

Recent Advancements and Challenges of Turkic Central Asian Language Processing

Yana Veitsman

Department of Language Science and Technology
Saarland University, Germany
yanav@lst.uni-saarland.de

Abstract

Research in the NLP sphere of the Turkic counterparts of Central Asian languages, namely Kazakh, Uzbek, Kyrgyz, and Turkmen, comes with the typical challenges of low-resource languages, like data scarcity and a general lack of linguistic resources. However, in the recent years research has greatly advanced via collection of language-specific datasets and development of downstream task technologies. Aiming to summarize this research up until May 2024, this paper also seeks to identify potential areas of future work. To achieve this, the paper gives a broad, high-level overview of the linguistic properties of the languages, the current coverage and performance of already developed technology, application of transfer learning techniques from higher-resource languages, and availability of labeled and unlabeled data for each language. Providing a summary of the current state of affairs, we hope that further research will be facilitated with the considerations we provide in the current paper.

This necessity calls for the development of large, freely available open-source datasets, up-to-date language models, cutting edge research, yet requires tremendous efforts for such low-resource languages. Therefore, being bound by the data availability, researchers also explore alternative techniques, like transfer learning or data augmentation, to compensate for the lack of thereof. However, both approaches have limitations in terms of their applicability to different tasks and architectures as well as effectiveness (Chen et al. 2021; Raffel et al. 2019).

Thus, the paper aims to provide an overview of the already available resources along with the directions for potential future research. It also aims to identify the priorities and actions to be taken for the successful movement of the low-resource languages up the classification ladder, towards the bright future of high resource. Overall, we hope that our work will paint a general picture for anyone interested in the research on the topic.

1 Introduction

Turkic languages are spoken by around 200 million people worldwide. Among them, native speakers of Kazakh, Uzbek, Kyrgyz, and Turkmen, living both inside and outside their respective countries, make over 60 million of those speakers. Given their geographical proximity, historical background, and closely related linguistic topology, these languages are prone to share the same challenges in the development of NLP technologies, which range anywhere from a lack of quality data to limited research opportunities in the field.

Just like other low-resource language speakers, the speakers of Central Asian languages would benefit immensely from having trustworthy and reliable language technology, from simple spell checkers to virtual assistants. The technologies would also uphold newly adopted language policies and cement the role of local languages in the region.

2 Related Work

Recently, a significant amount of work directed at unifying the domain knowledge of Turkic languages has emerged. Frameworks for their evaluation have been created (Akin and Akin, 2007) alongside suites for their linguistic analysis (Abdurakhmonova et al., 2022) or overall assessments of the latest technology (Mirzakhlov et al., 2021). However, there has been little to no comprehensive research summarizing the state of all the available data and developed language processing tools for Turkic languages neither in general nor for the Central Asian subset of those. While speech recognition, machine translation, and other technologies have been developed and reached state-of-the-art for some languages in the area, no cross-linguistic comparison was conducted ((Bekarystankyzy et al., 2024); (Yeshpanov et al., 2024b)). Thus, a compre-

Distribution of the Speakers of Turkic Languages




Figure 1: Distributions of numbers of Kazakh, Uzbek, Kyrgyz, and Turkmen native speakers among all Turkic language speakers. Source: [Wikipedia contributors 2024](#).

hensive survey could prove crucial for both establishing a comparative base for this language subset and identifying areas for future improvements and development.

3 Difficulties in Processing Turkic Languages

3.1 Overview

With the expansion of the application of neural networks, exact linguistic topology became less relevant. However, transfer learning from similar language families has been one of the most prominent solutions in the sphere of low-resource language processing (Zoph et al., 2016). Thus, a summarization of grammatical similarities and differences might prove beneficial in this context. While in depth linguistic comparison of each language with their richer counterpart, Turkish, lies beyond the scope of the paper, a basic summary is provided to identify potential difficulties in the application of transfer learning from Turkish.

Turkic languages are primarily described as morphologically rich languages. Over the past years, several studies revolving around specifics of processing these languages’ complex morphological

structures and morpho-syntactic features have been conducted (Ciddi, 2013). It has been shown that their highly agglutinative nature leads to difficulties in the tasks of machine translation (Alkim and Çebi 2019; Mirzakhlov et al. 2021) and named entity recognition (Küçük et al., 2017). On top of that, it is not exactly clear how these peculiarities will be reflected in the transfer learning applications.

3.2 Similarities and Differences

Main grammatical features that are most relevant for language processing (like verb tense systems and word order) are common for all the languages. However, there exist minor differences including heavier reliance on the underlying vowel harmony in Kazakh, Uzbek, Kyrgyz, and Turkmen – e.g. additional noun cases and plural suffixes, with the exact choice depending on the preceding syllable’s vowel.

The number of vowels and pronouns in those languages differs as well. As can be seen in Table 1, one can also infer that Kazakh and Kyrgyz are typologically closer to each other than Kazakh and Uzbek and Uzbek and Kyrgyz respectively, while Turkmen is the closest to Turkish grammatically. This might hint not only at potential differences

Feature	Turkish	Kazakh	Kyrgyz	Uzbek	Turkmen
Number of vowels	8	12	8	6	9
Number of plural suffixes	2	12	12	4	4
Number of pronouns	6	8	8	8	6
Number of noun cases	6	7	6	6	6
Number of personal verb suffixes	5	9	6	9	5
Word order	SOV	SOV	SOV	SOV	SOV

Table 1: High-level overview of the Turkic Central Asian languages’ differences. Sources: [Adali, Johanson](#).

in transfer learning from Turkish, but also a potential for transfer learning with the group itself, presumably making transfer learning application between certain pairs (like Kazakh and Kyrgyz) more successful than others (Kazakh and Turkmen, for example).

There is also another substantial difference between some of the languages: the script and inconsistency of its usage. For example, while Uzbek is written using Latin script, Kazakh, despite the Kazakhstani government’s best efforts to also employ Latin script for the language, is still primarily written in Cyrillic. It is possible that these script inconsistencies might prevent transfer learning application from reaching its full potential ([Gheini and May 2019](#); [Amrhein and Sennrich 2020](#)). Thus, additional steps might be required at the level of data cleaning or pre-processing before running the models.

Overall, with this in mind, there is great potential for exploiting the similarity of the languages for the purpose of transfer learning either from Turkish or from within the Turkic Central Asian language.

4 Datasets Availability

Availability of open-source data enables researchers to scale and reuse data, reducing overall time spent on data collection and evaluation. However, corpora access varies from low to almost non-existent for the languages considered in the paper.

4.1 Sources of Data and Stakeholders

While developing a comprehensive dataset classification system would lie beyond the scope of the current paper, it is important to identify potential data collection sources and main stakeholders and actors of the process. While a significant effort has been made by the researchers within the Central Asian countries themselves (e.g., most notable research on Kazakh language is contributed by In-

stitute of Smart and Intelligent Systems (ISSAI) of Nazarbayev University), outside effort is driven primarily by the studies of NLP applications for Chinese minority languages ([Du et al., 2023](#)) and for Turkic languages in general ([Mirzakhlov et al., 2021](#)). Additionally, while the researchers focus on collection of handcrafted high-quality datasets, other, potentially lower quality data, is available via multilingual datasets such as [Common Crawl \(CC\)](#) or [OSCAR \(Ortiz Suárez et al., 2020\)](#). Thus, there is a greater variety of data sources and stakeholders than might initially seem.

4.2 Kazakh Language Datasets

Linguistic Features Datasets. Out of all four languages, the most diverse and largest in quantity datasets are available for Kazakh. Besides collections of morphologically and syntactically-annotated data like [Almaty Corpus of Kazakh Language](#) and UD treebank ([Tyers and Washington 2015](#); [Makazhanov et al. 2015](#)), there are also more task-specific text corpora.

Task-specific Datasets. For example, there exists the KazNERD corpus, spanning over 100,000 sentences with 25 entity classes for the task of Named Entity Recognition ([Yeshpanov et al., 2022](#)), the KazQAD corpus for open-domain question answering with over 6000 questions ([Yeshpanov et al., 2024a](#)), the KazParC parallel corpus of Kazakh, English, Russian, and Turkish for machine translation ([Yeshpanov et al., 2024b](#)), and the KazSAnDRA dataset, containing over 180 thousand reviews to be used for the task of sentiment analysis ([Yeshpanov and Varol, 2024](#)).

Unannotated Datasets. Additionally, there is a substantial amount of unannotated data available, including the KazNews corpus ([Yakunin et al., 2021](#)), which includes over 4 million publications from 36 local media sources.

Multimodal Datasets. There are also numerous collections of multimodal data for the language.

Most notable and largest language dataset up until now is Kazakh Speech Corpus 2 (KSC2), collected by [Mussakhojayeva et al. \(2022b\)](#), which contains over 1,200 hours of transcribed audios, and which also integrates research work on previously collected datasets, such as Kazakh Speech Corpus (KSC), compiled by [Khassanov et al. \(2021\)](#). Another prominent resource is the KazakhTTS2 dataset ([Mussakhojayeva et al., 2022a](#)), which extended its previous version, KazakhTTS ([Mussakhojayeva et al., 2021](#)), to more than 270 hours of recorded audio. Drawing from this work on speech, a speech commands dataset was also recently collected ([Kuzdeuov et al., 2023](#)). Additionally, even more multimodal data is available in Kazakh for even more peculiar applications; for example, [Mukushev et al. \(2022\)](#) have gathered over 40,000 video samples of 50 signers of Kazakh-Russian Sign Language, which was also an expansion of a previous project by the same authors in 2020. Further work around the topic includes recent development of the KazEmoTTS dataset ([Abilbekov et al., 2024](#)) that collects more than 54 thousands audio-text pairs in over 5 emotional states. Several datasets were also collected for the purpose of hand-written text recognition, some of them combining Kazakh and Russian languages ([Abdallah et al., 2020](#)), and some containing text inclusively in Kazakh, for example, Kazakh Offline Handwritten Text Dataset ([Toiganbayeva et al., 2022](#)), with over 3000 exam papers available. This variety of multimodal data can potentially cover a wide range of the data needs, enabling efficient data reuse and eliminating the necessity to use precious resources for additional data collection. For example, audios from the speech corpus can be transcribed and used as text for the tasks of text classification, text generation, information retrieval, and others. Thus, this datasets contribute strongly to the future of Kazakh NLP.

4.3 Uzbek Language Datasets

Second place in terms of data availability belongs to Uzbek language, however, the existence of data solely for this Central Asian language is much scarcer.

Linguistic Features Datasets. A distinctive feature of most of the Uzbek datasets is their orientation at purely linguistic tasks; for example, there are several datasets, such as UzWordnet ([Agostini et al., 2021](#)) and SimRelUz ([Salaev et al., 2022](#)) that capture prominent semantic features of the

language, and others, such as a dataset collected by [Sharipov et al. \(2023\)](#), that includes a variety of POS tags and syntactic features of this Central Asian language.

Task-specific Datasets. There is substantial data for specific tasks, such as sentiment analysis. For example, there exist a sentiment analysis dataset collected by [Kuriyozov and Matlatipov \(2019\)](#) and one based on restaurant reviews by [Matlatipov et al. \(2022\)](#). There also exists data for text classification, mainly scraped from the news sources in Uzbek, one collected by [Rabbimov and Kobilov \(2020\)](#) and another one by [Kuriyozov et al. \(2023\)](#).

Multimodal and Unannotated Datasets. Most prominent multimodal and/or unannotated Uzbek datasets include an open-source Uzbek Speech Corpus ([Musaev et al., 2021](#)), [Uzbek corpus](#), which includes a large collection of educational, scientific, official, and artistic texts together with a morphological database and dictionaries, and an [Uzbek Community corpus](#), collected by Leipzig University and containing over 660 thousand sentences and 9 million tokens.

4.4 Kyrgyz Language Datasets

Unannotated Datasets and Corpora Collections.

The of the largest open-source datasets for Kyrgyz is the [Manas-UdS corpus](#) of over 84 literary texts in 5 genres, marked with lemmas and parts of speeches ([Kasieva et al., 2020](#)). For most other datasets it is not possible to link them directly to publications, however, they are available on Github, for example, [KyrgyzNews dataset](#) with over 250 thousand scraped news and Some work has also been done by Leipzig University, who collected over 3 million sentences from publicly available web resources.

Task-specific datasets. Again, most of the task-specific datasets for Kyrgyz language are only available via Github. For example, one of the [NER](#) is currently under development by the researchers from Kyrgyz State Technical University (KSTU). There is also an instruction following dataset, derived from Stanford Alpaca and translated into Kyrgyz using ChatGPT and Google Translate, available for research purposes ([Khakim Davurov, 2023](#)).

4.5 Turkmen Language Datasets

The data landscape of Turkmen language is even more scarce. Besides the [Leipzig University's corpora](#) of over 270 thousand sentences of web-

scraped data, other resources are practically non-existent. With only a few dictionaries and poetry collections collected by enthusiasts and available in an open-source fashion on Github, Turkmen language falls largely behind every other language in Central Asia in terms of data availability.

4.6 Web-Scraped Datasets

All the above-mentioned languages are also available in the multilingual datasets which are predominantly scraped from the web. In particular, all the languages are available in CC100, WikiAnn (Pan et al., 2017), and OSCAR datasets. Most of other web-scraped datasets present online are either subsets of the bigger multilingual datasets (like CC100 or OSCAR), or are scrapes from newspapers and websites available on the Internet, with many of them not being open-source, for example, the *kkWaC dataset* with over 139 million words collected in Kazakh language. However, according to Kreutzer et al. (2022), such datasets should be treated with caution, since there is a potential that the human-judged quality of low-resource language data in them is significantly lower. With only a few hundred labels available, having even 5-10 percent of mislabeled or grammatically incorrect sentences can significantly impact performance of the models in those languages.

4.7 Multilingual Datasets

Another important source of data in Central Asian languages are the handpicked multilingual datasets for Turkic languages. For example, a large corpus for Turkic languages was collected by Baisa and Suchomel (2012) for training morphological analyzers and disambiguators. Another example is the xSID (Cross-lingual Slot and Intent Detection) dataset by van der Goot et al. (2021), which, among other language families, covered Turkic languages. More task-specific multilingual datasets featuring Central Asian languages include the Common Voice dataset containing Kyrgyz audio recordings (Ardila et al., 2020), the Belebele dataset for machine reading comprehension containing Kazakh, Uzbek, and Kyrgyz (Bandarkar et al., 2023), the MuMiN dataset (Multimodal Fact-Checked Misinformation Dataset) based on the scraped tweets featuring Kazakh language (Nielsen and McConville, 2022), and the AM2iCo dataset for the evaluation of word meaning in context (Liu et al., 2021). Thus, Kazakh, Uzbek, and Kyrgyz make a prominent appearance in research related to Turkic languages as

well as in the web-scraped datasets, thanks to their presence in the web domain.

4.8 Parallel Corpora

Additionally, we would like to comment on the availability of parallel corpora for the studied languages. Besides the already mentioned Kazakh-Russian sign language corpora, only a few parallel text datasets exist for Uzbek-Kazakh (Allaberdiev et al., 2024) and Kazakh-Russian (Kozhirbayev and Islamgozhayev, 2023) machine translation and ASR. Parallel data, however, is crucial for advancing one of the most prominent NLP technologies - translation tools.

4.9 Classifying Languages by Data Availability

The resources available for each language are far from abundant. If one was to categorize them according to the classification suggested by Joshi et al. (2020), Kazakh would most likely fit into “The Rising Star” category, with its substantial presence in the web and good variety of multimodal datasets. However, the research on this language is pushed back by a lack of labeled data for the downstream tasks. Uzbek, given its recent developments and greater variability in terms of the linguistic resources available, would be categorized as “The Hopeful,” given that in the next years the efforts for collecting the datasets will not fade. Kyrgyz and Turkmen, unfortunately, not being sufficiently backed up by streamlined research efforts, would be classified as “The Scraping-Bys,” with the future of their data collection processes yet unclear.

5 Reasons of Data Scarcity

Reasons of Central Asian languages’ data scarcity include the wide-spread usage of Russian language, limited access of the population to the internet, and lack of educational and technological initiatives in the sphere of artificial intelligence.

5.1 Russian Language in Central Asia

In the Soviet times Russian language was the dominant one across all the Soviet republics. Since the fall of the Soviet Union, most of the republics have embarked on the journey of rediscovering their national identities, which led to significant changes in their language policies. However, according to the studies of Fierman (2012), despite its substantial

decline, Russian still enjoys its privileged position in the spheres of science, education, politics, and others. On top of that, Russian media, TV channels, and Internet resources are freely available in Central Asia, unifying the information and communication medium between the countries. While beneficial for the purpose of intercultural communication, this situation is somewhat detrimental to the development of natural language processing technologies for regional languages. A fair share of contemporary datasets come from the web-scraped data, and users from Central Asia have to heavily rely on the web sources in Russian language, which undermines the development of their own national languages online. The situation is identical in terms of media and news, which leads to a limited variety of textual and multimedia data available in Kazakh, Uzbek, Kyrgyz, and Turkmen.

5.2 Internet Access

Limited Internet access is the second reason for data scarcity in the region. As stated before, while a substantial amount of data has been gathered by NLP researchers from the Internet based sources, only 38 and 21 percent of users in Kyrgyzstan and Turkmenistan respectively have [access to the global net](#). While the situation is somewhat better in Uzbekistan (with 55 percent of population being able to access the medium) and significantly better in Kazakhstan (around 79 percent of population), limited access to the Internet prevents potential contribution of those users to the contents of open-source encyclopedias, blogs, news sites, etc. Additionally, a lack of digitalization in terms of electronic copies of books and journals, audio transcripts, and video recordings limits the potential of information sharing within the region.

5.3 Lack of Initiatives

Being a demanding and resource-greedy field, the area of machine learning and natural language processing requires substantial and long-term financial investments. Thus, only a few exclusively AI-dedicated initiatives have been launched by the governments: for example, ISSAI (Institute of Smart Systems and Artificial Intelligence) in Kazakhstan or High Technology Park in Kyrgyzstan. However, these institutions are not solely dedicated to NLP research, and cover a wide range of topics in the AI domain, including robotics, IoT, computer vision technologies, and others. Thus, without a tailored initiative, it is difficult for the researchers to spe-

cialize fully in NLP.

6 Application of Transfer Learning

The situation when one of the languages in a language family is more resourceful than others is not unique to the Turkic language family. This opens great potential for the application of transfer learning from Turkish or one of the Central Asian languages to other languages of the same family. Usually, this is more attainable than collecting large task-specific datasets from scratch.

Transfer learning was substantially studied in the domain of machine translation, and the choice of parent language has been highlighted as an important criteria for the technique application [Zoph et al. \(2016\)](#). Combining transliteration and byte-pair encoding, [Nguyen and Chiang \(2017\)](#) proved that this transfer learning approach might be suitable beyond high-resource to low-resource pairs, extending to low-resource pairs, especially the ones belonging to the agglutinative languages. Given greater availability of NLP tools for Kazakh, transfer of this knowledge has been evaluated on some Turkic languages lying beyond the scope of the current paper, like Tatar. Other studies on transfer learning from Kazakh have also been conducted on the task of ASR ([Orel et al., 2023](#)). Some of them also aimed at using Russian as a source language, but the efforts proved to be less successful in the end ([N. et al., 2020](#)).

7 Data Augmentation, Transliteration, and R-Drop Regularization

Apart from transfer learning, data augmentation, has also been somewhat substantially researched for a part of Turkic languages. Other techniques, like R-Drop regularization or transliteration, also proved useful for performance enhancement.

A recent study on the topic of sentence augmentation using large language models for Kazakh ([Bimagambetova et al., 2023](#)) showed that data augmentation works well for already resourceful languages and does less successfully in the low-resource domain, which might seem somewhat obvious. However, practical applications using other data augmentation techniques, including phrase replacement, proved to significantly improve the BLEU score of certain language pairs, for example, Kazakh-Chinese ([Wu and Ma, 2023](#)). Data augmentation with R-Drop regularization has also proved useful for the same Kazakh-Chinese trans-

lation task in other studies (Liu et al., 2023).

Other techniques, like dropout or transliteration, are usually applied together with transfer learning and data augmentation. Additionally, a multilingual model can arguably also perform better on certain tasks than a model used via transfer learning approach (Nugumanova et al., 2022). All these numerous variants and techniques leave researchers a great space for experimenting in the Central Asian language processing without the necessity to collect additional data.

8 Current State of Technologies

8.1 Kazakh Language Technologies

As with the data availability, the first place in terms of the technology available is taken by Kazakh language.

Linguistic Analysis. A variety of tools have been developed for linguistic analysis and normalization of texts in Kazakh (Yessenbayev et al., 2020). This research paved the way for first advances in the most prominent areas of NLP, like machine translation and ASR, that are well represented in Kazakh language.

Machine Translation. Almost all the datasets mentioned in the previous sections have been released together with the evaluation benchmarks for certain tasks on either already pre-trained models like mBERT (Yeshpanov et al., 2022) or together with completely new systems like Tilmash, which enables a two-way translation between for 4 languages, including Kazakh and Turkish (Yeshpanov et al., 2024b). The latter has proved to be comparable or even better at translating language pairs involving Kazakh than translation technologies developed by Google and Yandex, which dominate the scene of machine translation in the region.

ASR. Another important advancement in processing of Kazakh language lies in the sphere of automatic speech recognition. For that purpose, researchers have been actively leveraging the KazakhTTS and KazakhTTS2 datasets as well as the recently available KazEmoTTS dataset. For example, a Turkic ASR system that leverages the KazakhTTS, USC, and Common Voice data and covers, among other Turkic languages, Kazakh, Uzbek, and Kyrgyz, has been developed by the authors of the KazakhTTS dataset (Mussakhojayeva et al., 2022a). The most recent research on exclusively Kazakh language managed to bring down the word error rate to just 7.2 percent (Bekarystankyzy

et al., 2023) as well as to summarize difficulties and explore deep learning techniques on end-to-end speech recognition of agglutinative languages (Bekarystankyzy et al., 2024). Additionally, research on speech commands recognition has been conducted (Kuzdeuov et al., 2023).

Fine-tuning Existing Models. Regarding the usage and fine-tuning of already existing models, several tasks have been evaluated for Kazakh. For example, on the KazNERD dataset (Yeshpanov et al., 2022), the fine-tuned XLM-RoBERTa demonstrated a micro average precision score of an impressive 97.09 percent, and on the task of sentiment analysis the same model gained an F1 score of 0.87.

Understudied Areas. However, despite the above-mentioned efforts, certain areas of Kazakh NLP remain significantly understudied. For example, the particularly hot field of text generation. Only a few but hopeful experiments have been conducted in recent years (Tolegen et al., 2023) regarding the usage of large language models for the benefit of Kazakh language.

8.2 Uzbek Language Technologies

Alike in terms of data availability, Uzbek language gains the second place in terms of available technology.

ASR. While Uzbek does not enjoy the same variety of machine translation tools as Kazakh, presence of automatic speech recognition technology is somewhat comparable, with the most recent work contributed by Musaev et al. (2021), with their model performing at the level of 14.3 percent word error rate.

Fine-Tuning Existing Models. Uzbek also enjoys a better variety of pre-trained and fine-tuned models, including UzBERT (Mansurov and Mansurov, 2021), capable of outperforming mBERT by leveraging at least 11 times more of language specific data; UzRoberta, pre-trained on roughly 2 million news articles (Davronov and Adilova, 2024); BERTBek, a model, improving on the UzBERT decision by training on Latin script (Kuriyozov et al., 2024), and a compact and fine-tuned variation of a mT5 model. In general, with Uzbek still catching up on the data availability, there is potential for the language to soon enjoy at least the same status of the NLP technology available as for Kazakh.

Task	Kazakh	Uzbek	Kyrgyz	Turkmen
Automatic Speech Recognition	✓	✓	✓	✗
Machine Translation	✓	✓	✓	✓
Named-Entity Recognition	✓	✓	✓	✗
Text Generation	✓	✗	✗	✗
Sentiment Analysis	✓	✓	✗	✗
Text Classification	✓	✓	✓	✗
POS Tagging	✓	✓	✓	✗
Text Summarization	✓	✓	✗	✗
Question Answering	✓	✗	✗	✗

Table 2: Existence of downstream task research per language. Existence is defined as at least one published research paper and/or dataset for the specific language and/or the specific language in conjunction with other closely related languages.

8.3 Kyrgyz and Turkmen Languages Technology

Unfortunately, the situation for Kyrgyz and Turkmen looks less promising. With little to no work in terms of machine translation or automatic speech recognition Kyrgyz enjoys little variety of technology for text classification (Alekseev et al., 2023) and NER fine-tuned on WikiAnn data. Turkmen offers even less language-specific technology, since it is mainly studied within the scope of comparative studies of Turkic languages. For example, some work on machine translation evaluation was done in the effort to build the infrastructure for Turkic languages, but now this approach seems already outdated (Alkim and Çebi, 2019). Overall, neither further fine-tuning or pre-training of already available models or exploitation of statistical approaches seems to have been researched for the majority of the basic NLP tasks both in Kyrgyz and Turkmen.

9 Future Work Areas

Kazakh Language. Due to its variety of multi-modal data, Kazakh language can potentially already expand on the existing work and move onto fine-tuning and developing more advanced models for other downstream tasks, e.g. text generation or question answering. Additionally, transfer learning from Kazakh should be further explored as a potential workaround for the problems of its less resourceful brothers, with more focus on linguistically closer languages of the Turkic family, like Kyrgyz.

Uzbek Language. In contrast, Uzbek language clearly requires more data to be collected for the creation of the systems like the ones built on top

of datasets like KazakhTTS. With already developed pre-trained models like UzbBERT, there is a need for research into their further application and comparison with other technologies available. Generally, leveraging the rich Uzbek linguistic features datasets in combination with further efforts of large-scale data collection paint a bright future for the language.

Kyrgyz and Turkmen languages. The current situation for Kyrgyz and Turkmen requires significant efforts for data collection and aggregation first. With the amount of data available for both languages, it seems barely useful not only to pre-train models like BERT, but also to research potential applications of statistical algorithms. In parallel with data collection, studies on transfer learning from Kazakh or Turkish might prove beneficial, given the grammatical similarity between the corresponding language pairs.

Additionally, given the incorporation of LLMs in the field, potential of using them for data augmentation or annotation might happen to be another important direction of research in comparison with more expensive methods of human data collection and annotation.

10 Conclusion

Significant improvements in the processing of Turkic Central Asian languages have been achieved in the recent years. However, there still exists an imbalance in the amount of available data and technology, with Kazakh and Uzbek dominating the scene, and Kyrgyz and Turkmen requiring significant efforts in terms of data collection. Besides leveraging already existing datasets or web-sources for curating new data using data augmentation, other

potentially successful options for technological advancements include transfer learning from Kazakh to Uzbek, Kyrgyz, and Turkmen. Already existing data in Kazakh might prove useful for the studies of other Central Asian languages, given their close linguistic relatedness.

While significant efforts are yet to emerge and be maintained for Kazakh, Uzbek, Kyrgyz, and Turkmen to reach the level of winners or underdogs (Joshi et al., 2020), a substantial work has already been developed in the most crucial areas of natural language processing, including the automatic speech recognition systems and machine translation. With the potential of transferring this experience to other tasks, such as information retrieval, text generation, and question answering, there is a greater hope for progress towards the state-of-the-art technology for these Central Asian languages.

11 Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Mareike Hartmann for valuable feedback and discussion about the paper.

References

- Abdelrahman Abdallah, Mohamed Hamada, and Daniyar Nurseitov. 2020. [Attention-based fully gated cnn-bgru for russian handwritten text](#). *Journal of Imaging*, 6(12):141.
- Nilufar Z. Abdurakhmonova, Alisher S. Ismailov, and Davlatyor Mengliev. 2022. [Developing nlp tool for linguistic analysis of turkic languages](#). In *2022 IEEE International Multi-Conference on Engineering, Computer and Information Sciences (SIBIRCON)*, pages 1790–1793.
- Adal Abilbekov, Saida Mussakhoyeva, Rustem Yeshpanov, and Huseyin Atakan Varol. 2024. [Kazemotts: A dataset for kazakh emotional text-to-speech synthesis](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2404.01033.
- Eşref Adali. Similarities and differences of turkic languages.
- Alessandro Agostini, Timur Usmanov, Ulugbek Khamdamov, Nilufar Abdurakhmonova, and Mukhammadsaid Mamasaidov. 2021. [UWORDNET: A lexical-semantic database for the Uzbek language](#). In *Proceedings of the 11th Global Wordnet Conference*, pages 8–19, University of South Africa (UNISA). Global Wordnet Association.
- Ahmet Afsin Akin and Mehmet Dündar Akin. 2007. Zemberek, an open source nlp framework for turkic languages. *Structure*, 10(2007):1–5.
- Anton M. Alekseev, Sergey I. Nikolenko, and Gulnara Kabaeva. 2023. [Benchmarking multilabel topic classification in the kyrgyz language](#). *ArXiv*, abs/2308.15952.
- Emel Alkim and Yalçın Çebi. 2019. [Machine translation infrastructure for turkic languages \(mt-turk\)](#). *Int. Arab J. Inf. Technol.*, 16:380–388.
- Bobur Allaberdiyev, Gayrat Matlatipov, Elmurod Kuriyozov, and Zafar Rakhmonov. 2024. [Parallel texts dataset for uzbek-kazakh machine translation](#). *Data in Brief*, 53:110194.
- Chantal Amrhein and Rico Sennrich. 2020. [On Romanization for model transfer between scripts in neural machine translation](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*, pages 2461–2469, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Rosana Ardila, Megan Branson, Kelly Davis, Michael Kohler, Josh Meyer, Michael Henretty, Reuben Morais, Lindsay Saunders, Francis Tyers, and Gregor Weber. 2020. [Common voice: A massively-multilingual speech corpus](#). In *Proceedings of the Twelfth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 4218–4222, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Vít Baisa and Vít Suchomel. 2012. [Large corpora for turkic languages and unsupervised morphological analysis](#).
- Lucas Bandarkar, Davis Liang, Benjamin Muller, Mikel Artetxe, Satya Narayan Shukla, Donald Husa, Naman Goyal, Abhinandan Krishnan, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Madian Khabsa. 2023. [The belebele benchmark: a parallel reading comprehension dataset in 122 language variants](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2308.16884.
- Akbayan Bekarystankyzy, Orken Mamyrbayev, and Tolganay Anarbekova. 2024. [Integrated end-to-end automatic speech recognition for languages for agglutinative languages](#). *ACM Trans. Asian Low-Resour. Lang. Inf. Process.* Just Accepted.
- Akbayan Bekarystankyzy, Orken Zh. Mamyrbayev, DO Oralbekova, and Bagashar Zhumazhanov. 2023. [Transfer learning for an integrated low-data automatic speech recognition system](#).
- Zhamilya Bimagambetova, Dauren Rakhymzhanov, Asel Jaxylykova, and Alexander Pak. 2023. [Evaluating large language models for sentence augmentation in low-resource languages: A case study on kazakh](#). *2023 19th International Asian School-Seminar on Optimization Problems of Complex Systems (OPCS)*, pages 14–18.
- Jiaao Chen, Derek Tam, Colin Raffel, Mohit Bansal, and Diyi Yang. 2021. [An empirical survey of data augmentation for limited data learning in nlp](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2106.07499.
- Sibel Ciddi. 2013. *Processing of Turkic Languages*. Ph.D. thesis.

- Rifkat Davronov and Fatima Adilova. 2024. [UzRoberta: A pre-trained language model for Uzbek](#). *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 3004(1):050001.
- Wenqiang Du, Yikeremu Maimaitiyiming, Mewlude Nijat, Lantian Li, Askar Hamdulla, and Dong Wang. 2023. [Automatic speech recognition for uyghur, kazakh, and kyrgyz: An overview](#). *Applied Sciences*, 13(1).
- W. Fierman. 2012. [Russian in post-soviet central asia: A comparison with the states of the baltic and south caucasus](#). *Europe-Asia Studies*, 64:1077 – 1100.
- Mozhdeh Gheini and Jonathan May. 2019. [A universal parent model for low-resource neural machine translation transfer](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:1909.06516.
- Lars Johanson. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Turkic-languages>. Accessed: 2024-7-5.
- Pratik Joshi, Sebastin Santy, Amar Budhiraja, Kalika Bali, and Monojit Choudhury. 2020. [The state and fate of linguistic diversity and inclusion in the NLP world](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6282–6293, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Aida Kasieva, Jörg Knappen, Stefan Fischer, and Elke Teich. 2020. [A new kyrgyz corpus: sampling, compilation, annotation](#).
- Ulan Abdurazakov Khakim Davurov, Timur Turatali. 2023. [Kyrgyz alpaca: Models and datasets](#). <https://github.com/Akyl-AI/kyrgyz-alpaca>.
- Yerbolat Khassanov, Saida Mussakhoyayeva, Almas Mirzakhmetov, Alen Adiyev, Mukhamet Nurpeissov, and Huseyin Atakan Varol. 2021. [A crowdsourced open-source kazakh speech corpus and initial speech recognition baseline](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2009.10334.
- Zhanibek Kozhimbayev and Talgat Islamgozhayev. 2023. [Cascade speech translation for the kazakh language](#). *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 13(15). Publisher Copyright: © 2023 by the authors.
- Julia Kreutzer, Isaac Caswell, Lisa Wang, Ahsan Wahab, Daan van Esch, Nasanbayar Ulzii-Orshikh, Allahsera Tapo, Nishant Subramani, Artem Sokolov, Claytone Sikasote, Monang Setyawan, Supheakmungkol Sarin, Sokhar Samb, Benoît Sagot, Clara Rivera, Annette Rios, Isabel Papadimitriou, Salomey Osei, Pedro Ortiz Suarez, Iroko Orife, Kelechi Ogueji, Andre Niyongabo Rubungo, Toan Q. Nguyen, Mathias Müller, André Müller, Shamsuddeen Hassan Muhammad, Nanda Muhammad, Ayanda Mnyakeni, Jamshidbek Mirzakhlov, Tapiwanashe Matangira, Colin Leong, Nze Lawson, Sneha Kudugunta, Yacine Jernite, Mathias Jenny, Orhan Firat, Bonaventure F. P. Dossou, Sakhile Dlamini, Nisansa de Silva, Sakine Çabuk Ballı, Stella Biderman, Alessia Battisti, Ahmed Baruwa, Ankur Bapna, Pallavi Baljekar, Israel Abebe Azime, Ayodele Awokoya, Duygu Ataman, Orevaoghene Ahia, Oghenefego Ahia, Sweta Agrawal, and Mofetoluwa Adeyemi. 2022. [Quality at a glance: An audit of web-crawled multilingual datasets](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10:50–72.
- Elmurod Kuriyozov and Sanatbek Matlatipov. 2019. [Building a new sentiment analysis dataset for uzbek language and creating baseline models](#). *Proceedings*.
- Elmurod Kuriyozov, Ulugbek Salaev, Sanatbek Matlatipov, and Gayrat Matlatipov. 2023. [Text classification dataset and analysis for uzbek language](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2302.14494.
- Elmurod Kuriyozov, David Vilares, and Carlos Gómez-Rodríguez. 2024. [BERTbek: A pretrained language model for Uzbek](#). In *Proceedings of the 3rd Annual Meeting of the Special Interest Group on Under-resourced Languages @ LREC-COLING 2024*, pages 33–44, Torino, Italia. ELRA and ICCL.
- Askat Kuzdeuov, Shakhizat Nurgaliyev, Diana Turmakhan, Nurkhan Laiyk, and Huseyin Atakan Varol. 2023. [Speech Command Recognition: Text-to-Speech and Speech Corpus Scraping Are All You Need](#).
- Dogan Küçük, Nursal Arıcı, and Dilek Küçük. 2017. [Named entity recognition in turkish: Approaches and issues](#). In *International Conference on Applications of Natural Language to Data Bases*.
- Canglan Liu, Wushouer Silamu, and Yanbing Li. 2023. [A chinese–kazakh translation method that combines data augmentation and r-drop regularization](#). *Applied Sciences*, 13(19).
- Qianchu Liu, Edoardo Maria Ponti, Diana McCarthy, Ivan Vulić, and Anna Korhonen. 2021. [AM2iCo: Evaluating word meaning in context across low-resource languages with adversarial examples](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 7151–7162, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Aibek Makazhanov, Aitolkyn Sultangazina, Olzhas Makhambetov, and Zhandos Yessenbayev. 2015. [Syntactic annotation of kazakh: Following the universal dependencies guidelines. a report](#). In *3rd International Conference on Turkic Languages Processing, (TurkLang 2015)*, pages 338–350.
- B. Mansurov and A. Mansurov. 2021. [Uzbert: pretraining a bert model for uzbek](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2108.09814.
- Sanatbek Matlatipov, Hulkar Rahimboeva, Jaloliddin Rajabov, and Elmurod Kuriyozov. 2022. [Uzbek sentiment analysis based on local restaurant reviews](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2205.15930.
- Jamshidbek Mirzakhlov, Anoop Babu, Duygu Ataman, Sherzod Kariev, Francis Tyers, Otabek Abduraufov, Mammad Hajili, Sardana Ivanova, Abror Khaytbaev, Antonio Laverghetta Jr., Bekhzodbek Moydinboev,

- Esra Onal, Shaxnoza Pulatova, Ahsan Wahab, Orhan Firat, and Sriram Chellappan. 2021. [A large-scale study of machine translation in Turkic languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 5876–5890, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Medet Mukushev, Aigerim Kydyrbekova, Vadim Kimmelman, and Anara Sandygulova. 2022. [Towards large vocabulary kazakh-russian sign language dataset: Krsl-onlineschool](#). *10th Workshop on the Representation and Processing of Sign Languages: Multilingual Sign Language Resources, sign-lang 2022*.
- Muhammadjon Musaev, Saida Mussakhoyeva, Ilyos Khujayorov, Yerbolat Khassanov, Mannon Ochilov, and Huseyin Atakan Varol. 2021. [Usc: An open-source uzbek speech corpus and initial speech recognition experiments](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2107.14419.
- Saida Mussakhoyeva, Aigerim Janaliyeva, Almas Mirzakhmetov, Yerbolat Khassanov, and Huseyin Atakan Varol. 2021. [Kazakhtts: An open-source kazakh text-to-speech synthesis dataset](#). *ArXiv*, abs/2104.08459.
- Saida Mussakhoyeva, Yerbolat Khassanov, and Huseyin Atakan Varol. 2022a. [KazakhTTS2: Extending the open-source Kazakh TTS corpus with more data, speakers, and topics](#). In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 5404–5411, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Saida Mussakhoyeva, Yerbolat Khassanov, and Huseyin Atakan Varol. 2022b. [Ksc2: An industrial-scale open-source kazakh speech corpus](#). In *Inter-speech*.
- Amirgaliyev E. N., Kuanyshbay D. N., and Baimuratov O. 2020. [Development of automatic speech recognition for kazakh language using transfer learning](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2003.04710.
- Toan Q. Nguyen and David Chiang. 2017. [Transfer learning across low-resource, related languages for neural machine translation](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:1708.09803.
- Dan Saattrup Nielsen and Ryan McConville. 2022. [Mumin: A large-scale multilingual multimodal fact-checked misinformation social network dataset](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2202.11684.
- Aliya Nugumanova, Yerzhan Baiburin, and Yermek Alimzhanov. 2022. [Sentiment analysis of reviews in kazakh with transfer learning techniques](#). *2022 International Conference on Smart Information Systems and Technologies (SIST)*, pages 1–6.
- Daniil Orel, Rustem Yeshpanov, and Huseyin Atakan Varol. 2023. [Speech recognition for turkic languages using cross-lingual transfer learning from kazakh](#). *2023 IEEE International Conference on Big Data and Smart Computing (BigComp)*, pages 174–182.
- Pedro Javier Ortiz Suárez, Laurent Romary, and Benoît Sagot. 2020. [A monolingual approach to contextualized word embeddings for mid-resource languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 1703–1714, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xiaoman Pan, Boliang Zhang, Jonathan May, Joel Nothman, Kevin Knight, and Heng Ji. 2017. [Cross-lingual name tagging and linking for 282 languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1946–1958, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ilyos Rabbimov and S S Kobilov. 2020. [Multi-class text classification of uzbek news articles using machine learning](#). *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1546.
- Colin Raffel, Noam M. Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. 2019. [Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer](#). *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 21:140:1–140:67.
- Ulugbek Salaev, Elmurod Kuriyozov, and Carlos Gómez-Rodríguez. 2022. [Simreluz: Similarity and relatedness scores as a semantic evaluation dataset for uzbek language](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2205.06072.
- Maksud Sharipov, Elmurod Kuriyozov, Ollabergan Yuldashev, and Ogabek Sobirov. 2023. [Uzbektagger: The rule-based pos tagger for uzbek language](#). *ArXiv*, abs/2301.12711.
- Nazgul Toiganbayeva, Mahmoud Kasem, Galymzhan Abdimanap, Kairat Bostanbekov, Abdelrahman Abdallah, Anel Alimova, and Daniyar Nurseitov. 2022. [Kohtd: Kazakh offline handwritten text dataset](#). *Signal Processing: Image Communication*, 108:116827.
- Gulmira Tolegen, Alymzhan Toleu, Rustam Mussabayev, Bagashar Zhumazhanov, and Gulzat Ziyatbekova. 2023. [Generative pre-trained transformer for kazakh text generation tasks](#). *2023 19th International Asian School-Seminar on Optimization Problems of Complex Systems (OPCS)*, pages 1–5.
- Francis M. Tyers and Jonathan N. Washington. 2015. [Towards a free/open-source universal-dependency treebank for kazakh](#). In *3rd International Conference on Turkic Languages Processing, (TurkLang 2015)*, pages 276–289.
- Rob van der Goot, Ibrahim Sharaf, Aizhan Imankulova, Ahmet Üstün, Marija Stepanovic, Alan Ramponi, Siti Oryza Khairunnisa, Mamoru Komachi, and Barbara Plank. 2021. [From masked-language modeling to translation: Non-English auxiliary tasks improve zero-shot spoken language understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, Mexico City, Mexico. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Wikipedia contributors. 2024. [Languages of asia](#) — [Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia](#). [Online; accessed 5-July-2024].
- Hao Wu and Beiqiang Ma. 2023. [Kazakh-chinese neural machine translation based on data augmentation](#). In *Conference on Computer Graphics, Artificial Intelligence, and Data Processing*.
- Kirill Yakunin, Maksat N. Kalimoldayev, Ravil I. Mukhamediev, Rustam Mussabayev, Vladimir B. Barakhnin, Yan I. Kuchin, Sanzhar Murzakhmetov, Timur Buldybayev, Ulzhan Ospanova, Marina Yelis, Akylbek Zhumabayev, Viktors I. Gopejenko, Zhazirakhanym Meirambekkyzy, and A. Abdurazakov. 2021. [Kaznewsdataset: Single country overall digital mass media publication corpus](#). *Data*, 6:31.
- Rustem Yeshpanov, Pavel Efimov, Leonid Boytsov, Ardak Shalkarbayuli, and Pavel Braslavski. 2024a. [Kazqad: Kazakh open-domain question answering dataset](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2404.04487.
- Rustem Yeshpanov, Yerbolat Khassanov, and Huseyin Atakan Varol. 2022. [KazNERD: Kazakh named entity recognition dataset](#). In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 417–426, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Rustem Yeshpanov, Alina Polonskaya, and Huseyin Atakan Varol. 2024b. [Kazparc: Kazakh parallel corpus for machine translation](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2403.19399.
- Rustem Yeshpanov and Huseyin Atakan Varol. 2024. [Kazsandra: Kazakh sentiment analysis dataset of reviews and attitudes](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2403.19335.
- Zhandos Yessenbayev, Zhanibek Kozhimbayev, and Aibek Makazhanov. 2020. Kaznlp: A pipeline for automated processing of texts written in kazakh language. In *Speech and Computer*, pages 657–666, Cham. Springer International Publishing.
- Barret Zoph, Deniz Yuret, Jonathan May, and Kevin Knight. 2016. [Transfer learning for low-resource neural machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1568–1575, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.