

# LEVINSON FUNCTIONS.

J. ARIAS DE REYNA

ABSTRACT. Starting from some of Norman Levinson's results, we construct interesting examples of functions  $f(s)$  such that for  $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ , we have  $Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re}\{\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(s/2) f(s)\}$ . For example one such function is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{-3}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{3\pi i x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{\frac{\pi i}{3} x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} \left( e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}} + 2e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6}} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi x}{3}\right) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The functional equation for the zeta function is  $h(s)\zeta(s) = h(1-s)\zeta(1-s)$  with  $h(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2)$ . In the critical line, we have

$$(1) \quad h\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) = e^{i\vartheta(t)} |h\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)|.$$

where  $\vartheta(t)$  is a real and real analytic function defined in  $\mathbf{R}$ , which can be extended as an analytic function to the complex plane with two cuts along  $[1, \infty)$  and  $(-\infty, 0]$ . The functional equation implies that  $-\vartheta(t)$  is also the phase of zeta in the critical line. That is, we have (see [14, 4.17])

$$(2) \quad \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) = e^{-i\vartheta(t)} Z(t), \quad t \in \mathbf{R},$$

where  $Z(t)$  is a real and real analytic function in  $\mathbf{R}$ , the function  $Z(t)$  extends to an analytic function in the same region as  $\vartheta$ .

The auxiliary function of Riemann  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  satisfies the equation

$$Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re}\{\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \mathcal{R}(s)\}, \quad s = \frac{1}{2} + it, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

So, the ordinates of the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  on the critical line are determined by  $\mathcal{R}(s)$ . There are many functions that share this property with  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  and we call them Levinson functions. In Section 2 we give the definition, give several interesting examples, and define the related concept of Levinson pair.

Levinson [7] constructed interesting examples of Levinson pairs. In Section 4 we construct from these Levinson pairs a family  $\mathcal{R}_\tau(s)$  of Levinson functions one for each  $\tau \neq 0$  in the closed upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$ . This extends the example of Riemann, since  $\mathcal{R}_{-1}(s) = \mathcal{R}(s)$ .

The functions  $\mathcal{R}_\tau(s)$  for  $\tau \in \mathbf{Q}^*$  can be given in a simplified form. We dedicate several sections to get this simplified form. This included an application of Gauss sums. The results are nice expressions for  $Z(t)$ , for example,

$$Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{ e^{i\vartheta(t)} \mathcal{R}_{-3}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right\},$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M06; Secondary 30D99.

*Key words and phrases.* función zeta, Riemann's auxiliary function.

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{-3}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{3\pi i x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{\frac{\pi i}{3} x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} \left( e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}} + 2e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6}} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi x}{3}\right) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From these formulas, we may get a version of the approximate functional equation for  $\zeta(s)$  [14, Thm. 4.13] in which the error term is substituted by an asymptotic expansion of Riemann-Siegel type.

In the end, we include the x-rays of some of these functions. It will be interesting to study how the zeros of  $\mathcal{R}_\tau(s)$  move when  $\tau$  varies.

**1.1. Notations.** We consider meromorphic functions  $f(z)$  defined in the complex plane. Given such a function, it is well known that the conjugate function  $f^*(z) := \overline{f(\bar{z})}$  is also a meromorphic function. We have  $f = f^*$  if and only if  $f$  takes real values on the real line.

For integers  $a$  and  $b$ , we use the notation  $a \perp b$  to indicate that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

## 2. DEFINITION OF LEVINSON FUNCTIONS

**Definition 1.** We say that a meromorphic function  $f$  defined in  $\mathbf{C}$  is a Levinson function if we have for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$

$$(3) \quad Z(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\vartheta(t)} f\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right\}.$$

Notice that this is equivalent to

$$e^{i\vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) = e^{i\vartheta(t)} f\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) + e^{-i\vartheta(t)} \overline{f}\left(\frac{1}{2} - it\right).$$

And this is equivalent to saying that for  $s$  in the critical line

$$(4) \quad h(s)\zeta(s) = h(s)f(s) + h(1-s)f^*(1-s).$$

Then (4) is true for all  $s$ . And a meromorphic function  $f$  is a Levinson function if and only if it satisfies (4).

Since  $\zeta(s)$  is real for real  $s$ , we have  $\zeta^* = \zeta$ , and then the functional equation shows that  $\zeta(s)/2$  is a Levinson function. But we have more interesting examples.

**2.1. Example of Levinson.** In his proof that more than  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the zeros of zeta are on the critical line, Levinson [8] proved that

$$(5) \quad L(s) = \zeta(s) + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\frac{h'(1-s)}{h(1-s)} + \frac{h'(s)}{h(s)}},$$

is a Levinson function. Conrey [2–4] gives other related examples.

In [1] we show, assuming RH, that the phase of  $L(\frac{1}{2} + it)$  is  $2\pi - E(t)$  where  $E(t)$  is a real analytic version of  $S(t)$  so that  $|\pi S(t) - E(t)| \leq \pi/2$ . The difference of  $\pi S(t) - E(t)$  counting the failures of RH when RH is not assumed.

**2.2. Examples of Riemann.** (a) The first interesting Levinson function was defined by Riemann in his paper [11]. He proved the following

$$(6) \quad \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s(s-1)} + \int_1^{+\infty} (x^{s/2} + x^{(1-s)/2}) \frac{\theta(x) - 1}{2} \frac{dx}{x},$$

where

$$(7) \quad \theta(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 x}.$$

This is equivalent to saying that the function  $\mathcal{R}_i(s)$  defined by

$$(8) \quad \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}_i(s) = -\frac{1}{s} + \int_1^{+\infty} x^{s/2} \frac{\theta(x) - 1}{2} \frac{dx}{x},$$

with  $\mathcal{R}_i^* = \mathcal{R}_i$ , is a Levinson function. (The notation used for this function will be explained later).

(b) Riemann found another Levinson function. He did not publish anything about it, but Siegel [12] was able to extract it from the papers Riemann left behind after his death. The function

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{\pi i x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} dx,$$

is a Levinson function. Here, the integration is, for example, along the line parametrised by  $u \mapsto \frac{1}{2} + ue^{-3\pi i/4}$ .

### 3. LEVINSON PAIRS

Before giving this generalisation, it is convenient to define Levinson pairs. We say that a pair  $(f, g)$  of meromorphic functions defined on  $\mathbf{C}$  is a *Levinson pair* if they satisfy the relation

$$(10) \quad h(s)\zeta(s) = h(s)f(s) + h(1-s)g(1-s).$$

To say that  $f$  is a Levinson function is the same as say that  $(f, f^*)$  is a Levinson pair. The next two propositions shows that being a Levinson pair, by itself, is not a particularly interesting property.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function defined on the complex plane. There exists a meromorphic function  $g$  such that  $(f, g)$  is a Levinson pair.*

*Proof.* Such a function  $g$  will satisfy (10), so that

$$(11) \quad h(1-s)\zeta(1-s) = h(1-s)f(1-s) + h(s)g(s).$$

And there is one and only one meromorphic function  $g$  satisfying this

$$(12) \quad g(s) := \chi(s)(\zeta(1-s) - f(1-s)),$$

where  $\chi(s) = h(1-s)/h(s)$  is the meromorphic function appearing in the functional equation  $\zeta(s) = \chi(s)\zeta(1-s)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *If  $(f, g)$  is a Levinson pair so it is  $(g, f)$ , and  $(f^*, g^*)$ .*

*Proof.* We have just seen that if  $(f, g)$  is a Levinson pair, then we have (11). Therefore, by the functional equation of  $\zeta(s)$  we get

$$h(s)\zeta(s) = h(s)g(s) + h(1-s)f(1-s).$$

So,  $(g, f)$  is a Levinson pair.

Notice that a meromorphic function  $f$  is real on the real axis if and only if  $f^* = f$ . Therefore, from (10) we easily get that

$$h(s)\zeta(s) = h(s)f^*(s) + h(1-s)g^*(1-s).$$

(Notice that  $(fg)^* = f^*g^*$  and  $(f+g)^* = f^*+g^*$ ).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $(f, g)$  be a Levinson pair, then  $(f+g^*)/2$  is a Levinson function.*

*Proof.* Notice that the set of Levinson pairs  $(f, g)$  is a convex set with the usual sum and scalar product. So, by Proposition 3 the pair

$$((f+g^*)/2, (g+f^*)/2)$$

is a Levinson pair. But it is clear that if  $v = \frac{1}{2}(f+g^*)$ , we have  $v^* = \frac{1}{2}(f^*+g)$ . Therefore,  $(v, v^*)$  is a Levinson pair, which means that  $v$  is a Levinson function.  $\square$

Propositions 2 and 4 give us cheap but uninteresting Levinson functions.

#### 4. LEVINSON GENERALISATION OF RIEMANN'S EXAMPLES

(d) Our main examples are given by Levinson in [7], and it is a generalisation of the examples of Riemann. First following Levinson we consider its function

$$(13) \quad f(s, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L e^{-\pi i \tau x^2} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{x e^{\pi i \tau n^2}}{x^2 - n^2} \right) x^{-s} dx.$$

For the convergence of the sum in the integrand, we need to assume  $\text{Im}(\tau) \geq 0$ . Given  $\tau$  satisfying this condition, we must integrate along a line  $L$  passing through the point  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  and a slope such that  $\text{Re}(\pi i \tau x^2) > 0$  for  $|x|$  large. This can be achieved, for example, taking  $L$  with parametrisation  $x = \frac{1}{2} + u e^{i\theta}$  with  $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \pi$  being the argument of  $\tau$ . We always take  $L$  oriented so that the imaginary part of  $x$  decreases along the line  $L$ .

The function  $f(s, \tau)$  is an entire function of  $s$  for each fixed value  $\tau \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^*$  where  $\mathbb{H}$  is the upper hyperplane, and  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^*$  its closure excluding the value  $\tau = 0$ . In particular, we have  $f(s, -1) = \mathcal{R}(s)$ . For  $s$  fixed,  $f(s, \tau)$  is holomorphic in the open set  $\text{Im} \tau > 0$  and continuous in  $\mathbb{H}^*$ . All this is proved in [7]<sup>1</sup>.

**Lemma 5.** *For any  $\tau \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^*$  and  $s \in \mathbf{C}$  we have*

$$(14) \quad f^*(s, \tau) = f(s, -\bar{\tau}).$$

*Proof.* Consider first the case  $\text{Im} \tau > 0$  and  $\text{Re} s < 0$ , we have

$$f(\bar{s}, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{0 \downarrow 1} e^{-\pi i \tau x^2} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{x e^{\pi i \tau n^2}}{x^2 - n^2} \right) x^{-\bar{s}} dx.$$

We may take the path to be of direction  $-i$ , since then

$$e^{-\pi i \tau x^2} = e^{-\pi i \tau (\frac{1}{2} - ui)^2} = e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4} \tau - \pi \tau u + \pi i \tau u^2},$$

<sup>1</sup>Our parameter  $\tau$  does not match Levinson's  $\alpha$ , they are related by  $\tau = i\alpha$ .

and the factor  $e^{-\pi \operatorname{Im}(\tau)u^2}$  makes the integral convergent.

Since  $\operatorname{Re} s < 0$  we may move the line of integration to pass through the point  $x = 0$ . Then with  $x = -iu$

$$f(\bar{s}, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i \tau u^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{-iu e^{\pi i \tau n^2}}{-u^2 - n^2} e^{-\bar{s} \log(-iu)} (-i du).$$

Taking complex conjugate of this expression

$$f^*(s, \tau) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi i \bar{\tau} u^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{iue^{-\pi i \bar{\tau} n^2}}{-u^2 - n^2} e^{-s \log(iu)} (i du).$$

Now take  $x = iu$

$$f^*(s, \tau) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} e^{\pi i \bar{\tau} x^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{x e^{-\pi i \bar{\tau} n^2}}{x^2 - n^2} x^{-s} dx = f(s, -\bar{\tau}).$$

The equality then extends to all  $s$  since both sides are entire functions of  $s$ . Then by continuity extends also to all  $\tau \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^*$ .  $\square$

The main result of Levinson is that  $(f(s, \tau), f^*(s, 1/\bar{\tau}))$  is a Levinson pair

$$(15) \quad h(s)\zeta(s) = h(s)f(s, \tau) + h(1-s)f^*(1-s, 1/\bar{\tau}).$$

By Proposition 4 we obtain that

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{R}_\tau(s) := \mathcal{R}(s, \tau) := \frac{f(s, \tau) + f(s, 1/\bar{\tau})}{2},$$

is a Levinson function.

**Definition 6.** For any  $\tau \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^*$ , the Levinson function defined in (16) will be called a Riemann auxiliary function.

For  $\tau \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^*$  with  $|\tau| = 1$  we have  $\tau = 1/\bar{\tau}$  and it follows that in these cases  $\mathcal{R}(s, \tau) = f(s, \tau)$  is a Levinson function.

In particular,

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{R}(s) = \mathcal{R}(s, -1), \quad \mathcal{R}_i(s) = \mathcal{R}(s, i),$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_i(s)$  is the first example of Riemann defined in (8). The first equality follows directly from the definitions, and the second follows from the results on the section about Lavrik's expression.

When  $\tau \in \mathbf{Q}^*$  we are going to obtain simplified expressions of  $\mathcal{R}_\tau(s)$ , but we need some prior preparations.

**Proposition 7.** For  $\tau \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^*$  we have

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{R}_{1/\bar{\tau}}(s) = \mathcal{R}_\tau(s), \quad \mathcal{R}_\tau^*(s) = \mathcal{R}_{-1/\tau}(s).$$

*Proof.* Direct from the Definition and Lemma 5.  $\square$

## 5. A MITTAG-LEFFLER EXPANSION

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a real number with  $|\alpha| \leq 1$ , then*

$$(19) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=-N}^{n=N} (-1)^n \frac{ze^{\pi i n \alpha}}{z^2 - n^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{\pi i \alpha z} + e^{-\pi i \alpha z}}{e^{\pi i z} - e^{-\pi i z}},$$

*Proof.* For  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha = -1$  this is the well-known Mittag-Leffler expansion of  $\cot \pi z$ . When  $|\alpha| < 1$  let  $f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{\pi i \alpha z} + e^{-\pi i \alpha z}}{e^{\pi i z} - e^{-\pi i z}}$  and, given a natural number  $N$ , consider the integral

$$I_N := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_N} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi,$$

where  $\Gamma_N$  is the positively oriented contour of the rectangle  $[-N - \frac{1}{2}, N + \frac{1}{2}] \times [-N, N]$ . This integral is equal to the sum of residues at the poles in the rectangle

$$\begin{aligned} I_N &= \operatorname{Res}_{\xi=z} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} + \sum_{n=-N}^{k=N} \operatorname{Res}_{\xi=n} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} \\ &= f(z) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{e^{\pi i \alpha n} + e^{-\pi i \alpha n}}{2\pi i (-1)^n} \frac{1}{(z - n)} \\ &= f(z) - \frac{1}{4\pi i} \sum_{n=-N}^{n=N} (-1)^n \left( \frac{e^{\pi i \alpha n}}{z - n} + \frac{e^{\pi i \alpha n}}{z + n} \right) \\ &= f(z) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=-N}^N (-1)^n \frac{ze^{\pi i \alpha n}}{z^2 - n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, our objective is to prove that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} I_N = 0$ . We bound the integrals along the segments of the rectangle, and we may assume that  $|z| \leq N/2$

$$\left| \int_{N+1/2-iN}^{N+1/2+iN} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi \right| \leq \frac{1}{N/2} \int_{-N}^N \frac{\cosh(\pi \alpha y)}{|(-1)^N i e^{-\pi y} + (-1)^N i e^{\pi y}|} dy = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

A similar bound is valid on the left vertical side. On the horizontal side of the rectangle, we have

$$\left| \int_{-N-1/2-iN}^{-N-1/2+iN} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi \right| \leq \frac{1}{N/2} \int_{-N-1/2}^{N+1/2} \frac{\cosh(\pi \alpha N)}{e^{\pi N} - 1} dy = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\pi(1-|\alpha|)N}).$$

On the other horizontal side, the situation is similar.  $\square$

**Remark 9.** With a simple computation, we can see that equation (19) is equivalent to the Mittag-Leffler expansion

$$(20) \quad \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^*} (-1)^n \cos(\pi \alpha n) \left( \frac{1}{z+n} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \pi \frac{\cos(\pi \alpha z)}{\sin \pi z}, \quad -1 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

## MORDELL INTEGRAL

According to Siegel [12] Riemann considered the integral

$$(21) \quad \Phi(z, \tau) := \int_{0\uparrow 1} \frac{e^{-\pi i \tau x^2 + 2\pi i z x}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} dx, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Later, this integral was studied by Kronecker [5, 6], Mordell [10] and Zwegers [15]<sup>2</sup>. We have

**Proposition 10.** *The function  $\Phi(z, \tau)$  have the following properties*

- (1)  $\Phi(z + 1, \tau) - \Phi(z, \tau) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{-i\tau}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{\tau}(z+\frac{1}{2})^2}$ .
- (2)  $\Phi(z + \tau, \tau) + e^{2\pi iz + \pi i\tau} \Phi(z, \tau) = e^{2\pi iz + \pi i\tau}$ .
- (3)  $z \mapsto \Phi(z, \tau)$  is the unique holomorphic function that satisfies (1) and (2) above.
- (4)  $\Phi\left(\frac{z}{\tau} - \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = -i\sqrt{-i\tau} e^{-\pi iz^2/\tau} \Phi\left(z + \frac{1}{2}, \tau\right)$ .

Applying Cauchy's Theorem to rotate the integration line, the function  $\Phi(z, \tau)$  with a fixed  $z$ , extends analytically to  $-\pi < \arg \tau < 2\pi$ . Therefore, we may speak of the values when  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ . Riemann was able to compute exact values for  $\Phi(z, \tau)$  when  $\tau$  is a rational number. These computations follow from Proposition 10.

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $a$  and  $b \in \mathbf{N}$ , then*

$$(22) \quad (1 - (-1)^{b(a+1)} e^{-2\pi ibz}) \Phi\left(z, \frac{a}{b}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{b-1} (-1)^n e^{-2\pi inz} e^{-\pi in^2 \frac{a}{b}} + (-1)^{b(a+1)} e^{-2\pi ibz} \frac{e^{3\pi i/4}}{\sqrt{a/b}} \sum_{m=0}^{a-1} e^{\frac{\pi ib}{a}(z+m+\frac{1}{2})^2},$$

$$(23) \quad (1 - (-1)^{b(a+1)} e^{-2\pi ibz}) \Phi\left(z, -\frac{a}{b}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{b-1} (-1)^n e^{-2\pi inz} e^{\pi in^2 \frac{a}{b}} + (-1)^{b(a+1)} e^{-2\pi ibz} \frac{e^{-3\pi i/4}}{\sqrt{a/b}} \sum_{m=0}^{a-1} e^{-\frac{\pi ib}{a}(z-m+\frac{1}{2})^2},$$

*Proof.* By induction from Proposition 10 (1) we get

$$(24) \quad \Phi(z + a, \tau) - \Phi(z, \tau) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{-i\tau}} \sum_{m=0}^{a-1} e^{\frac{\pi i}{\tau}(z+m+\frac{1}{2})^2}.$$

From Proposition 10 (3) we get the next equations, where  $q = e^{\pi i\tau}$ , next to each equation we put a factor.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z, \tau) + e^{-2\pi iz} q^{-1} \Phi(z + \tau, \tau) &= 1, & 1; \\ \Phi(z + \tau, \tau) + e^{-2\pi iz} q^{-3} \Phi(z + 2\tau, \tau) &= 1, & -e^{-2\pi iz} q^{-1}; \\ \Phi(z + 2\tau, \tau) + e^{-2\pi iz} q^{-5} \Phi(z + 3\tau, \tau) &= 1, & e^{-2\pi iz} q^{-4}; \\ \Phi(z + 3\tau, \tau) + e^{-2\pi iz} q^{-7} \Phi(z + 4\tau, \tau) &= 1, & -e^{-2\pi iz} q^{-9}; \\ \dots & & \\ \Phi(z + (b-1)\tau, \tau) + e^{-2\pi iz} q^{-(2b-1)} \Phi(z + b\tau, \tau) &= 1, & (-1)^{b-1} e^{-2\pi iz} q^{-(b-1)^2}; \end{aligned}$$

<sup>2</sup>Zwegers studies the Mordell integral  $h(z, \tau)$ , that he defines

$$h(z, \tau) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{e^{\pi i\tau x^2 - 2\pi zx}}{\cosh \pi x} dx.$$

Our function is in Riemann's form. They are related by

$$\Phi(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}\tau + \pi iz} h(z - \tau/2; \tau).$$

Multiplying each of these equations by the factor to its right and adding, we get

$$(25) \quad \Phi(z, \tau) - (-1)^b e^{-2\pi ibz} q^{-b^2} \Phi(z + b\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{b-1} (-1)^n e^{-2\pi inz} q^{-n^2}.$$

Equations (24) and (25) are valid for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , but for each fixed  $z$  the function  $\Phi(z, \tau)$  extends analytically for  $\tau$  real not equal 0. Therefore, we can take  $\tau = a/b$ , taking  $\sqrt{-ia/b} = e^{-\pi i/4} \sqrt{a/b}$ . Then  $z + b\tau = z + a$ . And (24), (25) is a system of equations for  $\Phi(z, \tau)$  and  $\Phi(z, \tau + a)$ . From them we get (22).

To get (23) put  $z-a$  instead of  $z$  in (24). Then put  $\tau = -b/a$  and eliminate  $\Phi(z-a)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 12.** If in (22) or (23) we select  $z$  such that the right-hand side vanishes, we get a relation between finite sums. In this way, we may prove the law of quadratic reciprocity. In the next section we will get another application.

## 6. A FINITE FOURIER EXPANSION

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $\tau \in \mathbf{Q}^*$  be a non-null rational number. Let  $a$  and  $b$  be unique integers such that  $\tau = \frac{a}{b}$  with  $b \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbf{Z}^*$  with  $a \perp b$ .*

- (a) *The function  $u_\tau: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  defined by  $u_\tau(m) = (-1)^m e^{\pi i \tau m^2}$  is periodic with period  $2b$  when  $a$  is even, and period  $b$  for odd  $a$ .*
- (b) *For  $a$  even*

$$(26) \quad (-1)^m e^{\pi i \tau m^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{k \bmod 2b} V_\tau(k) e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{2b} m}.$$

- (c) *For  $a$  odd the function  $u$  has period  $b$  and*

$$(27) \quad (-1)^m e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} m^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \sum_{k \bmod b} V_\tau(k) e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{b} m}.$$

*Proof.* In both cases,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{m+b} e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} (m+b)^2} &= (-1)^m e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} m^2} \cdot (-1)^b e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} (2bm+b^2)} \\ &= (-1)^m e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} m^2} \cdot (-1)^{b+ab}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $a$  odd we have  $u(m+b) = u(b)$  for even  $a$ , since in this case  $b$  is odd, we have  $u(m+b) = -u(m)$  so that  $u(m+2b) = u(m)$ .

Equations (26) and (27) are the usual Fourier expansion of a periodic function. By the orthogonality relations, we have

$$(28) \quad V_\tau(n) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod 2b} (-1)^m e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} m^2} e^{-2\pi i \frac{n}{2b} m}, \quad a \text{ even,}$$

and

$$(29) \quad V_\tau(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod b} (-1)^m e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} m^2} e^{-2\pi i \frac{n}{b} m}, \quad a \text{ odd.} \quad \square$$

Therefore, for odd  $a$  we have  $V_\tau(n) = \widehat{u}_\tau(n)$ , but for even  $a$  we have  $V_\tau(n) = \sqrt{2} \widehat{u}_\tau(n)$ . In this way (see Theorem 16), we get  $V_\tau(n)$  equal, in all cases, to a root of unity or 0.



**Proposition 14.** *Let  $\tau \in Q^*$  be such that  $\tau = \frac{a}{b}$  with  $a \in \mathbf{Z}^*$ ,  $b \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $a \perp b$ . Then, for all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  we have*

$$(30) \quad V_{-\tau}(n) = \overline{V_{\tau}(n)},$$

$$(31) \quad V_{\tau+2}(n) = V_{\tau}(n),$$

$$(32) \quad \begin{cases} V_{\tau}(n+b) = V_{\tau}(n), & \text{for } a \text{ odd,} \\ V_{\tau}(n+2b) = V_{\tau}(n), & \text{for } a \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

$$(33) \quad \begin{cases} V_{\tau}(b-n) = V_{\tau}(n), & \text{for } a \text{ odd,} \\ V_{\tau}(2b-n) = V_{\tau}(n), & \text{for } a \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* To proof (30), conjugate the equation (28) (or (29)), and then observe that when  $m$  runs through representants of the class mod  $2b$  (or mod  $b$ ) the numbers  $-m$  run also through representants of the same class. The same observation proves (33)

Equations (31) and (32) follow directly from the definition (28) or (29).  $\square$

Quadratic Gaussian sums are defined by

$$S(a, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{b-1} e^{2\pi i \frac{a}{b} n^2}.$$

We may assume that  $a \perp b$ , since in the other case, for  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ , we have  $S(a, b) = dS(a/d, b/d)$ . When  $a \perp b$  the values of  $S(a, b)$  can be calculated explicitly; see, for example, [9, 7.5]. In particular we have

**Proposition 15.** *For  $a \perp b$  we have  $S(a, b) = 0$  when  $2 \mid b$  but  $4 \nmid b$ . In other cases  $(S(a, b)/\sqrt{b})^4 = 1$  for  $b$  odd and  $(S(a, b)/2\sqrt{b})^4 = 1$  for  $b$  even.*

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $\tau \in Q^*$  be such that  $\tau = \frac{a}{b}$  with  $a \in \mathbf{Z}^*$ ,  $b \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $a \perp b$ . When both  $a$  and  $n$  are even,  $V_{\tau}(n) = 0$ . In all other cases  $V_{\tau}(n)$  is a root of unity.*

*Proof.* Since  $V_{-\tau}(n) = \overline{V_{\tau}(n)}$ , we may assume that  $a$  and  $b$  are natural numbers.

When  $a$  and  $n$  are even, the terms in the sum in (28) corresponding to  $m$  and  $m+b$  are opposite. So, the total sum is 0. We divide the proof in two cases:

**6.1. Case  $a$  odd.** Since  $m \mapsto (-1)^m e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} m^2} e^{-2\pi i \frac{n}{b} m}$  is periodic of period  $b$  we have

$$V_{\tau}(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod b} (-1)^m e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} m^2} e^{-2\pi i \frac{n}{b} m} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod 2b} (-1)^m e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} m^2} e^{-2\pi i \frac{n}{b} m}.$$

We have  $(-1)^m = (-1)^{m^2}$  and, therefore,

$$V_{\tau}(n) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod 2b} (-1)^m e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} m^2} e^{-2\pi i \frac{n}{b} m} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod 2b} e^{2\pi i \frac{(a+b)m^2 - 2nm}{2b}}.$$

Since  $a \perp b$ , there is some  $d$  with  $(a+b)d \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ , then

$$V_{\tau}(n) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod 2b} e^{2\pi i \frac{(a+b)(m^2 - 2dnm)}{2b}} = \frac{e^{-2\pi i (a+b) \frac{d^2 n^2}{2b}}}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod 2b} e^{2\pi i \frac{(a+b)(m-dn)^2}{2b}},$$

$(m-dn)$  run through all the mod  $2b$  numbers, so that

$$V_{\tau}(n) = \frac{e^{-2\pi i (a+b) \frac{d^2 n^2}{2b}}}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod 2b} e^{2\pi i \frac{(a+b)m^2}{2b}} = \frac{e^{-2\pi i (a+b) \frac{d^2 n^2}{2b}}}{2\sqrt{b}} S(a+b, 2b).$$

Now, if  $b$  is odd  $S(a+b, 2b) = 2S(\frac{a+b}{2}, b)$  and this is equal to  $2\sqrt{b}$  by a fourth root of unity. If  $b$  is even,  $S(a+b, 2b)$  is equal to  $\sqrt{4b}e^{\pi ih/4}$  for some  $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

**6.2. Case  $a$  even.** In this case  $V_\tau(n) = 0$  for  $n$  even and when  $n$  is odd

$$V_\tau(n) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod 2b} (-1)^m e^{\pi i \frac{a}{b} m^2} e^{-2\pi i \frac{n}{2b} m} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod 2b} e^{2\pi i \frac{am^2 + 2\frac{b-n}{2}m}{2b}}.$$

We know that  $b$  is odd, so that  $\beta = \frac{b-n}{2}$  is an integer. Take  $ad \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ , then

$$V_\tau(n) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod 2b} e^{2\pi i \frac{a(m^2 + 2d\beta m)}{2b}} = \frac{e^{-2\pi i \frac{ad^2\beta^2}{2b}}}{2\sqrt{b}} \sum_{m \bmod 2b} e^{2\pi i \frac{a(m+d\beta)^2}{2b}} = \frac{e^{-2\pi i \frac{ad^2\beta^2}{2b}}}{2\sqrt{b}} S(a, 2b).$$

Since  $a$  is even, this is

$$V_\tau(n) = \frac{e^{-2\pi i \frac{ad^2\beta^2}{2b}}}{\sqrt{b}} S(a/2, b).$$

This is also a root of unity. □

**Remark 17.** We may get more explicit values applying the known values for the Gauss sums. Nevertheless, it appears that they can be simplified in some cases. For example,

$$V_{1/b}(n) = (-1)^n e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi i b}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi i n^2}{b}}.$$

## 7. RIEMANN AUXILIARY FUNCTION WITH RATIONAL PARAMETER

**Theorem 18.** Let  $\tau = -\frac{a}{b}$  be a rational number with  $a \perp b$ , with  $a$  and  $b \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then, for  $a$  even

$$(34) \quad f(s, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \int_{0 \swarrow} e^{-\pi i \tau x^2} x^{-s} \left( \sum_{\substack{k \bmod b \\ 2|k| \leq b}} V_\tau(k) \cos \frac{k\pi x}{b} \right) dx,$$

and for  $a$  odd

$$(35) \quad f(s, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \int_{0 \swarrow} e^{-\pi i \tau x^2} x^{-s} \left( \sum_{\substack{k \bmod 2b \\ |k| \leq b}} V_\tau(k) \cos \frac{k\pi x}{2b} \right) dx,$$

The path of integration is the line given by  $u \mapsto \frac{1}{2} + ue^{-3\pi i/4}$  (or  $u \mapsto \frac{1}{2} + ue^{\pi i/4}$  when  $\tau > 0$ ).

*Proof.* It is sufficient to find the expression for  $\sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{x e^{\pi i \tau m^2}}{x^2 - m^2}$ . Since  $\tau$  is rational, it is clear that we may express this as a finite combination of trigonometric functions. Consider an odd  $a$ , then by (27) we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{x e^{\pi i \tau n^2}}{x^2 - n^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \sum_{k \bmod b} V_\tau(k) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^m \frac{x e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{b} m}}{x^2 - m^2}$$

There is always a selection of representants  $k \bmod b$  such that  $2|k| \leq b$ . Taking this representants we may apply Lemma 8 which yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{x e^{\pi i \tau n^2}}{x^2 - n^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \sum_{\substack{k \bmod b \\ 2|k| \leq b}} V_\tau(k) \frac{\cos \frac{2k\pi x}{b}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}}.$$

The case  $a$  even is treated similarly. □

7.1. **Examples.**

$$(36) \quad \mathcal{R}_{-1}(s) = \mathcal{R}(s) = \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{\pi i x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} dx.$$

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{-4/3}(s) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3} x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} \left( 2e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6}} \cos \frac{\pi x}{3} + i \cos(\pi x) \right) dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4} x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} \left( e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} + 2 \cos \frac{\pi x}{2} + e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{4}} \cos(\pi x) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{-3/2}(s) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{\frac{3\pi i}{2} x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} \left( e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}} + e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} \cos(\pi x) \right) dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3} x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} \left( 2e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}} \cos \frac{\pi x}{3} - i \cos(\pi x) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{-2}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{2\pi i x^2} \cos \pi x}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} \left( e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} + e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}} \cos(\pi x) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{-3}(s) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{3\pi i x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \int_{0 \swarrow 1} \frac{x^{-s} e^{\frac{\pi i}{3} x^2}}{e^{\pi i x} - e^{-\pi i x}} \left( e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}} + 2e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6}} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi x}{3}\right) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. ARIAS DE REYNA AND J. VAN DE LUNE, *On the exact location of the non-trivial zeros of Riemann's zeta function*, Acta Arithmetica, **163** (2014) 215–245.
- [2] J. B. CONREY, *More than two fifths of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are on the critical line*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **399** (1989) 1–26.
- [3] J. B. CONREY, *Zeros of derivatives of Riemann  $\xi$ -function on the critical line*, J. Number Theory **16** (1983) 49–74.
- [4] J. B. CONREY, *On the distribution of the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function*, Topics in Analytic Number Theory (Austin, Tex., 1982), Univ. Texas Press, Austin, TX, 1985.
- [5] L. KRONECKER, *Summierung der Gausschen Reihen  $\sum_{h=0}^{h=n-1} e^{2h^2\pi i/n}$* , J. reine angew. Math. **105** (1889) 267–268.
- [6] L. KRONECKER, *Zur darstellung von Reihen durch integrale*, J. reine angew. Math. **105** (1889) 345–354.
- [7] N. LEVINSON, *Remarks on a Formula of Riemann for his Zeta-Function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **41** (1973) 345–351.
- [8] N. LEVINSON, *More than one third of the zeros of Riemann's zeta function are on  $\sigma = 1/2$* , Adv. Math. **13** (1974) 383–436
- [9] LOO-KENG HUA, Introduction to Number Theory, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [10] L. J. MORDELL, *The definite integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ax^2+bx} dx / (e^{cx} + d)$  and the analytic theory of numbers*, Acta Math. **61** (1933) 323–360.

- [11] B. RIEMANN, *Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse*, Monatsber. Akad. Berlin (1859) 671–680.
- [12] C. L. SIEGEL, *Über Riemann Nachlaß zur analytischen Zahlentheorie*, Quellen und Studien zur Geschichte der Mathematik Astronomie und Physik **2** (1932) 45–80. (Reprinted in [13], 1, 275–310.) [English version](#).
- [13] C. L. SIEGEL, *Carl Ludwig Siegel's Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, (edited by K. Chandrasekharan and H. Maaß), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [14] E. C. TITCHMARSH *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, Second edition. Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.
- [15] S. ZWEGERS, *Mock theta functions*, PhD thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, 2002.




FIGURE 1.  $\mathcal{R}(s)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{-3/2}(s)$  on  $(-20, 50) \times (0, 140)$




FIGURE 2.  $\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}(s)$  and  $\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}_{-3/2}(s)$

Figure 2 represents the two functions  $\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}(s)$  at the left and  $\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}_{-3/2}(s)$  to the right on  $(-20, 40) \times (-50, 45)$  and  $(-20, 40) \times (45, 140)$

UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, C/TARFIA, SN, 41012-SEVILLA, SPAIN.  
*Email address:* [arias@us.es](mailto:arias@us.es), [ariasdereyna1947@gmail.com](mailto:ariasdereyna1947@gmail.com)