

CENTERED CO-CIRCULAR CENTRAL CONFIGURATIONS WITH THREE UNEQUAL MASSES IN POWER-LAW N-BODY PROBLEMS

Zhengyang Tang¹, Shuqiang Zhu²

School of Mathematics, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics,
Chengdu 611130, China

¹kevintang_2003@126.com, ²zhusq@swufe.edu.cn

ABSTRACT. We study the co-circular central configurations of the general power-law potential n -body problem for which the center of mass and the center of the common circle coincide. We prove that there are no central configurations of this type with all the masses equal except three.

Keywords: Centered co-circular central configurations; Cyclic polygon; Power-Law n -body problem.

2020AMS Subject Classification: 70F10, 70F15.

1. INTRODUCTIONS

The Newtonian n -body problem aims at describing the dynamic behavior of solutions to Newton's equations:

$$m_k \ddot{q}_k = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial q_k}, U = \sum_{i < j} \frac{m_i m_j}{r_{ij}}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $q_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 2, 3$ and $m_k > 0$ are the position and mass of the i -th particle, and $r_{ij} = |q_i - q_j|$. Though explored by great mathematicians over the centuries, the problem remains largely unsolved for $n > 2$.

Central configurations have emerged as pivotal in understanding the n -body problem's dynamics. They produce a family of periodic solutions, which maintain the invariance of the overall shape throughout the movement. They also play an important role in many aspects, including analysis of collision orbits, parabolic orbits, the bifurcation of integral manifold (cf. [8, 9, 13]).

In this paper, the co-circular central configuration whose center of mass is the center of the circle will be called *centered co-circular central configuration*, following the terminology in [6]. It is obvious to see that any regular polygon with equal masses makes a centered co-circular central configuration [7, 11]. In order to answer the question: *Do there exist planar choreography solutions whose masses are not all*

equal? Chenciner proposed another relative question in [2]: *Is the regular polygon with equal masses the unique centered co-circular central configuration?* The question was also included in the well-known list of open problems on the classical n -body problems compiled by Albouy, Cabral and Santos [1]. It is noteworthy, Hampton's work in [5] provided a positive answer for $n = 4$. Recently, Wang's research in [12] confirmed a positive answer for $n = 5, 6$.

Like many other problems in celestial mechanics, this problem has also been explored in the context of the general power-law potential n -body problem, where the potential takes the form:

$$U_\alpha = \sum_{i < j} \frac{m_i m_j}{r_{ij}^\alpha}$$

In fact, when $\alpha = 1$, it corresponds to the Newtonian n -body problem and the limiting case $\alpha = 0$ corresponds to the n -vortex problem.

$$U_0 = \sum_{i < j} m_i m_j \log r_{ij}$$

Actually, Cors, Hall, and Roberts in [4] have established an affirmative answer to Chenciner's question for any n in the case of $\alpha = 0$. Wang's work in [12] gave an positive answer for $n = 3, 4$.

Hampton initiated another interesting approach to the question, that is, to investigate the existence of centered co-circular central configurations for mass distributed in some (not necessarily small) neighbourhood of the all equal masses. In [6], Hampton showed that there are no centered co-circular central configurations formed by n equal masses plus one infinitesimal mass in the case of $\alpha = 1$. This result was subsequently expanded upon by Corbera and Valls in [3] to general power-law potentials for masses formed by n equal masses plus one arbitrary mass. In [10], we proved the nonexistence of such configurations in several cases: when there are equal masses and exactly two unequal masses; when there are two groups of equal masses; and when there are a mix of equal masses and some heavier (or lighter) ones, if ordered in some specific way.

The goal of this paper is to show the nonexistence of centered co-circular central configurations when there are equal masses and exactly three unequal masses.

Theorem 1. *In the general power-law potential n -body problem, no centered co-circular central configurations exist when precisely $n - 3$ of the masses are equal, provided that the three equal masses are all different.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, We briefly list the necessary forms and some important lemmas for the co-circular central configuration. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.

2. BASIC SETTINGS AND USEFUL LEMMAS

Suppose that there are n positive masses represented by $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ placed around a unit circle centered at the origin in the complex plane. Their positions are given by $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)$ in \mathbb{C}^n , with each position defined as $q_j = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_j} = \cos \theta_j + \sqrt{-1} \sin \theta_j$. Without loss of generality, assume that θ_j falls within the range $(0, 2\pi]$, and

$$0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_n \leq 2\pi.$$

We also write the positions by $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$. In this way, the mass vector determines the order of the masses on the circle. Now, the potential U_α is

$$U_\alpha(\mathbf{m}, \theta) = \sum_{j < k} \frac{m_j m_k}{r_{jk}^\alpha},$$

where the distance between masses j and k is given by r_{jk} :

$$r_{jk} = \left| 2 \sin \frac{\theta_j - \theta_k}{2} \right| = \sqrt{2 - 2 \cos(\theta_j - \theta_k)}.$$

It is a centered co-circular central configuration if

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} U_\alpha = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial m_k} U_\alpha = \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{m_j}{r_{jk}} = \frac{2\lambda}{\alpha}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

The central configuration equations are invariant under the rotation. To remove the symmetry, we specify that $\theta_n = 2\pi$. Let $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{\theta : 0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_n = 2\pi\}$, $\mathcal{CC}_0 = \{(\mathbf{m}, \theta) \text{ satisfy (1)}, \theta \in \mathcal{K}_0\}$.

Lemma 1 ([4]). *For any \mathbf{m} , there is a unique point in \mathcal{K}_0 satisfying $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} U_\alpha = 0, k = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover, the critical point is a minimum, denoted by $\theta_{\mathbf{m}}$.*

The dihedral group, D_n , acts on the set $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathcal{K}_0$ as follows. Denote

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The action of D_n on \mathbb{R}_+^n is by the matrix group generated by P, S , and the action of D_n on \mathcal{K}_0 is by the matrix group generated by \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S} . For any $g = P^h S^l \in D_n$, letting $\hat{g} = \mathcal{P}^h \mathcal{S}^l$, define the action of D_n on $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathcal{K}_0$ by

$$g \cdot (\mathbf{m}, \theta) = (g\mathbf{m}, \hat{g}\theta).$$

Lemma 2. *Assume that $(\mathbf{m}, \theta_{\mathbf{m}}) \in \mathcal{CC}_0$ is a centered co-circular central configuration, then*

- (1) *For any $g \in D_n$, $g \cdot (\mathbf{m}, \theta_{\mathbf{m}}) \in \mathcal{CC}_0$.*
- (2) *$U_{\alpha}(\mathbf{m}, \theta_{\mathbf{m}}) = U_{\alpha}(g\mathbf{m}, \hat{g}\theta_{\mathbf{m}}) \leq U_{\alpha}(g\mathbf{m}, \theta_{\mathbf{m}})$ and $\hat{g}\theta_{\mathbf{m}} = \theta_{g\mathbf{m}}$.*
- (3) *$\mathbf{m} = g\mathbf{m}$ implies $\hat{g}\theta_{\mathbf{m}} = \theta_{\mathbf{m}}$.*

Lemma 3. *Given \mathbf{m} and the corresponding $\theta_{\mathbf{m}}$, if there is some $g \in D_n$ such that $H_{\mathbf{m}}(g\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}) < 0$, then $(\mathbf{m}, \theta_{\mathbf{m}}) \notin \mathcal{CC}_0$.*

Remark 1. The above two observations are extensions to that of Wang [12]. While our object is the potential U_{α} , his attention is on the function in the form of $U_{\alpha} + \frac{U_{-2}}{K}$, where $K \geq \frac{2^{3+\alpha}}{\alpha}$.

A co-circular configuration can be viewed as a *cyclic polygon*, which is by definition a polygon with vertices upon which a circle can be circumscribed. The following fact of cyclic quadrilateral is important for the study of $H_{\mathbf{m}}(g\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m})$.

Lemma 4. *Consider a cyclic quadrilateral with vertices A, B, C, D , which are ordered counterclockwise. See Figure 1. Then for any $\alpha > 0$, it holds that*

$$S(G) = \frac{1}{AC^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{BD^{\alpha}} - \left(\frac{1}{AD^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{BC^{\alpha}} \right) < 0.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The main idea is to use lemma 3, that is, if we find a suitable $g \in D_n$ such that $H_{\mathbf{m}}(g\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}) < 0$ is negative, then the nonexistence is established. The masses can be ordered in many ways. We first investigate the nonexistence in two typical orderings, (cf. Lemma 5 and Lemma 6) then complete the proof by reducing other orderings to the two typical orderings.




FIGURE 1. One cyclic quadrilateral. The dashed lines correspond to the positive terms, while the solid black lines correspond to the negative terms.

Proposition 1. *In the general power-law potential n -body problem, if all masses equal except three and there are two special masses in the opposite position, there is no centered co-circular central configurations.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that the mass distribution

$$\mathbf{m} = (1, \dots, 1, m_i, 1, \dots, 1, m_{\frac{n}{2}}, 1, \dots, 1, m_n)$$

Let $g = S$, Then

$$g\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m} = \pm(m_i - 1)(0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

Obviously, we have

$$H_{\mathbf{m}}(g\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}) < 0$$

By Lemma 3, there is no centered co-circular central configuration. \square

The positions of the three special masses are as follows

$$Q = \{i, j, n \mid 1 < i, j < n \text{ and } i, j, n \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$$

\mathbb{Z}_n is Residue Classes Modulo n . For any element $q \in Q$, then the remaining two masses' positions are \tilde{q} and q' , Their masses are $m_q, m_{\tilde{q}}, m_{q'}$ respectively.

Lemma 5. *In the general power-law potential n -body problem, if all masses equal except three and there exists $q \in Q$ such that $((q' - q) - \frac{n}{2})(\tilde{q} - q) - \frac{n}{2} > 0$ and $(m_{q'} - 1)(m_{\tilde{q}} - 1) < 0$, there is no centered co-circular central configurations for this case.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$(q' - q) < (\tilde{q} - q) < \frac{n}{2}$$

For convenience, let

$$l = (q' - q), s = (\tilde{q} - q)$$

Let $g = SP^q$, Then

$$g\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{m} = (0, \dots, 0, 1-m_l, 0, \dots, 0, 1-m_s, 0, \dots, 0, m_s-1, 0, \dots, 0, m_l-1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

Obviously, we have

$$H_{\mathbf{m}}(g\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{m}) = -\frac{(1-m_l)^2}{r_{l,n-l}^\alpha} - \frac{(m_s-1)^2}{r_{s,n-s}^\alpha} + (1-m_l)(m_s-1)S(G) < 0$$

By Lemma 3, there is no centered co-circular central configuration. \square

Lemma 6. *In the general power-law potential n -body problem, if all masses equal except three and there exists $q \in Q$ such that $((q' - q) - \frac{n}{2})(\tilde{q} - q) - \frac{n}{2} < 0$ and $(m_{q'} - 1)(m_{\tilde{q}} - 1) > 0$, there is no centered co-circular central configurations for this case.*

Proof. **I.** $(q' - q) \neq n - (\tilde{q} - q)$

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$(q' - q) < n - (\tilde{q} - q)$$

For convenience, let

$$l = (q' - q), s = (\tilde{q} - q)$$

Let $g = SP^q$, Then

$$g\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{m} = (0, \dots, 0, 1-m_l, 0, \dots, 0, m_s-1, 0, \dots, 0, 1-m_s, 0, \dots, 0, m_l-1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

Obviously, we have

$$H_{\mathbf{m}}(g\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{m}) = -\frac{(1-m_l)^2}{r_{l,n-l}^\alpha} - \frac{(m_s-1)^2}{r_{s,n-s}^\alpha} + (1-m_l)(1-m_s)S(G) < 0$$

By Lemma 3, there is no centered co-circular central configuration.

II. $(q' - q) = n - (\tilde{q} - q)$

Similarly, we let

$$l = (q' - q), s = (\tilde{q} - q) = n - l$$

Obviously we have

$$H_{\mathbf{m}}(Sm - m) = -(m_l - m_s)^2 \frac{1}{r_{l,s}} < 0,$$

since we have assumed that $m_l \neq m_s$. By Lemma 3, there is no centered co-circular central configuration. \square

Without loss of generality, we assume that $i < j < n, m_i \leq m_j \leq m_n$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We are divided into the following two cases to discuss.

I.(Two special masses in the opposite position)

Firstly, By lemma1, the existence of two masses in the relative position has been discussed.

II.(Other cases other than the first one)

Next, we discuss the other cases.(No masses will be in a opposite position)

What we want to prove is that for all the cases discussed below, it can always be proved by Lemma 5 and lemma 6 (There are always cases that satisfy Lemma 5 and lemma 6).

II-1.($1 < m_i < m_j < m_n$ ($m_i < m_j < m_n < 1$))

If this situation does not the situation described by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6.

Then the following three equations must be satisfied at the same time.

$$\begin{cases} ((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})((n-i) - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 & q = i \\ ((i-j) - \frac{n}{2})((n-j) - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 & q = j \\ ((i-n) - \frac{n}{2})((j-n) - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 & q = n \end{cases}$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} ((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})((n-i) - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 \\ ((i-j+n) - \frac{n}{2})((n-j) - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 \\ (i - \frac{n}{2})(j - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} ((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{2} - i) > 0 \\ -((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{2} - j) > 0 \\ (i - \frac{n}{2})(j - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to verify that the above three inequalities cannot be satisfied at the same time, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, for II-1, there must be a case that satisfies Lemma 5 and Lemma 6.

II-2.($m_i < 1 < m_j < m_n$)

If this situation does not the situation described by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6.

Then the following three equations must be satisfied at the same time.

$$\begin{cases} ((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})((n-i) - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 & q = i \\ ((i-j) - \frac{n}{2})((n-j) - \frac{n}{2}) < 0 & q = j \\ ((i-n) - \frac{n}{2})((j-n) - \frac{n}{2}) < 0 & q = n \end{cases}$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} ((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})((n-i) - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 \\ ((i-j+n) - \frac{n}{2})((n-j) - \frac{n}{2}) < 0 \\ (i - \frac{n}{2})(j - \frac{n}{2}) < 0 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} ((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{2} - i) > 0 \\ -((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{2} - j) < 0 \\ (i - \frac{n}{2})(j - \frac{n}{2}) < 0 \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to verify that the above three inequalities cannot be satisfied at the same time, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, for II-2, there must be a case that satisfies Lemma 5 and

Lemma 6.

II-3. $(m_i < m_j < 1 < m_n)$

If this situation does not the situation described by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6.

Then the following three equations must be satisfied at the same time.

$$\begin{cases} ((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})((n-i) - \frac{n}{2}) < 0 & q = i \\ ((i-j) - \frac{n}{2})((n-j) - \frac{n}{2}) < 0 & q = j \\ ((i-n) - \frac{n}{2})((j-n) - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 & q = n \end{cases}$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} ((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})((n-i) - \frac{n}{2}) < 0 \\ ((i-j+n) - \frac{n}{2})((n-j) - \frac{n}{2}) < 0 \\ (i - \frac{n}{2})(j - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} ((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{2} - i) < 0 \\ -((j-i) - \frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{2} - j) < 0 \\ (i - \frac{n}{2})(j - \frac{n}{2}) > 0 \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to verify that the above three inequalities cannot be satisfied at the same time, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, for II-3, there must be a case that satisfies Lemma 5 and Lemma 6.

Therefore, we prove that for II, there is always a case satisfying Lemma 5 and Lemma 6.

By Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, there is no centered co-circular central configuration.

Combining I and II, we complete the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Alain Albouy, Hildeberto E. Cabral, and Alan A. Santos. Some problems on the classical n -body problem. *Celestial Mech. Dynam. Astronom.*, 113(4):369–375, 2012.
- [2] Alain Chenciner. Are there perverse choreographies? In *New advances in celestial mechanics and Hamiltonian systems*, pages 63–76. Kluwer/Plenum, New York, 2004.
- [3] Montserrat Corbera and Claudia Valls. On centered co-circular central configurations of the n -body problem. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, 31(4):2053–2060, 2019.
- [4] Josep M. Cors, Glen R. Hall, and Gareth E. Roberts. Uniqueness results for co-circular central configurations for power-law potentials. *Phys. D*, 280/281:44–47, 2014.
- [5] Marshall Hampton. Co-circular central configurations in the four-body problem. In *EQUADIFF 2003*, pages 993–998. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2005.

- [6] Marshall Hampton. Splendid isolation: local uniqueness of the centered co-circular relative equilibria in the N -body problem. *Celestial Mech. Dynam. Astronom.*, 124(2):145–153, 2016.
- [7] L. M. Perko and E. L. Walter. Regular polygon solutions of the N -body problem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 94(2):301–309, 1985.
- [8] Donald G. Saari. On the role and the properties of n -body central configurations. *Celestial Mech.*, 21(1):9–20, 1980.
- [9] S. Smale. Topology and mechanics. II. The planar n -body problem. *Invent. Math.*, 11:45–64, 1970.
- [10] Z. Y. Tang and S. Q. Zhu. Perturbing masses: A study of centered co-circular central configurations in power-law n -body problems. *Physica D*, 461:134115, 2024.
- [11] Zhiqiang Wang. Regular polygon central configurations of the N -body problem with general homogeneous potential. *Nonlinearity*, 32(7):2426–2440, 2019.
- [12] Zhiqiang Wang. On the centered co-circular central configurations for the n -body problem. *J. Differential Equations*, 361:376–390, 2023.
- [13] Aurel Wintner. *The analytical foundations of celestial mechanics*. Princeton University Press, 1941.