

Holography of Transmission Lines: Insights of Continuous MERA and AdS/CFT

So Katagiri*

July 8, 2024

Nature and Environment, Faculty of Liberal Arts, The Open University of Japan, Chiba 261-8586, Japan

Abstract

This study examines the holographic representation of the quantum theory of transmission lines, which play a crucial role in quantum computing and quantum information. Utilizing Yurke and Denker's quantum circuit network theory within the framework of continuous MERA (cMERA) in AdS space, we analyze the quantization and interactions of transmission lines. The metric is revealed to be described by the inductance of the quantum circuit, which is AdS-space in its 0-limit. These results provide new insights into handling and controlling complex phenomena in quantum circuits, potentially advancing the understanding of quantum computing and quantum communication.

1 Introduction

Transmission lines are one of the basic structures for transmitting signals in electrical engineering. Although the theory of transmission lines has developed mainly on the basis of classical electromagnetism, the discovery of the Josephson junction[1] brought attention to circuits made of superconducting materials, and the quantum theoretical approach[2] to transmission lines came to prominence. Research on quantum transmission lines could play an important role in the interaction between qubits and the transmission of quantum information and is expected to contribute significantly to quantum computing and quantum communication[3, 4, 5]. When dealing with complex devices like quantum computers, the question arises on how to handle and control such complex concepts. In such situations, a significant shift in perspective may provide new insights into the issue.

*So.Katagiri@gmail.com

Continuous Multi-scale Entanglement Renormalisation Ansatz(cMERA)[6] is a type of MERA, and MERA was originally a coarse-grained approach for determining the ground state of the wavefunction of a quantum many-body system[7]. The relationship between its later coarse-graining direction and AdS space was pointed out, and its correspondence with the holographic renormalisation group in AdS/CFT in string theory was later discussed[8]¹.

Continuous MERA deals analytically with the discrete coarse-grained steps of MERA, whose states can be obtained in an analytical form using quantum-informative techniques to obtain a holographic space-time picture[13].

In order to explore the key indicators that can be seen by treating complex quantum networks through holographic space-time, in this paper we first discuss their holography through cMera in the quantisation of the simplest transmission lines.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a detailed discussion on the quantization of circuits, starting with the LCR circuit and extending the theory to one-dimensional transmission lines. We present the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formulations and elaborate on the quantization process. Section 3 explores the gravity-theoretic description of a single transmission line, discussing the connection between the MERA and AdS/CFT correspondence, and demonstrating the application of continuous MERA (cMERA) to the transmission line theory. In Section 4, we delve into the scenario where transmission lines are coupled at $x = 0$. We introduce the Lagrangian for interacting transmission lines and derive the resulting equations of motion, discussing the concept of scattering matrices for quantum networks. Section 5 examines holographic quantum circuit networks. Using the cMERA framework, we perform the entanglement renormalisation group of transmission lines with endpoints and obtain the corresponding holographic spacetime. We analyze the relationship between the metric and the capacities of the transmission lines and endpoints. Finally, in Section 6, we present a discussion of the implications of our findings and potential future research directions, considering how the results might be extended to more complex quantum circuits and their holographic descriptions. In addition, Appendix A reviews the correspondence between MERA and AdS space.

2 Quantisation of circuits

If the voltage of the supply is taken as $V = 0$, the behaviour of the LCR circuit is described by

$$L\ddot{Q} + R\dot{Q} + \frac{1}{C}Q = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where Q is electric charge, L is inductance, C is capacitance and R is resistance. If this is regarded as the equation of motion, the resistance is the friction term

¹However, this correspondence between MERA and AdS/CFT is a prediction and does not currently show a clear agreement. This paper will assume this prediction as correct. See [9, 10, 11, 12]for discussion after Swingle, especially [9], which specifically discusses the correspondence between MERA and AdS space.




Figure 2.1: LCR circuit

(see Figure 2.1).

Now consider the case of no resistance and the Lagrangian that gives this equation is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}L\dot{Q}^2 - \frac{1}{2C}Q^2. \quad (2.2)$$

The momentum is

$$\Phi = L\dot{Q}, \quad (2.3)$$

corresponding to the magnetic flux.

The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{1}{2L}\Phi^2 + \frac{1}{2C}Q^2. \quad (2.4)$$

Extending this to one-dimensional space is the theory of transmission lines. A transmission line is a pair of wires, as shown in Figure 2.2a, that transmit signals and power. The equivalent circuit of a lossless transmission line is shown in Figure 2.2. We introduce inductance L_T and capacitance C_T per unit length. See Appendix B for these dimensional analyses.

The total electric charge $Q(x, t)$ is then

$$Q(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^x dx' q(x', t), \quad (2.5)$$

using the charge density $q(x, t)$, and the electric current and voltage are

$$I(x, t) = \frac{\partial Q(x, t)}{\partial t}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$V(x, t) = \frac{q(x, t)}{C_T} = \frac{\partial Q(x, t)}{C_T \partial x}. \quad (2.7)$$

From the equivalent circuit of a lossless transmission line, we obtain transmission line equation;

$$-\frac{\partial V(x, t)}{\partial x} = L_T \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$-\frac{\partial I(x, t)}{\partial x} = C_T \frac{\partial V(x, t)}{\partial t}. \quad (2.9)$$




Figure 2.2

Then, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q}{C_T \partial x^2} = L_T \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial t^2}. \quad (2.10)$$

From this, the Hamiltonian density of the transmission line is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \frac{1}{2L_T} \Phi^2 + \frac{1}{2C_T} q^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2L_T} \Phi^2 + \frac{1}{2C_T} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Using

$$\Phi = L_T \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}, \quad (2.12)$$

the Lagrangian density of the transmission line is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} L_T \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2C_T} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{L_T} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 - \frac{1}{2} Z_T^2 \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right), \quad (2.13)$$

$$Z_T^2 \equiv \frac{L_T}{C_T}. \quad (2.14)$$

The equation of motion is

$$L_T \frac{\partial^2 Q(x, t)}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{C_T} \frac{\partial^2 Q(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

which has the same form as the massless Klein-Gordon equation.

The speed at which the signal is transmitted is

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_T C_T}} = \frac{1}{L_T} Z_T. \quad (2.16)$$

As the transmission line can be described by the Klein-Gordon equation, its quantisation can be directly applied to the usual quantum theory of fields.

Since the canonical momentum corresponding to Q is Φ , the canonical commutation relation is

$$[Q(x, t), \Phi(x', t)] = i\hbar\delta(x - x'). \quad (2.17)$$

This quantisation is not unnatural and can be explained from the quantisation of the electromagnetic field. First, from Faraday's law of electromagnetic induction, we obtain

$$\Phi(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t V(x, t') dt' = \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{-\infty}^x E_i(x', t') dx'^i dt', \quad (2.18)$$

where $E_i(x, t)$ is electric field.

Next, according to Ampere's law,

$$Q(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t I(x, t') dt' = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \int_{-\infty}^t \oint_x B_i(x', t') dx'^i dt, \quad (2.19)$$

where $B_i(x, t)$ is magnetic flux density and μ_0 is magnetic permeability.

From $H_i(x, t) = \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_i(x, t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [Q(x, t), \Phi(x', t)] &= \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \int_{-\infty}^t dt'' \int_{-\infty}^x dx''^i \oint_{x'} dx'''^j [H_i(x'', t'), E_j(x''', t'')] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \int_{-\infty}^t dt'' \int_{-\infty}^x dx''^i \oint_{x'} dx'''^j i\hbar\delta^3(x'' - x''')\delta(t' - t'') \\ &= i\hbar\delta(x - x'). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

It is also expanded by the creation and annihilation operator, which produces a wavenumber representation of the physical variable as well as the usual field quantisation;

$$Q(t, k) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2L\omega_k}} \left(a_k e^{i(kx + \omega_k t)} + a_{-k}^\dagger e^{-i(kx + \omega_k t)} \right), \quad (2.21)$$

$$\Phi(t, k) = i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar L\omega_k}{2}} \left(a_k e^{i(kx + \omega_k t)} - a_{-k}^\dagger e^{-i(kx + \omega_k t)} \right), \quad (2.22)$$

$$\omega_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_T C_T}} k = \frac{1}{L_T} Z_T k, \quad (2.23)$$

$$[a_k, a_{k'}^\dagger] = \delta(k - k'), \quad (2.24)$$

$$[Q(t, k), \Phi(t, k')] = i\hbar\delta(k + k'). \quad (2.25)$$

The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{1}{L_T} \int dx \left(\frac{1}{2} \Phi(k) \Phi(-k) + \frac{1}{2} k^2 Z_T^2 Q(k) Q(-k) \right). \quad (2.26)$$

3 Gravity-theoretic description of a single transmission line

Tensor networks are powerful tools for the efficient representation of wavefunctions in quantum many-body systems. Among these, the Multi-scale Entanglement Renormalisation Ansatz (MERA)[7] has been developed specifically to capture the scaling nature of critical states. MERA effectively reconstructs the state of the system by resolving entanglements at different scales. Continuous MERA (cMERA)[6] extends this to continuous systems and is particularly important in field theory and in research on critical phenomena.

As seen in the previous section, transmission lines can be described by the one-dimensional Klein-Gordon equation, so the corresponding AdS space-time description can be obtained by applying the continuous MERA argument. In this section, we discuss this argument in transmission line terms for the sake of review.

3.1 cMERA

cMERA applies scaling transformations and entanglement reduction operations to the state to coarse-grain it while resolving entanglement at different scales. The resulting state evolves from the IR state towards increased entanglement as the scale increases. This scale direction corresponds to the radial direction in AdS spacetime. This means that the state of the transmission line is examined from a coarse-grained perspective, from which the properties, for example, relation between Q-values and variance of charge, of the transmission line can be extracted.

The direction in which this operation is performed is u , and its evolution operator is the unitary operator as follows,

$$U(u_1, u_2) = P \exp \left[-i \int_{u_1}^{u_2} (K(u) + \mathbb{S}) du \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where P is the u -orderd product.

Firstly, $K(u)$ is the operator that entangles the state and is called the entangler,

$$K(u) = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \int dk g(k, u) (Q(k)\Phi(-k) + \Phi(k)Q(-k)), \quad (3.2)$$

where $g(k, u)$ is a scale-dependent variational parameter determined to minimise the expectation value of energy, as discussed later. In addition, K is assumed to have a cut-off such that the mode does not work except for those satisfying

$$k \leq \Lambda e^u. \quad (3.3)$$

Next, \mathbb{S} is the operator of scale transformation,

$$\mathbb{S} = -\frac{1}{2\hbar} \int dx [\Phi(x), xQ(x) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Q(x)}{\partial x}]_+, \quad (3.4)$$

where $[A, B]_+ \equiv AB + BA$ and the action of \mathbb{S} causes a scale transformation as follows;

$$x \rightarrow xe^u, \quad Q(x) \rightarrow e^{-\frac{1}{2}u} Q(xe^u), \quad \Phi(x) \rightarrow e^{-\frac{1}{2}u} \Phi(xe^u), \quad (3.5)$$

$$k \rightarrow ke^{-u}, \quad Q(k) \rightarrow e^{\frac{1}{2}u} Q(ke^{-u}), \quad \Phi(k) \rightarrow e^{-\frac{1}{2}u} \Phi(ke^{-u}). \quad (3.6)$$

The action of U transforms the physical variable as follows;

$$k \rightarrow ke^{-u}, \quad Q(k) \rightarrow e^{-f(k,u)} e^{\frac{1}{2}u} Q(ke^{-u}), \quad \Phi(k) \rightarrow e^{f(k,u)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u} \Phi(ke^{-u}), \quad (3.7)$$

$$f(k, u) \equiv \int_0^u du' g(ke^{-u'}, u'). \quad (3.8)$$

The state can be described as follows;

$$|\Psi(u)\rangle = U(u, u_{\text{IR}})|\Omega\rangle = P \exp \left[i \int_{u_{\text{IR}}}^u (K(u) + \mathbb{S}) du \right] |\Omega\rangle, \quad (3.9)$$

where $|\Omega\rangle = |\Psi(u_{\text{IR}})\rangle$ is the IR limit state and the scale is u_{IR} . We set $u_{\text{IR}} = -\infty$.

Because IR limit is scale invariant, we obtain

$$\mathbb{S}|\Omega\rangle = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Also, we set the scale at UV limit, $u_{\text{UV}} = 0$, $|\Psi\rangle \equiv |\Psi(u_{\text{UV}})\rangle = |\Psi(0)\rangle$. Similarly, we obtain in UV limit,

$$|\Psi\rangle = U(0, u)|\Psi(0)\rangle = P \exp \left[i \int_u^0 (K(u) + \mathbb{S}) du \right] |\Psi(u)\rangle. \quad (3.11)$$

In the IR limit, we want to take a state $|\Omega\rangle$ with zero entanglement entropy as the state before taking entanglement.

We shall therefore take the following separable state:

$$\langle Q(x)|\Omega\rangle \propto \prod_x e^{\frac{i}{2}L_T\omega_\Lambda Q(x)^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

where ω_Λ is $\omega_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda}{L_T}Z_T$ and Λ is a UV cutoff parameter.

Such a state is made up of a direct product of local operators, so the Neumann entropy is zero.

This state satisfies

$$\left(\sqrt{L_T\omega_\Lambda}Q(x) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{L_T\omega_\Lambda}}\Phi(x) \right) |\Omega\rangle = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

as the condition that the state is annihilated by an annihilation operator consisting of local operators.

From this definition of Ω , the following equation is obtained:

$$\langle \Omega|Q(k)Q(k')|\Omega\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2L_T\omega_\Lambda}\delta(k+k'), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\langle \Omega|\Phi(k)\Phi(k')|\Omega\rangle = \frac{L_T\hbar\omega_\Lambda}{2}\delta(k+k'). \quad (3.15)$$

In the following, we shall discuss this using an interaction representation:

$$K_I(u) \equiv e^{iu\mathbb{S}}K(u)e^{-iu\mathbb{S}} = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \int dk g(ke^{-u}, u) (Q(k)\Phi(-k) + \Phi(k)Q(-k)), \quad (3.16)$$

$$H(u_{IR}) = e^{iu\mathbb{S}}He^{-iu\mathbb{S}}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$U(u_1, u_2) = e^{-iu_1\mathbb{S}}P \exp \left[-i \int_{u_2}^{u_1} K_I(s)ds \right] e^{iu_2\mathbb{S}}, \quad (3.18)$$

$$|\Psi_I(u)\rangle \equiv e^{iu\mathbb{S}}|\Psi(u)\rangle = P \exp \left[-i \int_{u_{IR}}^u K_I(s)ds \right] |\Omega\rangle. \quad (3.19)$$

We now determine $g(k, u)$ such that the energy expectation

$$E = \langle \psi|H|\psi\rangle = \langle \Omega|H(u_{IR})|\Omega\rangle \quad (3.20)$$

is minimised from the variational method.

Let us assume that $g(k, u)$ is like

$$g(k, u) = \chi(u)\Theta \left(1 - \left| \frac{k}{\Lambda} \right| \right). \quad (3.21)$$

Here, for simplicity, it is assumed that the mode is independent.

From this assumption, the energy expectation value is

$$E = \int dk \frac{1}{4} \left(e^{2f(k, u_{IR})} \omega_\Lambda + \frac{\omega_k^2}{\omega_\Lambda} e^{-2f(k, u_{IR})} \right). \quad (3.22)$$

Therefore, differentiating by χ gives

$$\frac{\delta E}{\delta \chi(u)} = \int_{|k| \leq \Lambda e^u} dk \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{2f(k, u_{IR})} \omega_\Lambda - \frac{\omega_k^2}{\omega_\Lambda} e^{-2f(k, u_{IR})} \right). \quad (3.23)$$

Therefore,

$$f(k, u_{IR}) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_\Lambda} \quad (3.24)$$

is obtained as a condition for this to be $\frac{\delta E}{\delta g(u)}=0$, and consequently $f(k, u)$ and $\chi(u)$ is obtained as

$$f(k, u) = \frac{1}{2} u, (|k| < \Lambda e^u), \quad (3.25)$$

$$\chi(u) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.26)$$

Using this, the state at some u is

$$|\Psi(u)\rangle = e^{-iu\mathbb{S}} P \exp \left[\frac{1}{2\hbar} \int^{-\log \Lambda / |k e^{-u}|} dk \frac{1}{2} (Q(k)\Phi(-k) + \Phi(k)Q(-k)) \right] |\Omega\rangle, \quad (3.27)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} |\Psi(u)\rangle = -i(\mathbb{S} + K_I) |\Psi(u)\rangle. \quad (3.28)$$

3.2 AdS/CFT

The correspondence between MERA and AdS/CFT has already been discussed[13]. There it is shown that the parameter u -direction of the cMera entanglement renormalisation corresponding to the layer of the MERA index corresponds to the radial direction of the AdS/CFT:

$$ds_{\text{AdS}_3}^2 = \frac{dz^2 + dx^2 - dt^2}{z^2} = du^2 + \frac{e^{-2u}}{\epsilon^2} (dx^2 - dt^2) \quad (3.29)$$

where (z, x, t) are coordinates for Poincare AdS₃ metric. We define $z = \epsilon e^u$. Also in this paper we take $(++-)$ as the sign of the metric.

The state we obtained contained a parameter u of the entanglement renormalisation group. cMera and the AdS/CFT correspondence, it is necessary to at least check whether the metric in the u direction reproduces the g_{uu} of the AdS metric².

²The relations between Mera and the AdS space are reviewed in Appendix A.

Therefore, we extract metric from quantum states using the information geometry approach.

First, we introduce

$$D_Q(\theta) = 1 - |\langle \psi(\theta) | \psi(\theta + d\theta) \rangle|^2, \quad (3.30)$$

which we call the quantum distance (Bars distance, Fubini-Study distance).

The metric is introduced by expanding this distance $D_Q(\theta)$ up to the second order of $d\theta$:

$$D_Q(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{2} (\chi_{\mu\nu} + \chi_{\nu\mu}) d\theta^\mu d\theta^\nu \equiv g_{\mu\nu} d\theta^\mu d\theta^\nu \quad (3.31)$$

$$\chi_{\mu\nu} = \langle \partial_\mu \psi(\theta) | \partial_\nu \psi(\theta) \rangle - \langle \partial_\mu \psi(\theta) | \psi(\theta) \rangle \langle \psi(\theta) | \partial_\nu \psi(\theta) \rangle. \quad (3.32)$$

Using (3.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_{uu}(u) &= \langle \Psi_I(u) | K_I(u)^2 | \Psi_I(u) \rangle - \langle \Psi_I(u) | K_I(u) | \Psi_I(u) \rangle^2 \\ &= \chi(u)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

and is consistent with the result that g_{uu} is a constant.

It has been stated above that the quantisation of a transmission line can be placed directly in the context of AdS₃/CFT₂ because it is a scalar field itself. What this argument does, namely, is to argue that when the direction of coarse-graining is considered, an AdS space appears in which the direction of coarse-graining is added to the information space. It is not clear how to generalise this further to actions where transmission lines interact with LC circuits by mutual inductance etc., but in the situation where one transmission line interacts with an LC circuit at $x = 0$, the transmission line can be regarded as a resistance at $x = 0$ and the whole combination of transmission lines and LC circuits can be represented as an LCR circuit. In the quantum theory of the LCR circuit, the behaviour of the charge dispersion can be classified according to Q -values. It is interesting to see how this classification by Q -value is described on the AdS side. Therefore, this case is explained in the next section.

4 When transmission lines are coupled at $x = 0$

The discussion so far has been about free scalar fields, which is almost self-evident in the context of cMERA. In order to develop a quantum network theory, it is necessary to discuss models that have not been dealt with in the discussion of cMERA up to now. As a first step, we would like to consider the case where several parallel transmission lines are coupled at $x = 0$. Such systems are the basis of complex quantum networks.

Suppose there are several parallel transmission lines labelled with i , which are joined at $x = 0$. The Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = \delta(x)\tilde{L} + \theta(x)\sum_i \mathcal{L}_i \quad (4.1)$$

where \mathcal{L}_i represents the i -th transmission line;

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \frac{1}{2}L_i \left(\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2C_i} \left(\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial x} \right)^2 \quad (4.2)$$

and \tilde{L} represents the term with which the transmission lines interact at $x = 0$ ³, using mutual inductance L_{ij} and capacitance C_{ij} ,

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{ij} L_{ij} \frac{dQ_i}{dt} \frac{dQ_j}{dt} - \frac{1}{2C_{ij}} Q_i Q_j \right). \quad (4.3)$$

The equation of motion for this Lagrangian is

$$\delta(x) \sum_j \left[L_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 Q_j}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial Q_j}{C_{ij}} \right] + \theta(x) L_i \frac{\partial^2 Q_i}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{C_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\theta(x) \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial x} \right) = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

In $x > 0$, this equations are Klein-Gordon equations

$$L_i \frac{\partial^2 Q_i}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{C_i} \frac{\partial^2 Q_i}{\partial x^2} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

At the endpoints, by integrating over $-\epsilon \leq x \leq \epsilon$ and then take $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\sum_j \left[L_{ij} \frac{d^2 Q_j}{dt^2} + \frac{Q_j}{C_{ij}} \right] - \frac{1}{C_i} \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=0+} = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Since Q_i satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation at $x \geq 0$, the general solutions Q_{in} , Q_{out} represent the outgoing and incoming solutions from $x = 0$;

$$Q_i(x, t) = Q_i^{\text{in}} \left(\frac{x}{v_i} + t \right) + Q_i^{\text{out}} \left(-\frac{x}{v_i} + t \right), \quad (4.7)$$

$$v_i \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_i C_i}}. \quad (4.8)$$

By partial differentiation of this equation with x, t , we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{C_i} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=0+} = R_i \left(\frac{d}{dt} Q_i - 2 \frac{d}{dt} Q_i^{\text{in}} \right), \quad (4.9)$$

$$R_i \equiv \frac{1}{C_i v_i} = \sqrt{\frac{L_i}{C_i}}. \quad (4.10)$$

³The difficulties of using the Dirac function for endpoints and avoiding this are discussed in [14, 15].

Therefore, the equation of motion at the endpoint becomes

$$\sum_j \left[L_{ij} \frac{d^2 Q_j}{dt^2} + \frac{Q_j}{C_{ij}} \right] + R_i \frac{d}{dt} Q_i = 2R_i \frac{dQ^{\text{in}}}{dt} \quad (4.11)$$

where $R_i \frac{d}{dt} Q_i$ represents Ohm's law at $x = 0$ and $2R_i \frac{dQ^{\text{in}}}{dt}$ on the right-hand side represents the noise voltage transmitted from the transmission line. The whole equation can therefore be regarded as the Langevin equation.

By mode expansion, we obtain

$$Q_i^{\text{in}}(t) = \int dk \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2L_i \omega_k}} \left(a_k^{\text{in}} e^{i\omega_k t} + a_{-k}^{\text{in}\dagger} e^{-i\omega_k t} \right), \quad (4.12)$$

$$\Phi_i^{\text{in}}(t) = \Phi_i(0, t) = \int dk \sqrt{\frac{L_i \hbar \omega_k}{2}} \left(a_k^{\text{in}} e^{i\omega_k t} - a_{-k}^{\text{in}\dagger} e^{-i\omega_k t} \right), \quad (4.13)$$

$$\omega_k = vk, \quad (4.14)$$

where Φ_i is conjugate momentum of Q_i as in Section 2.

From (4.11), we obtain Q_i in terms of $Q_i^{\text{in}}(t)$.

Also, $Q^{\text{out}}(t)$ is solved from

$$Q_i^{\text{out}}(t) = Q_i(0, t) - Q_i^{\text{in}}(t). \quad (4.15)$$

Then, a_k^{out} can be described by a_k^{in} .

For linear systems, this can be written as a linear combination,

$$a_{i,k}^{\text{out}} = \sum_j S_{ij} a_{j,k}^{\text{in}} \quad (4.16)$$

S_{ij} can be regarded as a quantum network version of the scattering matrix. Now, for simplicity's sake, let's assume one transmission line:

$$\mathcal{L} = \delta(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} L \dot{Q}^2 - \frac{1}{2C} Q^2 \right] + \theta(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} L_T \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2C_T} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (4.17)$$

As can be seen from Figure. 4.1 which shows a diagram of the corresponding transmission line, this is a transmission line directly connected to the LC circuit at its end. This transmission line acts as a low impedance source. In such systems, the transmission line is responsible for transmitting the signals from the LC circuit at the end of the line. Efficient signal transmission in this setup requires impedance matching between the LC circuit and the transmission line, which is often discussed in terms of Q-values.

The equation of motion at the endpoint is

$$L \frac{d^2}{dt^2} Q + R \frac{dQ}{dt} + \frac{Q}{C} = 2R \frac{dQ^{\text{in}}}{dt}, \quad (4.18)$$




Figure 4.1: Transmission line with endpoint

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{L_T}{C_T}}. \quad (4.19)$$

Using mode expansion

$$2R \frac{dQ^{\text{in}}}{dt} = \int dk \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_k}{2C_T}} \left(a_k^{\text{in}} e^{i\omega_k t} - a_k^{\text{in}\dagger} e^{-i\omega_k t} \right) \quad (4.20)$$

we obtain

$$L\ddot{Q} + R\dot{Q} + \frac{Q}{C} = \int dk \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_k}{2C_T}} \left(a_k^{\text{in}} e^{i\omega_k t} - a_k^{\text{in}\dagger} e^{-i\omega_k t} \right). \quad (4.21)$$

From this, we obtain

$$Q(t) = \int dk \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_k}{2C_T}} \left(\frac{-1}{\omega_k^2 L - 1/C - i\omega_k R} a_k^{\text{in}} e^{+i\omega_k t} - h.c. \right). \quad (4.22)$$

From this result, a^{out} is obtained as

$$a^{\text{out}}(\omega) = \frac{\omega^2 L - 1/C + i\omega R}{\omega^2 L - 1/C - i\omega R} a^{\text{in}}(\omega). \quad (4.23)$$

From this, the scattering matrix is

$$S = \frac{\omega^2 L - 1/C + i\omega R}{\omega^2 L - 1/C - i\omega R}. \quad (4.24)$$

Let's quantize the system using the procedure of canonical quantization and define the vacuum to satisfy condition

$$a^{\text{in}}(\omega)|0\rangle = 0. \quad (4.25)$$

From this, the expectation value and variance of $Q(t)$ are

$$\langle 0|Q(t)|0\rangle = 0, \quad (4.26)$$

$$\Delta Q^2 = \langle 0|Q^2(t)|0\rangle = \frac{R}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\hbar\omega_k}{L^2(\omega_k^2 - \omega_0^2)^2 + \omega_k^2 R^2} d\omega_k \quad (4.27)$$

where

$$\omega_0 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}. \quad (4.28)$$

For a better understanding of the operation and properties of quantum circuits, it is important to analyse the behaviour of charges precisely. Therefore, Q-values \mathbb{Q} are introduced as a new parameter to classify the dispersion of charges in transmission lines in detail. This will clarify the physical description on the AdS side and enable a clearer understanding of the characteristics of quantum circuits:

$$\mathbb{Q} \equiv \frac{L}{R}\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}}\sqrt{\frac{C_T}{L_T}}. \quad (4.29)$$

With the \mathbb{Q} , equation (4.27) becomes

$$\Delta Q^2 = \frac{1}{2R} \int_0^\infty \frac{\hbar\mathbb{Q}_k}{(\mathbb{Q}_k^2 - \mathbb{Q}^2)^2 + \mathbb{Q}_k^2} d\mathbb{Q}_k, \quad (4.30)$$

$$\mathbb{Q}_k \equiv \frac{L}{R}\omega_k. \quad (4.31)$$

Therefore, depending on the value of the Q-value, the behaviour of the variance is as follows;

$$\Delta Q^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{\hbar}{2R} \frac{\pi + 2 \arctan\left(\frac{-1+2\mathbb{Q}^2}{\sqrt{-1+4\mathbb{Q}^2}}\right)}{2\sqrt{-1+4\mathbb{Q}^2}} & (\mathbb{Q} > \frac{1}{2}) \\ \frac{\pi\hbar}{2R} & (\mathbb{Q} = \frac{1}{2}) \\ \frac{\hbar}{2R} \frac{i\pi + 2\arctan\left(\frac{1-2\mathbb{Q}^2}{\sqrt{1-4\mathbb{Q}^2}}\right)}{2\sqrt{1-4\mathbb{Q}^2}} & (\mathbb{Q} < \frac{1}{2}) \end{cases} \quad (4.32)$$

In particular, in the $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \infty$ limit, using Cauchy's integral theorem, the variance is

$$\Delta Q^2 = \frac{\pi\hbar}{4R}, \quad (4.33)$$

which represents the minimum uncertainty state in the quantum mechanics of harmonic oscillators.

5 Holographic quantum circuit networks

We discuss states in quantum networks with endpoints at $x = 0$ using the cMera introduced in the previous section and describe this in holographic terms.

5.1 cMERA

The basic strategy is considered to be similar to the cMera argument for scalar fields.

From the Lagrangian;

$$\mathcal{L} = \delta(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} L \dot{Q}^2 - \frac{1}{2C} Q^2 \right] + \theta(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} L_T \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2C_T} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] \quad (5.1)$$

we obtain the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int dk dk' \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{L} + \frac{1}{L_T} \delta(k+k') \right) \Phi(k) \Phi(k') \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} (L\omega^2 + L_T \omega_k^2 \delta(k+k')) Q(k) Q(k') \right) \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$\Phi \equiv L\dot{Q}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\Phi_T = L_T \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\omega_k^2 = \frac{k^2}{L_T C_T}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\omega^2 = \frac{1}{LC}. \quad (5.6)$$

As in the previous section, we consider the expectation value of energy

$$E = \langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle \quad (5.7)$$

$$|\Psi\rangle = P \exp \left[-i \int_{-u_{IR}}^0 K_I(s) ds \right] |\Omega\rangle \quad (5.8)$$

where,

$$\mathbb{S} = -\frac{1}{2} \int dx \left(x \Phi \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \Phi + \frac{1}{2} (Q\Phi + \Phi Q) \right), \quad (5.9)$$

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \int dk g(k, u) (Q(k)\Phi(-k) + \Phi(k)Q(-k)), \quad (5.10)$$

and find $g(k, u)$ such the energy E this is minimised.

We assume $g(k, u)$ is

$$g(k, u) = \chi(u) \Theta \left(1 - \left| \frac{k}{\Lambda} \right| \right). \quad (5.11)$$

From the Heisenberg formalism,

$$E = \langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Omega | H(u_{IR}) | \Omega \rangle. \quad (5.12)$$

Squeezing causes the Hamiltonian to undergo changes and individual elements are squeezed as follows;

$$Q(k) \rightarrow e^{-f(k,u)} e^{\frac{1}{2}u} Q(ke^{-u}), \quad (5.13)$$

$$\Phi(k) \rightarrow e^{f(k,u)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u} \Phi(ke^{-u}), \quad (5.14)$$

$$f(k, u) \equiv \int_0^u du' g(ke^{-u'}, u'). \quad (5.15)$$

From the above,

$$\langle \Omega | Q(k) Q(k') | \Omega \rangle = \frac{1}{2L_T \omega_\Lambda} \delta(k + k'), \quad (5.16)$$

$$\langle \Omega | \Psi(k) \Psi(k') | \Omega \rangle = \frac{L_T \omega_\Lambda}{2} \delta(k + k'). \quad (5.17)$$

Using this, the expectation value of energy is

$$E = \int dk \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(1 + \frac{L_T \Lambda}{L} \right) e^{2f(k, u_{IR})} \omega_\Lambda + \left(\omega_k^2 + \frac{L}{L_T} \omega^2 \Lambda \right) e^{-2f(k, u_{IR})} \frac{1}{\omega_\Lambda} \right) \quad (5.18)$$

and differentiating by χ

$$\frac{\delta E}{\delta \chi(u)} = \int_{|k| \leq \Lambda e^u} \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(1 + \frac{L_T \Lambda}{L} \right) e^{2f(k, u_{IR})} \omega_\Lambda - \left(\omega_k^2 + \frac{L}{L_T} \omega^2 \Lambda \right) e^{-2f(k, u_{IR})} \frac{1}{\omega_\Lambda} \right), \quad (5.19)$$

the minimum value for χ is

$$f(k, u_{IR}) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_\Lambda} \sqrt{1 + \frac{L}{L_T} \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_k^2} \Lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{k}{\Lambda} \sqrt{1 + \frac{L}{L_T} \frac{k^2}{\Lambda^2} \Lambda}. \quad (5.20)$$

Using

$$f(k, u_{IR}) = \int_0^{u_{IR}} g(ke^{-s}, s) ds = \int_0^{u_{IR}} \chi(s) \Theta \left(1 - \left| \frac{ke^{-s}}{\Lambda} \right| \right) ds = \int_0^{-\log \Lambda/|k|} \chi(s) ds, \quad (5.21)$$

we obtain

$$\chi(s) = \frac{1}{2 \left(1 + \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{1}{\Lambda e^{-2s}} \right)}. \quad (5.22)$$

5.2 Variance

Let us now examine the variance of the states obtained by Mera:

$$\Delta Q(t) = \langle \Psi | Q(t)^2 | \Psi \rangle - \langle \Psi | Q(t) | \Psi \rangle^2. \quad (5.23)$$

we second term in this equation is obviously zero. From equation (4.22), we obtain

$$\langle \Psi | Q(0, t) Q(0, t) | \Psi \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 + \frac{L^2}{R^2} (\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)} \langle \Psi | a_k^{\text{in}} a_{-k}^{\text{in}} | \Psi \rangle d\omega. \quad (5.24)$$

Using

$$\langle \Psi | a_k^{\text{in}} a_{-k}^{\text{in}} | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Omega | a_k^{\text{in}}(u) a_{-k}^{\text{in}}(u) | \Omega \rangle, \quad (5.25)$$

$$a_k^{\text{in}}(u) = U^\dagger a_k^{\text{in}} U = a_k^{\text{in}} e^{-f(ke^u, u)} e^{\frac{1}{2}u}, \quad (5.26)$$

we obtain:

$$\langle \Psi | Q(0, t) Q(0, t) | \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2R} \int_0^\infty \frac{\hbar Q_k}{(Q_k^2 - Q^2)^2 + Q_k^2} e^{-2f(k, u_{IR})} e^{u_{IR}} dQ_k. \quad (5.27)$$

From (5.20), this equation is

$$\langle \Psi | Q(0, t) Q(0, t) | \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2R} \int_0^\infty \frac{Q_k}{(Q_k^2 - Q^2)^2 + Q_k^2} \left(\frac{\omega_\Lambda}{\omega_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{L}{L_T} \frac{\omega_k^2}{\Lambda}}} \right) dQ_k, \quad (5.28)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2R} \int_0^\infty \frac{Q_k}{(Q_k^2 - Q^2)^2 + Q_k^2} \left(\frac{\frac{\omega_\Lambda}{\omega}}{\sqrt{\frac{L}{L_T} \Lambda \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{\omega_k^2}{\omega^2}}}} \right) dQ_k, \quad (5.29)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2R} \int_0^\infty \frac{Q_k}{(Q_k^2 - Q^2)^2 + Q_k^2} \left(\frac{\frac{Q_\Lambda}{Q}}{\sqrt{\frac{L}{L_T} \Lambda \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{Q_k^2}{Q^2}}}} \right) dQ_k, \quad (5.30)$$

(5.31)

where $Q_\Lambda = \frac{L}{R}\omega_\Lambda$, $\omega_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{L_T C_T}}$.
 Expanding $\frac{1}{\Lambda} \frac{C_T}{C} \frac{Q_\Lambda}{Q_k} \ll 1$,

$$\frac{1}{2R} \frac{Q_k}{(Q_k^2 - Q^2)^2 + Q_k^2} \left(\frac{\frac{Q_\Lambda}{Q}}{\sqrt{\frac{L}{L_T} \Lambda \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{Q_k^2}{Q^2}}}} \right) = \frac{1}{2R} \frac{Q_k}{(Q_k^2 - Q^2)^2 + Q_k^2} \frac{\frac{Q_\Lambda}{Q}}{\sqrt{\frac{L}{L_T} \Lambda}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{Q_k^2}{Q^2} + \dots \right) \quad (5.32)$$

In this case, with $Q > 1/2$, the variance is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Q^2 &= \frac{\hbar}{2R} \gamma(Q, \Lambda) \left(\frac{\pi + 2\arctan\left(\frac{-1+2Q^2}{\sqrt{-1+4Q^2}}\right)}{2\sqrt{-1+4Q^2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \frac{L_T}{L} h(Q) \right), \quad (5.33) \\ \gamma(Q, \Lambda) &\equiv \frac{Q_\Lambda}{Q} \sqrt{\frac{L_T}{L\Lambda}} = \frac{1}{Q} \sqrt{\frac{L\Lambda}{L_T}}, \\ h(Q) &\equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{Q_k^3}{(Q_k^2 - Q^2)^2 + Q_k^2} dQ_k \end{aligned}$$

In limit $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ while keeping $\gamma(Q, \Lambda) = \gamma$

$$\Delta Q^2 = \frac{\gamma \hbar}{2R} \frac{\pi + 2\arctan\left(\frac{-1+2Q}{\sqrt{-1+4Q^2}}\right)}{2\sqrt{-1+4Q^2}}, \quad (5.34)$$

this correspond to (4.32) upto γ .

5.3 Holography

Assume now that a flat spacetime with an additional coarse-grained direction u is seen as an AdS space in $C_T \rightarrow 0$, and that the metric is of the form

$$ds^2 = g_{uu} \epsilon^2 (du^2 + dx^2 - dt^2), \quad (5.35)$$

$$v \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_T C_T}}. \quad (5.36)$$

We obtain g_{uu} from $|\Phi\rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{uu}(u) &= \langle \Psi_I(u) | K_I(u)^2 | \Psi_I(u) \rangle - \langle \Psi_I(u) | K_I(u) | \Psi_I(u) \rangle^2 \\ &= \chi(u)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{1}{\Lambda e^{-2u}}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{\epsilon^2}{z^2 \Lambda}} \right)^2. \quad (5.37) \end{aligned}$$

where we introduce z as

$$z = \epsilon e^{-u}, \quad \frac{\epsilon}{z} = e^u. \quad (5.38)$$

we obtain the metric:

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{z^2} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2}} \right)^2 (dz^2 + dx^2 - dt^2). \quad (5.39)$$

In $\frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} \ll 1$, is almost AdS₃.

From the metric, the Einstein tensor is

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R, \quad (5.40)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}$ is Ricci tensor and R is Ricci scalar:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} = & -\frac{2}{z^2 \left(1 + \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2}\right)} \text{diag} \left(1 + \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} + 2 \left(\frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} \right)^2, \right. \\ & \left. 1 + \frac{5}{2} \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} + 3 \left(\frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} \right)^2, 1 + \frac{5}{2} \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} + 3 \left(\frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} \right)^2 \right), \quad (5.41) \\ R = & 64 \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} - 40 \frac{1}{1 + \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Contrary to the usual theory of gravity, let us now try to find the energy-momentum tensor under the AdS/CFT correspondence, assuming that the metric obtained from the state obtained by cMera satisfies the Einstein equation.

Introducing the cosmological term λ and energy-momentum term, Einstein equation is

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R + \lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad (5.42)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} = & \frac{1}{z^2} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2}\right)^2} \text{diag} \left(\lambda + \left(1 + 2 \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2}\right)^2, 1 + \lambda + \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} + 2 \left(\frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} \right)^2 \right. \\ & \left. -1 - \lambda - \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} - 2 \left(\frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} \right)^2 \right) \quad (5.43) \end{aligned}$$

From the continuity formula, $g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu T_{\mu\rho} = 0$, the cosmic cosmological λ is obtained as

$$\lambda = -\frac{4 \left(1 + \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} + 2 \left(\frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2} \right)^2 \right)}{1 + \frac{L_T \epsilon^2}{L \Lambda z^2}}. \quad (5.44)$$

In the limit $L_T \rightarrow 0$, λ is -4 . This result is consistent with the AdS₃ metric.

Next, following Witten's approach[16], let us find the propagator of the boundary and the propagator of the bulk and discuss the (L, L_T) dependence of the propagator of the bulk. We describe this propagator by K .

In general, the Laplacian of a three-dimensional massless scalar field satisfies the following equation:

$$\square K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_\mu \left(\sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu K \right) = 0. \quad (5.45)$$

In our case (5.39), the metric is

$$\{g_{\mu\nu}\} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{z^2} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{z^2}{\epsilon^2 \Lambda}} \right)^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.46)$$

Therefore, the inverse metric and square root of the determinant $\sqrt{|g|}$ are

$$\{g^{\mu\nu}\} = 4z^2 \left(1 + \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{z^2}{\epsilon^2 \Lambda} \right)^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.47)$$

$$\sqrt{|g|} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{z^3} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{z^2}{\epsilon^2 \Lambda}} \right)^3. \quad (5.48)$$

Considering those ignored due to symmetry and translation invariance except in the z -direction, we obtain

$$\partial_z \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{z^2}{\epsilon^2 \Lambda}} \right) \partial_z K(z) \right) = 0 \quad (5.49)$$

The solution that vanishes at $x_0 = 0$ is

$$K(z) = c \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{z^2}{\epsilon^2 \Lambda} \right) z^2 \quad (5.50)$$

with c being a constant.

The solution takes the form of a singularity at the infinity point.

Using $SO(1, 2)$ transformation, we map the infinity point to origin,

$$x^\mu \rightarrow \frac{x^\mu}{z^2 + x^2 - t^2}. \quad (5.51)$$

Then

$$K(x^\mu) = c \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{z^2}{\epsilon^2 \Lambda} \frac{1}{(z^2 + x^2 - t^2)^2} \right) \frac{z^2}{(z^2 + x^2 - t^2)^2}. \quad (5.52)$$

We express the boundary field as $\phi_0(\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x, t)$.

Using this Green's function, $\phi(z, x, t)$ in AdS space is

$$\phi(z, x, t) = c \int d\mathbf{x}' \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_T}{L} \frac{z^2}{\epsilon^2 \Lambda} \frac{1}{(z^2 + |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2)^2} \right) \frac{z^2}{(z^2 + |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2)^2} \phi_0(\mathbf{x}). \tag{5.53}$$

This result shows that the bulk to boundary propagator depends on the ratio $\frac{L_T}{L}$. This dependency indicates how the properties of the transmission line, such as the inductance per unit length L_T and endpoint inductance L , influence the behavior of the field ϕ in the bulk of the holographic space. It also provides a means to extract physical properties from the boundary conditions.

6 Discussion

Since the quantum theory of transmission lines can be regarded as a one-dimensional Klein-Gordon equation, we have shown a procedure to calculate the entanglement renormalisation group using the continuous MERA argument to construct states at the renormalisation scale μ of the vacuum state and obtain the holographic space-time corresponding to the state of the transmission line in Section 3.

Then, as a non-trivial example in quantum networks, the entanglement renormalisation group of a transmission line with endpoints was performed and the holographic spacetime corresponding to this state was obtained. It was argued that the metric is obtained in a way that the scale factor depends on the ratio of the capacities of the transmission line and the endpoints, and corresponds to the AdS₃ spacetime in the limit where the capacitance of the endpoints is zero in Section 5.

Such attempts provide a starting point for computing more complex quantum circuits, and many interesting examples could be discussed through holography. In particular, it is interesting to see how resonant states such as laser oscillation correspond to the behaviour of a theory of gravity. It is also of interest to compare the complexity as the number of elements in such complex circuit electromagnetic science with the complexity in quantum computation. Hopefully, these studies will contribute to future research on quantum networks.

Acknowledgments

I particularly appreciate Akio Sugamoto for introducing me to Yurke's paper[2] at the beginning of this research and for the subsequent in-depth discussions on this research. I also appreciate Shiro Komata and Tatsuaki Wada for their careful reading of this paper and many useful comments. Finally, I would like to thank the members of the Open University of Japan Field Theory Seminar for their many suggestions and advice in the progression of this research.

Appendix A Mera and AdS

This section explains how Mera corresponds with AdS[8].

Mera was designed to find the ground state of a quantum many-body system at the critical point, i.e. the CFT. d_s -dimensional quantum critical systems usually have an area law for the entanglement entropy[17], which is

$$S_A \propto L^{d_s-1} \tag{6.1}$$

with respect to the linear length L in the domain A of the system.

However, for one-dimensional quantum critical systems, the entanglement entropy breaks the area law and depends on the logarithm of the scale L and is

$$S_A = \frac{c}{3} \log L. \tag{6.2}$$

Such a violation of the area law is discussed by introducing the scale z of the renormalization group. Each scale z has different degrees of freedom. At more coarse-grained scales, the degrees of freedom visible at finer scales are grouped together and treated as a single degree of freedom. Consider these degrees of freedom on a logarithmic scale $d \log z$. The degrees of freedom at each scale can be entangled with a region A with linear size L and contribute to the entropy proportional to the boundary ∂A of region A at that scale z . The number of degrees of freedom localized at ∂A is simply proportional to the logarithmic scale $d \log z$, and the entanglement entropy of region A at scale z is proportional to the degrees of freedom of its boundary by the area law, so

$$dS_A \sim \frac{L^{d_s-1} dz}{z^{d_s-1}}. \tag{6.3}$$

In $d_s = 1$, the overall entanglement entropy is

$$S_A \sim \int_a^{\xi_E} \frac{dz}{z} = \log \left(\frac{\xi_E}{a} \right) \tag{6.4}$$

where a is ultraviolet cutoff and ξ_E is a length scale such that there is no entanglement in the quantum state and it diverges at the quantum critical point. However, in reality, there is a finite size L in region A , so a cut-off is introduced and the entropy is

$$S_A \sim \log \left(\frac{L}{a} \right). \tag{6.5}$$

In this way, the breaking of the area law in one-dimensional critical quantum many-body systems can be explained from the perspective of the renormalisation group.

Since it is known from theoretical and numerical arguments based on scale invariance and fractality of the system at the quantum critical point that the entanglement entropy is proportional to the length of the minimum curve γ in region A [18], the following equation is obtained

$$ds_\gamma^2 = dS_A^2 \sim \frac{dz^2}{z^2}. \quad (6.6)$$

In this way, the corresponding part of the scale transformation in the AdS space is reproduced. Other parts of the AdS space are unknown.

References

- [1] B.D. Josephson. Possible new effects in superconductive tunnelling. *Physics Letters*, 1(7):251–253, July 1962.
- [2] Bernard Yurke and John S. Denker. Quantum network theory. *Physical Review A*, 29(3):1419–1437, March 1984.
- [3] Uri Vool and Michel Devoret. Introduction to quantum electromagnetic circuits. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications*, 45(7):897–934, June 2017.
- [4] Xiu Gu, Anton Frisk Kockum, Adam Miranowicz, Yu-xi Liu, and Franco Nori. Microwave photonics with superconducting quantum circuits. *Physics Reports*, 718–719:1–102, November 2017.
- [5] Alexandre Blais, Arne L. Grimsmo, S. M. Girvin, and Andreas Wallraff. Circuit quantum electrodynamics. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 93(2):025005, May 2021.
- [6] Jutho Haegeman, Tobias J. Osborne, Henri Verschelde, and Frank Verstraete. Entanglement renormalization for quantum fields. *Physical Review Letters*, 110(10):100402, March 2013.
- [7] G. Vidal. Class of quantum many-body states that can be efficiently simulated. *Physical Review Letters*, 101(11):110501, September 2008.
- [8] Brian Swingle. Entanglement renormalization and holography. *Physical Review D*, 86(6):065007, September 2012.
- [9] Ning Bao, ChunJun Cao, Sean M. Carroll, Aidan Chatwin-Davies, Nicholas Hunter-Jones, Jason Pollack, and Grant N. Remmen. Consistency conditions for an ads multiscale entanglement renormalization ansatz correspondence. *Physical Review D*, 91(12):125036, June 2015.
- [10] Ashley Milsted and Guifre Vidal. Geometric interpretation of the multi-scale entanglement renormalization ansatz. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1812.00529>, 2018.
- [11] Ashley Milsted and Guifre Vidal. Tensor networks as path integral geometry. *arXiv:1807.02501.*, 2018.

- [12] Johanna Erdmenger, Anna-Lena Weigel, Marius Gerbershagen, and Michal P. Heller. From complexity geometry to holographic spacetime. *Physical Review D*, 108(10):106020, November 2023.
- [13] Masahiro Nozaki, Shinsei Ryu, and Tadashi Takayanagi. Holographic geometry of entanglement renormalization in quantum field theories. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2012(10), October 2012.
- [14] Adrian Parra-Rodriguez and Inigo L. Egusquiza. Canonical quantisation of telegrapher’s equations coupled by ideal nonreciprocal elements. *Quantum*, 6:681, April 2022.
- [15] Carlo Forestiere and Giovanni Miano. A δ -free approach to quantization of transmission lines connected to lumped circuits. *Physica Scripta*, 99(4):045123, March 2024.
- [16] Edward Witten. Anti de sitter space and holography. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 2(2):253–291, 1998.
- [17] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio. Colloquium: Area laws for the entanglement entropy. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 82(1):277–306, February 2010.
- [18] Robert N. C. Pfeifer, Glen Evenbly, and Guifre Vidal. Entanglement renormalization, scale invariance, and quantum criticality. *Physical Review A*, 79(4):040301, April 2009.