

AN ANICK TYPE WILD AUTOMORPHISM OF FREE POISSON ALGEBRAS

IVAN SHESTAKOV[‡] AND ZERUI ZHANG^{*}

ABSTRACT. We construct an Anick type wild automorphism δ in a 3-generated free Poisson algebra which induces a tame automorphism in a 3-generated polynomial algebra. We also show that δ is stably tame.

Dedicated to the memory of professor V.A.Roman'kov

1. INTRODUCTION

We recall that a *Poisson algebra* is a vector space \mathcal{P} over a field k endowed with two bilinear operations: a multiplication denoted by $x \otimes y \mapsto x \cdot y$ and a Poisson bracket denoted by $x \otimes y \mapsto [x, y]$, such that (\mathcal{P}, \cdot) is a commutative associative algebra, $(\mathcal{P}, [-, -])$ is a Lie algebra, and \mathcal{P} satisfies the *Leibniz identity*

$$[x, y \cdot z] = y \cdot [x, z] + z \cdot [x, y].$$

A Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} is called *unital* if (\mathcal{P}, \cdot) is unital. In this article, we always assume that the Poisson algebra under consideration is unital. And we usually omit the operation \cdot when no confusion arises.

It is clear that polynomial algebras are Poisson algebras with trivial Poisson brackets. On the other hand, assume that \mathcal{L} is the free Lie algebra generated by an arbitrary set X and assume that Y is a linear basis of \mathcal{L} . Then the Poisson symmetric algebra of \mathcal{L} , namely, the polynomial algebra with free generating set Y , is the free Poisson algebra generated by X [11]. Since research on automorphisms of polynomial algebras is an interesting subject, it is natural to study automorphisms of free Poisson algebras.

Let us now review some essential terminology. Let A_n be an arbitrary free algebra with free generating set $X := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and denote by $\text{Aut}(A_n)$ the automorphism group of A_n . We denote an automorphism of the form

$$\sigma(i, \alpha, f) := (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \alpha x_i + f, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n),$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 08A35, 17A30, 17A50.

Key words and phrases. automorphism; Poisson algebra; Jacobian matrix.

[‡] Supported by grants FAPESP 2018/23690-6, and CNPq 304313/2019-0.

^{*} Supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No.12101248) and by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (Grant No. 2024A1515013122).

^{*} Corresponding author.

where $1 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \neq \alpha \in k$, and f lies in the subalgebra of A_n generated by $X \setminus \{x_i\}$, to be an *elementary automorphism* of A_n . The subgroup $\mathrm{TA}(A_n)$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(A_n)$ generated by all elementary automorphisms is known as the *tame automorphism group* of A_n . We refer to the elements of $\mathrm{TA}(A_n)$ as *tame automorphisms* and those in $\mathrm{Aut}(A_n) \setminus \mathrm{TA}(A_n)$ as *wild automorphisms*. We denote by

$$\varphi = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$$

the endomorphism φ of A_n defined by the rule $\varphi(x_i) = f_i \in A_n$ for all $i \leq n$.

Known results have demonstrated close connections between the automorphisms of polynomial algebras, free associative algebras, and free Poisson algebras. For instance, automorphisms of polynomial algebras (free associative algebras and free Poisson algebras, respectively) in two variables are tame [4, 6–9], where the characteristic of the underlying fields is assumed to be 0 for Poisson algebras. Moreover, the automorphism groups of the aforementioned two-generated free algebras are isomorphic.

On the other hand, automorphisms of a polynomial algebra and of a free associative algebras in three variables can be wild, and the most famous example are the Nagata automorphism [10, 12, 13] and the Anick automorphism [16]. Since every automorphism of an n -generated polynomial algebra can be lifted to an automorphism of an n -generated free Poisson algebra, it follows that 3-generated free Poisson algebras have wild automorphisms as well. However, to our knowledge, it remains an open question whether every wild automorphism of an n -generated free Poisson algebra induces a wild automorphism of an n -generated polynomial algebra under the projection sending Poisson brackets to zero. In this article we construct an Anick type wild automorphism

$$(1.1) \quad \delta := (x_1 + [x_3, x_1x_3 - [x_3, x_2]], x_2 + (x_1x_3 - [x_3, x_2])x_3, x_3)$$

of a 3-generated free Poisson algebra, which induces a tame automorphism of a polynomial algebra in 3 variables. Since $\delta(x_1x_3 - [x_3, x_2]) = x_1x_3 - [x_3, x_2]$, we deduce that δ is an epimorphism. By a similar proof as that in [3, p. 343, Proposition 8.1], we conclude that δ is an automorphism.

The article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we explore the connections between the group of tame automorphisms of a free Poisson algebras and that of a polynomial algebra. In Section 3, we define Jacobian matrices for endomorphisms of free Poisson algebras and prove the chain rule. Although the chain rule holds in general [1], for the sake of completeness and for the readers' convenience, we still include a proof. In Section 4, we establish our main result: the automorphism δ in (1.1) is wild and stably tame.

Our approach is primarily inspired by [16], with modifications to make the proofs simplified.

2. TAME AUTOMORPHISMS OF FREE POISSON ALGEBRAS

Our aim in this section is to investigate the connections between tame automorphisms of free Poisson algebras and those of polynomial algebras. Denote by P_n the free Poisson algebra with free generating set $X := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Let C_n be the polynomial algebra with

variables x_1, \dots, x_n , and we always suppose that C_n is endowed with the trivial Poisson brackets. Then there exists a Poisson algebra homomorphism

$$\pi_n : P_n \longrightarrow C_n, \quad x_i \mapsto x_i, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The kernel $\text{Ker}(\pi_n)$ of π_n is the ideal of P_n generated by $\{[x_i, x_j] \mid x_i, x_j \in X, i > j\}$. Finally, for every endomorphism $\varphi = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ of P_n , we denote by $\bar{\varphi} = (\pi_n(f_1), \dots, \pi_n(f_n))$ the induced endomorphism of φ in C_n . If φ is an automorphism, then so is $\bar{\varphi}$. Obviously, every elementary automorphism φ of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma(i, 1, f), f \in \text{Ker}(\pi_n),$$

satisfies that $\bar{\varphi} = \text{id}$, the identity map of the algebra C_n .

For $p \neq q$, we denote by σ_{pq} the automorphism of A_n that interchanges x_p and x_q , while the remaining generators are fixed. Then σ_{pq} is tame and we have

$$\sigma_{pq} = \sigma(q, -1, x_p)\sigma(p, 1, -x_q)\sigma(q, 1, x_p).$$

It is straightforward to see that the following relations [15, 16] between elementary automorphisms hold for A_n :

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma(i, \alpha, f)\sigma(i, \beta, g) = \sigma(i, \alpha\beta, \beta f + g);$$

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma(i, \alpha, f)^{-1}\sigma(j, \beta, g)\sigma(i, \alpha, f) = \sigma(j, \beta, \sigma(i, \alpha, f)^{-1}(g)),$$

where $i \neq j$ and f lies in the subalgebra of A_n generated by $X \setminus \{x_i, x_j\}$;

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma_{pq}\sigma(i, \alpha, f)\sigma_{pq} = \sigma(j, \alpha, \sigma_{pq}(f)),$$

where $1 \leq p \neq q \leq n$ and $x_j = \sigma_{pq}(x_i)$.

For $j > 1$, by straightforward calculations or by [16], we know that σ_{1j} satisfies the property

$$(2.5) \quad \sigma_{1j} = \sigma(1, -1, 0)\sigma(1, 1, x_j)\sigma(j, 1, -x_1)\sigma(1, 1, x_j).$$

And thus, by (2.4), we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \sigma(j, \alpha, g) = \sigma_{1j}\sigma(1, \alpha, \sigma_{1j}(g))\sigma_{1j}.$$

Note that (2.5) and (2.6) are general facts involving only elementary automorphisms and do not depend on the underlying algebra.

In general, the relations (2.2)-(2.4) do not form a complete set of defining relations of $\text{TA}(A_n)$, but they do if $A_n = C_3$ is the polynomial algebra with variables x_1, x_2, x_3 over a field of characteristic 0 [15].

Lemma 2.1. *Let Π be the group homomorphism as follows:*

$$\Pi : \text{TA}(P_n) \longrightarrow \text{TA}(C_n), \quad \varphi \mapsto \bar{\varphi}.$$

Then the kernel of Π , as a normal subgroup of $\text{TA}(P_n)$, is generated by all elementary automorphisms of the form given in (2.1).

Proof. Let N be the normal subgroup of $\mathrm{TA}(P_n)$ generated by all elementary automorphisms of the form given in (2.1). Clearly we have $N \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\Pi)$, so we obtain a well-defined group homomorphism

$$\Pi_1 : \mathrm{TA}(P_n)/N \longrightarrow \mathrm{TA}(C_n), \quad \varphi N \mapsto \bar{\varphi}.$$

It suffices to show that Π_1 is an isomorphism.

For every element $f \in P_n$, we have $f = f_0 + f_1$, where f_0 does not involve Poisson brackets and f_1 lies in $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_n)$. Moreover, for $\sigma(i, \alpha, f) \in \mathrm{TA}(P_n)$, by (2.2), we have

$$\sigma(i, \alpha, f) = \sigma(i, \alpha, f_0)\sigma(i, 1, f_1).$$

So we deduce $\sigma(i, \alpha, f)N = \sigma(i, \alpha, f_0)N$ and

$$(2.7) \quad \Pi_1(\sigma(i, \alpha, f)N) = \Pi_1(\sigma(i, \alpha, f_0)N) = \overline{\sigma(i, \alpha, f_0)} = \sigma(i, \alpha, f_0) \in \mathrm{TA}(C_n).$$

On the other hand, let $\varphi = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ be a (tame) automorphism of C_n . Considering g_1, \dots, g_n as elements in P_n , we know that $\varphi_P := (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathrm{Aut}(P_n)$. Define a map

$$\Omega : \mathrm{TA}(C_n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{TA}(P_n)/N, \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi_P N.$$

Clearly, Ω is a group homomorphism and we have

$$(2.8) \quad \Omega(\sigma(i, \alpha, f)) = \sigma(i, \alpha, f)N.$$

Since elementary automorphisms generate the tame automorphism groups, by (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$\Omega\Pi_1 = \mathrm{Id} \text{ and } \Pi_1\Omega = \mathrm{Id}',$$

where Id is the identity map of $\mathrm{TA}(P_n)/N$ and Id' is the identity map of $\mathrm{TA}(C_n)$. The result follows immediately. \square

3. JACOBIAN MATRICES AND THE CHAIN RULE

In this section, we first recall from [17] the universal (multiplicative) enveloping algebra \mathcal{P}^e of an arbitrary Poisson algebra \mathcal{P} in the variety of all Poisson algebras. Then we define the Jacobian matrices for endomorphisms of P_n . Finally, we prove that the chain rule holds.

Let $M_{\mathcal{P}} = \{M_a \mid a \in \mathcal{P}\}$ and let $H_{\mathcal{P}} = \{H_a \mid a \in \mathcal{P}\}$ be two copies of \mathcal{P} such that $M : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow M_{\mathcal{P}}$ sending a to M_a and $H : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{P}}$ sending a to H_a are linear isomorphisms. Then \mathcal{P}^e is the associative algebra generated by the spaces $M_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $H_{\mathcal{P}}$, with defining relations

$$(3.1) \quad M_a M_b = M_{ab},$$

$$(3.2) \quad H_{[a,b]} = H_a H_b - H_b H_a,$$

$$(3.3) \quad H_{ab} = M_b H_a + M_a H_b,$$

$$(3.4) \quad H_a M_b = M_{[a,b]} + M_b H_a,$$

$$(3.5) \quad M_1 = 1$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$. It follows from (3.3) and (3.5) that $H_1 = 0$. Moreover, every (unital) \mathcal{P} -module \mathcal{V} is a left \mathcal{P}^e -module with the actions

$$M_a(v) = a \cdot v, \text{ and } H_a(v) = [a, v]$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{P}$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume that \mathcal{P} is generated by X . Rewriting all the terms on the left-hand side of the above equations in (3.1)-(3.4), we observe that elements of the form $M_a H_{x_{i_1}} \dots H_{x_{i_t}}$ with $t \geq 0$ forms a linear generating set of \mathcal{P}^e . Define

$$P_n^e = (P_n)^e \text{ and } C_n^e = (C_n)^e.$$

Then we have

Lemma 3.1. [17, Corollary 3] *Every nonzero element in P_n^e can be uniquely written in the form*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} M_{a_i} w_i,$$

where each a_i lies in P_n , each w_i is of the form $H_{x_{i_1}} \dots H_{x_{i_t}}$, where t depends on i , and each w_i is distinct from the others.

Since C_n is a Poisson algebra with trivial Poisson brackets, by Equations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.4), we deduce that C_n^e is a commutative algebra. Combining this with Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2. $C_1^e = P_1^e$ *is the polynomial algebra with variables M_{x_1} and H_{x_1} .*

We further observe that homomorphisms of Poisson algebras induce homomorphisms of their corresponding universal enveloping algebras. Let \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 be two Poisson algebras, and let φ be a Poisson algebra homomorphism from \mathcal{P}_1 to \mathcal{P}_2 . Since $(\mathcal{P}_1)^e$ and $(\mathcal{P}_2)^e$ are both defined by relations of the form (3.1)-(3.5), we can construct an associative algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi^e : (\mathcal{P}_1)^e \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_2)^e, \quad M_a \mapsto M_{\varphi(a)}, \quad H_a \mapsto H_{\varphi(a)}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{P}_1$. In particular, we obtain an algebra homomorphism

$$\pi_n^e : P_n^e \longrightarrow C_n^e.$$

Now we recall that the Fox derivatives $\frac{\partial(-)}{\partial x_i}$ is a linear map from P_n to P_n^e defined by the rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_i} &= \delta_{i,j}, \\ \frac{\partial(ab)}{\partial x_i} &= M_a \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i} + M_b \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_i}, \\ \frac{\partial[a,b]}{\partial x_i} &= H_a \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i} - H_b \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_i}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in P_n$, where $\delta_{i,i} = 1$ and $\delta_{i,j} = 0$ if $i \neq j$. It is straightforward to show that $\frac{\partial(-)}{\partial x_i}$ is well-defined.

Let \mathcal{P} be a Poisson algebra. For all $a_1, \dots, a_t \in \mathcal{P}$, define

$$[a_1, \dots, a_t] = [\dots [[a_1, a_2], a_3], \dots, a_t],$$

where $[a_1, \dots, a_t]$ means a_1 if $t = 1$. Then we have the following formula for the Fox derivative acting on elements involving Poisson brackets.

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{P} be a Poisson algebra. Then for all $a_1, \dots, a_t \in \mathcal{P}$ with $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$\frac{\partial[a_1, \dots, a_t]}{\partial x_r} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq t} (-H_{a_t}) \dots (-H_{a_{j+1}}) H_{[a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}]} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x_r}.$$

Proof. We use induction on t . For $t = 2$, we have

$$\frac{\partial[a_1, a_2]}{\partial x_r} = (-H_{a_2}) \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x_r} + H_{a_1} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial x_r}.$$

Now we assume that $t > 2$. We define $b_1 = [a_1, a_2]$, and $b_j = a_{j+1}$ for all $2 \leq j \leq t-1$. By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial[a_1, \dots, a_t]}{\partial x_r} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq p \leq t-1} (-H_{b_{t-1}}) \dots (-H_{b_{p+1}}) H_{[b_1, \dots, b_{p-1}]} \frac{\partial b_p}{\partial x_r} \\ &= \sum_{2 \leq p \leq t-1} (-H_{a_t}) \dots (-H_{a_{p+2}}) H_{[a_1, \dots, a_p]} \frac{\partial a_{p+1}}{\partial x_r} + (-H_{a_t}) \dots (-H_{a_3}) \frac{\partial[a_1, a_2]}{\partial x_r} \\ &= \sum_{3 \leq j \leq t} (-H_{a_t}) \dots (-H_{a_{j+1}}) H_{[a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}]} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial x_r} + (-H_{a_t}) \dots (-H_{a_3}) \left((-H_{a_2}) \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x_r} + H_{a_1} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial x_r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Now we define the Jacobian matrices for endomorphisms of P_n in a way that differs slightly from [17], as it will be more convenient to deal with matrices over a commutative associative algebra rather than a noncommutative one. For an arbitrary associative algebra \mathcal{A} , we denote by $M_{n \times n}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathcal{A} . For every endomorphism φ of P_n , we define the Jacobian matrix $J(\varphi)$ of φ to be

$$J(\varphi) = \pi_n^e \left(\left(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_i)}{\partial x_j} \right)_{n \times n} \right) = \left(\pi_n^e \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_i)}{\partial x_j} \right) \right)_{n \times n} \in M_{n \times n}(C_n^e),$$

where $\pi_n^e \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_i)}{\partial x_j} \right)$ lies in the i -th row and j -th column of $J(\varphi)$. Since C_n^e is commutative, the determinant $|J(\varphi)|$ of $J(\varphi)$ makes sense.

Recall that every endomorphism φ of P_n induces an endomorphism $\bar{\varphi}$ of C_n satisfying

$$\bar{\varphi} \pi_n = \pi_n \varphi,$$

namely, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P_n \\ \pi_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_n \\ C_n & \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}} & C_n \end{array}$$

is commutative. In particular, it follows immediately that

$$(3.6) \quad \overline{\varphi}^e \pi_n^e = (\overline{\varphi} \pi_n)^e = (\pi_n \varphi)^e = \pi_n^e \varphi^e.$$

We are now ready to study the form of the chain rule under the aforementioned definition for Jacobian matrices, the proof of which is essentially the same as that in [1].

Lemma 3.4. (chain rule) *Let φ and ψ be two endomorphisms of P_n . Then we have*

$$J(\varphi\psi) = \overline{\varphi}^e(J(\psi))J(\varphi).$$

In particular, if ψ is an automorphism, then $J(\psi)$ is invertible and $J(\psi)^{-1} = \overline{\psi}^e(J(\psi^{-1}))$.

Proof. Assume that $\psi(x_i) = f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then we have $\varphi\psi(x_i) = f(\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_n))$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n^e\left(\frac{\partial \varphi\psi(x_i)}{\partial x_j}\right) &= \sum_{1 \leq t \leq n} \pi_n^e \varphi^e\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_t}\right) \pi_n^e\left(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_t)}{\partial x_j}\right) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq t \leq n} \overline{\varphi}^e \pi_n^e\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_t}\right) \pi_n^e\left(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_t)}{\partial x_j}\right) \\ &= \overline{\varphi}^e\left(\pi_n^e\left(\frac{\partial \psi(x_i)}{\partial x_1}\right), \dots, \pi_n^e\left(\frac{\partial \psi(x_i)}{\partial x_n}\right)\right) \left(\pi_n^e\left(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_1)}{\partial x_j}\right), \dots, \pi_n^e\left(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_n)}{\partial x_j}\right)\right)^T, \end{aligned}$$

where $(-)^T$ means the transposed matrix of the underlying matrix. It follows that $J(\varphi\psi) = \overline{\varphi}^e(J(\psi))J(\varphi)$. Consequently, we obtain

$$E_n = J(\psi^{-1}\psi) = \overline{\psi^{-1}}^e(J(\psi))J(\psi^{-1})$$

and

$$E_n = J(\psi\psi^{-1}) = \overline{\psi}^e(J(\psi^{-1}))J(\psi),$$

where E_n is the identity matrix. So we have

$$E_n = \overline{\psi}^e(E_n) = \overline{\psi}^e \overline{\psi^{-1}}^e(J(\psi)) \overline{\psi}^e(J(\psi^{-1})) = J(\psi) \overline{\psi}^e(J(\psi^{-1})).$$

The result follows immediately. \square

Now we can deduce more properties of Jacobian matrices by applying the chain rule.

Lemma 3.5. *Let φ be an endomorphism of P_n such that $\overline{\varphi} = \text{id}$. Then for every automorphism $\psi \in \text{Aut}(P_n)$, we have*

$$J(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}) = J(\psi)^{-1} \overline{\psi}^e(J(\varphi))J(\psi)$$

and

$$|J(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})| = \overline{\psi}^e(|J(\varphi)|).$$

Proof. By the chain rule, we have

$$J(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}) = \overline{\psi}^e(J(\varphi\psi^{-1}))J(\psi) = \overline{\psi}^e(\overline{\varphi}^e(J(\psi^{-1}))J(\varphi))J(\psi) = J(\psi)^{-1}\overline{\psi}^e(J(\varphi))J(\psi).$$

The other assertion follows immediately since $\overline{\psi}^e$ is an algebra homomorphism. \square

Let $P\{x_3\}$ be the free Poisson algebra generated by x_3 . Then $P\{x_3\}$ is the polynomial algebra endowed with trivial Poisson brackets. In order to prove that the Anick type automorphism δ in (1.1) is wild, we shall need the following Poisson algebra homomorphism:

$$\eta : C_3 \longrightarrow P\{x_3\}, x_1 \mapsto 0, x_2 \mapsto 0, x_3 \mapsto x_3.$$

Clearly, η is well-defined. So for every endomorphism φ of P_3 , we have

$$\eta^e(J(\varphi)) = (\eta^e \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_i)}{\partial x_j}))_{n \times n}.$$

We shall need the following observation about Fox derivatives acting on elements of $\text{Ker}(\pi_3)$ with respect to x_3 .

Lemma 3.6. *For every $f \in \text{Ker}(\pi_3)$, we have $\eta^e \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}) = 0$.*

Proof. For all $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t} \in X$ such that $x_{i_2} < x_{i_1} \leq 3$, we have $\eta \pi_3(x_{i_2}) = 0 = \frac{\partial x_{i_2}}{\partial x_3}$. And thus by Lemma 3.3, we deduce that

$$\eta^e \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial [x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t}]}{\partial x_3}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq t} (-H_{\eta \pi_3(x_{i_t})}) \cdots (-H_{\eta \pi_3(x_{i_{j+1}})}) H_{\eta \pi_3([x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{j-1}}])} \eta^e \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial x_{i_j}}{\partial x_3}) = 0.$$

Therefore, for every element of the form $[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t}]g \in \text{Ker}(\pi_3)$ ($t \geq 2$), we have

$$\eta^e \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial ([x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t}]g)}{\partial x_3}) = M_{\eta \pi_3(g)} \eta^e \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial [x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t}]}{\partial x_3}) + M_{\eta \pi_3([x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t}])} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3} = 0.$$

Since elements of the form $[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t}]g$ ($t \geq 2$) linearly generate $\text{Ker}(\pi_3)$, the results follows. \square

We conclude this section with a property of $\eta^e(J(\varphi))$ for endomorphism φ of P_3 such that $\overline{\varphi} = \text{id}$, the identity map of C_3 .

Lemma 3.7. *For all $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Aut}(P_3)$ satisfying $\overline{\varphi} = \text{id}$, we have*

$$\eta^e(J(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some elements $a_{p,q}$ ($1 \leq p \leq 3, 1 \leq q \leq 2$) in $P\{x_3\}^e$ such that

$$a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = \eta^e \overline{\psi}^e(|J(\varphi)|).$$

Consequently, if φ is an elementary automorphism of the form $\sigma(i, 1, f)$ with $f \in \text{Ker}(\pi_3)$, then we have $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 1$.

Proof. Since $\overline{\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}} = \overline{\varphi} = \text{id}$, we may assume $\psi\varphi\psi^{-1} = (x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2, x_3 + f_3)$ and $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \text{Ker}(\pi_3)$. By Lemma 3.6, we obtain the desired third column of $\eta^e(J(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}))$. Then, by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = |\eta^e(J(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}))| = \eta^e(|(J(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1}))|) = \eta^e\overline{\psi}^e(|J(\varphi)|).$$

For the consequence, it suffices to show $|J(\varphi)| = 1$ since $\eta^e\overline{\psi}^e(1) = 1$. Suppose that $J(\varphi) = (c_{pq})_{n \times n}$. Since f does not involve x_i , by direct calculation, we have

$$(3.7) \quad c_{pq} = \begin{cases} \delta_{p,q}, & \text{if } p \neq i, \\ 1, & \text{if } p = q = i, \\ \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_q}), & \text{if } p = i, q \neq i. \end{cases}$$

The result follows immediately. \square

4. THE CONSTRUCTED ANICK TYPE AUTOMORPHISM IS WILD

Our aim in this section is to establish our main result: the Anick type automorphism δ in (1.1) is wild. For an arbitrary associative algebra \mathcal{A} , we call matrices in $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathcal{A})$ of the form

$$E_{12}(a) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$E_{21}(a) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

elementary 2×2 matrices or *elementary matrices*, where $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We denote by $E_2(\mathcal{A})$ the subgroup of the general linear group $GL_2(\mathcal{A})$ generated by all elementary 2×2 matrices. The following important and useful result is proved in [2], see also [16].

Lemma 4.1. [2] *Let $k[x, y]$ be the polynomial algebra with variables x and y . Then the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + xy & y^2 \\ -x^2 & 1 - xy \end{pmatrix}$$

does not lie in $E_2(k[x, y])$. Consequently, the transpose of the above matrix,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + xy & -x^2 \\ y^2 & 1 - xy \end{pmatrix}$$

also does not belong to $E_2(k[x, y])$.

Let φ be an endomorphism of P_3 . Following the notation in [16], we define

$$(4.1) \quad J_2(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_1)}{\partial x_1}) & \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_1)}{\partial x_2}) \\ \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_2)}{\partial x_1}) & \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial \varphi(x_2)}{\partial x_2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(P_3)$ be an elementary automorphism of the form $\sigma(i, 1, f)$ with $f \in \text{Ker}(\pi_3)$. Then for every tame automorphism $\psi \in \text{TA}(P_3)$, we have $\eta^e(J_2(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})) \in E_2(P\{x_3\}^e)$.*

Proof. Since ψ is tame, we know that ψ is a product of elementary automorphisms of the form $\sigma(j, \alpha, g)$. By Equations (2.5) and (2.6), we may assume that ψ is a product of elementary automorphisms of the form

$$(4.2) \quad \sigma(1, \alpha, g) \text{ or } \sigma(j, 1, -x_1) \text{ with } j \in \{2, 3\}.$$

So we may assume $\psi = \psi_1 \psi_2 \dots \psi_t$, where each ψ_p is of the form in (4.2).

Now we use induction on t to prove the result. For $t = 0$, we have $\psi \varphi \psi^{-1} = \varphi = \sigma(i, 1, f)$. By Lemma 3.6, we have $\eta^e \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}) = 0$. Since f does not involve x_i , we obtain $\eta^e \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}) = 0$. There are several cases to consider. If $i = 1$, then by (4.1), we have

$$\eta^e(J_2(\varphi)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta^e \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in E_2(P\{x_3\}^e);$$

If $i = 2$, then we have

$$\eta^e(J_2(\varphi)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \eta^e \pi_3^e(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in E_2(P\{x_3\}^e);$$

If $i = 3$, then we have

$$\eta^e(J_2(\varphi)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in E_2(P\{x_3\}^e).$$

Now we assume $t > 0$. Denote $\psi_2 \dots \psi_t \varphi (\psi_2 \dots \psi_t)^{-1}$ by φ_1 . Then by induction hypothesis and by Lemma 3.7, we may assume

$$\eta^e(J(\varphi_1)) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\ B_{1 \times 2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $A_{2 \times 2}$ lies in $E_2(P\{x_3\}^e)$. Denote by $\widetilde{\psi}_1$ the automorphism of $P\{x_3\}$ induced by $\overline{\psi}_1$. Since the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_3 & \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}_1} & C_3 \\ \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ P\{x_3\} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}_1} & P\{x_3\} \end{array}$$

is commutative, we obtain that

$$\eta^e \overline{\psi}_1^e = (\eta \overline{\psi}_1)^e = (\widetilde{\psi}_1 \eta)^e = \widetilde{\psi}_1^e \eta^e.$$

Combining the above formula with Lemma 3.5, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \eta^e(J(\psi \varphi \psi^{-1})) = \eta^e(J(\psi_1 \varphi_1 \psi_1^{-1})) = \eta^e(J(\psi_1)^{-1}) \widetilde{\psi}_1^e \eta^e(J(\varphi_1)) \eta^e(J(\psi_1)).$$

Assume that

$$\widetilde{\psi}_1^e \eta^e(J(\varphi_1)) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\psi}_1^e(A_{2 \times 2}) & 0 \\ \widetilde{\psi}_1^e(B_{1 \times 2}) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\ V_{1 \times 2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\widetilde{\psi}_1^e$ maps elementary matrices over $P\{x_3\}^e$ to elementary matrices over $P\{x_3\}^e$, we deduce that $U_{2 \times 2} \in E_2(P\{x_3\}^e)$.

If $\psi_1 = \sigma(2, 1, -x_1)$, then we have

$$\eta^e(J(\psi_1)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \eta^e(J(\psi_1)^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (4.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^e(J(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})) &= \begin{pmatrix} E_{21}(1) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\ V_{1 \times 2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{21}(-1) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} E_{21}(1)U_{2 \times 2}E_{21}(-1) & 0 \\ V_{1 \times 2}E_{21}(-1) & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\eta^e(J_2(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})) = E_{21}(1)U_{2 \times 2}E_{21}(-1) \in E_2(P\{x_3\}^e).$$

If $\psi_1 = \sigma(3, 1, -x_1)$, then we have

$$\eta^e(J(\psi_1)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \eta^e(J(\psi_1)^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote the row matrix $(-1, 0)$ by $W_{1 \times 2}$. Then by (4.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^e(J(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})) &= \begin{pmatrix} E_2 & 0 \\ -W_{1 \times 2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\ V_{1 \times 2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_2 & 0 \\ W_{1 \times 2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} U_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\ -W_{1 \times 2}U_{2 \times 2} + V_{1 \times 2} + W_{1 \times 2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\eta^e(J_2(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})) = U_{2 \times 2} \in E_2(P\{x_3\}^e).$$

Finally, if $\psi_1 = \sigma(1, \alpha, g)$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, say $w_1 = \eta^e\pi_3^e(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2})$ and $w_2 = \eta^e\pi_3^e(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3})$, then we have

$$\eta^e(J(\psi_1)) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & w_1 & w_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{2 \times 2} & G_{2 \times 1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\eta^e(J(\psi_1)^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} & -\alpha^{-1}w_1 & -\alpha^{-1}w_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{2 \times 2} & Z_{2 \times 1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (4.3) again, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^e(J(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})) &= \begin{pmatrix} Y_{2 \times 2} & Z_{2 \times 1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\ V_{1 \times 2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_{2 \times 2} & G_{2 \times 1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Y_{2 \times 2}U_{2 \times 2} + Z_{2 \times 1}V_{1 \times 2} & Z_{2 \times 1} \\ V_{1 \times 2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_{2 \times 2} & G_{2 \times 1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} Y_{2 \times 2} U_{2 \times 2} W_{2 \times 2} + Z_{2 \times 1} V_{1 \times 2} W_{2 \times 2} & Y_{2 \times 2} U_{2 \times 2} G_{2 \times 1} + Z_{2 \times 1} V_{1 \times 2} G_{2 \times 1} + Z_{2 \times 1} \\ V_{1 \times 2} W_{2 \times 2} & V_{1 \times 2} G_{2 \times 1} + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then by Lemma 3.7, we have

$$1 = V_{1 \times 2} G_{2 \times 1} + 1.$$

and

$$Y_{2 \times 2} U_{2 \times 2} G_{2 \times 1} + Z_{2 \times 1} V_{1 \times 2} G_{2 \times 1} + Z_{2 \times 1} = 0.$$

Assume $V_{1 \times 2} = (v_1, v_2)$. Then we have

$$v_1 w_2 = V_{1 \times 2} G_{2 \times 1} = 0.$$

And thus

$$Z_{2 \times 1} = -Y_{2 \times 2} U_{2 \times 2} G_{2 \times 1}.$$

Since $P\{x_3\}^e$ is commutative, we also have $w_2 v_1 = 0$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta^e(J_2(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})) \\ &= Y_{2 \times 2} U_{2 \times 2} W_{2 \times 2} + Z_{2 \times 1} V_{1 \times 2} W_{2 \times 2} \\ &= Y_{2 \times 2} U_{2 \times 2} W_{2 \times 2} - Y_{2 \times 2} U_{2 \times 2} G_{2 \times 1} V_{1 \times 2} W_{2 \times 2} \\ &= Y_{2 \times 2} U_{2 \times 2} (E_2 - G_{2 \times 1} V_{1 \times 2}) W_{2 \times 2}. \\ &= Y_{2 \times 2} U_{2 \times 2} E_{12}(-w_2 v_2) W_{2 \times 2} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} E_{12}(-w_1) U_{2 \times 2} E_{12}(-w_2 v_2) E_{12}(w_1) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $u \in P\{x_3\}^e$, we have [2, 16]

$$E_{12}(u) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} E_{12}(\alpha^{-1}u) \text{ and } E_{21}(u) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} E_{21}(\alpha u).$$

By the above reasoning, we obtain

$$\eta^e(J_2(\psi\varphi\psi^{-1})) \in \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} E_2(P\{x_3\}^e) = E_2(P\{x_3\}^e).$$

The proof is completed. \square

Corollary 4.3. *For $\varphi \in \text{TA}(P_3)$, if $\overline{\varphi} = \text{id}$, then $\eta^e(J_2(\varphi)) \in E_2(P\{x_3\}^e)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we may assume that $\varphi = \psi_1 \varphi_1 \psi_1^{-1} \dots \psi_t \varphi_t \psi_t^{-1}$ for some $t \geq 1$, where each φ_j is an elementary automorphism of the form (2.1) with $n = 3$. Define $\theta_j = \psi_j \varphi_j \psi_j^{-1}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq t$. Then $\overline{\theta_j} = \text{id}$. By Lemma 3.4 and by induction on t , we have

$$J(\varphi) = J(\theta_1 \dots \theta_t) = \overline{\theta_1 \dots \theta_{t-1}}^e (J(\theta_t)) J(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{t-1}) = J(\theta_t) J(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{t-1}) = J(\theta_t) \dots J(\theta_1).$$

By Lemma 3.7 and by Theorem 4.2, we may assume

$$\eta^e(J(\theta_j)) = \begin{pmatrix} A_j & 0 \\ V_j & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $A_j \in E_2(P\{x_3\}^e)$. By induction on t , it is straightforward to show that

$$\eta^e(J(\theta_1 \dots \theta_t)) = \eta^e(J(\theta_t)) \dots \eta^e(J(\theta_1)) = \begin{pmatrix} A_t A_{t-1} \dots A_1 & 0 \\ V & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The result follows immediately. \square

Now we are ready to prove our main result. Since $\bar{\delta} \neq \text{id}$, we need to construct a tame automorphism ψ such that $\overline{\delta\psi} = \text{id}$.

Theorem 4.4. *The Anick type automorphism δ in (1.1) is wild.*

Proof. Clearly, we have $\bar{\delta} = (x_1, x_2 + x_1x_3^2, x_3)$. Define $\psi = (x_1, x_2 - x_1x_3^2, x_3) \in \text{TA}(P_3)$. Then we have $\overline{\delta\psi} = \bar{\delta}\bar{\psi} = \text{id}$. Suppose that δ is tame. Then by Corollary 4.3, we have

$$\eta^e(J_2(\delta\psi)) \in E_2(P\{x_3\}^e).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$\eta^e(J(\delta\psi)) = \eta^e\bar{\delta}^e(J(\psi))\eta^e(J(\delta)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -M_{x_3}^2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + M_{x_3}H_{x_3} & -H_{x_3}^2 & 0 \\ M_{x_3}^2 & 1 - M_{x_3}H_{x_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So by Lemma 4.1, we deduce a contradiction that

$$\eta^e(J_2(\delta\psi)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -M_{x_3}^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + M_{x_3}H_{x_3} & -H_{x_3}^2 \\ M_{x_3}^2 & 1 - M_{x_3}H_{x_3} \end{pmatrix} \notin E_2(P\{x_3\}^e).$$

Therefore, δ is wild. \square

We conclude the article with the observation that, as for the case of a 3-generated free associative algebra [5], the Anick type automorphism δ is stably tame. Suppose that $n = 4$ and extend the Anick type automorphism δ to

$$\varphi = (\delta(x_1), \delta(x_2), x_3, x_4).$$

Then we have $\varphi = \varphi_8 \dots \varphi_1$, where $\varphi_1 = \sigma(2, 1, x_3x_4)$, $\varphi_2 = \sigma(1, 1, [x_3, x_4])$, $\varphi_3 = \sigma(4, 1, -[x_3, x_2])$, $\varphi_4 = \sigma(4, 1, x_1x_3)$, $\varphi_5 = \sigma(2, 1, -x_3x_4)$, $\varphi_6 = \sigma(1, 1, -[x_3, x_4])$, $\varphi_7 = \sigma(4, 1, [x_3, x_2])$, $\varphi_8 = \sigma(4, 1, -x_1x_3)$.

For the convenience of the readers, we list the images below:

$$(i) \ x_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} x_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} x_1 + [x_3, x_4] \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} x_1 + [x_3, x_4] - [x_3, [x_3, x_2]] \xrightarrow{\varphi_4} x_1 + [x_3, x_4] + x_3[x_3, x_1] - [x_3, [x_3, x_2]] \xrightarrow{\varphi_5} x_1 + [x_3, x_4] + x_3[x_3, x_1] - [x_3, [x_3, x_2]] + x_3[x_3, [x_3, x_4]] \xrightarrow{\varphi_6} x_1 + x_3[x_3, x_1] - [x_3, [x_3, x_2]] \xrightarrow{\varphi_7} x_1 + x_3[x_3, x_1] - [x_3, [x_3, x_2]] = \delta(x_1);$$

$$(ii) \ x_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} x_2 + x_3x_4 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} x_2 + x_3x_4 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} x_2 + x_3x_4 - x_3[x_3, x_2] \xrightarrow{\varphi_4} x_2 + x_3x_4 + x_1x_3^2 - x_3[x_3, x_2] \xrightarrow{\varphi_5} x_2 + x_1x_3^2 - x_3[x_3, x_2] + x_3^2[x_3, x_4] \xrightarrow{\varphi_6} x_2 + x_1x_3^2 - x_3[x_3, x_2] \xrightarrow{\varphi_7} x_2 + x_1x_3^2 - x_3[x_3, x_2] = \delta(x_2);$$

$$(iii) \ x_3 \xrightarrow{\varphi} x_3;$$

$$(iv) \ x_4 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2\varphi_1} x_4 \xrightarrow{\varphi_4\varphi_3} x_4 + x_1x_3 - [x_3, x_2] \xrightarrow{\varphi_5} x_4 + x_1x_3 - [x_3, x_2] + x_3[x_3, x_4] \xrightarrow{\varphi_6} x_4 + x_1x_3 - [x_3, x_2] \xrightarrow{\varphi_7} x_4 + x_1x_3 \xrightarrow{\varphi_8} x_4.$$

Disclosure statement. No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Yu Li, Yanhua Wang, Yongjun Xu, James J. Zhang, and Xiangui Zhao for their valuable discussions and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. Bryant and V. Drensky, Obstructions to lifting automorphisms of free algebras, *Communications in Algebra* 21(12) (1993) 4361–4389.
- [2] P. M. Cohn, On the structure of the GL_2 of a ring, *Inst. Hautes Et. Sci. Publ. Math.* 30 (1966) 5–53.
- [3] P. M. Cohn, *Free rings and their relations* (Second Edition), London: Academic Press, 1985.
- [4] A. J. Czerniakiewicz, Automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rank 2, I, II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 160 (1971) 393–401; 171 (1972), 309–315.
- [5] V. Drensky, J. T. Yu, Automorphisms fixing a variable of $k\langle x, y, z \rangle$, *Journal of algebra* 291 (2005) 250–258.
- [6] H. W. E. Jung, Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene, *J. reine angew. Math.* 184 (1942) 161–174.
- [7] W. van der Kulk, On polynomial rings in two variables, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* 3(1) (1953) 33–41.
- [8] L. Makar-Limanov, The automorphisms of the free algebra of two generators, *Funks. Anal. Prilozhen.* 4(3) (1970) 107–108; English translation: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 4 (1970) 262–263.
- [9] L. Makar-Limanov, U. Turusbekova, U. U. Umirbaev, Automorphisms and derivations of free Poisson algebras in two variables, *J. Algebra* 322(9) (2009) 3318–3330.
- [10] M. Nagata, On the automorphism group of $k[x, y]$, *Lect. in Math.*, Kyoto Univ., Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972.
- [11] I. P. Shestakov, Quantization of Poisson superalgebras and speciality of Jordan Poisson superalgebras, *Algebra and Logic* 32(5) (1993) 309–317.
- [12] I. P. Shestakov, U. U. Umirbaev, Poisson brackets and two-generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (2004) 181–196.
- [13] I. P. Shestakov, U. U. Umirbaev, The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (2004) 197–227.
- [14] U. U. Umirbaev, On Schreier varieties of algebras, *Algebra i Logika* 33(3) (1994) 317–340 [in Russian]; *Algebra and Logic* 33(3) (1994) 180–193 [Engl. transl.].
- [15] U. U. Umirbaev, Defining relations of the tame automorphism group of polynomial algebras in three variables, *J. reine angew. Math.* 600 (2006) 203–235.
- [16] U. U. Umirbaev, The Anick automorphism of free associative algebras, *J. reine angew. Math.* 605 (2007) 165–178.
- [17] U. U. Umirbaev, Universal enveloping algebras and universal derivations of Poisson algebras, *Journal of Algebra* 354 (2012) 77–94.

I.S., INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL; SOBOLEV
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA.

Email address: `shestak@ime.usp.br`

Z.Z., SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510631,
P. R. CHINA

Email address: `zeruizhang@scnu.edu.cn`