

## BRAIDING ON COMPLEX ORIENTED SOERGEL BIMODULES

YU LEON LIU

ABSTRACT. In this note, we study  $U(n)$  Soergel bimodules in the context of stable homotopy theory. We define the  $(\infty, 1)$ -category  $\text{SBim}_E(n)$  of  $E$ -valued  $U(n)$  Soergel bimodules, where  $E$  is a connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum, and assemble them into a monoidal locally additive  $(\infty, 2)$ -category  $\text{SBim}_E$ . When  $E$  has a complex orientation, we then construct a braiding, i.e. an  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra structure, on the universal locally stable  $(\infty, 2)$ -category  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  associated to  $\text{SBim}_E$ . Along the way, we also prove spectral analogs of standard splittings of Soergel bimodules. This is a topological generalization of the type  $A$  Soergel bimodule theory developed in [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24].

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Topology of Bott-Samelson spaces	3
3. Complex oriented Bott-Samelson bimodules	6
4. $(\infty, 2)$ -category of additive and stable $E$ -valued Soergel bimodules	17
5. Braiding on $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$	22
References	30

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the last twenty years, there has been tremendous progress in quantum topology and categorification, with *Soergel bimodules* [Soe92] at the center of much of the advancement (see [Str22]). While Soergel bimodules can be defined for any compact Lie group  $G$ , in this paper we restrict ourselves to the  $U(n)$  case and denote by  $\text{SBim}(n)$  the category of  $U(n)$  Soergel bimodules. The  $U(n)$  Soergel bimodules can be packaged together into a  $(2, 2)$ -category<sup>1</sup>  $\text{SBim}$ , whose objects are indexed by natural numbers  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and whose endomorphism category  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{SBim}}(n, n)$  is  $\text{SBim}(n)$ . In [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24] the author and collaborators constructed a braiding, i.e. an  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra structure, on the locally stable  $(\infty, 2)$ -category  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim})$ , which is the universal locally stable  $(\infty, 2)$ -category associated to  $\text{SBim}$ .<sup>2</sup> Just as the  $S_n$  Hecke algebras taken together form a braided monoidal category that controls type  $A$  quantum link invariants and 3d topological field theories, we believe that  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim})$ , together with its  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra structure, controls type  $A$  homotopy-coherent link homology theories and 4d topological quantum field theories (see the introduction of [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24]).

In this paper we generalize the results of [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24] to the setting of stable homotopy theory.<sup>3</sup> Let  $T$  denote the maximal torus  $U(1)^n$  in  $U(n)$ . The  $U(n)$  Soergel bimodules are retracts of direct

<sup>1</sup>We invite the reader to [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Appendix A] for an accessible introduction to higher and  $\infty$ -categories, which are utilized throughout this paper.

<sup>2</sup>The objects of  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim})$  are also indexed by natural numbers, and the endomorphism category  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim})}(n, n) = \mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}(n))$  is the universal stable  $\infty$ -category associated to  $\text{SBim}(n)$ , which is the  $\infty$ -categorical generalization of the chain homotopy category. See Remark 4.21.

<sup>3</sup>See [Kit23a, Kit23b] for previous work on spectral generalizations of Soergel bimodules.

sums of Bott-Samelson bimodules, which are  $T - T$ -equivariant rational cohomology groups of Bott-Samelson spaces (Definition 2.2). Let  $E$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum. We can similarly define  $E$ -valued Soergel bimodules as retracts of direct sums of  $E$ -valued Bott-Samelson bimodules, which are  $E$ -mapping spectra of  $T - T$ -equivariant quotients of Bott-Samelson spaces (see Definition 3.32). Let  $\text{SBim}_E(n)$  denote the full subcategory of  $E(BT) - E(BT)$ -bimodule spectra consisting of  $E$ -valued Soergel bimodules. Our first result is that  $\text{SBim}_E(n)$  can be assembled into a monoidal locally additive  $(\infty, 2)$ -category:

**Theorem 1.1** (Proposition 4.33). *Let  $E$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum.<sup>4</sup> There exists a monoidal locally additive  $(\infty, 2)$ -category  $\text{SBim}_E$  whose objects are labeled by natural numbers, and whose hom categories are*

$$(1.2) \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{SBim}_E}(n, m) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \neq m, \\ \text{SBim}_E(n) & n = m. \end{cases}$$

By abstract nonsense, we also get a monoidal locally stable  $(\infty, 2)$ -category  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  (see Observation 4.38) whose objects are also labeled by natural numbers, and whose hom categories are

$$(1.3) \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)}(n, m) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \neq m, \\ \mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(n)) & n = m. \end{cases}$$

Here  $\mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(n))$  is the universal stable  $(\infty, 1)$ -category associated to  $\text{SBim}_E(n)$ . Additionally, we have a *fiber functor* (4.41), which is an  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -algebra map  $H_{\text{loc}}^b: \mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_E$ , where  $\text{Mor}_E$  is the symmetric monoidal  $(\infty, 2)$ -category of  $E$ -algebras and bimodules (satisfying some finiteness condition), and bimodule homomorphisms.

Next we turn to the braiding. Interestingly, we need a complex  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -orientation on  $E$ , which is an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring homomorphism  $f_E: \text{MU} \rightarrow E$ , where  $\text{MU}$  is the complex bordism spectrum. Intuitively, such an orientation is necessary because it allows us to trivialize Thom spectra of complex vector bundles (see Proposition 3.29 and Proposition 3.44).

Here is the main result of the paper:

**Theorem 1.4** (Theorem 5.60). *Let  $E$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum with a complex  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -orientation  $f_E: \text{MU} \rightarrow E$ . There exists an  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra structure on  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  together with an  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra structure on  $H_{\text{loc}}^b: \mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_E$  such that*

- (1) *The  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra structure enhances the  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -algebra structures on  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  and  $H_{\text{loc}}^b$ .*
- (2) *The braiding on two strands is given by the Rouquier complex (Definition 5.28).*

*Furthermore, the space of such pairs of  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra structures satisfying the above conditions is contractible.*

*Remark 1.5.* See Theorem 5.60 for the precise statement.

*Remark 1.6.* A crucial step in the proof of Theorem 5.60 is constructing the splittings  $\text{BS}_{ii} \simeq \text{BS}_i \oplus \text{BS}_i(2)$  (Proposition 3.59) and  $\text{BS}_{iji} \simeq \text{BS}_{i,j} \oplus \text{BS}_i(2)$  (Proposition 3.79) in the setting of  $E$ -valued Soergel bimodules. Here  $j = i \pm 1$  and  $\text{BS}_{i,j}$  is defined in Notation 3.71.

*Remark 1.7.* Let  $E = H\mathbb{Z}$  together with its canonical complex  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -orientation (see Example 3.18). It follows immediately from Theorem 5.60 that the braiding constructed in [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24] on rational Soergel bimodules can be lifted to integral Soergel bimodules.

<sup>4</sup>We worked with connective ring spectra for technical reasons. However, the connectivity condition can be removed; see Remark 5.61.

**Outline.** In Section 2 we introduce Bott-Samelson spaces and construct two important cofiber sequences. In Section 3 we study  $E$ -valued Bott-Samelson bimodules and their splittings, where  $E$  is equipped with a complex  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -orientation. In Section 4 we construct the  $(\infty, 2)$ -categories of additive Soergel categories  $\text{SBim}_E$ , as well as the stable analogue  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$ . Lastly, in Section 5 we prove Theorem 5.60 regarding braidings on  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$ .

**Acknowledgements:** I would like to thank Cameron Krulewski and Sophia Seña for helpful comments on an early draft of this paper. I would like to thank Aaron Mazel-Gee, David Reutter, Catharina Stroppel, and Paul Wedrich for previous collaboration, which both inspired and formed the technical foundation of this work. I would also like to thank Fabio Capovilla-Searle, Alex Hsu, Catherine Li, Ryan Quinn, Alba Sendón Blanco, and Daniel Tolosa for many pleasant mathematical and non-mathematical conversations. Lastly, I extend my gratitude to Mike Hopkins and Tomer Schlank for insightful discussion and encouragement. A significant part of the project was completed while I attended the 2024 Algebraic Structures in Topology conference held in San Juan, Puerto Rico. I gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the Simons Collaboration on Global Categorical Symmetries.

## 2. TOPOLOGY OF BOTT-SAMELSON SPACES

In this section, we review the basics of Bott-Samelson spaces. We will restrict ourselves to  $U(n)$ , although much of the following works for general compact Lie groups.

**2.1. The basics of Bott-Samelson spaces.** Fix  $n > 1$ . Let  $G$  be  $U(n)$ ,  $T$  be  $U(1)^n$  the maximal torus, and  $W = N_G(T)/T = S_n$  be the Weyl group. Given a simple transposition  $s_i = (i, i + 1)$ , we denote by  $G_i$  the corresponding standard parabolic  $U(1)^{i-1} \times U(2) \times U(1)^{n-i-1}$ . Note that  $G_i$ 's, along with other standard parabolic subgroups, are spaces with a  $T - T$  action by  $T$  multiplication on the both the left and the right.<sup>5</sup>

Given two  $T - T$  spaces  $X_1, X_2$ , we can define a new  $T - T$  space  $X_1 \times_T X_2$  as the homotopy quotient by the simultaneous  $T$  action, acting on the right of  $X_1$  and the left of  $X_2$ .<sup>6</sup> The  $T - T$  action is defined to be

$$(2.1) \quad (t_1, t_2) \cdot (x_1, x_2) := (t_1 x_1, x_2 t_2).$$

We will view the operation  $- \times_T -$  as composition, as it will correspond to composition of 1-morphisms. We now define Bott-Samelson spaces as spaces with  $T - T$  actions:

**Definition 2.2.** Fix  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$  in  $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}^{\times m}$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The *Bott-Samelson space*  $\text{BS}_{\mathbf{i}}$  is the  $T - T$  space

$$(2.3) \quad G_{i_1} \times_T G_{i_2} \cdots \times_T G_{i_m}.$$

When  $\mathbf{i} = \emptyset$  we take  $\text{BS}_{\emptyset}$  to be  $T$ .

*Remark 2.4.*  $T - T$  acts on the left of  $G_{i_1}$  and right of  $G_{i_m}$  respectively:

$$(2.5) \quad (t_1, t_2) \cdot (g_1, \dots, g_m) := (t_1 g_1, \dots, g_m t_2).$$

*Remark 2.6.* The more standard notion of Bott-Samelson variety [BS58] associated to  $\mathbf{i}$  is the quotient  $\text{BS}_{\mathbf{i}}/T$ .

<sup>5</sup>A parabolic subgroup is *standard* if it contains  $T$ . They are in one-to-one correspondence with parabolic subgroups of  $W$ .

<sup>6</sup>In this paper the left and right  $T$  actions are free (but the combined  $T \times T$  action might not be!), therefore the naive quotient and the homotopy quotient coincide.

We end the subsection with a useful proposition needed for the slide maps. Let  $s_i, s_j$  be neighboring simple transpositions, i.e.  $j = i \pm 1$ .

The elements  $s_i$  and  $s_j$  generate a  $S_3$  subgroup in  $W$ . We denote by  $G_{i,j} = G_{j,i}$  the associated standard parabolic subgroup of  $G$ .<sup>7</sup> Then we have a commutative diagram of  $T - T$  spaces:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{BS}_{\underline{ii}} = G_i \times_T G_i & \xrightarrow{(g_1, g_2) \mapsto (g_1, 1, g_2)} & \text{BS}_{\underline{iji}} = G_i \times_T G_j \times_T G_i \\ \downarrow \mu_i & & \downarrow \mu_{iji} \\ \text{BS}_s = G_i & \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em}} & G_{i,j} \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are multiplications. We have the following propositions from [Kit23a, Lemma 5.7] (with  $K = \{s_i\}$ ):

**Proposition 2.8.** *The diagram (2.7) is a pushout diagram.*

**2.2. Two fiber sequences.** In this subsection we construct two fiber sequences that are crucial in constructing the braiding. To start, fix a simple transposition  $s_i \in W = N_G(T)/T$ . Pick a lift to  $N_G(T)/T$ , for which we abuse notation and also denote by  $s_i$ .<sup>8</sup> Conjugation by  $s_i$  on  $T$  induces the Weyl group element's action on  $T$ :

$$\sigma_i: T \rightarrow T, \quad t \mapsto \sigma_i(t) := s_i t s_i^{-1}.$$

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $Ts_i$  be the  $T - T$  space whose underlying space is  $T$  and the  $T - T$  action is given by

$$(2.10) \quad (t_1, t_2) \cdot t := t_1 t (\sigma_i(t_2)) = t_1 t (s_i t_2 s_i^{-1}).$$

Note that we conjugated the right  $T$  action by  $s_i$ .

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $m_i: T \rightarrow G_i$  denote the standard  $T - T$ -equivariant inclusion. Similarly, let  $ms_i: Ts_i \rightarrow G_i$  denote the map  $t \mapsto ts$ . It is straightforward to check that  $ms_i$  is also  $T - T$ -equivariant.

Let  $H$  be a group and  $X$  be a space with  $H$  action. Furthermore, let  $V$  be an  $H$ -equivariant vector bundle on  $X$ ; then the Thom space  $X^V$  is a  $H$ -equivariant based space. In our case, let  $\Lambda_T := \text{Hom}(T, U(1))$  be the character lattice. Each character  $\beta \in \Lambda_T$  defines a one-dimensional complex representation of  $T$ , i.e. a  $T$ -equivariant line bundle over the trivial  $T$ -space  $* = T/T$ . Equivalently, we get a  $T - T$ -equivariant line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\beta$  on  $T$ . Applying the same argument to  $Ts_i$ ,  $\beta$  also defines a  $T - T$ -equivariant line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\beta$  on  $Ts_i$ .

**Proposition 2.12.** *Let  $\alpha_i$  be the root associated to the simple transposition  $s_i$ .<sup>9</sup> As a  $T - T$  based space, the cofiber of  $ms_i$  is equivalent to the Thom space  $T^{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}}$ . Furthermore, let  $\Delta_i$  denote the cofiber map  $G_i \rightarrow T^{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}}$ ; then the composite*

$$(2.13) \quad T \xrightarrow{m_i} G_i \xrightarrow{\Delta_i} T^{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}}$$

is the zero section map.

Similarly, the cofiber of  $m_i$  is equivalent to the Thom space  $(Ts_i)^{\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_i}}$ . Furthermore, let  $\Delta_{s_i}$  denote the cofiber map  $G_i \rightarrow Ts_i^{\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_i}}$ ; then the composite

$$(2.14) \quad Ts_i \xrightarrow{ms_i} G_i \xrightarrow{\Delta_{s_i}} (Ts_i)^{\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_i}}$$

<sup>7</sup>If  $j = i + 1$ , then  $G_{i,j} = U(1)^{i-1} \times U(3) \times U(1)^{n-i-2}$ .

<sup>8</sup>One such lift is the permutation matrix associated to  $s$ .

<sup>9</sup> $\alpha_i$  has 1 in the  $i$ -th row,  $-1$  in the  $(i + 1)$ -th row, and 0 everywhere else.

is the zero section map. To summarize, we get a diagram:

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T & & Ts_i \\ & \searrow^{m_i} & \swarrow_{ms_i} \\ & G_i & \\ & \swarrow_{\Delta_i} & \searrow^{\Delta_{s_i}} \\ T^{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}} & & (Ts_i)^{\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_i}} \end{array}$$

where the diagonal maps are cofiber sequences while the other two composites are zero section inclusions.

*Proof.* We claim that there are  $T - T$ -equivariant tubular neighborhoods  $\nu_0, \nu_\infty$  of  $T$  and  $Ts$  (via inclusions  $m_i$  and  $ms_i$ ) in  $G_i$  such that  $\nu_0 \cup \nu_\infty = G_i$  and  $\nu_0 \cap \nu_\infty = \partial\nu_0 = \partial\nu_\infty$ .

To construct them, we first quotient  $G_i$  by the right  $T$  action. Note that  $G_i/T \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1 = (\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times$  with  $T$  acting as

$$(2.16) \quad t \cdot [x_1 : x_2] := [x_1 : \alpha_i(t) x_2] = [\alpha_i(t)^{-1} x_1 : x_2] = [(-\alpha_i)(t) x_1 : x_2]$$

Furthermore, the maps  $m_i/T: T/T = \text{pt} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$  and  $ms_i/T: Ts_i/T = \text{pt} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$  correspond to the inclusions of  $T$ -fixed points  $[1 : 0]$  and  $[0 : 1]$  respectively. We can identify  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  with  $S^2$  such that the two  $T$ -fixed points corresponds to the north and south poles. Furthermore, the northern and southern semispheres form  $T$ -equivariant tubular neighborhoods of the north and south poles. Let  $\nu_0, \nu_\infty$  be the preimages of the northern and southern semispheres along the quotient map  $G_i \rightarrow G_i/T \simeq S^2$ . It is clear that they are tubular neighborhoods of  $T$  and  $Ts_i$  satisfying the conditions above.

It follows that the cofiber of  $ms_i$  is  $T/\nu_\infty \simeq \nu_0/\partial\nu_0 = T^V$  where  $V$  is the normal bundle of  $T$  in  $G_i$ . Now we need to identify  $V$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}$ . Once again we quotient out the free right  $T$  action; then  $V$  corresponds to the  $T$  representation that is the tangent space of the  $T$ -fixed point  $[1 : 0]$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . The tangent space at  $[1 : 0]$  can be identified with the coordinate  $x_2$ , on which  $T$  acts via the character  $\alpha_i$  by (2.16). Hence  $V$  is the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ . The same argument shows that the cofiber of  $m_i$  is  $(Ts_i)^{\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_i}}$ . Note that the  $-\alpha_i$  comes from the action of  $T$  on the coordinate  $x_1$  in Equation (2.16).  $\square$

*Remark 2.17.* Proposition 2.12 generalizes to general compact Lie groups. In particular, let  $G = SU(2) \simeq S^3$ ,  $T = U(1)$ ,  $W = S_n$ , and  $s_1 = (1, 2)$ . Then we can view  $T$  and  $Ts_i$  as two unknots that link each other in  $S^3$ . Furthermore, the decomposition of  $S^3$  into two tubular neighborhood is precisely the standard genus one Heegaard splitting of  $S^3$ .

Next we compose the two fiber sequences with  $G_i$ . Note that we have obvious  $T - T$ -equivariant isomorphisms:

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G_i \times_T T & \xrightarrow{\sim} & G_i \longleftarrow \xrightarrow{\sim} T \times_T G_i \\ \\ (g, t) & \longmapsto & gt \\ \\ tg & \longleftarrow & (t, g). \end{array}$$

As for  $Ts_i$ , we use the fact that  $s_i$  is an element of  $G_i$  to get isomorphisms:

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} G_i \times_T Ts_i &\xrightarrow{\sim} G_i \xleftarrow{\sim} Ts_i \times_T G_i \\ (g, t) &\longmapsto gts_i \end{aligned}$$

$$ts_i g \longleftarrow (t, g).$$

It is straightforward to check that these are well-defined and are  $T - T$ -equivariant.

Fix two  $T - T$  spaces  $X_1, X_2$ . Suppose that we have a  $T - T$ -equivariant vector bundle  $V$  on  $X_1$ . Then we get a  $T - T$ -equivariant vector bundle  $V \times_E X_2$  over  $X_1 \times_T X_2$  whose total space is  $E_V \times_T X_2$ , where  $E_V$  is the total space of  $V$ .

**Corollary 2.20.** *By applying  $- \times_T G_i$  to (2.15), we get a diagram*

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G_i & & G_i \\ & \searrow^{m_i \times_T G_i} & \swarrow_{ms_i \times_T G_i} \\ & G_i \times_T G_i & \\ & \swarrow_{\Delta_i \times_T G_i} & \searrow_{\Delta s_i \times_T G_i} \\ G_i^{\mathcal{L}_\alpha \times_T G_i} & & (G_i)^{\mathcal{L}'_{-\alpha} \times_T G_i} \end{array}$$

where the diagonal maps are cofiber sequences and the other two composites are zero section inclusions. Note that we twisted  $ms_i \times_T G_i$  and  $\Delta s_i \times_T G_i$  by the isomorphisms in (2.19).

Similarly, by applying  $G_i \times_T -$  to (2.15), we get a diagram

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G_i & & G_i \\ & \searrow^{G_i \times_T m_i} & \swarrow_{G_i \times_T ms_i} \\ & G_i \times_T G_i & \\ & \swarrow_{G_i \times_T \Delta_i} & \searrow_{G_i \times_T \Delta s_i} \\ G_i^{G_i \times_T \mathcal{L}_\alpha} & & (G_i)^{G_i \times_T \mathcal{L}'_{-\alpha}} \end{array}$$

where the diagonal maps are cofiber sequences and the other two composites are zero section inclusions. Once again we twisted  $G_i \times_T ms_i$  and  $G_i \times_T \Delta s_i$  by the isomorphisms in (2.19).

**Observation 2.23.** Unpacking the construction,  $m_i \times_T G_i$  and  $ms_i \times_T G_i$  are given by  $g \mapsto (1, g)$  and  $g \mapsto (s_i, s_i^{-1}g)$  respectively. Similarly,  $G_i \times_T m_i$  and  $G_i \times_T ms_i$  are given by  $g \mapsto (g, 1)$  and  $g \mapsto (gs_i^{-1}, s_i)$  respectively.

Let us end this section with an easy yet important observation:

**Observation 2.24.** Observation 2.23 implies that the multiplication map

$$\mu_i: G_i \times_T G_i \rightarrow G_i, \quad (g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_1 g_2$$

is a  $T - T$ -equivariant retraction of all four maps  $m_i \times_T G_i$ ,  $ms_i \times_T G_i$ ,  $G_i \times_T m_i$ , and  $G_i \times_T ms_i$ .

### 3. COMPLEX ORIENTED BOTT-SAMELSON BIMODULES

In this section we define Bott-Samelson bimodules valued in complex  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -oriented ring spectra and study their splittings. We start by reviewing the basics of formal group laws.

**3.1. Basics of formal group laws.** Let  $S$  be a commutative ring. Recall that a (one-dimensional) formal group law  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $S$  is a power series  $\mathcal{F} \in S[[x, y]]$  satisfying

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}(x, y) = \mathcal{F}(y, x)$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}(0, x) = \mathcal{F}(x, 0)$ ,
- (3)  $\mathcal{F}(x, \mathcal{F}(y, z)) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(x, y), z)$ .

We are interested in the graded setting, where  $S$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded commutative ring,  $x$  and  $y$  are in degree 2, and  $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$  is a homogenous degree 2 power series. Given a formal group law, there exists a unique inverse  $\iota x \in S[[x]]$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(x, \iota x) = 0$ . We will write  $x +_{\mathcal{F}} y$  for  $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$  and  $x -_{\mathcal{F}} y$  for  $\mathcal{F}(x, \iota y)$ .

**Example 3.1** (Additive formal group law). Let  $S$  be a commutative ring, which we view as a graded commutative ring concentrated in degree 0. The *additive* formal group law is

$$(3.2) \quad x +_{\mathcal{F}} y := x + y, \quad \iota x = -x.$$

**Example 3.3** (Multiplicative formal group law). Let  $S = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$  with  $\beta$  in degree  $-2$ . The *multiplicative* formal group law is defined as

$$(3.4) \quad x +_{\mathcal{F}} y := x + y - \beta xy, \quad \iota x = -\sum_{i \geq 0} \beta^i x^{i+1}.$$

**Example 3.5** (Lazard ring). There exists a graded commutative ring  $L$ , called the *Lazard ring*, together with a formal group law  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $L$  such that for any graded commutative ring  $R$ , formal group laws on  $R$  are in one-to-one correspondence with ring homomorphisms from  $L$  to  $R$ . Categorically,  $L$  is the initial object in the category of formal group laws. Lazard [Laz55] showed that  $L$  is a free polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{Z}[x_i]$  on infinitely many generators, where  $i > 0$  and  $x_i$  in degree  $-2i$ .

See [KK24, Examples 3.3-3.6] for more examples.

We need the following lemma from [KK24, Claim 3.8]:

**Lemma 3.6.** *There exists a unique invertible element  $g(x, y) \in S[[x, y]]$  such that*

$$(3.7) \quad x - y = (x -_{\mathcal{F}} y) g(x, y).$$

Fix the pair  $(S, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $A$  be an abelian group, and  $S[[A]]$  be the formal power series generated by variables  $x_{\mu}, \mu \in A$ , each in degree two. We denote by  $S[[A]]_{\mathcal{F}}$  the quotient

$$(3.8) \quad S[[A]] / (x_0, x_{\mu+\nu} - (x_{\mu} +_{\mathcal{F}} x_{\nu})).$$

When  $A \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$  is a finite rank lattice with basis  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ , then we have

$$(3.9) \quad S[[A]]_{\mathcal{F}} \simeq S[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$$

with  $x_i$  corresponding to  $x_{\mu_i}$ .

The construction  $S[[\cdot]]_{\mathcal{F}}$  defines a functor from the category of abelian groups to  $S$ -algebras. In particular, if there is a group  $W$  that acts on the abelian group  $A$ , then  $S[[A]]_{\mathcal{F}}$  inherits a  $W$  action by permuting the variables  $x_{\mu}$ . Let  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , which we view as the root lattice of  $U(n)$ . Using the standard basis, we can write  $S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}$  as  $S[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . The Weyl group  $W = S_n$  acts on  $S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}$  by permuting the variables  $x_i$ . For any simple transposition  $s_i$ , let

$$(3.10) \quad S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}^{s_i} \simeq S[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]^{s_i} = S[[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + x_{i+1}, x_i x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n]]$$

denote the subring of elements that are fixed by  $s_i$ . Here's an elementary but useful observation:

**Observation 3.11.**  $S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a free rank two  $S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}^{s_i}$ -module with basis 1 and  $x_i$ .

Next we move on to Demazure (divided difference) operators. Note that simple roots of  $U(n)$  together with the vector  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$  form a basis of  $\Lambda$ . It follows from Equation (3.9) that the element  $x_\alpha \in S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}} = S[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is regular for any simple root  $\alpha$ .<sup>10</sup> By [LZ20, Lemma 2.3], for any simple transposition  $s_i$ ,  $\alpha_i$  the corresponding simple root, and any  $r \in S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}$ , the power series  $r - s_i(r)$  is divisible by  $x_{\alpha_i} = x_i -_{\mathcal{F}} x_{i+1}$  and  $x_{-\alpha_i} = x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}} x_i$ .

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $s_i$  be a simple transposition and  $\alpha_i$  be the corresponding simple root. The Demazure operator is

$$(3.13) \quad \partial_i: S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}, \quad f \mapsto \frac{f - s_i(f)}{x_{\alpha_i}} = \frac{f - s_i(f)}{x_i -_{\mathcal{F}} x_{i+1}}.$$

We also have a variant

$$(3.14) \quad \partial'_i: S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}, \quad f \mapsto \frac{s_i(f) - f}{x_{-\alpha_i}} = \frac{s_i(f) - f}{x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}} x_i}.$$

The following proposition follows from direct computation:

**Proposition 3.15.** *The following holds:*

- (1)  $\partial_i r = 0 = \partial'_i r$  for any  $r \in S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}^{s_i}$ .
- (2)  $\partial_i(r_1 r_2) = (\partial_i r_1) r_2 + s_i(r_1) (\partial_i(r_2))$  and  $\partial'_i(r_1 r_2) = (\partial'_i r_1) s_i(r_2) + r_1 (\partial'_i(r_2))$ .
- (3)  $\partial_i$  and  $\partial'_i$  are  $S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}^{s_i}$ -linear.
- (4)  $\partial_i x_i = g(x_i, x_{i+1}) = -\partial_i x_{i+1}$ , where  $g$  is defined in Lemma 3.6. Analogously,  $\partial_i x_i = g(x_{i+1}, x_i) = -\partial_i x_{i+1}$ .

*Remark 3.16.* By Observation 3.11 and Proposition 3.15(3),  $\partial_i$  and  $\partial'_i$  are determined as  $S[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}^{s_i}$ -linear maps by sending 1 to 0 and  $x_i$  to the invertible elements  $g(x_i, x_{i+1})$  and  $g(x_{i+1}, x_i)$  respectively.

**3.2. Complex oriented ring spectra and cohomology of classifying spaces.** Throughout the rest of the section we fix  $E$  an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum with a complex  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -orientation, that is, an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring map  $f_E: \text{MU} \rightarrow E$ . We take this to be our notion of complex oriented ring spectra.<sup>11</sup> In this subsection we review the relation between of complex oriented ring spectra and formal group laws, as well as the complex oriented cohomology of  $BU(n)$ .

Let  $X$  be a space. We denote by  $E(X) := \text{Hom}(\Sigma_+^\infty X, E)$  the mapping spectrum whose homotopy groups are the  $E$ -cohomology  $E^*(X)$  of  $X$ . If  $X$  is a based space, we denote by  $\tilde{E}(X) := \text{Hom}(\Sigma^\infty X, E)$  the mapping spectrum whose homotopy groups are the reduced  $E$ -cohomology  $\tilde{E}^*(X)$  of  $X$ . Note that  $E(X) \simeq \tilde{E}(X_+)$ . We also denote the graded commutative ring  $\pi_{-*}(E) = E^*(\text{pt})$  simply as  $E^*$ . In particular, we use the cohomological grading. Lastly, let  $X$  be a spectrum and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; we write  $\Sigma^{-k} X$  as  $X(k)$ .<sup>12</sup>

The orientation  $f_E$  provides a nice theory of  $E$ -valued Chern classes for complex vector bundles. In particular,  $E^*(BU(1)) \simeq E^*[[x]]$ , where the generator  $x \in E^2(BU(1))$  is the first Chern class  $c_1(\mathcal{L})$  of the tautological line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $BU(1)$ . Furthermore, by the well-known result of Quillen [Qui69], the tensor products of line bundles induce a formal group law  $\mathcal{F}_E$  on  $E^*$ : consider the map  $BU(1) \times BU(1) \rightarrow BU(1)$  given by tensoring of line bundles. We can define  $\mathcal{F}_E$  as the image of  $x$  under pullback on  $E$ -cohomology:

$$(3.17) \quad E^*[[x]] \simeq E^*(BU(1)) \rightarrow E^*(BU(1) \times BU(1)) \simeq E^*[[x, y]], \quad x \mapsto \mathcal{F}_E(x, y).$$

<sup>10</sup>For more general root datum and  $\alpha$  a simple long root, the element  $x_\alpha$  may not be regular. See the discussion in [CZZ15, §4].

<sup>11</sup>See [HL18] for discussion of notions of complex orientation.

<sup>12</sup>We use  $(n)$  rather than  $\Sigma$  because this suspension corresponds to the grading shift in Soergel bimodule. See Remark 4.42.

We will often denote  $\mathcal{F}_E$  as  $\mathcal{F}$  when it is clear from context.

**Example 3.18** (Ordinary commutative ring). Let  $S$  be a commutative ring. The associated Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum  $HS$  is an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum with a canonical complex  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -orientation  $\text{MU} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1}\text{MU} \simeq H\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow HS$ . The associated formal group law is the additive formal group law described in Example 3.1.

**Example 3.19** (K-theory). Let  $ku$  be the connective complex  $K$ -theory spectrum. It has a canonical complex  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -orientation  $\text{MU} \rightarrow ku$  and the associated formal group law is the multiplicative formal group law described in Example 3.3.

**Example 3.20** (MU). The universal complex oriented ring spectrum is  $\text{MU}$  with the orientation  $\text{id}: \text{MU} \rightarrow \text{MU}$ . By a result of Milnor [Mil60],  $\text{MU}^*$  is isomorphic to the Lazard ring  $L$  described in Example 3.5. Furthermore, in [Qui69] Quillen showed that the associated formal group law is indeed the universal formal group law on  $L$ .

Now we review the  $E$ -cohomology of classifying spaces of tori and  $\text{U}(n)$ . Let  $T$  be a torus and  $\Lambda_T = \text{Hom}(T, \text{U}(1))$  be its character lattice. For  $\alpha \in \Lambda_T$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$  be the associated complex line bundle on  $BT$ .

**Proposition 3.21.** *There exists a functorial equivalence*

$$(3.22) \quad E^*(BT) \simeq E^*[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}_E}$$

where  $c_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha)$  is taken to  $x_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

Now for the cohomology of  $BU(n)$  and more generally parabolic subgroups of  $\text{U}(n)$ :

**Proposition 3.23.** *Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $W' \subset W = S_n$  be a parabolic subgroup and  $G_{W'} \subset G = \text{U}(n)$  be the corresponding standard parabolic. The inclusion  $T = \text{U}(1)^n \rightarrow G_{W'}$  induces a map  $E^*(BG_{W'}) \rightarrow E^*(BT)$ . This map is injective and induces an isomorphism:*

$$(3.24) \quad E^*(BG_{W'}) \simeq E^*(BT)^{W'} \simeq E^*[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}_E}^{W'}.$$

**Example 3.25.** It follows that  $E^*(BU(n)) \simeq E^*[[c_1, \dots, c_n]] \subset E^*[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \simeq E^*(BT)$ , where  $c_i \in E^{2i}(BU(n))$  is the  $i$ -th symmetric polynomial in the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Furthermore,  $c_i$  is indeed the  $i$ -th Chern class of the tautological vector bundle over  $BU(n)$ .

**Example 3.26.** Fix  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Proposition 3.23 implies that

$$E^*(BG_i) \simeq E^*[[\Gamma]]_{\mathcal{F}}^{s_i} = E^*[[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + x_{i+1}, x_i x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n]].$$

In particular, by Observation 3.11,  $E^*(BT)$  is a rank two free module over  $E^*(BG_i)$  with basis 1 and  $x_i$ .

We also get the Künneth isomorphism:

**Proposition 3.27.** *The Künneth map*

$$(3.28) \quad E^*(BU(n_1)) \otimes_{E^*} \dots \otimes_{E^*} E^*(BU(n_k)) \rightarrow E^*(BU(n_1) \times \dots \times BU(n_k))$$

is an isomorphism.

Lastly we review of orientations and Thom isomorphisms for complex vector bundles. We refer the reader to [ABG<sup>+</sup>14a, ABG<sup>+</sup>14b] for more details. As  $\text{MU}$  has the universal orientation for complex vector bundles, the map  $f_E: \text{MU} \rightarrow E$  induces an  $E$ -orientation for complex vector bundles. Orientations give rise to Thom isomorphisms:

**Proposition 3.29.** *Let  $X$  be a space and  $V$  a rank  $n$  complex vector bundle on  $X$ . We have an equivalence of  $E(X)$ -module spectra*

$$(3.30) \quad \tilde{E}(X^V) \simeq E(X)(2n).$$

*On cohomology, the unit  $1 \in E^0(X)$  corresponds to the Thom class  $th(V) \in E^{2n}(X^V)$ . Furthermore, the zero section inclusion induces a map of  $E(X)$ -module spectra*

$$(3.31) \quad E(X)(2n) \simeq \tilde{E}(X^V) \rightarrow E(X).$$

*On cohomology this takes 1 to the top Chern class  $c_n(V) \in E^{2n}(X)$ .*

Moving forward, we will always use the Thom isomorphism (3.30) to identify  $\tilde{E}(X^V)$  with  $E(X)(2n)$ .

**3.3.  $E$ -valued Bott-Samelson bimodules.** In this subsection we define  $E$ -valued Bott-Samelson bimodules. Given  $H$  a group and  $X$  a  $H$ -space,  $E(X/H)$  is naturally an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebra over  $E(BH)$  via pulling back along the map  $X/H \rightarrow \text{pt}/H = BH$ . Note that here  $X/H$  is the homotopy (stacky) quotient. In our case, if  $X$  is a  $H - H$ -space, then  $E(H \backslash X/H)$  is an  $E(BH) - E(BH)$ -bimodule spectrum.<sup>13</sup>

Throughout the rest of the section we fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G = U(n)$ , and  $T = U(1)^n$ .

**Definition 3.32.** Fix  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ . The  $E$ -valued Bott-Samelson bimodule associated to  $\mathbf{i}$  is

$$(3.33) \quad EB_{\mathbf{i}} := E(T \backslash BS_{\mathbf{i}}/T),$$

which we view as an  $E(BT) - E(BT)$ -bimodule. We denote  $EB_{\mathbf{i}}$  by  $EB_i$ .

We will also denote the cohomology groups  $E^*(T \backslash BS_{\mathbf{i}}/T)$  as  $EB_{\mathbf{i}}^*$ , and  $E^*(T \backslash BS_i/T)$  as  $EB_i^*$ .

**Observation 3.34.** Let  $- \otimes_{E(BT)} -$  denote tensoring over  $E(BT)$ ; then we have an equivalence

$$(3.35) \quad EB_{\mathbf{i}} \simeq EB_{i_1} \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_{i_2} \cdots \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_{i_m}.$$

Let us observe the following: suppose we have a group  $G$  and a subgroup  $H \subset G$ ; then the double quotient  $H \backslash G/H$  is equivalent to the pullback  $BH \times_{BG} BH$ . In our case, we see that  $T \backslash G_i/T \simeq BT \times_{BG_i} BT$ . More generally, we have

$$(3.36) \quad T \backslash BS_{\mathbf{i}}/T \simeq BT \times_{BG_{i_1}} BT \cdots \times_{BG_{i_m}} BT.$$

Now we can compute  $EB_{\mathbf{i}}^*$ :

**Proposition 3.37.** *The canonical map on cohomology induces by (3.36)*

$$(3.38) \quad E^*(BT) \otimes_{E^*(BG_{i_1})} E^*(BT) \cdots \otimes_{E^*(BG_{i_m})} E^*(BT) \rightarrow E^*(T \backslash BS_{\mathbf{i}}/T) = EB_{\mathbf{i}}^*$$

*is an isomorphism. The left and right  $E^*(BT)$  action is on the leftmost and rightmost factors respectively.*

*Proof.* First consider the case that  $\mathbf{i} = i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , in which case  $E^*(BT)$  is a free  $E^*(BG_i) \simeq E^*(BT)^{s_i}$ -module by Example 3.26. It follows that the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence degenerates and the canonical map  $E^*(BT) \otimes_{E^*(BG_i)} E^*(BT) \rightarrow EB_i^*$  is an isomorphism. The general case follows inductively from the same argument.  $\square$

By Proposition 3.23, we have the following:

<sup>13</sup>Please note that by  $E(BH) - E(BH)$ -bimodule, we are referring to an  $E(BH) - E(BH)$ -bimodule  $E$ -module spectrum, meaning the left and right  $E$  action is identified. We will use this simplified terminology in this section.

**Corollary 3.39.** *We have an isomorphism of graded rings:*

$$(3.40) \quad EB_{\mathbf{1}}^* \simeq E^*[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes_{E^*[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}}^{s_1} E^*[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}} \cdots \otimes_{E^*[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}}^{s_m} E^*[[\Lambda]]_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

**Example 3.41.** Fix  $n = 2$  and  $s_1 = (1, 2)$ . We have  $E^*(BT) \simeq E^*[[x_1, x_2]]$  and

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{aligned} EB_{\mathbf{1}}^* &= E^*(BT) \otimes_{E^*(BT)^{s_1}} E^*(BT) \\ &= E^*[[x_1 \otimes 1, x_2 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes x_1, 1 \otimes x_2]] / ((x_1 + x_2) \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes (x_1 + x_2), (x_1 x_2) \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes (x_1 x_2)). \end{aligned}$$

We end this subsection by translating Proposition 2.12 and Corollary 2.20 to this setting. Note that the double quotient  $BTs_i := T \backslash T s_i / T$  is equivalent to  $BT$  as a space. However, the associated map to  $T \backslash \text{pt} / T = BT \times BT$  is  $(\text{id}, \sigma_i)$ .<sup>14</sup> It follows that the  $E^*(BT) - E^*(BT)$  action on  $E^*(BTs_i)$  is

$$(3.43) \quad (f_0, f_1) \cdot r = f_0 r s_i(f_1),$$

where  $s_i(-)$  exchanges variables  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ .

Furthermore, we need to consider equivariant cohomology of Thom spaces: suppose  $H$  is a group and  $X$  is a  $H$ -space. In addition, suppose  $V$  is a  $H$ -equivariant vector bundle on  $X$ , so it descends to a vector bundle  $V/H$  over  $X/H$ . Furthermore,  $\tilde{E}_H(X^V) \simeq \tilde{E}((X/H)^{V/H})$  is naturally an  $E(BH)$ -module. In our case, we see that  $T^{\mathcal{L}^\alpha}$  and  $(T s_i)^{\mathcal{L}^{-\alpha}}$  correspond to  $BT^\alpha$  and  $(BTs_i)^{-\alpha}$ . Using the complex orientation (Proposition 3.29), we can identify  $\tilde{E}(BT^\alpha)$  and  $\tilde{E}((BTs_i)^{-\alpha})$  as  $E(BT)(2)$  and  $E(BTs_i)(2)$  respectively.

For the rest of the subsection we fix  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . We get an  $E$ -valued version of Proposition 2.12:

**Proposition 3.44.** *We have maps of  $E(BT) - E(BT)$ -bimodule spectra*

$$(3.45) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E(BT)(2) & & E(BTs_i)(2) \\ & \searrow \Delta_i & \swarrow \Delta_{s_i} \\ & EB_i & \\ & \swarrow m_i & \searrow ms_i \\ E(BT) & & E(BTs_i). \end{array}$$

Furthermore, the two diagonals are fiber sequences, while the two other composites  $E(BT)(2) \rightarrow E(BT)$  and  $E(BTs_i)(2) \rightarrow E(BTs_i)$  are multiplications by  $c_1(\alpha_i) = x_i -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+1}$  and  $c_1(-\alpha_i) = x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_i$  respectively.

Next we determined what these maps in (3.45) are on cohomology:

**Proposition 3.46.** *The induced maps on cohomology from (3.45) are given by*

$$(3.47) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} r & & r \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & r(x_i \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) & r(x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \\ & & \\ & r_1 \otimes r_2 & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ r_1 r_2 & & r_1 s_1(r_2). \end{array}$$

<sup>14</sup>Recall that  $\sigma_i: BT \rightarrow BT$  is the automorphism given by conjugation by  $s_i$ .

*Proof.* The forms of the bottom two maps are determined by being ring homomorphisms and  $E^*(BT) - E^*(BT)$  bimodule maps. Furthermore, the combined map  $EB_i^* \rightarrow E^*(BT) \oplus E^*(BTs_i)$  is injective by [LZ20, Theorem 1.1].<sup>15</sup> Therefore to determine the top two maps it suffices to check the composites to  $E^*(BT)$  and  $E^*(BTs_i)$ . Let us first consider  $\Delta_i: E^*(BT)(2) \rightarrow EB_i^*$ . By Proposition 3.44, the composite  $ms_i \circ \Delta_i$  is the 0, while  $\Delta_i \circ m_i$  is given by multiplication by  $x_i -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+1}$ . It is straightforward to see that  $r \mapsto r(x_i \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1})$  satisfies both conditions. The same argument holds for  $\Delta_{s_i}: E^*(BTs_i)(2) \rightarrow EB_i^*$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.48.* Note that

$$x_i \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1} = 1 \otimes x_i -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+1} \otimes 1$$

and

$$x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1} = 1 \otimes x_i -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_i \otimes 1.$$

This can be verified by checking their images in  $E^*(BT)$  and  $E^*(BTs_i)$ .

*Remark 3.49.* Since  $\Delta_i: E^*(BT)(2) \rightarrow EB_i^*$  is an  $E^*(BT) - E^*(BT)$ -equivariant map, we see that for any  $r \in E^*(BT) = E^*[[x_1, x_2]]$ , we have

$$(3.50) \quad \begin{aligned} r \otimes 1 \times (x_i \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) &= r(x_i \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \\ &= (x_i \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) r \\ &= (x_i \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \times 1 \otimes r. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.51) \quad \begin{aligned} r \otimes 1 \times (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) &= r(x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \\ &= (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) s_i(r) \\ &= (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \times 1 \otimes s_i(r). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\times$  denotes the multiplication of  $EB_i^*$ .

The isomorphisms in (2.19) induces isomorphisms:

$$(3.52) \quad \begin{aligned} EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} E(BTs_i) &\xrightarrow{\sim} EB_i \xleftarrow{\sim} E(BTs_i) \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i \\ r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes t = r_1 \otimes r_2 t \otimes 1 &\longmapsto r_1 \otimes s_i(r_2 t) \\ & \\ & \\ s_i(t) r_1 \otimes r_2 &\longleftarrow t \otimes r_1 \otimes r_2 = 1 \otimes s_i(t) r_1 \otimes r_2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have the  $E$ -valued version of Corollary 2.20:

**Corollary 3.53.** *We have a diagram*

$$(3.54) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} EB_i(2) & & EB_i(2) \\ & \searrow \Delta_i \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i & \swarrow \Delta_{s_i} \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i \\ & & EB_i \\ & \swarrow m_i \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i & \searrow ms_i \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i \\ EB_i & & EB_i \end{array}$$

<sup>15</sup>In fact the image can be characterized by the Goresky-Kottwitz-MacPherson description, see [LZ20].

where the diagonal maps are fiber sequences. Note that we twisted  $ms_i \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i$  and  $\Delta s_i \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i$  by the isomorphisms in (3.52). Furthermore, the induced maps on cohomology are given by

$$(3.55) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} r_1 \otimes r_2 & & & & r_1 \otimes r_2 \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ & r_1(x_i \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \otimes r_2 & & r_1(x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \otimes r_2 & \\ & & r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes r_3 & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ r_1 r_2 \otimes r_3 & & & & r_1 s_i(r_2) \otimes r_3. \end{array}$$

Similarly we have a diagram

$$(3.56) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} EB_i(2) & & & & EB_i(2) \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} \Delta_i & & EB_{\underline{ii}} & & EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} \Delta s_i \\ EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} m_i & & & & EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} ms_i \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ EB_i & & & & EB_i \end{array}$$

where the diagonal maps are fiber sequences. Once again we twisted  $EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} ms_i$  and  $EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} \Delta s_i$  by the isomorphisms in (3.52). Furthermore, the induced maps on cohomology are given by

$$(3.57) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} r_1 \otimes r_2 & & & & r_1 \otimes r_2 \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ & r_1 \otimes (x_i \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) r_2 & & r_1 \otimes (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) r_2 & \\ & & r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes r_3 & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ r_1 \otimes r_2 r_3 & & & & r_1 \otimes s_i(r_2) r_3. \end{array}$$

Here we used Proposition 3.46 and (3.52) to compute the maps on cohomology.

**3.4. Splittings of  $E$ -valued Bott-Samelson bimodules.** Fix  $i, j$  neighboring simple transpositions. In this subsection we construct splittings of  $EB_{\underline{ii}}$  and  $EB_{\underline{jj}}$ . We start with  $EB_{\underline{ii}}$ . Recall that we have a  $T - T$ -equivariant multiplication map  $\mu_i: G_i \times_T G_i \rightarrow G_i$ . This induces a map of  $E(BT) - E(BT)$ -bimodules:

$$(3.58) \quad \mu_i: EB_i \rightarrow EB_{\underline{ii}}, \quad r_1 \otimes r_2 \mapsto r_1 \otimes 1 \otimes r_2.$$

**Proposition 3.59.** *We have a splitting*

(3.60)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
EB_i & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mu_i} \\ \xleftarrow{m_i \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i} \end{array} & EB_{ii} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\nabla_i^L} \\ \xleftarrow{\Delta s_i \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i} \end{array} & EB_i(2) \\
r_1 \otimes r_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & r_1 \otimes 1 \otimes r_2 & & \\
r_1 r_2 \otimes r_3 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes r_3 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & r_1 \partial'_i r_2 \otimes r_3 \\
& & r_1 (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \otimes r_2 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & r_1 \otimes r_2
\end{array}$$

Recall that  $\partial'_i r := \frac{s_i(r) - r}{x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_i}$  is defined in Definition 3.12.

*Proof.* The splitting follows from Observation 2.24. We only need to verify the maps on cohomology. As three of the four maps are described in (3.55) and (3.58), it is enough to check that the projection  $r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes r_3 \mapsto r_1 \partial'_i r_2 \otimes r_3$  is the correct map. That is, we need to check that

$$(3.61) \quad r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes r_3 = r_1 r_2 \otimes 1 \otimes r_3 + r_1 \partial'_i r_2 (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \otimes r_3.$$

It is clear that we can take  $r_1 = r_3 = 1$ , in which case it reduces to showing that

$$(3.62) \quad 1 \otimes r_2 - r_2 \otimes 1 = \partial'_i r_2 (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1})$$

in  $EB_i^* = E^*(BT) \otimes_{E^*(BT)^{s_i}} E^*(BT)$ . Furthermore, as both sides are  $E^*(BT)^{s_i}$ -linear (Proposition 3.15), and  $E^*(BT)$  is generated by 1 and  $x_i$  as a left  $E^*(BT)^{s_i}$ -module (Example 3.26), it suffices to consider the cases  $r_2 = 1$  and  $r_2 = x_i$ . For  $r_2 = 1$ , (3.62) is clear as  $\partial'_i 1 = 0$ . For  $r_2 = x_i$ , note that  $1 \otimes x_i - x_i \otimes 1 = x_{i+1} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_{i+1}$ . By definition of  $g(-, -)$  in Lemma 3.6, we have

$$(3.63) \quad x_{i+1} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_{i+1} = g(x_{i+1} \otimes 1, 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \times (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}).$$

By (3.51), we can exchange a right multiplication by  $1 \otimes x_{i+1}$  on  $(x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1})$  with a left multiplication by  $x_i \otimes 1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.64) \quad g(x_{i+1} \otimes 1, 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \times (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) &= g(x_{i+1} \otimes 1, x_i \otimes 1) \times (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \\
&= g(x_{i+1}, x_i) (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) \\
&= \partial'_i x_i (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is given by Proposition 3.15(4).  $\square$

Analogously, we can use  $\mu_i$  to split  $EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} m_i$ :

**Proposition 3.65.** *We have a splitting*

(3.66)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
EB_i & \xleftarrow{\mu_i} & EB_{\underline{ii}} \xleftarrow{\nabla_i^R} EB_i(2) \\
& \xleftarrow{EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} m_i} & \xleftarrow{EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} \Delta s_i} \\
r_1 \otimes r_2 & \longrightarrow & r_1 \otimes 1 \otimes r_2 \\
r_1 \otimes r_2 r_3 & \longleftarrow & r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes r_3 \longrightarrow r_1 \otimes (-\partial'_i r_2) r_3 \\
& & r_1 \otimes (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 - \mathcal{F}_E 1 \otimes x_{i+1}) r_2 \longleftarrow r_1 \otimes r_2
\end{array}$$

The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.59.

*Remark 3.67.* By Observation 2.24,  $\mu_i$  also splits  $EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} m s_i$  and  $EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} m s_i$ . The induced maps  $EB_{\underline{ii}} \rightarrow EB_i(2)$  use the Demazure operator  $\partial_i$  instead of  $\partial'_i$ .

We also need the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.68.** *The composite*

$$(3.69) \quad EB_i(2) \xrightarrow{EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} \Delta s_i} EB_{\underline{ii}} \xrightarrow{\nabla_i^L} EB_i(2)$$

is an equivalence.

*Proof.* One can do this by explicit computation. However, we will give an abstract argument: since  $\nabla_i^L, \nabla_i^R: EB_{\underline{ii}} \rightarrow EB_i(2)$  are both cofibers of  $EB_i \xrightarrow{\mu} EB_{\underline{ii}}$ , there is an automorphism  $\phi: EB_i(2) \xrightarrow{\cong} EB_i(2)$  such that  $\nabla_i^L = \nabla_i^R \circ \phi$ . Finally, we have

$$(3.70) \quad (EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} \Delta s_i) \circ \nabla_i^L = (EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} \Delta s_i) \circ \nabla_i^R \circ \phi = \phi,$$

which is invertible.  $\square$

Now we move on to the the splitting of  $EB_{\underline{iji}}$ , where  $i$  and  $j$  are neighboring simple transpositions. Recall that  $G_{i,j}$  is the standard parabolic subgroup corresponding to the  $S_3 \subset W$  generated by  $i$  and  $j$ .

**Notation 3.71.** Let  $EB_{i,j}$  denote the  $E(BT) - E(BT)$ -bimodule  $E(T \setminus G_{i,j} / T)$ . We denote its cohomology by  $EB_{i,j}^*$ .

By the same argument as Proposition 3.37, we get the following:

**Lemma 3.72.**  $EB_{i,j}^* \simeq E^*(BT) \otimes_{E^*(BT)S_3} E^*(BT)$ .

The multiplication map  $\mu_{iji}: BS_{\underline{iji}} \rightarrow G_{i,j}$  induces a map

$$\mu_{iji}: EB_{i,j} \rightarrow EB_{\underline{iji}}, \quad r_1 \otimes r_2 \mapsto r_1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes r_2.$$

By Proposition 2.8, we get the following:

**Proposition 3.73.** *We have a pullback (equivalently pushout) square of  $E(BT) - E(BT)$ -bimodule spectra:*

$$(3.74) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} EB_{i,j} & \longrightarrow & EB_i \\ \downarrow \mu_{iji} & & \downarrow \mu_i \\ EB_{\underline{iji}} & \longrightarrow & EB_{\underline{ii}} \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.59, the cofiber of  $\mu_i: EB_i \rightarrow EB_{\underline{ii}}$  is  $EB_i(2)$ . Since parallel maps in a pushout diagram have the same cofibers, we get the following:

**Corollary 3.75.** *We have a fiber sequence*

$$(3.76) \quad EB_{i,j} \xrightarrow{\mu_{iji}} EB_{\underline{iji}} \rightarrow EB_i(2),$$

where the second map  $EB_{\underline{iji}} \rightarrow EB_i(2)$  is the composite

$$(3.77) \quad EB_{\underline{iji}} \xrightarrow{EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} m_j \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i} EB_{\underline{ii}} \xrightarrow{\nabla_i^L} EB_i(2).$$

Similarly, there is another fiber sequence of the form (3.76) where the second map is the composite

$$(3.78) \quad EB_{\underline{iji}} \xrightarrow{EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} m_j \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i} EB_{\underline{ii}} \xrightarrow{\nabla_i^R} EB_i(2).$$

Following standard Soergel bimodule theory, we expect a splitting  $EB_{\underline{iji}} = EB_{i,j} \oplus EB_i(2)$ :

**Proposition 3.79.** *There exists a map  $EB_i(2) \rightarrow EB_{\underline{iji}}$  that splits (3.76).*

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $j = i + 1$ . The other case  $j = i - 1$  is analogous. First we construct a map  $f: EB_i(2) \rightarrow EB_{\underline{iji}}$  such that the composite  $EB_i(2) \xrightarrow{f} EB_{\underline{iji}} \rightarrow EB_i(2)$  is an isomorphism, which we will check on cohomology. On cohomology, the map  $EB_{\underline{iji}} \rightarrow EB_i(2)$  takes  $r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes r_3 \otimes r_4 \mapsto r_1 \partial'_i(r_2 r_3) \otimes r_4$ . Let  $f$  be the composite

$$(3.80) \quad EB_i(2) \xrightarrow{\mu_i} EB_{\underline{ii}}(2) \xrightarrow{EB_i \otimes_{E(BT)} m_j \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i} EB_{\underline{iji}}$$

$$r_1 \otimes r_2 \longmapsto r_1 \otimes 1 \otimes r_2 \longmapsto r_1 \otimes (x_{i+1} \otimes 1 -_{\mathcal{F}_E} 1 \otimes x_{i+2}) \otimes r_2.$$

The total composite  $EB_i(2) \xrightarrow{f} EB_{\underline{iji}} \rightarrow EB_i(2)$  takes

$$(3.81) \quad r_1 \otimes r_2 \mapsto r_1 \partial'_i(x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}) \otimes r_2.$$

Therefore it suffices to check that  $\partial'_i(x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2})$  is an invertible element in  $E^*(BT)$ , which follows from the following calculation:

$$(3.82) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial'_i(x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}) &= \frac{(x_i -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}) - (x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2})}{x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_i} \\ &= \frac{(x_i -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}) -_{\mathcal{F}_E} (x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2})}{x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_i} g(x_i -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}, x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}) \\ &= \frac{x_i -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+1}}{x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_i} g(x_i -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}, x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}) \\ &= \frac{x_i - x_{i+1}}{x_{i+1} - x_i} g^{-1}(x_i, x_{i+1}) g(x_{i+1}, x_i) g(x_i -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}, x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}) \\ &= -g^{-1}(x_i, x_{i+1}) g(x_{i+1}, x_i) g(x_i -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}, x_{i+1} -_{\mathcal{F}_E} x_{i+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $g$  is invertible by Lemma 3.6.

Since the composite  $EB_i(2) \xrightarrow{f} EB_{\underline{iji}} \rightarrow EB_i(2)$  is an isomorphism, it has an inverse  $\phi$ . It follows that  $f \circ \phi$  is a section of  $EB_{\underline{iji}} \rightarrow EB_i(2)$ .  $\square$

By an analogous argument, we have the following:

**Corollary 3.83.** *There exists a map  $EB_i(2) \rightarrow EB_{\underline{iji}}$  that splits (3.78).*

We conclude this section with the following simple observation:

**Observation 3.84.** The commuting square of  $T - T$  spaces

$$(3.85) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G_i \times_T G_j & \xrightarrow{G_i \times_T G_j \times_T m_i} & G_i \times_T G_j \times G_i \\ \downarrow m_j \times G_i \times_T G_j & & \downarrow \mu_{ij} \\ G_j \times_T G_i \times_T G_j & \xrightarrow{\mu_{jij}} & G_{i,j} \end{array}$$

induces a commuting square of  $E(BT) - E(BT)$ -bimodule spectra:

$$(3.86) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} EB_{i,j} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{ij}} & EB_{\underline{jij}} \\ \downarrow \mu_{jij} & & \downarrow EB_{\underline{ij}} \otimes_{E(BT)} m_i \\ EB_{\underline{jij}} & \xrightarrow{m_j \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_{\underline{ij}}} & EB_{\underline{ij}} \end{array}$$

commutes.

By the same argument, we have a commuting square  $E(BT) - E(BT)$ -bimodule spectra:

$$(3.87) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} EB_{i,j} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{ij}} & EB_{\underline{iji}} \\ \downarrow \mu_{jij} & & \downarrow m_i \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_{\underline{ji}} \\ EB_{\underline{iji}} & \xrightarrow{EB_{\underline{ji}} \otimes_{E(BT)} m_j} & EB_{\underline{ji}} \end{array}$$

#### 4. $(\infty, 2)$ -CATEGORY OF ADDITIVE AND STABLE $E$ -VALUED SOERTEL BIMODULES

In this section we construct the monoidal  $(\infty, 2)$ -categories  $\text{SBim}_E$  and  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  of  $E$ -valued additive and stable Soergel  $(\infty, 2)$ -categories, where  $E$  a *connective*  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum.<sup>16</sup> Much of the argument here relies on the machinery developed in [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24].

**4.1. The Morita category.** Let us recall some results from [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, §3,4]. Let  $\text{st}$  be the  $\infty$ -category of small idempotent-complete stable  $\infty$ -categories and  $\text{Sp}$  be the stable  $\infty$ -category of spectra.

Throughout the rest of the subsection we fix  $E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})$ , that is, an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum. If  $\mathcal{C}$  be a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category, we denote by  $\text{Cat}[\mathcal{C}]$  the  $\infty$ -category of  $\mathcal{C}$ -enriched categories, and  $\widehat{\text{Cat}}[\mathcal{C}]$  the  $\infty$ -category of large  $\mathcal{C}$ -enriched categories.<sup>17</sup> We have  $\text{Perf}_E := (\text{Mod}_E(\text{Sp}))^c \in \text{CAlg}(\text{st})$  the stable  $\infty$ -category of compact  $E$ -module spectra.<sup>18</sup> Let  $\text{st}_E := \text{Mod}_{\text{Perf}_E}(\text{st})$ . Now we define the relevant Morita category, which is a large  $\infty$ -category enriched in  $\text{st}_E$ . By [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Definition 4.4.4, Corollary 4.4.5], with  $\mathcal{Z} = \text{pt}$  and  $\mathbb{K} = E$ , we have a large symmetric monoidal  $\text{st}_E$ -enriched  $\infty$ -category

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Mor}_E^c := \text{Morita}^c(\text{Mod}_E) \in \text{CAlg}(\widehat{\text{Cat}}[\text{st}_E])$$

such that

- (1) There is a symmetric monoidal surjective-on-objects functor  $\text{Alg}(\text{Mod}_E) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_E^c$ . That is, we can label the objects of  $\text{Mor}_E^c$  by  $E$ -algebra spectra.
- (2) Given  $A, B \in \text{Alg}(\text{Mod}_E)$ , the  $\text{st}_E$ -enriched hom

$$(4.2) \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Mor}_E^c}(A, B) \simeq {}_A \text{BMod}_B^c(\text{Mod}_E)$$

is the  $\text{st}_E$ -enriched  $\infty$ -category of  $A - B$ -bimodule  $E$ -module spectra that are compact as right  $B$ -modules.

<sup>16</sup>See Remark 5.61 for the generalization to when  $E$  is non-connective.

<sup>17</sup>We refer the reader to [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Appendix A.10] for a review of enriched  $\infty$ -categories.

<sup>18</sup>By [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Lemma 3.5.7(2)] compact  $E$ -module spectra are retracts of iterated finite colimits of the regular  $E$ -module.

(3) Given  $A, B, C \in \text{Alg}(\text{Mod}_E)$ , the composition

$$(4.3) \quad {}_A\text{BMod}_B^c(\text{Mod}_E) \otimes_B \text{BMod}_C^c(\text{Mod}_E) \rightarrow {}_A\text{BMod}_C^c(\text{Mod}_E)$$

is given by  $-\otimes_B-$ , i.e. tensoring over the middle algebra  $B$ .

(4) The symmetric monoidal structure  $\boxtimes$  on  $\text{Mor}_E^c$  is given by  $-\otimes_E-$ , i.e. tensoring over  $E$ . It follows that  $E$  is the unit of  $\boxtimes$ .

Just as in [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24], it will be convenient to work with a small full subcategory of  $\text{Mor}_E^c$ :

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $\text{PolyMor}_E^c \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Cat}[\text{st}_E])$  be the full symmetric monoidal subcategory of  $\text{Mor}_E^c$  containing the algebra  $E(\text{BU}(1))$ .

It follows that  $\text{PolyMor}_E^c$  is the full subcategory of  $\text{Mor}_E^c$  containing the algebras  $E(\text{BU}(1))^{\otimes_E n} \simeq E(\text{BU}(1)^n)$ .

**Observation 4.5.**  $\text{PolyMor}_E^c$  can be described as follows: it is a  $\text{st}_E$ -enriched  $\infty$ -category with objects indexed by natural numbers. Given two natural numbers, the  $\text{st}_E$ -enriched hom

$$(4.6) \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(n, m) = {}_{E(\text{BU}(1)^n)}\text{BMod}_{E(\text{BU}(1)^m)}^c(\text{Mod}_E)$$

is the  $\text{st}_E$ -enriched  $\infty$ -category of  $E(\text{BU}(1)^n) - E(\text{BU}(1)^m)$ -bimodule  $E$ -module spectra that are compact as right  $E(\text{BU}(1)^m)$ -modules. Composition is given by tensoring over the middle algebra. Furthermore, the symmetric monoidal structure  $\boxtimes$  is given by addition on objects  $n \boxtimes m := n + m$  and tensoring over  $E$  on bimodules  $M \boxtimes N := M \otimes_E N$ .

Let us end this subsection with a simple yet important observation:

**Observation 4.7.** The  $S_2$ -action on the object  $2 \in \text{PolyMor}^c$  is implemented by the permutation bimodule

$$E(\text{BU}(1)^2 s_1) \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(2, 2) = {}_{E(\text{BU}(1)^2)}\text{BMod}_{E(\text{BU}(1)^2)}^c(\text{Mod}_E).$$

**4.2. Additive and stable  $E$ -valued Soergel  $(\infty, 2)$ -categories.** In this subsection we define the monoidal locally additive  $(\infty, 2)$ -category  $\text{SBim}_E$  of  $E$ -valued Soergel bimodules, as well as the locally stable analogue  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$ . We will do this by defining a generating set of data, using the machinery of factorization systems of higher and enriched categories developed in [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, §5, §6, Appendix B].

We start by defining the generating category  $\text{Gen} \in \text{Alg}(\text{Cat}_\infty) \subset \text{Alg}(\text{Cat}[\text{Cat}_\infty])$ . Let  $\text{pt}$  be the terminal category,  $c_0 = S^0$  be the category with two objects and no nontrivial morphisms, and  $\Delta^1$  be the arrow category.

**Definition 4.8.** Let  $\text{End}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the pushout in  $\text{Cat}_\infty$ :

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (c_0)^{\sqcup \mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & (\Delta^1)^{\sqcup \mathbb{Z}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{pt} & \longrightarrow & \text{End}^{\mathbb{Z}}. \end{array}$$

Intuitively,  $\text{End}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is the walking category with one object and  $\mathbb{Z}$  many endomorphisms. Let  $\text{Free}_{\mathbb{E}_1}: \text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Alg}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$  be the free functor.

**Definition 4.10.** Let  $\text{Gen}$  be the pushout

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Free}(\text{pt}) & \xrightarrow{*\mapsto*\boxtimes*} & \text{Free}(\text{pt}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Free}(\text{End}^{\mathbb{Z}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gen} \end{array}$$

in  $\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$ .

**Notation 4.12.** We typically will use  $\boxtimes$  to denote the monoidal tensor products.

**Observation 4.13.** By construction,  $\text{Gen}$  satisfies the following universal property:  $\text{Gen}$  is the universal monoidal  $\infty$ -category with a distinguished element  $*$  together with  $\mathbb{N}$  many distinguished endomorphisms  $f_k \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Gen}}(* \boxtimes *, * \boxtimes *)$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a monoidal  $(\infty, 1)$ -category, then an  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -map  $G: \text{Gen} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is equivalent to specifying an object  $c = G(*) \in \mathcal{D}$  together with  $\mathbb{Z}$  number of endomorphisms  $G(f_k) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(c \boxtimes c, c \boxtimes c)$ . Note that this also holds when  $\mathcal{D}$  is an  $(\infty, 2)$ -category via the fully faithful embedding  $\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}_\infty) \subset \text{Alg}(\text{Cat}[\text{Cat}_\infty])$ .

**Observation 4.14.**  $\text{Gen}$  has  $\mathbb{N}$  many objects, with  $n$  labeling the  $n$ -th tensor product  $*^{\boxtimes n}$ . Furthermore,  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Gen}}(n, m) = \emptyset$  if  $n \neq m$ , and every morphism in  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Gen}}(n, n)$  is a composition of morphisms of the form

$$f_{n,i,k} := \text{id}_{i-1} \boxtimes f_k \boxtimes \text{id}_{n-i-1}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Throughout the rest of the subsection, we fix  $E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp}_{\geq 0})$  a *connective*  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum. Let us view  $\text{PolyMor}_E^c$  defined in Definition 4.4 as an object in  $\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}[\text{Cat}_\infty])$ .

**Proposition 4.15.** *There exists a monoidal functor  $F: \text{Gen} \rightarrow \text{PolyMor}_E^c$  taking  $*$  to  $E(\text{BU}(1))$  and the  $k$ -th endomorphism  $f_k$  to the  $k$ -shifted Bott-Samelson bimodule  $EB_1(k)$  associated to the simple transposition  $s_1 = (1, 2)$ , which we view as an  $E(\text{BU}(1)^2) - E(\text{BU}(1)^2)$  bimodule.*

*Proof.* Let  $T = \text{U}(1)^2$ . By Observation 4.13 and Observation 4.5, it suffices to check that  $EB_1(k)$  are indeed compact as right  $E(BT)$ -bimodules. Since suspensions of compact modules are still compact, it suffices to show that  $EB_1$  is a compact  $E(BT)$ -bimodule. By Proposition 3.44, we have a fiber sequence

$$(4.16) \quad E(BT)(2) \rightarrow EB_1 \rightarrow E(BTs_1).$$

Note that as right  $E(BT)$ -module we have an isomorphism  $E(BTs_1) \simeq E(BT)$ . Now the result follows from the fact that  $E(BT)$  and  $E(BT)(2)$  are compact and compactness is closed under extensions.  $\square$

**Observation 4.17.** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $F$  takes the object  $n = *^{\boxtimes n} \in \text{Gen}$  to  $E(\text{BU}(1)^n)$ . Furthermore, suppose we have  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By Observation 4.14, there is an endomorphism  $f_{n,i,k} := \text{id}_{i-1} \boxtimes f_k \boxtimes \text{id}_{n-i-1} \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Gen}}(n, n)$ .  $F$  takes  $f_{n,i,k}$  to the elementary Bott-Samelson

$$(4.18) \quad EB_i(k) \simeq E(\text{BU}(1)^{i-1}) \otimes_E EB_{(1,2)}(k) \otimes_E E(\text{BU}(1)^{n-i-1})$$

in  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(n, n) = {}_{E(\text{BU}(1)^n)}\text{BMod}_{E(\text{BU}(1)^n)}^c(\text{Mod}_E)$ . Furthermore, given  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ , by Observation 3.34,  $F$  maps the composition  $f_{n,i_1,k_1} \circ f_{n,i_2,k_2} \cdots \circ f_{n,i_m,k_m}$  to the Bott-Samelson  $EB_{\mathbf{i}}(k)$  where  $k = \sum_j k_j$ .

Let  $\text{add}$  be the  $\infty$ -category of small idempotent-complete additive  $\infty$ -categories,<sup>19</sup> and  $\text{Sp}_{\geq 0}$  be the additive full subcategory of  $\text{Sp}$  consisting of connective spectra. We have  $\text{CProj}_E := (\text{Mod}_E(\text{Sp}_{\geq 0}))^{\text{cp}} \in \text{CAlg}(\text{add})$  the additive  $\infty$ -category of compact projective  $E$ -module spectra.<sup>20</sup> Lastly we define  $\text{add}_E$  to be  $\text{Mod}_{\text{CProj}_E}(\text{add})$ .

<sup>19</sup>See [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, §3.3] for a detailed discussion of additive  $\infty$ -categories.

<sup>20</sup>By [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Lemma 3.5.7(1)], compact projective  $E$ -module spectra are retracts of finite direct sums of the regular  $E$ -module.

**Proposition 4.19.** *There are presentably symmetric monoidal functors*

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{add}_E & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}_E^b} & \text{st}_E \\ -\otimes \text{CProj}_E \uparrow & & -\otimes \text{Perf}_E \uparrow \\ \text{Cat}_\infty & \longrightarrow & \text{add} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}^b} \text{st} \end{array}$$

whose right adjoints are the evident forgetful functors.

*Proof.* See [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Eq. (6.1) and Proposition 3.4.5].  $\square$

We will abuse notation and drop the  $E$  in  $\mathbf{K}_E^b$  when it is clear from context.

*Remark 4.21.* If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an 1-category, then  $\mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$  is the  $\infty$ -categorical version of the chain homotopy category of  $\mathcal{A}$  by [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Corollary 3.4.10]. Formally, it is the dg nerve of the dg category of bounded chain complexes in  $\mathcal{A}$  ([LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Definition 3.4.1]). Therefore  $\mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an additive  $(\infty, 1)$ -category, is the generalization of the chain homotopy category for  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

*Remark 4.22.* The functors  $\mathbf{K}_E^b$  and  $\mathbf{K}^b$  commutes with forgetting the  $E$  action. That is, we have a commutative diagram:

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{add}_E & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}_E^b} & \text{st}_E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{add} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}^b} & \text{st}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the evident forgetful functors.

The composite  $\text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{add} \rightarrow \text{add}_E$  induces a symmetric monoidal left adjoint

$$(4.24) \quad (-)^{\text{add}_E} : \text{Cat}[\text{Cat}_\infty] \rightarrow \text{Cat}[\text{add}_E].$$

It follows that the functor  $F : \text{Gen} \rightarrow \text{PolyMor}_E^c$  in  $\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}[\text{Cat}_\infty])$  induces a map

$$(4.25) \quad (F)^{\text{add}_E} : (\text{Gen})^{\text{add}_E} \rightarrow \text{PolyMor}_E^c$$

in  $\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}[\text{add}_E])$ .

We now define the  $(\infty, 2)$ -category of  $E$ -valued Soergel bimodules via factorization systems.<sup>21</sup>

**Definition 4.26.** Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor between  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.  $F$  is *fully faithful* if for every  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , the induced map of spaces

$$(4.27) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_1, c_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Fc_1, Fc_2)$$

is an equivalence.  $F$  is *dominant* if every object in  $\mathcal{D}$  is a retract of  $Fc$  for some  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ .

By [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Proposition 6.2.2], the (dominant, fully faithful) functors define factorization system on  $\text{add}_E$ .

**Definition 4.28.** Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a functor between  $\text{add}_E$ -enriched categories.  $F$  is *surjective on objects* if every object in  $\mathcal{D}$  is of the form  $Fc$  for some  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $F$  is *dominant on 1-morphism* if it is hom-wise dominant, that is, for every  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , the induced map  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_1, c_2) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}}(Fc_1, Fc_2)$  in  $\text{add}_E$  is dominant. Similarly,  $F$  is *faithful* if it is hom-wise fully faithful.

By [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Corollary 6.2.4], the (surjective on objects and dominant on 1-morphisms, faithful) functors define a factorization system on  $\text{Cat}[\text{add}_E]$  and  $\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}[\text{add}_E])$ .

<sup>21</sup>We refer the reader to [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Appendix B] for an introduction to factorization systems.

**Definition 4.29.** Let the monoidal  $\text{add}_E$ -enriched  $(\infty, 2)$ -category of *additive  $E$ -valued Soergel  $(\infty, 2)$ -category*  $\text{SBim}_E \in \text{Alg}(\text{Cat}[\text{add}_E])$  be the unique factorization

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\text{Gen})^{\text{add}_E} & \xrightarrow{(F)^{\text{add}_E}} & \text{PolyMor}_E^c \\ & \searrow \text{surjective-on-objects} & \nearrow \text{faithful} \\ & \text{--and--dominant-on-1-morphisms} & \text{SBim}_E \end{array}$$

of  $(F)^{\text{add}_E}$  with respect to the (surjective on objects and dominant on 1-morphisms, faithful) factorization system.

We would like to describe the objects and hom-categories of  $\text{SBim}_E$ . First we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.31.** *Let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories, where  $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{D} \in \text{add}_E$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Its adjunct  $(\mathcal{C})^{\text{add}_E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is dominant.*
- (2) *Every object of  $\mathcal{D}$  is a retract of (finite) direct sums of objects in the image of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* The argument is the same as [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Lemma 6.3.1], just without the  $\mathbb{Z}$  action.  $\square$

Applying Lemma 4.31 to  $(\text{Gen})^{\text{add}_E} \rightarrow \text{SBim}_E$  in (4.30), we get

**Corollary 4.32.** *Consider the map  $\text{Gen} \rightarrow \text{SBim}_E$  adjunct to  $(\text{Gen})^{\text{add}_E} \rightarrow \text{SBim}_E$  in (4.30); it is surjective on objects and every 1-morphism in  $\text{SBim}_E$  is a retract of direct sums of 1-morphisms in the image of 1-morphisms in  $\text{Gen}$ .*

**Proposition 4.33.** *The objects of  $\text{SBim}_E$  are indexed by natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ . Given  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ; then  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{SBim}_E}(n, m) = 0$  if  $n \neq m$ . Moreover,*

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{SBim}_E}(n, n) \subset {}_{E(BU(1)^n)}\text{BMod}_E^c({}_{E(BU(1)^n)}\text{Mod}_E)$$

*is the full subcategory consisting of retracts of direct sums of shifted  $U(n)$  Bott-Samelson bimodules  $EB_{\mathbf{i}}(k)$ .*

*Proof.* By construction,  $\text{SBim}_E$  has the same objects as  $(\text{Gen})^{\text{add}_E}$ , which has the same objects as  $\text{Gen}$  as  $(-)^{\text{add}_E}$  is a hom-wise construction. Since  $\text{Gen}$  has  $\mathbb{N}$  many objects Observation 4.14, it follows that  $\text{SBim}_E$  also has  $\mathbb{N}$  many objects.

Fix  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ; by (4.30) we have a factorization

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{(\text{Gen})^{\text{add}_E}}(n, m) & \xrightarrow{F(n, m)} & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(n, m) \\ & \searrow \text{dominant} & \nearrow \text{fully-faithful} \\ & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{SBim}_E}(n, m) & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 4.31,  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{SBim}_E}(n, m)$  is the full subcategory of  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(n, m)$  consisting of retracts of direct sums of 1-morphisms in the image of  $\text{Gen}$ .

Now we use Observation 4.5 to identify  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(n, m)$  with  ${}_{E(BU(1)^n)}\text{BMod}_E^c({}_{E(BU(1)^n)}\text{Mod}_E)$ . Suppose  $n \neq m$ , since  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Gen}}(n, m) = \emptyset$  by Observation 4.14, we see that  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{SBim}_E}(n, m)$  is the 0 category. On the other hand, by Observation 4.17 we see that the image of  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Gen}}(n, n)$  are precisely shifts of  $U(n)$  Bott-Samelson bimodules  $EB_{\mathbf{i}}(k)$ . Therefore  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{SBim}_E}(n, n)$  is the full subcategory consisting of retracts of direct sums of shifted Bott-Samelson bimodules.  $\square$

**Notation 4.35.** We will often denote  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{SBim}_E}(n, n)$  as  $\text{SBim}_E(n)$ .

Now we define the stable Soergel  $(\infty, 2)$ -category. Recall from Proposition 4.19 that there is a presentably symmetric monoidal left adjoint  $\mathbf{K}^b: \text{add}_E \rightarrow \text{st}_E$  to the evident forgetful functor. This induces a symmetric monoidal left adjoint

$$(4.36) \quad \mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b: \text{Cat}[\text{add}_E] \rightarrow \text{Cat}[\text{st}_E].$$

**Definition 4.37.** We call the monoidal  $\text{st}_E$ -enriched  $(\infty, 2)$ -category  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  the  $E$ -valued stable Soergel  $(\infty, 2)$ -category.

**Observation 4.38.** By construction,  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  has  $\mathbb{N}$  many objects, with

$$(4.39) \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)}(n, m) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \neq m \\ \mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(n)) & n = m. \end{cases}$$

*Remark 4.40.* By Remark 4.21,  $\mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(n))$  is the  $\infty$ -categorical analogue of the chain homotopy category of Soergel bimodules.

By adjunction, the map  $\text{SBim}_E \rightarrow \text{PolyMor}_E^c$  in  $\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}[\text{add}_E])$  induces a map

$$(4.41) \quad H_{\text{loc}}: \mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E) \rightarrow \text{PolyMor}_E^c$$

in  $\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}[\text{st}_E])$ . This is our *fiber functor*.

*Remark 4.42.* Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; there are two type of ‘‘suspensions’’ in  $\mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(n)) = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)}(n, n)$ . There is the standard suspension for the stable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(n))$ , which we denote by  $\Sigma$ . It corresponds to the shifts in chain complex degrees. On the other hand, there are the ‘‘grading shifts’’  $(k): \text{SBim}_E \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{SBim}_E$  of Soergel bimodules, which extend to automorphisms on  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)(n)$ . While  $\Sigma$  and  $(-1)$  disagree on  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b$ , the functor  $H_{\text{loc}}$  takes both to the standard suspension in  $({}_{E(BU(1)^n)}\text{BMod}_{E(BU(1)^n)}^c(\text{Mod}_E)) = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(n, n)$ .

## 5. BRAIDING ON $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$

In this section we construct a braiding on  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$ , where  $E$  is a connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum equipped with a complex  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -orientation  $f_E: \text{MU} \rightarrow E$ .

**5.1. From braiding to prebraiding to Rouquier complex.** We start by recalling the definition of a braiding as well as the parallel notion of a prebraiding.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category and  $c \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then the *space of braidings* on  $c$  is

$$(5.2) \quad \text{Braid}_{\mathcal{C}}(c) := \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_2}(\mathcal{C}) \times_{\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\mathcal{C})} \{c\}.$$

Note that this is a space as the forgetful map  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_2}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\mathcal{C})$  is conservative. Intuitively a braiding on  $c$  is a lift of the  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -algebra structure on  $c$  to an  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra structure.

We also have the the notion of prebraidings on monoidal functors between 1-categories over a symmetric monoidal 1-category:

**Definition 5.3.** [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Definition 2.4.1] Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be monoidal 1-categories, with monoidal product denoted by  $\boxtimes$  in both cases and with associators  $b_{x,y,z}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . A *prebraiding*  $\beta$  on a monoidal functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  consists of the data of isomorphisms

$$F(x) \boxtimes F(y) \xrightarrow{\beta_{x,y}} F(y) \boxtimes F(x) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}$$

that form a natural transformation  $\boxtimes \circ (F \times F) \Rightarrow \boxtimes^{\text{op}} \circ (F \times F)$  and satisfy the following two *hexagon axioms* for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} (F(x) \boxtimes F(y)) \boxtimes F(z) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{x,y} \boxtimes \text{id}} & (F(y) \boxtimes F(x)) \boxtimes F(z) & \xrightarrow{b} & F(y) \boxtimes (F(x) \boxtimes F(z)) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \boxtimes \beta_{x,z}} & F(y) \boxtimes (F(z) \boxtimes F(x)) \\ \downarrow b & & & & & & \downarrow b^{-1} \\ F(x) \boxtimes (F(y) \boxtimes F(z)) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & F(x) \boxtimes F(y \boxtimes z) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{x,y} \boxtimes z} & F(y \boxtimes z) \boxtimes F(x) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (F(y) \boxtimes F(z)) \boxtimes F(x) \end{array}$$

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} F(x) \boxtimes (F(y) \boxtimes F(z)) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \boxtimes \beta_{y,z}} & F(x) \boxtimes (F(z) \boxtimes F(y)) & \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} & (F(x) \boxtimes F(z)) \boxtimes F(y) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{x,z} \boxtimes \text{id}} & (F(z) \boxtimes F(x)) \boxtimes F(y) \\ \downarrow b^{-1} & & & & & & \downarrow b \\ (F(x) \boxtimes F(y)) \boxtimes F(z) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (F(x \boxtimes y)) \boxtimes F(z) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{x \boxtimes y, z}} & F(z) \boxtimes F(x \boxtimes y) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & F(z) \boxtimes (F(x) \boxtimes F(y)) \end{array}$$

where the isomorphisms  $\simeq$  are part of the data of  $F$ . We denote the *set of prebraidings* on  $F$  by  $\text{PreBraid}(F)$ .

**Observation 5.6.** [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Corollary 2.4.2] Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a monoidal 1-category; then a pre-braiding on the identity functor  $\text{id}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to a braided monoidal structure on  $\mathcal{A}$  (in the sense of [EGNO15, Definition 8.1.1]) that extends its monoidal structure. Furthermore, since a braided monoidal structure on  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to a  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra structure on  $\mathcal{A}$  (see [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Remark 7.7.7]), we have an isomorphism

$$(5.7) \quad \text{PreBraid}(\text{id}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}) \simeq \text{Braid}_{\text{Cat}_{(1,1)}}(\mathcal{A}).$$

See [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Example 8.1.2] for a detailed discussion.

*Remark 5.8.* See [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Notation 8.1.1] for the  $\infty$ -categorical generalization of prebraiding.

We also need the notion of prebraiding over a base braided monoidal 1-category.

**Definition 5.9.** [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Definition 2.4.5] Suppose we have monoidal categories  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$  and a braided monoidal category  $\mathcal{D}$ . Furthermore, suppose we have monoidal functors  $F: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$  and  $g: \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . We can consider  $\mathcal{C}_1$  as a monoidal category over  $\mathcal{D}$  by the composite  $f := g \circ F$ .

A *prebraiding on  $F$  over  $\mathcal{D}$*  is a prebraiding on  $F$  together with the condition that  $g$  maps the prebraiding isomorphisms  $\beta$  to the braiding isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{D}$ . We denote by  $\text{PreBraid}_{/\mathcal{D}}(F)$  the set of prebraidings on  $F$  over  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Observation 5.10.** [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Corollary 2.4.7] Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a monoidal category,  $\mathcal{D}$  a braided monoidal category, and  $g: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a monoidal functor. Then a prebraiding on the identity functor  $\text{id}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  over  $\mathcal{D}$  is a braided monoidal structure on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $g$  is braided monoidal in the sense of [EGNO15, Definition 8.1.7]. As braided monoidal functors are the same as  $\mathbb{E}_2$  algebra maps, we have an equivalence

$$(5.11) \quad \text{PreBraid}_{/\mathcal{D}}(\text{id}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}) \simeq \text{Braid}_{(\text{Cat}_{(1,1)})_{/\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Now let us recall our setup. Let  $E$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebra. We have  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  together with a fiber functor

$$H_{\text{loc}}: \mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E) \rightarrow \text{PolyMor}_E^c$$

in  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Cat}[\text{st}_E])$ . We are interested in lifting the  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -algebra structures on both  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  and  $H_{\text{loc}}$  to  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra structures. Equivalently, we want a braiding on  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$ , viewed as an object in the over-category  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Cat}[\text{st}_E])_{/\text{PolyMor}_E^c} \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Cat}[\text{st}_E])_{/\text{PolyMor}_E^c}$  ([LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, A.8.6]) via  $H_{\text{loc}}$ .

We now reduce a problem about braiding on an  $(\infty, 2)$ -category to a problem about prebraiding on a 1-category, namely its homotopy 1-category.

**Definition 5.12.** Let  $h_0: \text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Set}$  denote the symmetric monoidal functor that takes an  $\infty$ -category to the set of isomorphism classes of objects. This induces  $h_1: \text{Cat}[\text{Cat}_\infty] \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{(1,1)} = \text{Cat}[\text{Set}]$ , which takes an  $(\infty, 2)$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  to a 1-category  $h_1\mathcal{C}$  who has the same objects and whose 1-morphisms are isomorphism classes of 1-morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We call  $h_1\mathcal{C}$  the *homotopy 1-category* of  $\mathcal{C}$ .<sup>22</sup>

We have a sequence of maps

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Braid}_{\text{Cat}[\text{st}_E]/\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)) &\rightarrow \text{Braid}_{\text{Cat}_{(1,1)}/h_1\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(h_1\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)) \\ &\simeq \text{PreBraid}_{/h_1\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(\text{id}: h_1\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E) \rightarrow h_1\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)) \\ &\rightarrow \text{PreBraid}_{/h_1\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(h_1\text{Gen} \rightarrow h_1\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_1\text{Gen} \rightarrow h_1\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  comes from the composite  $\text{Gen} \rightarrow \text{SBim}_E \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$ . Now we use the key technical result of [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24]:

**Proposition 5.14.** *The composite map*

$$\text{Braid}_{\text{Cat}[\text{st}_E]/\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)) \rightarrow \text{PreBraid}_{/h_1\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(h_1\text{Gen} \rightarrow h_1\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E))$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The result follows from [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Theorem 8.2.1] with  $\mathcal{C} = \text{SBim}_E$ ,  $\mathcal{D} = \text{PolyMor}_E^c$ , and  $\mathcal{B} = \text{Gen}$ , together with the described maps between them.<sup>23</sup> It remains to check that they satisfy the conditions of [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Theorem 8.2.1]. The map  $\text{SBim}_E \rightarrow \text{PolyMor}_E^c$  is faithful by definition (4.30), and the condition on  $\text{Gen} \rightarrow \text{SBim}_E$  is proven in Corollary 4.32. Note that there are no  $\mathbb{Z}$ -shifts as we don't have a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading.  $\square$

It remains to define a prebraiding on  $h_1\text{Gen} \rightarrow h_1\text{SBim}_E$  over  $h_1\text{PolyMor}_E^c$ . We would like to simplify the data needed to define a prebraiding from  $h_1\text{Gen}$ .

**Lemma 5.15.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a monoidal 1-category and  $F: h_1\text{Gen} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a monoidal map given by taking  $*$  to  $c$  and  $f_k$  to  $g_k: c \boxtimes c \rightarrow c \boxtimes c$ . The set of prebraiding  $\text{PreBraid}(F: h_1\text{Gen} \rightarrow \mathcal{C})$  isomorphic to the set of morphism  $\beta: c \boxtimes c \rightarrow c \boxtimes c$  satisfying the following:*

- (1)  $\beta$  is an equivalence.
- (2) For every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following diagrams commute:<sup>24</sup>

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_c \boxtimes g_k} & c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c \\ \downarrow \beta \boxtimes \text{id}_c & & \downarrow \beta \boxtimes \text{id}_c \\ c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c & & c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c \\ \downarrow \text{id}_c \boxtimes \beta & & \downarrow \text{id}_c \boxtimes \beta \\ c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c & \xrightarrow{g_k \boxtimes \text{id}_c} & c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c \end{array}$$

<sup>22</sup>We refer the reader to [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, §5] for detailed discussions about the functors  $h_1$ , and more generally the homotopy  $n$ -category functors  $h_n$ .

<sup>23</sup>[LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Theorem 8.2.1] is stated for  $E = Hk$  with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading. However, the statement works more generally for any  $E$  and with or without grading, see [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Footnote 37]. In our case we take  $\mathbb{K}$  to be  $E$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = \text{pt}$ .

<sup>24</sup>For the rest of the section, to simplify notation, we omit the parentheses and associators.

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c & \xrightarrow{g_k \boxtimes \text{id}_c} & c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c \\ \downarrow \text{id}_c \boxtimes \beta & & \downarrow \text{id}_c \boxtimes \beta \\ c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c & & c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c \\ \downarrow \beta \boxtimes \text{id}_c & & \downarrow \beta \boxtimes \text{id}_c \\ c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_c \boxtimes g_k} & c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c. \end{array}$$

Intuitively, the diagrams (5.16) (5.17) are saying that the endomorphisms  $f_k$  slide across the braiding given by  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* First we construct  $\beta$  from a prebraiding and show that it satisfies the required conditions. Suppose we have a prebraiding natural transform  $\gamma: \boxtimes \circ (F \times F) \simeq \boxtimes^{\text{op}} \circ (F \times F)$ . We define  $\beta$  to be  $\gamma_{1,1}: c \boxtimes c \mapsto c \boxtimes c$ . It is clearly invertible. Now we would like to show that  $\beta$  satisfies condition (2). By the top hexagon axiom Equation (5.4), the braiding  $\gamma_{1,2}: c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c \rightarrow c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c$  is given by the composite

$$(5.18) \quad c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c \xrightarrow{\beta \boxtimes \text{id}_c} c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c \xrightarrow{\text{id}_c \boxtimes \beta} c \boxtimes c \boxtimes c.$$

Now the commutativity of (5.16) follows from the naturality of  $\gamma$ . The analogous argument shows that the commutativity (5.17) follows from the bottom Hexagon axiom (5.5) and naturality of  $\gamma$ .

Now suppose we have  $\beta: c \boxtimes c \xrightarrow{\cong} c \boxtimes c$  satisfying (5.16) and (5.17). We will now construct a prebraiding on  $F: h_1\text{Gen} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . First we construct the natural isomorphism  $\gamma: \boxtimes \circ (F \times F) \simeq \boxtimes^{\text{op}} \circ (F \times F)$ . Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a simple transposition  $s_i$  in  $S_n$ , we define  $F(s_i)$  to be

$$\text{id}_{c^{\boxtimes i-1}} \boxtimes \beta \boxtimes \text{id}_{c^{\boxtimes n-i-1}} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c^{\boxtimes n}, c^{\boxtimes n}).$$

More generally, given  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , we define

$$(5.19) \quad F(\mathbf{i}) := F(s_{i_1}) \circ \dots \circ F(s_{i_k}) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c^{\boxtimes n}, c^{\boxtimes n}).$$

Now we define the prebraiding:

$$(5.20) \quad \gamma_{m,n} := F(\underline{(s_n \cdots s_1) \cdots (s_{i+n-1} \cdots s_i) \cdots (s_{m+n-1} \cdots s_n)}) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c^{\boxtimes m+n}, c^{\boxtimes m+n}).$$

See [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, Figure 1] for pictures of such  $\gamma$ .

Now we need to show that the assignments  $\gamma_{m,n}$  are natural and that they satisfy the two Hexagon axioms. Both of the Hexagon axioms (5.4) (5.5) follow directly from the construction of  $\gamma_{m,n}$  and the naturality of  $\boxtimes$ , which allow us to exchange the order of far away crossings.

As for naturality, we need show that for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Gen}}(n, n)$ ,<sup>25</sup> the morphism  $f$  can slide across  $\gamma_{m,n}$ :

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} c^{\boxtimes m} \boxtimes c^{\boxtimes n} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{m,n}} & c^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes c^{\boxtimes m} \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{c^{\boxtimes m}} \boxtimes F(f) & & \downarrow F(f) \boxtimes \text{id}_{c^{\boxtimes n}} \\ c^{\boxtimes m} \boxtimes c^{\boxtimes n} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{m,n}} & c^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes c^{\boxtimes m}. \end{array}$$

Similarly, for any  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Gen}}(m, m)$ , it can slide across  $\gamma_{m,n}$ :

$$(5.22) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} c^{\boxtimes m} \boxtimes c^{\boxtimes n} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{m,n}} & c^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes c^{\boxtimes m} \\ \downarrow F(f) \boxtimes \text{id}_{c^{\boxtimes n}} & & \downarrow \text{id}_{c^{\boxtimes n}} \boxtimes F(f) \\ c^{\boxtimes m} \boxtimes c^{\boxtimes n} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{m,n}} & c^{\boxtimes n} \boxtimes c^{\boxtimes m}. \end{array}$$

<sup>25</sup>Recall that Gen only has endomorphisms by Observation 4.14.

Let us prove the commutativity of (5.21). By Observation 4.14, it suffices to consider the case of  $f$  being  $f_{n,i,k} := \text{id}_{i-1} \boxtimes f_k \boxtimes \text{id}_{n-i-1} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Gen}}(n, n)$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Furthermore, using the functoriality of  $\boxtimes$ , we can reduce to the case when  $m = 1$ ,  $n = 2$ , and  $f = f_k$ . In this case (5.21) unpacks to (5.16). Similarly, we can reduce the commutativity of (5.22) to that of (5.17).

To finish the proof, we need to check that the two constructions above are inverse constructions. The case of  $\beta$  to prebraiding back to  $\beta$  is clear. On the other hand, for any prebraiding  $\gamma$ , the hexagon axioms (5.4) (5.5) imply that  $\gamma_{m,n}$  is of the form defined in (5.20).  $\square$

*Remark 5.23.* Lemma 5.15 is a rigorous formalization of much of the reduction arguments given in [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24, §2.5].

We apply Lemma 5.15 to  $h_1\text{Gen} \rightarrow h_1\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$ :

**Corollary 5.24.** *The set of prebraidings  $\text{PreBraid}/_{h_1\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(h_1\text{Gen} \rightarrow h_1\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E))$  is equivalent to the set of isomorphism classes of morphisms  $R \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)}(2, 2)$  satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) *The image*

$$H_{\text{loc}}(R) \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(2, 2) = {}_{E(BU(1)^2)}\text{BMod}_E^c({}_{E(BU(1)^2)}(\text{Mod}_E))$$

*is isomorphic to the permutation bimodule  $E(BU(1)^2_{s_1})$ .*

(2)  *$R$  is invertible.*

(3) *Let  $R_1, R_2 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)}(3, 3)$  denote  $R \boxtimes \text{id}_{E(BT)}$  and  $\text{id}_{E(BT)} \boxtimes R$  respectively, then there exists isomorphisms*

$$(5.25) \quad EB_1 \circ R_2 \circ R_1 \simeq R_2 \circ R_1 \circ EB_2,$$

*and*

$$(5.26) \quad EB_2 \circ R_1 \circ R_2 \simeq R_1 \circ R_2 \circ EB_1.$$

*Here we use  $\circ$  to represent the composition of 1-morphisms in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)}(3, 3)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.15, a prebraiding  $\gamma$  on  $h_1\text{Gen} \rightarrow h_1\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  is equivalent to the set of isomorphism classes of such  $R$  satisfying conditions (2) and (3). Note that it suffices to check on  $EB_i$  because the other generating endomorphisms are mapped to shifts of  $EB_i$ . Furthermore, by Observation 4.7, condition (1) is equivalent to the condition of  $\gamma$  being a prebraiding *over*  $h_1\text{PolyMor}^c$  in the sense of Definition 5.9.  $\square$

*Remark 5.27.* Note that we only ask for the isomorphisms in conditions (1) and (3) of Corollary 5.24 to exist, as we are working on the level of homotopy 1-categories.

**5.2. Main theorem.** In this subsection we construct a braiding on  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  by defining the Rouquier complex and show that it satisfies the conditions of Corollary 5.24.

**Definition 5.28.** Let  $T = U(1)^2$ . We define the *Rouquier complex*

$$R \in \mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(2)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)}(2, 2)$$

to be the fiber of

$$m_1(-2): EB_1(-2) \rightarrow E(BT)(-2)$$

defined in Proposition 3.44.

Now we show the Rouquier complex satisfies the conditions of Corollary 5.24. We start with condition (1):

**Proposition 5.29.** *The functor  $H_{\text{loc}}: \mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E) \rightarrow \text{PolyMor}_E^c$  takes the Rouquier complex  $R$  to the permutation bimodule  $E(BTs_1) \in \text{Hom}_{\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(2, 2)$ .*

*Proof.* The functor  $H_{\text{loc}}(2, 2): \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)}(2, 2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(2, 2)$  is exact.<sup>26</sup> Therefore it takes the Rouquier complex  $R$  to the fiber of

$$m_i(-2): EB_i(-2) \rightarrow E(BT)(-2)$$

in

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{PolyMor}_E^c}(2, 2) = {}_{E(BU(1)^2)}\text{BMod}_{E(BU(1)^2)}^c(\text{Mod}_E).$$

Now the result follows from the anti-diagonal fiber sequence in Proposition 3.44.  $\square$

Next we move on to condition (2) of Corollary 5.24 that  $R$  is invertible. First we define the inverse:

**Definition 5.30.** We define the *inverse Rouquier complex*  $R' \in \mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(2))$  to be the cofiber of  $\Delta_{s_1}: E(BT) \rightarrow EB_1$  defined in Proposition 3.44.

We need the basic aspects of the theory of total fibers. We refer the reader to [MV15, §3.4] for the general theory.

**Definition 5.31.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a stable  $\infty$ -category. Consider a commutative square

$$(5.32) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The *total fiber* of the diagram is the fiber of the induced map  $A \rightarrow B \times_D C$ .

**Lemma 5.33.** [MV15, Proposition 3.4.3] *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a stable  $\infty$ -category. Consider a commutative square*

$$(5.34) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the total fiber of the square is equivalent to the fiber of

$$(5.35) \quad \text{fib}(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \text{fib}(C \rightarrow D).$$

Analogous, the total fiber of the square is also equivalent to the fiber of

$$(5.36) \quad \text{fib}(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow \text{fib}(B \rightarrow D).$$

**Lemma 5.37.** *Let  $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Alg}(\text{st})$  be a monoidal stable  $\infty$ -category with tensor product  $\boxtimes$ . Let  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  and  $A' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow C'$  be fiber sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $A \boxtimes A'$  is the total fiber of*

$$(5.38) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B \boxtimes B' & \longrightarrow & B \boxtimes C' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C \boxtimes B' & \longrightarrow & C \boxtimes C'. \end{array}$$

<sup>26</sup>Recall that a functor between stable  $\infty$ -categories is exact if it takes finite (co)limits to finite (co)limits.

*Proof.* Consider the following diagram:

$$(5.39) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A \boxtimes A' & \longrightarrow & A \boxtimes B' & \longrightarrow & A \boxtimes C' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B \boxtimes A' & \longrightarrow & B \boxtimes B' & \longrightarrow & B \boxtimes C' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B \boxtimes A' & \longrightarrow & C \boxtimes B' & \longrightarrow & C \boxtimes C'. \end{array}$$

Since  $\boxtimes$  is exact in both variables, each row and column is a fiber sequence. In particular, the top row is a fiber sequence. Now the result follows from Lemma 5.33 as the last two columns are also fiber sequences.  $\square$

We also have a straightforward yet useful observation:

**Observation 5.40.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a stable  $\infty$ -category. Suppose we have a commutative square of the form

$$(5.41) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & D \oplus B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (\text{id}, 0) \\ C & \longrightarrow & D. \end{array}$$

Then the total fiber of the above square is equivalent to the total fiber of

$$(5.42) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

which is simply the fiber of  $A \rightarrow B \oplus C$ .

Now we prove condition (2) of Corollary 5.24, that is, that  $R$  and  $R'$  are inverses of each other:

**Proposition 5.43.** *We have equivalences*

$$(5.44) \quad R \circ R' \simeq E(BT) \simeq R' \circ R.$$

in  $\mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(2))$ . Here  $\circ$  is denoting the monoidal composition product on  $\mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(2)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)}(2, 2)$ .

*Proof.* Let us prove that  $R \circ R' \simeq E(BT)$ ; the proof of  $E(BT) \simeq R' \circ R$  is analogous. By Lemma 5.37,  $\Sigma R \circ R'$  is the total fiber of

$$(5.45) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} EB_1 & \xrightarrow{EB_1 \otimes_{E(BT)} \Delta s_1} & EB_{\underline{11}}(-2) \\ \downarrow m_1 & & \downarrow m_1 \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_i(-2) \\ E(BT) & \longrightarrow & EB_1(-2). \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.59, we have a splitting

$$(5.46) \quad EB_{\underline{11}} \simeq EB_1 \oplus EB_1(2)$$

in  $\text{SBim}_E(2)$ . Since the map  $\text{SBim}_E(2) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(2))$  is additive, this splitting also holds in  $\mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(2))$ . Replacing  $EB_{\underline{11}}(-2)$  with  $EB_1(-2) \oplus EB_1$ , we can replace (5.45) with

$$(5.47) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} EB_1 & \xrightarrow{(\cdot, (EB_1 \otimes_{E(BT)} \Delta s_1) \circ \nabla_1^L)} & EB_1(-2) \oplus EB_1 \\ \downarrow m_1 & & \downarrow (\text{id}, 0) \\ E(BT) & \longrightarrow & EB_1(-2). \end{array}$$

Note that we denote maps that does not need specification by ?. By Observation 5.40, the total fiber of (5.47) is equivalent to the fiber of

$$(5.48) \quad EB_1 \xrightarrow{((EB_1 \otimes_{E(BT)} \Delta s_1) \circ \nabla_1^L, m_1)} EB_1 \oplus E(BT).$$

Since  $(EB_1 \otimes_{E(BT)} \Delta s_1) \circ \nabla_1^L$  is an equivalence by Lemma 3.68, we see that the fiber of (5.48) is  $\Sigma E(BT)$ . Therefore we have an equivalence  $R \circ R' \simeq E(BT)$ .  $\square$

Lastly, we prove condition (3) of Corollary 5.24:

**Proposition 5.49.** *We have equivalences*

$$(5.50) \quad EB_1 \circ R_2 \circ R_1 \simeq R_2 \circ R_1 \circ EB_2,$$

and

$$(5.51) \quad EB_2 \circ R_1 \circ R_2 \simeq R_1 \circ R_2 \circ EB_1.$$

in  $\mathbf{K}^b(\text{SBim}_E(3))$ .

*Proof.* We will construct the isomorphism (5.50). The isomorphism (5.51) can be constructed analogously. Note that by Proposition 3.79,  $EB_{1,2} = E(T \setminus G_{1,2}/T)$  is an object in  $\text{SBim}_E(3)$ . Note that  $G_{1,2}$  is simply  $U(3)$  in our case. First we are going to show that  $EB_1 \circ R_2 \circ R_1(4)$  is equivalent to the fiber of the composite map

$$(5.52) \quad EB_{1,2} \xrightarrow{\mu_{121}} EB_{\underline{121}} \xrightarrow{EB_{\underline{12}} \otimes_{E(BT)} m_1} EB_{\underline{12}}.$$

By Lemma 5.37, we see that  $EB_1 \circ R_2 \circ R_1(4)$  is equivalent to the total fiber of

$$(5.53) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} EB_{\underline{121}} & \xrightarrow{EB_1 \otimes_{E(BT)} m_2 \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_1} & EB_{\underline{11}} \\ \downarrow EB_{\underline{12}} \otimes_{E(BT)} m_1 & & \downarrow EB_1 \otimes_{E(BT)} m_1 \\ EB_{\underline{12}} & \xrightarrow{EB_1 \otimes_{E(BT)} m_2} & EB_1. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.65 and Corollary 3.83, we have compatible splittings

$$(5.54) \quad EB_{\underline{11}} \simeq EB_1 \oplus EB_1(2), \quad EB_{\underline{121}} \simeq EB_{1,2} \oplus EB_1(2),$$

such that the top horizontal map of (5.53) is of the form

$$(5.55) \quad \begin{pmatrix} ? & ? \\ 0 & \text{id} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we can write (5.53) as

$$(5.56) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} EB_{1,2} \oplus EB_1(2) & \longrightarrow & EB_1 \oplus EB_1(2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (\text{id}, 0) \\ EB_{\underline{12}} & \xrightarrow{EB_1 \otimes_{E(BT)} m_2} & EB_1. \end{array}$$

By Observation 5.40, the total fiber of (5.56) is equivalent to the total fiber of

$$(5.57) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} EB_{1,2} \oplus EB_1(2) & \xrightarrow{(0, \text{id})} & EB_1(2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ EB_{\underline{12}} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 5.33 and taking fibers horizontally, the total fiber of (5.57) is equivalent to the fiber of the composite map

$$(5.58) \quad EB_{1,2} \xrightarrow{\mu_{121}} EB_{121} \xrightarrow{EB_{12} \otimes_{E(BT)} m_1} EB_{12}.$$

Applying the same argument, we can show that  $R_2 \circ R_1 \circ EB_2(4)$  is equivalent to the fiber of the composite

$$(5.59) \quad EB_{1,2} \xrightarrow{\mu_{212}} EB_{jij} \xrightarrow{m_2 \otimes_{E(BT)} EB_{12}} EB_{12}.$$

By Observation 3.84 (in particular (3.86)), the composites in (5.58) and (5.59) are equivalent. It follows that  $EB_1 \circ R_2 \circ R_1$  is equivalent to  $R_2 \circ R_1 \circ EB_2$ .  $\square$

Putting it altogether, we have our main theorem:

**Theorem 5.60.** *Let  $E$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum together with a complex  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -orientation. Then there exists a contractible space of braidings on  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  in  $\text{Cat}[\text{st}_E]_{/\text{PolyMor}_E^c}$  whose braiding on 2 strands is isomorphic to the Rouquier complex  $R$  defined in Definition 5.28.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.14 and Corollary 5.24, it suffices to check conditions (1), (2), (3) of Corollary 5.24 for the Rouquier complex  $R$ . These conditions are respectively proven in Proposition 5.29, Proposition 5.43, and Proposition 5.49.  $\square$

We end with a remark about generalizing to the non-connective case:

*Remark 5.61.* We restrict ourselves to  $E$  being a connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  spectrum because  $\text{add}_E$  is only well-defined if  $E$  is connective. Without assuming connectivity, we can still define  $\text{SBim}_E$  and  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$ , as well as construct a braiding on  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$ . However,  $\text{SBim}_E$  will be only enriched in  $\text{add}$ , and  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{loc}}^b(\text{SBim}_E)$  will be an  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra in  $\text{Cat}[\text{st}]$  rather than in  $\text{Cat}[\text{st}_E]$ .

## REFERENCES

- [ABG<sup>+</sup>14a] Matthew Ando, Andrew J. Blumberg, David Gepner, Michael J. Hopkins, and Charles Rezk, *An  $\infty$ -categorical approach to  $R$ -line bundles,  $R$ -module Thom spectra, and twisted  $R$ -homology*, J. Topol. **7** (2014), no. 3, 869–893. MR 3252967 [9](#)
- [ABG<sup>+</sup>14b] ———, *Units of ring spectra, orientations and Thom spectra via rigid infinite loop space theory*, J. Topol. **7** (2014), no. 4, 1077–1117. MR 3286898 [9](#)
- [BS58] Raoul Bott and Hans Samelson, *Applications of the theory of Morse to symmetric spaces*, American Journal of Mathematics **80** (1958), no. 4, 964–1029. [3](#)
- [CZZ15] Baptiste Calmès, Kirill Zainoulline, and Changlong Zhong, *A coproduct structure on the formal affine Demazure algebra*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **282** (2015), no. 3–4, 1191–1218. [8](#)
- [EGNO15] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 205, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015. [23](#)
- [HL18] Michael J Hopkins and Tyler Lawson, *Strictly commutative complex orientation theory*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **290** (2018), no. 1, 83–101. [8](#)
- [Kit23a] Nitu Kitchloo, *Symmetry breaking and link homologies i*, 2023. [1](#), [4](#)
- [Kit23b] ———, *Symmetry breaking and link homologies ii*, 2023. [1](#)
- [KK24] Mikhail Khovanov and Nitu Kitchloo, *A deformation of Robert-Wagner foam evaluation and link homology*, Algebraic and topological aspects of representation theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 791, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2024, pp. 147–204. MR 4694171 [7](#)
- [Laz55] Michel Lazard, *Sur les groupes de lie formels à un paramètre*, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France **83** (1955), 251–274. [7](#)
- [LMGR<sup>+</sup>24] Yu Leon Liu, Aaron Mazel-Gee, David Reutter, Catharina Stroppel, and Paul Wedrich, *A braided monoidal  $(\infty, 2)$ -category of Soergel bimodules*, 2024. [1](#), [2](#), [17](#), [18](#), [19](#), [20](#), [21](#), [22](#), [23](#), [24](#), [25](#), [26](#)
- [LZ20] Hao Li and Changlong Zhong, *On equivariant oriented cohomology of Bott-Samelson varieties*, 2020. [8](#), [12](#)

- [Mil60] J. Milnor, *On the cobordism ring  $\Omega^*$  and a complex analogue. I*, Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960), 505–521. MR 119209 [9](#)
- [MV15] Brian A Munson and Ismar Volić, *Cubical homotopy theory*, vol. 25, Cambridge University Press, 2015. [27](#)
- [Qui69] Daniel Quillen, *On the formal group laws of unoriented and complex cobordism theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 1293–1298. MR 253350 [8](#), [9](#)
- [Soe92] Wolfgang Soergel, *The combinatorics of Harish-Chandra bimodules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **429** (1992), 49–74. MR 1173115 [1](#)
- [Str22] Catharina Stroppel, *Categorification: tangle invariants and tqfts*, 2022. [1](#)

1 OXFORD ST, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139  
*Email address:* [yuleonliu@math.harvard.edu](mailto:yuleonliu@math.harvard.edu)