

# Characteristic Gluing with $\Lambda$ : III. High-differentiability nonlinear gluing<sup>1</sup>

---

Piotr T. Chruściel,<sup>a,b</sup> Wan Cong,<sup>a,b</sup> and Finnian Gray<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *University of Vienna, Faculty of Physics, Boltzmannngasse 5, A 1090 Vienna, Austria*

<sup>b</sup> *Beijing Institute for Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Huairou, China*

*E-mail:* [wan.cong@univie.ac.at](mailto:wan.cong@univie.ac.at), [piotr.chrusciel@univie.ac.at](mailto:piotr.chrusciel@univie.ac.at),  
[finnian.gray@univie.ac.at](mailto:finnian.gray@univie.ac.at)

ABSTRACT: We prove a nonlinear characteristic  $C^k$ -gluing theorem for vacuum gravitational fields in Bondi gauge for a class of characteristic hypersurfaces near static vacuum  $n$ -dimensional backgrounds,  $n \geq 3$ , with any finite  $k$ , with cosmological constant  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , near Birmingham-Kottler backgrounds. This generalises the  $C^2$ -gluing of Aretakis, Czimek and Rodnianski, carried-out near light cones in four-dimensional Minkowski spacetime.

---

<sup>1</sup>Preprint: UWThPh 2024-13

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Gluing fields</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Definitions, Function spaces</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>The equations and their properties</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Deforming <math>S_2</math></b>	<b>14</b>
5.1	Regularity	17
5.1.1	First coordinate transformation.	18
5.1.2	Second coordinate transformation.	20
5.1.3	Third coordinate transformation.	21
5.2	$E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB}$	23
<b>6</b>	<b>Radial charges</b>	<b>23</b>
6.1	$Q^{[1]}$	23
6.2	$Q^{[2]}$	24
6.3	Further radial charges	24
<b>7</b>	<b>The gluing up to radially-conserved charges</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>The gluing to a nearby Kottler-(A)dS metric</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>A</b>	$G_{uA}$ and $G_{uu}$	<b>31</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Transport equations of <math>Q^{[1]}</math> and <math>Q^{[2]}</math></b>	<b>33</b>
B.1	$Q^{[1]}$	33
B.2	$Q^{[2]}$	33

---

## 1 Introduction

In a recent series of pioneering papers, Aretakis, Czimek and Rodnianski [1, 2] presented a  $C^2$ -gluing construction near-Minkowskian characteristic initial data for four-dimensional vacuum Einstein equations. The construction connects together two spacetimes using a characteristic initial data surface, ensuring continuity of the initial data and of two transverse derivatives. The differentiability properties of the spacetime obtained by evolving the

resulting initial data are rather poor, when taking into account the differentiability losses arising in the characteristic Cauchy problem. As a result, the usefulness of the resulting spacetimes for further constructions or applications is rather limited.


The purpose of this paper is to show how to carry-out the characteristic gluing with an arbitrary finite number of transverse derivatives. While this does not lead to smooth spacetimes by evolution, one obtains spacetimes which are of arbitrarily high differentiability class, whether classical or Sobolev-type.

We further carry-out the gluing in any spacetime dimension, and allow any cosmological constant  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

From the point of view of four-dimensional physics, the key contribution of our work is the proof that characteristic gluing in asymptotically Minkowskian four dimensional spacetimes can be carried-out with an arbitrary number of transverse derivatives. As already mentioned, this resolves the issue of poor differentiability of the spacetimes, and hence of the spacelike initial data sets obtained from spacetimes evolved from the characteristic data constructed in [1, 2]. Moreover the generalisation to higher dimensions, and to arbitrary cosmological constants, has interest of its own.

The heart of the proof is to show that the linearised gluing problem can be solved. This has been done in [3, 4]. One then needs to setup an implicit function theorem, which turns out to be intricate because of the differentiability properties of various fields. The aim of this work is to carry this out.

To make things precise, the main question of interest is the following: Consider a smooth hypersurface  $\mathcal{N}$  and two characteristic data sets on overlapping subsets  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2$  of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Suppose that the data on both  $\mathcal{N}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2 \subset \mathcal{M}_2$  arise by restriction from vacuum spacetimes  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2)$ . Can one find a vacuum spacetime  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ , with  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , so that the data on  $\mathcal{N}$ , arising by restriction from  $g$ , coincide with the original ones away from the overlapping region, after possibly moving  $\mathcal{N}_2$  within  $\mathcal{M}_2$ ? (compare Figure 1.1).



**Figure 1.1.** The gluing construction of [1]. Given  $\mathcal{N}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2 \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ , the goal is to construct characteristic data interpolating  $\mathcal{N}_2$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2 \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ , a nearby hypersurface from  $\mathcal{N}_2$ . Figure from [5].

Here we analyse this question for small (nonlinear) perturbations of  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Birmingham-Kottler backgrounds,  $n \geq 3$ ; these include the Minkowski, anti-de Sitter or de Sitter ((A)dS), or Myers-Perry backgrounds. In Bondi coordinates the background metrics can be written as

$$\dot{g} \equiv \dot{g}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta = \dot{g}_{uu} du^2 - 2du dr + \underbrace{r^2 \dot{\gamma}_{AB}}_{\dot{g}_{AB}} dx^A dx^B, \quad (1.1)$$

with

$$\dot{g}_{uu} := -\left(\varepsilon - \ell^{-2} r^2 - \frac{2\dot{m}}{r^{n-2}}\right), \quad \varepsilon \in \{0, \pm 1\}, \quad \ell^{-1} \in \left\{0, \sqrt{\frac{2\Lambda}{n(n-1)}}\right\}, \quad \dot{m} \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\dot{\gamma} \equiv \dot{\gamma}_{AB} dx^A dx^B$  is a  $u$ - and  $r$ -independent Einstein metric of scalar curvature equal to  $(n-1)(n-2)\varepsilon$  on an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold  $\mathbf{S}$  (which we assume to be compact and boundaryless), with the associated Ricci tensor taking the form

$$R[\dot{\gamma}]_{AB} = (n-2)\varepsilon \dot{\gamma}_{AB}, \quad \varepsilon \in \{0, \pm 1\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Further,  $\ell^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup i\mathbb{R}^+$ , with a purely imaginary value of  $\ell^{-1}$  allowed to accommodate for a cosmological constant  $\Lambda < 0$ . Finally, the parameter  $m$  is related to the total mass of the spacetime.

The hypersurface  $\mathcal{N}$  will be taken to be  $\{u = 0\}$ , with

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \{r < r_2\} \cap \mathcal{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_2 = \{r > r_1\} \cap \mathcal{N},$$

for some  $r_2 > r_1 > 0$ .

We will follow the original strategy of [1], where an implicit function theorem is first used in a form which leads to obstructions to gluing (compare [5, Appendix C]; see [6] for an alternative approach). Both in [1] and here one then gets around this problem by considering instead a family of data on a deformation of  $\mathcal{N}_2$  which carries enough global charges to compensate for these obstructions. In order to account for the obstructions, we will say that a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of smooth metrics defined near  $\mathcal{N}_2$  is a *compensating family* if  $\mathcal{F}$  is parameterised diffeomorphically by a set of radial charges obstructing the gluing. An example in four spacetime dimensions and with  $\Lambda = 0$  is provided by the family of boosted-and-translated Kerr metrics.

Let  $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$  be the number of derivatives transverse to  $\mathcal{N}$  that we want to glue; the case  $k = 0$  can be achieved by any smooth interpolation of the unconstrained Cauchy data and does not deserve further considerations. For simplicity let us at this stage assume that all fields on  $\mathcal{N}$  are smooth; this will have to be relaxed in the proof. The space of smooth fields on  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $k$  smooth transverse derivatives will be denoted by  $C_u^k C_{(r,x^A)}^\infty$ . As explained in [5] the problem of  $C_u^k C_{(r,x^A)}^\infty$ -gluing of  $\mathcal{N}_1$  with a deformation of  $\mathcal{N}_2$  can be reduced to the following: Let  $a \in \{1, 2\}$  and

$$\mathbf{S}_a := \{u = 0, r = r_a\},$$

and let  $x_a \in \Psi[\mathbf{S}_a, k]$  be smooth vacuum codimension-two data of order  $k$  (see Section 2 for the definition) induced on  $\mathbf{S}_a$  by the codimension-one data on  $\mathcal{N}_a$ . One then wants to find a vacuum characteristic data set on  $\mathcal{N} \cap \{r_1 \leq r \leq r_2\}$  which interpolates between  $x_1$  and a deformation of  $x_2$ .

In view of the already-mentioned works on the subject, it is rather clear that the following should be true:

**CONJECTURE 1.1** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a compensating family of smooth metrics defined near  $\mathcal{N}_2$ . A smooth, spacelike, vacuum, codimension-two data set  $x_1 \in \Psi[\mathbf{S}_1, k]$ , which is sufficiently close in a suitable topology to the data arising from a member of  $\mathcal{F}$ , can be  $C_u^k C_{(r,x^A)}^\infty$ -glued to data induced on a deformation of  $\mathbf{S}_2$  within a nearby member of  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

In this paper we prove some special cases thereof. The following result is the special case  $k_\gamma = \infty$  of Theorem 8.1 below:

**THEOREM 1.2** *The conjecture is true near  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Birmingham-Kottler metrics,  $n \geq 3$ , with mass parameter  $m \neq 0$ , where  $\mathbf{S}_1$  is a section of the hypersurface  $\{u = 0\}$  in the coordinate system of (1.1), and where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Kerr-(A)dS metrics,} \\ \text{Birmingham-Kottler metrics,} \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{when } \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}_1 \approx S^{n-1} \text{ or a quotient thereof;} \\ \text{when } \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}, R(\hat{\gamma}) < 0. \end{array} \quad (1.3)$$

**REMARK 1.3** We view the Minkowski metric, the Birmingham-Kottler metrics, the Myers-Perry metrics [7], and their  $\Lambda$ -counterparts [8, 9], as members of the Kerr-(A)dS family. From the point of view of the linearised analysis in [3, 4], the metrics missing in (1.3) are the Birmingham-Kottler metrics with a) Ricci-flat sections  $(\mathbf{S}, \hat{\gamma})$ , and b) Einstein sections with positive Ricci tensor distinct from the round sphere or its quotients. This is due to the lack, to the best of our knowledge, of families of such metrics with enough parameters to compensate for the obstructing radial charges (see [10, 11] for some partial results). The existence of any suitable such family near the Birmingham-Kottler metrics would extend without further due the range of validity of our gluing results.  $\square$

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** PTC is grateful to Lev Kapitanski for bibliographical advice.

## 2 Gluing fields

The aim of this section is to provide a description of the interpolating fields.

Recall that codimension-two data  $\Psi[\mathbf{S}, k]$  on a submanifold  $\mathbf{S}$  of codimension two are defined in [5] as the collection of jets of order  $k$  induced on  $\mathbf{S}$  by smooth Lorentzian metrics defined near  $\mathbf{S}$ . Throughout this work we will implicitly assume that the metric induced on  $\mathbf{S}$  is Riemannian, and that the data satisfy the differential and algebraic relation following from the vacuum Einstein equations.

We use the parameterisation of the metric of Bondi et al. (cf., e.g., [5, 12] and references therein), namely

$$\begin{aligned} g &\equiv g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta \\ &= -\frac{V}{r} e^{2\beta} du^2 - 2e^{2\beta} dudr + r^2 \gamma_{AB} (dx^A - U^A du) (dx^B - U^B du), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

together with the conditions

$$\partial_r \det \gamma_{AB} = \partial_u \det \gamma_{AB} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

The existence of such coordinates follows from, e.g., [5, Appendix B].

The Bondi parametrisation of the metric allows one to parameterise  $\Psi[\mathbf{S}_1, k]$  in terms of a reduced set of free data which we denote as  $\Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_1, k]$  (see [5] or Section 3 below). Now, in [5] all fields have been assumed to be smooth for simplicity, but for the purpose of analysis it is awkward to work with such fields, so that it is useful to make explicit an index  $k_\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  in  $\Psi[\mathbf{S}_1, k; k_\gamma]$  to characterise the differentiability class of the fields. A precise definition of  $\Psi[\mathbf{S}_1, k; k_\gamma]$  in terms of the Bondi parameterisation  $\Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_1, k; k_\gamma]$  is given in Definition 3.4 below.

It is convenient to assume that the codimension-two data  $\Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_1, k; k_\gamma]$  and  $\Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_2, k; k_\gamma]$  arise from vacuum metrics  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ , defined near  $\mathbf{S}_1$  and  $\mathbf{S}_2$  respectively, both in Bondi gauge with *the same determinant normalisation*, i.e.

$$\det((g_a)_{AB}) = r^{2(n-1)} \det(\mathring{\gamma}_{AB}), \quad a = 1, 2. \quad (2.3)$$

The Bondi gauge involves no loss of generality for expanding null hypersurfaces, as is the case here, and can be realised while preserving the smallness needed in Theorem 1.2 by e.g. [5, Appendix B]. The metrics  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  will both be assumed to be close to some background metric  $\mathring{g}$ , in norms that are made clear in Theorem 8.1 below. For the purpose of Theorem 1.2 the metric  $\mathring{g}$  will be one of the Birmingham-Kottler metrics with  $m \neq 0$  and  $g_2$  will be a nearby Kerr-(A)dS metric, or a nearby Birmingham-Kottler metric.

We choose a number  $0 < \eta < (r_2 - r_1)/16$ , such that  $g_1$  is defined on  $\{u = 0\}$  for  $r \leq r_1 + 4\eta$ , and that  $g_2$  is defined in a neighborhood of  $\{u = 0\}$  for  $r \geq r_2 - 4\eta$ . The gluing to  $g_2$  will take place at  $r = \mathring{r}$ , a section close to  $r = r_2$ , where

$$\mathring{r} = \mathring{r}(u, x^A) \quad (2.4)$$

is a function which depends upon the data being glued. The gluing procedure below makes use of a tensor field  $g_{AB} dx^A dx^B$  defined on


$$\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]} := \{u = 0\} \cap \{x^A \in \mathbf{S}, r_1 \leq r \leq \mathring{r}(u = 0, x^A)\}; \quad (2.5)$$

this field will interpolate between the given  $(g_1)_{AB}$  and  $(g_2)_{AB}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]}$ . It takes the form

$$g_{AB} = \underbrace{\omega \left( \mathring{g}_{AB} + \phi_1((g_1)_{AB} - \mathring{g}_{AB}) + \phi_2(E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB} - \mathring{g}_{AB}) + \sum_{i \in \iota_{\ell-1, m}} \kappa_i^{[i]} \varphi_{AB} \right)}_{=:\mathring{g}_{AB}}, \quad (2.6)$$

with

$$\kappa_i : (r_1, r_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad i \in \iota_{\ell-1, m} := \{k_{[\ell-1]}, k_{[\ell-1]} + \frac{1}{2}, k_{[\ell-1]} + 1, \dots, k_{[m]} + 4\} \subset \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}, \quad (2.7)$$



**Figure 2.1.** The supports of  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$  and of the  $\kappa_i$ 's.

where

$$k_{[\ell^{-1}]} := \begin{cases} 4 - n, & \ell^{-1} = 0 \\ \min(4 - n, \frac{7-n-2k}{2}), & \ell^{-1} \neq 0 \end{cases}, \quad k_{[m]} := \begin{cases} k, & m = 0 \\ k(n-1), & m \neq 0 \end{cases}, \quad (2.8)$$

and where the summation ranges originate from the analysis of the linearised problem in [3, 4]. In addition:

1. The function  $\omega > 0$  is determined by the remaining fields appearing in (2.6) by the requirement that the Bondi determinant condition is satisfied by  $g_{AB}$ :

$$\det(g_{AB}) = r^{2(n-1)} \det(\mathring{\gamma}_{AB}) \iff \omega^{2(n-1)} = \frac{r^{2(n-1)} \det(\mathring{\gamma}_{AB})}{\det(\hat{g}_{AB})}. \quad (2.9)$$

2. The function  $\phi_1 = \phi_1(r)$  is a smooth function which is equal to one for  $r \in [r_1, r_1 + \eta]$  and vanishes for  $r \geq r_1 + 2\eta$ , see Figure 2.1. Hence for  $r \in [r_1, r_1 + \eta]$  we have  $g_{AB} = \omega (g_1)_{AB}$ , which together with (2.3) implies that  $\omega \equiv 1$  there. It follows that  $g_{AB}$  matches smoothly  $(g_1)_{AB}$  at  $\mathbf{S}_1$ .
3. The functions  $\kappa_i = \kappa_i(r)$  are smooth, supported in  $[r_1 + 2\eta, r_2 - 2\eta]$ , and satisfy

$$\langle \kappa_i, \hat{\kappa}_j \rangle \equiv \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \kappa_i(s) \hat{\kappa}_j(s) ds = \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{where } \hat{\kappa}_i(s) := s^{-i} \quad (2.10)$$

(cf., e.g., [4, Equation (5.8)]).

4. The tensor fields  $\mathring{\varphi}_{AB}^{[i]}$  are  $\mathring{g}$ -traceless, are independent of  $r$ , and belong to a Hölder space  $C^{k_\gamma, \lambda}(\mathbf{S})$  or a Sobolev space  $W^{k_\gamma, p}(\mathbf{S})$ ; they are *free fields* which will be used to achieve gluing.
5.  $\Psi$  is a diffeomorphism defined in a neighborhood of  $\mathbf{S}_2$  and preserving the Bondi form of the metric. *The diffeomorphism  $\Psi$ , together with the fields  $\mathring{\varphi}_{AB}^{[i]}$ , provides the degrees of freedom needed to achieve gluing.* Meanwhile,  $E$  is an extension map (see Section 5.2), with

$$\det(E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB}) = r^{2(n-1)} \det(\mathring{\gamma}_{AB}). \quad (2.11)$$

Note that the right-hand side of (2.11) is chosen once and for all, even if  $(g_2)_{AB}$  is another Birmingham-Kottler metric  $g'_{AB}$ . We emphasise that, in this last case, the metric  $\gamma'_{AB}$  will be different from  $\mathring{\gamma}_{AB}$  in general, so that (2.11) typically requires adjusting the Bondi coordinate  $r$ ; this can be done as follows: Let us write a Birmingham-Kottler metric  $g'$  as

$$g' = g'_{uu} du^2 - 2 du d\rho + \rho^2 \gamma'_{AB} dx^A dx^B, \quad g'_{uu} := -\left(\varepsilon - \ell^{-2} \rho^2 - \frac{2m'}{\rho^{n-2}}\right). \quad (2.12)$$

Introducing

$$r = \rho \left( \frac{\det \gamma'}{\det \mathring{\gamma}} \right)^{1/(n-1)} =: \rho \chi \quad (2.13)$$

transforms  $g'$  to a Bondi form

$$g' = g'_{uu} du^2 - 2 du (\chi^{-1} dr - r \chi^{-2} d\chi) + r^2 \chi^{-2} \gamma'_{AB} dx^A dx^B, \quad (2.14)$$

where now (2.11) holds:

$$\det (g'_{AB}) = r^{2(n-1)} \det (\chi^{-2} \gamma'_{AB}) = r^{2(n-1)} \det (\mathring{\gamma}_{AB}). \quad (2.15)$$

6. Let  $0 \leq \mathring{\phi}_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function which is equal to one for  $x \in [-\eta, \infty)$  and vanishes for  $x \leq -2\eta$ . We set

$$\phi_2(r, x^A) = \mathring{\phi}_2(r - \mathring{r}(u=0, x^A)). \quad (2.16)$$

For  $r \in [\mathring{r} - \eta, \mathring{r}]$  we have  $g_{AB} = \omega(E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB})$ , which implies that  $\omega \equiv 1$  there. It follows that  $g_{AB}$  matches smoothly  $E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB}$  at

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_2 := \{r = \mathring{r}\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{[\mathring{r}_1, \mathring{r}]}. \quad (2.17)$$

### 3 Definitions, Function spaces

We need function spaces which are tailored to elliptic equations on  $\mathbf{S}$ . As the argument is identical in Hölder spaces and in Sobolev spaces, for  $k_\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  the space  $X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}$  we will use is

$$X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}} := \begin{cases} \text{either } C^{k_\gamma, \lambda}(\mathbf{S}), \\ \text{or } W^{k_\gamma, p}(\mathbf{S}), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where either the first choice is made throughout, or the second. The precise values of the Sobolev index  $p$  or of the Hölder index  $\lambda$  are irrelevant in the calculations that follow, and are assumed to remain the same throughout the paper. The case  $H^{k_\gamma} \equiv W^{k_\gamma, 2}$  is presumably most relevant from the point of view of the evolution problem, but the remaining ones might be of some interest. In what follows, in the Sobolev case the index  $k_\gamma$  could in fact be any real number satisfying the inequalities imposed.

Again in the Sobolev case, it might be useful to recall the Moser inequalities on a compact  $d$ -dimensional manifold  $\mathbf{S}$ : for  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , for all tensor fields  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{S})$  and for



all smooth (possibly tensor-valued) maps  $F$  there exists a constant  $C = C(s, F, \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{S})})$  such that

$$\|F(f)\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbf{S})} \leq C\|f\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbf{S})}. \quad (3.2)$$

We remark that the right-hand side will be finite for  $s > d/p$  by Sobolev's embedding, and we will assume throughout that we are in this regime when Sobolev spaces are used.

The manifolds  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \tilde{r}]}$  carrying the characteristic data in the gluing region will be of the form (2.5). We will often simply write  $\mathcal{N}$  for  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \tilde{r}]}$  whenever confusion is unlikely to occur.

The following spaces of functions on  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \tilde{r}]}$  turn out to be natural for our problem at hand:

$$X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \tilde{r}]}} := \begin{cases} \text{either } \{f \text{ such that } f \in C^{k_\gamma, \lambda}(\mathbf{S}_1) \text{ and } \partial_r f \in C^{k_\gamma, \lambda}(\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \tilde{r}]})\}, \\ \text{or } \{f \text{ such that } f \in W^{k_\gamma, p}(\mathbf{S}_1) \text{ and } \partial_r f \in W^{k_\gamma, p}(\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \tilde{r}]})\}. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

To avoid ambiguities: we will use Sobolev spaces on  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \tilde{r}]}$  when boundary data are in Sobolev spaces, and Hölder spaces on  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \tilde{r}]}$  when boundary data are in Hölder spaces.

REMARK 3.1 Strictly speaking, the requirement of Hölder regularity of  $f$  in the  $r$ -direction is irrelevant for the problem at hand and can be removed from (3.3). We use the space  $C^{k_\gamma, \lambda}(\mathcal{N})$  there to avoid the introduction of yet another nonstandard function space.  $\square$

REMARK 3.2 Given a  $C^1$  function  $\mathring{r} = \mathring{r}(u, x^A) > 0$ , each of the maps parameterised by  $u$

$$\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]} \ni (r, x^A) \mapsto \left( \frac{r_2}{\mathring{r}(u, x^A)} r, x^A \right) \in \mathcal{N}_{[r_1, r_2]} \quad (3.4)$$

is a diffeomorphism. It does *not* preserve the Bondi form of the metric, but for many purposes, e.g. for considering the differentiability properties of the fields, the manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]}$  can be thought of as being the same as  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, r_2]}$ , keeping in mind that our functions  $\mathring{r}(u, \cdot)$  will be  $C^1$  close to  $r_2$ .  $\square$

We have the following observations, which make it clear how the spaces  $X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]}}$  arise in the calculations below:

PROPOSITION 3.3 Let  $f_{\mathbf{S}} \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}$  and

$$f_{\mathcal{N}} \in \begin{cases} C^{k_\gamma, \lambda}(\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]}) & \text{in the Hölder case, or} \\ W^{k_\gamma, p}(\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]}) & \text{in the Sobolev case.} \end{cases}$$

Then

1. At fixed  $r$  the functions  $f(r, \cdot) = f_{\mathbf{S}}(\cdot) + \int_{r_1}^r f_{\mathcal{N}}(s, \cdot) ds$  are in  $X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}$ , and
2. The function  $(r, \cdot) \mapsto f_{\mathbf{S}}(\cdot) + \int_{r_1}^r f_{\mathcal{N}}(s, \cdot) ds$  is in  $X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]}}$ .

PROOF: The claims are obvious in Hölder spaces.

In  $L^p$ -type Sobolev spaces with  $p \geq 1$ , we identify  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \bar{r}]}$  with  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, r_2]}$  as in Remark 3.2. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{A_1} \dots \partial_{A_i} (f(r, \cdot) - f_{\mathbf{S}}(\cdot))\|_{L^p(\mathbf{S})} &= \left\| \int_{r_1}^r \partial_{A_1} \dots \partial_{A_i} f_{\mathcal{N}}(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq \int_{r_1}^r \|\partial_{A_1} \dots \partial_{A_i} f_{\mathcal{N}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^p} ds \\
&\leq C(p, r) \left( \int_{r_1}^r \|\partial_{A_1} \dots \partial_{A_i} f_{\mathcal{N}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^p}^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
&= C(p, r) \left( \int_{r_1}^r \int_{\mathbf{S}} |\partial_{A_1} \dots \partial_{A_i} f_{\mathcal{N}}(s, \cdot)|^p d\mu_\gamma ds \right)^{1/p} \\
&= C(p, r) \|\partial_{A_1} \dots \partial_{A_i} f_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, r]})}, \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

and the result readily follows.  $\square$

DEFINITION 3.4 1. We define spacelike, vacuum, codimension-two Bondi data  $\Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}, k; k_\gamma]$  of order  $k$ , with regularity index  $k_\gamma$ , as the following collection of fields on an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold  $\mathbf{S}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
V &\in X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_r U^A \in X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}}, \\
\forall 1 \leq \ell \leq k: \quad \partial_r^\ell \gamma_{AB} &\in X_{k_\gamma+1-\ell}^{\mathbf{S}}, \\
\forall 0 \leq \ell \leq k: \quad \partial_u^\ell \beta &\in X_{k_\gamma-2\ell}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_u^\ell U^A \in X_{k_\gamma-1-2\ell}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_u^\ell \gamma_{AB} \in X_{k_\gamma-2\ell}^{\mathbf{S}}, \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_{AB}$  is a Riemannian metric on  $\mathbf{S}$ .

2. We define vacuum, characteristic Bondi data  $\Phi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \bar{r}]}, k; k_\gamma]$  of order  $k$ , with regularity index  $k_\gamma$ , as the following collection of fields on an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \bar{r}]} \approx [r_1, r_2] \times \mathbf{S}$  and on  $\mathbf{S}_1 := \{r = r_1\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \bar{r}]}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{AB} &\in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \bar{r}]}} , \\
V|_{\mathbf{S}_1} &\in X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_r U^A|_{\mathbf{S}_1} \in X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}}, \\
\forall 0 \leq \ell \leq k: \quad \partial_u^\ell \beta|_{\mathbf{S}_1} &\in X_{k_\gamma-2\ell}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_u^\ell U^A|_{\mathbf{S}_1} \in X_{k_\gamma-1-2\ell}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_u^\ell \gamma_{AB}|_{\mathbf{S}_1} \in X_{k_\gamma-2\ell}^{\mathbf{S}}, \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where each  $\gamma_{AB}(r, \cdot)$  is a Riemannian metric on the level sets of  $r$  within  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \bar{r}]}$ .

3. We say that  $\Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_1, k; k_\gamma]$  are compatible with  $\Phi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \bar{r}]}, k; k_\gamma]$  if the data induced by the latter at  $r = r_1$  coincide with the former.

4. We define a set of “deformation-and-gauge fields”  $\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{S}, k; k_\gamma]$  of order  $k$ , with regularity index  $k_\gamma$ , as the following collection of scalars  $\psi_i$  and vector fields  $X^A$  on  $\mathbf{S}$ :

$$\psi_0 \in X_{k_\gamma+2}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \psi_1 \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \dots, \quad \psi_k \in X_{k_\gamma+2-2k}^{\mathbf{S}}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$X^A \in X_{k_\gamma+1}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad X^A \in X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \dots, \quad X^A \in X_{k_\gamma+1-2k}^{\mathbf{S}}. \tag{3.9}$$

$\square$

The fields  $\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{S}, k; k_\gamma]$  are used to define the tensor field  $E(\Psi^*g_2)_{AB}$  in Section 5.2; compare (5.70)-(5.77).

In this terminology, the set of fields  $\Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_1, k]$  defined in [5] coincides with  $\Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_1, k; \infty]$ ; similarly for  $\Phi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]}, k; \infty]$ .

#### 4 The equations and their properties

In Definition 3.4, the information contained in  $\Phi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]}, k; k_\gamma]$  is equivalent to that contained in  $\Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_1, k; k_\gamma]$  after supplementing by  $\gamma_{AB} \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]}}$ . The reason for these definitions is that each of these sets allows one to determine the values of the  $u$ -derivatives of the metric on  $\mathcal{N}$  up to order  $k$ :

**THEOREM 4.1** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k_\gamma \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We suppose that, in  $n$ -space dimensions,  $n \geq 3$ , the regularity index  $k_\gamma$  satisfies*

$$k_\gamma \begin{cases} \geq 2 + 2k & \text{in the Hölder case, or} \\ > 2 + 2k + (n-1)/p & \text{in the } L^p\text{-type Sobolev case.} \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval containing 0 and let  $0 < \mathring{r} : I \times \mathbf{S} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$0 \leq i \leq k \quad \partial_u^i \mathring{r}(u, \cdot) \in X_{k_\gamma - 2i}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (4.2)$$

The vacuum Einstein equations define a smooth map  $\Xi$  which to  $\mathring{r}$  and to the characteristic data  $\Phi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \mathring{r}]}, k; k_\gamma]$  satisfying (3.7) assigns the fields

$$0 \leq \ell \leq k : \quad \partial_u^\ell \beta, \partial_u^\ell \gamma_{AB} \in X_{k_\gamma - 2\ell}^{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \partial_u^\ell U^A, \partial_u^\ell \partial_r U^A \in X_{k_\gamma - 1 - 2\ell}^{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \partial_u^\ell V \in X_{k_\gamma - 2 - 2\ell}^{\mathcal{N}}. \quad (4.3)$$

**PROOF:** We can use Einstein's equations [5] (see [12] in spacetime-dimension four) together with (3.2) to define the following maps:

1. We integrate in  $r$ , within the range  $[r_1, \mathring{r}(u=0, x^A))$ , the equation

$$0 = \frac{r}{2(n-1)} G_{rr} = \partial_r \beta - \frac{r}{8(n-1)} \gamma^{AC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{AB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}). \quad (4.4)$$

This determines

$$\beta \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}}, \quad (4.5)$$

in terms of  $\beta|_{\mathbf{S}_1} \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}$  and of the fields on  $\mathbf{S}$ . We thus obtain a smooth map

$$\left\{ \beta|_{\mathbf{S}_1} \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}, \gamma_{AB} \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} \right\} \mapsto \beta \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}}. \quad (4.6)$$

Here (and in what follows), the dependence upon  $\mathring{r}$  (and its  $u$ -derivatives) will be kept implicit.

Assume moreover, for the sake of induction, that there exists  $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$  such that we have a smooth map which, to the free data which are listed in the theorem and which will be made clear as the argument progresses, assigns the fields

$$\partial_u^i \gamma_{AB} \in X_{k_\gamma-2i}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq \ell, \quad (4.7)$$

smoothly in the free data. Integrating in  $r$  the equation obtained by differentiating (4.4) in  $u$ , we obtain similarly

$$\partial_u^i \beta \in X_{k_\gamma-2i}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq \ell, \quad (4.8)$$

smoothly in the free data.

2. The fields  $U^A|_{\mathbf{S}_1}$  and  $\partial_r U^A|_{\mathbf{S}_1}$  are used to obtain  $U^A(r, \cdot)$  and  $\partial_r U^A(r, \cdot)$  by integrating

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2r^{n-1} G_{rA} \\ &= \partial_r \left[ r^{n+1} e^{-2\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^B) \right] - 2r^{2(n-1)} \partial_r \left( \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} D_A \beta \right) + r^{n-1} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Combined with (4.6), this leads to a smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} &\ni \left( \beta|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\}|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \gamma_{AB} \right) \\ &\mapsto \left( \beta, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\} \right) \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathcal{N}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Assuming (4.7)-(4.8) and

$$\partial_u^i U^A|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \partial_u^i \partial_r U^A|_{\mathbf{S}_1} \in X_{k_\gamma-1-2i}^{\mathbf{S}} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq \ell, \quad (4.11)$$

by  $u$ -differentiation one also finds

$$\partial_u^i U^A, \partial_u^i \partial_r U^A \in X_{k_\gamma-1-2i}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq \ell, \quad (4.12)$$

smoothly in the free data and in  $r$ .

3. The function  $V|_{\mathbf{S}_1}$  is used to integrate the equation

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Lambda r^2 &= r^2 e^{-2\beta} (2G_{ur} + 2U^A G_{rA} - V/r G_{rr}) \\ &= R[\gamma] - 2\gamma^{AB} \left[ D_A D_B \beta + (D_A \beta)(D_B \beta) \right] + \frac{e^{-2\beta}}{r^{2(n-2)}} D_A \left[ \partial_r (r^{2(n-1)} U^A) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} r^4 e^{-4\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^A)(\partial_r U^B) - \frac{(n-1)}{r^{n-3}} e^{-2\beta} \partial_r (r^{n-3} V), \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

obtaining thus  $V|_{\mathcal{N}}$ . This results in the smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} &\ni \left( \beta|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\}|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, V|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \gamma_{AB} \right) \\ &\mapsto \left( \beta, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\}, V \right) \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathcal{N}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Assuming (4.7)-(4.8), and (4.11) together with

$$\partial_u^i V|_{\mathbf{s}_1} \in X_{k_\gamma-2-2i}^{\mathbf{S}} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq \ell, \quad (4.15)$$

one also finds

$$\partial_u^i V \in X_{k_\gamma-2-2i}^{\mathcal{N}} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq \ell, \quad (4.16)$$

smoothly in the free data.

4. The field  $\partial_u \gamma_{AB}|_{\mathbf{s}}$  is used to determine  $\partial_u \gamma_{AB}(r, \cdot)$  by integrating

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= r^{(n-5)/2} \text{TS}[G_{AB}] \\ &= \partial_r \left[ r^{(n-1)/2} \partial_u \gamma_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} r^{(n-3)/2} V \partial_r \gamma_{AB} - \frac{n-1}{4} r^{(n-5)/2} V \gamma_{AB} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{n-1}{4} \partial_r (r^{(n-5)/2} V) \gamma_{AB} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} r^{(n-3)/2} V \gamma^{CD} \partial_r \gamma_{AC} \partial_r \gamma_{BD} - \frac{1}{2} r^{(n-1)/2} \gamma^{CD} (\partial_r \gamma_{BD} \partial_u \gamma_{AC} + \partial_u \gamma_{BD} \partial_r \gamma_{AC}) \\ &\quad + r^{(n-5)/2} \text{TS} \left[ e^{2\beta} r^2 R[\gamma]_{AB} - 2e^\beta D_A D_B e^\beta + r^{3-n} \gamma_{CA} D_B [\partial_r (r^{n-1} U^C)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} r^4 e^{-2\beta} \gamma_{AC} \gamma_{BD} (\partial_r U^C) (\partial_r U^D) + \frac{r^2}{2} (\partial_r \gamma_{AB}) (D_C U^C) + r^2 U^C D_C (\partial_r \gamma_{AB}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - r^2 (\partial_r \gamma_{AC}) \gamma_{BE} (D^C U^E - D^E U^C) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where the symbol TS denotes the traceless-symmetric part of a tensor with respect to the metric  $\gamma_{AB}$  and where  $R[\gamma]_{AB}$  is the Ricci tensor of the metric  $\gamma_{AB}$ . Hence we obtain the smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} &\ni \\ &\left( \beta|_{\mathbf{s}_1}, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\}|_{\mathbf{s}_1}, \{V, \partial_u \gamma_{AB}\}|_{\mathbf{s}_1}, \gamma_{AB} \right) \\ &\mapsto \left( \beta, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\}, \{V, \partial_u \gamma_{AB}\} \right) \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathcal{N}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Note that this justifies (4.7) with  $\ell = 1$ . Assuming that (4.7)-(4.8), (4.11) and (4.15) hold with some  $\ell \geq 1$ , together with

$$\partial_u^{\ell+1} \gamma_{AB}|_{\mathbf{s}_1} \in X_{k_\gamma-2-2\ell-2}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (4.19)$$

one also finds

$$\partial_u^{\ell+1} \gamma_{AB} \in X_{k_\gamma-2-2i}^{\mathcal{N}}, \quad (4.20)$$

smoothly in the free data and in  $r$ . Equivalently, (4.7) holds with  $\ell$  replaced by  $\ell + 1$ .

5. As already pointed-out, the  $u$ -derivative of  $\beta$  on  $\mathcal{N}$  can be calculated by integrating the equation obtained by  $u$ -differentiating (4.4), after expressing the right-hand side in terms of the fields determined so far:

$$\partial_r \partial_u \beta = \frac{r}{8} \left( \gamma^{AC} \partial_u \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{AB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}) + \gamma^{AC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{AB}) (\partial_r \partial_u \gamma_{CD}) \right). \quad (4.21)$$

For this we also need the initial value  $\partial_u \beta|_{\mathbf{S}}$ , which leads to the smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} \ni \\ \left( \beta|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\}|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \{V, \partial_u \gamma_{AB}, \partial_u \beta\}|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \gamma_{AB} \right) \\ \mapsto \left( \beta, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\}, \{V, \partial_u \gamma_{AB}, \partial_u \beta\} \right) \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathcal{N}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

6. The equation

$$-2e^{2\beta} G_{uA} = 0 \quad (4.23)$$

reads

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \partial_r \left[ e^{4\beta} \partial_u \left( e^{-4\beta} r^2 \gamma_{AB} U^B \right) \right. \\ \left. - e^{2\beta} \partial_r \left( r \gamma_{AB} U^B V e^{2\beta} \right) - 2rV \partial_r (\gamma_{AB} U^B) + r^2 U^B \partial_u \gamma_{AB} \right] + \mathcal{F}_A, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_A$  can be read-off from (A.1) in Appendix A. This equation allows us to determine algebraically  $\partial_r \partial_u U^A(r_1, \cdot)$  in terms of fields which have been determined in the previous steps. One thus obtains a smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-3}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} \ni \\ \left( \beta|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\}|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \{V, \partial_u \gamma_{AB}, \partial_u \beta\}|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \partial_u U^A|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \gamma_{AB} \right) \\ \mapsto \left( \beta, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\}, \{V, \partial_u \gamma_{AB}, \partial_u \beta\}, \{\partial_u U^A, \partial_u \partial_r U^A\} \right) \\ \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-3}^{\mathcal{N}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Note that this shows that the  $\partial_u^i \partial_r U^A$ -part of (4.11) holds with  $\ell = 1$ . We remark that the consistency of this equation with the one obtained by  $u$ -differentiating (4.9) follows from Bianchi identities.

7. We can determine algebraically  $\partial_u V$  on  $\mathbf{S}$  from the Einstein equation  $(G_{uu} + \Lambda g_{uu})|_{\mathcal{N}} = 0$ :

$$G_{uu} = \frac{n-1}{2r^2} \partial_u V + \dots, \quad (4.26)$$

where “...” stands for an explicit expression in all fields already known on  $\mathcal{N}$ , see (A.2) in Appendix A. This shows that (4.15) holds with  $\ell = 1$ .

The whole argument so far leads thus to a smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-3}^{\mathbf{S}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} \ni \\ \left( \beta|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\}|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \{V, \partial_u \gamma_{AB}, \partial_u \beta\}|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \partial_u U^A|_{\mathbf{S}_1}, \gamma_{AB} \right) \\ \mapsto \left( \beta, \{U^A, \partial_r U^A\}, \{V, \partial_u \gamma_{AB}, \partial_u \beta\}, \{\partial_u U^A, \partial_u \partial_r U^A\}, \partial_u V \right) \\ \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-2}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-3}^{\mathcal{N}} \oplus X_{k_\gamma-4}^{\mathcal{N}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

(Similarly to (4.9) and (4.24), the consistency of (4.27) with the equation obtained by  $u$ -differentiating (4.13) follows from Bianchi identities.)

One can inductively repeat the procedure above using the equations obtained by differentiating Einstein equations with respect to  $u$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Deforming $S_2$

The aim of this section is to provide a parametrisation of the map  $\Psi$  appearing in (2.6). This requires an analysis of coordinate transformations which preserve the null-hypersurface form of the metric

$$g = -\alpha du^2 + 2\nu_r dudr + 2\nu_A dudx^A + g_{AB} dx^A dx^B, \quad (5.1)$$

together with the Bondi determinant-conditions

$$|\partial_r(\det g_{AB})| > 0, \quad \partial_r(r^{-2(n-1)}\det g_{AB}) = 0 = \partial_u(r^{-2(n-1)}\det g_{AB}). \quad (5.2)$$

Thus, consider a coordinate transformation  $x^\mu \rightarrow \check{x}^\mu \equiv (\check{u}, \check{r}, \check{x}^A)$ . It is convenient to write (hoping that no confusion will with the field  $U^A$  of Section 4 and the field  $U_{\check{A}}$  here)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \check{u}} = U_{\check{u}}, \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial \check{u}} = R_{\check{u}}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \check{r}} = U_{\check{r}}, \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial \check{r}} = R_{\check{r}}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \check{x}^C} = U_{\check{C}}, \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial \check{x}^C} = R_{\check{C}}, \quad \frac{\partial X^A}{\partial \check{u}} = X_{\check{u}}^A, \quad \frac{\partial x^A}{\partial \check{r}} = X_{\check{r}}^A, \quad \frac{\partial x^A}{\partial \check{x}^B} = \Lambda_{\check{B}}^A. \quad (5.4)$$

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} g \rightarrow & \left[ g_{AB} X_{\check{u}}^A X_{\check{u}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{u}}^2 + 2\nu_r R_{\check{u}} U_{\check{u}} + 2\nu_A U_{\check{u}} X_{\check{u}}^A \right] d\check{u}^2 \\ & + \left[ g_{AB} X_{\check{r}}^A X_{\check{r}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{r}}^2 + 2\nu_r R_{\check{r}} U_{\check{r}} + 2\nu_A U_{\check{r}} X_{\check{r}}^A \right] d\check{r}^2 \\ & + \left[ g_{AB} \Lambda_{\check{C}}^A X_{\check{u}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{u}} U_{\check{C}} + \nu_r (R_{\check{C}} U_{\check{u}} + R_{\check{u}} U_{\check{C}}) + \nu_A (U_{\check{C}} X_{\check{u}}^A + U_{\check{u}} \Lambda_{\check{C}}^A) \right] 2d\check{u} d\check{x}^C \\ & + \left[ g_{AB} \Lambda_{\check{C}}^A X_{\check{r}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{r}} U_{\check{C}} + \nu_r (R_{\check{C}} U_{\check{r}} + R_{\check{r}} U_{\check{C}}) + \nu_A (U_{\check{C}} X_{\check{r}}^A + U_{\check{r}} \Lambda_{\check{C}}^A) \right] 2d\check{r} d\check{x}^C \\ & + \left[ g_{AB} X_{\check{u}}^A X_{\check{r}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{r}} U_{\check{u}} + \nu_r (R_{\check{u}} U_{\check{r}} + R_{\check{r}} U_{\check{u}}) + \nu_A (U_{\check{u}} X_{\check{r}}^A + U_{\check{r}} X_{\check{u}}^A) \right] 2d\check{u} d\check{r} \\ & + \left[ g_{AB} \Lambda_{\check{C}}^A \Lambda_{\check{D}}^B + U_{\check{C}} (2\nu_r R_{\check{D}} + 2\nu_A \Lambda_{\check{D}}^A - \alpha U_{\check{D}}) \right] d\check{x}^C d\check{x}^D. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

To preserve the null form of the metric we need,

$$g_{AB} X_{\check{r}}^A X_{\check{r}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{r}}^2 + 2\nu_r R_{\check{r}} U_{\check{r}} + 2\nu_A U_{\check{r}} X_{\check{r}}^A = 0, \quad (5.6)$$

$$g_{AB} \Lambda_{\check{C}}^A X_{\check{r}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{r}} U_{\check{C}} + \nu_r (R_{\check{C}} U_{\check{r}} + R_{\check{r}} U_{\check{C}}) + \nu_A (U_{\check{C}} X_{\check{r}}^A + U_{\check{r}} \Lambda_{\check{C}}^A) = 0, \quad (5.7)$$

while Bondi coordinates require in addition the  $\check{x}^\mu$ -equivalent of the determinant condition (5.2).

We concentrate first on (5.1) and its hatted equivalent, ignoring momentarily both (5.2) and its hatted equivalent. In the first two steps of our construction we will restrict ourselves to coordinate transformations for which

$$\check{r} \equiv r, \quad (5.8)$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial \check{r}} = \frac{\partial \check{r}}{\partial r} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial \check{u}} = \frac{\partial r}{\partial \check{x}^C} = \frac{\partial \check{r}}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial \check{r}}{\partial x^A} = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

The equations simplify somewhat if  $\nu_A = 0$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} g = & [g_{AB}X_{\check{u}}^AX_{\check{u}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{u}}^2 + 2\nu_A U_{\check{u}}X_{\check{u}}^A] d\check{u}^2 \\ & + 2[g_{AB}\Lambda^A_{\check{C}}X_{\check{u}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{u}}U_{\check{C}}] d\check{u} d\check{x}^C + 2[g_{AB}X_{\check{u}}^AX_{\check{r}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{r}}U_{\check{u}} + \nu_r U_{\check{u}}] d\check{u} d\check{r} \\ & + \underbrace{[g_{AB}\Lambda^A_{\check{C}}\Lambda^B_{\check{D}} - \alpha U_{\check{C}}U_{\check{D}}]}_{g_{\check{C}\check{D}}} d\check{x}^C d\check{x}^D, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

with

$$g_{AB}X_{\check{r}}^AX_{\check{r}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{r}}^2 + 2\nu_r U_{\check{r}} = 0, \quad (5.11)$$

$$g_{AB}\Lambda^A_{\check{C}}X_{\check{r}}^B - \alpha U_{\check{r}}U_{\check{C}} + \nu_r U_{\check{C}} = 0. \quad (5.12)$$

Equations (5.11)-(5.12) imply

$$(\alpha U_{\check{r}} - \nu_r)^2 \underbrace{U_{\check{C}}U_{\check{D}}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\check{C}}_A(\Lambda^{-1})^{\check{D}}_E g^{EA}}_{=:|y|^2} = (\alpha U_{\check{r}} - 2\nu_r)U_{\check{r}}. \quad (5.13)$$

From now on we assume that

$$\alpha |y|^2 < 1,$$

as needed to solve the quadratic equation (5.13) for a real-valued function  $U_{\check{r}}$ . The relevant solution is the one which is small when  $|y|^2$  is small:

$$U_{\check{r}} = -\frac{\nu_r |y|^2}{1 - \alpha |y|^2 + (1 - \alpha |y|^2)^{1/2}}. \quad (5.14)$$

This allows us to rewrite (5.12) as

$$X_{\check{r}}^A = -\frac{\nu_r}{(1 - \alpha |y|^2)^{1/2}} \underbrace{g^{AB}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\check{C}}_B U_{\check{C}}}_{=:y^A}. \quad (5.15)$$

Inserting (5.14)-(5.15) into (5.10) we obtain the following  $g_{\check{r}\check{u}}$ -component of the metric:

$$g_{\check{r}\check{u}} = \frac{\nu_r}{(1 - \alpha |y|^2)^{1/2}} (U_{\check{u}} - U_{\check{C}}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\check{C}}_A X_{\check{u}}^A). \quad (5.16)$$

When  $\nu_A$  is nonzero, as is the case in (2.14), we have to solve the full equations (5.6)-(5.7) for  $X_{\check{r}}^A$  and  $U_{\check{r}}$ . We continue to assume that  $\check{r} \equiv r$ . It is convenient to define the fields

$$\theta_{\check{r}} := \nu_A X_{\check{r}}^A, \quad Y_{\check{r}}^A := X_{\check{r}}^A - \theta_{\check{r}} \frac{\nu^A}{|\nu|^2}, \quad (5.17)$$



where

$$|\nu|^2 = g^{AB}\nu_A\nu_B. \quad (5.18)$$

Equations (5.6)-(5.7) expressed in terms of these fields become

$$g_{AB} \left( Y_{\tilde{r}}^A + \theta_{\tilde{r}} \frac{\nu^A}{|\nu|^2} \right) \left( Y_{\tilde{r}}^B + \theta_{\tilde{r}} \frac{\nu^B}{|\nu|^2} \right) = (\alpha U_{\tilde{r}} - 2\nu_r - 2\theta_{\tilde{r}}) U_{\tilde{r}}, \quad (5.19)$$

$$Y_{\tilde{r}}^A + (|\nu|^2 U_{\tilde{r}} + \theta_{\tilde{r}}) \frac{\nu^A}{|\nu|^2} = (\alpha U_{\tilde{r}} - \nu_r - \theta_{\tilde{r}}) y^A. \quad (5.20)$$

Contracting (5.20) with  $\nu^A$  gives an expression for  $\theta_{\tilde{r}}$  in terms of  $U_{\tilde{r}}$  and of the metric functions:

$$\theta_{\tilde{r}} = \frac{(\alpha \nu_A y^A - |\nu|^2) U_{\tilde{r}} - \nu_r y^A \nu_A}{1 + y^A \nu_A}. \quad (5.21)$$

Next, we can find another equation relating  $\theta_{\tilde{r}}$  and  $U_{\tilde{r}}$  by calculating  $g_{AB} Y_{\tilde{r}}^A Y_{\tilde{r}}^B$  using (5.20). After this (5.19)-(5.20) become, using  $Y_{\tilde{r}}^A \nu_A = 0$ ,

$$g_{AB} Y_{\tilde{r}}^A Y_{\tilde{r}}^B + \frac{\theta_{\tilde{r}}^2}{|\nu|^2} = (\alpha U_{\tilde{r}} - 2\nu_r - 2\theta_{\tilde{r}}) U_{\tilde{r}}, \quad (5.22)$$

$$g_{AB} Y_{\tilde{r}}^A Y_{\tilde{r}}^B + \frac{(|\nu|^2 U_{\tilde{r}} + \theta_{\tilde{r}})^2}{|\nu|^2} = (\alpha U_{\tilde{r}} - \nu_r - \theta_{\tilde{r}})^2 y^A y_A. \quad (5.23)$$

Eliminating  $g_{AB} Y_{\tilde{r}}^A Y_{\tilde{r}}^B$  yields

$$(\alpha U_{\tilde{r}} - 2\nu_r - 2\theta_{\tilde{r}}) U_{\tilde{r}} - \frac{\theta_{\tilde{r}}^2}{|\nu|^2} = (\alpha U_{\tilde{r}} - \nu_r - \theta_{\tilde{r}})^2 y^A y_A - \frac{(|\nu|^2 U_{\tilde{r}} + \theta_{\tilde{r}})^2}{|\nu|^2} \quad (5.24)$$

which, upon substituting (5.21), leads to the following quadratic equation for  $U_{\tilde{r}}$ :

$$(\alpha + |\nu|^2)[(1 + y^A \nu_A)^2 - |y|^2(\alpha + |\nu|^2)] U_{\tilde{r}}^2 - 2[(1 + y^A \nu_A)^2 - |y|^2(\alpha + |\nu|^2)] U_{\tilde{r}} + |y|^2 \nu_r^2 = 0. \quad (5.25)$$

Let us assume that

$$z := \frac{(1 + y^A \nu_A)^2}{\alpha + |\nu|^2} > |y|^2, \quad (5.26)$$

with  $|y|^2 = g_{AB} y^A y^B$  as in (5.13), which is clearly true for sufficiently small  $y^A$ , as needed below. Then the solutions to (5.25) are real-valued and equal to

$$U_{\tilde{r}} = \frac{\left( z - |y|^2 \pm \sqrt{z(z - |y|^2)} \right)}{(\alpha + \nu^2)(z - |y|^2)} \nu_r =: F(\partial_{\tilde{A}} u, \partial_{\tilde{B}} x^A). \quad (5.27)$$

We take the negative root, which reduces to (5.14) in the limit  $\nu_A \rightarrow 0$ .

Finally, we can write down our solution for  $X_{\tilde{r}}^A$  substituting (5.21) into (5.20) and recalling  $Y_{\tilde{r}}^A = X_{\tilde{r}}^A - \theta_{\tilde{r}} \nu^{-2} \nu^A$ . This gives,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\tilde{r}}^A &= -U_{\tilde{r}} \nu^A + \left( \frac{(\alpha + |\nu|^2) U_{\tilde{r}} - \nu_r}{1 + y^A \nu_A} \right) y^A \\ &= -\nu_r \frac{\left( z - |y|^2 - \sqrt{z(z - |y|^2)} \right)}{(\alpha + \nu^2)(z - |y|^2)} \nu^A - \frac{\nu_r}{\sqrt{(z - |y|^2)(\alpha + |\nu|^2)}} y^A \\ &=: F^A(\partial_{\tilde{A}} u, \partial_{\tilde{B}} x^A). \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

The key fact for us is that the functions  $F$  and  $F^A$  defined in (5.27)-(5.28) are smooth functions of their arguments and of the metric coefficients when the derivatives  $\partial_{\bar{A}}u$  are small.

### 5.1 Regularity

The gluing construction of [1, 2] requires a deformation of the section

$$\mathbf{S}_2 = \{r = r_2\} \cap \mathcal{N}_{[r_1, r_2]}$$

in the spacetime  $(\mathcal{M}_2, \mathbf{g}_2)$ , as well as a prescription for the calculation of the  $u$ -derivatives of this deformation. This is needed to control some of the gauge-dependent radially-conserved charges. One needs furthermore to include in the construction a diffeomorphism  $\Phi^A$  of  $\mathbf{S}_2$ , as well as its  $u$ -derivatives. Last but not least, one needs to make sure that the regularity of the resulting fields is consistent with the characteristic constraint equations and their  $u$ -derivatives.

Now, our aim is to provide a scheme to which the implicit function theorem can be applied. This puts stringent requirements on the differentiability properties of the fields at hand, and makes the construction demanding. We note that trying to do all the coordinate changes at once, or changing the order of the coordinate transformations below, or introducing  $\tilde{u}$  as a function of  $u$  rather than  $u$  as a function of  $\tilde{u}$ , etc., leads to fields with problematic regularity properties.

In the original coordinate system the new section, which we denote by  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_2$ , will be given by the equations

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_2 = \{u = \psi_0(x^A), r = r_2\} \subset \{r = r_2\} =: \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2, \quad (5.29)$$

with a function  $\psi_0$  which will be determined in the course of the proof of Theorem 8.1. After carrying-out this deformation, for the purpose of this last theorem we will need to adjust the coordinates  $x^A$  on  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_2$ , and to adjust the coordinate  $r$  on  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, r_2]}$  which will determine the function  $\tilde{r}$  of (2.4).

REMARK 5.1 In our gluing results we allow only a finite number  $k$  of transverse derivatives. In the current section  $k = \infty$  is allowed, because equations (5.32), (5.51) and (5.78) can be understood in the sense of Borel summation. However, it is not clear whether  $k = \infty$  would make sense in (2.6); this is at the origin of our restriction  $k < \infty$  in theorems such as Theorem 8.1.  $\square$

So let  $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  be the number of transverse derivatives which we wish to glue. Let  $k_\gamma \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  satisfy

$$k_\gamma/2 - 1 \begin{cases} \geq k, & \text{in the Hölder case,} \\ > k + (n-1)/2p, & \text{in the } L^p\text{-type Sobolev case.} \end{cases} \quad (5.30)$$

Recall that  $k_\gamma$  encodes the differentiability properties of the fields.

In the calculations that follow we work on a spacetime manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfying

$$g_{\mu\nu} \in C^{k_\gamma+1, \sigma}(\mathcal{M}), \quad (5.31)$$

for some  $\sigma \in [0, 1)$ , with  $\sigma \geq \lambda$  in the  $\lambda$ -Hölder case.

### 5.1.1 First coordinate transformation.

For  $\check{u}$  near 0, on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$  we set

$$u(\check{u}, \check{x}^A) = \psi_0(\check{x}^A) + \psi_1(\check{x}^A)\check{u} + \psi_2(\check{x}^A)\frac{\check{u}^2}{2} + \dots + \psi_{k+2}(\check{x}^A)\frac{\check{u}^{k+2}}{(k+2)!}, \quad (5.32)$$

with functions

$$\psi_i \in X_{k_\gamma+2-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \text{ and where } \psi_1 > 0. \quad (5.33)$$

Equation (5.32) should be understood in the sense of Borel-summation when  $k = \infty$ .

We find

$$u|_{\{\check{u}=0\}} = \psi_0 \in X_{k_\gamma+2}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_{\check{u}}u|_{\{\check{u}=0\}} = \psi_1 \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \dots, \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^{k+2}u|_{\{\check{u}=0\}} = \psi_{k+2} \in X_{k_\gamma-2k-2}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (5.34)$$

and

$$\forall i > k+2 \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i u = 0, \quad (5.35)$$

in particular it holds that

$$\forall 0 \leq 2i \leq k_\gamma + 2 \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i u \in X_{k_\gamma+2-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (5.36)$$

(Should one wish to minimise losses of differentiability of the transformed metric away from  $\{\check{u} = 0\}$ , in (5.32) one could apply to the coefficients  $\psi_i$  suitable extension maps so that  $u$  is smooth away from  $\{\check{u} = 0\}$ , while maintaining (5.34). But this is irrelevant for the considerations to follow.)

On  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$  we replace the coordinates  $(u, x^A)|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_2}$  by a new set of coordinates  $(\check{u}, \check{x}^A = x^A)$ , where  $\check{u}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_2}$  is defined by (5.32), and we define the coordinates  $(\check{u}, \check{r}, \check{x}^A)$  away from  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$  by setting  $\check{r} = r$  and flowing along the null geodesics<sup>1</sup> orthogonal to the level sets of  $\check{u}$  within the hypersurface  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$  of (5.29). (We remark that imposing  $\partial_{\check{r}}u = 0$ , which would vastly simplify what follows, is not compatible with (5.11)-(5.12) unless  $\partial_{\check{A}}u = 0$ .)

We emphasise that the above construction automatically preserves the null form of the metric; in particular (5.14)-(5.15) hold.

Let us first consider the algebraically simpler case  $\nu_A = 0$ . From (5.5) we obtain

$$g = - \underbrace{\alpha U_{\check{u}}^2}_{=:\check{\alpha}} d\check{u}^2 - 2 \underbrace{\alpha U_{\check{u}} U_{\check{C}}}_{=:\check{\nu}_{\check{C}}} d\check{u} d\check{x}^C + 2 \underbrace{(-\alpha U_{\check{r}} U_{\check{u}} + \nu_r U_{\check{u}})}_{=:\check{\nu}_{\check{r}}} d\check{u} d\check{r} + \underbrace{(g_{CD} - \alpha U_{\check{C}} U_{\check{D}})}_{=:\check{g}_{\check{C}\check{D}}} d\check{x}^C d\check{x}^D. \quad (5.37)$$

It holds that:

<sup>1</sup>Since  $k_\gamma \geq 2k \geq 2$ , there still exists a class of geodesics which are uniquely defined by their initial data, even though the metric might be poorly differentiable in different coordinates used as long as the coordinates are  $C^1$ -related to the well-behaved ones.

1. Using

$$\partial_{\check{u}} g_{\mu\nu}|_{\{\check{u}=0\}} = U_{\check{u}} \partial_u g_{\mu\nu}|_{\{\check{u}=0\}} \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}, \dots, \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\mu\nu}|_{\{\check{u}=0\}} \in X_{k_\gamma+2-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (5.38)$$

Equations (5.36)-(5.37) show that

$$\forall 0 \leq 2i \leq k_\gamma \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{u}\check{u}}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2}, \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{u}\check{A}}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{A}\check{B}}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in \cdot. \quad (5.39)$$

2. Recall the definitions of  $|y|^2$  in (5.13) and of  $z$  in (5.26):

$$|y|^2(\check{u}, \check{r}, \check{x}^A) = U_{\check{C}} U_{\check{D}} (\Lambda^{-1})^{\check{C}}{}_{\check{A}} (\Lambda^{-1})^{\check{D}}{}_{\check{E}} g^{EA}, \quad z := \frac{(1 + g^{AB} (\Lambda^{-1})^{\check{C}}{}_{\check{B}} U_{\check{C}} \nu_A)^2}{\alpha + g^{AB} \nu_A \nu_B}. \quad (5.40)$$

Since  $\Lambda^A{}_{\check{A}}|_{\check{\mathcal{H}}_2}$  is the identity, using (5.27)-(5.28) we find

$$\partial_{\check{u}}^i (|y|^2)|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2}, \partial_{\check{u}}^i z|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2}, \partial_{\check{u}}^i U_{\check{r}}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2}, \partial_{\check{u}}^i X_{\check{r}}^A|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in \cdot. \quad (5.41)$$

Taking a  $\check{r}$ -derivative of (5.40) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\check{r}} (|y|^2)|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} &= \partial_{\check{r}} (U_{\check{C}} U_{\check{D}} \delta^{\check{C}}{}_{\check{A}} \delta^{\check{D}}{}_{\check{E}} g^{EA}) \\ &= 2 \underbrace{U_{\check{C}, \check{r}}}_{\in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}} U_{\check{D}} \delta^{\check{C}}{}_{\check{A}} \delta^{\check{D}}{}_{\check{E}} g^{EA} + U_{\check{C}} U_{\check{D}} \delta^{\check{C}}{}_{\check{A}} \delta^{\check{D}}{}_{\check{E}} \underbrace{\partial_{\check{r}} g^{EA}}_{\in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}} \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

with a similar calculation for  $z$ , where we used the notation  $f_{, \check{r}} := \partial_{\check{r}} f$ , and of course all terms on the right-hand side are evaluated at  $\check{\mathbf{S}}_2$ . We also used  $\partial_r g_{\mu\nu}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}$  and (5.41) to estimate the last term:

$$\partial_{\check{r}} g^{EA} = \partial_r g^{EA} + \partial_{\check{r}} u \partial_u g^{EA} + \partial_{\check{r}} x^B \partial_B g^{EA} \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (5.43)$$

By induction over  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$\forall 0 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma + 1 \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i \partial_{\check{r}}^j |y|^2|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma+1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (5.44)$$

which immediately implies

$$\forall 0 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma + 1 \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i \partial_{\check{r}}^j U_{\check{r}}, \partial_{\check{u}}^i \partial_{\check{r}}^j X_{\check{r}}^A \in X_{k_\gamma+1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (5.45)$$

The last line can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \geq 1, 0 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma + 1 \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^{i-1} \partial_{\check{r}}^{j+1} U_{\check{u}}, \partial_{\check{u}}^{i-1} \partial_{\check{r}}^{j+1} X_{\check{u}}^A &\in X_{k_\gamma+1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}} \\ \iff \forall j \geq 1, 0 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i \partial_{\check{r}}^j U_{\check{u}}, \partial_{\check{u}}^i \partial_{\check{r}}^j X_{\check{u}}^A &\in X_{k_\gamma-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.46)$$

Together with (5.39), Equations (5.45)-(5.46) translate into

$$\forall 0 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{A}\check{B}}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma+1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{u}\check{u}}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2}, \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{u}\check{A}}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (5.47)$$

3. Using  $\check{\nu}_{\check{r}} \equiv g_{\check{u}\check{r}} = -\alpha U_{\check{r}} U_{\check{u}} + \nu_r U_{\check{u}}$  we also obtain

$$\forall 0 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{u}\check{r}}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (5.48)$$

An identical argument applies when  $C^{k+1, \beta}(\mathcal{M}) \ni \nu_A \neq 0$  using (5.27)-(5.28), we leave the details to the reader.

### 5.1.2 Second coordinate transformation.

We need next to make a change of coordinates  $x^A$  on  $\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2$ . Note that the first coordinate transformation required moving the null hypersurface  $\{u = 0\}$  in spacetime, while a change of  $x^A$ 's does not. Therefore the current step can be viewed as a ‘‘gauge transformation’’ of sphere data, while the previous one has a substantially different character.

In order to exploit the equations so far, and to avoid an explosion of notation, we rename the coordinates  $\check{x}^\mu$  of Section 5.1.1 to  $x^\mu$ , and denote the new coordinates to be constructed here again by  $\check{x}^\mu$ . Thus (5.5) applies with the metric functions satisfying, instead of (5.31), for  $0 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma$ ,

$$\partial_r^j \partial_u^i g_{AB}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma+1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_r^j \partial_u^i \alpha|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}, \quad \partial_r^j \partial_u^i \nu_r|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}, \quad \partial_r^j \partial_u^i \nu_A|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (5.49)$$

Note that we cannot assume  $\nu_A = 0$  now, even in cases where we could in Section 5.1.1.

The construction will invoke a map  $\Phi^A := \Phi_1^A$ , which is the  $t = 1$  solution of the flow

$$\frac{d\Phi_t^A}{dt}(x^B) = X^A(\Phi_t(x^B)), \quad \Phi_0^A(x^B) = x^A, \quad (5.50)$$

with  $X^A|_{\check{\mathcal{H}}_2}$  of the form

$$X^A(\check{u}, \check{x}^B)|_{\check{\mathcal{H}}_2} = \overset{(0)}{X}^A(\check{x}^B) + \overset{(1)}{X}^A(\check{x}^B)\check{u} + \dots + \overset{(k+1)}{X}^A(\check{x}^B)\frac{\check{u}^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}, \quad (5.51)$$

with vector fields  $\overset{(i)}{X} \in H_{k_\gamma+1-2i}(\mathbf{S})$ ; Equation (5.51) should be understood in the sense of Borel-summation when  $k = \infty$ . Thus

$$X^A|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} = \overset{(0)}{X}^A \in X_{k_\gamma+1}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_{\check{u}} X^A|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} = \overset{(1)}{X}^A \in X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}}, \dots, \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^{k+1} X^A|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} = \overset{(k+1)}{X}^A \in X_{k_\gamma-2k-1}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (5.52)$$

This implies

$$\Phi^A|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma+1}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_{\check{u}} \Phi^A|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \dots, \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^{k+1} \Phi^A|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-2k-1}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (5.53)$$

We set

$$u = \check{u}, \quad r = \check{r}, \quad x^A(\check{u}, \check{x}^B) = \Phi^A(\check{u}, \check{x}^B). \quad (5.54)$$

In particular

$$U_{\check{r}} \equiv 0 \equiv X_{\check{r}}^A. \quad (5.55)$$

Equation (5.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g &= (g_{AB} X_{\check{u}}^A X_{\check{u}}^B - \alpha + 2\nu_A X_{\check{u}}^A) d\check{u}^2 + 2(g_{AB} \Lambda_{\check{C}}^A X_{\check{u}}^B + \nu_A \Lambda_{\check{C}}^A) d\check{u} d\check{x}^C \\ &\quad + 2\nu_r d\check{u} d\check{r} + g_{AB} \Lambda_{\check{C}}^A \Lambda_{\check{D}}^B d\check{x}^C d\check{x}^D, \end{aligned} \quad (5.56)$$

leading directly to (the reader is referred to [13, Lemma A.2] or [14, 15] for composition of maps in Sobolev spaces)

$$\partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{A}\check{B}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}, \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{u}\check{r}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{u}\check{u}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}, \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{u}\check{A}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-1-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (5.57)$$

Since  $u$  and  $x^A$  are  $\check{r}$ -independent, the  $\check{r}$ -derivatives follow a pattern identical to (5.49), namely, for  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall 1 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i g_{\check{A}\check{B}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma+1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i g_{\check{u}\check{r}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \\ \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i g_{\check{u}\check{u}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}, \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i g_{\check{u}\check{A}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.58)$$

### 5.1.3 Third coordinate transformation.

The last step is to adjust the radial coordinate  $r$ ; this is a coordinate change on  $\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, r_2]}$ , thus a gauge and not a deformation. Again, in order to exploit the equations so far and to avoid an explosion of notation, we rename the coordinates  $\check{x}^\mu$  of Section 5.1.2 to  $x^\mu$ , and denote the coordinates to be constructed here by  $\check{x}^\mu$ . It follows from (5.57)- (5.58) that (5.5) applies with metric functions satisfying

$$\partial_u^i \nu_r|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}, \quad \partial_u^i g_{AB}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_u^i \alpha|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}, \quad \partial_u^i \nu_A|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-1-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (5.59)$$

and, for  $1 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma$  and  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$\partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i g_{AB}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma+1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i \nu_r|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}, \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i \alpha|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}, \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i \nu_A|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (5.60)$$

We define a function  $\rho > 0$  by

$$\rho^{2(n-1)} := \frac{\sqrt{\det g}}{\sqrt{\det \check{\gamma}}}. \quad (5.61)$$

The function  $\check{r}$  is defined as the value of  $\rho$  at  $r = r_2$

$$\check{r} = \rho|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}. \quad (5.62)$$

This defines  $\check{r}$  as a smooth function, in the topologies listed, of the deformation-and-gauge data.

We set

$$u = \check{u}, \quad x^A = \check{x}^A, \quad \check{r} := \rho. \quad (5.63)$$

It follows from (5.59)-(5.61) that

$$\forall 0 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma, \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i r|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in \begin{cases} X_{k_\gamma-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, & j = 0; \\ X_{k_\gamma+1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, & j > 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.64)$$

Equation (5.5) gives

$$g = (-\alpha + 2\nu_r R_{\check{u}}) d\check{u}^2 + 2(\nu_A + \nu_r R_{\check{A}}) d\check{u} d\check{x}^A + 2\nu_r R_{\check{r}} d\check{u} d\check{r} + g_{AB} d\check{x}^A d\check{x}^B, \quad (5.65)$$

and from what has been said we obtain, with the first line for  $0 \leq 2i \leq k_\gamma$  and the remaining ones for  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$\partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{A}\check{B}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}, \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{u}\check{r}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{u}\check{A}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-1-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^i g_{\check{u}\check{u}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-2-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (5.66)$$

$$\forall 1 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma, \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i g_{\check{A}\check{B}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma+1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i g_{\check{u}\check{r}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2}, \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i g_{\check{u}\check{A}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (5.67)$$

$$\forall 1 \leq j + 2i \leq k_\gamma - 1, \quad \partial_{\check{r}}^j \partial_u^i g_{\check{u}\check{u}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}. \quad (5.68)$$

Summarising, we have proved that we can do apply a deformation-and-gauge transformation to a spacetime metric  $g$  with the right differentiability of the final metric to apply the implicit function theorem in the next section (recall that  $k$  denotes the number of transverse derivatives to be glued, and note the different ranges of  $\lambda$  and  $p$  here, as compared to Theorem 8.1, because we do not have to solve any elliptic equations in this section):

**THEOREM 5.2** *Assume that  $g$  is in  $C^{k_\gamma+1,\sigma}(\mathcal{M})$  where  $\sigma \in [0,1]$ , with  $\sigma \geq \lambda \in [0,1]$  in the  $\lambda$ -Hölder setting and  $p \in [1,\infty]$  in the  $L^p$ -Sobolev setting in Definition 3.4. Let  $I$  be an interval containing zero, and let  $k, k_\gamma \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  satisfy*

$$k_\gamma/2 - 1 \geq \begin{cases} k, & \text{in the Hölder case,} \\ k + (n-1)/2p, & \text{in the } L^p\text{-type Sobolev case, } p > 1. \end{cases} \quad (5.69)$$

Given a set of deformation-and-gauge fields

$$\psi_0 \in X_{k_\gamma+2}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \psi_1 \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \dots, \quad \psi_{k+2} \in X_{k_\gamma-2k-2}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (5.70)$$

$$\begin{matrix} (0) \\ X^A \end{matrix} \in X_{k_\gamma+1}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \begin{matrix} (1) \\ X^A \end{matrix} \in X_{k_\gamma-1}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \dots, \quad \begin{matrix} (k+1) \\ X^A \end{matrix} \in X_{k_\gamma-2k-1}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (5.71)$$

there exist a diffeomorphism  $\Psi$  and a function  $\mathring{r} : I \times \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying

$$\partial_u^i \mathring{r}(u, \cdot) \in X_{k_\gamma-2k}^{\mathbf{S}}$$

which bring  $g_2$  to a Bondi form with, for  $0 \leq j+2i \leq k_\gamma$  in the first two lines and  $0 \leq j+2i \leq k_\gamma-1$  in the last one,

$$\partial_u^i g_{AB}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2}, \partial_u^i g_{ur}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \partial_u^i g_{uA}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-1-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_u^i g_{uu}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-2-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (5.72)$$

$$\forall j \geq 1, \quad \partial_r^j \partial_u^i g_{AB}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma+1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad \partial_r^j \partial_u^i g_{ur}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2}, \partial_r^j \partial_u^i g_{uA}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (5.73)$$

$$\partial_r^j \partial_u^i g_{uu}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \in X_{k_\gamma-1-j-2i}^{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (5.74)$$

where  $\check{\mathbf{S}}_2 = \{u=0, r = \mathring{r}|_{u=0}\}$ .  $\Psi$  is a composition of a map satisfying

$$u|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} = \psi_0, \quad \partial_{\check{u}} u|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} = \psi_1, \quad \dots, \quad \partial_{\check{u}}^k u|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} = \psi_k, \quad (5.75)$$

where  $\check{\mathbf{S}}_2 = \{u = \psi_0, r = r_2\}$ , and of a  $t=1$  solution of the flow

$$\frac{d\Phi_t^A}{dt}(x^B) = X^A(\Phi_t(x^B)), \quad \Phi_0^A(x^B) = x^A, \quad (5.76)$$

where

$$X^A(\check{u}, \check{x}^B) = \begin{matrix} (0) \\ X^A \end{matrix}(\check{x}^B) + \begin{matrix} (1) \\ X^A \end{matrix}(\check{x}^B)\check{u} + \dots + \begin{matrix} (k+1) \\ X^A \end{matrix}(\check{x}^B) \frac{\check{u}^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}, \quad (5.77)$$

in the sense of Borel-summation when  $k = \infty$ , followed by a redefinition of  $r$ . The map  $\Psi$  and the functions  $\partial_u^i \mathring{r}$  depend smoothly upon the deformation-and-gauge fields in the topologies listed.  $\square$

## 5.2 $E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB}$

We are ready now to construct the desired field  $E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB}$ . For the purpose of the definition (2.6) we take  $g$  in (5.1) to be  $g_2$ . We rename the coordinates  $(\check{r}, \check{x}^A)$  of the last section to  $(r, x^A)$ , and for  $k_\gamma < \infty$  we set

$$E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB} = \sum_{j=0}^{k_\gamma} E_j(\partial_r^j g_{AB}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2}) \frac{(r - \check{r})^j}{j!} \in X_{k_\gamma}^{\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \check{r}]}} , \quad (5.78)$$

where  $E_j(\partial_r^j g_{AB}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2}) = E_j(\partial_r^j g_{AB}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2})(r, x^A)$  are tensor fields such that the Taylor expansion in  $r$  at  $r = \check{r}$  of  $E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB}$  coincides with that of

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k_\gamma} \partial_r^j g_{AB}|_{\check{\mathbf{S}}_2} \frac{(r - \check{r})^j}{j!} . \quad (5.79)$$

The existence of such extension maps in Hölder spaces is given, e.g., in [16, Corollary 3.2]. In Sobolev spaces this can be justified as follows: By [17, Theorem 6.4.4] the spaces  $W^{\ell,p}(\mathbf{S})$  with  $p \geq 2$  embed in the Besov spaces  $B_{p,p}^\ell(\mathbf{S})$ . The extension map given in [18, 4.4, p. 193] gives the desired extension  $E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB}$  in  $W^{k_\gamma+1/p,p}(\mathcal{N}) \subset W^{k_\gamma,p}(\mathcal{N})$ . For  $p \in (1, 2)$  one notices that  $W^{\ell,p}(\mathbf{S}) \subset W^{s,p}(\mathbf{S}) = B_{p,p}^s(\mathbf{S})$  for any  $\ell - 1 < s < \ell$ , and the desired extension is then in  $W^{s+1/p,p}(\mathcal{N})$  for all  $s < k_\gamma$ , again a subset of  $W^{k_\gamma,p}(\mathcal{N})$ .

When  $k_\gamma = \infty$  we define  $E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB}$  using directly Borel summation on the sum (5.79).

## 6 Radial charges

In this section, we define  $\overset{[1]}{Q}$  and  $\overset{[2]}{Q}$ , the linearisations of which constitute gauge-invariant obstructions to the linearised gluing problem in the case  $m \neq 0$ . Indeed, for metrics which asymptote to a Birmingham-Kottler metric as  $r$  tends to infinity, the right-hand sides of the  $r$ -derivatives of  $\overset{[1]}{Q}$  and  $\overset{[2]}{Q}$  are at least quadratic in the deviation between  $g$  and its asymptotic Birmingham-Kottler counterpart. Therefore their linearisations are radially conserved. These linearisations of  $\overset{[1]}{Q}$  and  $\overset{[2]}{Q}$  coincide with their counterparts in [3, 4], and are therefore invariant under linearised gauge transformations. This implies that deformations and gauge transformation of  $\overset{[1]}{Q}$  and  $\overset{[2]}{Q}$  which are of order  $\epsilon$  lead to transformations of  $\overset{[1]}{Q}$  and  $\overset{[2]}{Q}$  which are of order  $\epsilon^2$ .

### 6.1 $\overset{[1]}{Q}$

Using the Einstein equations (4.4) and (4.9), it can be verified that the following transport equation holds (cf. Appendix B):

$$\partial_r \left[ r^{n+1} e^{-2\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^B) + 2r^{n-1} D_A \beta \right] = \frac{r^n}{2(n-1)} D_A \left[ \gamma^{EC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{EB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}) \right] - r^{n-1} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF}) . \quad (6.1)$$



Denoting the term in the square brackets of the left-hand side as

$$H_{uA}^{(*)} := r^{n+1} e^{-2\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^B) + 2r^{n-1} D_A \beta, \quad (6.2)$$

the obstructions  $Q^{[1]}(\pi^A)$  are defined as a family of maps, parameterised by the  $\pi^A$ 's, on the space of Bondi cross-sectional data, given by the projection of the above onto the space of Killing vectors of  $\mathring{\gamma}$ : for vector fields  $\pi_A$  satisfying  $\mathring{D}_{(A} \pi_{B)} = 0$  on  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $x \in \Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}, k; k_\gamma]$ ,

$$Q^{[1]}(\pi^A)[x] := \int_{\mathbf{S}} \pi^A H_{uA}^{(*)} d\mu_\gamma, \quad (6.3)$$

where  $d\mu_\gamma = \sqrt{\det \gamma_{AB}} d^{n-1}x$  is the natural measure on  $\mathbf{S}$  induced by the spacetime metric  $g$ .

## 6.2 $Q^{[2]}$

Again from the Einstein equations (cf. Appendix B), one can verify that we have the following transport equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-1) \partial_r \left( \underbrace{r^{n-3} V - \frac{r^{n-2}}{n-1} D^A \partial_r (r^2 U_A) - \frac{2r^{n-2}}{n-1} e^{2\beta} \Delta \beta}_{=: \chi} \right) \\ &= 2r^{n-1} [D_B, \partial_r] U^B + \partial_r (2r^{n-2} D^A (e^{2\beta}) D_A \beta) \\ & \quad + 2r^{n-2} [D^A, \partial_r] (e^{2\beta} D_A \beta) - 2r^{n-1} D^A [\partial_r (e^{2\beta}/r) D_A \beta] \\ & \quad + r^n [D_B, \partial_r] \partial_r U^B - 2\gamma^{AB} e^{2\beta} r^{n-3} D_A D_B \beta \\ & \quad + e^{2\beta} r^{n-3} \left[ -2\Lambda r^2 + R[\gamma] - 2\gamma^{AB} (D_A \beta) (D_B \beta) - \frac{1}{2} r^4 e^{-4\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^A) (\partial_r U^B) \right] \\ & \quad - 2r^n D_B [(\partial_r U^B) \partial_r \beta] + r^n D^A [(\partial_r \gamma_{AB}) (\partial_r U^B)] \\ & \quad - D^A \left[ \frac{e^{2\beta} r^{n-1}}{2(n-1)} D_A \left( \gamma^{EC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{EB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}) \right) \right] + r^{n-2} D^A [e^{2\beta} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF})]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Given  $x \in \Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}, k; k_\gamma]$  the obstruction  $Q^{[2]}$  is defined as:

$$Q^{[2]}[x] := \int_{\mathbf{S}} e^{-2\beta} \chi d\mu_\gamma. \quad (6.5)$$

## 6.3 Further radial charges

When the mass parameter  $m$  vanishes, further radial charges with similar properties have been listed in [3, 4]. Nonlinear counterparts of these linearised radial charges can be obtained by, e.g., replacing in the definitions of [3, 4] the linearised metric perturbations  $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$  by  $g_{\mu\nu} - \mathring{g}_{\mu\nu}$ . We will collectively denote this set of radial charges at  $r_a$  as  $Q[\cdot]$ . The key properties of these radial charges, as relevant for our problem at hand, are:

1. Suppose that a set of null hypersurface data  $y \in \Phi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathcal{N}, k; k_\gamma]$  satisfies  $y - \overset{(0)}{y} = O(\epsilon)$ , then

$$\partial_r Q[y|_r] = O(\epsilon^2), \quad (6.6)$$

where the explicit formulae for  $\partial_r Q$  and  $\partial_r \overset{[1]}{Q}$  can be obtained from the equations in the previous sections. We can define a *charge-transport map*  $TQ$  by integration:

$$TQ[y] := Q[y|_{r=r_1}] + \int_{r_1}^{\overset{\cdot}{r}} \partial_r Q[y] dr = Q[y|_{r=r_1}] + O(\epsilon^2). \quad (6.7)$$

2. Given a metric  $g$ , let us denote by  $z^*(x, g)$  the action of a set of gauge-and-deformations  $z \in \mathcal{G}[\mathbf{S}, k; k_\gamma]$  (cf. Definition 3.4) on a codimension-two data set  $x \in \Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}, k; k_\gamma]$ . If  $z = O(\epsilon)$ , then

$$Q[z^*(x, g)] - Q[x] = O(\epsilon^2). \quad (6.8)$$

## 7 The gluing up to radially-conserved charges

As a step to prove Theorem 1.2, we establish a nonlinear-gluing result up-to-radial obstructions. Indeed, it turns out that the gluing-problem of order  $k$  near Birmingham-Kottler metrics can always be solved up to a finite-dimensional space of obstructions determined by  $\Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_1, k; k_\gamma]$ .

LEMMA 7.1 (Gluing up to radial obstructions) *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k_\gamma \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $\sigma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\sigma \geq \lambda \in (0, 1)$  in the  $\lambda$ -Hölder case,  $p \in (1, \infty)$  in the  $L^p$ -type Sobolev case. We suppose that, in  $n$ -space dimensions,  $n \geq 3$ , the regularity index  $k_\gamma$  satisfies*

$$k_\gamma \begin{cases} \geq 2 + 2k & \text{in the Hölder case, or} \\ > 2 + 2k + (n-1)/p & \text{in the } L^p\text{-type Sobolev case.} \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

Let  $r_1 < r_2$ , and for  $a = 1, 2$ , let  $\hat{x}_a$  be codimension-two data arising from a Birmingham-Kottler metric  $\hat{g}$  at  $\{u = 0, r_a\}$ . There exist

1. a finite set of radial charges  $Q$ , and
2. a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\hat{g}$  in the space of  $C^{k_\gamma+1, \sigma}$  metrics defined near  $r = r_2$ , and
3. neighborhoods  $\mathcal{O}_a \subset \Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_a, k; k_\gamma]$  of  $\hat{x}_a$ , and
4. a smooth map  $\Theta_\Phi$  from  $\mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_2 \times \mathcal{U}$  to the set of characteristic data  $\Phi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathcal{N}, k; k_\gamma]$ , and
5. a smooth map  $\Theta_{\mathcal{G}}$  from  $\mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_2 \times \mathcal{U}$  to the deformation-and-gauge data  $\mathcal{G}[\mathcal{N}, k; k_\gamma]$ ,

such that the following holds: Given two codimension-two data sets  $x_{r_a} \in \mathcal{O}_a$ , with  $x_{r_2}$  induced by a metric  $g_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ , the vacuum characteristic data set  $\Theta_\Phi(x_{r_1}, x_{r_2}, g_2)$

- a) is compatible with  $x_{r_1}$  and

b) induces a deformed codimension-two data set  $z_{r_2}^*(x_{r_2}, g_2)$ , where  $z_{r_2} = \Theta_{\mathcal{G}}(x_{r_1}, x_{r_2}, g_2)$ , if and only if

$$Q[z_{r_2}^*(x_{r_2}, g_2)] = TQ[\Theta_{\Phi}(x_{r_1}, x_{r_2}, g_2)], \quad (7.2)$$

where  $TQ$  is as in (6.6).

In other words, we can use the map  $\Theta_{\Phi}$  to solve the gluing problem if we can arrange that the finite number of conditions (7.2) is satisfied. We will show how to do this in the situation considered in the next section.

REMARK 7.2 When the mass parameter of  $\mathring{g}$  vanishes, the number of radial charges is given in the last line of Table 7.1 in spacetime dimension four, with  $k = 2$ . The reader is referred to [4, Table 1.2] for the list of radial charges when the mass vanishes. When the mass parameter of  $\mathring{g}$  is non zero, the number of radial charges equals  $c_{\mathring{\gamma}} + 1$ , where  $c_{\mathring{\gamma}}$  is the dimension of the space of Killing vectors of  $(\mathbf{S}, \mathring{\gamma})$ , with the radial charges  $Q = (Q^{[1]}, Q^{[2]})$  given by the integrals of Section 6.  $\square$

PROOF: In order to proceed some notation will be useful. Given an element  $x_{r_1} \in \Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_1, k; k_{\gamma}]$  and a function  $\mathring{r} > 0$  on  $I \times \mathbf{S}_2$  let us denote by  $\Xi_{x_{r_1}}$  the map of Theorem 4.1 which, to a set of characteristic data  $y \in \Phi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathcal{N}, k; k_{\gamma}]$  compatible with  $x_{r_1}$ , assigns a codimension-two data set  $\Xi_{x_{r_1}}(y) \in \Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_2, k; k_{\gamma}]$ .

Next, we define  $\Theta(x_{r_1}, x_{r_2}, \overset{[i]}{\varphi}, z_{r_2}, g_2)$  as the characteristic data set compatible with  $x_{r_1}$ , with the characteristic data field  $\gamma_{AB}$  given by

$$r^2 \gamma_{AB} = \underbrace{\omega \left( \mathring{g}_{AB} + \phi_1((g_1)_{AB} - \mathring{g}_{AB}) + \phi_2(E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB} - \mathring{g}_{AB}) + \sum_{i \in \iota_{\ell-1, m}} \kappa_i \overset{[i]}{\varphi}_{AB} \right)}_{=: \mathring{g}_{AB}}, \quad (7.3)$$

where  $\omega$ ,  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$ ,  $\iota_{\ell-1, m}$ ,  $\kappa_i$ , and  $\overset{[i]}{\varphi}$  have been defined below (2.6), and

1.  $g_a$ ,  $a = 1, 2$ , are  $C^{k_{\gamma}+1}$  vacuum metrics inducing  $x_{r_a}$ , and
2.  $E(\Psi^* g_2)_{AB}$  is constructed from  $z_{r_2} \in \mathcal{G}[\mathcal{N}, k; k_{\gamma}]$  and from  $g_2$  using Theorem 5.2 and (5.78)-(5.79).

Given two codimension-two data sets  $x_{r_1}$  and  $x_{r_2}$  of order  $k$  and the metric  $g_2$ , we wish to find  $(\overset{[i]}{\varphi}, z_{r_2})$  solving the equation

$$\Xi_{x_{r_1}} \left( \Theta(x_{r_1}, x_{r_2}, \overset{[i]}{\varphi}, z_{r_2}, g_2) \right) = z_{r_2}^*(x_{r_2}, g_2), \quad (7.4)$$

using the implicit function theorem.

REMARK 7.3 A comment concerning the integration range in  $r$  might be in order, as here the gluing takes place at  $\{r = \mathring{r}(u = 0, x^A)\}$ . The question then arises, whether this affects

	$S^2$	$\mathbb{T}^2$	genus $\mathfrak{g} \geq 2$
$^{[1]}Q: m = 0$	6	2	0
$m \neq 0$	3	2	0
$^{[2]}Q: m = 0$	4	1	1
$m \neq 0$	1	1	1
$^{[3,1][H]}Q : m = 0$	0	coincides with $^{[2]}Q$	$2\mathfrak{g}$
$m \neq 0$	0	0	0
$q_{AB}^{[TT]} : m = 0, \ell^{-1} = 0$	0	2	$6(\mathfrak{g} - 1)$
$m = 0, \ell^{-1} \neq 0$	0	0	0
$m \neq 0$	0	0	0
$^{[3,2][H]}Q : m = 0$	0	0	$2\mathfrak{g}$
$m \neq 0$	0	0	0
$^{[2][TT]}q_{AB}: m = 0$	0	2	$6(\mathfrak{g} - 1)$
$m \neq 0$	0	0	0
together: $m = 0, \ell^{-1} = 0$	10	7	$16\mathfrak{g} - 11$
$m = 0, \ell^{-1} \neq 0$	10	5	$10\mathfrak{g} - 5$
$m \neq 0$	4	3	1

**Table 7.1.** The dimension of the space of obstructions for linearised  $C_u^2 C_{(r,x^A)}^\infty$ -gluing, spacetime dimension four, from [3]. On  $S^2$  the four obstructions associated with  $^{[2]}Q$  correspond to spacetime translations, the three obstructions associated with  $^{[1]}Q$  when  $m \neq 0$  correspond to rotations of  $S^2$ , with the further three obstructions arising when  $m = 0$  corresponding to boosts. The reader is referred to [3] for further definitions.

the relevance to the current work of the linearised equations analysed in [3, 4], where the gluing takes place at  $\{r = r_2\}$ . We assert that the results in these last two references apply without further due.

To see this, consider a family of spacetime metrics parameterised by a parameter  $\epsilon$ . Let  $F(\epsilon, r, u, x^A)$  denote a collection of fields, built from the metric functions and their derivatives, which satisfies a transport equation of the form

$$\partial_r F(\epsilon, r, \cdot) = f(\epsilon, r, \cdot), \quad (7.5)$$

and such that  $F|_{\epsilon=0}$  takes the Birmingham-Kottler values. Let  $\mathring{r}(\epsilon, \cdot)$  be a family of functions such that  $\mathring{r} = r_2$  at  $\epsilon = 0$ . The gluing equations here take the form

$$F(\epsilon, \mathring{r}(\epsilon, \cdot), \cdot) = F(\epsilon, r_1, \cdot) + \int_{r_1}^{\mathring{r}(\epsilon, \cdot)} f(\epsilon, s) ds. \quad (7.6)$$

Differentiating with respect to  $\epsilon$  and setting, as usual,  $\delta F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon}$  one obtains

$$\delta F(r_2, \cdot) + \left( \frac{\partial F(\epsilon, r_2, \cdot)}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \hat{r}(\epsilon, r_2, \cdot)}{\partial \epsilon} \right) \Big|_{\epsilon=0} = \delta F(r_1, \cdot) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} f(\epsilon, s) ds + f(0, r_2) \frac{\partial \hat{r}(\epsilon, r_2, \cdot)}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0}. \quad (7.7)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\delta F(r_2, \cdot) = \delta F(r_1, \cdot) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} f(\epsilon, s) ds, \quad (7.8)$$

which are the equations analysed in the  $\hat{r} = r_2$ -linearisation procedure in [3, 4].  $\square$

It holds that:

1. For  $r \in [r_1 + 2\eta, r_2 - 2\eta]$  we have

$$g_{AB} = \omega \left( \hat{g}_{AB} + \sum_{i \in \ell^{-1}, m} \kappa_i \hat{\varphi}_{AB}^{[i]} \right). \quad (7.9)$$

Tracelessness of the  $\hat{\varphi}_{AB}^{[i]}$ 's shows that the determinant  $\det \hat{g}_{AB}$  is a polynomial in the  $\hat{\varphi}_{AB}^{[i]}$ 's without linear terms. It follows that the linearisation of  $\omega$ , as given by (2.9), with respect to the  $\hat{\varphi}_{AB}^{[i]}$ 's is zero.

2. The linearisation of the map defined by the second coordinate transformation of Section 5.1.2 corresponds to the linearised gauge-transformations  $\partial_u^i \xi^A$  of [3, 4]. For example, let  $\Psi$  be generated by a vector field  $\zeta^A \partial_A$ . Using the formula

$$\det (g_{AB} + \epsilon A_{AB}) = (\det g_{AB}) (1 + \epsilon g^{AB} A_{AB} + O(\epsilon^2))$$

one finds, on  $\mathbf{S}_2$ , that the linearisation of  $(\Psi^* g)_{AB}$  with respect to  $\Psi$  at  $\Psi = \text{Id}$  is

$$C(\zeta)_{AB} := D_A \zeta_B + D_B \zeta_A - \frac{2g^{CD} D_C \zeta_D}{d} g_{AB},$$

where  $d = n - 1$ . These are the linearised gauge transformations  $\zeta^A \partial_A$  of [3, 4].

3. Similar calculations show that the linearisation of the map defined by the first coordinate transformation of Section 5.1.1 corresponds to the linearised gauge-transformations  $\partial_u^i \xi^u$  of [3, 4].

Consider the image, say  $\mathfrak{S}$ , of the linearisation with respect to its first two arguments of the map

$$(\hat{\varphi}^{[i]}, z_{r_2}, x_{r_1}, x_{r_2}, g_2) \mapsto \Xi_{x_{r_1}} \left( \Theta(x_{r_1}, x_{r_2}, \hat{\varphi}^{[i]}, z_{r_2}, g_2) \right) - z_{r_2}^*(x_{r_2}, g_2). \quad (7.10)$$

at  $(0, 0, \hat{x}_{r_1}, \hat{x}_{r_2}, \hat{g})$ . By<sup>2</sup> [3, Theorem 5.1] in spacetime dimension four, or by [4, Theorem 6.1] in higher dimensions,

---

<sup>2</sup>In [3] and [4]  $L^2$ -based Sobolev spaces are considered, with stronger  $r$ -differentiability hypotheses than here in [3]. But the analysis in both references applies without further due to the  $X^{\mathbf{S}}$  and  $X^{\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \hat{r}]}}$  spaces used here.

1. this linearisation is surjective on  $\mathfrak{S}$ ,
2. with splitting kernel, say  $\mathfrak{K}$ , and
3. letting  $q$  be the dimension of the space of radial charges (cf. Remark 7.2), near  $\hat{x}_2$  we can write

$$\Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_2, k; k_\gamma] = \mathfrak{S} \oplus \mathbb{R}^q. \quad (7.11)$$

Returning to the proof of Lemma 7.1, let  $\Pi$  denote the projection on the first factor in (7.10). The implicit function theorem (cf., e.g., [19, Theorem 5.9]) shows that there exist neighborhoods  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_a$  as in the statement of Lemma 7.1 and unique fields  $(\overset{[i]}{\varphi}, z_{r_2})$ , belonging to the closed subspace complementing the kernel  $\mathfrak{K}$ , such that the equation

$$\Pi\left(\Xi_{x_{r_1}}\left(\Theta(x_{r_1}, x_{r_2}, \overset{[i]}{\varphi}, z_{r_2}, g_2)\right) - z_{r_2}^*(x_{r_2}, g_2)\right) = 0 \quad (7.12)$$

holds. The maps  $\Theta_\Phi$  and  $\Theta_{\mathcal{G}}$  are defined as

$$\Theta_\Phi(x_{r_1}, x_{r_2}, g_2) := \Theta(x_{r_1}, x_{r_2}, \overset{[i]}{\varphi}, z_{r_2}, g_2), \quad \Theta_{\mathcal{G}}(x_{r_1}, x_{r_2}, g_2) := z_{r_2},$$

where  $(\overset{[i]}{\varphi}, z_{r_2})$  are the fields just mentioned. The proof for finite  $k_\gamma$  is completed.

Finally, the fields  $(\overset{[i]}{\varphi}, z_{r_2})$  are obtained by solving an elliptic system of equations, which implies in particular that the solution is independent of  $k_\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying (7.1). This justifies the claim for  $k_\gamma = \infty$ .  $\square$

## 8 The gluing to a nearby Kottler-(A)dS metric

We are ready now to pass to our main result:

**THEOREM 8.1 (Gluing to a nearby metric)** *Let  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 < r_1 < r_2$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k_\gamma \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , with  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  in the  $\lambda$ -Hölder case, or  $p \in (1, \infty)$  in the  $L^p$ -type Sobolev case, in the Definition 3.4 of the function spaces. We suppose that, in  $n$ -space dimensions,  $n \geq 3$ , the regularity index  $k_\gamma$  satisfies*

$$k_\gamma \begin{cases} \geq 2 + 2k & \text{in the Hölder case, or} \\ > 2 + 2k + (n - 1)/p & \text{in the } L^p\text{-type Sobolev case.} \end{cases} \quad (8.1)$$

Let  $x_{r_1} \in \Psi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathbf{S}_1, k; k_\gamma]$  be a codimension-two Bondi data set sufficiently near to the data arising from one of the following  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional metrics with nonzero mass:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Kerr-(A)dS metrics,} & \text{when } \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S} \approx S^{n-1} \text{ or a quotient thereof;} \\ \text{Birmingham-Kottler metrics,} & \text{when } \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}, R(\hat{\gamma}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

There exist a function  $\hat{r} > 0$  and a null-hypersurface data set  $y \in \Phi_{\text{Bo}}[\mathcal{N}_{[r_1, \hat{r}]}, k; k_\gamma]$  connecting  $x_{r_1}$  with a codimension-two data set at  $\{r = \hat{r}\}$  induced by a nearby metric within the corresponding family.

PROOF: The result follows in a standard way from Lemma 7.1, see [1], or [20, 21] in a related context. The only thing to check is that the families listed contain the whole set of compensating charges. In spacetime-dimension four this has been shown in [3, Section 6]. For the Myers-Perry metrics near the Birmingham-Kottler metrics this has been shown in the linearised case in [4, Section 7], which suffices for the purpose of our small-deformation results here. For negatively curved (i.e.,  $R(\hat{\gamma}) < 0$ ) Birmingham-Kottler metrics the radial charge  $Q$ <sup>[1]</sup> is trivial, as the relevant metrics  $\gamma_{AB}$  have no Killing vectors, and so only the mass parameter remains.

For illustration we give the proof which covers the last case, when the dimension of the space of charges is one, i.e. the only obstruction is the mass parameter, as then the argument is completely elementary, and proceeds as follows: Suppose that  $x_{r_1}$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to, e.g., a negatively curved Birmingham-Kottler metric  $g[\hat{m}]$  with mass parameter  $\hat{m}$ . We can normalise  $Q$ <sup>[2]</sup> so that for a codimension-two data set, say  $\hat{x}_{m,r}$ , induced by a Birmingham-Kottler metric  $\hat{g}[m]$  on the level sets of  $r$  within  $\{u = 0\}$ , we have

$$Q[\hat{x}_{m,r}] = m. \quad (8.2)$$

For any  $z_{r_2}$  which is  $\epsilon$ -small we have, in view of (6.8),

$$Q[z_{r_2}^*(\hat{x}_{m,r_2})] = m + O(\epsilon^2). \quad (8.3)$$

There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|Q[x_{r_1}] - \hat{m}| \leq C\epsilon. \quad (8.4)$$

Given  $s \in [-2C\epsilon, 2C\epsilon]$ , Lemma 7.1 provides characteristic data

$$y_{\hat{m}+s} := \Theta_{\Phi}(x_{r_1}, \hat{x}_{r_2, \hat{m}+s}, g[\hat{m} + s])$$

connecting  $x_{r_1}$  and  $z_{r_2}^*(\hat{x}_{\hat{m}+s, r_2}, g[\hat{m} + s])$  such that (cf. (6.8))

$$TQ[y_{\hat{m}+s}] = Q[x_{r_1}] + O(\epsilon^2). \quad (8.5)$$

Consider, now, the continuous function

$$[-2C\epsilon, 2C\epsilon] \ni s \mapsto F(s) := \underbrace{Q[z_{r_2}^*(\hat{x}_{\hat{m}+s, r_2}, g[\hat{m} + s])]}_{=\hat{m}+s+O(\epsilon^2)} - \underbrace{TQ[y_{\hat{m}+s}]}_{\in \hat{m}+[-C\epsilon, C\epsilon]}. \quad (8.6)$$

We have

$$F(-2C\epsilon) \leq -C\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2) \text{ and } F(2C\epsilon) \geq C\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2).$$

Continuity implies that, for  $\epsilon$  small enough, there exists  $s$  such that  $F(s) = 0$ , which provides the desired codimension-two data set induced by the metric  $g[\hat{m} + s]$ .  $\square$

## A $G_{uA}$ and $G_{uu}$

We collect the equations in increasing powers of  $r$ .  $R[\gamma]_{AB}$  denotes the Ricci tensor of the metric  $\gamma_{AB}$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{uA} = & + \frac{1}{2r^2}(n-4)D_A V \\
& - \frac{1}{2r} \left[ \frac{(n-4)(n-3)\gamma_{AB}U^B V}{e^{2\beta}} - D_A \partial_r V - 2D_A V \partial_r \beta + \gamma^{BC} D_B V \partial_r \gamma_{AC} \right] \\
& + \frac{e^{-2\beta}}{2} \left[ e^{2\beta} \left( -2D_A \partial_u \beta - 2D_A U^B D_B \beta + 2D_A \beta D_B U^B + 2U^B (2D_A \beta D_B \beta + D_B D_A \beta) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - D_B D_A U^B + \gamma^{BC} D_C \partial_u \gamma_{AB} \right) + (n-2)U^B V \partial_r \gamma_{AB} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \gamma_{AB} \left( e^{2\beta} \gamma^{CD} (U^B (R[\gamma]_{CD} - 6D_C \beta D_D \beta - 4D_D D_C \beta) + D_D D_C U^B) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + 2U^B V \partial_r \beta + (1+n)V \partial_r U^B - 2(n-3)U^B \partial_r V \right) \right] \\
& + \frac{r e^{-2\beta}}{8} \left[ -4(n-1)\gamma_{BC} U^B D_A U^C - 4(n-1)\gamma_{AC} U^B D_B U^C - 8\gamma_{AB} U^B D_C U^C \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 8n\gamma_{AB} U^B D_C U^C - 4\gamma^{CD} U^B V \partial_r \gamma_{AC} \partial_r \gamma_{BD} - \gamma_{AB} \gamma^{CD} \gamma^{FG} U^B V \partial_r \gamma_{CF} \partial_r \gamma_{DG} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 8\gamma_{AB} V \partial_r \beta \partial_r U^B + 4V \partial_r \gamma_{AB} \partial_r U^B - 8\gamma_{AB} U^B \partial_r \beta \partial_r V + 4U^B \partial_r \gamma_{AB} \partial_r V \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 8\gamma_{AB} U^B V \partial_r^2 \beta + 4U^B V \partial_r^2 \gamma_{AB} + 4\gamma_{AB} V \partial_r^2 U^B - 4\gamma_{AB} U^B \partial_r^2 V \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 4(n-1)U^B \partial_u \gamma_{AB} \right] \\
& + \frac{r^2 e^{-2\beta}}{4} \left[ -2\gamma_{BC} (U^B D_A \partial_r U^C + D_A U^B \partial_r U^C) + 2\gamma_{AC} \left( 2U^B (2U^C D_B \partial_r \beta - D_B \partial_r U^C) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + (2U^B D_B \beta - D_B U^B) \partial_r U^C \right) - 2\gamma_{AB} (\partial_r \partial_u U^B - 2\partial_r U^B \partial_u \beta) - 2\partial_r U^B \partial_u \gamma_{AB} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2U^B \left( -2U^C D_C \partial_r \gamma_{AB} - D_C U^C \partial_r \gamma_{AB} + (-D_A U^C + D^C U_A) \partial_r \gamma_{BC} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \gamma_{AB} (2D_C \partial_r U^C + D^D U^C \partial_r \gamma_{CD} + 2D_C \beta \partial_r U^C + 4\partial_r \partial_u \beta) - 2\partial_r \partial_u \gamma_{AB} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \gamma^{CD} \partial_r \gamma_{BD} \partial_u \gamma_{AC} + \partial_r \gamma_{AC} (-D_B U^C + D^C U_B + \gamma^{CD} \partial_u \gamma_{BD}) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \gamma_{AB} \gamma^{CD} \gamma^{FG} U^B \partial_r \gamma_{CF} \partial_u \gamma_{DG} \right] \\
& + \frac{r^4 e^{-4\beta}}{4} (2\gamma_{AC} \gamma_{BD} + \gamma_{AB} \gamma_{CD}) U^B \partial_r U^C \partial_r U^D, \tag{A.1}
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
G_{uu} = & -\frac{1}{2r^4}(n-3)(n-1)V^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2r^3} \left[ e^{2\beta} \gamma^{AB} \left( R[\gamma]_{AB} V + 2D_A \beta (-VD_B \beta + D_B V) - 2VD_B D_A \beta + D_B D_A V \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (n-1)V(2V\partial_r \beta - \partial_r V) \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{8r^2} \left[ 8(n-1)U^A V D_A \beta + 4(n-7)U^A D_A V \right. \\
& \quad \left. - V \left( (4+8n)D_A U^A - \gamma^{AB} \gamma^{CD} V \partial_r \gamma_{AC} \partial_r \gamma_{BD} - 8(n-1) \partial_u \beta \right) - 4(n-1) \partial_u V \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{4r} \left[ \frac{2(n-4)(n-3)\gamma_{AB} U^A U^B V}{e^{2\beta}} + 4VD_A \partial_r U^A + 2VD^B U^A \partial_r \gamma_{AB} \right. \\
& \quad - 4U^A (D_A \partial_r V + 2D_{AV} \partial_r \beta - \gamma^{BC} D_B V \partial_r \gamma_{AC}) + 2D_{AV} \partial_r U^A \\
& \quad \left. - 2D_A U^A (2V\partial_r \beta + \partial_r V) + \gamma^{AB} \gamma^{CD} V \partial_r \gamma_{AC} \partial_u \gamma_{BD} \right] \\
& - \frac{e^{-2\beta}}{4} \left[ 2(n-2)U^A U^B V \partial_r \gamma_{AB} \right. \\
& \quad - 2\gamma_{AB} U^A \left( -e^{2\beta} \gamma^{CD} (U^B (R[\gamma]_{CD} - 6D_C \beta D_D \beta - 4D_D D_C \beta) + 2D_D D_C U^B) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2U^B V \partial_r \beta - 2(1+n)V \partial_r U^B + 2(n-3)U^B \partial_r V \right) \\
& \quad - e^{2\beta} \left( -4D_A \partial_u U^A - 2D_B U^A (D_A U^B + D^B U_A) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + U^A (8D_A \partial_u \beta + 8(-U^B D_A \beta + D_A U^B) D_B \beta - 4\gamma^{BC} D_C \partial_u \gamma_{AB}) + 8D_A U^A \partial_u \beta \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 4D^B U^A \partial_u \gamma_{AB} + \gamma^{AB} \gamma^{CD} (-2\partial_r \gamma_{AC} + \partial_u \gamma_{AC}) \partial_u \gamma_{BD} \right) \left. \right] \\
& + \frac{re^{-2\beta}}{8} \left[ 8(n-1)\gamma_{BC} U^A U^B D_A U^C + \gamma_{AB} \left( -2V \partial_r U^A \partial_r U^B + U^A \left( 8V(2\partial_r \beta \partial_r U^B - \partial_r^2 U^B) \right) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + U^B (-8(n-1)D_C U^C + \gamma^{CD} \gamma^{FG} V \partial_r \gamma_{CF} \partial_r \gamma_{DG} + 8\partial_r \beta \partial_r V + 8V \partial_r^2 \beta + 4\partial_r^2 V) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 4U^A \left( \gamma^{CD} U^B V \partial_r \gamma_{AC} \partial_r \gamma_{BD} - \partial_r \gamma_{AB} (2V \partial_r U^B + U^B \partial_r V) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + U^B (-V \partial_r^2 \gamma_{AB} + (n-1) \partial_u \gamma_{AB}) \right) \left. \right] \\
& - \frac{r^2 e^{-2\beta}}{4} U^A \left[ \gamma_{BC} \left( 8U^B (U^C D_A \partial_r \beta - D_A \partial_r U^C) - 4(-2U^B D_A \beta + D_A U^B) \partial_r U^C \right) \right. \\
& \quad - 4(\gamma_{AC} D_B U^B \partial_r U^C + \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r \partial_u U^B - 2\partial_r U^B \partial_u \beta) + \partial_r U^B \partial_u \gamma_{AB}) \\
& \quad + U^B \left( -4U^C D_C \partial_r \gamma_{AB} - 2D_C U^C \partial_r \gamma_{AB} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 4((D_A U^C - D^C U_A) \partial_r \gamma_{BC} + \partial_r \partial_u \gamma_{AB} - \gamma^{CD} \partial_r \gamma_{AC} \partial_u \gamma_{BD}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \gamma_{AB} (4D_C \partial_r U^C + 2D^D U^C \partial_r \gamma_{CD} + 4D_C \beta \partial_r U^C + 8\partial_r \partial_u \beta + \gamma^{CD} \gamma^{FG} \partial_r \gamma_{CF} \partial_u \gamma_{DG}) \right) \left. \right] \\
& - \frac{r^4 e^{-4\beta}}{4} (2\gamma_{AC} \gamma_{BD} + \gamma_{AB} \gamma_{CD}) U^A U^B \partial_r U^C \partial_r U^D. \tag{A.2}
\end{aligned}$$

## B Transport equations of $Q^{[1]}$ and $Q^{[2]}$

### B.1 $Q^{[1]}$

From the vacuum Einstein equations we have,

$$0 = \frac{r}{2(n-1)} G_{rr} = \partial_r \beta - \frac{r}{8(n-1)} \gamma^{AC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{AB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2r^{n-1} G_{rA} \\ &= \partial_r \left[ r^{n+1} e^{-2\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^B) \right] - 2r^{2(n-1)} \partial_r \left( \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} D_A \beta \right) + r^{n-1} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Subtracting  $-4r^{n-1} \times D_A$  (B.1) from (B.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r \left[ r^{n+1} e^{-2\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^B) \right] &= 2r^{2(n-1)} \partial_r \left( \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} D_A \beta \right) - r^{n-1} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF}) \\ &\quad - 4r^{n-1} D_A \left[ \partial_r \beta - \frac{r}{8(n-1)} \gamma^{EC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{EB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}) \right] \\ &= -\partial_r (2r^{n-1} D_A \beta) - r^{n-1} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF}) \\ &\quad + \frac{r^n}{2(n-1)} D_A \left[ \gamma^{EC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{EB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r \left[ r^{n+1} e^{-2\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^B) + 2r^{n-1} D_A \beta \right] &= \frac{r^n}{2(n-1)} D_A \left[ \gamma^{EC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{EB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}) \right] \\ &\quad - r^{n-1} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

which is (6.1) of the main text.

### B.2 $Q^{[2]}$

From the Einstein's equations,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Lambda r^2 &= r^2 e^{-2\beta} (2G_{ur} + 2U^A G_{rA} - V/r G_{rr}) \\ &= R[\gamma] - 2\gamma^{AB} \left[ D_A D_B \beta + (D_A \beta)(D_B \beta) \right] + \frac{e^{-2\beta}}{r^{2(n-2)}} D_A \left[ \partial_r (r^{2(n-1)} U^A) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} r^4 e^{-4\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^A) (\partial_r U^B) - \frac{(n-1)}{r^{n-3}} e^{-2\beta} \partial_r (r^{n-3} V), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

or,

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1) \partial_r (r^{n-3} V) - 2(n-1) r^{n-2} D_A U^A - r^{n-1} D_A \partial_r U^A + 2\gamma^{AB} e^{2\beta} r^{n-3} D_A D_B \beta \\ = e^{2\beta} r^{n-3} \left[ -2\Lambda r^2 + R[\gamma] - 2\gamma^{AB} (D_A \beta)(D_B \beta) - \frac{1}{2} r^4 e^{-4\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^A) (\partial_r U^B) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

From (B.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{r^{n-1}}{2(n-1)} D_A \left[ \gamma^{EC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{EB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}) \right] - r^{n-2} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF}) \\
&= \frac{1}{r} \partial_r \left[ r^{n+1} e^{-2\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^B) + 2r^{n-1} D_A \beta \right] \\
&= (n+1) r^{n-1} e^{-2\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^B) + r^n e^{-2\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r^2 U^B) + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r \left[ 2r^{n-1} D_A \beta \right] \\
&\quad - 2r^n \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^B) e^{-2\beta} \partial_r \beta + r^n e^{-2\beta} \partial_r (\gamma_{AB}) (\partial_r U^B). \tag{B.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by  $e^{2\beta}$  and taking  $D^A$  gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n+1) r^{n-1} D_B (\partial_r U^B) + \underbrace{r^n D_B (\partial_r^2 U^B)}_{r^n \partial_r (D_B \partial_r U^B) + r^n [D_B, \partial_r] \partial_r U^B} + \frac{1}{r} D^A \left[ e^{2\beta} \partial_r (2r^{n-1} D_A \beta) \right] \\
&= 2r^n D_B [(\partial_r U^B) \partial_r \beta] - r^n D^A \left[ \partial_r (\gamma_{AB}) (\partial_r U^B) \right] \\
&\quad + D^A \left[ \frac{e^{2\beta} r^{n-1}}{2(n-1)} D_A \left( \gamma^{EC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{EB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}) \right) \right] - r^{n-2} D^A [e^{2\beta} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF})] \tag{B.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (B.8) from (B.6) gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n-1) \partial_r (r^{n-3} V) - 2(n-1) r^{n-2} D_A U^A - r^{n-1} D_A \partial_r U^A \\
&\quad - (n+1) r^{n-1} D_B (\partial_r U^B) - r^n \partial_r (D_B \partial_r U^B) \\
&\quad - r^n [D_B, \partial_r] \partial_r U^B - \frac{1}{r} D^A \left[ e^{2\beta} \partial_r (2r^{n-1} D_A \beta) \right] + 2\gamma^{AB} e^{2\beta} r^{n-3} D_A D_B \beta \\
&= e^{2\beta} r^{n-3} \left[ -2\Lambda r^2 + R[\gamma] - 2\gamma^{AB} (D_A \beta) (D_B \beta) - \frac{1}{2} r^4 e^{-4\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^A) (\partial_r U^B) \right] \\
&\quad - 2r^n D_B [(\partial_r U^B) \partial_r \beta] + r^n D^A \left[ \partial_r (\gamma_{AB}) (\partial_r U^B) \right] \\
&\quad - D^A \left[ \frac{e^{2\beta} r^{n-1}}{2(n-1)} D_A \left( \gamma^{EC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{EB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}) \right) \right] + r^{n-2} D^A [e^{2\beta} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF})], \tag{B.9}
\end{aligned}$$

where the first two lines can be rewritten to give

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n-1) \partial_r \left( r^{n-3} V - \frac{r^{n-2}}{n-1} D^A \partial_r (r^2 U_A) \right) - 2r^{n-1} [D_B, \partial_r] U^B \\
&\quad - r^n [D_B, \partial_r] \partial_r U^B - \frac{1}{r} D^A \left[ e^{2\beta} \partial_r (2r^{n-1} D_A \beta) \right] + 2\gamma^{AB} e^{2\beta} r^{n-3} D_A D_B \beta \\
&= e^{2\beta} r^{n-3} \left[ -2\Lambda r^2 + R[\gamma] - 2\gamma^{AB} (D_A \beta) (D_B \beta) - \frac{1}{2} r^4 e^{-4\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^A) (\partial_r U^B) \right] \\
&\quad - 2r^n D_B [(\partial_r U^B) \partial_r \beta] + r^n D^A \left[ (\partial_r \gamma_{AB}) (\partial_r U^B) \right] \\
&\quad - D^A \left[ \frac{e^{2\beta} r^{n-1}}{2(n-1)} D_A \left( \gamma^{EC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{EB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}) \right) \right] + r^{n-2} D^A [e^{2\beta} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF})]. \tag{B.10}
\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the second term in the second line of (B.10) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r} D^A \left[ e^{2\beta} \partial_r (2r^{n-1} D_A \beta) \right] &= \partial_r \left( 2r^{n-2} e^{2\beta} \Delta \beta \right) + \partial_r (2r^{n-2} D^A (e^{2\beta}) D_A \beta) \\ &\quad + 2r^{n-2} [D^A, \partial_r] (e^{2\beta} D_A \beta) - 2r^{n-1} D^A [\partial_r (e^{2\beta}/r) D_A \beta], \end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

which can be substituted back into (B.10) to give

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1) \partial_r \left( \underbrace{r^{n-3} V - \frac{r^{n-2}}{n-1} D^A \partial_r (r^2 U_A) - \frac{2r^{n-2}}{n-1} e^{2\beta} \Delta \beta}_{=: \chi} \right) \\ &= 2r^{n-1} [D_B, \partial_r] U^B + \partial_r (2r^{n-2} D^A (e^{2\beta}) D_A \beta) \\ &\quad + 2r^{n-2} [D^A, \partial_r] (e^{2\beta} D_A \beta) - 2r^{n-1} D^A [\partial_r (e^{2\beta}/r) D_A \beta] \\ &\quad + r^n [D_B, \partial_r] \partial_r U^B - 2\gamma^{AB} e^{2\beta} r^{n-3} D_A D_B \beta \\ &\quad + e^{2\beta} r^{n-3} \left[ -2\Lambda r^2 + R[\gamma] - 2\gamma^{AB} (D_A \beta) (D_B \beta) - \frac{1}{2} r^4 e^{-4\beta} \gamma_{AB} (\partial_r U^A) (\partial_r U^B) \right] \\ &\quad - 2r^n D_B [(\partial_r U^B) \partial_r \beta] + r^n D^A [(\partial_r \gamma_{AB}) (\partial_r U^B)] \\ &\quad - D^A \left[ \frac{e^{2\beta} r^{n-1}}{2(n-1)} D_A \left( \gamma^{EC} \gamma^{BD} (\partial_r \gamma_{EB}) (\partial_r \gamma_{CD}) \right) \right] + r^{n-2} D^A [e^{2\beta} \gamma^{EF} D_E (\partial_r \gamma_{AF})]. \end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

## References

- [1] S. Aretakis, S. Czimek and I. Rodnianski, *The characteristic gluing problem for the Einstein vacuum equations. Linear and non-linear analysis*, [2107.02449](#).
- [2] S. Aretakis, S. Czimek and I. Rodnianski, *Characteristic gluing to the Kerr family and application to spacelike gluing*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **403** (2023) 275 [[2107.02456](#)].
- [3] P. Chruściel and W. Cong, *Characteristic gluing with  $\Lambda$ : 1. Linearised Einstein equations on four-dimensional spacetimes*, [2212.10052](#).
- [4] W. Cong, P. Chruściel and F. Gray, *Characteristic gluing with  $\Lambda$ : II. Linearised Einstein equations in higher dimension*, [2401.04442](#).
- [5] P. Chruściel and W. Cong, *Gluing variations*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **40** (2023) 165009 [[2302.06928](#)].
- [6] S. Czimek and I. Rodnianski, *Obstruction-free gluing for the Einstein equations*, [2210.09663](#).
- [7] R. C. Myers, *Higher dimensional black holes in compactified space-times*, *Phys. Rev.* **D35** (1987) 455.
- [8] G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu, D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, *Rotating black holes in higher dimensions with a cosmological constant*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 171102 [[hep-th/0409155](#)].
- [9] S. W. Hawking, C. J. Hunter and M. Taylor, *Rotation and the AdS / CFT correspondence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 064005 [[hep-th/9811056](#)].
- [10] D. Klemm, V. Moretti and L. Vanzo, *Rotating topological black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 6127 [[gr-qc/9710123](#)].
- [11] D. Klemm, *Rotating black branes wrapped on Einstein spaces*, *JHEP* **11** (1998) 019 [[hep-th/9811126](#)].
- [12] T. Mädler and J. Winicour, *Bondi-Sachs formalism*, *Scholarpedia* **11** (2016) 33528 [[1609.01731](#)].
- [13] R. Bartnik and P. Chruściel, *Boundary value problems for Dirac-type equations*, [math/0307278](#).
- [14] W. Sickel, *Composition operators acting on Sobolev spaces of fractional order. — A survey on sufficient and necessary conditions*, in *Function spaces, differential operators and nonlinear analysis* (J. Rakosnik, ed.), pp. 159–182, URL: [ftp://cirm.univ--mrs.fr/pub/EMIS/proceedings/Paseky95/sickel.ps.gz](#), Prometheus Publishing House, Prague, 1996.
- [15] G. Bourdaud, *Le calcul fonctionnel dans les espaces de Sobolev*, *Invent. Math.* **104** (1991) 435.
- [16] L. Andersson and P. Chruściel, *Solutions of the constraint equations in general relativity satisfying “hyperboloidal boundary conditions”*, *Dissert. Math.* **355** (1996) 1.
- [17] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, vol. No. 223 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [18] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, vol. No. 30 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [19] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, vol. 191 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999, [10.1007/978-1-4612-0541-8](#).

- [20] J. Corvino and R. Schoen, *On the asymptotics for the vacuum Einstein constraint equations*, *Jour. Diff. Geom.* **73** (2006) 185 [[gr-qc/0301071](#)].
- [21] P. Chruściel and E. Delay, *On mapping properties of the general relativistic constraints operator in weighted function spaces, with applications*, *Mém. Soc. Math. de France.* **94** (2003) 1 [[gr-qc/0301073](#)].