

Lower spaces of multiplicative lattices

Amartya Goswami

ABSTRACT. We consider some distinguished classes of elements of a multiplicative lattice endowed with coarse lower topologies, and call them lower spaces. The primary objective of this paper is to study the topological properties of these lower spaces, encompassing lower separation axioms and compactness. We characterize lower spaces that exhibit sobriety. Introducing the concept of strongly disconnected spaces, we establish a correlation between strongly disconnected lower spaces and the presence of nontrivial idempotent elements in the corresponding multiplicative lattices. Additionally, we provide a sufficient condition for a lower space to be connected. We prove that the lower space of proper elements is a spectral space, we further explore continuous maps between lower spaces.

1. Introduction and preliminaries. Recall from [17] (see also [8]) that a *multiplicative lattice* is a complete lattice $(L, \leq, 0, 1)$ endowed with a binary operation \cdot , satisfying the following axioms:

1. $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$,
2. $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$,
3. $x \cdot (\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} y_\lambda) = \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (x \cdot y_\lambda)$,
4. $x \cdot 1 = x$,

for all $x, y, y_\lambda, z \in L$, and for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where Λ is an index set. Note that in the sense of [14], a multiplicative lattice is a commutative, unital quantale. We shall also use the notation x^n to denote $x \cdots x$ (repeated n times). In the following lemma, we compile a number of elementary results on multiplicative lattices that be utilized in sequel.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let L be a multiplicative lattice. Then the following hold.*

1. $x \cdot y \leq x \wedge y$, for all $x, y \in L$.
2. $x \cdot 0 = 0$, for all $x \in L$.
3. If $x \leq y$, then $x \cdot z \leq y \cdot z$, for all $z \in L$.
4. If $x \leq y$ and $u \leq v$, then $x \cdot u \leq y \cdot v$, for all $x, y, u, v \in L$.

PROOF. 1. Notice that $x = x \cdot 1 = x \cdot (y \vee 1) = (x \cdot y) \vee x$, and this implies $x \cdot y \leq x$. Similarly, we have $x \cdot y \leq y$, and hence $x \cdot y \leq x \wedge y$.

2. Applying 1., we obtain $x \cdot 0 \leq x \wedge 0 = 0$, and hence $x \cdot 0 = 0$.

3. Since $x \leq y$, we have $y \cdot z = (x \vee y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) \vee (y \cdot z)$, which implies that $x \cdot z \leq y \cdot z$.

4. Since $x \leq y$ and $u \leq v$, by 3., we have $x \cdot u \leq y \cdot u$ and $y \cdot u \leq y \cdot v$. From these, we obtain the claim. \square

Let us now focus on some distinguished classes of elements of a multiplicative lattice L . An element x of L is called *proper* if $x \neq 1$, and by $\text{Prop}(L)$, we denote the set of all proper elements of L . A proper element

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F99; 54B35.

Key words and phrases. multiplicative lattice ; compactness, sobriety, spectral space.

p of a multiplicative lattice L is said to be *prime* if $x \cdot y \leq p$ implies that $x \leq p$ or $y \leq p$ for all $x, y \in L$. The set of prime elements of L is denoted by $\text{Spec}(L)$. An element p is said to be a *minimal prime element* if there is no prime element q such that $q \leq p$. A proper element m of L is said to be *maximal*, if $m \leq n$, $n \leq 1$, and $n \neq 1$ then $m = n$. By $\text{Max}(L)$, we denote the set of all maximal elements of L . An element c of L is called *compact* if $c \leq \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_\lambda$ implies $c \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_{\lambda_i}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. A multiplicative lattice L is said to be *compactly generated* if every element of L is the join of compact elements. If L is a compactly generated multiplicative lattice with 1 compact, then maximal elements exist in L , and every maximal element is a prime element (see [1]). We say a multiplicative lattice L satisfies the *max-bounded* property if every proper element of L is bounded by a maximal element of L . An element x of L is called *idempotent* if $x \cdot x = x$.

The *Jacobson radical* of L is defined as the set

$$\text{Jac}(L) := \bigwedge \{m \mid m \in \text{Max}(L)\}.$$

The *radical* of an element x of L is defined as

$$\sqrt{x} := \bigvee \{y \in L \mid y \text{ is compact, } y^n \leq x, n \in \mathbb{N}^+\} = \bigwedge \{p \in \text{Spec}(L) \mid x \leq p\}.$$

An element r of L is called a *radical element* if $r = \sqrt{r}$. By $\text{Rad}(L)$, we denote the set of all radical elements of L . An element x of L is called *nilpotent* if $x^n = 0$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. The set of all nilpotent elements of a multiplicative lattice L is denoted by $\text{Nil}(L)$. An element $q \in L$ is said to be *primary* if $x \cdot y \leq q$ implies $x \leq q$ or $y \leq \sqrt{q}$, for all $x, y \in L$. We denote the set of all primary elements of L by $\text{Prim}(L)$.

An element $s \in L$ is said to be *strongly irreducible* if $x \wedge y \leq s$ implies $x \leq s$ or $y \leq s$, for all $x, y \in L$. We denote all strongly irreducible elements of L by $\text{Irr}^+(L)$. By Lemma 1.1(1), it follows that every prime element is strongly irreducible. Similarly, an element s of L is called *irreducible* if $x \wedge y = s$ implies $x = s$ or $y = s$. We denote the set of all irreducible elements of L by $\text{Irr}(L)$. An element s is said to be *completely irreducible* if $s = \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_\lambda$ implies $s = x_\lambda$, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and by $\text{Irr}^{++}(L)$, we denote the set of all such elements of L . We use the symbol Σ_L to denote any one of the above-mentioned distinguished classes of elements of a multiplicative lattice L .

From the perspective of abstract ideal theory, an in-depth exploration of certain classes of elements, along with others, is available in [2, 3, 1, 8, 9, 16, 17]. While these classes play a role in the examination of topological properties of multiplicative lattices, the consideration of a specific class (or classes) of elements as the underlying set of a topological space has garnered attention more recently.

The spectrum of prime elements of a multiplicative lattice has been extensively investigated in [6] (see also [5]). This work provides a sufficient condition for a spectrum to be a spectral space under the Zariski topology. The paper also discusses some topological aspects of radical, solvable, locally solvable, and semiprime elements.

In the context of the multiplicative lattice $\mathcal{N}(G)$ of all normal subgroups of a group G , [7] endows the Zariski spectrum $\text{Spec}(G)$ with the Zariski topology. It is proven that the topological space $\text{Spec}(G)$ is spectral if and only if it is compact, if and only if G has a maximal normal subgroup.

The objective of this paper is to examine topological properties of distinct classes of elements of a multiplicative lattice endowed with coarse lower topology. Notably, the topology associated with each class aligns with the Zariski or Stone topologies when the elements in question are prime or maximal, respectively. This framework enables the comprehensive investigation of topological properties for any class of elements of a multiplicative lattice. Furthermore, it extends and generalizes certain results presented in [10] and [11].

2. Lower spaces. Let L be a multiplicative lattice. The coarse lower topology (also known as lower topology) on a distinguish class Σ_L of elements of L will be the topology for which the sets of the type

$$v(x) := \{l \in \Sigma_L \mid x \leq l\}$$

(where $x \in L$) form a subbasis of closed sets. We call a class Σ_L of elements of L endowed with the lower topology, a *lower space*. In general, the collection $\{v(x)\}_{x \in L}$ does not form a closed-set topology on a Σ_L . The following result in fact characterizes such class of elements of a multiplicative lattice. The proof is straightforward.

THEOREM 2.1. *The collection $\{v(x)\}_{x \in L}$ induces a closed-set topology on Σ_L if and only if the class Σ_L of elements satisfies the following property:*

$$(1) \quad x \wedge y \leq s \Rightarrow x \leq s \text{ or } y \leq s,$$

for all $x, y \in L$, and for all $s \in \Sigma_L$.

It is clear from the above Theorem 2.1 that the class of strongly irreducible (or *meet-irreducible*) elements of a multiplicative lattice is the “largest” class of elements for which the collection $\{v(x)\}_{x \in L}$ will induce a closed-set topology. It is also evident that the classes of prime, minimal prime, maximal elements are all subclasses of the class of strongly irreducible elements.

REMARK 2.2. If $v(-)$ is a Kuratowski closure operator on a class Σ_L of elements in L , the collection $\{v(x)\}_{x \in L}$ of subsets of Σ_L are exactly the closed subsets of the topology induced on Σ_L . However, if $v(-)$ is not a Kuratowski closure operator then the collection $\{v(x)\}_{x \in L}$ does not form a closed base of a topology on Σ_L .

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let L be a multiplicative lattice and Σ_L be a class of elements of L . Then the following are equivalent.*

1. $v(x) = v(\sqrt{x})$ for every $x \in L$.
2. $v(x) = v(\sqrt{x})$ for every $x \in \Sigma_L$.
3. $\Sigma_L = \text{Rad}(L)$.

PROOF. 1. \Rightarrow 2. This is immediate because $\Sigma_L \subseteq L$.

2. \Rightarrow 3. Let $x \in \Sigma_L$. Then $x \in v(x)$, and so, by 2, $x \in v(\sqrt{x})$. But this implies that $\sqrt{x} \leq x$, whence we have $x = \sqrt{x}$, showing that $x \in \text{Rad}(L)$.

3. \Rightarrow 1. Let $x \in L$. If $v(x) = \emptyset$, then $v(\sqrt{x}) = \emptyset$ because $v(\sqrt{x}) \subseteq v(x)$, hence $v(x) = v(\sqrt{x})$. If $v(x) \neq \emptyset$, consider any $y \in v(x)$. Then $y \in \Sigma_L$, and is therefore a radical element, by 3. Furthermore, $x \leq y$, which then implies that $\sqrt{x} \leq \sqrt{y} = y$, whence $y \in v(\sqrt{x})$. Therefore, $v(x) \subseteq v(\sqrt{x})$, and hence $v(x) = v(\sqrt{x})$, as required. \square

From Proposition 2.3, it is clear that $v(x) = v(\sqrt{x})$ holds only for the radical elements of L . In the next result, we generalize the notion of radical of an element in such a fashion that the above identity holds for all classes of elements of a multiplicative lattice.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Suppose L is a multiplicative lattice. Then for any class Σ_L of elements of L the following hold.*

1. For every $x \in L$, $x \leq \bigwedge v(x)$.
2. If $x \in \Sigma_L$, then $x = \bigwedge v(x)$.
3. For all $x \in L$, $v(x) = v(\bigwedge v(x))$.
4. $v(x) \subseteq v(y)$ if and only if $\bigwedge v(y) \subseteq \bigwedge v(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$.
5. If $L = \text{Rad}(L)$, then $v(x) \subseteq v(y)$ if and only if $y \leq x$, for all $x, y \in L$.

PROOF. 1.-2. Straightforward.

3. By 1., $x \leq \bigwedge v(x)$, and hence we have $v(x) \supseteq v(\bigwedge v(x))$. The other inclusion is obvious.

4. Suppose that $\bigwedge v(y) \subseteq \bigwedge v(x)$, and $z \in v(x)$. Then $z \in \Sigma_L$ and $x \leq z$, so that $\bigwedge v(x) \leq z$. Therefore,

$$z \in v(z) \subseteq v\left(\bigwedge v(x)\right) \subseteq v\left(\bigwedge v(y)\right) \subseteq v(y),$$

showing that $v(x) \subseteq v(y)$. The other implication is obvious.

5. Since $L = \text{Rad}(L)$, we have $v(x) \subseteq v(y)$ implies $y \leq \bigwedge v(x) = x$. The other implication follows from monotonicity of v . \square

LEMMA 2.5. *Let L be a compactly generated multiplicative lattice and satisfies the “max-bounded” condition. A lower space Σ_L have the property: $v(x) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow x = L$ if and only if Σ_L contains all maximal elements of L .*

PROOF. Suppose Σ_L has the above property. Let m be a maximal element of R . If $m \notin \Sigma_L$, then we would have $v(m) = \emptyset$, and since $m \neq L$, we would have a contradiction. Conversely, suppose Σ_L contains all maximal elements of L . Consider an element x of L such that $v(x) = \emptyset$. This means that there is no proper element of L belonging to Σ_L containing x , and this forces x to be L because every proper element of L is contained in some maximal element (this follows from the “max-bounded” condition). \square

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let L be a compactly generated multiplicative lattice with 1 compact, and satisfies the max-bounded property. If a class Σ_L of elements of L contains the maximal elements of L , then the lower space Σ_L is compact.*

Note that the assumption on L guarantees the existence of maximal elements. Since our topology is generated by subbasis closed sets, in the following proof we rely on Alexander subbasis theorem.

PROOF. Suppose $\{C_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a collection of subbasis closed sets of Σ_L with $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda = \emptyset$. Then there exists $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq L$ such that $v(x_\lambda) = C_\lambda$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. This implies that

$$\emptyset = \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} v(x_\lambda) = v\left(\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_\lambda\right),$$

where the second equality indeed holds:

$$l \in v\left(\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_\lambda\right) \Leftrightarrow \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_\lambda \leq l \Leftrightarrow (\lambda \in \Lambda) x_\lambda \leq l \Leftrightarrow (\lambda \in \Lambda) l \in v(x_\lambda) \Leftrightarrow l \in \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} v(x_\lambda).$$

By Lemma 2.5, this implies $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_\lambda = L$. Since 1 is compact, there exists a finite subset $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of Λ such that $1 = \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_{\lambda_i}$. This proves the finite intersection property. Hence by Alexander subbasis theorem, we have the desired compactness. \square

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let L be a compactly generated multiplicative lattice with 1 compact, and satisfies the max-bounded property. Then the lower spaces $\text{Spec}(L)$, $\text{Max}(L)$, $\text{Irr}(L)$, $\text{Irr}^+(L)$, $\text{Irr}^{++}(L)$, $\text{Rad}(L)$, $\text{Prim}(L)$ are all compact.*

While Proposition 2.6 presents a sufficient condition for a multiplicative lattice space to possess compactness, the subsequent proposition delivers a characterization of these spaces. However, before proceeding with the proposition, we need a lemma.

LEMMA 2.8. *If X is a compact, T_0 -space, then every chain in X has an upper bound.*

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Suppose L is a compactly generated multiplicative lattice with 1 compact, and let Σ_L be a class of elements of L . Suppose $\text{Max}(\Sigma_L)$ denotes the set of maximal elements of Σ_L . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. Σ_L is compact;
2. for every $x \in \Sigma_L$, there exists an $m \in \text{Max}(\Sigma_L)$ such that $x \leq m$, and $\text{Max}(\Sigma_L)$ is compact.

PROOF. To show 2. \Rightarrow 1., let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of Σ_L . Then, \mathcal{U} also covers $\text{Max}(\Sigma_L)$, and thus there is a finite subcover \mathcal{U}' of $\text{Max}(\Sigma_L)$. Consider $x \in \Sigma_L$. By hypothesis, there is $m \in \text{Max}(\Sigma_L)$ such that $x \leq m$, and $U \in \mathcal{U}'$ such that $m \in U$. Then, $x \in U$, and thus \mathcal{U}' is also a finite subcover for Σ_L . Hence, Σ_L is compact.

Next we prove that 1. \Rightarrow 2. Suppose that $x \in \Sigma_L$, and consider

$$\Sigma'_L := v(x) \wedge \Sigma_L.$$

Then, Σ'_L is a closed subset of Σ_L , and thus it is compact; by Lemma 2.8, every ascending chain in Σ'_L is bounded, and hence by Zorn's Lemma Σ'_L has maximal elements, that is, $x \leq m$, for some $m \in \text{Max}(\Sigma_L)$. Now, suppose \mathcal{U} is an open cover of $\text{Max}(\Sigma_L)$. Then, \mathcal{U} is also an open cover of Σ_L , and thus it admits a finite subcover, which will be also a finite subcover of $\text{Max}(\Sigma_L)$. Thus, $\text{Max}(\Sigma_L)$ is compact. \square

Next we investigate the lower separation axioms of lower spaces, specifically T_0 , T_1 , and sobriety. Notably, sobriety will hold significant importance as it aids in characterizing lower spaces that are spectral.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Every lower space of a multiplicative lattice is T_0 .*

PROOF. Let $x, y \in \Sigma_L$ such that $v(x) = v(y)$. Since $y \in v(x)$, we have $x \leq y$. Similarly, we obtain $y \leq x$. Hence, $x = y$, and we have the claim. \square

The following auxiliary result is going to be useful in further investigation of separation axioms as well as in studying connectedness of lower spaces.

LEMMA 2.11. *Every subbasic closed set of the form $\{v(x) \mid x \in v(x)\}$ of a lower space Σ_L is irreducible.*

PROOF. We show more, namely, $v(x) = c\ell(x)$ for every x such that $x \in v(x)$. By definition of $c\ell(\cdot)$ and hypothesis, we have $c\ell(x) \subseteq v(x)$. We obtain the other inclusion by considering the following two cases. Suppose $c\ell(x) = \Sigma_L$. Then we have the claim from the fact that

$$\Sigma_L = c\ell(x) \subseteq v(x) \subseteq \Sigma_L.$$

Now suppose $c\ell(x) \neq \Sigma_L$. Since $c\ell(x)$ is a closed set, there exists an index set Ω such that for each $\omega \in \Omega$, there is a positive integer n_ω and $x_{\omega 1}, \dots, x_{\omega n_\omega} \in L$ such that

$$c\ell(x) = \bigwedge_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n_\omega} v(x_{\omega i}) \right).$$

Since $c\ell(x) \neq \Sigma_L$, we may assume that $\bigvee_{i=1}^{n_\omega} v(x_{\omega i})$ is non-empty for each ω . Therefore, $x \in \bigvee_{i=1}^{n_\omega} v(x_{\omega i})$, for each ω , and from which we can deduce that $v(x) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{n_\omega} v(x_{\omega i})$, that is, $v(x) \leq c\ell(x)$. \square

COROLLARY 2.12. *Every non-empty subbasic closed set of the lower space of proper elements is irreducible.*

THEOREM 2.13. *Let L be a compactly generated multiplicative lattice with 1 compact. A lower space Σ_L is a T_1 if and only if $m \in \Sigma_L$ for all $m \in \text{Max}(L)$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $x \in \Sigma_L$. Then $x \in v(x)$, and so, by Corollary 2.12, $c\ell(x) = v(x)$. Let m be a maximal element of L such that $x \leq m$. Then

$$m \in v(x) = c\ell(x) = \{x\},$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that Σ_L is a T_1 -space. Therefore $m = x$, showing that $\Sigma_L \subseteq \text{Max}(L)$. Conversely, $v(m) = \{m\}$ holds for every $m \in \text{Max}(L)$, so that $m \in v(m)$. Hence, $c\ell(m) = \{m\}$, by Corollary 2.12, and this proves the claim. \square

Next we characterize sober lower spaces of multiplicative lattices.

THEOREM 2.14. *An lower space Σ_L is sober if and only if*

$$(2) \quad \bigwedge_{\substack{x \leq y \\ y \in \Sigma_L}} v(y) \subseteq v(x),$$

for every non-empty irreducible subbasic closed subset of $v(x)$ of Σ_L .

PROOF. If Σ_L is a sober space and $v(x)$ is a non-empty irreducible subbasic closed subset of Σ_L , then $v(x) = c\ell(\{y\}) = v(y)$ for some $y \in \Sigma_L$, and we have

$$y = \bigwedge_{\substack{x \leq y \\ y \in \Sigma_L}} v(y) \in \Sigma_L.$$

Conversely, suppose the condition (2) holds for every non-empty irreducible subset of Σ_L . Let C be an irreducible closed subset of Σ_L . Then

$$C = \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} \left(\bigvee_{j=1}^m v(y_{ji}) \right),$$

for some elements y_{ji} of L . Since C is irreducible, for every $i \in \Omega$ there exists an element y_{ji} of L such that $C \subseteq v(y_{ji}) \subseteq \bigvee_{j=1}^m v(y_{ji})$ and thus, if $t = \bigvee_{i \in \Omega} y_{ji}$, then we have

$$C = \bigwedge_{i \in \Omega} v(y_{ji}) = v(t) = v \left(\bigwedge_{\substack{t \leq l \\ l \in \Sigma_L}} l \right) = c\ell \left(\bigwedge_{\substack{t \leq l \\ l \in \Sigma_L}} l \right).$$

The uniqueness part of the claim follows from Proposition 2.10. □

COROLLARY 2.15. *The lower spaces $\text{Prop}(L)$, $\text{Spec}(L)$, and $\text{Irr}^+(L)$ are sober.*

Our subsequent objective is to furnish an example of a spectral lower space. Recall from [13] that a topological space is called *spectral* if it is compact, sober, admitting a basis of compact open subspaces that is closed under finite intersections.

THEOREM 2.16. *Let L be a compactly generated multiplicative lattice with 1 compact, and satisfies the “max-bounded” condition. Then the lower space $\text{Prop}(L)$ is spectral;*

The assertion is derived through the application of the following lemma, the proof of which can be found in [12, Lemma 2.2].

LEMMA 2.17. *A compact, sober, open subspace of a spectral space is spectral.*

The advantage of the aforementioned lemma lies in its capacity to circumvent the need to establish the existence of a compact open basis and the closedness of open compact sets under finite intersections.

PROOF. The lower space of all elements is spectral follows from the fact that an algebraic lattice endowed with lower topology is a spectral space (see [15, Theorem 4.2]). Since the lower space $\text{Prop}(L)$ is a subspace of the lower space L , according to Lemma 2.17, we need to verify that lower space $\text{Prop}(L)$ is compact, sober, and is an open subspace of the lower space L . Now compactness and sobriety respectively follow from Corollary 2.7 and Corollary 2.15. Therefore, what remains is to show that the lower space is open in all elements. By Lemma 2.11,

$$L = \bigvee_{l \in L} v(l) = c\ell(L),$$

and therefore $L \setminus \text{Prop}(L)$ is closed, and that implies $\text{Prop}(L)$ is open. □

Like Alexander subbasis theorem, there is no characterization of connectedness in terms of subbasic closed sets. Nevertheless, we wish to present a disconnectivity result of lower spaces of a multiplicative lattice that bears resemblance to the fact that if spectrum of prime ideals (of a commutative ring with identity) endowed with Zariski topology is disconnected, then the ring has a proper idempotent element (see [4, §4.3, Corollary 2]).

We say a closed subbasis \mathfrak{S} of a topological space X *strongly disconnects* X if there exist two non-empty subsets A, B of \mathfrak{S} such that

$$X = A \vee B \quad \text{and} \quad A \wedge B = \emptyset.$$

It is clear that if some closed subbasis strongly disconnects a topological space, then the space is disconnected. Also, if a space is disconnected, then some closed subbasis (for instance the collection of all its closed subspaces) strongly disconnects it.

PROPOSITION 2.18. *Suppose that L is a multiplicative lattice with $\text{Jac}(L) = 0$. Let Σ_L be a class of elements of L containing all maximal elements of L . If the subbasis \mathfrak{S} of the lower space Σ_L strongly disconnects Σ_L , then L has a non-trivial idempotent element.*

PROOF. Let x and y be elements in L such that 1. $v(x) \wedge v(y) = \emptyset$, 2. $v(x) \vee v(y) = \Sigma_L$, and 3. $v(x) \neq \emptyset$, $v(y) \neq \emptyset$. Since $v(x) \wedge v(y) = v(x \vee y)$, we therefore have $v(x \vee y) = \emptyset$ and hence $x \vee y = 1$, because Σ_L contains all maximal elements in L . On the other hand,

$$\Sigma_L = v(x) \vee v(y) \subseteq v(x \cdot y),$$

which then implies that $x \cdot y$ is contained in every maximal element in L , and is therefore $x \cdot y = 0$ as L has trivial $\text{Jac}(L)$. Note that the condition 3. implies that neither x nor y is the top element 1 of the multiplicative lattice L . Since $x \cdot y = 0$, we therefore have

$$x = x \cdot 1 = x \cdot (x \vee y) = x^2 \vee (x \cdot y) = x^2 \vee 0 = x^2,$$

showing that x is a non-trivial idempotent element in L . □

The next theorem gives a sufficient condition for a lower space to be connected.

THEOREM 2.19. *If a class Σ_L of elements of a multiplicative lattice L contains the element 0, then the lower space Σ_L is connected.*

PROOF. Since $\Sigma_L = v(0)$ and irreducibility implies connectedness, the claim follows from Corollary 2.12. □

COROLLARY 2.20. *The lower space $\text{Prop}(L)$ is connected.*

We now discuss about continuous maps between lower spaces of multiplicative lattices. Recall that a map $\phi: L \rightarrow L'$ from L to L' is called a *multiplicative lattice homomorphism* if

1. $x \leq x'$ implies that $\phi(x) \leq \phi(x')$;
2. $\phi(x \vee x') = \phi(x) \vee \phi(x')$;
3. $\phi(x \wedge x') = \phi(x) \wedge \phi(x')$;
4. $\phi(x \cdot x') = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(x')$,
5. $\phi(1) = 1$,

for all $x, x' \in L$. Suppose that $\phi: L \rightarrow L'$ is a multiplicative lattice homomorphism. If y is an element in L' , then the *contraction* of y is defined by $\phi^{-1}(y)$. In particular, the *kernel* of ϕ is defined as $\text{Ker}\phi := \phi^{-1}(0)$.

Observe that although inverse image of an element from a given class $\Sigma_{L'}$ under a multiplicative lattice homomorphism may not belong to the similar class Σ_L . We say a class Σ_L of elements satisfies the

contraction property if for any multiplicative lattice homomorphism $\phi: L \rightarrow L'$, the inverse image $\phi^{-1}(y)$ is in Σ_L , whenever y is in $\Sigma_{L'}$.

PROPOSITION 2.21. *Let L be a multiplicative lattice. Suppose that Σ_L is a class of elements satisfying the contraction property. Let $\phi: L \rightarrow L'$ be a multiplicative lattice homomorphism and $y \in \Sigma_{L'}$.*

1. *The induced map $\phi_*: \Sigma_{L'} \rightarrow \Sigma_L$ defined by $\phi_*(y) = \phi^{-1}(y)$ is continuous.*
2. *If ϕ is surjective, then the multiplicative lattice space $\Sigma_{L'}$ is homeomorphic to the closed subspace*

$$\text{Ker}\phi^\uparrow := \{x \in \Sigma_L \mid k \leq x, \forall k \in \text{Ker}\phi\}$$

of the multiplicative lattice space Σ_L .

3. *The subset $\phi_*(\Sigma_{L'})$ is dense in Σ_L if and only if $k \leq \bigwedge_{x \in \Sigma_L} x$, for every $k \in \text{Ker}\phi$.*

PROOF. To show 1., let $x \in L$ and $v(x)$ be a subbasic closed set of the lower space Σ_L . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_*^{-1}(v(x)) &= \{y \in \Sigma_{L'} \mid \phi^{-1}(y) \in v(x)\} \\ &= \{y \in \Sigma_{L'} \mid \phi(x) \leq y\} = v(\phi(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and hence the map ϕ_* continuous.

2. Observe that $\text{Ker}\phi \subseteq \phi^{-1}(y)$ follows from the fact that $0 \leq y$ for all $y \in \Sigma_{L'}$. It can thus be seen that $\phi_*(y) \in \text{Ker}\phi^\uparrow$, and hence $\text{Im}\phi_* = \text{Ker}\phi^\uparrow$. If $y \in \Sigma_{L'}$, then

$$\phi(\phi_*(y)) = \phi(\phi^{-1}(y)) = y.$$

Thus ϕ_* is injective. To show that ϕ_* is closed, first we observe that for any subbasic closed set $v(x)$ of $\Sigma_{L'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_*(v(x)) &= \phi^{-1}(v(x)) \\ &= \phi^{-1}\{y \in \Sigma_{L'} \mid x \leq y\} = v(\phi^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Now if C is a closed subset of $\Sigma_{L'}$ and $C = \bigwedge_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n_\omega} v(x_{i\omega}) \right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_*(C) &= \phi^{-1} \left(\bigwedge_{\omega \in \Omega} \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n_\omega} v(x_{i\omega}) \right) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{\omega \in \Omega} \bigvee_{i=1}^{n_\omega} \phi^{-1}(v(x_{i\omega})) \end{aligned}$$

a closed subset of Σ_L . Since by (1), ϕ_* is continuous, we have the desired claim.

3. First we wish to show:

$$c\ell(\phi_*(v(y))) = \phi^{-1}(v(y)),$$

for all elements y of L' . For that, let $z \in \phi_*(v(y))$. This implies $\phi(z) \in v(y)$, and that means $y \leq \phi(z)$. Therefore, $z \in v(\phi^{-1}(y))$. Since $\phi_*(v(y)) = v(\phi^{-1}(y))$, the other inclusion follows. If we take $y = 0' \in L'$, the above identity reduces to

$$c\ell(\phi_*(\Sigma_{L'})) = \text{Ker}\phi^\uparrow,$$

and hence $\text{Ker}\phi^\uparrow$ to be equal to Σ_L if and only if the desired condition holds. \square

We finally wish to see relations between the lower space $\text{Irr}^+(L)$ and its subspaces $\text{Max}(L)$ and $\text{Spec}(L)$. For that, we first introduce a couple of terminology. The p -radical $\sqrt[p]{L}$ (respectively s -radical $\sqrt[s]{L}$) of a multiplicative lattice L is the intersection of all prime (respectively strongly irreducible) elements of L .

PROPOSITION 2.22. *Let L be a compactly generated multiplicative lattice with 1 compact.*

1. The subspace $\text{Max}(L)$ is dense in the lower space $\text{Irr}^+(L)$ if and only if $\sqrt[\mathbb{R}]{L} = \sqrt[\mathbb{I}]{L}$.
2. The subspace $\text{Spec}(L)$ is dense in the lower space $\text{Irr}^+(L)$ if and only if $\text{Jac}(L) = \sqrt[\mathbb{I}]{L}$.

PROOF. 1. Although the claim essentially follows from the fact that if $X \subseteq \text{Irr}^+(L)$, then

$$c\ell(X) = \left\{ y \in \text{Irr}^+(L) \mid \bigwedge_{x \in X} x \leq y \right\},$$

however, we provide some details. Let $c\ell(\text{Spec}(L)) = \text{Irr}^+(L)$. Then

$$\left\{ y \in \text{Irr}^+(L) \mid \bigwedge_{p \in \text{Spec}(L)} p \leq y \right\} = \text{Irr}^+(L).$$

This implies that $\sqrt[\mathbb{R}]{L} \subseteq \sqrt[\mathbb{I}]{L}$. Furthermore, $\text{Max}(L) \subseteq \text{Irr}^+(L)$ implies $\sqrt[\mathbb{I}]{L} \subseteq \sqrt[\mathbb{R}]{L}$. Hence, we have the desired equality. To obtain the converse, let $\text{Irr}^+(L) \setminus c\ell(\text{Spec}(L)) \neq \emptyset$. This implies $y \notin c\ell(\text{Spec}(L))$, but $y \in \text{Irr}^+(L)$. Therefore, there exists a neighbourhood N_y of y such that $N_y \cap \text{Spec}(L) = \emptyset$, and $\sqrt[\mathbb{I}]{L} \subsetneq \sqrt[\mathbb{R}]{L}$. In other words, we have $\sqrt[\mathbb{I}]{L} \neq \sqrt[\mathbb{R}]{L}$.

2. Follows from 1. □

References

- [1] F. Alarcón, D. D. Anderson, and C. Jayaram, Some results on abstract commutative ideal theory, *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 30(1), (1995), 1–26.
- [2] D. D. Anderson, *Multiplicative lattices*, PhD dissertation, University of Chicago, 1974.
- [3] ——— and E. W. Johnson, Ideal theory in commutative semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, 30(2) (1984), 127–158.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Elements of mathematics: Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1972.
- [5] A. Facchini, Algebraic structures from the point of view of complete multiplicative lattices, in *Algebra and coding theory*, 113–131, *Contemp. Math.*, 785, Amer. Math. Soc., 2023.
- [6] ———, C. A. Finocchiaro and G. Janelidze, Abstractly constructed prime spectra, *Algebra Universalis*, 83(8) (2022), 38 pp.
- [7] ———, F. de Giovanni, and M. Trombetti, “Spectra of groups”, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 26(5), (2023), 1415–1431.
- [8] R. P. Dilworth, Abstract commutative ideal theory, *Pacific J. Math.*, 12 (1962), 481–498.
- [9] ———, Abstract residuation over lattices, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 44 (1938), 262–268.
- [10] T. Dube and A. Goswami, Ideal spaces: An extension of structure spaces of rings, *J. Algebra Appl.*, 22(11), (2023), 2350245.
- [11] C. A. Finocchiaro, A. Goswami, and D. Spirito, Distinguished classes of ideal spaces and their topological properties, *Comm. Algebra*, 51(4), (2023), 1752–1760.
- [12] A. Goswami, Proper spaces are spectral, *Appl. Gen. Topol.*, 24(1), (2023), 95–99.
- [13] M. Hochster, Prime ideal structure in commutative rings, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 142 (1969), 43–60.
- [14] C. J. Mulvey, &, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl.* No. 12 (1986), 99–104.
- [15] H. A. Priestley, Intrinsic spectral topologies, in: *Papers on general topology and applications* (Flushing, NY, 1992), 728, 78–95, New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1994.
- [16] M. Ward, Residuation in structures over which a multiplication is defined, *Duke Math. J.*, 3 (1937), 627–636.
- [17] ——— and R. P. Dilworth, Residuated lattices, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 45 (1939), 335–354.

[1] DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.

[2] NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL AND COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCES (NITheCS), JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.

Email address: agoswami@uj.ac.za