

OBSTACLES FOR SOBOLEV-HOMEOMORPHISMS WITH LOW RANK – POINTWISE A.E. VS DISTRIBUTIONAL JACOBIANS –

WOONGBAE PARK AND ARMIN SCHIKORRA

ABSTRACT. We show that for any k and $s > \frac{k+1}{k+2}$ there exist neither $W^{s, \frac{k}{s}}$ -Sobolev nor C^s -Hölder homeomorphisms from the disk \mathbb{B}^n into \mathbb{R}^N whose gradient has rank $< k$ in *distributional sense*. This complements known examples of such kind of homeomorphisms whose gradient has rank $< k$ *almost everywhere*.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper let $n \geq 2$ and $s \in (0, 1]$. In [5, 14] it was shown that for any $s \in (0, 1)$ and $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ there exists a C^s -homeomorphism (onto its target) $u : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\nabla u \in L^1$ and

$$(1.1) \quad \text{rank}(\nabla u) < k \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{B}^n.$$

As is well known, the pointwise a.e. derivative is a way less restrictive object than the distributional derivative which captures more fine geometric properties. The simplest example is the Heaviside function which has a.e. vanishing derivative, but is certainly not constant – because the *distributional* derivative does not vanish. Effects of this type are also known for distributional vs. a.e. Jacobians, see for example [6, 13].

The purpose of this note is to show that results similar to [5, 14] are wrong if the pointwise a.e. notion in (1.1) is replaced with a distributional version.

Since a map $u \in C^s$ does not need to be differentiable, the notion of distributional $\text{rank} \nabla u$ might not be immediate, but the idea is simple: Take any k -form monomial in $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{R}^N$

$$dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k}.$$

For any smooth map $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, the pullback

$$f^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k}) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k=1}^n \partial_{\alpha_1} f^{i_1} \partial_{\alpha_2} f^{i_2} \dots \partial_{\alpha_k} f^{i_k} dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\alpha_k}$$

is a k -form whose components are the determinants of $k \times k$ -submatrices of $(\nabla f^{i_1}, \dots, \nabla f^{i_k}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. In particular, if f is differentiable then $\text{rank} Df < k$ is equivalent to

$$f^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k}) = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq N.$$

On the other hand, $k \times k$ -determinants of submatrices of $(\nabla f^{i_1}, \dots, \nabla f^{i_k}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times N}$ are Jacobians and those can be defined in a distributional sense for Hölder and Sobolev maps.

Consequently, it is reasonable to say $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ satisfies

$$\text{rank } Df < k \quad \text{in distributional sense}$$

if for any $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq N$ we have

$$f^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k}) = 0$$

in distributional sense – we shall recall the precise meaning of the latter in Section 2.

Here is our main result:

Theorem 1.1. *Fix any $s \geq \frac{k}{k+1}$, $k \geq 2$. Denote by \mathbb{B}^n the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . There exists no homeomorphism $u \in W^{s, \frac{k}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$\text{rank } (\nabla u) < k \quad \text{in distributional sense.}$$

Here and henceforth for $s \in (0, 1)$ the fractional Sobolev space $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is the one induced by the Gagliardo seminorm

$$[f]_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

By slicing arguments and Fubini's theorem, cf. Lemma 2.3, any $W^{s, \frac{k}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ -homeomorphism for $k < n$ induces a $W^{s, \frac{k}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^k, \mathbb{R}^N)$ -homeomorphism on the k -dimensional ball \mathbb{B}^k – and the above notion of distributional rank is stable under that slicing operation. Thus, when proving Theorem 1.1 one can assume w.l.o.g. $k = n$. Actually, in the case $k = n$, we don't even have to assume that $u : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a homeomorphism onto its target, it is only used that u restricted to the boundary $\partial\mathbb{B}^n$ is one-to-one. Precisely we have

Theorem 1.2. *Let*

$$f : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$$

be a homeomorphism.

Then for any $s \in (0, 1]$, $s \geq \frac{n}{n+1}$, there exists no map $u \in W^{s, \frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ (homeomorphism or not) with the properties

- $\text{rank } Du < n$ in distributional sense in \mathbb{B}^n
- $f = u \Big|_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}$ in the sense of traces

Since by Sobolev embedding $C^{s+\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow W_{loc}^{s, \frac{n}{s}}$, we have in particular

Corollary 1.3. *Let $s > \frac{k}{k+1}$. The C^s -homeomorphism as the one constructed in [5, 14] can not exist if the assumption*

$$\text{rank } Du < k \quad \text{a.e.}$$

is substituted with

$$\text{rank } Du < k \quad \text{in distributional sense.}$$

The latter strengthens in particular [5, Theorem 12] where it is shown that a homeomorphism such as the one constructed in [5] cannot exist if we additionally assume it belongs to $W^{1,k}$. Observe that for $W^{1,k}$ -maps distributional and a.e. notion of $\text{rank } Du < k$ coincide.

The assumption $s > \frac{k}{k+1}$ in Corollary 1.3 is notable. The notion of $\text{rank } Df < k$ in distributional sense is well-defined for C^s -maps with $s > 1 - \frac{1}{k}$, see Section 2.

Question 1.4. *Does Corollary 1.3 hold for $s \in (1 - \frac{1}{k}, \frac{k}{k+1})$?*

Indeed, Question 1.4 is related to a conjecture by Gromov that one cannot C^s -embed two-dimensional surfaces into the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}_1 for $s > \frac{1}{2}$. This is known to be true for $s > \frac{2}{3}$, [7]. Notably Wenger and Young [22] recently constructed examples of C^s -maps from two-dimensional surfaces into the Heisenberg group, whose *boundary map* is homeomorphic – which suggests that the threshold $s \geq \frac{n}{n+1}$ in Theorem 1.2 could be sharp at least in some dimensions. As a matter of fact, our main tool is a consequence of a technique developed for maps into the Heisenberg group in [10]: Any homeomorphism can be nontrivially “linked” with a differential form, more precisely

Lemma 1.5 ([10]). *Let $f : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq n + 2$, be a smooth homeomorphism. There exist $\omega \in C_c^\infty(\wedge^{n-1} \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $d\omega \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of $f(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and*

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f^*(\omega) = 1.$$

See Lemma 3.1 for more explanation and a slightly sharper statement. The main idea to prove Theorem 1.2 is to show that the rank condition is not compatible with (1.2).

Lastly, let us mention that Corollary 1.3 can also be proven for the limiting Hölder case $C^{\frac{k}{k+1}+}$, the adaptations are left to the reader – the underlying technical arguments are discussed in [10].

Acknowledgement: Funding is acknowledged as follows

- A.S. is an Alexander-von-Humboldt Fellow.
- A.S. is funded by NSF Career DMS-2044898.

2. THE DISTRIBUTIONAL RANK CONDITION

The theory of distributional Jacobians has a long tradition with celebrated contributions by Ball [1], Brezis, Nirenberg [3], Coifman, Lions [4], Müller [15], Reshetnyak [16], Wente [23], Tartar [20], among many others. We recall and adapt the notion to our setting, but the results of this section are likely well-known at least to experts.

Definition 2.1. *Let $s \in (0, 1]$, $p \in (1, \infty)$. We say that $f \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies*

$$f^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k}) = 0$$

in $(W^{s,p}$ -)distributional sense if for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$ and any $f_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}^n}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with

$$\|f_\varepsilon - f\|_{W^{s,p}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$$

we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} f_\varepsilon^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k})\varphi = 0.$$

The following type of estimate is essentially known since [19], inspired by the results in [4]. In special cases an extremely elegant proof using harmonic extensions was given in [2], see also [11] for the relation to commutator estimates and harmonic extensions. See also [18] where this is revisited using the arguments of [11].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $s > 1 - \frac{1}{k}$ and $p > k$.*

For any $f \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and f_ε as in Definition 2.1

$$f^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k})[\varphi] \equiv f_{W^{s,p}}^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k})[\varphi] := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} f_\varepsilon^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k})\varphi = 0.$$

is a linear functional on $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$, independent of the precise choice of f_ε .

Moreover we have

$$|f^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k})[\varphi]| \lesssim [f]_{W^{s,p}}^k [\varphi]_{W^{(1-s)k, \frac{p}{p-k}}}$$

and for $f_1, f_2 \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$|f_1^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k})[\varphi] - f_2^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k})[\varphi]| \lesssim [f_1 - f_2]_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{B}^n)} \left([f_1]_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{B}^n)}^{k-1} + [f_2]_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{B}^n)}^{k-1} \right) [\varphi]_{W^{(1-s)k, \frac{p}{p-k}}}$$

In particular if $f \in W^{s_1, p_1}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{s_2, p_2}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ then the linear functional as an W^{s_1, p_1} -limit or an W^{s_2, p_2} -limit coincides, i.e.

$$f_{W^{s_1, p_1}}^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k})[\varphi] = f_{W^{s_2, p_2}}^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_k})[\varphi]$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Observe that this gives naturally also a suitable notion of C^s -distributional rank, because C^s embeds into $W^{s-\varepsilon, q}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $q \in (1, \infty)$.

Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of Theorem 1.2, once we observe the following restriction result.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that $f \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{S}^{k+1}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be continuous for $s > \frac{k+1}{k+2}$, $p > k$. Then, if we slice*

$$\mathbb{S}^{k+1} = \bigcup_{t \in [-1,1]} \{t\} \times \sqrt{1-t^2} \mathbb{S}^k$$

Then for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in (-1, 1)$

$$(2.1) \quad g := f \Big|_{\{t\} \times \sqrt{1-t^2} \mathbb{S}^k} \in W^{s,p}(\{t\} \times \sqrt{1-t^2} \mathbb{S}^k, \mathbb{R}^N)$$

and if for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k+1\}$ we have

$$\text{rank } Df < j \quad \text{in distributional sense in } \mathbb{S}^{k+1}$$

then

$$\text{rank } Dg < j \quad \text{in distributional sense in } \mathbb{S}^k$$

Proof. The fact that (2.1) holds is an application of Fubini's theorem, see e.g. [13, Lemma A.2]. For a more direct proof see [12, §6.2].

Similarly, the rank condition also follows from Fubini's theorem, since

$$0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k+1}} f_\varepsilon^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_j}) \varphi = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{(-1,1)} \int_{\{t\} \times \sqrt{1-t^2} \mathbb{S}^k} f_\varepsilon^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_j}) \varphi$$

implies that for a.e. $t \in (-1, 1)$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{t\} \times \sqrt{1-t^2} \mathbb{S}^k} f_\varepsilon^*(dp^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp^{i_j}) \varphi = 0.$$

□

3. USING THE LINKING NUMBER: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

As discussed in the introduction, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on the recent arguments developed for maps into the Heisenberg group [17, 10, 9].

The main ingredient is the following lemma, which is essentially just a reformulation of the well-known fact from Algebraic Topology that the homology class $H_{N-(n-1)-1}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus K)$ is nontrivial if K is homeomorphic to the \mathbb{S}^{n-1} -sphere. Indeed this fact can be found in the early chapters of any algebraic topology book, see e.g. [21, Corollary 1.29]. However, this particular reformulation transforms this fact into an analytically easily usable tool.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq n+2$, be a homeomorphism. There exist $\varepsilon > 0$ (depending on f) and $\omega \in C_c^\infty(\wedge^{n-1} \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

- $d\omega \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of $f(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

- for any $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with

$$\|\tilde{f} - f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} < \varepsilon$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \tilde{f}^*(\omega) = 1.$$

For a proof we refer to [17, Proposition 9.2.] or [10, Lemma 2.6]. $d\omega$ essentially represents a “surface” that is linked with $f(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ – where “surface” is to be understood in a general sense, see [8].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume to the contrary the existence of u as in Theorem 1.2.

By [13, Lemma A.4] there exist $u_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$ such that $[u_\varepsilon - u]_{W^{s, \frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n)} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$ and $u_\varepsilon|_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}$ uniformly converges to f as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

From Lemma 3.1 we find ω so that $d\omega \equiv 0$ around $f(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u_\varepsilon^*(\omega) = 1 \quad \forall \varepsilon \ll 1$$

By Stokes’ theorem this is equivalent to

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} u_\varepsilon^*(d\omega) = 1 \quad \forall \varepsilon \ll 1$$

If we write

$$d\omega = \sum_I \kappa_I dp^I$$

where each $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is a strictly ordered tuple in $\{1, \dots, N\}$, then we have by (3.1)

$$1 = \sum_I \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} u_\varepsilon^*(dp^I) \kappa_I(u_\varepsilon) \quad \forall \varepsilon \ll 1$$

Moreover, by the uniform Lipschitz continuity of κ

$$(3.2) \quad \|\kappa_I(u_\varepsilon) - \kappa_I(u)\|_{W^{s, \frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n)} \lesssim \|u_\varepsilon - u\|_{W^{s, \frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n)} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Since $d\omega \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of $f(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ we also have $d\omega \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of $u_\varepsilon(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ for all suitably small ε . For such ε we have $\kappa_I(u_\varepsilon) \equiv 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{B}^n$ (in the classical sense).

Since the trace operator is an extension of the continuous trace operator, we find that

$$\kappa_I(u_\varepsilon) \in W_0^{s, \frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n).$$

By the convergence (3.2) we conclude

$$\kappa_I(u) \in W_0^{s, \frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n).$$

Here $W_0^{s,p}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ the closure of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$ -maps under the $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ -norm and we have used the trace identification with the continuous trace (since $s\frac{n}{s} = n > 1$), see [12, Chapter 9].

Thus we find

$$\varphi_\delta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$$

with

$$[\varphi_\delta - \kappa_I(u)]_{W^{s,\frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n)} \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

In particular for ε and δ suitably small we have

$$[\varphi_\delta - \kappa_I(u_\varepsilon)]_{W^{s,\frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n)} \ll 1 \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0), \delta \in (0, \delta_0).$$

Recall that I is an n -tuple. By the continuity of distributional Jacobian, Lemma 2.2 for $k = n$, since $s \geq \frac{n}{n+1} > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{n}{s} > n$, we have

$$\sum_I \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} u_\varepsilon^*(dp^I) (\varphi_\delta - \kappa_I(u_\varepsilon)) \lesssim [u]_{W^{s,\frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n)}^n [\varphi_\delta - \kappa_I(u_\varepsilon)]_{W^{(1-s)n, \frac{1}{1-s}}}$$

Since $s \geq \frac{n}{n+1}$ we see that $(1-s)n \leq s$, and thus by Sobolev embedding

$$\sum_I \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} u_\varepsilon^*(dp^I) (\varphi_\delta - \kappa_I(u_\varepsilon)) \lesssim [u]_{W^{s,\frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{B}^n)}^n [\varphi_\delta - \kappa_I(u_\varepsilon)]_{W^{s,\frac{n}{s}}}.$$

We conclude that for ε_1 and δ_1 suitably small,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sum_I \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} u_\varepsilon^*(dp^I) \varphi_\delta \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1), \delta \in (0, \delta_1)$$

On the other hand, by the assumption of rank $Du \leq n - 1$ in distributional sense, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} u_\varepsilon^*(dp^I) \varphi_\delta = 0.$$

This is a contradiction, and we can conclude. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Ball. Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 63(4):337–403, 1976/77. [4](#)
- [2] H. Brezis and H.-M. Nguyen. The Jacobian determinant revisited. *Invent. Math.*, 185(1):17–54, 2011. [4](#)
- [3] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg. Degree theory and BMO. I. Compact manifolds without boundaries. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 1(2):197–263, 1995. [4](#)
- [4] R. Coifman, P.-L. Lions, Y. Meyer, and S. Semmes. Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 72(3):247–286, 1993. [4](#)
- [5] D. Faraco, C. Mora-Corral, and M. Oliva. Sobolev homeomorphisms with gradients of low rank via laminates. *Adv. Calc. Var.*, 11(2):111–138, 2018. [1](#), [3](#)
- [6] P. Gladbach and H. Olbermann. Coarea formulae and chain rules for the Jacobian determinant in fractional Sobolev spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 278(2):108312, 21, 2020. [1](#)
- [7] M. Gromov. Carnot–Carathéodory spaces seen from within. In *Sub-Riemannian geometry*, volume 144 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 79–323. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996. [3](#)

- [8] P. Hajłasz. Linking topological spheres. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.*, 30(4):907–909, 2019. [6](#)
- [9] P. Hajłasz, J. Mirra, and A. Schikorra. Hölder continuous mappings, differential forms and the Heisenberg groups. *in preparation*, 2019. [5](#)
- [10] P. Hajłasz and A. Schikorra. On the Gromov non-embedding theorem. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2303.12960, Mar. 2023. [3](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [11] E. Lenzmann and A. Schikorra. Sharp commutator estimates via harmonic extensions. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 193:111375, 37, 2020. [4](#)
- [12] G. Leoni. *A first course in fractional Sobolev spaces*, volume 229 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, [2023] ©2023. [5](#), [7](#)
- [13] S. Li and A. Schikorra. $W^{s, \frac{n}{s}}$ -maps with positive distributional Jacobians. *Potential Anal.*, 55(3):403–417, 2021. [1](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [14] Z. Liu and J. Malý. A strictly convex Sobolev function with null Hessian minors. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 55(3):Art. 58, 19, 2016. [1](#), [3](#)
- [15] S. Müller. Higher integrability of determinants and weak convergence in L^1 . *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 412:20–34, 1990. [4](#)
- [16] J. G. Rešetnjak. The weak convergence of completely additive vector-valued set functions. *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.*, 9:1386–1394, 1968. [4](#)
- [17] A. Schikorra. Hölder-topology of the Heisenberg group. *Aequationes Math.*, 94(2):323–343, 2020. [5](#), [6](#)
- [18] A. Schikorra and J. Van Schaftingen. An estimate of the Hopf degree of fractional Sobolev mappings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 148(7):2877–2891, 2020. [4](#)
- [19] W. Sickel and A. Youssfi. The characterisation of the regularity of the Jacobian determinant in the framework of potential spaces. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 59(1):287–310, 1999. [4](#)
- [20] L. Tartar. Compensated compactness and applications to partial differential equations. In *Nonlinear analysis and mechanics: Heriot-Watt Symposium, Vol. IV*, volume 39 of *Res. Notes in Math.*, pages 136–212. Pitman, Boston, Mass.-London, 1979. [4](#)
- [21] J. W. Vick. *Homology theory*, volume 145 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1994. An introduction to algebraic topology. [5](#)
- [22] S. Wenger and R. Young. Constructing Hölder maps to Carnot groups. *J. Am. Mat. Soc. (in press)*. [3](#)
- [23] H. C. Wente. An existence theorem for surfaces of constant mean curvature. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 26:318–344, 1969. [4](#)

(Woongbae Park) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 215 CARNEGIE BUILDING, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NY 13244

Email address: wpark06@syr.edu

(Armin Schikorra) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, 301 THACKERAY HALL, PITTSBURGH, PA 15260, USA

Email address: armin@pitt.edu