

ON THE EXISTENCE OF AN EXTREMAL FUNCTION FOR THE DELSARTE EXTREMAL PROBLEM

MITA D. RAMABULANA

ABSTRACT. In the general setting of a locally compact Abelian group G , the Delsarte extremal problem asks for the supremum of integrals over the collection of continuous positive definite functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f(0) = 1$ and having $\text{supp } f_+ \subset \Omega$ for some measurable subset Ω of finite measure. In this paper, we consider the question of the existence of an extremal function for the Delsarte extremal problem. In particular, we show that there exists an extremal function for the Delsarte problem when Ω is closed, extending previously known existence results to a larger class of functions.

INTRODUCTION

The Delsarte problem arose first in the context of discrete codes. Specifically, in [6], P. Delsarte found an upper bound for the number of code words in codes satisfying some prescribed distance condition. The solution was carried out in terms of Krawtchouk polynomials and the MacWilliams transform. Subsequently, in [7], P. Delsarte, J.M. Goethals, and J.J. Siedel considered another instance of the Delsarte problem in the context of spherical codes in \mathbb{R}^d . In particular, they derived an upper bound for certain spherical codes in terms of coefficients of Gegenbauer expansions. Various instances of the Delsarte problem occur in the context of coding theory, see for instance [17] and the references within. It appears in the context of sphere packing where it is used to obtain upper bounds for the density of sphere packings, see [1, 2, 10, 11, 4, 13, 14, 15, 18]. For more on the Delsarte problem and its generalisation to locally compact Abelian (LCA) groups, see [3]. Based on the Poisson summation formula, in [5], H. Cohn and N. Elkies use the Delsarte scheme to show that the sphere packing density is bounded above by the Delsarte constant computed over a class of continuous positive definite functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying Delsarte-type problem restrictions. In [18], M. Viazovska showed that the bound obtained by the Delsarte scheme in \mathbb{R}^8 is exact by constructing an extremal function for the Delsarte problem in that context. So, the problem of the existence of an extremal function for the Delsarte problem seems interesting. In this direction, in [9, 3] the problem of the existence of an extremal function for a Delsarte-type extremal problem is considered for the Gorbachev class, defined as follows. Let G be a LCA group. Note that we assume LCA groups to be Hausdorff. Consider

$$\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega, Q) := \{f \in P_1(G) \cap L^1(G) : \text{supp } f_+ \subset \Omega, \text{supp } \hat{f} \subset Q\},$$

where Ω is a fixed closed subset of G of finite Haar measure, Q is a fixed compact subset of the dual group \hat{G} of G , \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f , $P_1(G)$ is the collection of continuous positive definite functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f(0) = 1$,

Key words and phrases. LCA groups, positive definite functions, Delsarte extremal problem.
Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 43A35.

f_+ is the positive part of f given by $f_+(g) := \max\{f(g), 0\}$ for all $g \in G$, and $\text{supp } f$ is the support of f , given by $\text{supp } f := \overline{\{g \in G : f(g) \neq 0\}}$.

In [9], it is shown that $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega, Q)$ contains an extremal function for the Delsarte constant:

$$\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega, Q) := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{G}_G(\Omega, Q)} \int_G f(g) d\lambda_G(g),$$

where λ_G is a fixed Haar measure on G . Note that this result was also proved in [3] for $G = \mathbb{R}^d$. In this paper, we remove the condition that $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset Q$. More precisely, we consider the function class

$$\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega) := \{f \in P_1(G) \cap L^1(G) : \text{supp } f_+ \subset \Omega\},$$

where Ω is a closed subset of G of finite Haar measure, and we show the existence of an extremal function for the Delsarte constant

$$\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)} \int_G f(g) d\lambda_G(g).$$

It may happen that the class $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$ is empty. This happens when $0 \notin \text{int } \Omega$. This is because if f is positive definite, then $|f(g)| \leq f(0)$ for all $g \in G$, and if $0 \notin \text{int } \Omega$, then $f(0) = 0$, and hence f is identically 0. In which case, the class $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$ is empty because $f(0) = 1$ can not hold for a continuous positive definite function f with f_+ supported in Ω . On the other hand, if Ω is a neighbourhood of 0, i.e., $0 \in \text{int } \Omega$, then there is a symmetric neighbourhood U of 0 such that $U + U \subset \Omega$. Then the convolution $\mathbf{1}_U * \mathbf{1}_U$ of the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_U$ of U with itself is a continuous positive definite function supported in Ω . We can normalise it to obtain a function that belongs to $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$. In other words, the class $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$ is nonempty if and only if Ω is a neighbourhood of 0.

The reader may note that the condition that $f \in L^1(G)$ is needed in general for extremal problems in various function classes, such as those considered in [3, 14]. However, in this case it follows from $f \in P_1(G)$ together with $\lambda_G(\Omega) < \infty$.

NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let G be a LCA group with identity 0. Let $C(G)$ be the space of continuous functions on G to the complex numbers and put on it the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. The dual group \widehat{G} of G consists of continuous homomorphisms of G to the multiplicative group $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. With respect to pointwise operations and the subspace topology that it inherits as a subset of $C(G)$, \widehat{G} is a LCA group. Fix a Haar measure λ_G on G and let $L^1(G)$ denote the usual Banach space of integrable complex-valued functions on G . The Fourier transform of $f \in L^1(G)$ is defined by the formula:

$$\widehat{f}(\chi) := \int_G f(g) \overline{\chi(g)} d\lambda_G(g) \text{ for all } \chi \in \widehat{G}.$$

A function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is positive definite if the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i \bar{c}_j f(g_i - g_j) \geq 0$$

holds for all choices of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and $g_i \in G$. It is well-known that the Fourier transform of a positive definite function is non-negative. Moreover, since $0 \leq \widehat{f}(0) = \int_G f(g) d\lambda_G(g)$, it follows that the integral of a positive definite function is non-negative. Let $P(G) \subset C(G)$ denote the collection of continuous positive definite functions on the group G . Define $P_1(G) := \{f \in P(G) : f(0) = 1\}$. Related to the notion of a positive definite function is that of an integrally positive definite function. A function $f \in L^\infty(G)$ is integrally positive definite if the inequality

$$\int_G \int_G f(x^{-1}y) h(x) \overline{h(y)} d\lambda_G(x) d\lambda_G(y) \geq 0$$

holds for all continuous compactly supported functions h on G . According to [16, Theorem 1.7.3] an integrally positive definite function agrees locally almost everywhere with a continuous positive definite function. When G is σ -compact, locally almost everywhere is the same as almost everywhere. Therefore, on a σ -compact group an integrally positive definite function agrees almost everywhere with a continuous positive definite function. The approach to the main problem of this paper is to reduce the problem to the case of σ -compact LCA groups and then extend the solution to the general case. Recall that a LCA group G is σ -compact if it can be written as a countable union of compact sets.

EXISTENCE OF AN EXTREMAL FUNCTION

In this section, we show the existence of an extremal function for the Delsarte extremal problem. Our approach follows that of [9].

We shall need some technical lemmas. Firstly, we introduce the notion of a trivial extension of a function. Specifically, if H is a subgroup of a LCA group G and $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, its trivial extension is the function $\tilde{\varphi} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$\tilde{\varphi}(g) := \begin{cases} \varphi(g) & \text{if } g \in H, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \in G \setminus H. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1. *Let H be an open subgroup of a LCA group G , and let Ω be a subset of H . A function $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in $\mathcal{G}_H(\Omega)$ if and only if its trivial extension $\tilde{\varphi} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in $\mathcal{G}_H(\Omega)$. In particular, φ is continuous, positive definite, has $\text{supp } \varphi_+ \subset \Omega$, and satisfies $\varphi(0) = 1$. Clearly, its trivial extension has $\text{supp } \tilde{\varphi}_+ \subset \Omega$, and satisfies $\tilde{\varphi}(0) = 1$. To see that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is continuous, note that H , being an open subgroup, is also closed, and hence its complement $G \setminus H$ is open. Now, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is continuous at all $g \in H$ because it coincides with the continuous function $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the open set H . It is continuous at all $g \in G \setminus H$ because it is identically 0 on the open set $G \setminus H$. It is positive definite by [12, Theorem 32.43(a)]. Conversely, if $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous positive definite function with $\text{supp } \phi_+ \subset \Omega$, and satisfying $\phi(0) = 1$, then its restriction $\phi|_H : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to H is a continuous positive definite function with $\text{supp } (\phi|_H)_+ \subset \Omega$, and satisfies $\phi|_H(0) = 1$. \square

We shall also make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a LCA group, and let $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of σ -compact subsets of G . The group H generated by the union $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ is σ -compact. Furthermore, if at least one of the K_n 's has non-empty interior, then H is open.*

Proof. Assume, without loss of generality, that each K_n is compact. Otherwise, writing $K_n = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} K_{n,j}$ where $K_{n,j}$ is compact for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can replace $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by $(K_{n,j})_{n,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ since they generate the same group. Now, any element of the group H is a finite combination by the group operations of elements from some finite family of K_n 's. In other words, any element of H belongs to a combination by the group operations of a finite family of K_n 's. By continuity of the group operations, such a finite combination of K_n 's is a compact set. On the other hand, there are at most countably many such finite combinations of K_n 's, and their union is H . Therefore, H is σ -compact. Finally, if one of the K_n 's has non-empty interior U , then $H = \bigcup_{g \in H} (g + U)$, so that H is open. \square

Theorem 3. *Let G be a LCA group, and Ω a subset of G of finite Haar measure. There is an open σ -compact subgroup H of G containing Ω such that*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) = \mathcal{D}_H(\Omega).$$

Proof. By outer regularity of the Haar measure on G , there is an open identity neighbourhood U in G containing Ω with $\lambda_G(U) < \infty$. We claim that U lies in an open σ -compact subgroup. To see this, let K be some σ -compact open subgroup of G . One may always obtain such a K by considering a relatively compact symmetric identity neighbourhood V and observing that the union

$$K := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nV$$

is an open σ -compact subgroup. Now, note that G is a disjoint union of cosets $g + K$ of K with g running through a set of coset representatives of K . Since the cosets of K are disjoint and cover G , the collection of subsets $(g + K) \cap U$ of U as g runs through the set of coset representatives of K are disjoint and their union is U . Now, either $(g + K) \cap U = \emptyset$ or $\lambda_G((g + K) \cap U) > 0$ because $(g + K) \cap U$ is open, and every open set is either empty or has strictly positive measure. Hence $(g + K) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for at most countably many cosets $g + K$ of K , otherwise this would contradict the finiteness of the Haar measure of U . Clearly, U is contained in the subgroup generated by the union of K and the at most countably many open σ -compact cosets $g + K$ of K that meet U nontrivially. Denote this subgroup by H and observe that it is open and σ -compact by Lemma 2. Equip H with Haar measure $\lambda_H := \lambda_G|_H$. By construction, $\Omega \subset H$.

Let us first consider the case when $0 \notin \text{int } \Omega$. Then, both $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{G}_H(\Omega)$ are empty. Therefore, both sides of (1) are equal to 0, and the theorem is proved in this case. Now assume that $0 \in \text{int } \Omega$, so that both $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{G}_H(\Omega)$ are nonempty.

First, let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a $\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega)$ -extremal sequence in $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_G f_n(g) d\lambda_G(g) \geq \mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) - \frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $f_n|_H : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the restriction of f_n to H . Observe that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(2) \quad \int_G f_n(g) d\lambda_G(g) = \int_H f_n(g) d\lambda_G(g) + \int_{G \setminus H} f_n(g) d\lambda_G(g),$$

and since $\text{supp}(f_n)_+$ is contained in H , we have that $f_n(g) \leq 0$ for all $g \in G \setminus H$. Therefore,

$$(3) \quad \int_{G \setminus H} f_n(g) d\lambda_G(g) \leq 0.$$

From (2) and (3) it follows that

$$(4) \quad \int_H f_n|_H(g) d\lambda_H(g) = \int_H f_n(g) d\lambda_G(g) \geq \int_G f_n(g) d\lambda_G(g).$$

Now, by the definition of $\mathcal{D}_H(\Omega)$ and inequality (4), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_H(\Omega) \geq \int_H f_n|_H(g) d\lambda_H(g) \geq \int_G f_n(g) d\lambda_G(g) \geq \mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{D}_H(\Omega) \geq \mathcal{D}_G(\Omega)$.

Now, let $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a $\mathcal{D}_H(\Omega)$ -extremal sequence in $\mathcal{G}_H(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_H h_n(g) d\lambda_H(g) \geq \mathcal{D}_H(\Omega) - \frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 1, the sequence $(\widetilde{h}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of trivial extensions is in $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$, and since \widetilde{h}_n vanishes outside of H , we have that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) \geq \int_G \widetilde{h}_n(g) d\lambda_G(g) = \int_H h_n(g) d\lambda_H(g) \geq \mathcal{D}_H(\Omega) - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) \geq \mathcal{D}_H(\Omega)$, and hence

$$\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) = \mathcal{D}_H(\Omega),$$

as required. □

Next, we show the existence of an extremal function for the Delsarte extremal problem on LCA groups. We first obtain a solution in the context of σ -compact groups and later extend it to the general case. The reader should note that the condition that Ω is closed kicks in here. We also give some information on how well the extremal function can be approximated, which needs some preliminary results.

Lemma 4 (See [16], Theorem 1.4.3). *Let G be a LCA group and f a continuous positive definite function on G . Let V be a symmetric neighborhood of 0 with compact closure and set $\chi := \mathbf{1}_V / \lambda_G(V)$ where $\mathbf{1}_V$ is the characteristic function of V . Then the inequality*

$$(5) \quad |f(g) - f * \chi(g)|^2 \leq 2f(0)\lambda_G(V)^{-1} \int_G (f(0) - \text{Re}f(g)) d\lambda_G(g).$$

holds for all $g \in G$.

In the following, we adapt [16, Lemma 1.5.1, Theorem 1.5.2] to our context, replacing weak* convergence against $L^1(G)$ and boundedness in $L^\infty(G)$ by weak convergence and boundedness in $L^2(G)$ to prove essentially the same results as in [16, Lemma 1.5.1, Theorem 1.5.2]. The proofs are essentially the same, but we include them here for completeness.

Lemma 5 (Compare [16], Lemma 1.5.1). *Let G be a LCA group. Let $k \in L^2(G)$, and let $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^2(G)$ with $\|\varphi_n\|_{L^2(G)} \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If the sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in $L^2(G)$ to a function $\varphi \in L^2(G)$, then the sequence $(k * \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on the compact subsets of G .*

Proof. For $h \in L^2(G)$, define

$$(6) \quad L(h) := \int_G h(g)\varphi(g^{-1})d\lambda_G(g) \quad L_n(h) := \int_G h(g)\varphi_n(g^{-1})d\lambda_G(g).$$

The functionals L and L_n are continuous with respect to the norm topology on $L^2(G)$. By Hölder's inequality, $|L_n(h)| \leq C\|h\|_{L^2(G)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, by the Uniform Boundedness Principle, the family $\{L_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equicontinuous on $L^2(G)$. By Ascoli's theorem, the sequence $(L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on the compact subsets of $L^2(G)$. Let K be a compact subset of G . The mapping $G \ni x \mapsto {}_x k \in L^2(G)$, where ${}_x k$ denotes the left translate of k by $x \in G$, is continuous and hence the set $\{{}_x k : x \in K\}$ is compact. The uniform convergence on K of $(k * \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ now follows from the equations

$$(7) \quad k * \varphi_n(x) = \int_G k(xg)\varphi_n(g^{-1})d\lambda_G(g) = L_n({}_x k) \text{ and } k * \varphi(x) = L({}_x k).$$

□

Theorem 6 (Compare [16], Theorem 1.5.2). *Let G be a LCA group. If a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of continuous positive definite functions on G converges weakly in $L^2(G)$ to a continuous positive definite function f and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(0) = f(0)$, then this sequence converges to f uniformly on the compact subsets of G .*

Proof. Let K be a fixed compact subset of G . We write $\|h\|_K = \sup\{|f(g)| : g \in K\}$ for any function h on G . We show that

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_K = 0.$$

Let V and χ be as in Lemma 4. We have

$$(9) \quad \|f_n - f\|_K \leq \|f_n - f_n * \chi\|_K + \|f_n * \chi - f * \chi\|_K + \|f * \chi - f\|_K.$$

Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. By the continuity of f , we can choose V so that

$$(10) \quad 2f(0)\lambda_G(V)^{-1} \int_G (f(0) - \operatorname{Re}f(g))d\lambda_G(g) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{9}.$$

From Lemma 4, we have

$$(11) \quad \|f * \chi - f\|_K < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Since $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f weakly in $L^2(G)$ and $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(0) = f(0)$, there exists $N > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > N$ we have

$$(12) \quad 2f_n(0)\lambda_G(V)^{-1} \int_V (f_n(0) - \operatorname{Re}f_n(g))d\lambda_G(g) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{9}.$$

Applying Lemma 4 again, we get

$$(13) \quad \|f_n - f_n * \chi\|_K < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

By Lemma 5, there exists $M > N$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > M$ we have

$$(14) \quad \|f_n * \chi - f * \chi\|_K < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Altogether, we conclude that $\|f_n - f\|_K < \varepsilon$ for all $n > M$, showing that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on the compact subset K . □

Theorem 7. *Let G be a σ -compact LCA group and Ω a closed neighbourhood of 0 with finite Haar measure. There exists an extremal function for $\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega)$. Moreover, the extremal function can be approximated uniformly on the compact subsets of G by a $\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega)$ -extremal sequence.*

Proof. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a $\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega)$ -extremal sequence in $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$ chosen such that

$$(15) \quad \int_G f_n(g)d\lambda_G(g) \geq \mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) - \frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$ is contained in a closed, bounded, and hence a weakly sequentially compact subset of $L^2(G)$, the sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $f \in L^2(G)$. Since $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$ is a convex subset of $L^2(G)$, Mazur's Lemma (see [8, Corollary 3.8]) implies that there is a sequence in $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$ made up of convex combinations of the terms of the sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and converging to f strongly in $L^2(G)$. It is easy to see that the latter sequence is $\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega)$ -extremal. Therefore, replacing, if necessary, the sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by this new sequence, assume that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f strongly in $L^2(G)$. By passing to a pointwise almost everywhere convergent subsequence, assume that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f pointwise almost everywhere. The fact that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(G)$ by 1 and converges almost everywhere to f implies that f is bounded in $L^\infty(G)$ by 1. Since $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in $L^2(G)$ to f , it follows that f is integrally positive definite. The function f being integrally positive definite and G being σ -compact implies that f agrees almost everywhere with a continuous positive definite function. Thus, by correcting f on a set of Haar measure zero, thus not changing the value of its integral, assume that f is a continuous positive definite function. Continuity of f and the fact that f is a pointwise almost everywhere limit of the functions f_n , each of which satisfying $\operatorname{supp}(f_n)_+ \subset \Omega$, implies that $\operatorname{supp} f_+ \subset \Omega$. Since $\operatorname{supp} f_+ \subset \Omega$ we have

$$(16) \quad \int_G f_+(g) d\lambda_G(g) = \int_\Omega f_+(g) d\lambda_G(g) \leq \lambda_G(\Omega) < \infty.$$

On the other hand, by Fatou's lemma,

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_G f_-(g) d\lambda_G(g) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G (f_n)_-(g) d\lambda_G(g) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G (f_n)_+(g) d\lambda_G(g) \\ &\leq \lambda_G(\Omega) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality of (17) is obtained using that f_n being positive definite and integrable implies that

$$0 \leq \int_G f_n(g) d\lambda_G(g) = \int_G (f_n)_+(g) d\lambda_G(g) - \int_G (f_n)_-(g) d\lambda_G(g).$$

Together, (16) and (17) imply that

$$(18) \quad \int_G |f(g)| d\lambda_G(g) = \int_G f_+(g) d\lambda_G(g) + \int_G f_-(g) d\lambda_G(g) < \infty.$$

Therefore, $f \in L^1(G)$. Next, we show that f is extremal. To that end, note that the sequence $((f_n)_+)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the positive parts of the f_n 's converges pointwise almost everywhere to f_+ , and that $(f_n)_+ \leq \mathbf{1}_\Omega$. Therefore, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and $\lambda_G(\Omega) < \infty$, we have

$$(19) \quad \int_G f_+(g) d\lambda_G(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G (f_n)_+(g) d\lambda_G(g).$$

Recall that from (17) we have the inequality

$$(20) \quad \int_G f_-(g) d\lambda_G(g) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G (f_n)_-(g) d\lambda_G(g).$$

Now, subtracting (20) from (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(g) d\lambda_G(g) &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G (f_n)_+(g) d\lambda_G(g) - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G (f_n)_-(g) d\lambda_G(g) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G ((f_n)_+(g) - (f_n)_-(g)) d\lambda_G(g) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f_n(g) d\lambda_G(g). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) &\geq \int_G f(g) d\lambda_G(g) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f_n(g) d\lambda_G(g) \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) - \frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{D}_G(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the last displayed chain of inequalities is a chain of equalities. Therefore,

$$\int_G f(g) d\lambda_G(g) = \mathcal{D}_G(\Omega).$$

We show that $f \in \mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$. Since f is continuous, positive definite, integrable, and $\text{supp } f_+$ have already been established, we only need to show that $f(0) = 1$. Since

$$(21) \quad \int_G f(g) d\lambda_G(\Omega) = \mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) > 0,$$

f is not the constantly zero function. Therefore, $f(0) \neq 0$. If $0 < f(0) < 1$, then the function $h := f/f(0)$ is in $\mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$ and has

$$(22) \quad \int_G h(g) d\lambda_G(g) = \frac{1}{f(0)} \int_G f(g) d\lambda_G(\Omega) = \frac{\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega)}{f(0)} > \mathcal{D}_G(\Omega),$$

contradicting the extremality of $\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega)$. Therefore, $f(0) = 1$, and hence $f \in \mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$. Finally, since $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f weakly in $L^2(G)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(0) = f(0)$, Theorem 6 implies that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f uniformly on the compact subsets of G . □

Now, having secured the existence of an extremal function for σ -compact groups, we extend the solution to general LCA groups to prove our main result.

Theorem 8. *Let G be a LCA group and Ω a closed neighbourhood of 0 with finite Haar measure. There exists an extremal function for $\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega)$. Moreover, the extremal function can be approximated uniformly on the compact subsets of G by a $\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega)$ -extremal sequence.*

Proof. On account of Theorem 3, let H be an open σ -compact subgroup of G containing Ω such that $\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega) = \mathcal{D}_H(\Omega)$. By Theorem 7, there exists an extremal function $f \in \mathcal{G}_H(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_H f(g) d\lambda_H(g) = \mathcal{D}_H(\Omega).$$

Let \tilde{f} be the trivial extension of f . By Lemma 1, $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{G}_G(\Omega)$. We claim that \tilde{f} is an extremal function for $\mathcal{D}_G(\Omega)$. Indeed,

$$\int_G \tilde{f}(g) d\lambda_G(g) = \int_H f(g) d\lambda_H(g) = \mathcal{D}_H(\Omega) = \mathcal{D}_G(\Omega).$$

As in Theorem 7, $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f uniformly on the compact subsets of G , and hence $(\tilde{f}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to \tilde{f} uniformly on the compact subsets of G . □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to sincerely thank Elena E. Berdysheva and Szilárd Gy. Révész for their invaluable supervision and encouragement throughout this project. The author is also thankful to the anonymous reviewer for the feedback that helped improve the paper. Finally, the author would also like to thank the Shuttleworth

Postgraduate Scholarship of the University of Cape Town for the financial support that made this research possible.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. V. Arestov and A. G. Babenko, *On the Delsarte scheme for estimating contact numbers* (Russian), Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **219** (1997), 44–73; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. (4) **219** (1997), 36–65. pages 1
- [2] V. V. Arestov and A. G. Babenko, *Estimates of the maximal value of angular code distance for 24 and 25 points on the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^4* , Math. Notes (4) **68** (2000), 419–435. pages 1
- [3] E. Berdysheva, Sz. Gy. Révész, *Delsarte’s extremal problem and packing on locally compact abelian groups*, Annali della Scuola Normale di Pisa – Classe di Scienze (5) **XXIV** (2023), 1007–1052. pages 1, 2
- [4] P. Boyvalenkov, S. Dodunekov, and O. Musin, *A survey on the kissing numbers*, Serdica Math. J. (4) **38** (2012), 507–522. pages 1
- [5] H. Cohn and N. Elkies, *New upper bounds for sphere packings, I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003), 689–714. pages 1
- [6] P. Delsarte, *Bounds for unrestricted codes by linear programming*, Philips Res. Rep. **2** (1972), 272–289. pages 1
- [7] P. Delsarte, J.-M. Goethals, and J. J. Seidel, *Spherical codes and designs*, Geom. Dedicata (3) **6** (1977), 363–388. pages 1
- [8] H. Brezis, “Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces, and Partial Differential Equations”, Springer-Verlag, New York (2010). pages 7
- [9] M. Gaál and Zs. Nagy-Csiha, *On the existence of an extremal function in the Delsarte extremal problem*, Mediterr. J. Math. (2020), **17**:190. pages 1, 2, 3
- [10] D. V. Gorbachev, *Extremal problem for entire functions of exponential spherical type, connected with the Levenshtein bound on the sphere packing density in \mathbb{R}^n* (Russian), Izv. Tula State Univ. Ser. Mat. Mekh. Inform. **6** (2000), 71–78. pages 1
- [11] D. V. Gorbachev, *An extremal problem for periodic functions with supports in the ball*, Math. Notes (3) **69** (2001), 313–319. pages 1
- [12] E. Hewitt, K. Ross, “Abstract Harmonic Analysis”, II, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 152. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Budapest (1970). pages 3
- [13] G.A. Kabatyanskii and V.I. Levenshtein, *On bounds for packing on the sphere and in space* (Russian), Probl. Inform. (1) **14** (1978), 3–25. pages 1
- [14] M. N. Kolountzakis and Sz. Gy. Révész, *Turán’s extremal problem for positive definite functions on groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **74** (2006), 475–496. pages 1, 2
- [15] N.A. Kuklin, *Delsarte method in the problem on kissing numbers in high-dimensional spaces*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. Suppl. 1 **284** (2014), S108–S123. pages 1
- [16] Z. Sasvári, “Positive definite and definitizable functions”, Vol. 2, Akademie Verlag, Berlin (1994). pages 3, 5, 6
- [17] D.V. Shtrom, *The Delsarte method in the problem of the antipodal contact numbers of Euclidean paces of high dimensions*, arXiv:math/0306405v1. pages 1
- [18] M. S. Viazovska, *The sphere packing problem in dimension 8*, Ann. of Math. (3) **185** (2017), 991–1015. pages 1

MITA RAMABULANA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN

PRIVATE BAG X1, 7701, RONDEBOSCH

CAPE TOWN, SOUTH AFRICA

Email address: rmbmit001@myuct.ac.za