

A TRILINEAR STRICHARTZ ESTIMATE FOR THE MODIFIED ZAKHAROV-KUZNETSOV EQUATION WITH APPLICATION IN WELL-POSEDNESS

ALI MEZHER

ABSTRACT. This paper is focused on the modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation

$$(0.1) \quad \partial_t u(t, x, y) + \partial_x^3 u(t, x, y) + \partial_x u^3(t, x, y) = 0.$$

We prove the associated Cauchy problem is locally (in time) well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ for $s > 1$. The new ingredient in this work is a trilinear estimate in the context of Bourgain spaces which controls the cubic non-linearity of (0.1) in the contraction argument.

Keywords: Nonlinear Strichartz estimates, modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation, harmonic analysis.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
1.1.	The Zakharov-Kuznetsov Equation	3
1.2.	The Modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov Equation	4
1.3.	The Main Result	5
2.	Notations and Function Spaces	5
2.1.	Notations	5
2.2.	Function spaces	6
2.3.	Linear Estimates in Bourgain's Spaces	7
3.	Trilinear Estimate in Cylinder	9
4.	The Proof of The Main Result	23
4.1.	Proof of Theorem 1.1	24
	Acknowledgment	27
	References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

We recall a historical background regarding developing some nonlinear dispersive partial differential equations upon the Korteweg-de Vries equation. Many classical media propagate longitudinal, plane waves at or close to a characteristic velocity c (acoustic-type waves). Such waves are of a small amplitude and given by

$$u = a \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t), \quad a > 0$$

where ω is a function of \mathbf{k} and its form is dictated by the medium. It is expected that ω depends only on the modulus $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ when the medium is isotropic. All acoustic waves are such that, for small k ,

$$(1.1) \quad \omega^2 = c^2 k^2 + \dots,$$

where c is the velocity of sound or a velocity specific to the medium. Therefore the long-wave acoustic modes propagate with very small or no dispersion and the group velocity $\partial\omega/\partial\mathbf{k}$ is very close to the phase velocity c , that is,

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\mathbf{k}} \simeq \omega k^{-2}\mathbf{k} = ck^{-1}\mathbf{k}.$$

However, dispersion will come in for k other than very small and it is perhaps more natural for the signal to lag behind the phase. This fact and the symmetry suggests that the simplest modification to (1.1) is a negative quadratic term in k , namely, for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$;

$$(1.2) \quad \omega^2 = c^2k^2 - \beta^2k^4.$$

Equation (1.2) is a very general small \mathbf{k} dispersion relation. It covers all isotropic media that propagate acoustic modes such that the signal lags behind the phase[23]. First, we consider one spacial dimension. By following a wave propagating from left to right (with losing some generality) we have

$$(1.3) \quad \omega = ck - (\beta^2/2c)k^3 + \dots$$

We can see the wave behavior in the coordinate system moving with velocity c and normalizing lengths we get the system;

$$(1.4) \quad \omega^* = -k^3,$$

which is corresponding to equation $\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u = 0$ that has two drawbacks; it is not Galilean-invariant and completely dispersive. However, if we replace the first term by more general convective derivative we remove these to drawbacks[44][23]. Therefore, we get the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation;

$$(1.5) \quad \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + \partial_x u^2 = 0, \quad u(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

KdV equation (1.5) is a mathematical model used to describe the one-dimensional behavior of small amplitude, weakly nonlinear dispersive waves[23]. KdV equation describes the propagation of weakly nonlinear dispersive waves and nonlinear weakly dispersive waves in physical contexts as plasma physics [4], ion-acoustic waves [48], and atmospheric waves [3]. It has both solitary wave and strong nonlinear cnoidal wave solutions, both of which have been found to be stable [2],[12].

A generalization of (1.5) to two space dimensions is very simple on intuitive grounds if we assume a potential flow. Thus, let ϕ be a potential flow and

$$u = \nabla\phi,$$

and we treat x and y dynamics on an unequal footing. Therefore, we go back to (1.2) in two dimensions, putting $c = 1$, $\beta^2 = 2$ for convenience, $\mathbf{K} = \sqrt{2}(k_x, k_y)$,

$$(1.6) \quad \omega^2 = 2k_x^2 + 2k_y^2 - 2(2k_x^2 + 2k_y^2)^2,$$

extract the positive root and assume k_x smaller but $k_y/k_x \ll 1$ (y variation weaker than x variation), then we get;

$$(1.7) \quad \omega = k_x - k_x^3 + \frac{1}{2}k_y^2k_x^{-1} + \dots$$

Multiplying by k_x the dispersion relation (1.7) will correspond to, after scaling the variable y with a constant, the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP¹) equation (1.8), see [24].

$$(1.8) \quad \partial_x(\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + \partial_x u^2) + \sigma^2 \partial_y^2 u = 0, \quad u(t, x, y) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{C},$$

The other well-known two dimensional generalization of the KdV equation (1.5) is the Zakharov-Kuznetsov (ZK) equation;

$$(1.9) \quad u_t + \partial_x \Delta u + \partial_x u^2 = 0, \quad u(t, x, y) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

It is the governing equation in the case of a magnetized plasma with isothermal electrons. For the derivation of ZK equation, see [50]. The stability of both traveling plane wave and traveling solitary wave solutions of equation (1.9) to two-dimensional perturbations has been studied in [22] [44]. In the context of ion-acoustic waves in a non-magnetized plasma comprising cold ions and hot isothermal electrons, small-amplitude,

¹Equation (1.8) is classified as KPI when $\sigma^2 = -1$ and KP II when $\sigma^2 = 1$.

weakly nonlinear dispersive waves are governed by the KP equation (1.8), see [25]. However, in a more realistic situation, when the electrons are non-isothermal, a modified version of the KP equation, known as the modified Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (mKP) equation (1.10), is used instead [39][18][29][46];

$$(1.10) \quad \partial_x(\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + \partial_x u^3) + \sigma^2 \partial_y^2 u = 0, \quad u(t, x, y) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{C},$$

The mKP equation is a natural two dimensional extension of the modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equation (1.11), see [47][49][26];

$$(1.11) \quad \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + \partial_x u^3 = 0, \quad u(t, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

The stability of both plane wave and solitary wave solutions of the mKP equation (1.10) to two-dimensional perturbations has been investigated in [39]. When the electrons are non-isothermal in a magnetized plasma, Munro and Parkes showed that the governing equation is a modified form of the ZK equation [38]. It is called the modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov (mZK) equation;

$$(1.12) \quad \partial_t u + \partial_x \Delta u + \partial_x u^3 = 0, \quad u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

In [23], Infeld and Rowlands investigated the stability of plane wave and solitary wave solutions of the mZK equation to two-dimensional long-wavelength perturbations but with formation due to [41]. On the other hand, the system of equations for Alfvén waves is simplified to a modified Korteweg-de Vries equation, where the $u \partial_x u$ term in the Korteweg-de Vries equation was replaced by the $u^2 \partial_x u$. The two-dimensional equation in this physical model is the modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation [44].

1.1. The Zakharov-Kuznetsov Equation. The Zakharov-Kuznetsov (ZK) equation (1.13) is a mathematical model that describes the behavior of waves in a plasma, a type of gas made up of charged particles such as protons, electrons, and ions. The ZK equation is often used to study the behavior of ion-acoustic waves, which are low-frequency sound waves that can propagate in the plasma. These waves are typically characterized by small amplitudes and low phase velocity, and they are important in many applications such as in space plasmas and in fusion devices. The ZK equation takes into account certain physical effects that are important in the behavior of waves in a plasma. One of these effects is dispersion, which refers to the spreading out of a wave as it propagates. This occurs because different parts of the wave may travel at different speeds, depending on the properties of the medium through which the wave is moving. For instance, in a plasma, the speed of an ion-acoustic wave may depend on the temperature and density of the plasma. The ZK equation has the form:

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{cases} u_t + \partial_{x_1} \Delta u + \partial_{x_1} u^2 = 0, & u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}. \\ u(0, \mathbf{x}) = u_0(\mathbf{x}) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), & s \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

where $d = 2, 3$, and H^s is the usual L^2 Sobolev space.

The ZK is a natural multidimensional generalization to the Korteweg-de Vries equation (1.5), which Boussinesq first introduced in [9], and then rediscovered by Diederik Korteweg and Gustav de Vries to describe shallow water waves [30]. The ZK equation is named after Vladimir Zakharov and Evgenii A. Kuznetsov, who were among the first to use mathematical models to study nonlinear waves in plasmas. In 1974, they proved the existence and stability of three-dimensional ion-sound solitons in a low-pressure magnetized plasma [50]. Moreover, in 2013 Lannes, Linares, and Saut derived the ZK equation from the Euler-Poisson system with the magnetic field [31]. In contrast to the KdV or the KP equations, the ZK equation is not a completely integrable system. However, it still has a hamiltonian structure and two conservative laws. Namely, for an initial data $u_0 = u(0, \mathbf{x})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M(u(t, \mathbf{x})) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0^2(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = M(u_0(\mathbf{x})). \\
E(u(t, \mathbf{x})) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 - \frac{1}{3} u^3(t, \mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_0(\mathbf{x})|^2 - \frac{1}{3} u_0^3(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x} = E(u_0(\mathbf{x})).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that if u solves the IVP (1.13) for the initial data u_0 , then

$$u_\lambda(t, \mathbf{x}) = \lambda^2 u(\lambda^3 t, \lambda \mathbf{x})$$

also solves (1.13) with the initial data $u_\lambda(0, \mathbf{x}) = \lambda^2 u_0(\lambda \mathbf{x})$, which shows that $s_c = -d/2$ is scaling critical regularity. The mZK equation has been of great interest to mathematicians. The well-known energy method (cf. [7]) gives local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $s > 2$. Following the techniques in [27], Faminiski used the dispersion effect and the maximal function estimate to prove the local well-posedness for (1.13) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ [14]. In 2009, Linares and Saut proved that (1.13) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s > \frac{9}{8}$ [34]. Then, Faminiski's method was modified, by Linares and Pastor [35], to prove the local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s > \frac{3}{4}$, the proof is based on a sharp maximal function estimate in time-weighted spaces. In 2012, Ribaud and Vento proved the local well-posedness of the three-dimensional (1.13) in the Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s > 1$, as well as in the Besov space $B_2^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ [42][43]. In 2013, Lannes, Linares, and Saut derived the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation in two and three dimensions rigorously [31]. Also, Grünrock proved the local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$ using the Fourier restriction norm method and bilinear estimates of Strichartz type inequalities [20]. Independently, Molinet and Pilod reached the same result as Grünrock, namely; the local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$, moreover, they showed the global well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s > 1$ [36]. Recently, the local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s > \frac{-1}{4}$ was proved by Kinoshita via developing a bilinear estimate [28], a nonlinear version of classical Loomis-Whitney inequality, and developing almost orthogonal decompositions of the set of resonant frequencies. Also, the global well-posedness in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ was proved. The case of ZK equation on the cylinder, namely $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$, was studied by Linares, Pastor, and Saut in [33]. They proved the well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, $s > \frac{3}{2}$. Then, the global well-posedness for solutions in $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ was proved by Molinet and Pilod in [36]. Recently, Osawa proved the local well-posedness of ZK equation in $H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, $\frac{9}{10} < s < 1$ [40].

1.2. The Modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov Equation. The modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation has the form:

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_{x_1} \Delta u + \partial_{x_1} u^3 = 0, & u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, \mathbf{x}) = u_0(\mathbf{x}) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), & s \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

where $d = 2, 3$, and H^s is the usual L^2 Sobolev space, and it is a variant of the original ZK equation. The mZK equation has two conservative quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}
M(u(t, \mathbf{x})) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0^2(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = M(u_0(\mathbf{x})). \\
E(u(t, \mathbf{x})) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 - \frac{1}{4} u^4(t, \mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_0(\mathbf{x})|^2 - \frac{1}{4} u_0^4(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x} = E(u_0(\mathbf{x})).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that if u solves the IVP (1.14) with the data u_0 , then $u_\lambda(t, \mathbf{x}) = \lambda u(\lambda^3 t, \lambda \mathbf{x})$ also solves (1.14) with the initial data $u_\lambda(0, \mathbf{x}) = \lambda u_0(\lambda \mathbf{x})$, which shows that $s_c = d/2 - 1$ as a scaling critical regularity.

Many important and related works about the modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation have been published in the last twenty years. In 2003, Biagioni and Linares used the smoothing effect to prove the local well-posedness for initial data in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ [6]. In 2011, Linares and Pastor showed the local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $s > \frac{3}{4}$. Moreover, they proved that when $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and $s > \frac{53}{63}$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \sqrt{3}\|\phi\|_{L^2}$ where ϕ is the corresponding ground state solution (solution for the elliptic equation $\Delta\phi - \phi + \phi^3 = 0$), then the solution is global in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ [35]. In 2013, Grünrock showed that the modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $s > \frac{1}{2}$. Also, combined with the conservation of mass and energy, he proved the global well-posedness for small data in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ [19]. In 2016, Linares and Pastor proved the following: The local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $s > \frac{3}{4}$, the ill-posedness in the critical space, $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and they proved the global well-posedness and established a sharp maximal function estimate [32]. In 2019, Castelli and Doronin proved that the initial-value problem associated with (1.14) is well-posed in the bounded rectangle located at the right half-plane $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x > 0\}$ [10]. In 2020 Bhattacharya, Farah, and Roudenko improved the result of Linares and Pastor [5]; they used I-method to prove the global well-posedness of H^s solutions for $s > \frac{3}{4}$ for any data in the defocusing case and under the assumption that the mass of the initial data is less than the mass of the ground state solution of $\Delta\phi - \phi + \phi^3 = 0$ in the focusing case. Although, as far as we knew, there had not been any previous study of mZK regarding the well-posedness on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$. However, after the completion of this work, we learned about the closely related paper [15]. Thus, in the present work, we study the well-posedness of the IVP (1.14) in the cylinder, that is, when $u_0(\mathbf{x}) \in H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$. We state the main result in the next section.

1.3. The Main Result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $s > 1$. For any $u_0 = u(0, \mathbf{x}) \in H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, there exist $\delta > 0$, $0 < T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})})$ and a unique solution, u , of (1.14) such that*

$$u \in C([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})) \cap X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}.$$

Moreover, for any $T' \in (0, T)$, there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$B_r(u_0) = \{v \in H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \mid \|u_0 - v\|_{H^s} < r\}$$

the data-solution map

$$\mathcal{S}_r : v_0 \in B_r(u_0) \mapsto v \in C([0, T'] : H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})) \cap X_{T'}^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}$$

is analytic. The function space $X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$ is defined in Section 2.

2. NOTATIONS AND FUNCTION SPACES

2.1. Notations. For any positive numbers a and b , the notation $a \lesssim b$ means that there exists a positive constant c such that $a \leq cb$, moreover, we write $a \lesssim_d b$ when $a \leq cb$ and c is a function of d , namely $c = c(d)$. We also write $a \sim b$ when $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$. If A and B are two positive numbers, we use the notation $A \wedge B = \min(A, B)$ and $A \vee B = \max(A, B)$. Finally, $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, also, it denotes the counting measure for a measurable set $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, and $|\cdot|$ denotes the product measure on the product space $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ where $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. To simplify the calculation of the lower bound of the resonance function, and for the product measure we use the notation $|(\xi, q)| = \sqrt{3\xi^2 + q^2}$ for $(\xi, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$, which implies $\partial_\xi \omega(\xi, q) = |(\xi, q)|$, where $\omega(\xi, q) = \xi^3 + \xi q^2$ is the dispersion relation associated with the linear part of (1.13) and (1.10).

$\mathcal{F}(u)$, or \hat{u} , will denote its space-time Fourier transforms, whereas $\mathcal{F}_{xy}(u)$, respectively $\mathcal{F}_t(u)$, will denote its Fourier transform in space, respectively in time. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the Bessel and Riesz potentials of order $-s$, J^s and D^s , by

$$J^s u = \mathcal{F}_{xy}^{-1}((1 + |(\xi, q)|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \mathcal{F}_{xy}(u)), \quad D^s u = \mathcal{F}_{xy}^{-1}(|(\xi, q)|^s \mathcal{F}_{xy}(u)).$$

We fix the smooth cut-off function χ such that

$$\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 1, \quad \chi([-5/4, 5/4]) = \{1\}, \quad \text{supp}(\chi) \subset \left[-\frac{8}{5}, \frac{8}{5}\right].$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we define

$$\phi(\xi) = \chi(\xi) - \chi(2\xi), \quad \phi_{2^k}((\xi, q)) =: \phi(2^{-k}|(\xi, q)|),$$

and

$$\psi_{2^k}(\tau, \xi, q) := \phi(2^{-k}(\tau - \omega(\xi, q))),$$

where $\omega(\xi, q) = \xi^3 + \xi q^2$. Moreover, let

$$\phi_1(\xi, q) = \chi(|(\xi, q)|), \quad \psi_1(\tau, \xi, q) = \chi(\tau - \omega(\xi, q)).$$

Summations over the N, L or K are presumed dyadic with N, L , or $K \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\sum_N \phi_N(\xi, q) = 1, \quad \text{supp}(\phi_N) \subset \left\{ \frac{5}{8}N \leq |(\xi, q)| \leq \frac{8}{5}N \right\} =: I_N, \quad N \geq 2,$$

and

$$\text{supp}(\phi_1) \subset \left\{ |(\xi, q)| \leq \frac{8}{5} \right\} =: I_1.$$

Let us define the Littlewood Paley multiplier by

$$P_N(u) = \mathcal{F}_{xy}^{-1}(\phi_N \mathcal{F}_{xy}(u)), \quad Q_L(u) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_L \mathcal{F}(u)).$$

Finally, we denote by $\{e^{-t\partial_x \Delta}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the free group associated with the linear part of the equation (1.14), which is to say,

$$\mathcal{F}_{xy}(e^{-t\partial_x \Delta} \varphi) = e^{it\omega(\xi, q)} \mathcal{F}_{xy}(\varphi), \quad \text{where } \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}).$$

The resonance function is denoted by \mathcal{H} and defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{H}(\xi_1, q_1, \xi_2, q_2, \xi_3, q_3) = \omega(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3, q_1 + q_2 + q_3) - \omega(\xi_1, q_1) - \omega(\xi_2, q_2) - \omega(\xi_3, q_3).$$

2.2. Function spaces. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $L^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ is the usual Lebesgue space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$, and for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the real-valued Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ denotes the space of all real-valued functions with the usual norm $\|u\|_{H^s} = \|J^s u\|_{L^2}$. If $u = u(t, x, y)$ is a function defined for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ and $t \in [0, T]$, and $T > 0$, if B is one of the spaces defined above, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we will define the mixed space-time spaces $L_T^p B_{xy}$ and $L_t^p B_{xy}$ by the norms

$$L_T^p B_{xy} = \left(\int_0^T \|u(t, \cdot, \cdot)\|_B^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text{and} \quad L_t^p B_{xy} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|u(t, \cdot, \cdot)\|_B^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

if $1 \leq p < \infty$ with the obvious modifications in the case $p = +\infty$. For $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we introduce the Bourgain spaces $X^{s,b}$ [8], which is constructed upon the linear part of equation (1.14), namely for $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we define;

$$X^{s,b} = \{u = u(t, x, y) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}); \|u\|_{X^{s,b}} < \infty\}.$$

where

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}} = \|\langle \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle^b \langle |(\xi, q)| \rangle^s \mathcal{F}(u)(\tau, \xi, q)\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^2 L_q^2},$$

where $\langle x \rangle := 1 + |x|$. Moreover, we define a localized (in time) version of these spaces. Let $T > 0$ be a positive time, then

$$X_T^{s,b} = \{u = u(t, x, y) \in \mathcal{S}'([0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}); \exists v \in X^{s,b} \text{ such that } v|_{(0,T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} = u\}.$$

associated with the norm:

$$\|u\|_{X_T^{s,b}} = \inf \{\|v\|_{X^{s,b}} \mid v \in X^{s,b}, v|_{(0,T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} = u\}.$$

2.3. Linear Estimates in Bourgain's Spaces. In this section, we recall some well-known results regarding Bourgain's spaces, see [16]. Let η be a smooth cut-off function such that $\eta(t) = 1$ for $|t| \leq 1$, and $\text{supp}(\eta) \subset [-2, 2]$. We state and prove some well-known estimates in $X^{s,b}$ spaces [8] that hold for any dispersion function ω and for any domain. The following lemma shows that $X^{s,b}$ norm is stable under time localization.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u \in X^{s,b}$. Then, for all $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\|\eta(t)u\|_{X^{s,b}} \lesssim_b \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}.$$

Proof. Using Fourier inversion formula on η and Minkowski's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta(t)u\|_{X^{s,b}} &= \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\eta}(\tau_0) e^{it\tau_0} d\tau_0 \right) u \right\|_{X^{s,b}} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\hat{\eta}(\tau_0) e^{it\tau_0} u\|_{X^{s,b}} d\tau_0 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{\eta}(\tau_0)| \|e^{it\tau_0} u\|_{X^{s,b}} d\tau_0 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{\eta}(\tau_0)| \|\langle |(\xi, q)| \rangle^s \langle \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle^b \hat{u}(\tau - \tau_0, \xi, q)\|_{L_{\xi q}^2 L_{\tau}^2} d\tau_0 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the change of variables $\tau' = \tau - \tau_0$ and $\langle A + B \rangle^b \leq \langle A \rangle^{|b|} \langle B \rangle^b$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta(t)u\|_{X^{s,b}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{\eta}(\tau_0)| \|\langle |(\xi, q)| \rangle^s \langle \tau' + \tau_0 - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle^b \hat{u}(\tau', \xi, q)\|_{L_{\xi q}^2 L_{\tau}^2} d\tau_0 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{\eta}(\tau_0)| \langle \tau_0 \rangle^{|b|} \|\langle |(\xi, q)| \rangle^s \langle \tau' - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle^b \hat{u}(\tau', \xi, q)\|_{L_{\xi q}^2 L_{\tau'}^2} d\tau_0 \\ &\lesssim_b \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following is lemma 3.1 in [16] with fixing $T_0 = 1$. We do that because using contraction mapping principle in $X^{s,b}$, $b > 1/2$ and T is small, it is advantageous to take $T_0 = 1$ not $T_0 = T$, in this case we can work on a ball of fixed size independent of T .

Lemma 2.2 (Homogeneous linear estimate). *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$.*

$$(2.2) \quad \|\eta(t) e^{-t\partial_x \Delta} u_0\|_{X^{s,b}} \lesssim_b \|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^s}.$$

Proof. We start by calculating the space-time Fourier transform of $\eta e^{-t\partial_x\Delta}u_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\eta(t)e^{-t\partial_x\Delta}u_0)(\tau, \xi, q) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} e^{-i(t\tau + (x,y) \cdot (\xi, q))} \eta(t) e^{it\omega(\xi, q)} u_0(x, y) dx dy dt \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it(\tau - \omega(\xi, q))} \eta(t) dt \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} e^{-i(x,y) \cdot (\xi, q)} u_0(x, y) dx dy \right\} \\ &= \widehat{\eta}(\tau - \omega(\xi, q)) \widehat{u}_0(\xi, q).\end{aligned}$$

Now we can calculate the norm,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\eta(t)e^{-t\partial_x\Delta}\widehat{u}_0\|_{X^{s,b}} &= \|\langle |(\xi, q)| \rangle^s \langle \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle^b \widehat{\eta}(\tau - \omega(\xi, q)) \widehat{u}_0(\xi, q)\|_{L_{\xi q}^2 L_{\tau}^2} \\ &= \left\| \|\langle \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle^b \widehat{\eta}(\tau - \omega(\xi, q))\|_{L_{\tau}^2} \langle |(\xi, q)| \rangle^s \widehat{u}_0(\xi, q) \right\|_{L_{\xi q}^2} \\ &= \|\eta\|_{H_t^b} \|\langle |(\xi, q)| \rangle^s \widehat{u}_0\|_{L_{\xi q}^2} \\ &= \|\eta\|_{H_t^b} \|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^s} \\ &\lesssim_b \|u_0\|_{H_{xy}^s}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.3 (Inhomogeneous linear estimates). *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $-\frac{1}{2} < b' \leq 0 \leq b \leq b' + 1$, $0 < T \leq 1$, $g \in H_t^{b'}$ and $F \in X^{s,b'}$. Then:*

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| \eta(t/T) \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\partial_x\Delta} F(t') dt' \right\|_{X^{s,b}} \leq c T^{1-b+b'} \|F\|_{X^{s,b'}},$$

where $c > 0$ is constant.

Proof. See lemma 2.1 (ii) in [17] and Lemma 3.2 in [16].

□

Lemma 2.4 (Time Localization Estimate). *For any $0 < T < 1$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $-\frac{1}{2} < b' \leq b < \frac{1}{2}$, we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \|\eta(t/T)u\|_{X^{s,b'}} \lesssim_{b,b'} T^{b-b'} \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}.$$

Proof. We may take $s = 0$ without loss of generality, otherwise, repeat the argument for $U := \langle \nabla \rangle^s u$. By composition it suffice to treat the case $0 \leq b' \leq b$ or $b' \leq b \leq 0$. Then, by duality we may take $0 \leq b' \leq b$. By interpolation with the trivial case $b' = b$ we may take $b' = 0$, thus we are now reduced to proving

$$\|\eta(t/T)u\|_{L_{\tau\xi q}^2} \lesssim_b T^b \|u\|_{X^{0,b}}$$

To treat u when $\text{supp}(\widehat{u}) \subset \{(\tau, \xi, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} | \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \geq 0\}$, it is suffice to treat the following two cases; the first case is when $\text{supp}(\widehat{u}) \subset R_1 = \{(\tau, \xi, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} | \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \geq \frac{1}{T}\}$, and the second case is when $\text{supp}(\widehat{u}) \subset R_2 = \{(\tau, \xi, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} | \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \leq \frac{1}{T}\}$.

Case 1: $\text{supp}(\hat{u}) \subset R_1 = \{(\tau, \xi, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid \langle \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{T}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{X^{0,0}} &= \|\tilde{u}(\tau, \xi, q)\|_{L_{\xi q}^2} \\ &= \|\langle \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle^{-b} \langle \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle^b \tilde{u}(\tau, \xi, q)\|_{L_{\tau \xi q}^2} \\ &\lesssim T^b \|\langle \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle^b \tilde{u}(\tau, \xi, q)\|_{L_{\tau \xi q}^2} \\ &\lesssim T^b \|u\|_{X^{0,b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since η is bounded, then we have

$$\|\eta(t/T)u\|_{X^{0,0}} \lesssim T^b \|u\|_{X^{0,b}}.$$

Case 2: $\text{supp}(\hat{u}) \subset R_2 = \{(\tau, \xi, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid \langle \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{T}\}$: We argue as follows: Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L_{\xi q}^2} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{F}_{xy}(u)(t, \xi, q)\|_{L_{\xi q}^2} \\ &= \left\| \int_{R_2} \tilde{u}(\tau, \xi, q) e^{it\tau} d\tau \right\|_{L_{\xi q}^2} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left(\int_0^{1/T} \langle \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle^{-2b} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \tau - \omega(\xi, q) \rangle^{2b} |\tilde{u}|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_{\xi q}^2} \\ &\lesssim_b T^{b-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{X^{0,b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we integrate against $\eta(t/T)$, we get

$$\|\eta(t/T)u(t)\|_{L_{txy}^2} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_{xy}^2} \|\eta(t/T)\|_{L_t^2} \lesssim T^b \|u\|_{X^{0,b}}.$$

See lemma 2.11 in [45], and Lemma 3.11 in [13]. □

3. TRILINEAR ESTIMATE IN CYLINDER

We consider the two-variable polynomial as a one-variable polynomial by fixing one variable as a parameter and apply the following lemma to prove the lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 3.8 in[36]). *Let I and J be two intervals on the real line and $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. Then,*

$$|x \in J : f(x) \in I| \leq \frac{|I|}{\inf_{\xi \in J} |f'(\xi)|}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A = I_1 \times I_2$, where I_1, I_2 are two real intervals. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a two variables smooth real-valued function. Then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad |\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in A : f(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in I\}| \lesssim \frac{|I_2| |I|}{\inf_{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in A} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \right|},$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad |\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in A : f(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in I\}| \lesssim \frac{|I_1| |I|}{\inf_{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in A} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_2}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \right|}.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.3) \quad |\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in A : f(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in I\}| \lesssim |I| \min \left\{ \frac{|I_2|}{\inf_{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in A} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_1}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \right|}, \frac{|I_1|}{\inf_{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in A} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_2}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \right|} \right\}.$$

Remark 1. Consider a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$. Let the projection P of the set Ω on the \mathbb{Z}^2 – lattice be contained in a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, that is,

$$P(\Omega) = \{(q_1, q_2) \in A | \exists (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ such that } ((\xi_1, q_1), (\xi_2, q_2)) \in \Omega\}.$$

Assume in addition that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any fixed $q_0 = (q_{01}, q_{02})$ in A we have

$$|\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | ((\xi_1, q_{01}), (\xi_2, q_{02})) \in \Omega\}| \leq C.$$

Then we have $|\Omega| \leq C |A|$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $N_i, L_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, be dyadic numbers in $\{2^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}\}$. Assume for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $u_i(t, x, y) \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, define $L_{max} = \max\{L_j\}$, $L_{min} = \min\{L_j\}$ and L_{med} be the second maximum. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim L_{min}^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{med}^{\frac{1}{2}} N_{min} N_{med} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2}.$$

Moreover,

(I) If $N_1 \geq 4N_3$ or $N_3 \geq 4N_1$, then

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim (N_{min} N_{med} L_1 L_2 L_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{N_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N_1 \vee N_3} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2},$$

(II) If $N_2 \geq 4N_3$ or $N_3 \geq 4N_2$, then

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim (N_{min} N_{med} L_1 L_2 L_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{N_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N_2 \vee N_3} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2},$$

(III) If $N_1 \geq 4N_2$ or $N_2 \geq 4N_1$, then

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim (N_{min} N_{med} L_1 L_2 L_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{N_3^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N_1 \vee N_2} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2},$$

Proof. By Plancherel identity then the left-hand of (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2_{txy}} &= \left\| \mathcal{F} \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right) (\tau, \xi, q) \right\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi q}} \\
 &= \left\| \otimes_{j=1}^3 \left(\widehat{P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j} \right) (\tau, \xi, q) \right\|_{L^2_{\tau}} \\
 &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \sum_{q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \prod_{j=1}^3 \left(\widehat{P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j} \right) (\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j) d\nu \right\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi q}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\otimes_{j=1}^n u_j = u_1 * u_2 * \dots * u_n$, $*$ is the convolution, $d\nu = d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2$, $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3$, $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$, and $q = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$. Applying Cauchy-Schwarz to the integration inside the norm, and using Fubini's theorem, the above is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \sum_{(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \chi_{A_{\tau\xi q}} d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \sum_{(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left| \prod_{j=1}^3 \left(\widehat{P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j} \right) (\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j) \right|^2 d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi q}} \\
 &\leq \sup_{\tau, \xi, q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \sum_{(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \chi_{A_{\tau\xi q}} d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \sum_{(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left| \prod_{j=1}^3 \left(\widehat{P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j} \right) (\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j) \right|^2 d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi q}} \\
 &\leq \sup_{(\tau, \xi, q)} |A_{\tau, \xi, q}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_q \left| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \sum_{(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left| \prod_{j=1}^3 \left(\widehat{P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j} \right) (\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j) \right|^2 d\nu \right) \right| d\tau d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \sup_{(\tau, \xi, q)} |A_{\tau, \xi, q}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \sum_{(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left| \prod_{j=1}^2 \left(\widehat{P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j} \right) (\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j) \right|^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_q \left| \widehat{P_{N_3} Q_{L_3} u_3} \right|^2 d\tau d\xi \right) d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= \sup_{(\tau, \xi, q)} |A_{\tau, \xi, q}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \widehat{P_{N_3} Q_{L_3} u_3} \right\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi q}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \sum_{(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left| \prod_{j=1}^2 \left(\widehat{P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j} \right) (\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j) \right|^2 d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= \sup_{(\tau, \xi, q)} |A_{(\tau, \xi, q)}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^3 \left\| P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2_{txy}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad A_{(\tau, \xi, q)} &= \{ (\tau_1, \xi_1, q_1), (\tau_2, \xi_2, q_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid |(\xi_1, q_1)| \in I_{N_1}, |(\xi_2, q_2)| \in I_{N_2}, \\
 &|(\xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2, q - q_1 - q_2)| \in I_{N_3}, |\tau_1 - \omega(\xi_1, q_1)| \in I_{L_1}, |\tau_2 - \omega(\xi_2, q_2)| \in I_{L_2}, \\
 &|\tau - \tau_1 - \tau_2 - \omega(\xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2, q - q_1 - q_2)| \in I_{L_3} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2_{txy}} \lesssim \sup_{(\tau, \xi, q)} |A_{(\tau, \xi, q)}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^3 \left\| P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2_{txy}}.$$

To prove the estimate (3.4) we simply use the following trivial estimate

$$(3.10) \quad |A_{(\tau, \xi, q)}| \lesssim L_{min} L_{med} N_{min}^2 N_{med}^2, \quad \text{for all } (\tau, \xi, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

To prove the estimates(3.5-3.7), by symmetry, it is sufficient to prove one of the three estimates. First, triangle inequality shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\tau - \omega(\xi, q) + \mathcal{H}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2, q_1, q_2, q - q_1 - q_2)| \\ &= |\tau - \omega(\xi, q) + \omega(\xi, q) - \omega(\xi_1, q_1) - \omega(\xi_2, q_2) - \omega(\xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2, q_1, q_2, q - q_1 - q_2)| \\ &= |\tau_1 - \omega(\xi_1, q_1) + \tau_2 - \omega(\xi_2, q_2) + \tau - \tau_1 - \tau_2 - \omega(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2, q - q_1 - q_2)| \\ &\lesssim |\tau_1 - \omega(\xi_1, q_1)| + |\tau_2 - \omega(\xi_2, q_2)| + |\tau - \tau_1 - \tau_2 - \omega(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2, q_1, q_2, q - q_1 - q_2)| \\ &\lesssim L_1 + L_2 + L_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.11) \quad |A_{\tau, \xi, q}| \lesssim L_{min} L_{med} |B_{\tau, \xi, q}|,$$

where

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{\tau, \xi, q} = \{ & ((\xi_1, q_1), (\xi_2, q_2)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 : |(\xi_1, q_1)| \in I_{N_1}, |(\xi_2, q_2)| \in I_{N_2}, \\ & |(\xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2, q - q_1 - q_2)| \in I_{N_3}, \\ & |\tau - \omega(\xi, q) + \mathcal{H}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2, q_1, q_2, q - q_1 - q_2)| \lesssim L_{max} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the resonance function (2.1). Substituting $\xi_3 = \xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2, q_1, q_2, q - q_1 - q_2) &= 3\xi^2 \xi_1 + 3\xi^2 \xi_2 - 3\xi \xi_1^2 - 6\xi \xi_1 \xi_2 - 3\xi \xi_2^2 + 3\xi_1^2 \xi_2 \\ &\quad + 3\xi_1 \xi_2^2 + 2\xi q q_1 + 2\xi q q_2 - \xi q_1^2 - 2\xi q_1 q_2 - \xi q_2^2 + \xi_1 q^2 - 2\xi_1 q q_1 \\ &\quad - 2\xi_1 q q_2 + 2\xi_1 q_1 q_2 + \xi_1 q_2^2 + \xi_2 q^2 - 2\xi_2 q q_1 - 2\xi_2 q q_2 + \xi_2 q_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating \mathcal{H} w.r.t. ξ_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \xi_1} &= 3\xi^2 - 6\xi \xi_1 - 6\xi \xi_2 + 6\xi_1 \xi_2 + 3\xi^2 + q^2 - 2q q_1 - 2q q_2 + 2q_1 q_2 + q_2^2 \\ &= 3\xi^2 + 3\xi_1^2 + 3\xi_2^2 - 6\xi \xi_1 - 6\xi \xi_2 + 6\xi_1 \xi_2 + q^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 - 2q q_1 \\ &\quad - 2q q_2 + 2q_1 q_2 + q_2^2 - 3\xi_1^2 - q_1^2 \\ &= 3(\xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2)^2 + (q - q_1 - q_2)^2 - (3\xi_1^2 + q_1^2) \\ &= |(\xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2, q - q_1 - q_2)|^2 - |(\xi_1, q_1)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $(N_1 \geq 4N_3) \vee (N_3 \geq 4N_1)$, then we have $\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \xi_1} \right| \gtrsim (N_1 \vee N_3)^2$.

Fix $(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ we define the set

$$B_{\tau, \xi, q}(q_1, q_2) = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : ((\xi_1, q_1), (\xi_2, q_2)) \in B_{\tau, \xi, q}\},$$

applying Lemma 3.2 for $f(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \tau - \omega(\xi, q) + \mathcal{H}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2, q_1, q_2, q - q_1 - q_2)$ we obtain

$$|B_{\tau, \xi, q}(q_1, q_2)| \lesssim L_{max} \frac{N_2}{(N_1 \vee N_3)^2}.$$

Then from remark 1 with

$$A = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |q_1| \leq \frac{8}{5}N_1, |q_2| \leq \frac{8}{5}N_2, |q - q_1 - q_2| \leq \frac{8}{5}N_3 \right\},$$

we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad |B_{(\tau, \xi, q)}| \lesssim L_{max} N_{min} N_{med} \frac{N_2}{(N_1 \vee N_3)^2}.$$

Substituting (3.13) into (3.11) we get

$$(3.14) \quad |A_{(\tau, \xi, q)}| \lesssim N_{min} N_{med} L_{max} L_{min} L_{med} \frac{N_2}{(N_1 \vee N_3)^2}.$$

Substituting (3.14) into (3.9), the estimate (3.5) follows. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $s > 11$, then there exists $0 < \delta \ll 1$ such that*

$$(3.15) \quad \left\| \partial_x \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 u_j \right) \right\|_{X^{s, -\frac{1}{2}+3\delta}} \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^3 \|u_j\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}},$$

for $u_j(t, x, y) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. and $u_j \in X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}$.

Proof. Let $u_j \in X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. By duality of $X^{s, b}$ spaces we have

$$(3.15) \iff \left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} \prod_{j=1}^3 u_j(t, x, y) \partial_x g(t, x, y) dt dx dy \right| \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^3 \|u_j\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \|g\|_{X^{-s, \frac{1}{2}-3\delta}}$$

$$\iff \sum_{N, L, N_j, L_j} N \left| \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} \prod_{j=1}^3 (P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j) (P_N Q_L g) dt dx dy \right| \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^3 \|u_j\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \|g\|_{X^{-s, \frac{1}{2}-3\delta}}.$$

For notation convenience, we let $g = u = u_0$, then, it suffices to prove that

$$(3.16) \quad I \lesssim \prod_{j=0}^3 \|u_j\|_{L^2},$$

where

$$(3.17) \quad I := \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \sum_{q, q_1, q_2} \Gamma_{\tau, \xi, q}^{i=1,2} \prod_{j=0}^3 \hat{u}_j(\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j) d\nu,$$

where \hat{u}_j are non-negative functions, and $d\nu = d\tau d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\xi d\xi_1 d\xi_2$.

Let $(\xi, q) = (\xi_0, q_0)$, $\sigma_j = \tau_j - \omega(\xi_j, q_j)$, and $\sigma_0 = \sigma = \tau - \omega(\xi, q)$ where $j = 1, 2, 3$, then for $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3$, $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$, and $q = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$ we have

$$(3.18) \quad \Gamma_{\tau, \xi, q}^{i=1,2} = |\xi| \langle \sigma_1 \rangle^{\frac{-1}{2}-\delta} \langle \sigma_2 \rangle^{\frac{-1}{2}-\delta} \langle \sigma_3 \rangle^{\frac{-1}{2}-\delta} \langle \sigma \rangle^{\frac{-1}{2}+3\delta} \prod_{j=0}^3 \langle |(\xi_j, q_j)| \rangle^{-s}.$$

Using dyadic decomposition, we write I as:

$$I = \sum_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3} I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3},$$

where

$$I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3} = \sum \int \Gamma_{\tau, \xi, q}^{\tau_i, \xi_i, q_i, 3} \prod_{j=0}^2 \widehat{P_{N_j} u_j}(\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j) d\nu,$$

and to prevent I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3} from vanishing, we assume $N_{\text{first}} \leq 8N_{\text{second}}$. Where N_{first} and N_{second} denote the largest and the second largest dyadic number from $\{N, N_1, N_2, N_3\}$. Now, we assume $N_3 \leq N_2 \leq N_1$, and may always assume $N \leq 8N_1$, then argue by cases as follows:

(1) *Low* \times *Low* \times *Low* \rightarrow *Low* interaction:

$$I_{LLL \rightarrow L} := \sum_{N_1 < 2^4} I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}.$$

(2) *High* \times *Low* \times *Low* \rightarrow *High* interaction:

$$I_{HLL \rightarrow H} := \sum_{N_1 \geq 2^4, 2^4 N_2 \leq N_1} I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}$$

(3) *High* \times *High* \times *Low* \rightarrow *Low* interaction:

$$I_{HHL \rightarrow L} := \sum_{\substack{N_1 \geq 2^4, 2^4 N_2 > N_1, 4N_3 \leq N_2, \\ 4N \leq N_2}} I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}.$$

(4) *High* \times *High* \times *Low* \rightarrow *High* interaction:

$$I_{HHL \rightarrow H} := \sum_{\substack{N_1 \geq 2^4, 2^4 N_2 > N_1 \\ 4N_3 \leq N_2, 4N > N_2}} I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}$$

(5) *High* \times *High* \times *High* \rightarrow *Low* interaction:

$$I_{HHH \rightarrow L} := \sum_{\substack{N_1 \geq 2^4, 2^4 N_2 > N_1 \\ 4N_3 > N_2, 4N \leq N_2}} I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}$$

(6) *High* \times *High* \times *High* \rightarrow *High* interaction:

$$I_{HHH \rightarrow H} := \sum_{\substack{N_1 \geq 2^4, 2^4 N_2 > N_1, \\ 4N_3 > N_2, 4N > N_2}} I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}$$

Case 1: *Low* \times *Low* \times *Low* \rightarrow *Low* interaction ($N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3 \sim N \lesssim 1$).

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{LLL \rightarrow L} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{N_j \leq 2^4 \\ j=0,1,2,3}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} \left| \prod_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{\widehat{P_{N_j} u_j}(\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j)}{\langle \sigma_j \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \right) (t, x, y) P_N u(t, x, y) \right| dt dx dy \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{N_j \leq 2^4 \\ j=0,1,2,3}} \prod_{j=1}^3 \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{\widehat{P_{N_j} u_j}(\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j)}{\langle \sigma_j \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \right) \right\|_{L^6} \|P_N u\|_{L^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $N = N_0$. Using the Hausdorff-Young inequality and the Hölder inequality;

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{\widehat{P_{N_j} u_j}(\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j)}{\langle \sigma_j \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \right) \right\|_{L^6_{txy}} &\lesssim \left\| \frac{\widehat{P_{N_j} u_j}(\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j)}{\langle \sigma_j \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \right\|_{L^{6/5}_{\tau\xi q}} \\
 &\lesssim \left\| \langle \sigma_j \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2} - \delta} \chi_{\{(\xi_j, q_j) \in I_{N_j}\}} \right\|_{L^3_{\tau\xi q}} \left\| \widehat{P_{N_j} u_j} \right\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi q}} \\
 &\lesssim \|P_{N_j} u_j\|_{L^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3} \lesssim \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} u_j\|_{L^2}.$$

Summing for N, N_1, N_2, N_3 we get

$$I_{LLL \rightarrow L} \lesssim \prod_{j=0}^3 \|u_j\|_{L^2}.$$

Case 2: *High* \times *Low* \times *Low* \rightarrow *High* interaction: $N_3 \leq N_2 \ll N_1 \sim N$.

We use dyadic decomposition with respect to the variables σ_j , $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and denote it by Q_{L_j} , and $Q_L = Q_{L_0}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3} &= \sum_{L, L_1, L_2, L_3} I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}^{L, L_1, L_2, L_3} \\
 (3.19) \quad I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}^{L, L_1, L_2, L_3} &= \int \sum \Gamma_{\tau, \xi, q}^{\tau_i, \xi_i, q_i, i=1,2} \prod_{j=0}^3 (P_{N_j} \widehat{Q_{L_j} u_j})(\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j) d\nu.
 \end{aligned}$$

For notational convenience, we put

$$J = I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}^{L, L_1, L_2, L_3}, \quad \Gamma = \Gamma_{\tau, \xi, q}^{\tau_i, \xi_i, q_i, i=1,2}$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for (3.19), we obtain

$$J \lesssim N N^s N_1^{-s} N_2^{-s} N_3^{-s} L^{-\frac{1}{2} + 3\delta} L_1^{-\frac{1}{2} - \delta} L_2^{-\frac{1}{2} - \delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2} - \delta} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_N Q_L u\|_{L^2}.$$

Since $2^4 N_2 \leq N_1$, then by Lemma 3.3 estimate (3.7) we have,

$$J \lesssim \frac{N^{1+s} N_3^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N_1^{1+s} (N_2 N_3)^s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} L_1^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} (N_2 N_3 L_1 L_2 L_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2},$$

using $N_1 \sim N$ and $N_3 \leq N_2$, then we have

$$J \lesssim L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{-\delta} N_2^{\frac{3}{4}-s} N_3^{\frac{3}{4}-s} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2}.$$

For $s > \frac{3}{4}$, $\delta < \frac{1}{6}$, summing for $L, L_1, L_2, L_3, N_2, N_3$, and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in N_1 and N , we have

$$I_{HLL \rightarrow H} \lesssim \|u_2\|_{L^2} \|u_3\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_N \|P_N u\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{N_1} \|P_{N_1} u_1\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \prod_{j=0}^3 \|u_j\|_{L^2}$$

Case 3: *High \times High \times Low \rightarrow Low* interaction: $N_3, N \ll N_1 \sim N_2$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz to (3.19) we obtain

$$J \lesssim N N^s N_1^{-s} N_2^{-s} N_3^{-s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} L_1^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_N Q_{L_j} g\|_{L^2}.$$

Since $N_2 \geq 4N_3$, then by Lemma 3.3 estimate (3.6) and using the relation $N_1 \sim N_2$ we have

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim N_3^{\frac{1}{2}} (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2},$$

therefore,

$$J \lesssim N_1^{-(s-1)} N_3^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{-\delta} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2}$$

Then for $s > 1$ and $\delta < \frac{1}{6}$, we sum for L, L_1, L_2, L_3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{HHL \rightarrow L} &\lesssim \sum_{N_3, N \ll N_1 \sim N_2} N_1^{-(s-1)} N_3^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} u_j\|_{L^2} \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2} N_1^{-(s-1)} \prod_{j=1,2} \|P_{N_j} u_j\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{N_3}^{N_1} N_3^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})} \|P_{N_3} u_3\|_{L^2} \right) \left(\sum_N^{N_1} \|P_N u\|_{L^2} \right) \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2} N_1^{-(s-1)} \prod_{j=1,2} \|P_{N_j} u_j\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{N_3}^{N_1} N_3^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})} \|P_{N_3} u_3\|_{L^2} \right) (\log N_1 \|u\|_{L^2}) \\
 &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^2} \|u_3\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{N_1} N_1^{-(s-1)} \|P_{N_1} u_1\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{N_2} \|P_{N_2} u_2\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\lesssim \prod_{j=0}^3 \|u_j\|_{L^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 4: *High* \times *High* \times *Low* \rightarrow *High* interaction: $N_3 \ll N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz to (3.19) we obtain

$$J \lesssim NN^s N_1^{-s} N_2^{-s} N_3^{-s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} L_1^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_N Q_{L^g}\|_{L^2}.$$

Since $N_1 \geq 2^4 N_3$, then by Lemma 3.3 estimate (3.5) and using $N_1 \sim N_2$ we get

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim N_3^{\frac{1}{2}} (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2}$$

therefore,

$$J \lesssim N_1^{-(s-1)} N_3^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{-\delta} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2}$$

Then for $s > 1$ and $\delta < \frac{1}{6}$, we sum for L, L_1, L_2, L_3 , and using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{HHL \rightarrow H} &\lesssim \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N} N_1^{-(s-1)} \prod_{j=0}^2 \|P_{N_j} u_j\|_{L^2} \sum_{N_3}^{N_1} N_3^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})} \|P_{N_3} u_3\|_{L^2} \\
 &\lesssim \|u_3\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{N_1} N_1^{-(s-1)} \|P_{N_1} u_1\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\sum_{N_2} \|P_{N_2} u_2\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\sum_N \|P_N u\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
 &\lesssim \prod_{j=0}^3 \|u_j\|_{L^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 5: *High* \times *High* \times *High* \rightarrow *Low* interaction: $N \ll N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz to (3.19) we obtain

$$J \lesssim NN^s N_1^{-s} N_2^{-s} N_3^{-s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} L_1^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=0}^2 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_{N_3} Q_{L_3} u_3\|_{L^2}.$$

Since $N_2 \geq 4N$, then by (3.5) we have

$$(3.20) \quad \left\| \prod_{j=0}^2 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{2}} N_2^{\frac{1}{2}} N_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} (L_1 L_2 L)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^2 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2}$$

Interpolating (3.20) with the trivial estimate (3.4), we get

$$\left\| \prod_{j=0}^2 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}} N_1^\theta L^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} L_1^{\frac{1}{2}} L_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^2 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus,

$$J \lesssim N_1^{-(2s-\frac{3}{2}\theta-\frac{3}{2})} L^{3\delta-\frac{\theta}{2}} L_1^{-\delta} L_2^{-\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2}.$$

Choose $0 < \theta < 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < \delta < \frac{\theta}{6}$ and $0 < \frac{3}{4}\theta < s - \frac{3}{4}$, then for $s > \frac{3}{4}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{HHH \rightarrow L} &\lesssim \sum_{L, L_1, L_2, L_3} L^{3\delta-\frac{\theta}{2}} L_1^{-\delta} L_2^{-\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \sum_{\substack{N \ll N_1 \\ N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3}} N_1^{-(2s-\frac{3}{2}\theta-\frac{3}{2})} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{N_1} \|P_{N_1} u_1\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\sum_{N_2} \|P_{N_2} u_2\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\sum_{N_3} \|P_{N_3} u_3\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\lesssim \prod_{j=0}^3 \|u_j\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Case 6: *High* \times *High* \times *High* \rightarrow *High* interaction: $1 \ll N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3 \sim N$. Now we consider the case where all the frequencies are high.

Case 6.1: We consider $I = I_{HHH \rightarrow H}$ in the region $|\xi| \leq 100$. Then we have the summation

$$I = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{L, L_1, L_2, L_3} I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3, k}^{L, L_1, L_2, L_3},$$

where

$$I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3, k} := \sum_{q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \int_{E_k} \Gamma \prod_{j=0}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j d\nu,$$

with

$$E_k := \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in \mathbb{R}^6 : (100)2^{-(k+1)} \leq |\xi| \leq (100)2^{-k}\}.$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$J \lesssim 2^{-k} N_1^{-s} N_2^{-s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=0}^2 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_{N_3} Q_{L_3} u_3\|_{L^2}.$$

Using the estimate (3.4) we get

$$J \lesssim 2^{-k} N_1^{2-2s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} (L_1 L_2)^{-\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2}.$$

Then for $s > 1$ and $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}$, and summing over $L, L_1, L_2, L_3, k \geq 0$ and use Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{HHH \rightarrow H} &\lesssim \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3 \sim N} N_1^{2-2s} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} u_j\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{N_1} N_1^{2-2s} \|P_{N_1} u_1\|_{L^2}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_{N_2} \|P_{N_2} u_2\|_{L^2}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_{N_3} \|P_{N_3} u_3\|_{L^2}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_N \|P_N u\|_{L^2}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\lesssim \prod_{j=0}^3 \|u_j\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 6.2: We consider $I = I_{HHH \rightarrow H}$ in the region $|\xi| \geq 100$.

Case 6.2.1: Consider $I = I_{HHH \rightarrow H}$ in the region $|\xi_1| \wedge |\xi_2| \wedge |\xi_3| \leq 100$ (w.l.o.g say $|\xi_2| \leq 100$), then by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} J &\lesssim N N^s N_1^{-s} N_2^{-s} N_3^{-s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} L_1^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_N Q_L u\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim N N^{-s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}-3\delta} (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_N Q_L u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_2^2} = -2(\xi - \xi_1) = 1 + (\xi - \xi_1)^2 - (1 + (\xi - \xi_1)^2)^2 \gtrsim -(1 + (\xi - \xi_1)^2)^2$$

which implies $\left| \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_2^2} \right| \gtrsim 1$. Then from the estimate (3.7) we get

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_u} Q_{L_u} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \leq (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|P_{N_u} Q_{L_u} u_j\|_{L^2},$$

and thus we have

$$J \lesssim N^{1-s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=0}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2}.$$

Now summing for L, L_1, L_2, L_3 and then for N, N_1, N_2, N_3 for $s > 1$ and $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{HHH \rightarrow H} &\lesssim \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3 \sim N} N^{1-s} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} u_j\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{N_1} \|P_{N_1} u_1\|_{L^2}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_{N_2} \|P_{N_2} u_2\|_{L^2}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_{N_3} \|P_{N_3} u_3\|_{L^2}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_N \|N^{1-s} P_N u\|_{L^2}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\lesssim \prod_{j=0}^3 \|u_j\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 6.3: We consider $I = I_{HHH \rightarrow H}$ in the region where $|\xi_i| \geq 100$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. For the notational convenience we recall $(\tau, \xi, q) = (\tau_0, \xi_0, q_0)$, and $\Lambda := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Now we split the regions into two subregions:

$$\mathcal{R}_{3.1} := \left\{ (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_3, q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathbb{R}^9 \times \mathbb{Z}^3 : |\xi_i| \geq 100, \forall i, \exists (i, j) \in \Lambda; \left| |(\xi_i, q_i)|^2 - |(\xi_j, q_j)|^2 \right| \geq NL^{8\delta} \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{3.2} := \left\{ (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_3, q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathbb{R}^9 \times \mathbb{Z}^3 : |\xi_i| \geq 100, \forall i \in \Lambda, \left| |(\xi_i, q_i)|^2 - |(\xi_j, q_j)|^2 \right| \leq NL^{8\delta} \forall i, j \in \Lambda \right\}.$$

Case 6.3.1: We consider $I = I_{HHH \rightarrow H}$ restricted to the region $\mathcal{R}_{3.1}$: As the other cases, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have

$$(3.21) \quad J \lesssim NN^s N_1^{-s} N_2^{-s} N_3^{-s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} L_1^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=0}^2 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_{N_3} Q_{L_3} u_3\|_{L^2}.$$

Now, w.l.o.g we assume that

$$(3.22) \quad \left| |(\xi, q)|^2 - |(\xi_1, q_1)|^2 \right| \geq NL^{8\delta}.$$

Then from (3.22) and the estimate (3.5) we get

$$(3.23) \quad \left\| \prod_{j=0}^2 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim (N_2 N_3 L L_2 L_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{N_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{NL^{8\delta}} \prod_{j=0}^2 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2}.$$

Applying (3.23) in (3.21) we get

$$J \lesssim N^{\frac{3}{2}-2s} L^{-5\delta} (L_2 L_3)^{-\delta} L_1^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j\|_{L^2}.$$

Summing for the $NN^s N_1^{-s} N_2^{-s} N_3^{-s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} L_1^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_2^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$ for $s > \frac{3}{4}$ and $0 < \delta$ we obtain the desired estimate.

Case 6.3.2: We consider $I = I_{HHH \rightarrow H}$ restricted to the region $\mathcal{R}_{3,2}$: Recall the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \omega(\xi, q) - \omega(\xi_1, q_1) - \omega(\xi_2, q_2) - \omega(\xi_3, q_3) \\ &= \xi^3 + \xi q^2 - \xi_1^3 - \xi_1 q_1^2 - \xi_2^3 - \xi_2 q_2^2 - \xi_3^3 - \xi_3 q_3^2 \\ &= 6(\xi - \xi_1)(\xi - \xi_2)(\xi_3 - \xi) + \xi |(\xi, q)|^2 - \xi_1 |(\xi_1, q_1)|^2 - \xi_2 |(\xi_3, q_3)|^2 - \xi_3 |(\xi_3, q_3)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let's denote

$$P := P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = 6(\xi - \xi_1)(\xi - \xi_2)(\xi_3 - \xi),$$

and

$$S := S(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, q_1, q_2, q_3) = \xi |(\xi, q)|^2 - \xi_1 |(\xi_1, q_1)|^2 - \xi_2 |(\xi_3, q_3)|^2 - \xi_3 |(\xi_3, q_3)|^2.$$

Using the quadratic formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} P &= 6(\xi - \xi_1)(\xi - \xi_2)(\xi_3 - \xi) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \{(\xi - \xi_1)^2 + (\xi - \xi_2)^2 - (\xi_1 - \xi_2)^2\} \{(1 + (\xi - \xi_3)^2) - (1 + \xi_1 + \xi_2)^2\} \\ &\geq -\frac{3}{2} \{(\xi - \xi_1)^2(1 + \xi_1 + \xi_2)^2 + (\xi - \xi_2)^2(1 + \xi_1 + \xi_2)^2 + (\xi_1 - \xi_2)^2 + (\xi_1 - \xi_2)^2(\xi - \xi_3)^2\} \\ &\gtrsim -|\xi| |\xi_1| |\xi_2| |\xi_3| \end{aligned}$$

Now, if assume that $|\xi| = \max |\xi_i|$, $i \in \Lambda$, then we add and delete suitable terms to S and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \xi |(\xi, q)|^2 - \xi_1 |(\xi, q)|^2 - \xi_2 |(\xi, q)|^2 - \xi_3 |(\xi, q)|^2 + \xi_1 |(\xi, q)|^2 - \xi_1 |(\xi_1, q_1)|^2 \\ &\quad + \xi_2 |(\xi, q)|^2 - \xi_2 |(\xi_2, q_2)|^2 + \xi_3 |(\xi, q)|^2 - \xi_3 |(\xi_3, q_3)|^2 \\ &= \xi_1 (|(\xi, q)|^2 - |(\xi_1, q_1)|^2) + \xi_2 (|(\xi, q)|^2 - |(\xi_2, q_2)|^2) + \xi_3 (|(\xi, q)|^2 - |(\xi_3, q_3)|^2). \end{aligned}$$

If $|\xi_1| = \max |\xi_i|$, $i \in \Lambda$. we get

$$S = \xi (|(\xi, q)|^2 - |(\xi_1, q_1)|^2) + \xi_2 (|(\xi, q)|^2 - |(\xi_2, q_2)|^2) + \xi_3 (|(\xi, q)|^2 - |(\xi_3, q_3)|^2)$$

Similar treatment for the other cases we can see that if $|\xi_{i_M}| = \max\{|\xi_i| : i \in \Lambda\}$, then

$$(3.24) \quad \mathcal{H} \gtrsim -|\xi \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3| + (\xi - \xi_1 - \xi_2 - \xi_3) |(\xi_{i_M}, q_{i_M})| + S(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, q_1, q_2, q_3),$$

and for $i, j \in \Lambda$, S satisfies

$$(3.25) \quad |S| \leq \sum_{i \neq i_M} |\xi_i| \left| |(\xi_i, q_i)|^2 - |(\xi_j, q_j)|^2 \right| \leq |\xi_{med2}| NL^{8\delta}.$$

where we considered the convention

$$|\xi_{min}| \leq |\xi_{med1}| \leq |\xi_{med2}| \leq |\xi_{max}|.$$

From (3.25) and (3.24) we have

$$(3.26) \quad |\mathcal{H}| \gtrsim |\xi_{med2}| (|\xi_{max}| |\xi_{med1}| |\xi_{min}| - NL^{8\delta}).$$

Now we consider new subregions;

$$\mathcal{R}_{3.2.1} := \{(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_3, q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathcal{R}_{3.2} : |\xi_{max}||\xi_{med1}||\xi_{min}| \geq NL^{8\delta}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{3.2.2} := \{(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_3, q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathcal{R}_{3.2} : |\xi_{max}||\xi_{med1}||\xi_{min}| \leq NL^{8\delta}\}.$$

Case 6.3.2.1: We consider $I = I_{HHH \rightarrow H}$ restricted to the region $\mathcal{R}_{3.2.1}$: In this region, w.l.o.g let

$$(3.27) \quad |\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|, |\sigma_3|\} \gtrsim |\xi_{min}||\xi_{med1}||\xi_{max}|^2$$

The other cases are easier. Now we implement the inequality $|\xi_{min}||\xi_{med1}||\xi_{max}|^2 \lesssim L$, then by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J &\lesssim |\xi| N^s (N_1 N_2 N_3)^{-s} L^{-\delta} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+4\delta} (L_1 L_2 L_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_N Q_L u\|_{L^2}. \\ &\lesssim |\xi| N_1^{-s} N_2^{-s} L^{-\delta} (|\xi_{min}||\xi_{med1}||\xi_{max}|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}+4\delta} (L_1 L_2 L_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_N Q_L u\|_{L^2}. \\ &\lesssim N_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} L^{-\delta} (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=0}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we considered $N_1 \sim \xi_{min}$, $N_2 \sim \xi_{med1}$, and used the estimate (3.7) and the fact

$$\left| |\xi| (N_1 N_2)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} (|\xi_{min}||\xi_{med1}||\xi_{max}|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}+4\delta} \right| \lesssim 1.$$

Considering $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < \delta \ll 1$, and summing for N, N_1, N_2, N_3 and L, L_1, L_2, L_3 we get the desired estimate.

Case 6.3.2.2: We consider $I = I_{HHH \rightarrow H}$ restricted to the region $\mathcal{R}_{3.2.2}$: In this case we, again, use the dyadic decomposition in the ξ, ξ_1, ξ_2 , and ξ_3 variables. We use R_k to denote the Littlewood-Paley projections, which is defined by

$$R_K(u) := \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} \left(\phi \left(\frac{\xi}{K} \right) \mathcal{F}_x(u) \right),$$

for any dyadic number $K \geq 1$, where \mathcal{F}_x is the Fourier transform with respect to the variable x . Then we define (3.19) as follows;

$$(3.28) \quad I_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}^{L, L_1, L_2, L_3} = \sum_{100 \leq K_1, K_2, K_3 \leq N} J_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}^{L, L_1, L_2, L_3}(K_1, K_2, K_2, K_4),$$

where $J^K := J_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}^{L, L_1, L_2, L_3}(K_1, K_2, K_2, K_4)$ is defined as:

$$(3.29) \quad J_{N, N_1, N_2, N_3}^{L, L_1, L_2, L_3}(K_1, K_2, K_2, K_4) = \sum_{q, q_1, q_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \Gamma_{\tau, \xi, q}^{\tau_i, \xi_i, q_i, i=1,2} \prod_{j=0}^3 (P_{N_j} \widehat{Q_{L_j} R_{K_j}})(\tau_j, \xi_j, q_j) d\nu.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we can bound J^K as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}
J^K &\lesssim |\xi| N^s (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{-s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+3\delta} (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} R_{K_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_N Q_L R_K u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim K N^{1-2s} K_{min}^{-1} K_{med1}^{-1} K_{max}^{-1} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+11\delta} (L_1 L_2 L_3)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^3 P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} R_{K_j} u_j \right\|_{L^2} \|P_N Q_L R_K u\|_{L^2},
\end{aligned}$$

w.l.o.g assume $L_1 = L_1 \wedge L_2 \wedge L_3$, $L_2 = L_1 \vee L_2 \vee L_3$, $K_1 = K_1 \wedge K_2 \wedge K_3$, $K_2 = K_1 \vee K_2 \vee K_3$ and using the estimate (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J^K &\lesssim K N^{1-2s} K_{min}^{-1} K_{med1}^{-1} K_{max}^{-1} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+11\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} (L_1 L_2)^{-\delta} K_1 K_2 \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} R_{K_j} u_j\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim K_{med1}^{-1} N^{2-2s} L^{-\frac{1}{2}+11\delta} L_3^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} (L_1 L_2)^{-\delta} \prod_{j=0}^3 \|P_{N_j} Q_{L_j} R_{K_j} u_j\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where we assumed $K = K_{med2}$ ($K = K_{max}$ is similar). The cases $K = K_{min}$ and $K = K_{med1}$ are trivial. Now, summing over L, L_1, L_2, L_3 and (say) $K_3 = K_{med1}$ and apply Hölder inequality in $K \sim K_1 \sim K_2$ and in $N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3 \sim N_4$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{HHH \rightarrow H} &\leq \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3 \sim N} \left(\sum_{100 \leq K_1 \sim K_2 \sim K_3 \leq N} \prod_{j=0}^2 \|P_{N_j} R_{K_j} u_j\|_{L^2} \|P_{N_3} u_3\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N} \left(\sum_{K \leq N} \|P_N R_K u\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\sum_{K_1 \leq N_1} \|P_{N_1} R_{K_1} u_1\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\sum_{K_2 \leq N_2} \|P_{N_2} R_{K_2} u_2\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|u_3\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \left(\sum_N \|P_N u\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\sum_{N_1} \|P_{N_1} u_1\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\sum_{N_2} \|P_{N_2} u_2\|_{L^2}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|u_3\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \prod_{j=0}^3 \|u_j\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

First, we state the definitions and theorems regarding the analyticity of maps between Banach spaces. For more details and proofs see [11][21][37].

Definition 4.1. Let X, Y be Banach spaces over $\mathbb{R} (\mathbb{C})$, and U be open subset of X . We define $C^1(U, Y) \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ as the set of all (complex) differentiable maps $F : U \rightarrow Y$ for which $F' : U \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is continuous, and inductively we define $C^k(U, Y)$ for $k = 2, \dots, \infty$.

Definition 4.2 (Definition 1.4.2 in [21]). Let X, Y be Banach spaces over \mathbb{R} , U be open set in X , and $F : U \rightarrow Y$. Then, we say that F is real-analytic if and only if for every $u \in U$ there exists $r > 0$ with $B_r(u) \in U$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists a continuous k -linear map $L_k : X \times X \times \dots \times X \rightarrow Y$ and with $L^{(k)}(x) = L_k(x, \dots, x)$

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L^{(k)}(x - u), \quad x \in B_r(u)$$

holds true with uniform convergence in $B_r(u)$.

Theorem 4.1 (Proposition 1.4.1 in [21]). *Let $F : U \rightarrow Y$ be differentiable. Assume that for $u \in U$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $F' : B_\epsilon(u) \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is bounded. Then, $F|_{B_\epsilon(u)}$ is Lipschitz continuous. In particular, if $F \in C^1(U, Y)$, then F is locally Lipschitz continuous.*

Theorem 4.2 (Proposition 1.4.5 in [21]). *Let X, Y, Z be Banach space over \mathbb{R} , U be open subset of X , V be open subset of Y , $F : U \rightarrow V$, and $G : V \rightarrow Z$ real-analytic. Then $G \circ F : U \rightarrow Z$ is real-analytic.*

Theorem 4.3 (Proposition 15.1 (a) in [11]). *Let X be a Banach space. If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a strict contraction then $I - T$ is a homeomorphism onto X .*

Theorem 4.4 (Implicit Function Theorem). *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, X, Y, Z be Banach spaces over \mathbb{R} , $U \subset X$, and $V \subset Y$ be neighborhoods of x_0 and y_0 respectively. Let $F \in C^k(U \times V, Z)$ [respectively, Let F be real-analytic], $F(x_0, y_0) = 0$ and $(D_y F)^{-1}(x_0, y_0) \in L(Z, Y)$. Then there exist balls $B_r(x_0) \subset U$, and $B_R(y_0) \subset V$ and exactly one map $G \in C^k(B_r(x_0), Y)$ [respectively, real-analytic map $G : B_r(x_0) \rightarrow Y$], with $G(B_r(x_0)) \subset B_R(y_0)$ such that $G(x_0) = y_0$ and $F(x, G(x)) = 0$ for $x \in B_r(x_0)$.*

Proof. The proof of Theorem 4.4 is given in [11], Theorem 15.1, Corollary 15.1 and Theorem 15.3 (a). \square

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Let $s > 1$, $0 < T < 1$, and $0 < \delta$ small enough such that the lemma 3.4 holds. We prove the existence of the solutions in $X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$. Having that in hand, the existence of the solution in $C_t H_{xy}^s$ can be verified as follows; Observe that

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}} = \|e^{t\partial_x \Delta} u\|_{H_t^b H_{xy}^s}.$$

Sobolev embedding theorem gives $H^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$, and $e^{-t\partial_x \Delta}$ is continuous in $L^\infty([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}))$, then

$$X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta} \hookrightarrow C([0, T] : H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})).$$

We define the integral equation map

$$\Phi(u_0, u) : H^s \times X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta} \rightarrow X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta},$$

where

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi(u_0, u) := \eta(t)e^{-t\partial_x \Delta} u_0 + \eta\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\partial_x \Delta} \partial_x(u^3(t')) dt'.$$

It is well-known that u is a solution for (1.14) for $|t| < T$ if and only if u is a solution for (4.1). Let $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ such that $\|u_0\|_{H^s} \leq r$, and let $u \in X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ such that $\|u\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \leq R$, where $r, R > 0$. From the definition of the $X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$ space there is $w \in X^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}$ such that $w_{(0,T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} = u$. Now, having $\overline{B}_R \subset X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$, we show that Φ maps $\overline{B}_r \times \overline{B}_R$ to \overline{B}_R if $T > 0$ is small enough.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u_0, w)\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} &= \left\| \eta(t)e^{-t\partial_x \Delta} u_0 + \eta\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\partial_x \Delta} \partial_x(w^3(t')) dt' \right\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \\ \|\Phi(u_0, w)\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} &\leq \|\eta(t)e^{-t\partial_x \Delta} u_0\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} + \left\| \eta\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\partial_x \Delta} \partial_x(w^3(t')) dt' \right\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

From lemma 2.2, and lemma 2.3 for $b = \frac{1}{2} + \delta$ and $b' = -\frac{1}{2} + 3\delta$

$$\|\Phi(u_0, w)\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \leq c_1 \|u_0\|_{H^s} + c_2 T^{2\delta} \|\partial_x w^3(t)\|_{X^{s, -\frac{1}{2} + 3\delta}},$$

from lemma 3.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u_0, w)\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} &\leq c_1 \|u_0\|_{H^s} + c_2 c_3 T^{2\delta} \|w\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}^3 \\ \|\Phi(u_0, u)\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} &\leq c_1 \|u_0\|_{H^s} + c_2 c_3 T^{2\delta} \|u\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}^3 \\ &\leq cr + cT^{2\delta} R^3 \\ &< R \end{aligned}$$

where we chose $c = \max\{c_1, c_2 c_3\}$, $R = 2cr$ and $T^{2\delta} < (24c^3 r^2)^{-1}$, with which we restrict the map Φ to the closed ball $\overline{B}_r \times \overline{B}_R \subset H^s \times X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$, moreover, $\Phi(u_0, \cdot) : \overline{B}_R \rightarrow \overline{B}_R$ is strict contraction on \overline{B}_R , and uniformly in u_0 , that is, for $f_1, g_2 \in \overline{B}_R$, we consider their extension $f, g \in X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$, where $f|_{(0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} = f_1$, and $g|_{(0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} = g_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u_0, f) - \Phi(u_0, g)\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} &= \left\| \eta\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\partial_x \Delta} \partial_x (f^3 - g^3)(t') dt' \right\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \\ &\leq c_2 T^{2\delta} \|\partial_x (f^3 - g^3)\|_{X^{s, -\frac{1}{2} + 3\delta}} \\ &= c_2 T^{2\delta} \|\partial_x ((f^2 + g(f + g))(f - g))\|_{X^{s, -\frac{1}{2} + 3\delta}} \\ &\leq c_2 T^{2\delta} \left(\|\partial_x (f^2(f - g))\|_{X^{s, -\frac{1}{2} + 3\delta}} + \|\partial_x (g(f + g)(f - g))\|_{X^{s, -\frac{1}{2} + 3\delta}} \right) \\ &\leq c_2 c_3 T^{2\delta} \left(\|f\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}^2 + \|g\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \|f + g\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \right) \|f - g\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}, \end{aligned}$$

for $|t| < T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u_0, f_1) - \Phi(u_0, g_1)\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} &\leq c_2 c_3 T^{2\delta} \left(\|f_1\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}^2 + \|g_1\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \|f_1 + g_1\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \right) \|f_1 - g_1\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \\ &\leq 3cT^{2\delta} R^2 \|f_1 - g_1\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \|f_1 - g_1\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved the unique existence of solutions in \overline{B}_R . Thereby, we define the data-solution map $\mathcal{S}_r : H^s \supset B_r \rightarrow B_R \subset X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$, where

$$\Phi(u_0, u) = u \in B_R \iff u = \mathcal{S}_r(u_0), \quad \forall u_0 \in B_r$$

Next, we prove the uniqueness of the solutions in $X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$. Let $u, v \in X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$ be solutions to the integral equations (4.1), where u, v are associated with the same initial value u_0 . Then for $0 < T_0 \leq 1$, we have $\eta(t/T_0)u$ and $\eta(t/T_0)v$ solves (4.1) on $[-T_1, T_1]$, where $T_1 = \min\{T_0, T\}$. Therefore, we have

$$u(t) - v(t) = \eta(t/T) \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\partial_x \Delta} \partial_x ((\eta(t'/T_0)u(t'))^3 - (\eta(t'/T_0)v(t'))^3) dt', \quad t \in [T_1, T_1].$$

Using lemma 2.3, lemma 3.4, and lemma 2.4 we get;

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \leq c_1 c_2 c_3 T^\delta T_0^\delta \left(\|u\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}^2 + \|v\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \|u + v\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \right) \|u - v\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}, \quad |t| \leq T_1.$$

Which implies

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{X_{T_1}^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \leq c_1 c_2 c_3 T^\delta T_0^\delta \left(\|u\|_{X_{T_1}^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}^2 + \|v\|_{X_{T_1}^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \|u + v\|_{X_{T_1}^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \right) \|u - v\|_{X_{T_1}^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}, \quad |t| \leq T_1.$$

We choose T_0 small such that

$$c_1 c_2 c_3 T^\delta T_0^\delta \left(\|u\|_{X_{T_1}^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}^2 + \|v\|_{X_{T_1}^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \|u + v\|_{X_{T_1}^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \right) < \frac{1}{2}.$$

If $T = T_1$, then we have the desired uniqueness. In the case where $T > T_1 = T_0$, the procedure is repeated finite number of times until we obtain the uniqueness.

To prove that the data-solution map is analytic we argue as follows; Since Φ is a composition of linear and trilinear bounded maps, then for fixed u_0 (and fixed u by Γ_{u_0}) we have $\Phi(u_0, u) = \Gamma_{u_0}(u)$ is an analytic map, then $I - \Gamma_{u_0}$ is an analytic map. Next, we show that $\partial_u(I - \Gamma_{u_0})$ is invertable. According to theorem 4.3, it is sufficient to show that $\partial_u \Gamma_{u_0}$ is contraction. We consider

$$\partial_u \Gamma_{u_0} : X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta} \rightarrow X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta},$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad \partial_u \Gamma_{u_0}(v(t)) = 3\eta \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\partial_x \Delta} \partial_x (u^2(t')v(t')) dt', \quad v \in X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}.$$

Let $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 \in X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$, $v_1, v_2 \in X^{s, \frac{1}{2}}$ be their extensions respectively, that is,

$$v_1|_{(0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} = \bar{v}_1, \quad \text{and} \quad v_2|_{(0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} = \bar{v}_2,$$

and $u \in B_R$, $w \in X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$ be its extension, that is, $w_1|_{(0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} = u$;

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_u \Gamma_{u_0}(w)(v_2) - \partial_u \Gamma_{u_0}(w)(v_1)\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} &= 3 \left\| \eta \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\partial_x \Delta} \partial_x (w^2(t')(v_2(t') - v_1(t'))) dt' \right\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \\ &\leq 3c_2 T^{2\delta} \|\partial_x (w^2(v_2 - v_1))\|_{X^{s, -\frac{1}{2} + 3\delta}} \\ &\leq 3c_2 c_3 T^{2\delta} \|w\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}^2 \|v_2 - v_1\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}, \end{aligned}$$

for $|t| < T$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_u \Gamma_{u_0}(u)(\bar{v}_2) - \partial_u \Gamma_{u_0}(u)(\bar{v}_1)\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} &\leq 3c_2 c_3 T^{2\delta} \|u\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}^2 \|\bar{v}_2 - \bar{v}_1\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}, \\ &\leq 3c T^{2\delta} R^2 \|\bar{v}_2 - \bar{v}_1\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{v}_2 - \bar{v}_1\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $u \in B_R$, $\partial_u \Gamma_{u_0}(u)$ is strict contraction on $X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$. Therefore, $I - \partial_u \Gamma_{u_0}(u)$ is invertible in $X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}$. Applying Theorem 4.4 for the mapping $I - \Gamma_{u_0}$ at $u = \mathcal{S}_r(u_0)$, we obtain that \mathcal{S}_r is real-analytic.

Remark 2. *It is also possible to prove the analyticity by justifying the power series expansion of the data-solution map, see theorem 3 in [1].*

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to acknowledge Professors Kenji Nakanishi and Nobu Kishimoto for their significant guidance throughout a major part of this work which was conducted at RIMS Kyoto University. The author gratefully acknowledges support from the NSF grant DMS-2009800 through professor T. Chen.

REFERENCES

1. Ioan Bejenaru and Terence Tao, *Sharp well-posedness and ill-posedness results for a quadratic non-linear Schrödinger equation*, Journal of functional analysis **233** (2006), no. 1, 228–259.
2. Thomas Brooke Benjamin, *The stability of solitary waves*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences **328** (1972), no. 1573, 153–183.
3. David John Benney, *Long non-linear waves in fluid flows*, Journal of Mathematics and Physics **45** (1966), no. 1-4, 52–63.
4. Yu A Berezin and VI Karpman, *Nonlinear evolution of disturbances in plasmas and other dispersive media*, Soviet Physics JETP **24** (1967), 1049–1056.
5. Debdeep Bhattacharya, Luiz Gustavo Farah, and Svetlana Roudenko, *Global well-posedness for low regularity data in the 2D modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Journal of Differential Equations **268** (2020), no. 12, 7962–7997.
6. Hebe A. Biagioni and Felipe Linares, *Well-posedness results for the modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Nonlinear equations: methods, models, and applications, Springer, 2003, pp. 181–189.
7. Jerry L. Bona and Ronald Smith, *The initial-value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences **278** (1975), no. 1287, 555–601.
8. Jean Bourgain, *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations*, Geometric & Functional Analysis GAFA **3** (1993), no. 3, 209–262.
9. Joseph Boussinesq, *Essai sur la théorie des eaux courantes*, Impr. nationale, 1877.
10. Marcos Castelli and Gleb Doronin, *Modified zakharov-kuznetsov equation on rectangles*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.02810 (2019), 15.
11. Klaus Deimling, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **20** (1989), 277–280.
12. P. G. Drazin, *On the stability of cnoidal waves*, The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics **30** (1977), no. 1, 91–105.
13. M. Burak Erdoğan and Nikolaos Tzirakis, *Dispersive partial differential equations: Wellposedness and applications*, vol. 86, Cambridge University Press, 2016.
14. Andrei Vadimovich Faminskii, *The Cauchy problem for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Differentsial'nye Uravneniya **31** (1995), no. 6, 1070–1081.
15. Luiz G. Farah, Felipe Linares, and Ademir Pastor, *A note on the 2D generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation: Local, global, and scattering results*, Journal of Differential Equations **253** (2012), no. 8, 2558–2571.
16. Jean Ginibre, *Le probleme de Cauchy pour des EDP semi-linéaires périodiques en variables d'espace*, Séminaire Bourbaki **796** (1995), 163–187.
17. Jean Ginibre, Yoshio Tsutsumi, and Giorgio Velo, *On the cauchy problem for the zakharov system*, Journal of Functional Analysis **151** (1997), no. 2, 384–436.
18. Roger Grimshaw and W. K. Melville, *On the derivation of the modified Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, Studies in Applied Mathematics **80** (1989), no. 3, 183–202.
19. Axel Grünrock, *A remark on the modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation in three space dimensions*, Mathematical Research Letters **21** (2014), no. 1, 127–131.
20. Axel Grünrock and Sebastian Herr, *The fourier restriction norm method for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems **34** (2014), no. 5, 2061.
21. Sebastian Herr, *Well-posedness results for dispersive equations with derivative nonlinearities*, Ph.D. thesis, PhD Dissertation Submitted to the Department of Mathematics at the University of Dortmund, 2006.
22. E. Infeld, *Self-focusing of nonlinear ion-acoustic waves and solitons in magnetized plasmas*, Journal of plasma physics **33** (1985), no. 2, 171–182.
23. Eryk Infeld and George Rowlands, *Nonlinear waves, solitons and chaos*, Cambridge university press, 2000.
24. Boris Borisovich Kadomtsev and Vladimir Iosifovich Petviashvili, *On the stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersing media*, Doklady Akademii Nauk, vol. 192, Russian Academy of Sciences, 1970, pp. 753–756.
25. M. Kako and G. Rowlands, *Two-dimensional stability of ion-acoustic solitons*, Plasma Physics **18** (1976), no. 3, 165.
26. Tsunehiko Kakutani and Hiroaki Ono, *Weak non-linear hydromagnetic waves in a cold collision-free plasma*, Journal of the physical society of Japan **26** (1969), no. 5, 1305–1318.
27. Carlos E. Kenig, Gustavo Ponce, and Luis Vega, *Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **46** (1993), no. 4, 527–620.
28. Shinya Kinoshita, *Global well-posedness for the Cauchy problem of the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation in 2D*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire **38** (2021), no. 2, 451–505.

29. B. G. Konopelchenko, *On the gauge-invariant description of the evolution equations integrable by Gelfand-Dikij spectral problems*, Physics Letters A **92** (1982), no. 7, 323–327.
30. D. J. Korteweg and G. de Vries, *On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular channel, and a new type of long stationary wave*, Philos. Mag **39** (1895), 422–443.
31. David Lannes, Felipe Linares, and Jean-Claude Saut, *The Cauchy problem for the Euler-Poisson system and derivation of the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Studies in phase space analysis with applications to PDEs, Springer, 2013, pp. 181–213.
32. Felipe Linares and Ademir Pastor, *Well-posedness for the two-dimensional modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, SIAM journal on mathematical analysis **41** (2009), no. 4, 1323–1339.
33. Felipe Linares, Ademir Pastor, and Jean-Claude Saut, *Well-posedness for the zk equation in a cylinder and on the background of a kdv soliton*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations **35** (2010), no. 9, 1674–1689.
34. Felipe Linares and Jean-Claude Saut, *The Cauchy problem for the 3d Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems **24** (2009), no. 2, 547.
35. Linares, Felipe and Pastor, Ademir, *Local and global well-posedness for the 2D generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Journal of Functional Analysis **260** (2011), no. 4, 1060–1085.
36. Luc Molinet and Didier Pilod, *Bilinear strichartz estimates for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation and applications*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire, vol. 32, Elsevier, 2015, pp. 347–371.
37. J. Mujica, *Complex analysis in banach spaces, holomorphic functions and domains of holomorphy in finite and infinite dimensions, vol. 120*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1986), 440.
38. Susan Munro and E.J. Parkes, *The derivation of a modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation and the stability of its solutions*, Journal of Plasma Physics **62** (1999), no. 3, 305–317.
39. I.S. O’Keir and E.J. Parkes, *The derivation of a modified Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and the stability of its solutions*, Physica Scripta **55** (1997), no. 2, 135.
40. Satoshi Osawa, *Local well-posedness for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation in Sobolev spaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.04657 (2022), 16.
41. E. J. Parkes, *The stability of solutions of Vakhnenko’s equation*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **26** (1993), no. 22, 6469.
42. Francis Ribaud and Stéphane Vento, *A note on the Cauchy problem for the 2D generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equations*, Comptes Rendus Mathématique **350** (2012), no. 9-10, 499–503.
43. ———, *Well-posedness results for the three-dimensional Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **44** (2012), no. 4, 2289–2304.
44. Sipic, R. and Benney, D.J., *Lump interactions and collapse in the modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Studies in Applied Mathematics **105** (2000), no. 4, 385–403.
45. Terence Tao, *Nonlinear dispersive equations: Local and global analysis*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, no. 106, American Mathematical Soc., 2006.
46. Hidekazu Tsuji and Masayuki Oikawa, *Two-dimensional interaction of solitary waves in a modified Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **73** (2004), no. 11, 3034–3043.
47. Miki Wadati, *The modified korteweg-de vries equation*, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **34** (1973), no. 5, 1289–1296.
48. Haruichi Washimi and Tosiya Taniuti, *Propagation of ion-acoustic solitary waves of small amplitude*, Physical Review Letters **17** (1966), no. 19, 996.
49. Norman J Zabusky, *A synergetic approach to problems of nonlinear dispersive wave propagation and interaction*, Nonlinear partial differential equations, Elsevier, 1967, pp. 223–258.
50. V.E. Zakharov and E.A. Kuznetsov, *On three-dimensional solitons*, Zhurnal Eksp. Teoret. Fiz **66** (1974), 594–597.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN TX 78712, USA

Email address: alimezher@math.utexas.edu