

Preprint

## SOME DETERMINANTS INVOLVING BINARY FORMS

YUE-FENG SHE AND ZHI-WEI SUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study arithmetic properties of certain determinants involving powers of  $i^2 + cij + dj^2$ , where  $c$  and  $d$  are integers. For example, for any odd integer  $n > 1$  with  $(\frac{d}{n}) = -1$  we prove that  $\det[(\frac{i^2+cij+dj^2}{n})]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$  is divisible by  $\varphi(n)^2$ , where  $(\frac{\cdot}{n})$  is the Jacobi symbol and  $\varphi$  is Euler's totient function. This confirms a previous conjecture of the second author.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For each  $n \times n$  matrix  $M = [a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  over a commutative ring, we denote its determinant by  $\det(M)$  or  $\det[a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . If  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  with  $i \neq j$ , then we simply write  $M = [a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  as  $\text{diag}(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn})$ . For various results over evaluations of determinants, one may consult the excellent survey papers [2, 3].

Let  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For any odd number  $n > 1$ , the second author [7] introduced

$$(c, d)_n := \det \left[ \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$$

and

$$[c, d]_n := \det \left[ \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) \right]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1},$$

where  $(\frac{\cdot}{n})$  is the Jacobi symbol. By [7, Theorem 1.3],  $(c, d)_n = 0$  if  $(\frac{d}{n}) = -1$ , and  $[c, d]_p$  is divisible by  $p-1$  if  $p$  is an odd prime with  $(\frac{d}{p}) = 1$ . In Section 2, we will prove the following result, which was first conjectured by the second author [8, Conjecture 11.35].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For any odd number  $n > 1$  with  $(\frac{d}{n}) = -1$ , we have  $\varphi(n)^2 \mid [c, d]_n$ , where  $\varphi$  is Euler's totient function.*

Let  $c$  and  $d$  be integers. By [9, Theorem 1.2], for any prime  $p > 3$  and  $n \in \{(p+1)/2, \dots, p-2\}$ , we have

$$\det[(i^2 + cij + dj^2)^n]_{0 \leq i, j \leq p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

By [11, Theorem 1.1], for any odd prime  $p$  with  $(\frac{d}{p}) = -1$  we have

$$\det[(i^2 + cij + dj^2)^n]_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for all  $n = 1, \dots, p-1$ .

---

*Key words and phrases.* Determinant, Legendre symbol, Jacobi symbol, Euler's totient function.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11C20; Secondary 15A18.

Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 12371004).

Let  $P(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  with  $\deg P$  at most  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , write

$$P(x, j) = ta_{j1}(-x)^n + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}x^{k-1}$$

with  $a_{j1}, \dots, a_{jn} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By [3, Lemma 15], we have

$$\det[P(i, j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = (1 - n!t) \det[a_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}.$$

In particular, if  $\deg P < n - 1$  then

$$\det[P(i, j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \det[a_{jk}]_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = 0.$$

We will establish the following result in Section 3.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let*

$$H(X, Y) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k X^k Y^{n-k}$$

with  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(i) *If  $n = p - 1$ , then*

$$\det[x + H(i, j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1} \equiv (x + a_0 + a_{p-1}) \prod_{k=1}^{p-2} a_k \pmod{p}.$$

(ii) *If  $n = p - 2$  or  $p - 1 < n < 2p - 2$ , then*

$$\det[x + H(i, j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1} \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{p-2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n \\ p-1 | j-k}} a_j \pmod{p}.$$

By taking  $H(X, Y) = (X^2 + cXY + dY^2)^n$  with  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \{(p+1)/2, \dots, p-2\}$ . Then  $\det[x + (i^2 + cij + dj^2)^n]_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1}$  modulo  $p$  is independent of  $x$ .*

Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The second author [9] first introduced

$$D_p(c, d) = \det[(i^2 + cij + dj^2)^{p-2}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1}$$

motivated by his conjecture on  $\det[1/(i^2 - ij + j^2)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1}$  for  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  (cf. [7, Remark 1.3]). For  $(\frac{D_p(1,1)}{p})$  and  $(\frac{D_p(2,2)}{p})$ , one may consult [4, 12, 4]. See also [6] and [5] for further results in this direction.

Let  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The second author [10, Section 5] investigated

$$\{c, d\}_n = \det \left[ \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) \right]_{1 \leq i, j < n-1}$$

with  $n$  an odd number greater than 3. Motivated by this, we study

$$D_p^-(c, d) := \det[(i^2 + ci + dj^2)^{p-2}]_{1 \leq i, j < p-1}$$

for any prime  $p > 3$ . The difficulty of evaluating  $D_p^-(c, d)$  lies in the fact that the indices do not run through a whole reduced system of residues modulo  $p$ . We will prove the following result in Section 4.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $p > 3$  be a prime, and let*

$$P(T) = a_0 + a_1T + a_2T^2 + \cdots + a_{p-2}T^{p-2},$$

where  $a_0, \dots, a_{p-2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have

$$\det [P(ij^{-1})]_{1 \leq i, j < p-1} \equiv 4 \sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} \hat{a}_{2i} \times \sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} \hat{a}_{2i+1} \pmod{p},$$

where

$$\hat{a}_k = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq p-2 \\ 2|j-k, j \neq k}} a_j \quad \text{for all } k = 0, \dots, p-2.$$

As an application of Theorem 1.3, we will prove the following result.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $p > 3$  be a prime.*

(i) *When  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , we have*

$$D_p^-(1, 1) \equiv 2^{(p-8)/3} 3^4 \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{D_p^-(1, 1)}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{2}{p} \right).$$

(ii) *When  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ , we have  $D_p^-(1, 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .*

(iii) *When  $p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{9}$ , we have*

$$\left( \frac{D_p^-(1, 1)}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2}{p} \right),$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/6} \left( \frac{1}{18k-13} - \frac{1}{18k-2} \right) + \frac{1}{6},$$

and

$$\Sigma_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/6} \left( \frac{1}{18k-4} - \frac{1}{18k-11} \right) + \frac{1}{6}.$$

The second author's following conjecture might stimulate further research.

**Conjecture 1.1.** *Let  $p > 3$  be a prime.*

(i) *We have  $p \mid D_p^-(2, 2)$  if  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ .*

(ii) *We have  $p \mid D_p^-(3, 3)$  if  $p > 5$  and  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .*

(ii) *We have  $p \mid D_p^-(3, 1)$  if  $p \equiv 3, 7 \pmod{20}$ .*

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $n > 1$  is odd and not squarefree. Then, for any  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $[c, d]_n = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $n = p^\alpha m$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime and  $\alpha, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  such that  $\alpha > 1$  and  $p \nmid m$ . By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exists a number  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $m \mid k$  and  $k \equiv p \pmod{p^\alpha}$ . For any  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , we have

$$\left( \frac{i^2 + cik + dk^2}{n} \right) = \left( \frac{i^2 + cik + dk^2}{m} \right) \left( \frac{i^2 + cik + dk^2}{p} \right)^\alpha = \left( \frac{i^2}{m} \right) \left( \frac{i^2}{p} \right)^\alpha = \left( \frac{i^2 + ci0 + d0^2}{n} \right).$$

Therefore  $[c, d]_n = 0$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* In light of Lemma 2.1, it suffices to assume that  $n$  is squarefree. Let

$$P^+(n) := \{p : p \text{ is a prime divisor of } n \text{ with } \left( \frac{d}{p} \right) = 1\}$$

and

$$P^-(n) = \{p : p \text{ is a prime divisor of } n \text{ with } \left( \frac{d}{p} \right) = -1\}.$$

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i, n)=1}} \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i, n)=1}} \prod_{p \in P^+(n) \cup P^-(n)} \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{p} \right) \\ &= \prod_{p \in P^+(n) \cup P^-(n)} \sum_{1 \leq x \leq p-1} \left( \frac{x^2 + cxj + dj^2}{p} \right) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{p \in P^+(n) \\ p \nmid (c^2 - 4d)j}} \left( p - 1 - \left( \frac{j}{p} \right)^2 \right) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in P^+(n) \\ p \nmid (c^2 - 4d)j}} (-2) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in P^-(n) \\ p \mid j}} (p - 1) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in P^-(n) \\ p \nmid j}} 0 \end{aligned}$$

with the aid of the fact that  $\left( \frac{d}{p} \right) = -1$  implies  $p \nmid (c^2 - 4d)$ .

Let  $Q = \prod_{p \in P^-(n)} p$ , and define the function  $f : P^+(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$f(p) = \begin{cases} p - 2 & \text{if } p \nmid (c^2 - 4d), \\ -2 & \text{if } p \mid (c^2 - 4d). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i,n)=1}} \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Q \nmid j, \\ \varphi(Q) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in P^+(n) \\ p \nmid j}} (p-1) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in P^+(n) \\ p \nmid j}} f(p) & \text{if } Q \mid j. \end{cases}$$

For any subset  $A$  of  $P^+(n)$ , define  $p(A) = \prod_{p \in A} p$ . Via similar arguments, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i,n)=p(A)}} \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Q \nmid j \text{ or } (p(A), j) > 1, \\ \varphi(Q) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in P^+(n) \setminus A \\ p \nmid j}} (p-1) \times \prod_{\substack{p \in P^+(n) \setminus A \\ p \nmid j}} f(p) & \text{if } Q \mid j \text{ and } (p(A), j) = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, when  $Q \nmid j$  we have

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i,n)=1}} \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) = \prod_{p \in A} f(p) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i,n)=p(A)}} \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) = 0.$$

When  $Q \mid j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i,n)=1}} \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) \right)^{-1} \prod_{p \in A} f(p) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i,n)=p(A)}} \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (p(A), j) > 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } (p(A), j) = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mu$  be the Möbius function. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{A \subset P^+(n)} \mu(p(A)) \prod_{p \in A} f(p) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i,n)=p(A)}} \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i,n)=1}} \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) \times \sum_{\substack{A \subset P^+(n) \\ (p(A), j)=1}} \mu(p(A)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i,n)=1}} \left( \frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n} \right) \times \sum_{d \mid \frac{p(P^+(n))}{(p(P^+(n)), j)}} \mu(d) \\ &= \begin{cases} \varphi(n) & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the well-known identity (cf. [1, p. 19])

$$\sum_{d|k} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \in \{2, 3, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, via certain elementary row transformations we obtain that  $[c, d]_n = \det[a_{ij}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$ , where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \varphi(n) & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j \neq 0, \\ \left(\frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{A \in P^+(n)} \mu(p(A)) \prod_{p \in A} f(p) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ (j, n) = p(A)}} \left(\frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} -\varphi(n) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and hence  $\det[a_{ij}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} = \det[b_{ij}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$ , where

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} \varphi(n) & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j = 0, \\ -\varphi(n) & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } j = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j \neq 0, \text{ or } i \neq 0 \text{ and } j = 1, \\ \left(\frac{i^2 + cij + dj^2}{n}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$[c, d]_n = \det[a_{ij}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} = \det[b_{ij}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\varphi(n)^2}.$$

This concludes our proof. □

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We will need the following well-known Weinstein-Aronszajn identity.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are matrices over the complex field of size  $l \times m$  and  $m \times l$ , respectively. Then*

$$\lambda^m \det(\lambda I_l - AB) = \lambda^l \det(\lambda I_m - BA),$$

where  $I_n$  denotes the identity matrix of order  $n$ .

We are now in the place to prove Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We set  $A = [i^j]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq n}}$  and  $C = [c_{i,j}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$  with

$$c_{i,j} = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } j = 0, \\ a_i & \text{if } i + j = n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$









In view of the above, we have completed our proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We shall use the following well known lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** (Matrix-Determinant Lemma). *Let  $H$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over the complex field, and let  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  be two  $n$ -dimensional column vectors whose components are complex numbers. Then*

$$\det(H + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T) = \det H + \mathbf{v}^T \text{adj}(H)\mathbf{u},$$

where  $\text{adj}(H)$  is the adjugate matrix of  $H$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* We set  $A = [i^j]_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq p-2 \\ 0 \leq j \leq p-2}}$  and  $C = [c_{ij}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq p-2}$  with

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } i + j = p - 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } i + j \neq p - 2. \end{cases}$$

We also define  $s_k := \sum_{i=2}^{p-2} i^k$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . In view of (3.2),

$$s_k \equiv \begin{cases} -3 \pmod{p} & \text{if } p-1 \mid k, \\ -2 \pmod{p} & \text{if } 2 \mid k \text{ and } p-1 \nmid k, \\ 0 \pmod{p} & \text{if } 2 \nmid k, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

By Wilson's theorem, we have

$$\det[P(ij^{-1})]_{1 < i, j < p-1} \equiv \det[P(ij^{-1})j^{p-2}]_{1 < i, j < p-1} \equiv \det(ACA^T) \pmod{p}.$$

Hence it suffices to focus on the matrix  $ACA^T$  from now on. Applying Lemma 3.1 and (4.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(\lambda I_{p-3} - ACA^T) \\ &= \lambda^{-2} \det(\lambda I_{p-1} - CAA^T) \\ &= \lambda^{-2} \det(\lambda I_{p-1} - C[s_{i+j}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq p-2}) \\ &\equiv \lambda^{-2} \det(\lambda I_{p-1} - [d_{ij}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq p-2}) \pmod{p}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} -3a_i & \text{if } p-1 \mid j-i-1, \\ -2a_i & \text{if } 2 \mid j-i-1 \text{ and } p-1 \nmid j-i-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \nmid j-i-1. \end{cases}$$

Subtracting the 0-column from the  $2k$ -column, and subtracting the 1-column from the  $2k + 1$ -column for  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$ , we find that the matrix  $\lambda I_{p-1} - [d_{ij}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq p-2}$  is converted to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 3a_0 & -\lambda & -a_0 & \cdots & -\lambda & -a_0 \\ 2a_1 & \lambda & a_1 & -\lambda & \cdots & 0 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 2a_2 & \lambda & a_2 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 2a_{p-3} & & & & \lambda & a_{p-3} \\ 3a_{p-2} & 0 & -a_{p-2} & 0 & \cdots & -a_{p-2} & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

Subtracting the  $2k$ -column times 2 from the 0-column, and subtracting the  $2k + 1$ -column times 2 from the 1-column for  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$ , we see that the last matrix is transformed to

$$\begin{bmatrix} (p-2)\lambda & pa_0 & -\lambda & -a_0 & \cdots & -\lambda & -a_0 \\ 0 & (p-2)\lambda & a_1 & -\lambda & \cdots & 0 & -\lambda \\ (p-2)\lambda & 0 & \lambda & a_2 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ (p-2)\lambda & 0 & & & & \lambda & a_{p-3} \\ pa_{p-2} & (p-2)\lambda & -a_{p-2} & 0 & \cdots & -a_{p-2} & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows from (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(ACA^T) &\equiv 4 \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & -a_0 & \cdots & & -a_0 \\ & 1 & a_1 & & & & \\ 1 & & & a_2 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & \ddots & \\ 1 & & & & & & a_{p-3} \\ & 1 & -a_{p-2} & & \cdots & -a_{p-2} & \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 4 \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a_0 & \cdots & -a_0 & & & \\ 1 & a_2 & & & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & & \\ 1 & & & a_{p-3} & & & \\ & & & & a_1 & & 1 \\ & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & a_{p-3} & 1 \\ & & & -a_{p-2} & \cdots & -a_{p-2} & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$



Note that  $(p-4)/3, (2p-5)/3 \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-2\}$ . Since  $(p-4)/3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , by (5.1) we have  $a_{(p-4)/3} = -p/3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Similarly,  $a_{(2p-5)/3} = 2p/3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  since  $(2p-5)/3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Furthermore, both  $(p-4)/3$  and  $(2p-5)/3$  are odd and hence  $\hat{a}_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  when  $2 \nmid k$ . It follows from Theorem 1.3 that  $D_p^-(1, 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

*Case 3.*  $p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{9}$ .

Suppose that  $a_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}$ . Then  $k \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$ . If  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $p \mid 3k+5$  and  $0 \leq k \leq p-4$ , hence  $3k+5 = p$  or  $3k+5 = 2p$ , which implies that  $p \equiv 5, 7 \not\equiv 1, 4 \pmod{9}$ . If  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $p \mid 3k+4$  and  $1 \leq k \leq p-3$ , hence  $3k+4 = p$  or  $3k+4 = 2p$ , which implies that  $p \equiv 7, 8 \not\equiv 1, 4 \pmod{9}$ .

By the last paragraph,  $a_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for all  $k \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}$ . It is easy to verify that  $a_k \equiv a_{p-3-k} \pmod{p}$  for all  $k = 0, \dots, p-3$ . Hence we may derive from Theorem 1.3 and (5.1) that

$$\left(\frac{D_p^-(1, 1)}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a_{(p-3)/2} a_{p-2} \times \sum_{k=0}^{(p-3)/2} \frac{1}{a_{2k}} \times \sum_{k=0}^{(p-3)/2} \frac{1}{a_{2k+1}}}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{3\Sigma_1 3\Sigma_2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2}{p}\right).$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 1.2.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, 2nd Edition, Grad. Texts. Math., vol. 84, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [2] C. Krattenthaler, *Advanced determinant calculus*. Sém. Lothar. Combin. **42** (1999), B42q.
- [3] C. Krattenthaler, *Advanced determinant calculus: a complement*, Linear Algebra Appl. **411** (2005), 68–166.
- [4] X.-Q. Luo and Z.-W. Sun, *Legendre symbols related to certain determinants*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **46** (2023), no. 4, Paper No. 199, 20pp.
- [5] X.-Q. Luo and W. Xia, *Legendre symbols related to  $D_p(b, 1)$* , arXiv:2405.19728.
- [6] Y.-F. She and H.-L. Wu, *Trinomial coefficients and matrices over finite fields*, arXiv:2210.16826, preprint, 2022.
- [7] Z.-W. Sun, *On some determinants with Legendre symbol entries*, Finite Fields Appl. **56** (2019), 285–307.
- [8] Z.-W. Sun, *New Conjectures in Number Theory and Combinatorics (in Chinese)*, Harbin Institute of Technology Press, Harbin, 2021.
- [9] Z.-W. Sun, *On some determinants and permanents*, Acta Math. Sinica Chin. Ser. **67** (2024), 286–295.
- [10] Z.-W. Sun, *Problems and results on determinants involving Legendre symbols*, arXiv:2405.03626.
- [11] H. Wang and Z.-W. Sun, *On certain determinants and related Legendre symbols*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **47** (2024), no. 2, Article No. 58.
- [12] H.-L. Wu, Y.-F. She and H.-X. Ni, *A conjecture of Zhi-Wei Sun on determinants over finite fields*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **45** (2022), no. 5, 2405–2412.

(YUE-FENG SHE) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* she.math@smail.nju.edu.cn

(ZHI-WEI SUN, CORRESPONDING AUTHOR) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* zwsun@nju.edu.cn