

Experiment on intrinsically nonequilibrium distribution of large ions in charged small nanopores

Yu Qiao,^{1,2,*} Meng Wang²

¹ Program of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California – San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, U.S.A.

² Department of Structural Engineering, University of California – San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0085, U.S.A.

* Corresponding author (email: yqiao@ucsd.edu)

Abstract. Recent theoretical research on locally nonchaotic gravitational energy barrier led to an interesting finding: beyond the boundaries of Boltzmann’s H-theorem, there may be macroscopic systems with nontrivial energy properties. The fundamental mechanism is rooted in the intrinsically nonequilibrium steady state. In the current investigation, we experimentally verify this concept, with the weak gravitational force being changed to the strong Coulomb force. The tests are performed on capacitive cells with the same nanoporous electrodes and various electrolyte concentrations. The key characteristic is that the nanopore size is only slightly larger than the ion size, less than twice the ion size. The confinement effect of the nanopore walls plays the role of the spontaneously nonequilibrium dimension (SND). At first glance, the capacitive cells exhibit “normal” charge curves. However, their steady-state ion distribution significantly differs from thermodynamic equilibrium. The measured potential difference is nearly an order of magnitude larger than the prediction of equilibrium thermodynamics. Such phenomena are in line with the molecular dynamics simulations reported in the open literature.

Keywords: Nonequilibrium; Supercapacitor; The second law of thermodynamics; Microporous carbon; Nonchaoticity

1. Introduction

The second law of thermodynamics is a fundamental principle in physics, yet its boundaries are still not definitively clear. In Boltzmann's classic H-theorem, the key to "deriving" the second law of thermodynamics is to break time reversibility [1]: as all the governing equations are time reversible, how can entropy increase be irreversible? Boltzmann brought in the hypothesis of molecular chaos. Before and after a random particle-particle collision, the degree of correlation of particle movement varies, associated with the loss of information. It renders the evolution of the probability of system state unidirectional, so that thermodynamic equilibrium (i.e., the maximum entropy) is eventually reached. However, it raises an important question [2]: what if the system is dominated by an intrinsically nonequilibrium process?

In this manuscript, the term "nonequilibrium" (or "intrinsically nonequilibrium") refers to a steady state that is significantly different from thermodynamic equilibrium. The systems under investigation are large-sized and closed, either isothermal (immersed in a thermal bath) or isolated (without mass and energy exchange with the environment). An intrinsically nonequilibrium particle/ion distribution indicates that between two energy states, without an external driving force, the ratio of the steady-state particle/ion number density is not the Boltzmann factor $e^{-\beta \cdot \Delta V_z}$, where ΔV_z is the potential difference, $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and T is temperature. The analysis is in the framework of classical mechanics.

On the one hand, for a chaotic system, without an energetic penalty, the second law of thermodynamics forbids the steady state from being nonequilibrium [1]. For instance, across a porous membrane in an isothermal or isolated ideal gas, the pressure must be the same, regardless of the pore size and the pore geometry [3]. A nonuniform steady-state gas distribution would cause anomalous effects [4]. The classical thought experiment of Maxwell's demon attempts to interrupt the equilibrium state, which has inspired the study on the physical nature of information [5,6].

On the other hand, it is well known that certain nonchaotic particle movements cannot reach thermodynamic equilibrium and should not be analyzed by thermodynamics [7]. One example is a Knudsen gas, a rarefied gas with the Knudsen number ($K_n = \lambda_F/d_c$) larger than 1, where λ_F is the mean free path of the gas particles, and d_c is the characteristic size of the gas container. As the particle-particle collisions are sparse, the faster gas particles tend to collide more frequently with the container walls, while the slower particles tend to stay longer in the interior.

Therefore, the effective gas-phase kinetic temperature is lower than the container-wall temperature (see [8] and the references therein). In the past, such an intrinsically nonequilibrium state was not regarded as a thermodynamic problem, because the system is small-scaled and its energy properties are “trivial”.




Figure 1 The second law of thermodynamics cannot be applied to an ideal gas with a locally nonchaotic energy barrier [2]. Panel (E) is the basis of the current experimental study. (A) A Knudsen gas in a uniform gravitational field (g). When the plane height (z_G) is much less than the particle mean free path (λ_F), the particle-particle collisions are sparse and the particle flux ratio is non-Boltzmannian. The left and right borders (DC and D'C') are open and use periodic boundary condition. The top and bottom borders (DD' and CC') are thermal walls; the reflected speed follows the 2D Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, uncorrelated with the incident speed. The thermal-wall boundary condition is analogous to the effect of the chaotic horizontal areas (the plain and plateau) in (B) the step-ramp ideal-gas model. The system is initially at equilibrium. All the borders are open and use periodic boundary condition. A net particle flux can be spontaneously generated from the random thermal movement of the particles, incompatible with the entropy statement of the second law of thermodynamics. (C) Side view of a variant system of panel (B), in which the plateau-plain border is a low-height vertical step ($z_G \ll \lambda_F$). (D) The system is immersed in a thermal reservoir. The support force of the plateau (F_G) and the in-plane pressure of the plan (P) are operated alternately in the four-step isothermal cycle. Indexes I-IV indicate the system states. As the steady-state particle distribution is intrinsically nonequilibrium ($\bar{\rho}_G \neq \delta_0$), the total produced work (W_P) is greater than the total consumed work (W_G), contradicting the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics. (E) A variant system of panel (C). The plateau-plain border is a wide ramp ($L_G \gg \lambda_F$). There are low-height stages distributed on the plateau ($z_t \ll \lambda_F$).

Recently, we investigated the effects of gravity on a Knudsen gas [2]. Figure 1(A) depicts a two-dimensional (2D) vertical plane, in which a number of 2D elastic particles (finite-sized hard disks) randomly move. If the particle-particle collisions are extensive, to maximize entropy (S), the particle flux ratio ($\delta \triangleq n_t/n_b$) is the Boltzmann factor $\delta_0 = e^{-\beta mgz_G}$, where n_t and n_b are respectively the numbers of particle-wall collisions at the top boundary and the bottom boundary, m is the particle mass, g is the gravitational acceleration, and z_G is the plane height. Yet, if z_G is much smaller than the nominal particle mean free path (λ_F), without extensive particle-particle collisions, whether a particle can overcome the gravitational energy barrier to ascend from the

bottom to the top is mainly determined by its vertical-dimension kinetic energy (K_z), relatively unrelated to the horizontal motion. Since the average K_z is only a half of the average particle kinetic energy ($\bar{K} = k_B T$), δ is less than δ_0 . The nonequilibrium δ can be assessed as $\delta_1 = \int_{\sqrt{2gz_G}}^{\infty} p_z(v_z) dv_z = 1 - \text{erf}(\sqrt{\beta mgz_G})$, where v_z is the vertical component of particle velocity, and $p_z(v_z) = \sqrt{2m/(\pi\bar{K})} e^{-mv_z^2/(2\bar{K})}$ is the one-dimensional (1D) Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of v_z . By itself, $\delta_1 < \delta_0$ does not directly conflict with any law of thermodynamics, because when $z_G \ll \lambda_F$, the system is a Knudsen gas and is viewed as non-thermodynamic.

However, when a small Knudsen-gas-like component is incorporated in a large ideal-gas setup, remarkable phenomena may happen. Hereafter, such a small-sized component will be referred to as the spontaneously nonequilibrium dimension (SND). Figure 1(B) depicts an ideal gas in an asymmetric container in the \bar{x} - \bar{y} - \bar{z} coordinate system [2]. It is isolated. The central part of the container (the upper “plateau”) is slightly higher than the rest of the system (the lower “plain”). The plateau height (z_G) is much less than the nominal λ_F . One end of the plateau is connected to the plain through a vertical step (the SND), and the other end is connected through a wide ramp. The ramp size (L_G) is much longer than λ_F . The plain and the plateau can be arbitrarily large. A uniform gravitational field is along $-\bar{z}$, normal to the plateau and the plain. Initially, the system is at equilibrium. Because the particle movement in the ramp is chaotic, across it the particle flux ratio $\delta \rightarrow \delta_0$. Yet, as discussed in Figure 1(A), across the low-height vertical step, $\delta \rightarrow \delta_1$. Since $\delta_1 < \delta_0$, the overall probability for the particles to move along $+\bar{x}$ across the ramp is higher than along $-\bar{x}$ across the step. At the steady state, a net particle flow is generated in the $+\bar{x}$ direction. It leads to entropy decrease without an energetic penalty, allowing for production of useful work in a cycle by absorbing heat from a single thermal reservoir (e.g., a heat exchanger). In essence, the SND plays a role somewhat similar to Maxwell’s demon by “selectively” allowing the high- v_z particles to cross it along $-\bar{x}$. Fundamentally different from Maxwell’s demon, the flow is formed from unforced thermal motion of the particles, not involving any external information processing or active control. Furthermore, the flow is not a variant of Feynman’s ratchet, because the latter does not have an intrinsically nonequilibrium component (the SND).

In a regular thermodynamic system, the presence of SND is not allowed by the second law of thermodynamics. In Figure 1(B), compared to other narrow bands, the vertical step is special in the sense that gravity is in effect inside it but not immediately outside it. With such an arrangement,

as the local particle behavior influences the global state, the nonequilibrium characteristics traditionally unique to small setups can “spread” to the large field. SND is beyond the boundaries of Boltzmann’s H-theorem, since the H-theorem does not account for local nonchaoticity [1].

Figure 1(C) shows another plateau-plain configuration ($z_G \ll \lambda_F$), closed and immersed in a thermal bath [2,9]. The entire plateau-plain border is a low-height vertical step (the SND), across which the particle number density ratio ($\bar{\rho}_G = \rho_G/\rho_P$) inherently differs from the Boltzmann factor ($\delta_0 = e^{-\beta mgz_G}$), with ρ_G and ρ_P being the particle number densities on the plateau and in the plain, respectively. It enables cyclical work production through heat absorption from the thermal bath, by alternately operating two thermodynamic forces: the support force (F_G) that can raise or lower the plateau, and the in-plane pressure (P) that can expand or compress the plain. When the plateau area (A_G) and the plain area (A_P) are much larger than the step, $F_G = mgN_G$ and $PA_P = N_P k_B T$ [9], with N_G and N_P being the particle numbers on the plateau and in the plain, respectively. In general, as shown in Section A1 in the Appendix, the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics may be expressed as [2]

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} \quad (1)$$

where F_1 and F_2 are two thermally correlated thermodynamic forces, and x_1 and x_2 are their conjugate variables, respectively. For an equilibrium system, $\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1}$ can be derived from $F_1 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial x_1}$ and $F_2 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial x_2}$, where \mathcal{F} is the Helmholtz free energy. For F_G and P , Equation (1) becomes $-\frac{\partial F_G}{\partial A_P} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_G}$, which may be rewritten as $\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}_G}{\partial z_G} = -\beta mg$. Its solution is $\bar{\rho}_G = e^{-\beta mgz_G}$. That is, the particle distribution must be equilibrium ($\bar{\rho}_G = \delta_0$). However, with the SND (the low-height vertical step), the steady-state $\bar{\rho}_G \rightarrow \delta_1$, causing $-\frac{\partial F_G}{\partial A_P} \neq \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_G}$. A striking consequence is that, in the isothermal cycle in Figure 1(D), the produced work (W_P) is significantly greater than the consumed work (W_G), incompatible with the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics.

Figure 1(E) depicts a variant system of Figure 1(C) [2]. The entire plateau-plain border is a wide ramp ($L_G \gg \lambda_F$). A number of vertical-walled stages are distributed on the plateau. The stage floors are connected to the plateau, so that the stage height (z_t) is proportional to the plateau height (z_G). When $z_t \ll \lambda_F$, the stage walls behave as SND, rendering the particle number density

distribution intrinsically nonequilibrium. Specifically, the number of particles on the stages (N_T) and the associated support force ($F_T \propto mgN_T$) do not follow the Boltzmann factor $e^{-\beta mgh_t}$, where $h_t = z_G + z_t$. As a result, $-\frac{\partial F_G}{\partial A_P} \neq \frac{\partial P}{\partial z_G}$, i.e., Equation (1) is not satisfied. When the system is operated in the isothermal cycle in Figure 1(D), $W_P \neq W_G$. The produced useful work ($\Delta W = W_P - W_G$) is from the absorbed heat from the environment.

While the Knudsen-gas-based models in Figure 1(B,C,E) have interesting properties, it is difficult to directly test them, primarily because of the tough requirement on g . To achieve a nontrivial nonequilibrium effect, if the particles are air molecules, g needs to be higher than 10^{11} m/s², at the level of neutron stars.

In current research, the concept of Figure 1(E) is experimentally investigated, with the weak gravitational force being replaced by the strong Coulomb force. The working medium is changed from a rarefied gas to a dilute electrolyte solution. In Section 2, we introduce the key element in the experimental design: large ions in charged small micropores. The study will be focused on the measurement of the steady-state ion distribution. In Section 3, we perform a thermodynamic analysis on the experimental setup. The second law of thermodynamics dictates that the steady state must be equilibrium, i.e., the effective surface ion density must be proportional to the Boltzmann factor. A nonequilibrium ion distribution would allow for production of useful work in a cycle by absorbing heat from a single thermal reservoir without any other effect. Sections 4-5 present the experimental procedure and results.

2. Key component in experiment: Large ions in charged small nanopores

Figure 2(A) shows the key component in our experiment: a microporous electrode immersed in an electrolyte solution. Compared with Figure 1(E), when the electrode is charged, the high potential in the micropores corresponds to the upper plateau; changing the electrode potential (V) is analogous to adjusting the plateau height. The bulk liquid phase is the counterpart of the lower plain; reducing the ion concentration (c) is analogous to expanding the plain, and vice versa. The charge carriers (the dissolved ions) are the counterpart of the elastic particles. The equilibrium ion exchange between the outer electrode surface and the bulk liquid phase corresponds to the wide ramp. The energy barrier is formed by the Coulomb force, which is much stronger than the gravitational force, desirable for experimental study.

The system has two critical characteristics. Firstly, the effective pore size (d_e) must be only slightly larger than the effective ion size (d_i), specifically less than $2d_i$. Under this condition, in a nanopore, the confined ions form a quasi-1D lineup. As demonstrated in the computer simulations in open literature [10-16] and will be discussed in Section 6.2 below, the confinement effect of the nanopore walls may render the steady-state ion distribution intrinsically nonequilibrium, analogous to the low-height stage walls in Figure 1(E). Secondly, the electrolyte concentration in the bulk liquid phase (c) should be relatively low. When c is less than 20 mM, with a constant electrode charge (Q), the cell potential (V) is sensitive to a small variation of c .




Figure 2. (A) A charged microporous electrode in an electrolyte solution, with adsorbed co-ions and counter-ions. In a micropore, when the effective pore size is only slightly larger than the effective ion size, the confined ions form a quasi-1D lineup, and the steady-state ion distribution may be spontaneously nonequilibrium. Compared with Figure 1(E), the high-potential micropores are the counterpart of the upper plateau; the bulk liquid phase is analogous to the lower plain; the confinement effect of the nanopore walls plays a role somewhat similar to the locally nonchaotic low-height stage walls. (B) Schematic of the charging process of two flat electrodes in an electrolyte solution. When the electrode charge (Q) increases with the electric potential (V), as indicated by the arrows, the adsorption of counter-ions is accompanied by the repulsion of co-ions (the ion swapping effect). Consequently, the increase of the amount of adsorbed ions at the electrode surfaces (i.e., the loss of ions from the bulk liquid phase) tends to be less than the increase of Q/z , with z being the ionic charge. In other words, the charge efficiency (Λ) is smaller than 1.

People have long known that when d_i is close to d_e , the behavior of large ions in charged small micropores can be unusual. A number of molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of double-layer supercapacitors [10-16] consistently demonstrate that the effective ion diffusion coefficient in micropores (D_i) may be highly dependent on the electric potential (V). For example, upon quasi-static charging of a 0.75-nm-diameter pore [10], the diffusion coefficients of 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium cations (EMIM⁺) and bis(trifluoro-methylsulfonyl)-imide anions drastically increase by two orders of magnitude. As a result, the total surface ion density, $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sigma^+ + \sigma^-$,

may decrease, where σ^+ and σ^- are the effective surface densities of counter-ions and co-ions, respectively. When the pore size is increased to more than 1 nm ($d_e > 2d_i$), the correlation between D_i and V vanishes. For another example [12], when d_e is 0.9 nm, as V rises, the diffusion coefficient of EMIM⁺ in both cathode and anode varies by one order of magnitude. In a 1-nm-diameter pore [15], depending on the ion-ion interaction, σ_{tot} may be lower at a higher V .

Since D_i depends on V , when the electrodes are charged, the steady-state ion distribution is nonequilibrium, i.e., σ^+ and σ^- are not proportional to the Boltzmann factor $\delta_0 = e^{-\beta z e_0 V/2}$, where z is the ionic charge and e_0 is the elementary charge. As depicted in Figure 2(A), the amount of adsorbed ions in the electrode may be written as $N_{\text{ad}} \triangleq \sigma_{\text{tot}} A_e = N_s (y_p / \Delta \bar{y})$, where A_e is the effective surface area of the electrode, $N_s \triangleq \sigma_s A_s$ is the amount of the ions in the outer surface zone of the electrode, σ_s is the effective surface-zone ion density, A_s is the outer surface area of the electrode, y_p is the micropore length, and $\Delta \bar{y}$ is the average ion spacing in the micropores. The outer surface zone can directly exchange ions with the bulk liquid phase. Consequently, σ_s tends to be proportional to $c \delta_0$. As D_i is a function of V , $\Delta \bar{y}$ varies with V , so that the $N_{\text{ad}} - V$ relationship is in a non-Boltzmann form. A more detailed analysis is given in Section 3 below, indicating that the nonequilibrium steady-state σ^+ and σ^- are incompatible with the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics.

3. Thermodynamic analysis for an equilibrium system

The thermodynamic analysis in this section reiterates that for a capacitive cell with porous electrodes (Figure 2A), the second law of thermodynamics forbids its steady-state ion distribution from being nonequilibrium, i.e., the relationship between V and σ^\pm must follow the Boltzmann factor (δ_0). Otherwise, an isothermal cycle can be designed to produce useful work by absorbing heat from a thermal reservoir without any other effect. This requirement conflicts with the MD simulation results in [10-16] and will be examined in the experiment in Sections 4-5.

3.1 Cross-influence between electric potential and chemical potential

The second law of thermodynamics can be formulated by applying Equation (1) to Figure 2(A). In a capacitive cell, there are two thermally correlated thermodynamic forces: the chemical

potential of the bulk liquid phase (μ) and the electric potential of the electrodes (V) [17]. They are counterparts of P and F_G in Figure 1(E), respectively. The conjugate variable of μ is the amount of dissolved ions in the bulk liquid phase, N_e . The conjugate variable of V is the electrode charge, Q . For an equilibrium system, in accordance with Equation (1), the cross-influence of μ and V must be balanced [17,18], i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial N_e} = \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial Q} \quad (2)$$

As will be explained in Section 3.2, Equation (2) (and Equation 3 below) represents the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics.

In a dilute solution, the definition of chemical potential is $\mu = \mu_{\text{ref}} + RT \cdot \ln(cV_w)$ [19], so that $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial Q} = \frac{RT}{c} \frac{\partial c}{\partial Q} = \frac{RT}{c} \frac{\partial c}{\partial N_e} \frac{\partial N_e}{\partial Q}$, where μ_{ref} is the reference chemical potential, R is the gas constant, and V_w is the molar volume of the solvent. Denote $\frac{\partial V}{\partial c}$ by δ_V . Because $\frac{\partial V}{\partial N_e} = \delta_V \frac{\partial c}{\partial N_e}$ and $\frac{\partial N_e}{\partial Q} = -\frac{2}{\xi} \frac{\partial N_{\text{ad}}}{\partial Q} = -\frac{2}{\xi} \Lambda$, Equation (2) can be rewritten as

$$\delta_V = -\frac{2k_B T}{e_0 c} \Lambda \quad (3)$$

where ξ is the Faraday constant, $\Lambda \triangleq \frac{\partial N_{\text{ad}}}{\partial Q} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{\text{tot}}}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\text{ion}}}{\partial \sigma}$ is the charge efficiency, $\Gamma_{\text{ion}} = \sigma_{\text{tot}} - 2\sigma_0$ is the surface excess ion density, $2\sigma_0$ is the reference surface ion density of uncharged pores when $V = 0$, and $\sigma = Q/A_e$ is the effective surface charge density.

Charge efficiency (Λ) is an important property of the capacitive cells. It describes how the electrolyte concentration c (or the surface ion density σ_{tot}) varies with the state of charge (σ). When an electrode is not charged ($V = 0$ and $\sigma = 0$), its surface adsorbs equal amounts of co-ions and counter-ions. As the electrode is charged ($V > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$), the charge balance is maintained either through adsorption of additional counter-ions or repulsion of adsorbed co-ions. The former causes σ_{tot} to increase, and the latter causes σ_{tot} to decrease. If there were no repulsion of co-ions (i.e., if the increase in σ were entirely associated with the adsorption of counter-ions), $\Lambda = 1$, so that the increase in electrode charge is equal to the decrease in dissolved ions in the bulk liquid phase (for $z = 1$), and the predicted δ_V of the classical Gouy-Chapman-Stern (GCS) model would converge to the Nernst equation [17,20]. In general, adsorption of counter-ions and repulsion of co-ions happen simultaneously. As illustrated in Figure 2(B), with the swapping of co-ions and

counter-ions, $\Lambda < 1$. The value of Λ increases with the ion concentration (c) and the surface charge density (σ), and approaches unity when c and σ are large [21-23].

3.2 Heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics

In this section, we confirm that Equations (2) and (3) reflect the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics, i.e., no useful work can be produced in a cycle by absorbing heat from a single thermal reservoir [24]. Figure 3(A) depicts a capacitive-osmotic cell consisting of two porous electrodes in a dilute aqueous solution of a $z:z$ salt. An osmotic piston separates the solution from a reservoir of pure water. Water molecules can freely pass through the osmotic piston, while the dissolved ions are blocked. Initially, at State I, the electrodes are charged with Q .




Figure 3. (A) A capacitive-osmotic cell. The system is closed and immersed in a thermal bath. The osmotic piston adjusts the electrolyte concentration in the bulk liquid phase (c), which in turn determines the osmotic pressure (P_{os}); it corresponds to the in-plane pressure in Figure 1(E) (P) that expands and compresses the lower plain. The electric power supply controls the voltage of the porous electrodes (V), corresponding to the support force in Figure 1(E) (F_G) that raises and lowers the plateau. (B) The operation cycle of the osmotic pressure (P_{os}) and the electric potential (V), analogous to Figure 1(C). Indexes I-IV indicate the system states.

From State I to II, both of the electric switches are off and the electrode charge (Q) remains constant; the piston moves upwards and the liquid volume in the cell (V_{os}) increases. As water

molecules enter the cell through the osmotic piston, the electrolyte concentration (c) is reduced, so that the cell electric potential (V) becomes higher (Figure 3B). For large-pored electrodes, the $V - c$ relationship is well described by the classical GCS model [21-23]: $\delta_V = -2RT\sigma / (c\xi\sqrt{\kappa_0(zT)^2c + \sigma^2})$, where κ_0 is a system parameter. For microporous electrodes, while the GCS model may not be applicable, similar phenomena have been repeatedly observed: with a constant Q , V decreases as c becomes larger, and vice versa [17, 25-27]. The variation in V is not caused by the osmotic pressure (P_{os}), as $P_{os} = 2cRT$ is determined by T and c [28]. The increase in electrical energy (W_e) and the work done by the osmotic pressure (W_{os}) are both from the absorbed heat. For W_e , the heat exchange counterbalances the variation of the energy state of the adsorbed ions. This thermal-to-electrical energy conversion mechanism has been extensively investigated for the capacitive concentration cells [27,29]. For W_{os} , the system consumes heat when the piston expands the cell, and releases heat when the cell is compressed. Such a process has been widely studied for osmotic deionization and osmotic energy conversion [30-32].

From State II to III, the lower electric switch is on, and the electrodes are discharged; the osmotic piston does not move. Since ions are released to the liquid phase, c and P_{os} are larger. From State III to IV, both of the electric switches are off; the piston moves back, and the electrode charge does not change. As water is removed, c and P_{os} increase, and V decreases. Finally, from State IV to I, the upper electric switch is on, connecting the porous electrodes to the electric power supply. The cell is charged back to Q , with the liquid volume being kept constant. Thus, the system returns to its initial state.

As shown in Figure 3(B), P_{os} consumes work W_{os} , and V produces electrical energy W_e . If the system is operated in the reverse direction, W_{os} would be produced and W_e would be consumed. According to the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics,

$$W_e = W_{os} \quad (4)$$

Consider an isothermal cycle in which, at each step, the variation of liquid volume (dV_{os}) or the change of electrode charge (dQ) is arbitrarily small. From State I to II, when V_{os} changes by dV_{os} , the work that P_{os} does to the environment is $P_{os}dV_{os}$, accompanied by a heat absorption of the same amount. The cell potential increases by $-\delta_V dc$, and the reduction of electrolyte concentration is $dc = c \cdot dV_{os} / V_{os}$. From State II to III, when Q is reduced by dQ , the capacitive cell does work $(V - \delta_V dc)dQ$ to the electric load, and the amount of the dissolved ions in the bulk liquid phase (N_e) increases by $(2\Lambda/\xi)dQ$. Because $c = N_e / (2V_{os})$, the electrolyte concentration increases by

$\Lambda(V_{os}\xi)^{-1}dQ$ and P_{os} increases by $2RT\Lambda(V_{os}\xi)^{-1}dQ$. From State III to IV, P_{os} consumes work $-[P_{os} + 2RT\Lambda(V_{os}\xi)^{-1}dQ]dV_{os}$. As the electrolyte concentration increases, the cell potential is reduced back to V . Finally, from State IV to I, the capacitive cell consumes work $V \cdot dQ$. Overall, $W_e = -\delta_V dcdQ = -(\delta_V c/V_{os})dV_{os}dQ$ and $W_{os} = 2RT\Lambda(V_{os}\xi)^{-1}dQdV_{os}$. Thus, Equation (4) can be derived from Equation (3). Inconsistency with Equation (3) is equivalent to contradiction to the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics (Equation 4).

3.3 Controversy of the nonequilibrium steady-state ion distribution

In this section, we demonstrate that Equation (3) requires the steady-state ion distribution to be at thermodynamic equilibrium. In other words, a nonequilibrium ion distribution (e.g., the MD simulation result in [10-16]) conflicts with the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics (Equation 4).

In Figure 2(A), when the effective pore size (d_e) is slightly larger than the effective ion size (d_i) but less than $2d_i$, the adsorbed ions in a nanopore are in quasi-1D lineups [33]. Since charges are balanced,

$$\sigma = z(\sigma^- - \sigma^+) \quad (5)$$

The effective surface ion density in micropores (σ^+ and σ^-) may be related to the Boltzmann factor through [17,21-23]

$$\sigma^\pm = \sigma_n \exp\left(\mp \frac{ze_0V}{2k_B T}\right) \quad (6)$$

where σ_n can be written as $\sigma_n = \chi_0(\xi\alpha_e d_e c)$, α_e is the geometrical factor ($\alpha_e = 0.25$ for cylindrical pores; $\alpha_e = 1$ for slit pores), and χ_0 is the ion adsorption ratio. If the effective concentration of confined ions in micropores (c_i) at $V = 0$ were identical to c (i.e., the micropore walls do not have any inherent capability of ion adsorption), $\sigma_n = \xi\alpha_e d_e c$ and $\chi_0 = 1$. In general, because the force fields are asymmetric across a solid surface, the micropore walls tend to adsorb ions even when $V = 0$ [19], so that $\chi_0 > 1$. By definition, χ_0 is proportional to D_0/D_i , where D_0 is the ion diffusion coefficient in the bulk liquid. The value of χ_0 depends on surface groups, surface defects, pore geometry, stericity, etc.

Equations (5) and (6) are the governing equations of the capacitive cell. According to Equation (6), $\sigma^- \sigma^+ = \sigma_n^2$. Substitution of it into Equation (5) gives $\sigma^\pm = \frac{1}{2z} \left(\sqrt{\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_n^2 z^2} \mp \sigma \right)$. Hence, $\Gamma_{\text{ion}} = \sigma^+ + \sigma^- - 2\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{z} \sqrt{\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_n^2 z^2} - 2\sigma_0$. On the one hand,

$$\Lambda = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\text{ion}}}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\sigma + 4z^2 \sigma_n \delta_\sigma}{z \sqrt{\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_n^2 z^2}} \quad (7)$$

where $\delta_\sigma = \frac{\partial \sigma_n}{\partial \sigma}$. On the other hand, based on the $V - \sigma$ relationship determined by Equations (5) and (6), with $\sigma_n = \chi_0 (\xi \alpha_e d_e c)$,

$$\delta_V = -\frac{2k_B T}{ze_0 c} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_n^2 z^2}} \quad (8)$$

Comparison of Equation (8) with Equation (7) shows that, to satisfy Equation (3) (the second law of thermodynamics), we must have

$$\delta_\sigma = 0 \quad (9)$$

It demands that χ_0 is uncorrelated with σ , i.e., D_i is independent of V . Under this condition, σ^+ and σ^- are proportional to the Boltzmann factor.

Equation (6) represents the equilibrium ion distribution, i.e., the entropy statement of the second law of thermodynamics. Equation (3) represents the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics. In essence, Equation (9) reflects that Equation (6) and Equation (3) are equivalent to each other, as they should be.

However, the computer simulations in [10-16] suggest that D_i significantly changes with V , so that $\delta_\sigma \neq 0$, contradicting Equation (9). The numerical results indicate that the steady-state ion distribution is nonequilibrium. For the capacitive-osmotic cell in Figure 3(A), similar to the systems in Figure 1, the intrinsically nonequilibrium steady state cannot be explained in the conventional framework of statistical mechanics. If $\delta_\sigma \neq 0$, according to Equations (7) and (8), $|\delta_V| \neq 2k_B T \Lambda / (e_0 c)$, which causes $W_e \neq W_{\text{os}}$. Consequently, in the isothermal cycle in Figure 3, useful work ($\Delta W = W_e - W_{\text{os}}$) can be produced in a cycle by absorbing heat from a single thermal reservoir without any other effect.

4. Experimental procedure and results

In order to investigate Equations (3) and (9), we performed an experiment on a microporous carbon in cesium pivalate (CsPiv) solutions (Figure 4A). The pore size of the carbon (d_e) was ~ 1 nm [34]. The effective pivalate ion size (d_i) was ~ 0.7 nm (Figure 4B). The experimental procedure was similar to the previous study on the same carbon in sodium chloride (NaCl) solutions [17].




Figure 4. Schematics of (A) the testing cell and (B) a pivalate ion. (C) The rate convergence testing result, indicating that the measurement data reflect the steady state (see Section 6.1).

The capacitive cell in Figure 4(A) was slowly charged at ambient temperature (~ 22 °C) with a constant current (I). The initial CsPiv concentration (c) was 10 mM, 12 mM, 14 mM, or 16 mM. For each initial c , as the electrode charge (Q) was raised, the increase in cell potential (V) (Figure 5A) and the decrease in c (Figure 5B) were recorded in real time; c was determined by the liquid conductivity (η), monitored by the nickel-wire probe embedded in the cell. The rate convergence test (Figure 4C) confirmed that the testing data reflected the steady state (see Section 6.1). The charge efficiency (Λ) was calculated from the measured c and Q (Figure 5C). By comparing the $V - Q$ curves of different initial c in Figure 5(A), we obtained the concentration sensitivity of electric potential, $|\delta_V|$, as shown by the hollow symbols in Figure 5(D). The details of the experimental setup and procedure are given in Sections 4.1-4.6 below.

4.1 Preparation of materials and cell components

The electrodes were made of Spectracarb-2225 Type-900 carbon, a microporous material frequently used in the study of double-layer supercapacitors [e.g., 17,34]. The as-received carbon cloth was cut into 15.88-mm-diameter electrode discs, and dried in a VWR-1330GM oven for 24

hours at 120 °C. The electrode mass (m_e) was around 25 mg. Two identical electrode discs were soaked in 20 mL CsPiv solution in a VWR Shel-Lab-1410 vacuum oven for 5 min at 94.8 kPa. Dreamweaver Titanium-30 porous membrane separators were cut into discs and immersed in the same aqueous solution of CsPiv for 10 min. The membrane disc diameter was 17.46 mm. From a 415- μm -thick McMaster-85585K15 polycarbonate film, two spacer rings were cut by a punch head, with the inner diameter of 7.1 mm and the outer diameter of 15.9 mm.




Figure 5. (A) The electric potential (V) increases as the cell is charged at a constant current (I). The charge curve is influenced by the initial CsPiv concentration (c). (B) The measured real-time CsPiv concentration (c), as a function of the electrode charge (Q). When the cell is charged, c keeps decreasing. (C) The charge efficiency (Λ). The hollow symbols are directly obtained from the $c - Q$ data in panel (B); the solid curves are calculated from equilibrium thermodynamics (Equation 10). They differ from each other by one order of magnitude. (D) Comparison of the $|\delta_V|$ values directly measured from the $V - Q$ data in panel (A) (the hollow symbols) and obtained from the Λ data in panel (C) (the solid symbols). They differ from each other by one order of magnitude. The initial c is 10 mM (left), 12 mM (middle), or 14 mM (right). (E) The nonequilibrium term in the numerator in Equation (7), $4\sigma_n\delta_\sigma$ ($z = 1$). The horizontal axis is the effective surface charge density in the micropores ($\sigma = Q/A_e$), which is the equilibrium term in the numerator in Equation (7). The calculation is based on panel (C) ($\chi_0 = 5$).

The cell case was made of two circular McMaster-1221T63 polyacrylic discs, with a thickness of 25.4 mm and a diameter of 76.2 mm (Figure 6). One disc was used as the top case and the other was the bottom case. On each cell case, eight edge holes were drilled by using a McMaster-28015A51 Palmgren drill press, with a 7.1-mm-diameter drill bit (McMaster 2901A126). The holes were equally spaced, with the center-to-center distance being 50.8 mm

along the diagonal direction. A liquid-replacement hole was drilled at the center of the top/bottom case, by using a 3.18-mm-diameter drill bit (McMaster 2901A115).

Connection tubes were produced by inserting 200-mm-long ethylene-vinyl acetate (EVA) tubes (McMaster 1883T1) into 50-mm-long McMaster-5231K124 poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC) tubes. The interface between the inner and the outer tubes was secured by McMaster-7605A18 J-B Weld epoxy, cured for 10 h at ambient temperature. The tubes were connected to the center holes of the cell cases. For the top cell case, a 0.6-mm-diameter BD-PrecisionGlide-305194 needle was tightly pressed in the EVA tubing; the other end was connected to a 1-mL BD-309659 syringe.




Figure 6. The cell assembly process (from Step A to Step F). The inset at the lower-right corner of panel (C) shows a close-up view of the nickel-wire probe. The diameter of the cell case is 76.2 mm.

A Panasonic EYG-S121803 graphite sheet was sectioned into 1.5-mm-wide 20-mm-long strips by a McMaster-3962A48 steel razor blade. A 25- μm -thick MTI MF-NiFoil-25u nickel foil was sectioned into 30-mm-long 2-mm-wide strips, and repeatedly flattened in a Durston DRM F150 rolling mill, with the roller gap being 20 μm . A graphite strip was affixed to a nickel strip by a 4-mm-wide McMaster-7648A32 Kapton tape, to produce an electric outlet tab. A tab was attached on the bottom cell case, by using Kapton tapes (McMaster, 7648A32). The overlapping length was 10 mm. Another tab was affixed on the top cell case by using the same method.

Two 50-mm-long 0.5-mm-wide nickel strips were cut from the nickel foil and flattened by the rolling mill repeatedly, with the roller gap of 20 μm . A liquid-conductivity measurement wire probe was produced by placing the two nickel stripes in parallel. The gap between them was 1.0 mm and the gauge length was 10 mm. The two strips were affixed by three 0.8-mm-wide Kapton tapes and embedded in the middle of two membrane separators.

4.2 Cell formation

As shown in Figure 6, eight McMaster-92196A821 stainless steel screws were inserted in the through-holes of the bottom cell case, together with McMaster-95606A420 nylon washers. One carbon electrode was placed on the bottom case at the center, and then covered by the probe-embedded membrane separators, the other carbon-disc electrode, and the top cell case. The electric connections were optimized by adjusting the tab position. In between the electrode stack and the top cell case, there were two layers of spacer rings. On the screws at the bottom case, two layers of McMaster-90295A450 nylon shims were added. Surrounding the electrode stack, there was a McMaster-8297T174 Viton o-ring. McMaster-91849A029 stainless-steel nuts were fastened onto the screws, together with McMaster-95606A420 nylon washers. The fastening was performed by a McMaster-5503A22 L-key and a McMaster-7152A812 wrench. In the assembled cell, the shims contacted both the top case and the bottom case. Excess electrolyte was extracted by two syringes via the connection tubes.

The electric outlet tabs of the assembled cell were connected to a Neware CT-ZWJ-4S-T Analyzer. The cells were cycled from 0 to 800 mV at 0.1 mA for 20 cycles. If the coulombic efficiency of the cell was lower than 98% or the cell impedance was higher than 60 Ω , the cell would be rejected. The coulombic efficiency was defined as the ratio between the discharge capacity to the charge capacity.

4.3 Rate convergence test

The capacitive cell was tested in charge-discharge cycles. For different cycles, the current (I) was 0.2 mA, 0.15 mA, 0.12 mA, or 0.1 mA. A Hanna HI5321-01 Meter was connected to the nickel-wire liquid-conductivity measurement probe, so that the liquid conductivity (η) was measured simultaneously with V . The initial CsPiv concentration was 10 mM.

In each charge-discharge cycle, once the electrode charge (Q) varied by 0.048 C, the current was stopped for one minute. The maximum Q was 0.48 C. For each charging rate, the cell was first pre-cycled 5 times. Then, the voltage was maintained at 0 V for 2 min. In the 6th cycle, the cell potential and the liquid conductivity were recorded after the resting period at every stop.

Figure 4(C) shows the measured $V - Q$ profiles. When the current $I = 0.1$ mA, it took ~ 80 min to complete the charging process. When I was 0.12 mA, 0.15 mA, or 0.2 mA, the charging duration (t_c) was ~ 67 min, ~ 53 min, or ~ 40 min, respectively. The result indicates that with the slow charging rate, the process was near steady state.

4.4 Charge-discharge cycle

A cell was prepared with the initial c of 10 mM, and charged at ambient temperature (~ 22 °C) with $I = 0.1$ mA. Every time when Q increased by 0.048 C, the current was stopped for one minute, followed by the measurement of the cell potential (V) and the liquid conductivity (η).

After each charge-discharge cycle, liquid replacement was performed (see Section 4.5 below) and the initial c was increased by 2 mM. The V and η measurement was repeated with the new electrolyte solution. Altogether, the liquid phase was changed three times. That is, the CsPiv concentration at the onset of cell charging was 10 mM, 12 mM, 14 mM, or 16 mM.

4.5 Liquid replacement

In Figure 3(A), the electrolyte concentration (c) is adjusted by the frictionless osmotic piston, which is difficult to achieve in the experiment because of the friction force associated with piston motion and the relatively slow and imperfect ion separation of available semi-permeable membranes. To circumvent this hurdle, in the study of capacitive desalination [22-25] and concentration cells [27,29], the technique of liquid replacement has been commonly employed to precisely control c . The process has little influence on other cell parts, such as the electrode layers and the electric contacts. It is carried out by maintaining a slow flow of a new liquid through the cell, often across the electrode stack. Once equilibrium is established, the old liquid phase is replaced by the new solution [17].

The center hole of the top CsPiv cell case was connected to a 60-mL syringe, which contained the new CsPiv solution. The CsPiv concentration of the new liquid was 2 mM higher than that of the old solution in the cell. An Instron-5582 machine pressed the syringe at a constant rate, and a slow flow was injected into the cell for ~ 30 min. The flow rate was ~ 4 mL/min. The excess liquid flew out of the cell from the center hole in the bottom case. The liquid conductivity

(η) was measured continuously by the embedded nickel-wire probe. Once η was stabilized at the new level, the flow was stopped.

After resting for ~30 min, the cell was pre-cycled five times from 0 to 800 mV. If the coulombic efficiency was below 98% or the internal impedance exceeded 60 Ω , the testing on the cell would be discontinued.

4.6 Measurement results and calculation

Figure 5(A) shows the increase in V when the cell was charged, where $Q = 3.6It_c/m_e$, m_e is the mass of electrode in gram, and t_c is the charging duration in hr. Figure 5(B) shows the CsPiv concentration (c) profiles during charging, obtained from the measured liquid conductivity (η) as $c = 0.1664\eta^2 + 1.2816\eta - 0.0934$, with the coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.9999$. The units of c and η are mM and mS/cm, respectively. The $c - \eta$ relation was calibrated by measuring η for various known c ; c was adjusted through liquid replacement from 1 mM to 50 mM (see Section 4.5), with the interval being 2 mM when $c \leq 20$ mM, or 10 mM when $c > 20$ mM.

Figure 5(C) shows the charge efficiency, which is calculated from Figure 5(B) as $\Lambda = -\xi V_L \Delta c / \Delta Q$ [35], where $V_L = V_{\text{cell}} - V_C - V_{\text{SP}}$ is the liquid volume, $V_{\text{cell}} = A_c d_c$ is the cell volume, $A_c = 197.9 \text{ mm}^2$ is the cross-sectional area of the electrode, d_c is the height of the cell cavity, $V_C = m_e / \rho_C$ is the volume of the solid carbon, $V_{\text{SP}} = m_{\text{SP}} / \rho_{\text{SP}}$ is the solid volume of the membrane separators, $\rho_C = 2.2 \text{ g/cm}^3$ is the density of carbon, m_{SP} is the mass of the membrane separators, ρ_{SP} is the density of the membrane; Δc is the change in c corresponding to two consecutive measurements of η along the $c - Q$ curve, and ΔQ is the associated increase in electrode charge.

Figure 5(D) shows the ion-concentration sensitivity of cell potential, $|\delta_V|$. The hollow symbols are obtained directly from Figure 5(A). Between two adjacent $V - Q$ curves, at the same Q , the difference in V is divided by the difference of real-time c (Figure 5B). The solid symbols are from Equation (3), using the values of Λ in Figure 5(C). To be conservative, c is taken as the lower bound of the range of CsPiv concentration involved in the calculation.

In Figure 5(E), for $z = 1$, the nonequilibrium term ($4\sigma_n \delta_\sigma$) in the numerator of Equation (7) is computed as $\Lambda \sqrt{\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_n^2} - \sigma$, where $\sigma_n = \chi_0 \xi \alpha_e d_e c$, and Λ and $\sigma = Q/A_e$ are from

Figure 5(C). For self-comparison purposes, to evaluate $4\sigma_n\delta_\sigma$ and σ under the same condition, we set $\chi_0\alpha_e = 1.25$ (see Section 5.2), $d_e = 1$ nm, and $A_e = 1870$ m²/g (the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface area [34]). The real-time c is obtained from Figure 5(B). The BET result represents the upper limit of the specific surface area of the carbon.

5. Analysis of the experimental data

5.1 Inconsistency with the second law of thermodynamics

Remarkably, the measured Λ and $|\delta_V|$ do not follow the second law of thermodynamics (Equation 3), as demonstrated in Figure 5(D). The solid symbols are $2k_B T\Lambda/(e_0c)$, calculated from the testing data of Λ in Figure 5(C); it is the right-hand side of Equation (3). The hollow symbols are $|\delta_V|$, obtained from Figure 5(A); it is the left-hand side of Equation (3). The three panels are for different CsPiv concentrations (c): from left to right, the initial c are 10 mM, 12 mM, and 14 mM, respectively. In all the cases, $|\delta_V|$ is anomalously large, greater than $2k_B T\Lambda/(e_0c)$ by nearly one order of magnitude. That is, Equation (3) is unbalanced.

The reference test on NaCl solutions [17] has confirmed that the testing setup and procedure are adequate for the study on Equation (3) (see Section A2 in the Appendix). With the small Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions, the system could be well analyzed by equilibrium thermodynamics. Yet, for CsPiv, as the pivalate ion size (d_i) is less than the micropore size (d_e) but larger than $d_e/2$ (see Section 6.2), because $\delta_V \neq -2k_B T\Lambda/(e_0c)$, if the cell is immersed in a thermal bath and operated in the isothermal cycle in Figure 3(A), the total produced work (W_e) would be more than the total consumed work (W_{os}), conflicting with Equation (4).

5.2 Intrinsically nonequilibrium steady-state ion distribution

The experimental data suggest that the steady-state ion distribution is significantly nonequilibrium. Specifically, δ_σ is nonzero, contradicting Equation (9). In an equilibrium system, the effective surface ion density in the micropores is governed by the Boltzmann factor (Equation 6). When $\delta_\sigma = 0$ and $z = 1$, Equation (7) is reduced to

$$\Lambda = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_n^2}} = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{Q^2 + 4(\varphi \xi d_e c)^2}} \quad (10)$$

where $\varphi = \chi_0 \alpha_e A_e$. In the reference experiment on NaCl solutions [17], as $\chi_0 \alpha_e = 2.9$, the measured Λ was in agreement with Equation (10) (Section A2 in the Appendix), consistent with the literature data of carbon adsorbents [36].

For CsPiv, to measure the ion adsorption ratio (χ_0), we performed an ion adsorption test by immersing a 0.25-g pristine carbon disk in 20 mL 10-mM CsPiv solution. After 1 h, c converged to 9.90 mM. According to [34], in the carbon electrode, the specific volume of the nanopores larger than the ion size ($\sim 7 \text{ \AA}$) is about $0.16 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$. It suggests that $\chi_0 \alpha_e \approx 1.25$. For cylindrical pores, $\alpha_e = 0.25$, so that $\chi_0 \approx 5$, i.e., the effective concentration of the adsorbed ions in micropores is about 5 times greater than c in the bulk liquid phase, which looks plausible [36]. To be conservative, we take A_e as the BET specific area (the upper limit of the specific surface area), $1870 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ [34]. Hence, $\varphi = \chi_0 \alpha_e A_e \approx 2.337 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$.

As shown in Figure 5(C), with such a φ , Equation (10) fails to describe the experimental measurement. The predicted solid lines are different from the hollow symbols by one order of magnitude. To keep the calculated Λ on the same scale as the testing data, φ must be greatly increased to $1.1 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, which corresponds to an unacceptably large ion adsorption ratio (χ_0) ~ 240 , much exceeding the ion adsorption capacity of nanoporous carbon by two orders of magnitude [34]. It is all the more unsatisfactory that even if we set $\chi_0 = 240$, the so-computed curves merely qualitatively reflect the basic trend of the $\Lambda - Q$ relationship (i.e., Λ increases with Q). Since in an electrolyte solution the effective specific surface area is significantly smaller than the BET value, the actual required χ_0 tends to be even larger than 240.

It is clear that to analyze the testing result of Λ , Equation (10) should not be used. Specifically, δ_σ in Equation (7) cannot be ignored. That is, the ion distribution is nonequilibrium, as σ_n is dependent on σ . In Figure 5(E), based on the data of Λ in Figure 5(C), we set $\chi_0 \alpha_e \approx 1.25$ and estimate the nonequilibrium term in Equation (7), $4\sigma_n \delta_\sigma$ ($z = 1$). When the electrode charge is small, $4\sigma_n \delta_\sigma$ is at the same level as the equilibrium term, σ . The degree of nonequilibrium drastically increases as the electrodes are charged. When σ reaches $\sim 15 \text{ mC}/\text{m}^2$, $4\sigma_n \delta_\sigma$ is 10~20 times greater than σ . This effect is more pronounced with a lower c . The large $4\sigma_n \delta_\sigma$ is compatible with the MD simulations [10-12] that as V rises, D_i could vary by 1~2 orders of magnitude.

6. Extended discussion

6.1 The measurement result reflects the steady state

Figure 5 reflects the steady states of the CsPiv cells. Firstly, the rate convergence test (Figure 4C) indicates that the testing result is insensitive to the charge rate. Secondly, the reference NaCl experiment [17] has demonstrated that for small ions, the testing setup and procedure could be well analyzed by equilibrium thermodynamics (Section A2 in the Appendix). In the current study, for the CsPiv cells, because the relatively low charge efficiency is associated with a mild ion concentration gradient, the liquid conductivity measurement should be more accurate than for the reference NaCl cells. Moreover, as D_i of large ions is higher than that of small ions [10-12,14], the CsPiv cells tend to reach the steady state more rapidly than the reference NaCl cells.

Thirdly, with the slow charge rate at 0.1 mA, the typical charging duration (t_c) is ~80 min. The ion diffusivity of CsPiv can be estimated as $D_0 = RTc/(\xi^2 z^2 \eta)$ [37], which is ~1200 $\mu\text{m}^2/\text{sec}$ for $c = 10$ mM. With the characteristic length being the electrode half-thickness $L_0 \sim 200$ μm , the time constant of ion diffusion is $\tau_0 = L_0^2/D_0 \approx 33$ sec, in agreement with the literature data of ion diffusion [13]. It is shorter than t_c by more than 2 orders of magnitude. This assessment is conservative, because D_i in the micropores is much larger than D_0 [10-16] and the actual τ_0 is much less than 33 sec.

Fourthly, the coulombic efficiency (>98%) achieved in the experiment is high (Section 4.2). Fifthly, if the transient effect were not negligible, co-ion repulsion would be incomplete and with the balanced electrode charge, more counter-ions must be adsorbed. Consequently, the charge efficiency and the predicted $|\delta_V|$ by Equation (3) would be even smaller than the measured values. Finally, compared to the bulk liquid phase, in the strong Coulomb force field near the electrode surfaces, the ion motion is much faster [38,39].

6.2 Mechanisms of the intrinsically nonequilibrium ion distribution

The experiment on the CsPiv cells (Figure 5D) and the MD simulation on D_i [10-16] indicate that there are strongly nonequilibrium mechanisms in the microporous electrodes, so that

the micropores may play the role of spontaneously nonequilibrium dimension (SND). In Equation (7), the nonequilibrium term ($4\sigma_n\delta_\sigma$) is order-of-magnitude more important than the equilibrium term (Figure 5E). In Equation (6), when the surface ion density (σ^\pm) is divided by the Boltzmann factor $e^{\mp\beta ze_0V/2}$, the coefficient (σ_n) is dependent on V .

The numerical study in [10,11] suggests that the nonequilibrium surface ion density is rooted in the confinement effect of the nanopore walls. The accelerated diffusion and the constrained relaxation of ion conformation are the critical processes. Other important factors may include the surface heterogeneities, the difference in diffusion rates [12], the interaction among counter-ions and co-ions (e.g., the ion-couple and the double-helical-like ion configurations [33]), the ion-water interaction, ion reorientation, etc. For instance, it is well known that carbon surfaces have a large number of defects [40] and charged groups [41], which often dominate the properties of carbon. These phenomena involve local potential variations and/or energy exchanges (denoted by E_t), which cannot be circumvented by the confined ion diffusion, as the nanopore size (d_e) is only slightly larger than the ion size (d_i).

In an equilibrium three-dimensional (3D) system, the effective local surface ion density associated with E_t is $\sigma_t = \alpha_h(d_e c \delta_0)\delta_t$, where $\delta_t = e^{-\beta ze_0 E_t}$ is the Boltzmann factor, and α_h is the coefficient related to the pore geometry, the steric effect, etc. Yet, under the condition of $d_i < d_e < 2d_i$, the motion of the adsorbed ions is quasi-1D. Because only the axial-dimension thermal movement (v_a) is directly relevant to the interaction with E_t , the ion transmission ratio is governed not by δ_t , but by $\delta_2 = \int_{v_{cr}}^{\infty} p_a(v_a)dv_a = 1 - \text{erf}(\sqrt{\beta ze_0 E_t})$, where $v_{cr} = \sqrt{2ze_0 E_t/m_i}$, p_a is the 1D Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of v_a , and m_i is the ion mass. That is, $\sigma_t \rightarrow (\alpha_h d_e c \delta_0)\delta_2$. Such a confinement effect of nanopore walls is comparable with the role of the locally nonchaotic stage walls in Figure 1(E), wherein the vertical-dimension kinetic energy dominates whether a particle can overcome the gravitational energy barrier; δ_2 is the counterpart of δ_1 .

The nonequilibrium σ_t can be further examined by analyzing the following two thermodynamic forces: $F_t = ze_0 A_t \sigma_t$ and $P_t = k_B T N_r / V_{os}$, where A_t and N_r are the effective area and the ion amount involved in E_t , respectively. The conjugate variables of F_t and P_t are E_t and $-V_{os}$, respectively. Compared with Figure 1(E), the form of $F_t = ze_0 A_t \sigma_t$ is similar to $F_T \propto mgN_T$, and the form of $P_t = k_B T N_r / V_{os}$ is similar to $P = k_B T N_G / A_G$; E_t is the counterpart of mgz_t , and V_{os} is the counterpart of A_p . Equation (1) requires that $-\frac{\partial F_t}{\partial V_{os}} = \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial E_t}$. If E_t slightly varies

by dE_t , the variation in adsorbed ions is $A_t \frac{\partial \sigma_t}{\partial E_t} dE_t$ and accordingly, N_r changes by the same amount; thus, $\frac{\partial N_r}{\partial E_t} = -A_t \frac{\partial \sigma_t}{\partial E_t}$. Since $c = N_e/(2V_{os})$, when $\sigma_t = \alpha_h(d_e c \delta_0) \delta_t$, $-\frac{\partial F_t}{\partial V_{os}} = \frac{ze_0 A_t \sigma_t}{V_{os}}$, which is equal to $\frac{\partial P_t}{\partial E_t} = -\frac{k_B T A_t}{V_{os}} \frac{\partial \sigma_t}{\partial E_t}$. That is, the solution of Equation (1) is the equilibrium ion distribution ($\sigma_t \propto \delta_t$). Equivalently speaking, the nonequilibrium ion distribution ($\sigma_t \propto \delta_2$) cannot satisfy Equation (1).

Another possible cause of the intrinsically nonequilibrium ion distribution can be related to the excess ion energy, K_t . In the quasi-1D nanoenvironment, the corresponding ion diffusion ratio may be assessed as $\bar{D}_n = \int_0^{v_t} p_a(v_a) dv_a = \text{erf}(\sqrt{\beta K_t})$, where $v_t = \sqrt{2K_t/m_i}$. In comparison, the equilibrium ion diffusion ratio is $\bar{D}_e = 1 - e^{-\beta K_t}$. As $\beta K_t > 0$, $\bar{D}_n > \bar{D}_e$, in line with the MD simulation results that D_i increases with V [10-16]. With a relatively small βK_t , \bar{D}_n can be larger than \bar{D}_e by more than one order of magnitude.

In the small nanopores, the quasi-1D ion-ion interaction does not lead to the ordinary scattering effects. Compared to heavy ions, the momentum of water molecules is much less. The confined cations and anions tend to move in pairs [33]. The importance of these characteristics remains to be seen. Effectively, the confined ions could be viewed as a locally nonchaotic “phase”, like the Knudsen-gas zone embedded in the ideal gas in Figure 1(B). It cannot reach thermodynamic equilibrium, leading to the overall non-Boltzmannian steady state. Since the micropore walls separate the interior of the electrode from the bulk liquid phase, energy and mass can only be transported along the longitudinal direction. As the ions individually interact with the inner electrode surfaces, the degree of nonequilibrium is amplified. The variation in electrical energy is balanced by the heat exchange with the environment.

6.3 Difference from the Carnot cycle

At first glance, the $V - Q$ cycle in Figure 3(B) is somewhat similar to the operation of a Carnot engine. From state II to III, the cell is discharged and does work to the environment. From state IV to I, the cell is charged and consumes work. As the discharging voltage is higher than the charging voltage, the overall produced work is positive.

In a Carnot engine, the difference between the charge and discharge curves is achieved by changing temperature, and the system performance is limited by Carnot efficiency. On the contrary, in the capacitive-osmotic cell in Figure 3(A), the charging voltage is decreased in an isothermal process, through adjusting the electrolyte concentration (c). The system is closed and immersed in a thermal bath. When the piston compresses the liquid phase, c is increased and V is lowered; vice versa. The piston operation consumes work (W_{os}). For an equilibrium system, the second law of thermodynamics (Equation 2) ensures that $W_e = W_{os}$ (Equation 4), so that the overall work production is zero. With the intrinsically nonequilibrium ion distribution, Equation (3) cannot be satisfied and consequently, $W_e > W_{os}$.

Besides the osmotic pressure, there may be other concentration-dependent thermodynamic forces that can be utilized to demonstrate the SND effect. Section A3 in the Appendix shows one example, where a reference cell is employed to reduce the charging voltage of the nonequilibrium cell. The setup does not contain movable parts (e.g., the frictionless osmotic piston).

6.4 Entropy associated with the intrinsically nonequilibrium steady state

The intrinsically nonequilibrium steady-state ion distribution (Section 5.2), although incompatible with the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics (Equation 3), may be described by the basic principle of maximum entropy [2,4]. It reassures us that this counterintuitive phenomenon does not contradict the fundamental logic: the most probable state has the highest probability (measured by entropy).

In Figure 2(A), consider the dissolved ions as an isothermal system immersed in a thermal bath. The thermal bath is at a constant temperature T , including the nanopore walls, the solvent (water), and the environment. For the sake of simplicity, assume that the microstates are discrete. Entropy is defined as

$$S = -k_B \sum_i f_i \ln f_i \quad (11)$$

where f_i is the probability of the i -th possible microstate of ion distribution. If the system is chaotic (e.g., if the pore size is much larger than the ion size), no detailed information is known about the microstates, and there are only two constraints on f_i :

$$\sum_i f_i = 1 \quad (12)$$

$$\sum_i f_i \epsilon_i = U_I \quad (13)$$

where ϵ_i is the energy of the i -th microstate, and U_1 is the steady-state energy of the ions. Maximization of entropy requires that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial f_i} = 0 \quad (14)$$

where $\mathcal{L} = -k_B f_i \ln f_i + \alpha_m (1 - \sum_i f_i) + \beta_m (U_1 - \sum_i f_i \epsilon_i)$ is the Lagrangian, and α_m and β_m are the Lagrange multipliers. The solution of Equation (14) is $f_i = e^{-(k_B + \alpha_m + \beta_m \epsilon_i)/k_B}$. Substituting it into Equation (12) leads to

$$f_i = \frac{1}{Z_1} e^{-\beta \epsilon_i} \quad (15)$$

where $Z_1 = \sum_i e^{-\beta \epsilon_i}$ is the partition function, and $\beta = \beta_m/k_B = 1/(k_B T)$. It is the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, in agreement with Boltzmann's assumption of equal *a priori* equilibrium probabilities. Combination of Equations (15) and (11) gives the maximum possible entropy that the system can ever reach, i.e., the global maximum of entropy at equilibrium,

$$S_{\text{eq}} = k_B \ln Z_1 + \frac{k_B}{Z_1} \sum_i \beta \epsilon_i e^{-\beta \epsilon_i} \quad (16)$$

Any other f_i (e.g., Equation 19 below) would result in a smaller entropy than S_{eq} .

When the nanopore size is slightly larger than the ion size (d_i) but less than $2d_i$, the ion movement in the nanoporous electrodes is confined in quasi-1D lineups, less random than the chaotic case. More knowledge of the steady-state ion distribution (σ^+ and σ^-) is available, as discussed in Section 6.2. In accordance with the form of Equation (6), define $V_\alpha = \lambda_V \sigma^+$ and $V_\beta = \lambda_V \sigma^-$, where $\lambda_V = (\ln 2 - \ln \beta z e_0)/\sigma_n$. In terms of the ion distribution, the confinement effect of small nanopores at V is equivalent to the equilibrium processes in large pores at V_α (for counter-ions) or V_β (for co-ions). Hence, f_i is subject to an additional constraint

$$f_i = f_0 e^{\beta \bar{E}_i} \quad (17)$$

where f_0 is the probability of the reference microstate with no confined ions, and $\bar{E}_i = \sigma_i^+ V_\alpha - \sigma_i^- V_\beta$, with σ_i^+ and σ_i^- being the surface ion densities of counter-ions and co-ions of the i -th microstate, respectively. With Equation (17), the Lagrangian should be redefined as

$$\mathcal{L} = -k_B f_i \ln f_i + \alpha_m (1 - \sum_i f_i) + \beta_m (U_1 - \sum_i f_i \epsilon_i) + \sum_i \gamma_i (f_i - f_0 e^{\beta \bar{E}_i}) \quad (18)$$

where γ_i are the additional Lagrange multipliers. The solution of Equation (18) is $f_i = e^{-(k_B + \alpha_m + \beta_m \epsilon_i)/k_B + \phi_i}$, with $\phi_i = \gamma_i/k_B$. Substituting it into Equation (12) gives

$$f_i = \frac{1}{Z^*} e^{\phi_i} e^{-\beta \epsilon_i} \quad (19)$$

where $Z^* = \sum_i e^{\phi_i} e^{-\beta \epsilon_i}$. The nonequilibrium entropy can be derived by combining Equation (19) with Equation (11):

$$S_{\text{ne}} = k_{\text{B}} \ln Z^* - \frac{k_{\text{B}}}{Z^*} \sum_i (\phi_i - \beta \epsilon_i) e^{\phi_i} e^{-\beta \epsilon_i} \quad (20)$$

Because of the nonchaoticity factor e^{ϕ_i} , Equation (19) is not only non-Boltzmannian but also incompatible with Boltzmann's assumption of equal *a priori* equilibrium probabilities. As the nonequilibrium f_i in Equation (19) differs from the equilibrium f_i in Equation (15), $S_{\text{ne}} < S_{\text{eq}}$. On the one hand, S_{ne} is the maximum entropy [2], since it is obtained from Equation (14). On the other hand, with the additional restrictions of Equation (17), Equation (20) reflects the more constrained maximization of S , i.e., S_{ne} is a local maximum in the phase space. It represents the maximum possible entropy at the steady state (S_{Q}). As S_{ne} is a function of V , when V varies, entropy may spontaneously decrease from a higher S_{ne} to a lower S_{ne} (i.e., $S \rightarrow S_{\text{Q}}$ [4]), allowing for the production of useful work in Figure 3.

6.5 Considerations on future research

The system in Figure 2(A) has two sides. On the right-hand (outer) side of the surface zone, the ion behavior is unconstrained, following the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. On the left-hand (inner) side of the surface zone, the adsorbed ions are confined. The mechanisms governing δ_V and Λ need to be examined in detail, probably through MD simulations.

Because of the large ion size and the low ion concentrations, compared to regular double-layer supercapacitors, the CsPiv cells have relatively low energy and power densities. It would be interesting to explore whether the concept of energy-barrier SND can be applied to mesoscopic physical systems. The upper limit of the power density of a conductor or semiconductor may be more than 10 kW/cm^3 [2]. In Figure 3(A), the bulk liquid phase is an analog to a low-energy state of charge carriers, and the charged microporous electrode is an analog to a high-energy state. Besides Coulomb force, other relevant thermodynamic forces include degeneracy pressure, chemical potential, magnetic force, inertia/gravitational force, gas/plasma pressure, etc. The unique thermal properties at the nonequilibrium state are worth studying [8].

7. Concluding Remarks

To summarize, we experimentally investigated the capacitive cells formed by nanoporous carbon electrodes in dilute aqueous solutions of cesium pivalate. The key characteristic is that the effective pore size is slightly larger than the effective ion size (d_i) but less than $2d_i$. The results indicate that the steady-state ion distribution is intrinsically nonequilibrium, breaking the boundaries of the second law of thermodynamics. The following conclusions are drawn:

1. Sections 2-3 reiterate that to obey the second law of thermodynamics, at the steady state, the ion distribution (σ^+ and σ^-) must be at thermodynamic equilibrium.
 - a) That is, a nonequilibrium steady-state σ^+ or σ^- is not allowed by the second law of thermodynamics. It would lead to a mismatch between the variations in ion concentration and electric potential, allowing for production of useful work in an isothermal cycle.
 - b) Equation (3) represents the heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics. Equation (6) represents the entropy statement of the second law of thermodynamics. Equation (9) states that Equations (3) and (6) should be equivalent to each other.
 - c) As the electrolyte concentration (c) changes, the concentration sensitivity of electric potential ($|\delta_V|$) cannot vary arbitrarily: $|\delta_V|$ needs to follow not only the second law of thermodynamics but also the first law of thermodynamics (Equation 5). Only the equilibrium ion distribution (Equation 9) can simultaneously satisfy these two requirements, as shown in the reference experiment on small ions [17].
2. Remarkably, the experiment in Sections 4-5 demonstrates that, for large ions in charged small nanopores, the steady-state ion distribution can be highly nonequilibrium (Figure 5D,E), contradicting Equations (3) and (9).
 - a) If we do not examine the variation in electrolyte concentration (c), the performance of the capacitive cells is seemingly normal, and no extraordinary phenomena could be noticed in the charge curves.
 - b) As c changes, $|\delta_V|$ is anomalously large, nearly one order of magnitude greater than the upper limit permitted by the second law of thermodynamics.
3. Actually, the unusual properties of large ions in charged small nanopores have long been known in molecular dynamics simulations [10-16]. In addition to the accelerated diffusion and the constrained relaxation of ion conformation, the nonequilibrium mechanisms may also be related to the surface heterogeneities, the confined ion movement, etc.

4. A number of observations support that the measurement reflects the steady state. The testing setup and procedure have been validated by the reference experiment [17].

The current investigation is inspired by the previous theoretical study of a Knudsen gas in gravity [2]. The second law of thermodynamics dictates that the steady-state particle number density distribution must be proportional to the Boltzmann factor. However, the computer simulation on the spontaneously nonequilibrium dimension (SND) suggests that with a locally nonchaotic energy barrier, the system may be intrinsically nonequilibrium. As a consequence, useful work can be produced through heat absorption from a single thermal reservoir without any other effect. While counterintuitive, this phenomenon is compatible with the basic principle of maximum entropy.

Here, the concept of the energy-barrier SND is realized experimentally, with the weak gravitational force being replaced by the strong Coulomb force. The particles are changed from the uncharged gas particles to the charge carriers (the dissolved ions). We measure δ_V and the charge efficiency (Λ) of the capacitive cells, with the initial c being adjusted through liquid replacement. The confinement effect of the nanopore walls plays the role of SND.

Acknowledgment: Special thanks are due to Dr. R. Kou, Dr. D. J. Noelle, Mr. M. Zhang, and Dr. Z. Shang for the help with system design and data collection.

Appendix

A1. Cross-influence of thermodynamic forces

Consider a closed system immersed in a thermal bath. It has two thermally correlated thermodynamic forces, F_1 and F_2 . Their conjugate variables are x_1 and x_2 , respectively. Define the cross-influence of F_1 and F_2 as $\delta_{12} = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2}$ and $\delta_{21} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1}$.




Figure 7. A four-step isothermal cycle. Indexes I-IV indicate the system states. The second law of thermodynamics demands that $W_{F1} = W_{F2}$.

As shown in Figure 7, initially, at State I, x_1 is changed by an arbitrarily small amount dx_1 . Correspondingly, F_2 varies by $\delta_{21}dx_1$. Then, x_2 is changed by an arbitrarily small amount dx_2 , and F_1 varies by $\delta_{12}dx_2$. From State III to IV, x_1 changes back by $-dx_1$. Finally, from State IV to I, the system returns to the initial state. Without loss of generality, δ_{12} and δ_{21} are depicted as positive. In the isothermal cycle, F_2 produces work $W_{F2} = \delta_{21}dx_1dx_2$, and F_1 consumes $W_{F1} = \delta_{12}dx_2dx_1$. The heat-engine statement of the second law of thermodynamics demands that $W_{F2} = W_{F1}$. Thus, $\delta_{12} = \delta_{21}$, i.e., Equation (1) in the main text.

A2. Reference test on the NaCl cells

A similar experimental setup and procedure were employed to test sodium chloride (NaCl) solutions with the same carbon electrodes, the details of which have been published in [17]. The only major difference from the experiment in Section 4 is that the ion size of NaCl is much smaller

than that of CsPiv, so that $d_e > 2d_i$ for NaCl. The testing results are summarized in Figure 8, which fit well with the second law of thermodynamics.

Figure 8(A) shows typical charge curves of a NaCl cell at various currents (I). As I varies from 0.2 mA to 0.4 mA, the charging time decreases from ~20 min to ~10 min. The measurement data of cell potential (V) are insensitive to I , suggesting that the steady state has been reached.

Figure 8(B) shows typical charge curves with various initial electrolyte concentrations (c). The initial c is controlled by liquid replacement. As c increases, with the same electrode charge (Q), the cell potential (V) is lower. For an equilibrium system, $\delta_\sigma = 0$. Denote the equilibrium σ_n by $\bar{\sigma}_n$, which is independent of σ and V . Equation (8) is reduced to $\delta_V = -\kappa_p \sigma / \sqrt{\sigma^2 + 4\bar{\sigma}_n^2}$ (for $z = 1$), where $\kappa_p = 2k_B T / (e_0 c)$. Integration at both sides leads to

$$V = \frac{Q}{C_s} + \frac{2k_B T}{e_0} \ln \frac{2\bar{\sigma}_n}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + 4\bar{\sigma}_n^2} - \sigma} \quad (21)$$

where Q/C_s is the Stern-layer-like term. The solid curves in Figure 8(B) are calculated from Equation (21), with $\chi_0 \alpha_e = 2.9$ and $C_s = 29.0$ F/g. Such parameters are comparable with the literature data of nanoporous carbon adsorbents [36].




Figure 8. Experimental results of the reference test on the NaCl cells [17]. **(A)** The rate convergence test. **(B)** The cell potential (V) increases with the electrode charge (Q). The solid curves are calculated from equilibrium thermodynamics (Equation 21). **(C)** The charge efficiency (Λ) as a function of the real-time NaCl concentration (c). The solid curves are calculated from equilibrium thermodynamics (Equation 10).

Figure 8(C) compares the experimental data of charge efficiency (Λ) with Equation (10), using the same parameters as in Equation (21). The horizontal axis is the real-time c . As the cell is charged, c keeps decreasing, and Λ rises. It can be seen that the measurement result is relatively well described by the equilibrium theory, satisfying the second law of thermodynamics (Equation

3). Near the end of the charging process, the testing data deviate from the solid curves, which should be attributed to the ion starvation effect.

A3. A two-cell model system

The ideal-gas model in Figure 1(B) is two-ended and asymmetric. One end (the wide ramp) is equilibrium, and the other end (the low-height step) is intrinsically nonequilibrium. Partly inspired by this concept, Figure 9(A) depicts a two-cell system, consisting of a nonequilibrium capacitive cell (“n”) and a reference equilibrium cell (“r”). Cell “n” uses two small-pored electrodes, wherein the steady-state ion distribution does not follow the Boltzmann factor. Cell “r” uses two large-pored electrodes, wherein the ion distribution follows the Boltzmann factor. The two cells have the same electrolyte solution and are connected through a salt bridge. The system is closed and immersed in a thermal bath.




Figure 9. (A) Schematic of the two-cell system. It is closed and immersed in a thermal bath. (B) An isothermal cycle. Indexes I-IV indicate the system states. (C) Another isothermal cycle. The two cells have the same capacitance, so that their charge curves (the black line in the middle) are identical. The discharge curves are different.

Figure 9(B) shows an isothermal operation cycle. Subscript “n” indicate the nonequilibrium cell, and subscript “r” indicates the reference equilibrium cell. From State I to II (switch “N1” is on; all the other switches are off), the nonequilibrium cell is charged. For the sake of simplicity, consider an arbitrarily small increase in electrode charge (dQ_n). The voltage of the equilibrium cell (V_r) increases, as the electrolyte concentration is reduced. From States II to III (switch “R1” is on; all the other switches are off), the reference cell is charged by an arbitrarily small amount dQ_r . The voltage of the nonequilibrium cell (V_n) increases, as the electrolyte concentration is reduced. From State III to IV (switch “N2” is on; all the other switches are off), the nonequilibrium cell is discharged by dQ_n , which reduces the voltage of the reference cell. From

State IV to I (switch “R2” is on; all the other switches are off), the reference cell is discharged by dQ_r , which reduces the volage of the nonequilibrium cell.

The nonequilibrium cell produces work (W_n), and the reference cell consumes work (W_r). The cross-influence of the two cells is achieved through the ion diffusion across the salt bridge. As the reference cell is charged, its electrodes absorb ions, so that the electrolyte concentration in both cells (c) decreases, causing the electrode potential of the nonequilibrium cell (V_n) to increase by $\frac{\partial V_n}{\partial Q_r} dQ_r$; vice versa. From Figure 9(B), it can be seen that $W_n = \frac{\partial V_n}{\partial Q_r} dQ_r dQ_n$ and $W_r = \frac{\partial V_r}{\partial Q_n} dQ_n dQ_r$.

For the reference cell, $\frac{\partial V_r}{\partial Q_n} = \frac{\partial V_r}{\partial c} \frac{\partial c}{\partial Q_n}$. Since the cell follows the second law of thermodynamics (Equation 3), $\frac{\partial V_r}{\partial c} = -\frac{2k_B T}{e_0 c} \Lambda_r$, where $\Lambda_r = \frac{\partial N_r}{\partial Q_r}$ and N_r are the charge efficiency and the adsorbed ions of the reference cell, respectively. Notice that $\frac{\partial c}{\partial Q_n} = -\frac{1}{V_p} \frac{\partial N_n}{\partial Q_n} = -\frac{1}{V_p} \Lambda_n$, where V_p is the volume of the liquid phase, and $\Lambda_n = \frac{\partial N_n}{\partial Q_n}$ and N_n are the charge efficiency and the adsorbed ions of the nonequilibrium cell, respectively. Hence, $\frac{\partial V_r}{\partial Q_n} = \frac{2k_B T}{V_p e_0 c} \Lambda_r \Lambda_n$.

For the nonequilibrium cell, $\frac{\partial V_n}{\partial Q_r} = \frac{\partial V_n}{\partial c} \frac{\partial c}{\partial Q_r}$. Similar to the reference cell, $\frac{\partial c}{\partial Q_r} = -\frac{1}{V_p} \Lambda_r$. However, unlike the reference cell, because the adsorbed ion concentration is intrinsically nonequilibrium, Equation (3) cannot be satisfied, i.e., $\frac{\partial V_n}{\partial c} \neq -\frac{2k_B T}{e_0 c} \Lambda_n$ (Figure 5D). Therefore, $\frac{\partial V_n}{\partial Q_r} \neq \frac{2k_B T}{V_p e_0 c} \Lambda_n \Lambda_r$.

As $\frac{\partial V_n}{\partial Q_r} \neq \frac{\partial V_r}{\partial Q_n}$, W_n is different from W_r . Specifically, the experimental data in Figure 5(D) suggests that $\frac{\partial V_n}{\partial Q_r}$ tends to be larger than its equilibrium counterpart ($\frac{\partial V_r}{\partial Q_n}$), so that $W_n > W_r$. In the four-step isothermal cycle in Figure 9(B), the system produces a useful work $\Delta W = W_n - W_r$, by absorbing heat from the environment.

The two cells may be operated through other methods. One example is given in Figure 9(C). There is a valve in the salt bridge. The cells can be either connected or disconnected. Initially, the valve is open, and the electrolyte concentration (c) on both sides is equal. Then, the valve is closed, and switches N1 and R1 are turned on (switches N2 and R2 remain off). Without loss of generality, we assume that the charge curves of the two cells are identical, i.e., the two cells have

the same capacitance. After they are charged, all the switches are turned off, and the salt-bridge valve is opened. During charging, because of the difference in charge efficiency, the small-pored electrodes absorb less ions and hence, the nonequilibrium cell has a higher c . Across the salt bridge, ions diffuse to the reference cell. As c decreases in the nonequilibrium cell, the cell potential increases. Correspondingly, in the reference cell, c increases and the cell potential decreases. As the new equilibrium is reached, the valve is closed, and switches N2 and R2 are turned on to discharge the cells (switches N1 and R1 remain off). Finally, all the switches are turned off and the salt bridge is kept open, and the system returns to the initial state. Overall, in the isothermal cycle, the nonequilibrium cell generates electrical energy (W_n), and the reference cell consumes electrical energy (W_r). As shown in Figure 5(D), the nonequilibrium cell has a higher $|\delta_V|$ than the prediction of the second law of thermodynamics (i.e., $|\delta_V|$ of the reference cell). Therefore, $\Delta W = W_n - W_r$ is positive. The ion flow and ΔW represent the useful work produced through heat absorption from the environment.

References

1. M. Kardar. *Statistical Physics of Particles* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2007)
2. Y. Qiao, Z. Shang. On the second law of thermodynamics: a global flow spontaneously induced by a locally nonchaotic energy barrier. *Physica A* **647**, 129828 (2024)
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2024.129828>
3. W. Pauli. Thermodynamics and the kinetic theory of gases, in *Pauli Lectures on Physics*, edited by C. P. Enz, Vol.3 (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1973).
4. Y. Qiao, Z. Shang, R. Kou. Molecular-sized outward-swinging gate: Experiment and theoretical analysis of a locally nonchaotic barrier. *Phys. Rev. E*, **104**, 064133 (2021)
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.104.064133>
5. D. Mandal, C. Jarzynski, Work and information processing in a solvable model of Maxwell's demon. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, 11641 (2012).
6. J. M. R. Parrondo, J. M. Horowitz, and T. Sagawa. Thermodynamics of information, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 131 (2015).
7. J. R. Dorfman. *An Introduction to Chaos in Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
8. Y. Qiao, Z. Shang. On the second law of thermodynamics: spontaneous cold-to-hot heat transfer in a nonchaotic medium, under review. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.09161>
9. Y. Qiao, Z. Shang. Producing useful work in a cycle by absorbing heat from a single thermal reservoir: An investigation on a locally nonchaotic energy barrier, *Physica A* **596**, 127105 (2022)
10. S. Kondrat, P. Wu, R. Qiao, A. A. Kornyshev. Accelerating charging dynamics in subnanometer pores. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 387-393 (2014)
11. Y. He, R. Qiao, J. Vatamanu, O. Borodin, D. Bedrov, J. Huang, B. G. Sumpter. Importance of ion packing on the dynamics of ionic liquids during micropore charging. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **7**, 36-42 (2016)
12. C. Pean, B. Daffos, B. Rotenberg, P. Levitz, M. Haefele, P.-L. Taberna, P. Simon, M. Salanne. Confinement, desolvation, and electrosorption effects on the diffusion of ions in nanoporous carbon electrodes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 12627-12632 (2015)

13. C. Zhan, C. Lian, Y. Zhang, M. W. Thompson, Y. Xie, J. Wu, P. R. C. Kent, P. T. Cummings, D.-E. Jiang, D. J. Wesolowski. Computational insights into materials and interfaces for capacitive energy storage. *Adv. Sci.* **4**, 1700059 (2017).
14. J. Vatamanu, O. Borodin, M. Olguin, G. Yushin, D. Bedrov. Charge storage at the nanoscale: understanding the trends from the molecular scale perspectives. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **5**, 21049 (2017).
15. B. Dyatkin, N. C. Osti, Y. Zhang, H.-W. Wang, E. Mamontov, W. T. Heller, P. Zhang, G. Rother, P. T. Cummings, D. J. Wesolowski, Y. Gogotsi. Ionic liquid structure, dynamics, and electrosorption in carbon electrodes with bimodal pores and heterogeneous surfaces. *Carbon* **129**, 104-118 (2018)
16. L. M. Da Silva, R. Cesar, C. M. R. Moreira, J. H. M. Santos, L. G. De Souza, B. M. Pires, R. Vicentini, W. Nunes, H. Zanin. Reviewing the fundamentals of supercapacitors and the difficulties involving the analysis of the electrochemical findings obtained for porous electrode materials. *Energy Storage Mater.* doi: 10.1016/j.ensm.2019.12.015 (2019)
17. M. Wang, Y. Qiao. Concentration effect on electric potential of a microporous carbon electrode in dilute sodium chloride solution. *J. Phys. D – Appl. Phys.* **53**, 305501(2020)
18. R. van Roij. Statistical thermodynamics of supercapacitors and blue engines. In: D. Dean, J. Dobnikar, A. Naji, R. Podgornik (ed), *Electrostatics of Soft and Disordered Matter* (Pan Stanford Publ., Singapore, 2014)
19. R. J. Hunter. *Foundations of Colloid Science* (Oxford Univ. Press, 2001)
20. H.-J. Butt, K. Graf, M. Kappl. *Physics and Chemistry of Interfaces* (Wiley-VCH, 2003)
21. P. M. Biesheuvel. Thermodynamic cycle analysis for capacitive deionization. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **332**, 258-264 (2009).
22. D. Brogioli, R. Zhao, P. M. Biesheuvel. A prototype cell for extracting energy from a waster salinity difference by means of double layer expansion in nanoporous carbon electrodes. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **4**, 772-777 (2011).
23. S. Porada, L. Weinstein, R. Dash, A. Van Der Wal, M. Bryjak, Y. Gogotsi, P.M. Biesheuvel. Water desalination using capacitive deionization with microporous carbon electrodes. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **4**, 1194-1199 (2012).
24. H. Struchtrup. *Thermodynamics and Energy Conversion* (Springer, New York, 2014)

25. Y. Oren. Capacitive deionization (CDI) for desalination and water treatment – past, present and future (a review). *Desalination* **228**, 10-29 (2008).
26. Z. Jiang, D. Stein. Electrofluidic gating of a chemically reactive surface. *Langmuir* **26**, 8161-8173 (2010).
27. B. B. Sales, M. Saakes, J. W. Post, C. J. N. Buisman, P. M. Biesheuvel, H. V. M. Hamelers. Direct power production from a water salinity difference in a membrane-modified supercapacitor flow cell. *Env. Sci. Tech.* **44**, 5661-5665 (2010).
28. E. Fermi, *Thermodynamics* (Dover, 1956) pp. 113-123.
29. B. Shapira, I. Cohen, E. Avraham, D. Aurbach. Anion-exclusion carbon electrodes for energy storage and conversion by capacitive mixing. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **164**, A1933-A1938 (2017)
30. J. H. Lienhard V, K. H. Mistry, M. H. Sharqawy, G. P. Thiel. Thermodynamics, exergy, and energy efficiency in desalination systems. In: H. A. Arafat (ed), *Desalination Sustainability – A Technical, Socioeconomic, and Environmental Approach* (Elsevier Publ., 2017) Chapt. 4.
31. R. L. McGinnis, J. R. McCutcheon, M. Elimelech. A novel ammonia-carbon dioxide osmotic heat engine for power generation. *J. Membrane Sci.* **305**, 13-19 (2007).
32. Y. Zhong, X. Wang, X. Feng, S. Telalovic, Y. Gnanou, K. Huang, X. Hu, Z. Lai. Osmotic heat engine using thermally responsive ionic liquids. *Environ. Sci. Tech.* **51**, 9403-9409 (2017).
33. L. Liu, X. Chen, W. Lu, A. Han, Y. Qiao. Infiltration of electrolytes in molecular-sized nanopores. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 184501 (2009).
34. N. Hoda, E. Bayram, E. Ayranci. Kinetic and equilibrium studies on the removal of acid dyes from aqueous solutions by adsorption onto activated carbon cloth. *J. Hazardous Mater.* **137**, 344-351 (2006).
35. M.E. Suss, S. Porada, X. Sun, P.M. Biesheuvel, J. Yoon, V. Presser. Water desalination via capacitive deionization: what is it and what can we expect from it? *Energy Environ. Sci.* **8**, 2296-2319 (2015).
36. R. C. Bansal, M. Goyal. *Activated Carbon Adsorption* (CRC Press, 2005)
37. V. Vitagliano, P.A. Lyons. Diffusion coefficients for aqueous solutions of sodium chloride and barium chloride. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **78**, 1549-1552 (1956).

38. X. Jiang, J. Huang, H. Zhao, B. G. Sumpter, R. Qiao. Dynamics of electrical double layer formation in room-temperature ionic liquids under constant-current charging conditions. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **26**, 284109 (2014)
39. S. Kondrat, P. Wu, R. Qiao and A. A. Kornyshev, Accelerating charging dynamics in subnanometre pores. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 387–393 (2014)
40. W. Tian, W. Li, W. Yu, X. Liu. A review on lattice defects in graphene: types, generation, effects and regulation. *Micromachines* **8**, 163 (2017).
41. M. Seredych, D. Hulicova-Jurcakova, G. Q. Lu, T. J. Bandosz. Surface functional groups on carbons and the effects of their chemical character, density, and accessibility to ions on electrochemical performance. *Carbon* **46**, 1475 (2008).