \quad nsm = m = msn. \\ m < n &\Leftrightarrow \exists s \in N \quad \text{such that} \quad m <_s n. \end{aligned}$$

Domination is the second but more important transitive relation we study on N , given that the groupoid in Section 8 onwards consists of ultrafilters with respect to the domination relation. As with \sqsubseteq , we can characterise $<$ on monomial semigroups of twisted groupoid C*-algebras in terms of supports. Indeed, for any topological space X , let us define the compact containment relation \Subset on subsets of X by

$$Y \Subset Z \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \text{ compact } K \quad \text{such that} \quad Y \subseteq K \subseteq Z.$$

Proposition 7.1. *If A is a D -contractive C*-completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$, for some twist $q: \Sigma \rightarrow G$, and $N = \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ (so $B = \text{cl}(B_c(\Sigma; G))$) then, for all $m, n \in N$,*

$$m < n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{supp}^\circ(j(m)) \Subset \text{supp}^\circ(j(n)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.10, the j -map identifies N with $N_0(\Sigma; G)$. By [8, Theorem 3.3], N is a bumpy semigroup, in fact, N is compact bumpy. Thus our claim follows from [8, Proposition 4.4]. \square

While the multiple conditions defining domination may seem a little intimidating at first, in practice it suffices to verify only some of them, e.g. for all $m, n, s \in N$,

$$ms, sn, ns \in B \quad \text{and} \quad m = msn \quad \Rightarrow \quad m <_s n,$$

by [6, Proposition 5.4]. Likewise, it is enough to verify $sm, ns, sn \in B$ and $nsm = m$. If we only care about $<$ then we can simplify further, e.g. for all $m, n, s \in N$,

$$ms, sn \in B \quad \text{and} \quad m = msn \quad \Rightarrow \quad m < n, \tag{7.1}$$

thanks to Lemma 4.4 and [6, Proposition 8.6]. Even $sn \in B$ here is unnecessary, which means that $<$ also agrees with the domination relation considered in [8]. We continue proving several necessary properties of $<$.

Lemma 7.2. *For any $l, s, m \in N$, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$ls \in B, l = lsm \quad \text{and} \quad \|m - n\| < \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad l < n.$$

Proof. Take any $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\varepsilon\|s\|^2(2\|m\| + \varepsilon) < 1$. If $\|m - n\| < \varepsilon$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(m^*s^*)sm - E(n^*s^*)sn\| &\leq \|E(m^*s^*)sm - E(m^*s^*)sn\| + \|E(m^*s^*)sn - E(n^*s^*)sn\| \\ &\leq \|m - n\|\|s\|^2(\|m\| + \|n\|) \\ &\leq \|m - n\|\|s\|^2(2\|m\| + \|m - n\|) \\ &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $l = lsm$ then $l = lm^*s^*$, by Lemma 4.5, and hence

$$l^*l = l^*lsm = E(l^*l)sm = E(l^*lm^*s^*)sm = l^*lE(m^*s^*)sm.$$

Identifying B with $C_0(X_B)$, this means that $E(m^*s^*)sm$ is 1 on the support of l^*l and hence $E(n^*s^*)sn$ takes values greater than some $\delta > 0$ on the support of l^*l . Letting f be a function on \mathbb{R}_+ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(r) = 1/r$, for all $r > \delta$, it follows that $l^*l = l^*lf(E(n^*s^*)sn)E(n^*s^*)sn$. Letting $t = f(E(n^*s^*)sn)E(n^*s^*)s$, it follows that $l = ltn$. Also $lt \in lBs \subseteq B$, by Corollary 4.2, and $tn \in BE(n^*s^*)sn \subseteq BB \subseteq B$, by Definition 3.1(3) (Stable). This shows that $l < n$, by (7.1). \square

For any $T \subseteq S$, we let

$$T^< = \{s \in S : \text{there exists } t \in T \text{ such that } t < s\},$$

i.e. the up-set of T with respect to $<$. Similarly, $T^>$ denotes the down-set of T . When $T = \{t\}$ is a singleton, we usually omit the curly braces and just write $t^<$ (respectively $t^>$) instead of $\{t\}^<$ (respectively $\{t\}^>$).

The principal up-set $n^<$ defined by any $n \in N$ is an open subset of N , thanks to Lemma 7.2. Taking $n = m$ in Lemma 7.2, we also obtain the even weaker characterisation of $<$ alluded to earlier, i.e. for all $l, s, m \in N$,

$$ls \in B \quad \text{and} \quad l = lsm \quad \Rightarrow \quad l < m. \quad (7.2)$$

There are a few more observations about $<$ that we can make at this point. First note that any element of N dominated by an element of B must in fact also lie in B , i.e.

$$n \in N, b \in B, \text{ and } n < b \quad \Rightarrow \quad n \in B. \quad (7.3)$$

Indeed, if $n <_s b \in B$ then $n = nsb \in BB \subseteq B$. Further, if $m, n, s \in N$ and $b \in B$,

$$m <_s n \quad \Rightarrow \quad mb <_s n \quad (7.4)$$

Also recall that any property of the domination relation on well-structured semigroups must apply, in particular, to Cartan semigroups. For example, this is true for the results in [5, §5] relating domination to the expectation, e.g. showing that $<$ is E -invariant, i.e.

$$m <_s n \quad \Rightarrow \quad E(m) <_{E(s)} E(n). \quad (7.5)$$

Likewise, we immediately see from the definition that $<$ is $*$ -invariant, i.e.

$$m <_s n \quad \Rightarrow \quad m^* <_{s^*} n^*. \quad (7.6)$$

Alternatively, we can leave m fixed and instead switch the last two terms, i.e.

$$m <_s n \quad \Rightarrow \quad m <_{n^*} s^*. \quad (7.7)$$

Indeed if $m <_s n$ then $mn^* = msn^* \in BB \subseteq B$ and $m = mn^*s^*$ by Lemma 4.5.

Next we note that domination is auxiliary to restriction in the following sense.

Lemma 7.3. *For all $k, l, m, n \in N$,*

$$k \sqsubseteq l < m \sqsubseteq n \quad \Rightarrow \quad k < n. \quad (\text{Auxiliarity})$$

Proposition 8.2. *The ultrafilters G form an étale groupoid under the product $T \cdot U = (TU)^<$ defined if and only if $0 \notin TU$ and the inverse of each $U \in G$ is given by $U^{-1} = U^* := \{u^* : u \in U\}$, with $\mathfrak{s}(U) := (U^{-1}U)^<$ and $\mathfrak{r}(U) := (UU^{-1})^<$.*

Proof. By [6, Theorems 8.1, 8.4 and 12.8], G is an étale groupoid under the product $T \cdot U = (TU)^<$, where the inverse of each $U \in G$ is given by

$$U^{-1} = \{s \in N : \text{there exists } m, n \in U \text{ such that } m <_s n\}. \quad (8.1)$$

But by (7.7), $m <_s n$ is equivalent to $m <_{n^*} s^*$ so $s \in U^{-1}$ is equivalent to $s^* \in U^< = U$, thus showing that $U^{-1} = U^*$. As for when $T \cdot U$ is defined, this happens precisely when $\mathfrak{s}(T) = \mathfrak{r}(U)$ – it only remains to show that this is equivalent to $0 \notin TU$. To see this, note first that if $\mathfrak{s}(T) = \mathfrak{r}(U)$ then $\mathfrak{s}(T) = \mathfrak{s}(T) \cdot \mathfrak{r}(U) = (T^*TUU^*)^<$. Then $0 \in TU$ would imply $\mathfrak{s}(T) = 0^< = N$, a contradiction, so $\mathfrak{s}(T) = \mathfrak{r}(U)$ implies $0 \notin TU$. Conversely, if $\mathfrak{s}(T) \neq \mathfrak{r}(U)$ then $(\mathfrak{s}(T)\mathfrak{r}(U))^<$ is filter containing both U and T , as $U \subseteq (t^*tU)^<$ and $T \subseteq (Tuu^*)^<$, for any $t \in T$ and $u \in U$. The maximality of T and U then implies that $0 \in (\mathfrak{s}(T)\mathfrak{r}(U))^<$ and hence $0 \in T(\mathfrak{s}(T)\mathfrak{r}(U))^<U \subseteq TU$. \square

Remark 8.3. In the motivating situation of Proposition 3.3, the ultrafilter groupoid G_N corresponds with the groupoid G that we started with. Indeed, if A is a D -contractive C^* -completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$, for some twist $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$, and $N = \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ is its monomial semigroup then

$$g \mapsto U_g := \{n \in N : j(n)(q^{-1}\{g\}) \neq \{0\}\}$$

is an étale groupoid isomorphism from the original groupoid G onto the ultrafilter groupoid G_N . This follows by essentially the same argument as in [8, Theorem 5.3] applied to the bumpy semigroup N , see also Proposition 7.1.

Next note that, by [6, Proposition 8.2], the units of G are precisely the ultrafilters that have nonempty intersection with B , that is

$$G^{(0)} = \bigcup_{b \in B} \mathcal{U}_b. \quad (8.2)$$

Also, the inverses of ultrafilters in \mathcal{U}_n are just the ultrafilters containing n^* , i.e.

$$\mathcal{U}_n^{-1} = \mathcal{U}_{n^*}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{U}_n^{-1}\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{U}_{n^*}\mathcal{U}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{n^*n} \subseteq G^{(0)}$ and, likewise, $\mathcal{U}_n\mathcal{U}_n^{-1} \subseteq G^{(0)}$, showing that each \mathcal{U}_n is a bisection of G . Moreover, by Lemma 4.4 and [6, Theorems 8.11 and 12.8],

$$\mathcal{U}_{mn} = \mathcal{U}_m\mathcal{U}_n, \quad (8.3)$$

for all $m, n \in N$. So we see that $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in N}$ is a basis of bisections of G forming an inverse semigroup under pointwise products and inverses.

Using the expectation, we also can strengthen (8.2) like in [5, Proposition 6.4].

Proposition 8.4. *For all $n \in N$,*

$$\mathcal{U}_{E(n)} = \mathcal{U}_n \cap G^{(0)}.$$

Proof. If $U \in \mathcal{U}_{E(n)}$, then there exists $m \in U$ with $m < E(n)$ and then $m < E(n) \sqsubseteq n$ by Proposition 6.2. So $m < n$ by (Auxiliary) in Lemma 7.3, and hence $U \in \mathcal{U}_m \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$. Certainly $\mathcal{U}_{E(n)} \subseteq G^{(0)}$ because $E(n) \in B$ and the unit space is given by (8.2). So $\mathcal{U}_{E(n)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n \cap G^{(0)}$.

Conversely, if $U \in \mathcal{U}_n \cap G^{(0)}$ then, taking any $b \in U \cap B$, we must further have some $m \in U$ with $m < b, n$ and hence $m = E(m) < E(n)$ by (7.3) and (7.5), so $U \in \mathcal{U}_m \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{E(n)}$. \square

In contrast to (8.2), we also have the following.

Proposition 8.5. *The non-unit ultrafilters are precisely those intersecting $E^{-1}\{0\}$, i.e.*

$$G \setminus G^{(0)} = \bigcup_{E(n)=0} \mathcal{U}_n.$$

Proof. By Proposition 8.4, $E(n) = 0$ implies $\mathcal{U}_n \cap G^{(0)} = \mathcal{U}_{E(n)} = \mathcal{U}_0 = \emptyset$, thus showing that $\bigcup_{E(n)=0} \mathcal{U}_n \subseteq G \setminus G^{(0)}$. Conversely, if $U \in G \setminus G^{(0)}$ then, in particular, U and hence $E(U)$ is directed, by (7.5). Then $E(U)^<$ is a filter containing U (as $m < n$ implies $E(m) < n$, by Lemma 7.3 (Auxiliaryity), because $E(m) \sqsubseteq m < n$). If 0 were not in $E(U)$ then this would imply $E(U)^< = U$, by the maximality of U . But then $E(U) \subseteq U$ and hence $U \in G^{(0)}$, a contradiction. Thus $0 \in E(U)$ so $U \in \bigcup_{E(n)=0} \mathcal{U}_n$, showing $G \setminus G^{(0)} \subseteq \bigcup_{E(n)=0} \mathcal{U}_n$. \square

Corollary 8.6. *The ultrafilter groupoid G is Hausdorff.*

Proof. For any $T, U \in G^{(0)}$, if $T \neq U$ then $T \cdot U$ is not defined and hence $0 \in TU$. Taking any $m \in T$ and $n \in U$ with $mn = 0$, it follows that \mathcal{U}_m and \mathcal{U}_n are disjoint neighbourhoods of T and U respectively, showing that $G^{(0)}$ is Hausdorff. Now Proposition 8.5 implies $G \setminus G^{(0)}$ is open so $G^{(0)}$ is closed, from which it follows that G is Hausdorff. \square

One fact worth noting is that the restriction relation \sqsubseteq is stronger than the corresponding inclusion relation for basic subsets of ultrafilters, that is for all $m, n \in N$,

$$m \sqsubseteq n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{U}_m \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n. \quad (8.4)$$

Indeed, if $U \in \mathcal{U}_m$ then $m \in U$ so we have some $l \in U$ with $l < m \sqsubseteq n$ and hence $l < n$, by (Auxiliaryity) in Lemma 7.3, which then implies $n \in U$ and hence $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$. Together with the above results, this observation yields another corollary.

Corollary 8.7. *For all $n \in N$, the bisection $\mathcal{U}_n \subseteq G^{(0)}$ if and only if $n \in B$.*

Proof. If $n \in B$ then $\mathcal{U}_n \subseteq G^{(0)}$ by (8.2). Conversely, if $n \in N \setminus B$ then $n \neq E(n)$ and hence $0 \neq n - E(n) \sqsubseteq n$, by Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 5.5. Then Proposition 8.1, Proposition 8.5 and (8.4) yield $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{U}_{n-E(n)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n \setminus G^{(0)}$ and hence $\mathcal{U}_n \not\subseteq G^{(0)}$. \square

Recall that a proper nonempty closed ideal I in a commutative C*-algebra is maximal precisely when it is prime, meaning that $a \in I$ or $b \in I$ whenever $ab \in I$. Likewise, ultrafilters are precisely the proper filters that are ‘additively prime’.

Proposition 8.8. *A proper nonempty filter $U \subseteq N$ is an ultrafilter if and only if for all $m, n \in N$,*

$$m + n \in U \quad \Rightarrow \quad m \in U \quad \text{or} \quad n \in U. \quad (8.5)$$

Proof. Take a proper non-empty filter $U \subseteq N$. If U is not an ultrafilter, then U is contained in a strictly larger proper filter T . Take $t \in T \setminus U$ and $u \in U$. As T is a filter, we have $m, n \in T$ with $m < n < t, u$. Take n' with $m <_{n'} n$, necessarily with $n' \in T^{-1} = T^*$, and note that $u - un'n \notin T$ – otherwise we would obtain the contradiction $0 = (u - un'n)n'm \in TT^*T \subseteq T$. Taking t' with $n <_{t'} t$, we also note that $un'n <_{t'} t$. Indeed, taking u' with $n <_{u'} u$, we see that $un'nu', nu'ut' \in B$, by Corollary 4.2, and hence $un'nt' = un'nu'ut' \in B$, by [5, Proposition 1.6], as required. It follows that $un'n \notin U$ – otherwise $t \in U^< \subseteq U$, contradicting our choice of t . Thus $(u - un'n) + un'n = u \in U$ even though $u - un'n \notin T \supseteq U$ and $un'n \notin U$, showing that U fails to satisfy (8.5).

Conversely, looking for a contradiction, say we have an ultrafilter U with $m + n \in U$, for some $m, n \in N \setminus U$. By Lemma 7.4, we have sequences $(m_k) \subseteq m^>$ and $(n_k) \subseteq n^>$ dominated by m and n respectively with $m_k \rightarrow m$ and $n_k \rightarrow n$, hence $m_k + n_k \rightarrow m + n$. By Lemma 7.2, $U = \bigcup_{u \in U} u^<$ is open and hence $m_j + n_j \in U$, for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 7.5, we then have $(r_k), (s_k) \subseteq N$ with $m_j < r_{k+1} < r_k < m$ and $n_j < s_{k+1} < s_k < n$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now note that we have a filter T containing U and m given by

$$T = \{t > E(r_k u^*)u : \text{for some } u \in U \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

thanks to [5, Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.3] and [6, Proposition 5.7 and 5.8]. As U is an ultrafilter and $m \in T \setminus U$, it follows that $T = N$ so $E(r_k u^*)u = 0$ and hence $E(r_k u^*) = 0$, for some $u \in U$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking $r \in N$ with $m_j <_r r_k$, we see that $E(m_j u^*) = E(m_j r r_k u^*) = m_j r E(r_k u^*) = 0$ as well. Likewise $E(n_j v^*) = 0$, for some $v \in U$. Taking $w \in U$ with $w < u, v$, it follows that $E(m_j w^*) = 0 = E(n_j w^*)$ and hence $0 = E(w^*(m_j + n_j)) \in E(U^*U) \subseteq \mathfrak{s}(U)$, a contradiction. \square

We can now show that maximal ideals in B are just the complements of unit ultrafilters and that the resulting map is even a homeomorphism from $G^{(0)}$ onto the maximal ideal space X_B (as described in Section 2.1).

Theorem 8.9. *The map $h : G^{(0)} \rightarrow X_B$ given by $h(U) = B \setminus U$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. If $U \in G^{(0)}$ then $U \cap B \neq \emptyset$ by (8.2), so $B \setminus U$ is a proper subset of B . Also $0 \notin U$ so $0 \in B \setminus U$ and, in particular, $B \setminus U$ is not empty. As $U = \bigcup_{m \in U} m^<$ is open by Lemma 7.2, and B is closed, so is $B \setminus U$. By Proposition 8.8, $B \setminus U$ is closed under addition. Also, for any $b \in B \setminus U$ and $c \in B$, we see that $bc \in B \setminus U$ as well – if we had $bc \in U$ then we would have $m \in U$ with $m < bc$ and hence $m < b$, by Lemma 4.4 and [6, Proposition 8.7], implying that $b \in U^< \subseteq U$, a contradiction. Thus $B \setminus U$ is a proper nonempty closed ideal. Moreover, for any $b, c \in B$ with $bc \in B \setminus U$, we must have either $b \in B \setminus U$ or $c \in B \setminus U$ – otherwise $b, c \in U$ and hence $bc \in UU \subseteq U$, a contradiction. Thus $B \setminus U$ is also prime and hence a maximal ideal, i.e. h does indeed map $G^{(0)}$ to X_B .

To see that h is injective, take distinct $T, U \in G^{(0)}$ so we have some $t \in T \setminus U$. Thus $T \in \mathcal{U}_t \cap G^{(0)} = \mathcal{U}_{E(t)}$ by Proposition 8.4, and hence $U \in G^{(0)} \setminus \mathcal{U}_t = G^{(0)} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{E(t)}$ by Proposition 8.4 again. It follows that $E(t) \in T \setminus U$ and hence $E(t) \in (B \setminus U) \setminus (B \setminus T)$. In particular, $B \setminus U \neq B \setminus T$, as required.

To see h is surjective, take $I \in X_B$. For any $b, c \in B \setminus I$, note $b(I) \neq 0 \neq c(I)$ under the identification of B with $C_0(X_B)$. Taking $d \in B$ with $I \in \text{supp}^\circ(d) \subseteq \text{supp}^\circ(b) \cap \text{supp}^\circ(c)$, we see that $d < b, c$, by Proposition 7.1. So $B \setminus I$ is a proper (because $0 \notin B \setminus I$) directed subset and Kuratowski-Zorn yields an ultrafilter U containing $B \setminus I$. So $B \setminus U \subseteq I$ and hence $B \setminus U = I$, as we have already shown that $B \setminus U$ is a maximal ideal.

By Proposition 8.4, $\{\mathcal{U}_b\}_{b \in B}$ is basis for the topology on $G^{(0)}$. And for each $b \in B$,

$$h(\mathcal{U}_b) = \{h(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_b\} = \{B \setminus U : b \in U \in G^{(0)}\} = \{I \in X_B : b \notin I\} = X_b.$$

As $\{X_b\}_{b \in B}$ is a basis for X_B , this shows that h is a homeomorphism. \square

It follows that, for every $U \in G^{(0)}$, there is a unique $I \in X_B$ such that

$$U \cap B = \{b \in B : b(I) \neq 0\}.$$

Indeed, by the above result we can take $I = B \setminus U \in X_B$ and then

$$\{b \in B : b(I) \neq 0\} = \{b \in B : b \notin I\} = \{b \in B : b \in U\} = U \cap B.$$

Uniqueness is then immediate from the injectivity of h above.

We have already noted in Proposition 7.1 that domination corresponds to compact containment of supports in twisted groupoid C^* -algebras. This remains valid in general if we replace supports with the corresponding subsets of the ultrafilter groupoid.

Proposition 8.10. *For all $m, n \in N$, $m < n$ if and only if $\mathcal{U}_m \in \mathcal{U}_n$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.1, (8.2) and Theorem 8.9, we have that Proposition 8.10 holds for all $m, n \in B$. For all $m, n \in N$, we also know from (8.3) that

$$\mathcal{U}_m \mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{U}_{mn}.$$

Now if $m < n$, then $\mathcal{U}_m \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$ because ultrafilters are upwards closed. To show $\mathcal{U}_m \in \mathcal{U}_n$ it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{s}(\mathcal{U}_m) \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathcal{U}_n)$, as the source map \mathfrak{s} is a homeomorphism on any open bisection. To see this, note that $m < n$ implies $m^* < n^*$, by (7.6), so $m^*m < n^*n$, by [6, Proposition 5.7], and hence

$$\mathfrak{s}(\mathcal{U}_m) = \mathcal{U}_m^{-1} \mathcal{U}_m = \mathcal{U}_{m^*} \mathcal{U}_m = \mathcal{U}_{m^*m} \in \mathcal{U}_{n^*n} = \mathfrak{s}(\mathcal{U}_n).$$

Conversely, if $\mathcal{U}_m \in \mathcal{U}_n$ then, in particular, $\mathcal{U}_m \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$ and hence

$$\mathcal{U}_{mn^*} = \mathcal{U}_m \mathcal{U}_{n^*} = \mathcal{U}_m \mathcal{U}_n^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n \mathcal{U}_n^{-1} \subseteq G^{(0)},$$

which implies $mn^* \in B$ by Proposition 8.7. Again $\mathcal{U}_m \in \mathcal{U}_n$ implies $\mathcal{U}_{m^*m} = \mathfrak{s}(\mathcal{U}_m) \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathcal{U}_n) = \mathcal{U}_{n^*n}$ and hence $m^*m <_b n^*n$, for some $b \in B$. Thus $m^*m = m^*mbn^*n$ so $m = mbn^*n$. As $mbn^* \in mBn^* \subseteq B$ by Corollary 4.2 and the fact that $mn^* \in B$, this implies $m < n$ by (7.2). \square

9. SOURCE AND RANGE STATES

Here we examine how ultrafilters interact with characters on B . In particular, we will see how to precisely measure the magnitude and angle between elements of any given ultrafilter. These functions will play an important role in the following sections.

To start with note that each $U \in G$ defines a source ideal $B \setminus \mathfrak{s}(U)$ and a range ideal $B \setminus \mathfrak{r}(U)$ by Theorem 8.9, which in turn define source and range states

$$\psi_U := \langle B \setminus \mathfrak{s}(U) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^U := \langle B \setminus \mathfrak{r}(U) \rangle. \quad (9.1)$$

Remark 9.1. If A is a D -contractive C*-completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ for a twist $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ and $N = \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ is its monomial semigroup, as in Remark 8.3, these states are given by evaluation at the source and range of the corresponding elements of Σ , i.e. for $g = q(e)$,

$$\psi_{U_g}(b) = j(b)(\mathfrak{s}(e)) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^{U_g}(b) = j(b)(\mathfrak{r}(e)).$$

We can always calculate source states from range states and vice versa.

Lemma 9.2. *For all $U \in G$ and $n \in U$,*

$$\psi^U(b) = \psi_U(n^*bn) / \psi_U(n^*n).$$

Proof. If $n \in U$ then $n^*n \in U^*U \subseteq \mathfrak{s}(U)$ and hence $\psi_U(n^*n) = \langle B \setminus \mathfrak{s}(U) \rangle(n^*n) > 0$. Replacing n with $n/\sqrt{\psi_U(n^*n)}$ if necessary, we may then assume that $\psi_U(n^*n) = 1$. Then note the map $\chi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\chi(b) = \psi_U(n^*bn)$ is multiplicative because, for all $b, c \in B$,

$$\psi_U(n^*bcn) = \psi_U(n^*bcn)\psi_U(n^*n) = \psi_U(n^*bcnn^*n) = \psi_U(n^*bnn^*cn) = \psi_U(n^*bn)\psi_U(n^*cn).$$

As characters on B are determined by their kernels, it suffices to show $\ker(\chi) = \ker(\psi^U)$ or even just $\ker(\chi) \subseteq \ker(\psi^U)$, as these kernels are maximal ideals. To see this just note that $\psi^U(b) \neq 0$ means $b \in \mathfrak{r}(U)$, so $n^*bn \in U^*\mathfrak{r}(U)U \subseteq \mathfrak{s}(U)$ and thus $\chi(b) = \psi_U(n^*bn) \neq 0$. \square

The map to source (and range) states is also continuous in the following sense.

Lemma 9.3. *If $U_\lambda \rightarrow U$ in G and $b_\lambda \rightarrow b$ in B then $\psi_{U_\lambda}(b_\lambda) \rightarrow \psi_U(b)$.*

Proof. As G is étale, the source map is continuous so $U_\lambda \rightarrow U$ implies $\mathfrak{s}(U_\lambda) \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}(U)$. Then by Theorem 8.9, $B \setminus \mathfrak{s}(U_\lambda) \rightarrow B \setminus \mathfrak{s}(U)$ in the maximal ideal space X_B , so $\langle B \setminus \mathfrak{s}(U_\lambda) \rangle \rightarrow \langle B \setminus \mathfrak{s}(U) \rangle$ in the weak*-topology on states. This means that $\psi_{U_\lambda}(b_\lambda) \rightarrow \psi_U(b)$. \square

9.1. Magnitudes. For any $U \in G$, we can define the U -magnitude of any $n \in U$ by

$$|n|_U := \sqrt{\psi_U(n^*n)}.$$

Remark 9.4. When A is a D -contractive C^* -completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ for some twist $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ and $N = \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ is its monomial semigroup, we saw in Remark 8.3 that G is isomorphic to the ultrafilters in N with respect to $<$. For any $n \in N$ and $e \in \Sigma$, the $U_{q(e)}$ -magnitude (where $U_{q(e)}$ is the ultrafilter corresponding to $q(e) \in G$) is just the absolute value of $j(n)$ at e , i.e.

$$|n|_{U_{q(e)}} = |j(n)(e)|.$$

Proposition 9.5. For any composable pair $(T, U) \in G^{(2)}$, $m \in T$, $n \in U$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$|\alpha n|_U = |\alpha| |n|_U, \quad |n^*|_{U^*} = |n|_U \quad \text{and} \quad |mn|_{TU} = |m|_T |n|_U.$$

Proof. We immediately see that

$$|\alpha n|_U = \sqrt{\psi_U((\alpha n)^*(\alpha n))} = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha} \alpha \psi_U(n^*n)} = |\alpha| |n|_U.$$

Also, by Lemma 9.2,

$$|n^*|_{U^*} = \psi^U(nn^*) = \psi_U(n^*nn^*)/\psi_U(n^*n) = \psi_U(n^*n)\psi_U(n^*n)/\psi_U(n^*n) = |n|_U.$$

Again Lemma 9.2 yields

$$|mn|_{TU} = \sqrt{\psi_U(n^*m^*mn)} = \sqrt{\psi^U(m^*m)\psi_U(n^*n)} = \sqrt{\psi_T(m^*m)\psi_U(n^*n)} = |m|_T |n|_U. \quad \square$$

Often it will suffice to consider elements of U -magnitude 1, which we denote by

$$U_1 = \{n \in U : |n|_U = 1\}.$$

Note that $\frac{1}{|n|_U}n \in U_1$, for all $n \in U$, as $|\frac{1}{|n|_U}n|_U = \frac{1}{|n|_U}|n|_U = 1$. In particular, $U_1 \neq \emptyset$, for all $U \in G$, which we can strengthen as follows.

Proposition 9.6. For all $U \in G$,

$$U_1^1 := U_1 \cap A^1 \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Take any $n \in U_1$ and any continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $f(0) = 0$, $f(1) = 1$ and $f(x) \leq 1/\sqrt{x}$, for all $x > 1$. For any character ϕ on B and any $b \in B_+$ with $\phi(b) = 1$, it follows that $\phi(f(b)) = 1$ as well, as $f(1) = 1$. In particular this holds for $\phi := \psi_U$ and $b := n^*n$. Then $\psi_U(f(b)) = 1 \neq 0$ implies $f(b) \in \mathfrak{s}(U)$ so $m := nf(b) \in U\mathfrak{s}(U) \subseteq U$ and

$$\|m\|^2 = \|m^*m\| = \|f(b)bf(b)\| = 1 = \psi_U(f(b)bf(b)) = \psi_U(m^*m) = |m|_U^2,$$

showing that $m \in U_1^1$. \square

The following lemma will soon be needed to define angles between ultrafilters.

Lemma 9.7. Let $U \in G$. Whenever $m, n \in U$,

$$|\psi_U(E(m^*n))| = |m|_U |n|_U.$$

Proof. As $m^*n \in U^*U \subseteq \mathfrak{s}(U)$ and hence $E(m^*n) \in \mathfrak{s}(U)$ by Proposition 8.4, we can apply Lemma 6.6 and Proposition 9.5 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_U(E(m^*n))| &= \sqrt{\psi_U(E(n^*m)E(m^*n))} = \sqrt{\psi_U(E(n^*mm^*n))} \\ &= |m^*n|_{\mathfrak{s}(U)} = |m^*|_{U^*} |n|_U = |m|_U |n|_U. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

9.2. **Angles.** Let $U \in G$. Whenever $m, n \in U$, we define the U -angle from m to n by

$$\langle m, n \rangle_U := \frac{1}{|m|_U |n|_U} \psi_U(E(n^*m)).$$

Note $\langle m, n \rangle_U \in \mathbb{T}$ for all $m, n \in U$, by Lemma 9.7.

Remark 9.8. When A is a D -contractive C*-completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ for some twist $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ and $N = \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ is its monomial semigroup, we see that

$$\langle m, n \rangle_{U_{q(e)}} = j(m)(e) \overline{j(n)(e)} / |j(m)(e)j(n)(e)|,$$

for all $m, n \in N$ and $e \in \Sigma$, where again $U_{q(e)}$ is the ultrafilter corresponding to $q(e)$.

Proposition 9.9. Let $U \in G$. Whenever $l, m, n \in U$,

$$\langle n, n \rangle_U = 1, \quad \langle m^*, n^* \rangle_{U^*} = \langle n, m \rangle_U = \overline{\langle m, n \rangle_U} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle l, n \rangle_U = \langle l, m \rangle_U \langle m, n \rangle_U.$$

Proof. As $\langle v, w \rangle_U = \langle \frac{1}{|v|_U}v, \frac{1}{|w|_U}w \rangle_U$ for all $v, w \in U$, we may assume $l, m, n \in U_1$. Then

$$\langle n, n \rangle_U = \psi_U(E(n^*n)) = \psi_U(n^*n) = 1.$$

Also Proposition 6.4 and Lemma 9.2 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m^*, n^* \rangle_{U^*} &= \psi^U(E(nm^*)) = \psi_U(n^*E(nm^*)n) = \psi_U(E(n^*nm^*n)) = \psi_U(n^*n)\psi_U(E(m^*n)) \\ &= \langle n, m \rangle_U = \psi_U(E(m^*n)) = \overline{\psi_U(E(n^*m))} = \overline{\langle m, n \rangle_U}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 6.4 and Lemma 9.2 again, this time with Lemma 6.6 too yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l, m \rangle_U \langle m, n \rangle_U &= \psi_U(E(m^*l)E(n^*m)) = \psi_U(E(m^*ln^*m)) = \psi^U(E(ln^*)) \\ &= \langle n^*, l^* \rangle_{U^*} = \langle l, n \rangle_U. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Angles also respect products in the following sense.

Proposition 9.10. Let $U \in G$. Whenever $(U, V) \in G^{(2)}$, $m, n \in U$, and $r, s \in V$,

$$\langle m, n \rangle_U \langle r, s \rangle_V = \langle mr, ns \rangle_{U.V}.$$

Proof. Scaling if necessary, and applying Proposition 9.5 we may assume $m, n \in U_1$ and $r, s \in V_1$. By Proposition 6.4 and Lemma 9.2,

$$\langle mr, nr \rangle_{U.V} = \psi_V(E(r^*n^*mr)) = \psi_V(r^*E(n^*m)r) = \psi_U(E(n^*m)) = \langle m, n \rangle_U.$$

Likewise, $\langle nr, ns \rangle_{U.V} = \langle r, s \rangle_V$ and so Proposition 9.9 yields

$$\langle m, n \rangle_U \langle r, s \rangle_V = \langle mr, nr \rangle_{U.V} \langle nr, ns \rangle_{U.V} = \langle mr, ns \rangle_{U.V}. \quad \square$$

We also have the following observations for products from scalar multiplication and unit ultrafilters.

Lemma 9.11. Let $U \in G$. Whenever $n \in U$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and $l \in \mathfrak{r}(U)$,

$$\langle \alpha n, n \rangle_U = \alpha/|\alpha| = \langle n, \bar{\alpha}n \rangle_U \quad \text{and} \quad \langle ln, n \rangle_U = \psi^U(E(l))/|l|_{\mathfrak{r}(U)} = \langle n, l^*n \rangle_U.$$

Proof. We may assume $n \in U_1$, in which case $\langle \alpha n, n \rangle_U = \frac{1}{|\alpha n|_U} \psi_U(\alpha n^*n) = \alpha/|\alpha|$. Then we also see that $\langle n, \bar{\alpha}n \rangle_U = \overline{\langle \bar{\alpha}n, n \rangle_U} = \overline{\bar{\alpha}/|\alpha|} = \alpha/|\alpha|$. Similarly, assuming $l \in \mathfrak{r}(U)_1$, Proposition 6.4 and Lemma 9.2 again yield $\langle ln, n \rangle_U = \psi_U(E(n^*ln)) = \psi^U(E(l))$ and hence $\langle n, l^*n \rangle_U = \overline{\langle l^*n, n \rangle_U} = \overline{\psi^U(E(l^*))} = \psi^U(E(l))$ as well. \square

Likewise, we also see that, whenever $n \in U$ and $l \in \mathfrak{s}(U)$,

$$\langle nl, n \rangle_U = \psi_U(E(l))/|l|_{\mathfrak{s}(U)} = \langle n, nl^* \rangle_U.$$

In particular, for any $b \in \mathfrak{r}(U)_+ (= \mathfrak{r}(U) \cap B_+)$ and $c \in \mathfrak{s}(U)_+$,

$$\langle bn, n \rangle_U = \langle n, bn \rangle_U = 1 = \langle nc, n \rangle_U = \langle n, nc \rangle_U. \quad (9.2)$$

9.3. Equivalences. We define a binary relation \sim_U on each $U \in G$, which we will soon see that it is an equivalence relation. In Section 10, the resulting equivalence classes will form the domain Σ of our twist $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$.

Definition 9.12. For each $U \in G$, whenever $m, n \in U$, we write $m \sim_U n$ to mean $\langle m, n \rangle_U = 1$ or, equivalently, $\psi_U(E(n^*m)) > 0$ so

$$m \sim_U n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle m, n \rangle_U = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \psi_U(E(n^*m)) > 0.$$

Remark 9.13. Continuing the example from Remark 8.3, let A be a D -contractive C^* -completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ for some twist $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ and $N = \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ be its monomial semigroup, we see that

$$m \sim_{U_{q(e)}} n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad j(m)(e)/|j(m)(e)| = j(n)(e)/|j(n)(e)|,$$

for all $m, n \in N$ and $e \in \Sigma$, where again $U_{q(e)}$ is the ultrafilter corresponding to $q(e)$.

Proposition 9.14. For each $U \in G$, \sim_U is an equivalence relation such that $m \sim_U n$ implies $m^* \sim_{U^*} n^*$ and $\alpha m \sim_U \alpha n$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, if $(U, V) \in G^{(2)}$ then

$$m \sim_U n \quad \text{and} \quad q \sim_V r \quad \Rightarrow \quad mq \sim_{U.V} nr. \quad (9.3)$$

Proof. For each $U \in G$, we apply Proposition 9.9 to show that \sim_U is an equivalence relation. Firstly, \sim_U is reflexive because $\langle n, n \rangle_U = 1$ for all $n \in N$. As $\langle m, n \rangle_U = 1$ implies $\langle n, m \rangle_U = \overline{\langle m, n \rangle_U} = 1$, we see that \sim_U is symmetric. As $\langle l, m \rangle_U = 1$ and $\langle m, n \rangle_U = 1$ implies $\langle l, n \rangle_U = \langle l, m \rangle_U \langle m, n \rangle_U = 1$, we see that \sim_U is also transitive. Likewise, $\langle m, n \rangle_U = 1$ implies $\langle m^*, n^* \rangle_{U^*} = \overline{\langle m, n \rangle_U} = 1$ and

$$\langle \alpha m, \alpha n \rangle_U = \langle \alpha m, m \rangle_U \langle m, n \rangle_U \langle n, \alpha n \rangle_U = \alpha \bar{\alpha} / |\alpha|^2 = 1,$$

showing that $m \sim_U n$ implies $m^* \sim_{U^*} n^*$ and $\alpha m \sim_U \alpha n$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Likewise, (9.3) is immediate from Proposition 9.10. \square

Accordingly, if $m \sim_U n$ then we say that m and n are U -equivalent. All elements of any given ultrafilter U are in fact U -equivalent modulo a unique factor in \mathbb{T} , namely $\langle m, n \rangle_U$. Indeed, if $m, n \in U \in G$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$ then

$$m \sim_U tn \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 1 = \langle m, tn \rangle_U = \bar{t} \langle m, n \rangle_U \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad t = \langle m, n \rangle_U. \quad (9.4)$$

Also, products with positive elements in the source or range of U are U -equivalent, i.e.

$$b \in r(U)_+, \quad c \in s(U)_+ \quad \text{and} \quad n \in U \quad \Rightarrow \quad bn \sim_U n \sim_U nc,$$

by (9.2). In particular, positive elements in any $U \in G^{(0)}$ are always U -equivalent, as

$$b, c \in U_+ \quad \Rightarrow \quad b \sim_U bc \sim_U c.$$

Let us now denote the U -equivalence class of any $n \in U$ by

$$[n]_U = \{m \in U : m \sim_U n\}.$$

The ultrafilter U can always be recovered from any of its U -equivalence classes as follows.

Proposition 9.15. Let $U \in G$. Whenever $n \in U$,

$$U = [n]_U^<.$$

Proof. Assume $n \in U$. We immediately see that $[n]_U \subseteq U$ and hence $[n]_U^< \subseteq U^< \subseteq U$ since U is an ultrafilter. Conversely, for any $m \in U$, we have $l \in U$ with $l < m, n$ and then $n \sim_U \langle n, l \rangle_U l < m$ so $m \in [n]_U^<$. This shows that $U \subseteq [n]_U^<$. \square

10. THE TWIST

In this section, we investigate a natural twist over our ultrafilter groupoid G formed from all its ultrafilter equivalence classes. We denote these equivalence classes by

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_N := \{[n]_U : n \in U, U \in G\},$$

which we consider as a topological space with the subbasis

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= \{\mathcal{E}_n^O : O \subseteq \mathbb{T} \text{ is open and } n \in N\} \text{ where} \\ \mathcal{E}_n^O &= \{[tn]_U : t \in O \text{ and } U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (9.4) above and Proposition 9.15, we have

$$[m]_U \in \mathcal{E}_n^O \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle m, n \rangle_U \in O,$$

where $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ is implicit on the right hand side for $\langle m, n \rangle_U$ to be defined.

We first claim that \mathcal{B} above is not just a subbasis for the topology, it is actually a basis. In fact, we will prove the stronger result that each point $[m]_U$ has a special neighbourhood base consisting of open sets of the form \mathcal{E}_n^O , where O is a neighbourhood of 1 and n is a multiple of m with some positive element of B .

Proposition 10.1. *Every $[m]_U \in \Sigma$ has a neighbourhood base of the form*

$$\{\mathcal{E}_{bm}^O : b \in \mathfrak{r}(U)_+ \text{ and } O \text{ is a neighbourhood of 1 in } \mathbb{T}\}.$$

Proof. Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ be open. If $[m]_U \in \mathcal{E}_n^P$ and hence $\langle m, n \rangle_U \in P$ then, as $V \mapsto \langle m, n \rangle_V = \frac{1}{|m|_V |n|_V} \psi_V(E(n^*m))$ is continuous, we must have $l \in U$ with $l < m, n$ such that $C = \text{cl}\{\langle m, n \rangle_V : V \in \mathcal{U}_l\} \subseteq P$. For a sufficiently small neighbourhood O of 1 in \mathbb{T} , we then still have $OC \subseteq P$. Letting $b = ll^* \in \mathfrak{r}(U)_+$, we immediately see that $\langle m, bm \rangle_U = 1 \in O$ so $[m]_U \in \mathcal{E}_{bm}^O$. If $[q]_V \in \mathcal{E}_{bm}^O$, then we also see that

$$V \in \mathcal{U}_{bm} = \mathcal{U}_l \mathcal{U}_{l^*m} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_l G^{(0)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_l \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$$

and $\langle q, m \rangle_V = \langle q, bm \rangle_V \in O$ so $\langle q, n \rangle_V = \langle q, m \rangle_V \langle m, n \rangle_V \in OC \subseteq P$ and hence $[q]_V \in \mathcal{E}_n^P$, showing that $\mathcal{E}_{bm}^O \subseteq \mathcal{E}_n^P$.

So we have shown that open sets of the given form are a neighbourhood subbase at $[m]_U \in \Sigma$. To see that they are even a neighbourhood base, it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{E}_{bm}^O \cap \mathcal{E}_{cm}^P = \mathcal{E}_{bcm}^{O \cap P}.$$

To see this, first note that $\mathcal{U}_{bcm} = \mathcal{U}_b \mathcal{U}_c \mathcal{U}_m = (\mathcal{U}_b \cap \mathcal{U}_c) \mathcal{U}_m = \mathcal{U}_{bm} \cap \mathcal{U}_{cm}$. We then immediately see that $\mathcal{E}_{bcm}^{O \cap P} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{bm}^O \cap \mathcal{E}_{cm}^P$. Conversely, if $[l]_U \in \mathcal{E}_{bm}^O \cap \mathcal{E}_{cm}^P$ then $\langle l, m \rangle_U = \langle l, bm \rangle_U \in O$ and $\langle l, m \rangle_U = \langle l, cm \rangle_U \in P$ so $\langle l, bcm \rangle_U = \langle l, m \rangle_U \in O \cap P$ and hence $[l]_U \in \mathcal{E}_{bcm}^{O \cap P}$. \square

Likewise, every $[m]_U \in \Sigma$ has a neighbourhood base of the form

$$\{\mathcal{E}_{mb}^O : b \in \mathfrak{s}(U)_+ \text{ and } O \text{ is a neighbourhood of 1 in } \mathbb{T}\}.$$

We can also characterise convergence in Σ as follows.

Lemma 10.2. *For any net $([n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda}) \subseteq \Sigma$ and any $[n]_U \in \Sigma$, $[n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow [n]_U$ if and only if $U_\lambda \rightarrow U$ and $\langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow 1$.*

We note that the statement of Lemma 10.2 here makes sense: if $U_\lambda \rightarrow U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, then eventually $n \in U_\lambda$ which, in particular, implies $\langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_{U_\lambda}$ is defined. Equivalently, we could have said $[n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow [n]_U$ if and only if $U_\lambda \rightarrow U$ and there exists $t_\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $[n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} = [t_\lambda n]_{U_\lambda}$ eventually where $t_\lambda \rightarrow 1$ by (9.4).

Proof. Assume $[n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow [n]_U$. For every $m \in U$, we see that $[n]_U \in \mathcal{E}_m^\mathbb{T}$ and so eventually $[n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}_m^\mathbb{T}$ and hence $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_m$, showing that $U_\lambda \rightarrow U$. For every open neighbourhood O of 1 in \mathbb{T} , we also see that $[n]_U \in \mathcal{E}_n^O$ and so eventually $[n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}_n^O$ and hence $\langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_{U_\lambda} \in O$, showing that $\langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow 1$.

Conversely, say $U_\lambda \rightarrow U$ and $\langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow 1$. By Lemma 9.3, $\langle n, m \rangle_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow \langle n, m \rangle_U$ and hence $\langle n_\lambda, m \rangle_{U_\lambda} = \langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_{U_\lambda} \langle n, m \rangle_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow \langle n, m \rangle_U$. If $[n]_U \in \mathcal{E}_m^O$ and hence $\langle n, m \rangle_U \in O$ then this means eventually $\langle n_\lambda, m \rangle_{U_\lambda} \in O$ and hence $[n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}_m^O$, showing that $[n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow [n]_U$. \square

Under a natural product operation, Σ becomes a topological groupoid.

Proposition 10.3. *The space Σ is a Hausdorff topological groupoid under the product*

$$[m]_T [n]_U := [mn]_{T \cdot U} \text{ defined if and only if } (T, U) \in G^{(2)},$$

where the inverse of each $[n]_U \in \Sigma$ is given by $[n]_U^{-1} = [n^*]_{U^*}$, and the units are given by

$$\Sigma^{(0)} = \{[b]_U : b \in B_+ \text{ and } U \in \mathcal{U}_b\}.$$

Proof. If $[m]_T = [m']_{T'}$ and $[n]_U = [n']_{U'}$ then $T = T'$ and $U = U'$, by Proposition 9.15, so $(T, U) \in G^{(2)}$ if and only if $(T', U') \in G^{(2)}$. In this case, $m \sim_T m'$ and $n \sim_U n'$ and hence $mn \sim_{T \cdot U} m'n'$ by Proposition 9.14, i.e. $[mn]_{T \cdot U} = [m'n']_{T' \cdot U'}$. Thus the product is well-defined and associativity follows from the associativity of the product in N .

Now if $b \in B_+$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}_b$ then, for any $[m]_T \in \Sigma$ with $s(T) = U$, we see that $[m]_T [b]_U = [mb]_{T \cdot U} = [m]_T$, as $m \sim_T mb$. Likewise, $[b]_U [m]_T = [m]_T$ for any $[m]_T \in \Sigma$ with $r(T) = U$, so $[b]_U$ is a unit in Σ . In particular, every $[n]_U \in \Sigma$ has a source unit $s([n]_U) = [n^* n]_{s(U)} = [n^*]_{U^*} [n]_U$ and range unit $r([n]_U) = [n n^*]_{r(U)} = [n]_U [n^*]_{U^*}$. This shows that Σ is a groupoid with units $\Sigma^{(0)} = \{[b]_U : b \in B_+ \text{ and } U \in \mathcal{U}_b\}$ and inverse operation $[n]_U \mapsto [n^*]_{U^*}$.

To see that Σ is a topological groupoid, we must show that the product and inverse operations are continuous. Accordingly, note that if $(T_\lambda, U_\lambda) \subseteq G^{(2)}$, $[m_\lambda]_{T_\lambda} \rightarrow [m]_T$ and $[n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow [n]_U$ in Σ then, by Lemma 10.2, $T_\lambda \rightarrow T$, $\langle m_\lambda, m \rangle_{T_\lambda} \rightarrow 1$, $U_\lambda \rightarrow U$ and $\langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow 1$. Then $T_\lambda \cdot U_\lambda \rightarrow T \cdot U$, by the continuity of the product in G , and $\langle m_\lambda n_\lambda, mn \rangle_{T_\lambda \cdot U_\lambda} = \langle m_\lambda, m \rangle_{T_\lambda} \langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow 1$. Again by Lemma 10.2, this means $[m_\lambda]_{T_\lambda} [n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} = [m_\lambda n_\lambda]_{T_\lambda \cdot U_\lambda} \rightarrow [mn]_{T \cdot U} = [m]_T [n]_U$, showing that the product is continuous. But $T_\lambda^* \rightarrow T^*$ by the continuity of the inverse in G and $\langle m_\lambda^*, m^* \rangle_{T_\lambda^*} = \langle m_\lambda, m \rangle_{T_\lambda} \rightarrow 1$. Then Lemma 10.2 again implies that $[m_\lambda^*]_{T_\lambda^*} \rightarrow [m^*]_{T^*}$, showing that the inverse in Σ is also continuous and hence Σ is a topological groupoid.

Finally, to see that Σ is Hausdorff, say we have a net $([n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda})$ with limits $[m]_T$ and $[n]_U$ in Σ . By Lemma 10.2, this means $U_\lambda \rightarrow T$, $U_\lambda \rightarrow U$, $\langle n_\lambda, m \rangle_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow 1$ and $\langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow 1$. As G is Hausdorff, $T = U$. Also $\langle m, n \rangle_U = \lim_\lambda \langle m, n \rangle_{U_\lambda} = \lim_\lambda \langle m, n_\lambda \rangle_{U_\lambda} \langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_{U_\lambda} = 1$ and hence $[m]_U = [n]_U$. Thus limits in Σ are unique and hence Σ is Hausdorff. \square

Remark 10.4. Suppose A is a D -contractive C^* -completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ for some twist $q : \Sigma \rightrightarrows G$ and $N = \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$ is its monomial semigroup. We claim there is a topological groupoid isomorphism from the original Σ onto Σ_N defined by

$$e \mapsto N_e := \{n \in N : j(n)(e) > 0\}.$$

To see this map takes values in Σ_N , note that for any $n \in N_e$, $N_e = [n]_{U_{q(e)}}$ by Remark 9.13. It is straightforward to check that the map is a groupoid homomorphism. That the map is bijective follows from the bijectivity of the map from G to G_N given in Remark 8.3 along with choices of sections from G to Σ . Continuity follows from Lemma 10.2, the continuity in Remark 8.3 and the continuity of each function $j(n)$. Finally, Lemma 10.2

and Remark 8.3 also imply the inverse is continuous. Thus the equivalence classes Σ_N ‘recover’ the original Σ .

Next we observe that G is both a topological and algebraic quotient of Σ .

Proposition 10.5. *There is a continuous open groupoid homomorphism q from Σ onto G defined by*

$$q([n]_U) := U.$$

Proof. By Proposition 9.15, q is well-defined and, since ultrafilters are nonempty, q maps Σ onto G . By the definition of the product on Σ , q is a groupoid homomorphism. As $q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_n) = \mathcal{E}_n^{\mathbb{T}}$, for all $n \in N$, q is also continuous. As \mathcal{B} is a basis and $q(\mathcal{E}_n^O) = \mathcal{U}_n$, for all $n \in N$ and open $O \subseteq \mathbb{T}$, we see that q is also an open map. \square

We have a natural action of \mathbb{T} on Σ .

Proposition 10.6. *There is a free continuous open action of \mathbb{T} on Σ given by*

$$t[n]_U := [tn]_U.$$

Moreover, \mathbb{T} acts transitively on each fibre of q .

Proof. If $m \sim_U n$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$ then $tm \sim_U tn$, so the action is well-defined. And if $sn \sim_U tn$, for some $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $n \in N$, then $s = t$ so the action is free. For any open $O, P \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ and $n \in N$, we see that $O\mathcal{E}_n^P = \mathcal{E}_n^{OP}$, so the action is also open. If we have nets $t_\lambda \rightarrow t$ in \mathbb{T} and $[n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow [n]_U$ in Σ then $U_\lambda \rightarrow U$ and $\langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_U \rightarrow 1$, by Lemma 10.2, so $\langle t_\lambda n_\lambda, tn \rangle_U = t_\lambda \bar{t} \langle n_\lambda, n \rangle_U \rightarrow 1$ and hence $t_\lambda [n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} = [t_\lambda n_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow [tn]_U = t[n]_U$, again by Lemma 10.2. This shows that the action is continuous. Transitivity on the fibres of q follows from the fact that $[m]_U = \langle m, n \rangle_U [n]_U$, for all $m, n \in U$. \square

Putting together Proposition 10.3, Proposition 10.5 and Proposition 10.6, we have the following.

Corollary 10.7. *With G, Σ and q as defined above, $q : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ is a twist.*

11. THE REPRESENTATION

Here we show how to represent elements of A as functions in $C_0(\Sigma; G)$, yielding an isomorphism of A with a twisted groupoid C*-algebra. This achieves our main goal of showing that, up to isomorphism, twisted groupoid C*-algebras are completely characterised by having Cartan semigroups. Moreover, we will show that summable Cartan semigroups are exactly those that can be identified with the monomial semigroups of twisted groupoid C*-algebras, thus providing a precise converse to Proposition 3.3.

Remark 11.1. In the process we will see that E is automatically faithful on a dense *-subalgebra of A but, unlike elsewhere in the literature, we do not require it to be faithful everywhere. If it is faithful on all of A , however, then the twisted groupoid C*-algebra isomorphic to A is indeed reduced, just like in the original Kumjian-Renault theory.

For every $a \in A$, we define the desired function \hat{a} as follows.

Proposition 11.2. *For every $a \in A$, we have $\hat{a} \in C(\Sigma; G)$ defined by*

$$\hat{a}([n]_U) := \frac{1}{|n|_U} \psi_U(E(n^* a)) = \frac{1}{|n|_U} \psi^U(E(an^*)). \quad (11.1)$$

Proof. Note this amounts to saying that, for $n \in U_1$,

$$\hat{a}([n]_U) := \psi_U(E(n^*a)) = \psi^U(E(an^*)).$$

To see that this is well-defined, take any $m, n \in U_1$ with $m \sim_U n$. Then Proposition 6.4, Lemma 6.6, Lemma 9.2 and Lemma 9.7 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_U(E(m^*a)) &= \psi^U(nE(m^*a)n^*) = \psi^U(E(nm^*an^*)) = \psi^U(E(nm^*)E(an^*)) \\ &= \psi^U(E(an^*)). \end{aligned}$$

To see that \hat{a} is \mathbb{T} -contravariant, for any $U \in G$, $n \in U_1$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$ we have

$$\hat{a}(t[n]_U) = \hat{a}([tn]_U) = \psi_U(E(\bar{t}n^*a)) = \bar{t}\psi_U(E(n^*a)) = \bar{t}\hat{a}([n]_U).$$

To verify that \hat{a} is continuous, take any nets $(U_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq G$ and $(m_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ with $m_\lambda \in (U_\lambda)_1$, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, such that $[m_\lambda]_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow [m]_U$ in Σ , for some $U \in G$ and $m \in U_1$. This means $U_\lambda \rightarrow U$ and $\langle m_\lambda, m \rangle_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow 1$ by Lemma 10.2. It follows that, for all sufficiently large λ ,

$$\hat{a}([m_\lambda]_{U_\lambda}) = \hat{a}(\langle m_\lambda, m \rangle_{U_\lambda} [m]_{U_\lambda}) = \overline{\langle m_\lambda, m \rangle_{U_\lambda}} \psi_{U_\lambda}(m^*a) \rightarrow \psi_U(m^*a) = \hat{a}([m]_U). \quad \square$$

Now we proceed to examine further properties of the map $a \mapsto \hat{a}$.

Proposition 11.3. *The map $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is a *-linear contraction from A to $\ell^\infty(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. For any $a \in A$, $U \in G$ and $n \in U_1$, note that

$$\widehat{a^*}([n]_U) = \psi_U(E(n^*a^*)) = \overline{\psi^{U^*}(E(an))} = \overline{\hat{a}([n^*]_{U^*})} = \overline{\hat{a}([n]_U^{-1})},$$

showing that $\widehat{a^*} = \hat{a}^*$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we see that

$$\widehat{\alpha a}([n]_U) = \psi_U(E(\alpha n^*a)) = \alpha \psi_U(E(n^*a)) = \alpha \hat{a}([n]_U),$$

showing that $\widehat{\alpha a} = \alpha \hat{a}$. For any other $b \in A$, we also see that

$$\widehat{a+b}([n]_U) = \psi_U(n^*(a+b)) = \psi_U(n^*a) + \psi_U(n^*b) = \hat{a}([n]_U) + \hat{b}([n]_U),$$

showing that $\widehat{a+b} = \hat{a} + \hat{b}$. Finally, for any $U \in G$, Proposition 9.6 yields $n \in U_1^1$ so

$$|a([n]_U)| = |\psi_U(E(n^*a))| \leq \|E(n^*a)\| \leq \|n^*a\| \leq \|n\| \|a\| = \|a\|.$$

This shows that $\|\hat{a}\|_\infty \leq \|a\|$ so $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is indeed a contraction. \square

When we consider the map $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ on B , we get a stronger property. First let

$$B_0(\Sigma; G) := \{f \in C_0(\Sigma; G) : q(\text{supp}^\circ(f)) \subseteq G^{(0)}\} \supseteq B_c(\Sigma; G)$$

Proposition 11.4. *The map $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is a C*-algebra isomorphism from B onto $B_0(\Sigma; G)$.*

Proof. This follows from the classic Gelfand duality. To see this, first note that we have a C*-algebra isomorphism from $B_0(\Sigma; G)$ onto $C_0(G^{(0)})$, specifically given by $f \mapsto f \circ q|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}^{-1}$, where $q|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}^{-1}$ is the inverse of the quotient map restricted to $\Sigma^{(0)}$. For each $U \in G^{(0)}$, take $b_U \in U_1 \cap B_+$ so $q|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}^{-1}(U) = [b_U]_U$. By Theorem 8.9, we have a homeomorphism $u : X_B \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ (namely $u(I) = (B \setminus I)^<$) which again yields a C*-algebra isomorphism from $C_0(G^{(0)})$ onto $C_0(X_B)$ given by $f \mapsto f \circ u$. For any $a \in B$, we then see that

$$\hat{a} \circ q|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}^{-1} \circ u(I) = \hat{a}([b_{u(I)}]_{u(I)}) = \psi_{u(I)}(b_{u(I)}^*a) = \langle I \rangle(a).$$

Gelfand duality then tells us that $a \mapsto \hat{a} \circ q|_{\Sigma^{(0)}}^{-1} \circ u$ is a C*-algebra isomorphism from B onto $C_0(X_B)$. Inverting the isomorphisms above, it follows that $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is a C*-algebra isomorphism from B onto $B_0(\Sigma; G)$. \square

Next we show that composing the stable expectation E with the map $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is the same as first mapping to \hat{a} then applying the usual groupoid expectation \hat{E} following the same formula as the diagonal map D . Specifically, for any $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define $\hat{E}(f) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\hat{E}(f)(e) = \begin{cases} f(e) & \text{if } q(e) \in G^{(0)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 11.5. *For all $a \in A$,*

$$\widehat{E(a)} = \hat{E}(\hat{a}).$$

Proof. Take $a \in A$. For any $U \in G^{(0)}$ and $b \in U_1 \cap B$,

$$\widehat{E(a)}([b]_U) = \psi_U(E(b^*E(a))) = \psi_U(E(E(b^*a))) = \psi_U(E(b^*a)) = \hat{a}([b]_U),$$

showing that $\widehat{E(a)}$ agrees with \hat{a} on $q^{-1}(G^{(0)})$. On the other hand, for any $U \in G \setminus G^{(0)}$, Proposition 8.5 yields $n \in U_1$ with $E(n) = 0$ and then

$$\widehat{E(a)}([n]_U) = \psi_U(E(n^*E(a))) = \psi_U(E(n^*)E(a)) = 0.$$

Extending to \mathbb{T} -multiples yields $\widehat{E(a)}(q^{-1}(G \setminus G^{(0)})) = \{0\}$, as required. \square

Whenever $n \in U \in G$, we see that

$$\hat{n}([n]_U) = \frac{1}{|n|_U} \psi_U(n^*n) = |n|_U.$$

This observation allows us to identify $\text{supp}^\circ(\hat{n})$.

Proposition 11.6. *For any $n \in N$,*

$$\text{supp}^\circ(\hat{n}) = q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_n).$$

Proof. For any $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$, we see that $\hat{n}(t[n]_U) = \bar{t}|n|_U \neq 0$ so $q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_n) \subseteq \text{supp}^\circ(\hat{n})$. Conversely, take $[m]_U \in \text{supp}^\circ(\hat{n})$ so

$$0 \neq \hat{n}([m]_U) = \psi_U(E(m^*n)) = \langle B \setminus \mathfrak{s}(U) \rangle(E(m^*n)).$$

This means $E(m^*n) \in \mathfrak{s}(U)$ and hence $\mathfrak{s}(U) \in \mathcal{U}_{E(m^*n)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{m^*n}$ by Proposition 8.4. So $m^*n \in \mathfrak{s}(U)$ and hence $mm^*n \in U\mathfrak{s}(U) \subseteq U$, i.e. $U \in \mathcal{U}_{mm^*n} = \mathcal{U}_{mm^*}\mathcal{U}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$. As U was arbitrary, this shows that $\text{supp}^\circ(\hat{n}) \subseteq q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_n)$. \square

Building on this, we have the following.

Proposition 11.7. *The map $n \mapsto \hat{n}$ is a semigroup homomorphism from N to $S := \{a \in C(\Sigma; G) : q(\text{supp}^\circ(a)) \text{ is a bisection}\}$.*

Proof. For each $n \in N$, Proposition 11.2 says $\hat{n} \in C(\Sigma; G)$ and Proposition 11.6 tells us that $q(\text{supp}^\circ(\hat{n})) = \mathcal{U}_n$ is a bisection and hence $\hat{n} \in S$. Now for any $(T, U) \in G^{(2)}$, $m \in T$ and $n \in U$,

$$\widehat{m\hat{n}}([m]_T[n]_U) = \widehat{m\hat{n}}([mn]_{TU}) = |mn|_{TU} = |m|_T|n|_U = \hat{m}([m]_T)\hat{n}([n]_U).$$

As $q(\text{supp}^\circ(\hat{m})) = \mathcal{U}_m$ and $q(\text{supp}^\circ(\hat{n})) = \mathcal{U}_n$ are bisections, this last product is a convolution, i.e. $\hat{m}([m]_T)\hat{n}([n]_U) = \hat{m}\hat{n}([m]_T[n]_U)$. This extends to \mathbb{T} -multiples, showing that $\widehat{m\hat{n}}$ and $\hat{m}\hat{n}$ agree on $q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_m\mathcal{U}_n)$ and hence everywhere, as

$$\text{supp}^\circ(\widehat{m\hat{n}}) = q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{mn}) = q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_m\mathcal{U}_n) = q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_m)q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_n) = \text{supp}^\circ(\hat{m})\text{supp}^\circ(\hat{n}). \quad \square$$

For any $C \subseteq A$, we denote the image of C under the map $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ by

$$\widehat{C} = \{\hat{c} : c \in C\}.$$

Lemma 11.8. *For all $n \in N$,*

$$\widehat{n^>} = \{f \in C(\Sigma; G) : \text{supp}^\circ(f) \Subset q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_n)\}.$$

Proof. If $m < n$, then $q(\text{supp}^\circ(\widehat{m})) = \mathcal{U}_m \Subset \mathcal{U}_n$ by Proposition 8.10 and Proposition 11.6, thus proving the \subseteq part. Conversely, take any $f \in C(\Sigma; G)$ with $\text{supp}^\circ(f) \Subset q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_n)$. By Lemma 7.4, we have a sequence in $n^>$ converging to n and hence the same applies to their images under the map $a \mapsto \widehat{a}$, as this map is contractive (with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ on A and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $C(\Sigma; G)$) and hence continuous, by Proposition 11.3. As $\text{supp}^\circ(f) \Subset q^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_n) = \text{supp}^\circ(n)$, we must therefore have some $m < n$ such that $\text{supp}^\circ(f) \subseteq \text{supp}^\circ(\widehat{m})$. By Lemma 7.5, we then have $l, s \in N$ with $m <_s l < n$. As $sm \in B$, it follows that

$$\text{supp}^\circ(\widehat{s}f) = \text{supp}^\circ(\widehat{s})\text{supp}^\circ(f) \subseteq \text{supp}^\circ(\widehat{s})\text{supp}^\circ(\widehat{m}) = \text{supp}^\circ(\widehat{sm}) \subseteq G^{(0)}.$$

By Proposition 11.4, we then have $b \in B$ with $\widehat{b} = \widehat{s}f$ and hence $\widehat{lb} = \widehat{l}sf$. However, $\widehat{ls}\widehat{m} = \widehat{lsm} = \widehat{m}$ so \widehat{ls} has to be 1 on $r(\text{supp}^\circ(\widehat{m}))$ and hence on $r(\text{supp}^\circ(f))$, which means that $\widehat{lb} = \widehat{l}sf = f$. As $l < n$ and hence $lb < n$, we have shown $f \in \widehat{n^>}$, as required. \square

Now we can extend this to the compatible sums defined in equation (6.2).

Proposition 11.9. *The map $a \mapsto \widehat{a}$ takes compatible sums from $N^>$ onto $N_c(\Sigma; G)$, i.e.*

$$\widehat{\text{csum}(N^>)} = N_c(\Sigma; G).$$

Proof. If $n \in N^>$, then $q(\overline{\text{supp}}(\widehat{n}))$ is a compact bisection by Lemma 11.8. If $m \in N^>$ is compatible with n then $\overline{\text{supp}}(\widehat{m})^{-1}\overline{\text{supp}}(\widehat{n}) \subseteq \overline{\text{supp}}(\widehat{m^*n}) = \mathcal{U}_{m^*n} \subseteq G^{(0)}$. Likewise, $\overline{\text{supp}}(\widehat{m})\overline{\text{supp}}(\widehat{n})^{-1} \subseteq G^{(0)}$ and hence $\overline{\text{supp}}(\widehat{m+n}) \subseteq \overline{\text{supp}}(\widehat{m}) \cup \overline{\text{supp}}(\widehat{n})$ is also a compact bisection. Extending to finite compatible sums shows that $\widehat{\text{csum}(N^>)} \subseteq N_c(\Sigma; G)$.

Conversely, take any $f \in N_c(\Sigma; G)$. As $\overline{\text{supp}}(f)$ is a compact bisection, it is contained in an open bisection O , by [9, Proposition 6.3]. As $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in N}$ forms a basis for the locally compact Hausdorff space G , a partition of unity argument yields $f_1, \dots, f_k \in C(\Sigma; G)$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in N$ such that $f = \sum_{j=1}^k f_j$ and $q(\text{supp}^\circ(f_j)) \Subset O \cap \mathcal{U}_{n_j}$, for all $j \leq k$. Then Lemma 11.8 yields $m_j < n_j$ with $\widehat{m}_j = f_j$, for each $j \leq k$. Also, for all $i, j \leq k$,

$$\mathcal{U}_{m_i^*m_j} = \text{supp}^\circ(\widehat{m_i^*m_j}) = \text{supp}^\circ(\widehat{m_i})^{-1}\text{supp}^\circ(m_j) \subseteq O^{-1}O \subseteq G^{(0)}$$

and hence $m_i^*m_j \in B$, by Corollary 8.7. Likewise, $m_i m_j^* \in B$, for all $i, j \leq k$, showing that m_1, \dots, m_k are compatible and hence $f = \sum_{j=1}^k f_j = \widehat{\sum_{j=1}^k m_j} \in \widehat{\text{csum}(N^>)}$. \square

This then even extends to an algebraic isomorphism on a dense subalgebra of A . We restate our standing hypotheses in the following theorem, ensuring it and the subsequent corollary, which is our main result, are self-contained.

Theorem 11.10. *Let A be a C^* -algebra containing a Cartan semigroup N with semi-Cartan subalgebra B generated by the positive elements of N and a stable expectation $E : A \rightarrow B$. Then the map $a \mapsto \widehat{a}$ is a $*$ -algebra isomorphism from $\text{span}(N)$ onto some $*$ -subalgebra of $\text{span}(N_0(\Sigma; G))$ which takes $\text{span}(N^>)$ onto $C_c(\Sigma; G)$, i.e.*

$$\widehat{\text{span}(N^>)} = C_c(\Sigma; G).$$

Proof. By Proposition 11.3 and Proposition 11.7, the map $a \mapsto \widehat{a}$ is a $*$ -algebra homomorphism on $\text{span}(N)$. To see it is also injective on $\text{span}(N)$, first note that, for each $n \in N \setminus \{0\}$, there is an ultrafilter $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, by Proposition 8.1. Then $\widehat{n}([n]_U) = |n|_U \neq 0$ and, in particular, $\widehat{n} \neq 0$. Now assume that we have shown $\widehat{a} \neq 0$ for all non-zero elements of $N_k := \{\sum_{j=1}^k n_j : n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in N\}$, and take any $a \in N_{k+1} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $a^*a \neq 0$ and hence we must have $n_j^*a \neq 0$, for some $j \leq k+1$. Then we can renumber if necessary

to ensure that $n_{k+1}^*a \neq 0$. If $E(n_{k+1}^*a) \neq 0$ then $\widehat{E}(\widehat{n_{k+1}^*a}) = \widehat{E(n_{k+1}^*a)} \neq 0$, by the injectivity on $B \subseteq N$, and hence $\widehat{a} \neq 0$. On the other hand, if $E(n_{k+1}^*a) = 0$ then, as $E(n_{k+1}^*n_{k+1}) = n_{k+1}^*n_{k+1}$,

$$n_{k+1}^*a = n_{k+1}^*a - E(n_{k+1}^*a) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (n_{k+1}^*n_j - E(n_{k+1}^*n_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^k (n_{k+1}^*n_j - E(n_{k+1}^*n_j)).$$

But $n_{k+1}^*n_j - E(n_{k+1}^*n_j) \in N$, for all $j \leq k$, by Lemma 5.5 and Proposition 6.2, so $n_{k+1}^*a \in N_k$. By assumption, it follows that $\widehat{n_{k+1}^*a} = \widehat{n_{k+1}^*a} \neq 0$ and hence again $\widehat{a} \neq 0$. This completes the induction showing $\widehat{a} \neq 0$, for all $a \in \text{span}(N) \setminus \{0\}$, as required.

Now just note that Lemma 7.4, Proposition 11.3 and Lemma 11.8 yield

$$\widehat{N} \subseteq \widehat{\text{cl}(N^>)} \subseteq \text{cl}_\infty(\widehat{N^>}) \subseteq \text{cl}_\infty(N_c(\Sigma; G)) = N_0(\Sigma; G),$$

so $\widehat{\text{span}(N)} = \text{span}(\widehat{N}) \subseteq \text{span}(N_0(\Sigma; G))$. Also $\text{span}(N^>) = \text{span}(\text{csum}(N^>))$ and so Proposition 11.9 yields $\widehat{\text{span}(N^>)} = \widehat{\text{span}(\text{csum}(N^>))} = \text{span}(N_c(\Sigma; G)) = C_c(\Sigma; G)$. \square

Corollary 11.11. *We have an isomorphism Ψ from A onto a twisted groupoid C*-algebra $C = \text{cl}(C_c(\Sigma; G))$ such that $\widehat{a} = j \circ \Psi(a)$, for all $a \in A$. Moreover,*

- (1) *If N is summable then $\Psi(N)$ is the monomial semigroup $\text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$.*
- (2) *If E is faithful then $C = \Psi(A)$ is the reduced C*-algebra $C_r^*(\Sigma; G)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 11.10, $\text{span}(N^>)$ is a dense copy of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ in A which is thus isomorphic to a C*-completion C of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$. Moreover, this completion is D -contractive by Lemma 11.5 so C is indeed a twisted groupoid C*-algebra. Denoting the isomorphism from A to C by Ψ , we see that $\widehat{a} = j \circ \Psi(a)$ for all $a \in \text{span}(N^>)$ (by definition). But as Ψ , j and $a \mapsto \widehat{a}$ are all contractive maps, \widehat{a} and $j \circ \Psi$ must then coincide everywhere.

Now if N is summable then

$$N_c(\Sigma; G) \subseteq \widehat{\text{csum}(N^>)} \subseteq \widehat{N} \subseteq \widehat{\text{cl}(N^>)} \subseteq \text{cl}_\infty(N_c(\Sigma; G)).$$

It follows that $N_c(\Sigma; G) \subseteq \Psi(N) \subseteq \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G)) \subseteq C$ and hence $\Psi(N) = \text{cl}(N_c(\Sigma; G))$, as N is closed and Ψ is an isomorphism.

For item (2), if E is faithful then we have a Hilbert B -module H coming from the completion of A with respect to the inner product $\langle a, b \rangle := E(a^*b)$. Also, for each $a \in A$, we have $a_L \in B(H)$, the adjointable operators on H , defined by $a_L(b) = ab$ for all $b \in A \subseteq H$. The map $a \mapsto a_L$ is then an isomorphism from A to a C*-subalgebra of $B(H)$ and hence the norm of any $a \in A$ is equal to the operator norm of a_L , which is precisely $\sup\{\sqrt{\|E(c^*a^*ac)\|} : c \in \text{span}(N^>) \text{ and } E(c^*c) \leq 1\}$ mentioned above. As Ψ takes $\text{span}(N^>)$ to $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ and $E(a)$ to $\widehat{E}(\widehat{a})$, for all $a \in A$, this shows that C is the completion of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$ with respect to the reduced norm. \square

12. NORMALISERS VS MASAS

The previous section achieves our main goal of identifying the C*-algebra A with a twisted groupoid C*-algebra. In addition to extending the Kumjian-Renault theory to non-reduced C*-algebras, it extends the theory in two other important ways. Firstly, our semi-Cartan subalgebra B is only required to be commutative, not a MASA like in the definition of a Cartan subalgebra. On the other hand, in the Kumjian-Renault theory, one works with the entire normaliser semigroup $N(B)$, while our Cartan semigroup N need only be contained in $N(B)$, as noted in Lemma 4.1. Here we show that these latter two aspects of our generalisation are actually one and the same, modulo summability.

First observe that if B is a MASA, then Proposition 4.3 tells us that the normalisers $N(B)$ form summable Cartan semigroup, which must therefore contain not only N but also $\overline{\text{csum}}(N)$, the closure of its compatible sums. Using Corollary 6.5, we can show that, in fact, $N(B)$ contains no other elements. In particular, $N(B)$ recovers the original N if N is summable and B is a MASA.

Proposition 12.1. *If B is a MASA then $\overline{\text{csum}}(N) = N(B)$.*

Proof. Assume $\overline{\text{csum}}(N) \neq N(B)$, so we have some $a \in N(B) \setminus \overline{\text{csum}}(N)$. Let p_k, q_k be as in equation (3.1). Noting that $aa^*a \in \overline{\text{csum}}(N)$ would imply

$$a = \lim_k ap_k(a^*a) = \lim_k aa^*aq_k(a^*a) \in \text{cl}(\overline{\text{csum}}(N)B) \subseteq \overline{\text{csum}}(N),$$

it follows that $aa^*a \notin \overline{\text{csum}}(N)$ and hence $E(a^*a)a^* = a^*aa^* \notin \overline{\text{csum}}(N)^* = \overline{\text{csum}}(N)$.

We claim that this means $E(na)a^* \notin N$, for some $n \in N$. If not then, for any $n_1, \dots, n_k \in N$, we would have $E(\sum_{j=1}^k n_j a)a^* = \sum_{j=1}^k E(n_j a)a^* \in \text{csum}(N)$ because, for all $i, j \leq k$, $aE(n_i a)^*E(n_j a)a^* \in aBa^* \subseteq B$ and $E(n_i a)a^*aE(n_j a) \in BBB \subseteq B$. But we know that $a^* \in A = \text{cl}(\text{span}(N))$ and so this would mean $E(a^*a)a^* \in \overline{\text{csum}}(N)$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of the claim.

Now take n with $E(na)a^* \notin N$ and note $E(na)a^* = \lim_k E(p_k(nn^*)na)a^*$ so

$$N \not\supseteq E(nn^*na)a^* = nn^*E(na)a^* = E(na)nn^*a^* = nE(an)n^*a^*.$$

Thus $E(an)n^*a^* \notin N \supseteq B$, even though $E(an)n^*a^*$ commutes with every $b \in B$, as in the proof of Proposition 3.7 (replace n with an in (3.2)), showing B is not a MASA. \square

As alluded to above, the converse also holds, i.e. if $N = N(B)$ then B is a MASA. To prove this it will help to first prove the following preparatory lemma.

We denote the commutant of B

$$C(B) = \{a \in A : \forall b \in B (ab = ba)\}.$$

Note that

$$C(B) \cap N(B) = \{c \in C(B) : c^*c, cc^* \in B\}.$$

Lemma 12.2. *If $N = N(B)$ but B is not a MASA then we have $K \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ with $K > 1$ and $c \in C(B) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $c^*c = cc^* \in B$ and $E(c^k) = 0$, for all $k < K$. If $K \neq \infty$ then we can further ensure that $c^K \in B_+$.*

Proof. If B is not a MASA in A then the same applies to their unitisations, i.e. \tilde{B} is not a MASA in \tilde{A} . Thus we have a unitary $u \in C(\tilde{B}) \setminus \tilde{B}$, necessarily of the form $u = t1 + a$, for some $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $a \in C(B) \setminus B$. Now note that there must be some $b \in B_+$ with $bu \notin B$ (otherwise, taking an approximate unit $(b_\lambda) \subseteq B_+$ for A , we would have $a = \lim_\lambda (b_\lambda u - tb_\lambda) \in B$, a contradiction). Setting $c = bu - E(bu)$, it follows that $E(c) = 0$, $c \in C(B) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$cc^* = b^2 - buE(u^*b) - E(bu)u^*b + E(bu)E(u^*b) = b^2 - E(bu)E(u^*b) \in B$$

and, likewise, $c^*c = b^2 - E(u^*b)E(bu) = cc^*$.

If $E(c^k) = 0$ for all $k > 1$ as well, we are done. If not then we can take minimal $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E(c^K) \neq 0$. As $c \in C(B)$ and $c^*c = cc^* \in B$ it follows that $c \in N(B) = N$ and hence $E(c^K) \subseteq c^K$. Take $(b_j) \in B_+^1$ with $E(c^K) = \lim_j b_j c^K$. Noting that the C^* -algebra generated by B and c is commutative, we see that the sequence $(b_j c)$ must also have a limit. Letting $d = \lim_j b_j c \in C(B)$, we again see that $d^*d = dd^* \in B$ and $E(d^k) = 0$, for all $k < K$. Moreover, $d^K = \lim_j b_j^K c^K = E(c^K) \in B \setminus \{0\}$, which also implies $d \neq 0$. In other words, replacing c with d , we may further assume that $c^K \in B$. Multiplying c by some element of B if necessary, we may further assume that the spectrum of c^K lies

entirely within the left or right half of the complex plane. This ensures that there is some $b \in B$ such that $b^K = c^K$ and hence $(b^*c)^K = c^{K*}c^K \in B_+ \setminus \{0\}$. So replacing c with b^*c if necessary, we also obtain $c^K \in B_+$, as required. \square

Theorem 12.3. *If $N = N(B)$ then B is a MASA.*

Proof. Looking for a contradiction, assume that $N = N(B)$ but B is not a MASA, take $K > 1$ and $c \in C(B) \setminus \{0\}$ as above.

First assume that $K = 2$. Note $|c| = \sqrt{c^2} \in B_+$, as $c^2 \in B_+$ and $cc^* = c^*c$. Letting $n = |c| + ic \in C(B)$, we see that $n^*n = |c|^2 + i|c|c - ic^*|c| + c^*c = 2|c|^2 \in B_+$ and, likewise, $nn^* = 2|c|^2 \in B_+$ so $n \in N(B) = N$ and hence $|c| = E(n) \sqsubseteq n$. Taking $(b_k) \subseteq B_+^1$ with $E(n) = \lim_k b_k E(n) = \lim_k b_k n$, we see that (b_k) is an approximate unit for $|c| = E(n)$ and hence c and thus n as well. So $|c| = E(n) = \lim_k b_k n = n = |c| + ic$ and hence $ic = 0$, contradicting our choice of $c \neq 0$.

Now assume that $2 < K < \infty$. Again note $|c| = (c^K)^{1/K} \in B_+$ and let

$$n = (K-2)|c|^K - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} |c|^{K-k} c^k \in C(B).$$

Elementary calculations then show that $n^*n = nn^* = K^2|c|^{2K} \in B_+$ so $n \in N(B) \subseteq N$. As $E(c^k) = 0$, for all $k < K$, it follows that $(K-2)|c|^K = E(n) \sqsubseteq n$. Taking $(b_k) \subseteq B_+^1$ with $E(n) = \lim_k b_k E(n) = \lim_k b_k n$, we again see that b_k is an approximate unit for $|c|$ and hence c^k , for all $k < K$, and thus for n as well. So

$$(K-2)|c|^K = E(n) = \lim_k b_k n = n = (K-2)|c|^K - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} |c|^{K-k} c^k$$

and hence $\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} |c|^{K-k} c^k = 0$. But again this is not possible because

$$E(c^* \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} |c|^{K-k} c^k) = E(\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} |c|^{K-k+1} c^{k-1}) = |c|^K \neq 0.$$

The only remaining possibility is $K = \infty$. In this case let $n = |c|e^{i(c+c^*)} \in C(B)$ so $n^*n = nn^* = |c|^2 \in B$ and again $n \in N(B) \subseteq N$. Note that we have $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subseteq B$ with

$$n = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k (c^k + c^{*k}),$$

e.g. $a_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k k!^{-2} |c|^{2k+1}$ and $a_1 = i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k k!^{-1} (k+1)!^{-1} |c|^{2k+1}$. Multiplying $|c|$ by some $\varepsilon > 0$ if necessary, we may assume that a_0 and a_1 have the same support as $|c|$ when identifying the C*-algebra D generated by B and c with $C_0(X_D)$. This means that any approximate unit in B for a_0 is also an approximate unit for a_1 . As $E(n) \sqsubseteq n$, we again have $(b_k) \subseteq B_+^1$ with

$$a_0 = E(n) = \lim_k b_k E(n) = \lim_k b_k n = a_0 + a_1(c + c^*) + \lim_k b_k \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (c^k + c^{*k}).$$

This implies $a = 0$ where $a = a_1(c + c^*) + \lim_k b_k \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (c^k + c^{*k})$, which again is not possible because $E(ca) = a_1|c|^2 \neq 0$. Thus we get a contradiction for all possible K . \square

Recall that [2, Theorem 3.1 (2) \Leftrightarrow (5)] says that the C*-algebraic local bisection hypothesis holds for a reduced twisted groupoid C*-algebra precisely when the canonical diagonal is a MASA and hence a Cartan subalgebra. In light of Proposition 3.3, the results above extend this to more general (e.g. full) C*-completions of $C_c(\Sigma; G)$. Even in

the reduced case (which corresponds to E being faithful, by Corollary 11.11), the above results provide a somewhat different proof of [2, Theorem 3.1 (2) \Leftrightarrow (5)].

We also get the following corollary.

Corollary 12.4. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with commutative C^* -subalgebra B such that the span of $N(B)$ is dense in A . Suppose we are also given an expectation $E : A \rightarrow B$. Then B is a Cartan subalgebra if and only if E is faithful and $E(n) \sqsubseteq n$, for all $n \in N(B)$.*

Proof. If B is a Cartan subalgebra then, by definition, there is a faithful expectation onto B . As shown in Proposition 3.7, both this faithful expectation and the given expectation E are then stable and hence $N(B)$ is a Cartan semigroup. By Proposition 6.2, these expectations must then be the same and satisfy $E(n) \sqsubseteq n$, for all $n \in N(B)$.

Conversely, for all $n \in N(B)$, if $E(n) \sqsubseteq n$ then $E(n)n^* \in \text{cl}(nBn^*) \subseteq B$. Also n^*n commutes with B by [26, Proposition 2.1], so $E(n^*n) \sqsubseteq n^*n$ implies $E(n^*n) \leq n^*n$ and hence $a := n^*n - E(n^*n) \geq 0$. As $E(a) = 0$, if E is faithful then $a = 0$ and hence $n^*n = E(n^*n) \in B$. Thus $N(B)$ is a Cartan semigroup with associated semi-Cartan subalgebra B . By Theorem 12.3, B is then a MASA and hence a Cartan subalgebra. \square

13. DOMINATION VARIANTS

We finish by examining a few further properties of domination which clarify its connections to similar relations considered previously in the literature.

The first attempt to define Kumjian-Renault's Weyl groupoid from a domination-like relation appeared in [4]. This variant of domination, which we denote here by $<_*$, was a stronger relation defined only on the unit ball of N by

$$m <_* n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad m <_{n^*} n.$$

This is closer to the relation \ll , where $m \ll n$ means $mn = m$, which was originally considered on semigroups of real-valued continuous functions in [23] (and also sometimes used in C^* -algebras – see [10, II.3.4.3]). However, more recent work in [5], [6], [8] and the present paper indicates that $<$ is the better relation to work with. Nevertheless, $<$ and $<_*$ are still closely related and, on Cartan semigroups at least, they really differ only by a factor of B_+ , as we now show. We also simultaneously show that we could have required sn and ns to lie in B_+^1 when defining $<$ (much as the sequence defining \sqsubseteq can be taken in B_+^1 , as shown in Lemma 5.2). Accordingly, let us define a strengthening $<_s^1$ of $<_*$ by

$$m <_s^1 n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad ms, sm \in B, \quad sn, ns \in B_+^1 \quad \text{and} \quad nsm = m = msn.$$

Below we also denote infima and suprema by \wedge and \vee respectively.

Theorem 13.1. *If $m < n$ then we have $s \in n^*B_+ \cap B_+n^*$ with $m <_s^1 n$.*

Proof. First we claim that

$$m <_s n \quad \Rightarrow \quad m <_{ss^*n^*} n.$$

Indeed, if $m <_s n$ then $nss^*n^* \in B_+$ and $ss^*n^*n \in B_+B_+ \subseteq B_+$. Also, Corollary 4.2 yields $ss^*n^*m \in sBm \subseteq B$ and (4.5) yields $mss^*n^* = s^*n^*ms = ms \in B$ and

$$nss^*n^*m = nsm = m = s^*n^*msn = mss^*n^*n,$$

i.e. $m <_{ss^*n^*} n$. This shows that $m < n$ implies $m <_s n$, for some $s \in N$ with $ns, sn \in B_+$.

Defining f on \mathbb{R}_+ by $f(x) = x \wedge x^{-1}$, we next claim that

$$m <_s n \quad \text{and} \quad ns, sn \in B_+ \quad \Rightarrow \quad m <_{sf(ns)} n.$$

Indeed, if $m <_s n$ and $ns, sn \in B_+$ then $msf(ns), nsf(ns) \in BB \subseteq B$ and Corollary 4.2 yields $sf(ns)n, sf(ns)m \in B$. Moreover, as $f(1) = 1$, $bm = m$ implies $f(b)bm = m$, for

any $b \in B$, so $nsf(ns)m = m = mf(sn)sn = msf(ns)n$, i.e. $m <_{sf(ns)} n$. As $f(x) \leq x^{-1}$, for all $x > 0$, $\|nsf(ns)\| \leq 1$ and $\|sf(ns)n\| = \|f(sn)sn\| \leq 1$. This shows that $m < n$ implies $m <_s^1 n$, for some $s \in N$.

Now assume $m <_s^1 n$. Take any $r > 16\|s\|^4$ and define functions g and h on \mathbb{R}_+ by

$$g(x) = (2x - 1) \vee 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = x^{-1} \wedge rx.$$

Noting that $g(sn)n^*nn^* = n^*ng(sn)n^* = n^*g(ns)nn^* = n^*nn^*g(ns)$, it follows that $g(sn)p(n^*n)n^* = n^*p(nn^*)g(ns)$ for any polynomial p without constant term and hence any continuous p with $p(0) = 0$. To complete the proof it suffices to show $m <_t^1 n$ where

$$t = g(sn)h(n^*n)n^* = n^*h(nn^*)g(ns) \in n^*B_+ \cap B_+n^*.$$

To see this, first note $(sn)^2 = n^*s^*sn \leq \|s\|^2n^*n$. Identifying B with $C_0(X_B)$, we see that if $x \in X$ satisfies $sn(x) \geq 1/2$ then $1/4 \leq sn(x)^2 \leq \|s\|^2n^*n(x)$ so $1/16 \leq \|s\|^4n^*n(x)^2$ and hence $n^*n(x)^{-1} \leq 16\|s\|^4n^*n(x) \leq rn^*n(x)$, which implies $h(n^*n)n^*n(x) = 1$. On the other hand, if $sn(x) \leq 1/2$ then $2sn(x) - 1 \leq 0$ and hence $g(sn)(x) = 0$. These observations together imply that $tn = g(sn)h(n^*n)n^*n = g(sn) \in B_+^1$ and, likewise, $nt = g(ns) \in B_+^1$. As $g(1) = 1$ and $nsn = m = msn$, it follows that $ntm = g(ns)m = m = mg(sn) = mtn$. Finally note $n^*m = n^*nsn \in BB \subseteq B$ and hence $tm = g(sn)h(n^*n)n^*m \in B$. Likewise $mt \in B$ and hence $m <_t^1 n$, as required. \square

We can even extend this result to any bounded finite family.

Corollary 13.2. *If $m_1, \dots, m_k < n$, we have $s \in n^*B_+ \cap B_+n^*$ with $m_1, \dots, m_k <_s^1 n$.*

Proof. If $m_1, \dots, m_k < n$ then we have $s_1, \dots, s_k \in n^*B_+ \cap B_+n^*$ with $m_j <_{s_j}^1 n$, for all $j \leq k$. This means we have $b_1, \dots, b_k, c_1, \dots, c_k \in B_+$ with $s_j = b_jn^* = n^*c_j$, for all $j \leq k$. Letting $s = (b_1 \vee \dots \vee b_k)n^* = n^*(c_1 \vee \dots \vee c_k) \in n^*B_+ \cap B_+n^*$, we see that, for all $j \leq k$,

$$m_j = m_j s_j n = m_j b_j n^* n = m_j (b_1 n^* n \vee \dots \vee b_k n^* n) = m_j (b_1 \vee \dots \vee b_k) n^* n = m_j s n,$$

as $b_1 n^* n, \dots, b_k n^* n \in B_+^1$. Likewise, $ns m_j = m_j$ and hence $m_j <_s^1 n$, for all $j \leq k$. \square

One immediate application of this is that sums behave well with respect to domination.

Corollary 13.3. *For all $l, m, n \in N$,*

$$l, m < n \quad \Rightarrow \quad l + m < n.$$

Proof. If $l, m < n$ then, by the above result, we have $s \in N$ with $l, m <_s n$ and hence $l + m = lsn + msn = (ls + ms)n \in (B + B)N \subseteq BN \subseteq N$. Likewise $l + m = ns(l + m)$ etc. and hence $l + m <_s n$. \square

As another application, we can show that $(N, <)$ is a predomain in the sense of [21], also known as an abstract basis in [19, Definition III-4.15] and [20, Lemma 5.1.32].

Corollary 13.4. *If $m_1, \dots, m_k < n$, we have $l \in nB_+ \cap B_+n$ with $m_1, \dots, m_k <_{l^*} l < n$.*

Proof. If $m_1, \dots, m_k < n$ then we have $s \in n^*B_+ \cap B_+n^*$ with $m_1, \dots, m_k <_s^1 n$, by Theorem 13.1. Then we have $q \in nB_+ \cap B_+n$ with $m_1, \dots, m_k <_s^1 q < n$, as in the proof of Lemma 7.5. This means we have $b, c, d, e \in B$ such that $s = bn^* = n^*c$ and $t = dn = ne$. Setting $l = n\sqrt{be} = \sqrt{cdn}$, it follows that $m_1, \dots, m_k <_{l^*} l < n$. \square

It follows that we obtain a domain from the $<$ -ideals of N , which correspond to the open bisections of our ultrafilter groupoid.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Armstrong. A uniqueness theorem for twisted groupoid C^* -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 283(6):Paper No. 109551, 33, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2022.109551.
- [2] B. Armstrong, J.H. Brown, L.O. Clark, K. Courtney, Y.-F. Lin, K. McCormick, and J. Ramagge. The local bisection hypothesis for twisted groupoid C^* -algebras. *Semigroup Forum*, 107(3):609–623, 2023. doi:10.1007/s00233-023-10392-9.
- [3] B. Armstrong, G. Castro, L.O. Clark, K. Courtney, Y.-F. Lin, K. McCormick, J. Ramagge, A. Sims, and B. Steinberg. Reconstruction of twisted Steinberg algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 3:2474–2542, 2023. doi:10.1093/imrn/rnab291.
- [4] T. Bice. An algebraic approach to the Weyl groupoid. *J. Algebra*, 568:193–240, 2021. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2020.10.010.
- [5] T. Bice. Noncommutative Pierce duality between Steinberg rings and ample ringoid bundles. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 227(11):65, 2023. doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2023.107407.
- [6] T. Bice. Representing structured semigroups on étale groupoid bundles. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 114(1):1–49, 2023. doi:10.1017/s1446788722000064.
- [7] T. Bice. Sections of Fell bundles over étale groupoids. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2024.128478.
- [8] T. Bice and L.O. Clark. Reconstructing étale groupoids from semigroups. *Forum Math.*, 33:1423–1444, 2021. doi:10.1515/forum-2021-0054.
- [9] T. Bice and C. Starling. General non-commutative locally compact locally Hausdorff Stone duality. *Adv. Math.*, 341:40–91, 2019. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2018.10.031.
- [10] B. Blackadar. *Operator algebras: Theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2017. URL: <https://bruceblackadar.com/Mathematics/Cycr.pdf>.
- [11] C. Bönicke. K -theory and homotopies of twists on ample groupoids. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 15(1):195–222, 2021. doi:10.4171/jncg/399.
- [12] J.H. Brown, A.H. Fuller, D.R. Pitts, and S.A. Reznikoff. Graded C^* -algebras and twisted groupoid C^* -algebras. *New York J. Math.*, 27:205–252, 2021. URL: <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2021/27-7.html>.
- [13] T.M. Carlsen, E. Ruiz, A. Sims, and M. Tomforde. Reconstruction of groupoids and C^* -rigidity of dynamical systems. *Adv. Math.*, 390:1–55, 2021.
- [14] L.O. Clark and A. an Huef. The representation theory of C^* -algebras associated to groupoids. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 153(1):167–191, 2012. doi:10.1017/S0305004112000047.
- [15] K. Courtney, A. Duwenig, M.C. Georgescu, A. an Huef, and M.G. Viola. Alexandrov groupoids and the nuclear dimension of twisted groupoid C^* -algebras. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 286(9):110372, May 2024. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2024.110372.
- [16] M. Daws. “Minimal” group C^* -algebra? MathOverflow. Version: 2014-06-24. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/172538>.
- [17] R. S. Doran and J. M. G. Fell. *Representations of $*$ -algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach $*$ -algebraic bundles. Vol. 1*, volume 125 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. Basic representation theory of groups and algebras.
- [18] R. Exel. Inverse semigroups and combinatorial C^* -algebras. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 39(2):191–313, 2008. doi:10.1007/s00574-008-0080-7.
- [19] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *Continuous lattices and domains*, volume 93 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. doi:10.1017/CB09780511542725.
- [20] J. Goubault-Larrecq. *Non-Hausdorff topology and domain theory*, volume 22 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. [On the cover: Selected topics in point-set topology]. doi:10.1017/CB09781139524438.
- [21] K. Keimel. The Cuntz semigroup and domain theory. *Soft Computing*, 21(10):2485–2502, 2017. doi:10.1007/s00500-017-2573-z.
- [22] A. Kumjian. On C^* -diagonals. *Canad. J. Math.*, 38:969–1008, 1986. doi:10.4153/CJM-1986-048-0.
- [23] A.N. Milgram. Multiplicative semigroups of continuous functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 16:377–383, 1949. doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-49-01638-5.
- [24] P.S. Muhly and D.P. Williams. Continuous trace groupoid C^* -algebras. II. *Math. Scand.*, 70(1):127–145, 1992. doi:10.7146/math.scand.a-12390.
- [25] D.R. Pitts. Structure for regular inclusions. II: Cartan envelopes, pseudo-expectations and twists. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 281(1):Paper No. 108993, 66, 2021. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2021.108993.

- [26] D.R. Pitts. Normalizers and approximate units for inclusions of C*-algebras. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 72:1849–1866, 2023. URL: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2109.00856>, doi:10.1512/iumj.2023.72.9539.
- [27] A. Raad. A generalization of Renault’s theorem for Cartan subalgebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 150:4801–4809, 2022.
- [28] J. Renault. *A groupoid approach to C*-algebras*, volume 793 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [29] J. Renault. Cartan subalgebras in C*-algebras. *Irish Math. Soc. Bull.*, 61:29–63, 2008. URL: www.maths.tcd.ie/pub/ims/bull61/S6101.pdf.
- [30] A. Sims. Hausdorff étale groupoids and their C*-algebras. In F. Perera, editor, *Operator algebras and dynamics: groupoids, crossed products, and Rokhlin dimension*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser, 2020.

(T. Bice) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNÁ 25, PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: `bice@math.cas.cz`

(L.O. Clark) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF WELLINGTON, PO BOX 600, WELLINGTON 6140, NEW ZEALAND

Email address: `lisa.orloffclark@vuw.ac.nz`

(Y.-F. Lin) MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES RESEARCH CENTRE, QUEEN’S UNIVERSITY BELFAST, BELFAST, BT7 1NN, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: `y.lin@qub.ac.uk`

(K. McCormick) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH, CA, UNITED STATES

Email address: `kathryn.mccormick@csulb.edu`