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#### Abstract

Inspired by piecewise polynomiality results of double Hurwitz numbers, Ardila and Brugallé introduced an enumerative problem which they call double Gromov-Witten invariants of Hirzebruch surfaces in [AB17]. These invariants serve as a two-dimensional analogue and satisfy a similar piecewise polynomial structure. More precisely, they introduced the enumeration of curves in Hirzebruch surfaces satisfying point conditions and tangency conditions on the two parallel toric boundaries. These conditions are stored in four partitions and the resulting invariants are piecewise polynomial in their entries. Moreover, they found that these expressions also behave polynomially with respect to the parameter determining the underlying Hirzebruch surfaces. Based on work of Ardila and Block [AB13], they proposed that such a polynomiality could also hold while changing between more general toric surfaces corresponding to $h$-transverse polygons. In this work, we answer this question affirmatively. Moreover, we express the resulting invariants for $h$-transverse polygons as matrix elements in the two-dimensional bosonic Fock space.
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## 1. Introduction

Double Gromov-Witten invariants of Hirzebruch surfaces were introduced by Ardila and Brugallé in [AB17] as a two-dimensional generalisation of so-called double Hurwitz numbers. While double Hurwitz numbers count branched morphisms to the Riemann sphere with two relative conditions describing the ramification data, this two-dimensional analogue enumerates curves in a Hirzebruch surface passing through a certain number of points and having prescribed tangency order at two distinguished toric boundary divisors .

[^0]Double Hurwitz numbers admit a piecewise polynomial structure and tropical geometry has proved to be a powerful framework in the study of the polynomiality of Hurwitz numbers. [CJM10, CJM11, HL22; HL20, HM22, FHK23] A key idea in these tropical approaches is to express Hurwitz numbers as a weighted enumeration of abstract graphs. For the enumeration of curves in Hirzebruch surfaces, Floor diagrams provide a two-dimensional analogue. Motivated by this and by storing the tangency orders in partitions, Ardila and Brugallé used tropical geometry to show that double Gromov-Witten invariants of Hirzebruch surfaces admit a piecewise polynomial behaviour in the entries of those partitions that closely mirrors the piecewise polynomiality of double Hurwitz numbers in the entries of the ramification profiles. Moreover, they observed that the piecewise polynomiality extends when moving between different Hirzebruch surfaces. To be precise, recall that Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathbb{F}_{k}$ live in a one-dimensional family indexed by natural numbers $k \geq 0$. The polynomials describing double Gromov-Witten invariants of Hirzebruch surfaces are also polynomial in the parameter $k$. Based on this observation, Ardila and Brugalle asked in [AB17, Section 7] whether this polynomial interpolation between Hirzebruch surfaces extends to enumerations of curves in more complicated toric surfaces - an analogous statement for Severi degrees of toric surfaces was derived in AB 13 ; LO18]. In this work, we answer this question affirmatively for the important family of toric surfaces corresponding to $h$-transverse polygons.
Definition 1.1. A polygon $P$ is said to be $h$-transverse if every vertex has integer coordinates and every edge has slope $0, \infty$ or $\frac{1}{k}$, with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

An important feature of $h$-transverse polygons is their enumerative geometry may be studied via so-called floor diagram in tropical geometry. Floor diagrams are an important tool in tropical geometry that were introduced in [BMo9, BM16]. For curves in toric surfaces corresponding to $h$ transverse polygons, they allow to translate the enumerative problem in terms of a weighted count of abstract decorated graphs. This perspective was also taken in [AB17] to study double Gromov-Witten invariants of Hirzebruch surfaces. In this work, we take the same approach. A detailed discussion of floor diagrams may be found in Section 2.1
1.1. Double Gromov-Witten invariants for $h$-transverse polygons. We now set-up our counting problem of double Gromov-Witten invariants for $h$-transverse polygons. To begin with, we parametrise $h$-transverse polygons.
Let $P$ be an $h$-transverse polygon. We will always assume that $P$ has two edges of slope 0 , a top edge and a bottom edge. We denote by $d^{t}>0$ the lattice length of the top edge and $d^{b}>0$ the lattice length of the bottom edge. Moreover, we record the direction of the edges on the left of $P$ by $\left(c_{1}^{l},-1\right), \ldots,\left(c_{m}^{l},-1\right)$ in counterclockwise order. Similarly, we record the direction of the edges on the right of $P$ by $\left(c_{1}^{r},-1\right), \ldots,\left(c_{n}^{r},-1\right)$ in a clockwise manner. Note that $c_{i}^{l}$ and $c_{j}^{r}$ are integers. Moreover, we have $c_{1}^{l}<\cdots<c_{m}^{l}$ and $c_{1}^{r}>\cdots>c_{n}^{r}$. In addition, we denote the lattice length of $c_{i}^{l}$ by $d_{i}^{l}$ and the lattice length of $c_{j}^{r}$ by $d_{j}^{r}$. We define partitions $\mathbf{c}^{l}=\left(c_{1}^{l}, \ldots, c_{m}^{l}\right), \mathbf{c}^{r}=\left(c_{1}^{r}, \ldots, c_{n}^{r}\right)$, $\mathbf{d}^{l}=\left(d_{1}^{l}, \ldots, d_{m}^{l}\right)$ and $\mathbf{d}^{r}=\left(d_{1}^{r}, \ldots, d_{m}^{r}\right)$. Denoting $\mathbf{c}=\left(\mathbf{c}^{r}, \mathbf{c}^{l}\right)$ and $\mathbf{d}=\left(\mathbf{d}^{r}, \mathbf{d}^{l}\right)$, we see that $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ completely determine the $h$-transverse polygon $P$ and we will denote $P=P(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$. Moreover, we denote the toric surface corresponding to $P(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ by $S(\mathbf{c})$.
Remark 1.2. Since the normal fan of $P$ is balanced, we obtain the following relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d^{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{r} d_{i}^{r}-d^{b}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{l} d_{j}^{l}=0 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{r}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j}^{l}
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 1. The polygon corresponding to the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.


Figure 2. The $h$-transverse polygon associated to the data in Example 1.4
Furthermore, it follows from the definition of $h$-transverse polygons that the vector $\mathbf{c}$ has integer coordinates.

Example 1.3. An important class of $h$-transverse polygons are the polygons corresponding to Hirzebruch surfaces, that are obtained by this construction by setting $n=m=1, c^{r}=k \geq 0$ and $c^{l}=0$, see Figure ${ }_{1}$

Example 1.4. For $\mathbf{c}=\left(\mathbf{c}^{r} ; \mathbf{c}^{l}\right)=(3,1,-3 ;-1,0)$ and $\mathbf{d}=\left(d^{t} ; \mathbf{d}^{r} ; \mathbf{d}^{l}\right)=(2 ; 1,2,1 ; 2,2)$, one obtains the $h$-transverse polygon in Figure 2 .

Let $P(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ an $h$-transverse polygon as above. Furthermore, consider $g \geq 0$ and four sequences $\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ with

$$
\sum_{i} i\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}\right)=d^{b} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i} i\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}+\tilde{\beta}_{i}\right)=d^{t}
$$

Let $D_{b}$ and $D_{t}$ the toric divisors corresponding to the bottom and top edge of $P(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ respectively. The enumerative problem, we study in this article counts genus $g$ curves in $S(\mathbf{c})$ passing through an appropriate number of points and satisfying tangency conditions at the toric divisors $D_{b}$ and $D_{t}$. The tangency conditions at $D_{b}$ are determined by $\alpha$ and $\beta$, while $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ determine the conditions at $D_{t}$. We define $a=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{r}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j}^{l}$ and $l=2 a+g+\sum_{i \geq 1}\left(\beta_{i}+\tilde{\beta}_{i}\right)-1$. Finally, we say that a curve in $S(\mathbf{c})$ with Newton polygon $P(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ has multidegree $\mathbf{d}$.

Now, we are ready to define double Gromov-Witten invariants for $h$-transverse polygons.
Definition 1.5. In the set-up above, we consider a generic configuration

$$
\omega=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{l}, q_{1}^{1}, \ldots, q_{\alpha_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, q_{1}^{i}, \ldots, q_{\alpha_{i}}^{i} \ldots, \tilde{q}_{1}^{1}, \ldots, \tilde{q}_{\tilde{\alpha}_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, \tilde{q}_{1}^{i}, \ldots, \tilde{q}_{\tilde{\alpha}_{i}}^{i}, \ldots\right),
$$

where $q_{j}^{i} \in D_{b}, \tilde{q}_{j}^{i} \in D_{t}$ and $p_{i} \in S(\mathbf{c}) \backslash\left(D_{t} \cup D_{b}\right)$.
We denote by $N_{\mathbf{c}, g}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}}(\mathbf{d})$ the number of irreducible complex algebraic curves $C$ of multidegree $\mathbf{d}$ in $S(\mathbf{c})$ of genus $g$ such that:

- $C$ passes through all the points $q_{j}^{i}, \tilde{q}_{j}^{i}$ and $p_{i}$;
- $C$ has order of contact $i$ with $D_{b}$ at $q_{j}^{i}$, and has $\beta_{i}$ other non-prescribed points with order of contact $i$ with $D_{b}$;
- $C$ has order of contact $i$ with $D_{t}$ at $\tilde{q}_{j}^{i}$, and has $\tilde{\beta}_{i}$ other non-prescribed points with order of contact $i$ with $D_{t}$.
This number is finite and does not depend on the chosen generic configuration of points. We call this number a double Gromov-Witten invariant of $S(\mathbf{c})$. When we allow reducible curves, we denote the resulting invariant by $N_{\mathbf{c}, g}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \bullet}(\mathbf{d})$.

Remark 1.6. The number of prescribed points in the interior of $S(\mathbf{c})$ in the configuration $\omega$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\partial P(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right|+g-1-\sum_{i \geq 1}(i-1)\left(\alpha_{i}+\tilde{\alpha}_{i}+\beta_{i}+\tilde{\beta}_{i}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{r}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j}^{l}+d^{t}+d^{b}+g-1-\sum_{i \geq 1}(i-1)\left(\alpha_{i}+\tilde{\alpha}_{i}+\beta_{i}+\tilde{\beta}_{i}\right) \\
& =2 a+g+\sum_{i \geq 1}\left(\alpha_{i}+\tilde{\alpha}_{i}+\beta_{i}+\tilde{\beta}_{i}\right)-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we subtract the quantity $\sum_{i \geq 1}(i-1)\left(\alpha_{i}+\tilde{\alpha}_{i}+\beta_{i}+\tilde{\beta}_{i}\right)$ in order to have just the number of points without their multiplicities. Therefore, the number $l$ we defined above is just the number of points in the configuration without the prescribed points belonging to the toric divisors $D_{t}$ and $D_{b}$.
1.2. Results. Our main objective is to study the polynomiality properties of the invariant $N_{\mathbf{c}, g}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}}(\mathbf{d})$. For this, we first reparametrise the invariant and interpret it as a function.
Let $\mathbf{d}^{l}, \mathbf{d}^{r}$ as above and $n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 0$. Then, we define

$$
\Lambda=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{1}}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n_{2}} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} y_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{r} d_{i}^{r}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{l} d_{j}^{l}=0\right\}
$$

For $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \Lambda$, we associate a tuple $(\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})$ as follows: $\alpha_{i}$ is the number of elements $x_{j}=-i, \beta_{i}$ is the number of elements $y_{j}=-i, \tilde{\alpha}_{i}$ is the number of elements $x_{j}=i$ and $\tilde{\beta}_{i}$ is the number elements $y_{j}=i$. Obviously $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and $(\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})$ determine each other.
We consider the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\left(\mathbf{d}^{r}, \mathbf{d}^{l}\right), \mathbf{c}, g}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}: \Lambda & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\
(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) & \longmapsto N_{\mathbf{c}, g}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}}(\mathbf{d})
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to study the polynomiality properties of $F_{\left(\mathbf{d}^{r}, \mathbf{d}^{l}\right), \mathbf{c}, g^{n_{1}}}^{n_{1}}$, consider the hyperplane arrangement in $\Lambda$ consisting of all hyperplanes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i \in S} x_{i}+\sum_{j \in T} y_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{r} k_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{l} t_{j}=0 \\
y_{i}-y_{j}=0 \quad 1 \leq i<j \leq n_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $S \subseteq\left[n_{1}\right], T \subseteq\left[n_{2}\right], 0 \leq k_{i} \leq d_{i}^{r}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $0 \leq t_{j} \leq d_{j}^{l}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. We denote this hyperplane arrangement by $\mathcal{H}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\mathbf{c})$.
We call the elements of $\mathcal{H}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\mathbf{c})$ walls and the connected components of $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{H}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\mathbf{c})$ chambers.
The following is the first main result of this work.
Theorem 1.7. Let $\left(\boldsymbol{d}^{r}, \boldsymbol{d}^{l}\right)>0$ a vector with integer coordinates and $g \geq 0, n_{1}, n_{2}>0$ fixed integers and $\boldsymbol{c}=\left(\boldsymbol{c}^{r}, \boldsymbol{c}^{l}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$ such that $c_{1}^{r}>\cdots>c_{n}^{r}$ and $c_{1}^{l}<\cdots<c_{m}^{l}$. The function $F_{\left(\boldsymbol{d}^{r}, \boldsymbol{d}^{l}\right), \boldsymbol{c}, g}^{n_{1}, x_{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ of double Gromov-Witten invariants of the toric surface $S(\boldsymbol{c})$ is polynomial in each chamber of $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{H}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\boldsymbol{c})$.

In a next step we further study the polynomials appearing in Theorem 1.7 and prove the following result.
Theorem 1.8. Each polynomial piece of $F_{\left(\boldsymbol{d}^{r}, \boldsymbol{d}^{l}\right), \boldsymbol{c}, g}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ has degree $n_{2}+3 g+2 a-2$, and is either even or odd.
Remark 1.9. For the special case of $S(\mathbf{c})$ a Hirzebruch surface, Theorem 1.7 was proved in [AB17 Theorem 1.3] and Theorem 1.8 was proved in [ AB 17 , Theorem 1.4].

The results in Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 establish the piecewise polynomiality of $N_{\mathbf{c}, g}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}}(\mathbf{d})$ and determine the parity of the involved polynomials for a fixed toric surface. The following result shows that $N_{\mathbf{c}, g}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}}(\mathbf{d})$ also behaves polynomially while changing the underlying toric surface, answering the aforementioned question posed in [AB17] affirmatively.
Theorem 1.10. Let $\left(\boldsymbol{d}^{r}, \boldsymbol{d}^{l}\right)>0$ a vector with integer coordinates and $g, n_{1}, n_{2}, n, m \geq 0$ fixed integers. The function $F_{\left(d^{r}, \boldsymbol{d}^{l}\right), g}^{n_{1} n_{2}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{c})$ of double Gromov-Witten invariants depending also on the toric surface $S(c)$ is piecewise polynomial relative to the chambers of the hyperplane arrangement

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i \in S} x_{i}+\sum_{j \in T} y_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{r} k_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{l} t_{j}=0 \\
y_{i}-y_{j}=0 \quad 1 \leq i<j \leq n_{2} \\
c_{1}^{r}>\cdots>c_{n}^{r} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{1}^{l}<\cdots<c_{m}^{l}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $S \subseteq\left[n_{1}\right], T \subseteq\left[n_{2}\right], 0 \leq k_{i} \leq d_{i}^{r}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $0 \leq t_{j} \leq d_{j}^{l}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$, inside $\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$.
Finally, in Section 4 , we express our invariants as matrix elements in the bosonic Fock space. For this purpose, we introduce a certain operator whose vaccuum expectations are equal to our invariants up to normalisation. The precise statement may be found in Theorem 4.5

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Floor diagrams. We introduce floor diagrams following the notation in [ $\left.\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{AB}_{3}\right]$ and [ AB 17 ]. Let $P(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ be a $h$-transverse polygon and $S(\mathbf{c})$ the corresponding toric surface. We denote by $D_{r}$ and $D_{l}$ two multisets containing the directions of the right and left sides respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{r}=\{\underbrace{c_{1}^{r}, \ldots, c_{1}^{r}}_{d_{1}^{r} \text {-times }}, \ldots, \underbrace{c_{n}^{r}, \ldots, c_{n}^{r}}_{d_{n}^{r} \text {-times }}\} \\
& D_{l}=\{\underbrace{c_{1}^{l}, \ldots, c_{1}^{l}}_{d_{1}^{l} \text {-times }}, \ldots, \underbrace{c_{m}^{l}, \ldots, c_{m}^{l}}_{d_{m}^{l} \text {-times }}\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left|D_{r}\right|=\left|D_{l}\right|=a$. Let $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{a}\right)$ and $l=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{a}\right)$ be permutations of $D_{r}$ and $D_{l}$ respectively.

Definition 2.1. A marked floor diagram $\mathcal{D}$ for $S(\mathbf{c})$ is a tuple ( $V, E, w$ ), such that
(1) The vertex set $V$ is decomposed as $V=L \cup C \cup R$. Moreover, we have that $C$ is totally ordered from left to right, while $L=\left\{\tilde{q}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{q}_{l}\right\}$ is unordered and to the left of $C$, and $R=\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{r}\right\}$ is unordered and to the right of $C$.
(2) The vertices in $V$ are coloured black, white and grey and every vertex in $L$ and $R$ is white.
(3) The set of edges $E$ is directed from left to right, such that:

- the resulting graph is connected;
- every white vertex has valency one and is connected to precisely one black vertex;
- every grey vertex has valency two with one incoming and one outgoing edge, each connecting it to a black vertex.
(4) We have a map

$$
w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}
$$

such that if we define the divergence of $v$ to be

$$
\operatorname{div}(v)=\sum_{e: v \rightarrow v^{\prime}} w(e)-\sum_{e: v^{\prime} \rightarrow v} w(e)
$$

then

- $\operatorname{div}\left(B_{i}\right)=r_{i}-l_{i}$, where $B_{i}$ is the $i$-th black vertex in $\mathcal{D}$, for all $i=1, \ldots, a$;
- $\operatorname{div}(v)=0$ for every grey vertex $v$.

Moreover, we define the following notions associated to a marked floor diagram $\mathcal{D}$ :

- We call $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ the type of $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ has $n_{1}$ white vertices in $L \cup R$ and $n_{2}$ white vertices in $C$.
- Let
$-\mathbf{x}=\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\tilde{q}_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{div}\left(\tilde{q}_{l}\right), \operatorname{div}\left(q_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{div}\left(q_{r}\right)\right)$ the sequence of divergences of white vertices in $L$ and $R$;
- $\mathbf{y}$ the sequence of divergences of white vertices in $C$, listed from left to right. We call the vector $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n_{2}}$ of length $n_{1}+n_{2}$ the divergence sequence of $\mathcal{D}$. Since the divergences for a fixed marked floor diagram sum to zero, we have:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} y_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{l} d_{j}^{l}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{r} d_{i}^{r}=d^{t}-d^{b}
$$

- To each marked floor diagram $\mathcal{D}$, we associate the divergence multiplicity vector $(\alpha(\mathbf{x}), \beta(\mathbf{y}), \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}), \tilde{\beta}(\mathbf{y}))$ where
- $\alpha_{i}$ is the number of vertices $v \in R$ such that $\operatorname{div}(v)=-i$;
- $\tilde{\alpha}_{i}$ is the number of vertices $v \in L$ such that $\operatorname{div}(v)=i$;
$-\beta_{i}$ is the number of white vertices $v \in C$ such that $\operatorname{div}(v)=-i$;
- $\tilde{\beta}_{i}$ is the number of white vertices $v \in C$ such that $\operatorname{div}(v)=i$;
- We define the multidegree of $\mathcal{D}$ as the vector $\mathbf{d}=\left(d^{t}, \mathbf{d}^{r}, \mathbf{d}^{l}\right)$ with

$$
\sum_{i} i\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}\right)=d^{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{r} c_{i}^{r}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j}^{l} c_{j}^{l} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i} i\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}+\tilde{\beta}_{i}\right)=d^{t} .
$$

- We define the genus $g(\mathcal{D})$ of $\mathcal{D}$ to be the first Betti number of the underlying graph, i. e. $g(\mathcal{D})=1-|V|+|E|$.
- An edge is internal if it connects two vertices of $C$. We define the multiplicity $\mu(\mathcal{D})$ of $\mathcal{D}$ as

$$
\mu(\mathcal{D})=\prod w(e)
$$

where the product runs over all internal edges.

We now collect the two combinatorial properties of floor diagrams, see [AB17, Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 ] for the special case of Hirzebruch surfaces.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a floor diagram. The genus of $\mathcal{D}$ is $g(\mathcal{D})=1-v_{b}+v_{g}$, where $v_{b}$ and $v_{g}$ is the number of black and grey vertices respectively.
Proof. The number of vertices $|V|$ in $\mathcal{D}$ is the sum $v_{w}+v_{b}+v_{g}$, while the number of edges $|E|$ is $v_{w}+2 v_{g}$, where $v_{w}$ is the number of white vertices in $\mathcal{D}$. Therefore

$$
g(\mathcal{D})=1-|V|+|E|=1-\left(v_{w}+v_{b}+v_{g}\right)+\left(v_{w}+2 v_{g}\right)=1-v_{b}+v_{g} .
$$

Proposition 2.3. A floor diagram for $S(\boldsymbol{c})$ of multidegree $\boldsymbol{d}$ and type $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ has:

- $a=\left|D_{r}\right|=\left|D_{l}\right|$ black vertices, $g+a-1$ grey vertices and $n_{1}+n_{2}$ white vertices;
- 2( $g+a-1$ ) black-grey edges and $n_{1}+n_{2}$ black-white edges.

Proof. - From point (4) in Definition 2.1 it follows immediately that the number of black vertices is equal to $a$. By Proposition $2.2 g=1-v_{b}+v_{g}$, therefore $v_{g}=g+v_{b}-1=g+a-1$. Since a floor diagram of type ( $n_{1}, n_{2}$ ) has $n_{1}$ white vertices in $R$ and $L$ and $n_{2}$ white vertices in $C$, the total number of white vertices is $n_{1}+n_{2}$.

- From point (3) in Definition 2.1, it follows that for each grey vertex there are two edges connecting it to two different black vertices and each white vertex is connected to precisely one black vertex, hence the number of black-grey edges is $2 v_{g}=2(g+a-1)$ and the number of black-white edges is $n_{1}+n_{2}$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d^{t}, \boldsymbol{d}^{r}, \boldsymbol{d}^{l}\right)>0$ be a vector of integer numbers, $g \geq 0$ an integer and $\boldsymbol{x}$ a vector with coordinates in $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. We write $\alpha(\boldsymbol{x})=\alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})=\tilde{\alpha}$. Then, for any two sequences of non-negative integer numbers $\beta=\left(\beta_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ and $\tilde{\beta}=\left(\tilde{\beta}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i} i\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}\right)=d^{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{r} d_{i}^{r}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{l} d_{j}^{l} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i} i\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}+\tilde{\beta}_{i}\right)=d^{t}
$$

one has

$$
N_{c, g}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{d})=\sum_{\mathcal{D}} \mu(\mathcal{D})
$$

where the sum runs over all floor diagrams $\mathcal{D}$ of multidegree $\boldsymbol{d}$, genus $g$, left-right sequence $\boldsymbol{x}$, and divergence multiplicity vector $(\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})$ for $S(\boldsymbol{c})$.
Proof. In [BMog] a proof of this result is given for

$$
\alpha=\tilde{\alpha}=0, \beta=\left(d^{b}, 0, \ldots, 0\right), \tilde{\beta}=\left(d^{t}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) .
$$

employing Mikhalkin's correspondence Theorem [Miko5] that expresses enumerations of curves in toric surfaces via tropical curves which are piecewise linear graphs in the plane. In [Shu12, Theorem 2] a generalisation of Mikhalkin's correspondence Theorem which covers the case of curves satisfying tangency conditions with toric divisors was proved. For the sake of convinience, we provide a sketch of the proof for a tropically inclined reader. Shustin's strategy was to dissipate each point $p$ with multiplicity $k>1$ in $k$ points in a neighbourhood of $p$. Considering the curves passing through the new configuration of points and then specialising back to the original one, Shustin proved that each of these curves converges to a curve satisfying the prescibed tangency. This yields a correspondence theorem between enumeration of classical and tropical curves with point and tangency conditions. In order to obtain our result, we need to construct a bijection between tropical curves and floor diagrams.

Let $P=P(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ be an $h$-transverse polygon and $S(\mathbf{c})$ be the corresponding toric surface. There is a correspondence between lattice subdivisions of $P$ and the tropical curves of multidegree $\mathbf{d}$ having $P$ as a Newton polygon:

- the vertices of the tropical curve correspond to polygons in the lattice subdivision;
- the edges of the tropical curve emanated from a vertex $v$ correspond to the normal lines to the sides of the polygon corresponding to $v$;
- the faces determined by two edges emanated from a vertex $v$ correspond to vertices of the polygon in the lattice subdivision corresponding to $v$.
Fixing a preferred direction in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ allows to distinguish between edges of the tropical curve which are parallel to this direction and the others. The direction we fix is given by the vector $(0,1)$. We call the unbounded edges of the tropical curve leaves and the edges in direction $(0,1)$ elevators. A connected component of the tropical curve after removing bounded and unbounded elevators is called a floor.
We want to associate a decorated graph $\mathcal{D}(T)$ to the tropical curve $T$ : black vertices of $\mathcal{D}(T)$ correspond to floors of $T$, grey vertices of $\mathcal{D}(T)$ correspond to bounded elevators of $T$ and white vertices of $\mathcal{D}(T)$ correspond to unbounded elevators of $T$. More precisely, each vertex of the graph corresponds to a point in a fixed generic configuration. The weights of black-grey edges are the weights of the corresponding elevators, while the weights of the black-white edges are the weights of the corresponding leaves. We divide the graph in three blocks by adding vertical dashed lines, the white vertices in the central block correspond to non-prescribed points, while the white vertices in the left and right blocks correspond to points in the generic configuration belonging to $D_{t}$ and $D_{b}$ respectively. Furthermore, to each vertex we associate a number called divergence:
- to each black vertex we associate the difference between the slopes of the right and left leaves of the corresponding floor;
- to each grey vertex we associate 0 ;
- to each white vertex we associate the weight of the corresponding leaf if the leaf points to $+\infty$ or minus the weight of the corresponding leaf if the leaf points to $-\infty$.
The construction explained above yields a bijection between tropical curves and floor diagrams.
Example 2.5. In Figure 3 we give an example of a floor diagram constructed by using the technique explained in the proof of Theorem 2.4 in the case $P=P(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$, where $\mathbf{c}=(2 ;-1,0), \mathbf{d}=(1 ; 2 ; 1,1)$ and $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=-5$ and $y_{1}=-1$.

Example 2.6. Let us consider $n=2, m=3, n_{1}=2, n_{2}=1, g=0, \mathbf{c}^{r}=\left(c_{1}^{r}, c_{2}^{r}\right), \mathbf{c}^{l}=\left(c_{1}^{l}, c_{2}^{l}, c_{3}^{l}\right)$, $\mathbf{d}^{r}=(2,2)$ and $\mathbf{d}^{l}=(1,1,2)$. Then, $a=d_{1}^{r}+d_{2}^{r}=d_{1}^{l}+d_{2}^{l}+d_{3}^{l}=4$ and the multisets are

$$
D_{r}=\left\{c_{1}^{r}, c_{1}^{r}, c_{2}^{r}, c_{2}^{r}\right\} \quad D_{l}=\left\{c_{1}^{l}, c_{2}^{l}, c_{3}^{l}, c_{3}^{l}\right\} .
$$

Let $r=\left(c_{1}^{r}, c_{2}^{r}, c_{1}^{r}, c_{2}^{r}\right)$ and $l=\left(c_{3}^{l}, c_{1}^{l}, c_{3}^{l}, c_{2}^{l}\right)$, so $r-l=\left(c_{1}^{r}-c_{3}^{l}, c_{2}^{r}-c_{1}^{l}, c_{1}^{r}-c_{3}^{l}, c_{2}^{r}-c_{2}^{l}\right)$. The floor diagram in Figure 4 is of type ( 2,1 ), with divergence sequence ( $3,-4,-2$ ), divergence multiplicity vector $(\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})=(0001,01,001,0)$, multidegree $\mathbf{d}=(3 ; 2,2 ; 1,1,2)$ and multiplicity

$$
\mu(\mathcal{D})=2\left(c_{1}^{r}-c_{3}^{l}+3\right)^{2}\left(c_{2}^{r}-c_{1}^{l}+c_{1}^{r}-c_{3}^{l}+3\right)^{2}\left(6-c_{2}^{r}+c_{2}^{l}\right)^{2} .
$$

This floor diagram contributes non-zero to the Gromov-Witten invariant

$$
N_{\mathbf{c}, 0}^{0001,01,001,0}(3 ; 2,2 ; 1,1,2)=F_{(2,2 ; 1,1,2), \mathbf{c}, 0}^{2,1}(3,-4,-2)
$$
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of the surface $S(\mathbf{c})$ in the case all weights of the edges are positive, namely

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ c _ { 1 } ^ { r } - c _ { 3 } ^ { l } + 3 > 0 } \\
{ c _ { 2 } ^ { r } - c _ { 1 } ^ { l } + c _ { 1 } ^ { r } - c _ { 3 } ^ { l } + 3 > 0 } \\
{ 6 - c _ { 2 } ^ { r } + c _ { 2 } ^ { l } > 0 }
\end{array} \Longrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{1}^{r}>c_{3}^{l}-3 \\
c_{1}^{l}<c_{2}^{r}<c_{2}^{l}+6
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Since $c_{1}^{r}>c_{2}^{r}$ we must have $c_{3}^{l} \geq c_{2}^{l}+9$. Hence, the conditions on the slopes are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{1}^{r}>c_{3}^{l}-3 \\
c_{1}^{l}<c_{2}^{r}<c_{2}^{l}+6 \\
c_{3}^{l} \geq c_{2}^{l}+9
\end{array}\right.
$$

Example 2.7. Fix $n=2, m=3, n_{1}=3, n_{2}=1, g=1, \mathbf{c}=\left(\mathbf{c}^{r} ; \mathbf{c}^{l}\right)=\left(c_{1}^{r}, c_{2}^{r} ; c_{1}^{l}, c_{2}^{l}, c_{3}^{l}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{d}^{r}, \mathbf{d}^{l}\right)=$ $(2,1 ; 1,1,1)$. Furthermore, let $r=\left(c_{1}^{r}, c_{2}^{r}, c_{1}^{r}\right)$ and $l=\left(c_{3}^{l}, c_{2}^{l}, c_{1}^{l}\right)$, then $r-l=\left(c_{1}^{r}-c_{3}^{l}, c_{2}^{r}-c_{2}^{l}, c_{1}^{r}-c_{1}^{l}\right)$. Consider the floor diagram in Figure 5

Note that the weights are uniquely determined by the variable $w$. Since every edge must have positive weight, we get the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}, x_{2}>0, \quad y_{1}, x_{3}<0 \\
w>0, \quad c_{2}^{l}-c_{2}^{r}+w>0, \quad-x_{3}-w-c_{1}^{r}+c_{1}^{l}>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 5.
If we assume $c_{1}^{l}-c_{1}^{r}-x_{3}>c_{2}^{r}-c_{2}^{l}$, the multiplicity of the floor diagram $\mathcal{D}$ is the sum over the lattice points of the interval in $\mathbb{R}$ described by the inequalities $\max \left\{0, c_{2}^{r}-c_{2}^{l}\right\} \leq w \leq c_{1}^{l}-c_{1}^{r}-x_{3}$, i. e.

$$
\mu(\mathcal{D})=\sum_{w=\max \left\{0, c_{2}^{r}-c_{2}^{l}\right\}}^{c_{1}^{l}-c_{1}^{r}-x_{3}}\left(-y_{1}\right) w^{2}\left(c_{2}^{l}-c_{2}^{r}+w\right)^{2}\left(-x_{3}-w-c_{1}^{r}+c_{1}^{l}\right)^{2}
$$

Example 2.8. Let us consider $\mathbf{c}^{r}=2$, $\mathbf{c}^{l}=(-1,0), g=0, \alpha=00001, \beta=1, \tilde{\alpha}=1$ and $\tilde{\beta}=0$. In Figure 6 . we see all the floor diagrams that contribute to $N_{(2 ;-1,0), 0}^{00001,1,0}(1 ; 2 ; 1,1)$, so by Theorem 2.4 we get

$$
N_{(2 ;-1,0), 0}^{00001,1,0}(1 ; 2 ; 1,1)=3 \mu\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right)+\mu\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}\right)+3 \mu\left(\mathcal{D}_{3}\right)+\mu\left(\mathcal{D}_{4}\right)=27+16+12+9=64
$$

2.2. Ehrhart theory. Here we review some results from [ $\mathrm{AB}_{17}$ ] and examples on weighted partition functions and Ehrhart reciprocity.

Definition 2.9. Let $X=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ be a finite multiset of lattice vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

- The rank of $X$, denoted by $r(X)$, is the dimension of the real span of $X$.
- Writing $X$ as an $m \times d$ matrix which columns are $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$, then $X$ is unimodular if all the maximal minors are equal to $-1,0$ and 1 .
- Define the cone of $X$ as

$$
\operatorname{cone}(X)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} a_{i} \mid t_{i} \geq 0\right\}
$$

We call $X$ pointed if the unique linear subspace contained in $\operatorname{cone}(X)$ is $\{0\}$, or, equivalently, if $X$ lies in some open half-space of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Definition 2.10. Let $X=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ be a pointed vector configuration. Define the partition function $\mathcal{P}_{X}: \mathbb{Z}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as

$$
\mathcal{P}_{X}(c)=\left|\left\{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{m} \mid c=\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} a_{i}\right\}\right|
$$

which is equivalent to the number of lattice points in the polytope

$$
P_{X}(c)=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \mid X t=c, t \geq 0\right\}
$$

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$ a polynomial, then we define the weighted partition function as

$$
\mathcal{P}_{X, f}(c)=\sum_{t \in P_{X}(c) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m}} f(t)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& c^{r}=2, c_{1}^{l}=-1, c_{2}^{l}=0 \\
& d^{r}=2, d_{1}^{l}=1, d_{2}^{l}=1 \\
& d^{t}=1 \text { and } d^{b}=6 \\
& D_{r}=\{2,2\} \text { and } D_{l}=\{-1,0\}
\end{aligned}
$$


$\mathcal{D}_{1}$
$r-l_{1}=(3,2)$

$\mathcal{D}_{2}$

$\mathcal{D}_{3}$
$r-l_{2}=(2,3)$

$\mathcal{D}_{4}$

Figure 6.

The key idea in our investigation of the polynomiality of $F_{\left(\mathbf{d}^{r} \mathbf{d}^{l}\right), \mathbf{c}, g}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ is to express it as a weighted partition function, which exhibit polynomial behaviour. In order to be more precise, we need the following definitions.

Definition 2.11. The chamber complex $\operatorname{Ch}(X)$ of $X$ is a polyhedral complex supported on cone $(X)$. It is given by the common refinement of all cones spanned by subsets of $X$.

Definition 2.12. A function $f: \mathbb{Z}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasipolynomial if there exists a sublattice $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ of full rank and polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{N}$ corresponding to the different cosets $\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{N}$ of $\Lambda$ such that $f(v)=f_{i}(v)$ for all $v \in \Lambda_{i}$. A function $f: \mathbb{Z}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is piecewise polynomial relative to $\mathrm{Ch}(X)$ if the restriction of $f$ to any given face $F$ of the chamber complex $\operatorname{Ch}(X)$ is equal to a quasipolynomial function $f^{F}$ depending on $F$.

Let $X=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}, Y \subseteq X$ and $\pi_{Y}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function $\pi_{Y}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)=\prod_{i \in Y} t_{i}$, where the components of the vector $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$ correspond to the coefficients of the linear combination $t_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+t_{m} a_{m}$. The following result was proved in [AB13. Theorem 4.2].

Theorem 2.13. For any pointed vector configuration $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and for any subset $Y \subseteq X$, the weighted partition function $\mathcal{P}_{X, \pi_{Y}}$ is piecewise quasipolynomial relative to the chamber complex $\operatorname{Ch}(X)$. Furthermore, if $X$ is unimodular, then $\mathcal{P}_{X, \pi_{Y}}$ is piecewise polynomial. The polynomial pieces of $\mathcal{P}_{X, \pi_{Y}}$ have degree $|X|+|Y|-r(X)$.

The following example will be key for our proofs in Section 3
Example 2.14 (Root system). Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The root system is the set

$$
A_{d-1}=\left\{e_{i}-e_{j} \mid 1 \leq j<i \leq d\right\}
$$

It can be proved that $A_{d-1}$ is unimodular. Moreover, $A_{d-1}$ is contained in the hyperplane $H_{d}$ contained in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ of equation $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{d}=0$. Let us consider the discriminant arrangement $S_{d}$ of $H_{d}$ given by the hyperplanes

$$
\sum_{i \in S} x_{i}=0 \quad \emptyset \subset S \subset\{1, \ldots, d\}=[d]
$$

Note that $\sum_{i \in S} x_{i}=0$ implies $\sum_{i \in[d] \backslash S} x_{i}=0$. The elements in $A_{d-1}$ span the hyperplanes in $S_{d}$. More precisely, any subset of $A_{d-1}$ lies in one of the hyperplanes in $S_{d}$ and any hyperplane of $S_{d}$ contains a subset of $A_{d-1}$. Hence $\mathrm{Ch}\left(A_{d-1}\right)$ in $H_{d}$ is the restriction of the discriminant arrangement $S_{d}$ to cone $\left(A_{d-1}\right)$, in other words the chamber complex of $A_{d-1}$ in $H_{d}$ is given by the hyperplanes in $S_{d}$ intersected with the common refinement of cone $\left(A_{d-1}\right)$.

We end this section with the following result which was proved in [AB13, Theorem 4.6].
Theorem 2.15 (Weighted Ehrhart reciprocity). Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a rational polytope and $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a polynomial function. For each positive integer n, let

$$
L_{P, f}(n)=\sum_{z \in n P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m}} f(z), \quad L_{P^{\circ}, f}=\sum_{z \in n P^{\circ} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m}} f(z)
$$

Then $L_{P, f}$ and $L_{P^{\circ}, f}$ extend to quasipolynomial functions which satisfy

$$
L_{-P^{\circ}, f}(x)=(-1)^{\operatorname{dim} P} L_{P, f}(-x)
$$

Furthermore, if $P$ is a lattice polytope, then $L_{P, f}$ and $L_{P^{\circ}, f}$ are polynomial.

## 3. Proofs on Theorems 1.7, 1.8 And 1.10

In this section, we prove Theorems $1.7,1.8$ and 1.10 In Section 3.1 we give the proofs of Theorems 1.7 and 1.10 We continue in Section 3.2 where we give the proof of Theorem 1.8 Finally, we illustrate our results in an example in Section 3.3
3.1. Proof of Theorems $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 0}$. The root system presented in Example 2.14 will play a fundamental role. By Theorem 2.4 we have

$$
F_{\left(\mathbf{d}^{r}, \mathbf{d}^{l}\right), \mathbf{c}, g}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\sum_{\mathcal{D}} \mu(\mathcal{D})
$$

where the sum runs over all floor diagrams $\mathcal{D}$ for $S(\mathbf{c})$ having multidegree $\mathbf{d}$, genus $g$, divergence multiplicity vector $(\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})$ and left-right sequence $\mathbf{x}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ be the floor diagram obtained by removing all weights of $\mathcal{D}$, but such that the underlying graph $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ inherit the partition $V=L \cup C \cup R$
of the vertices, the ordering of $C$ and the coloring of the vertices. The collection $\mathcal{G}$ of such graphs that contribute to $F_{\left(\mathbf{d}^{r}, \mathbf{d}^{l}\right), \mathbf{c}, g}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is finite and depends only on $g, a=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{r}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{j}^{l}$ and $n_{1}+n_{2}$. Let us denote by $\operatorname{Perm}\left(D_{r}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Perm}\left(D_{l}\right)$ the sets of permutations of the multisets $D_{r}$ and $D_{l}$ respectively and let $r \in \operatorname{Perm}\left(D_{r}\right)$ and $l \in \operatorname{Perm}\left(D_{l}\right)$. For each graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$, let $E(G)$ and $V(G)$ be the sets of edges and vertices of $G$ respectively and define the set $W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ of weights $w: E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for which the resulting weighted graph is a floor diagram for $S(\mathbf{c})$, i. e. such that the $i$-th black vertex has divergence $r_{i}-l_{i}$ and every grey vertex has divergence 0 , with white divergence sequence $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. Note that, by construction, the obtained floor diagram has genus $g$ and multidegree d. Our goal is to rewrite the $\operatorname{sum} \sum_{\mathcal{D}} \mu(\mathcal{D})$ in a more convenient way, using the collection $\mathcal{G}$. To do that, call $\mathbb{R}^{X}=\{\mathbf{w}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ and let $\pi_{\text {int }}: \mathbb{R}^{E(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the polynomial function defined by $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{w})=\prod_{\substack{e \in E(G) \\ e \text { internal }}} \mathbf{w}(e)$, which is the multiplicity of the floor diagram $\mathcal{D}$ obtained from $G$ adding the weights $\mathbf{w}(e)$ at every internal edge $e$. Hence

$$
F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\sum_{\mathbf{w} \in W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}} \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{w})
$$

Note that $F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ depends on the order of the entries of $\mathbf{y}$, while in $F_{\left(\mathbf{d}^{r}, \mathbf{d}^{l}\right), \mathbf{c}, g}^{n_{1} n_{2}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ we have to consider all the distinct orders for $\mathbf{y}$ :

$$
F_{\left(\mathbf{d}^{r}, \mathbf{d}^{l}\right), \mathbf{c}, g}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\frac{1}{\beta_{1}!\beta_{2}!\cdots \tilde{\beta}_{1}!\tilde{\beta}_{2}!\cdots} \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{(r, l)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n_{2}}} F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(\mathbf{x}, \sigma(\mathbf{y}))
$$

We want to have the setting of Theorem 2.13. Recall that the divergence of a vertex is defined as

$$
\operatorname{div}(v)=\sum_{e: v \rightarrow v^{\prime}} w(e)-\sum_{e: v^{\prime} \rightarrow v} w(e)
$$

and that the adjacency matrix of the graph $G$ is given by $A \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G) \times E(G)}$ which is, in our convention:

$$
A(v, e)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { when } e: v \rightarrow v^{\prime} \text { for some } v^{\prime} \\ -1 & \text { when } e: v^{\prime} \rightarrow v \text { for some } v^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Note that the columns of the matrix $A$ are a subset of the root system $A_{|E(G)|-1}$, therefore the matrix $A$ is unimodular. Now, take $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$ and define the flow polytope

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{G}(\mathbf{k}) & =\left\{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{E(G)} \mid \mathbf{w}(e) \geq 0 \text { for all } e \in E(G), \operatorname{div}(v)=\mathbf{k}(v) \text { for all vertices } v\right\} \\
& =\left\{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{E(G)} \mid A \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{w} \geq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take $\mathbf{k}$ to be the vector which entries are given by $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ for the white vertices, $r-l$ for the black vertices and o for the gray vertices, then $W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\Phi_{G}(\mathbf{k})$ because $A$ is unimodular and $\mathbf{k}$ is a vector of integers, therefore the solutions of the linear system $A \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{k}$ must be vectors with integer entries.
By Theorem 2.13 and Example 2.14 the weighted partition function

$$
\mathcal{P}_{G, \pi_{\text {int }}}(\mathbf{k})=\sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \Phi_{G}(\mathbf{k})} \pi_{\text {int }}(\mathbf{w})
$$

is piecewise polynomial relative to the chambers of the discriminant arrangement in $\left\{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G)} \mid \sum \mathbf{k}(v)=0\right\}$. Recall that this arrangement consists of the hyperplanes $\sum_{v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} \mathbf{k}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all subsets $V^{\prime} \subseteq V$. Since our objects of interest are floor diagrams, we need the values of $\mathcal{P}_{G, \pi_{\text {int }}}(\mathbf{k})$ on the subspace $H_{r-l}$ determined by the equations

$$
\mathbf{k}\left(w_{i}\right)=x_{i}, \quad \mathbf{k}\left(w_{j}\right)=y_{j}, \quad \mathbf{k}(u)=0 \text { for all gray } u, \quad \mathbf{k}\left(b_{i}\right)=r_{i}-l_{i} \text { for all black } b_{i}
$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{a} r_{i}-l_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{r} d_{i}^{r}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{l} d_{j}^{l}$ and the sum of all divergences is $o$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} y_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{r} d_{i}^{r}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{l} d_{j}^{l}=0
$$

automatically, thus $H_{r-l} \subseteq\left\{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G)} \mid \sum \mathbf{k}(v)=0\right\}$. The restriction of $\mathcal{P}_{G, \pi_{\text {int }}}(\mathbf{k})$ to the subspace $H_{r-l}$ is the function $F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, which is piecewise polynomial in the chamber structure stated above. When we symmetrise, the result $\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n_{2}}} F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(\mathbf{x}, \sigma(\mathbf{y}))$ is still piecewise polynomial relative to the same chambers, since the chamber structure is fixed under permutation of the $n_{2} \mathbf{y}$ variables. What remains to prove is that $\sum_{(r, l)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n_{2}}} F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(\mathbf{x}, \sigma(\mathbf{y}))$ is piecewise polynomial. Since every pair of permutations $(r, l)$ gives rise to a hyperplane arrangement $S_{r-l}$ in $H_{r-l}$, then $\sum_{(r, l)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n_{2}}} F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(\mathbf{x}, \sigma(\mathbf{y}))$ is piecewise polynomial in the chambers of the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{H}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\mathbf{c})=\bigcup_{(r, l)} S_{r-l}$. This completes the proof. Finally, Theorem 1.10 follows from the same arguments.

Remark 3.1. In Example 2.7 we computed the polytope $\Phi_{G}(\mathbf{k})=\left[\max \left\{0, c_{2}^{r}-c_{2}^{l}\right\}, c_{1}^{l}-c_{1}^{r}-x_{3}\right]$, where $\mathbf{k}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, c_{1}^{r}-c_{3}^{l}, y_{1}, 0,0, c_{2}^{r}-c_{2}^{l}, 0, c_{1}^{r}-c_{1}^{l}, x_{3}\right)$.

Remark 3.2. In the case in which $m=1$ something interesting happens to the hyperplane arrangements $S_{r-l}$. Indeed, in this case the only left permutation possible is the identity, since $D_{l}=\{\underbrace{c^{l}, \ldots, c^{l}}\}$;

$$
d^{l} \text {-times }
$$

therefore $S_{r-i d}=S_{\tilde{r}-i d}$ with $r, \tilde{r} \in \operatorname{Perm}\left(D_{r}\right)$. This means that the chamber structure for the piecewise polynomiality of the function $F_{\left(\mathbf{d}^{r}, d^{l}\right), \mathbf{c}, g}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ does not depend on the permutation $r$.

Remark 3.3. In [CJM10] the authors presented a technique to get a wall crossing formula for genus 0 double Hurwitz numbers and further it was generalised to arbitrary genus in [CJM11]. We briefly sketch the technique in the case of genus 0 double Hurwitz numbers: let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be two adjacent chambers of polynomiality for genus 0 double Hurwitz numbers and call $\delta=0$ the equation of the wall dividing them. Let us consider a graph contributing to $C_{1}$ that presents an edge with weight $\delta$. Once we pass through the wall what happens is that the orientation of the edge having weight $\delta$ will be inverted, which corresponds to cutting and regluing the edge in a suitable way to get the new graph and changing the sign of the edge weight. This operation divides the graph into two new graphs contributing to two genus 0 double Hurwitz numbers having new data and this provides the recursive formula. When adapting this to our case, each edge weight $\delta$ arises twice, thus we obtain a contribution of $\delta^{2}$. The squaring erases the sign change. Therefore, we expect that new techniques may be necessary to possibly derive wall-crossing formulae for our invariants.


Figure 7. The $h$-transverse polygon associated to the data above.
3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.8. In the notation of Theorem 1.7, it suffices to show these claim for the following piecewise polynomial function for each graph $G$ and permutations $r \in \operatorname{Perm}\left(D_{r}\right)$ and $l \in \operatorname{Perm}\left(D_{l}\right)$ :

$$
F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\sum_{\mathbf{w} \in W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}} \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{w})
$$

The degree of the polynomial $\pi_{\text {int }}(\mathbf{w})$ is the number of interior edges, which is $n_{2}+2(g+a-1)$ by Proposition 2.3 Moreover, the polytope $W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}$ has dimension $g$ in each full dimensional chamber since $g$ is the smallest number with the following property: if we fix the flow on $g$ edges whose removal turns the graph into a tree, the whole flow vector will be uniquely determined. Therefore, summing over $W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}$ produce a polynomial piece of degree $g+\left[n_{2}+2(g+a-1)\right]=n_{2}+3 g+2 a-2$. Let

$$
L_{W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}, \pi_{\mathrm{int}}}(t)=\sum_{\mathbf{w} \in t W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}} \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{w})=F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(t \mathbf{x}, t \mathbf{y})
$$

By Theorem 2.15 we have

$$
F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(-t \mathbf{x},-t \mathbf{y})=L_{W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l} \pi_{\mathrm{int}}}(-t)=(-1)^{g} L_{-W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}^{\circ}, \pi_{\mathrm{int}}^{\circ}}(t) .
$$

Denote by $i=n_{2}+2(g+a-1)$ the number of internal edges in $G$, then $\pi_{\text {int }}(-w)=(-1)^{i} \pi_{\text {int }}(w)$ for any weight $w$ for $G$, therefore we get

$$
F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(-t \mathbf{x},-t \mathbf{y})=(-1)^{g} L_{-W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}^{\circ}, \pi_{\mathrm{int}}}(t)=(-1)^{g+i} L_{W_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}^{\circ}, \pi_{\mathrm{int}}}(t)=(-1)^{n_{2}+3 g+2 a-2} F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(t \mathbf{x}, t \mathbf{y})
$$

Hence, the function $F_{G, \mathbf{c}, r-l}(t \mathbf{x}, t \mathbf{y})$ is either even or odd depending on the parity of $n_{2}+3 g+2 a-2$.
3.3. Example. The goal of this subsection is to explicitly compute the function $F_{\left(\mathbf{d}^{r}, \mathbf{d}^{l}\right), \mathbf{c}, g}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ in the case $n_{1}=2, n_{2}=1, \mathbf{c}^{r}=(k, 0)$, where $k>0$ is an integer, $\mathbf{c}^{l}=0, \mathbf{d}^{r}=(1,1), \mathbf{d}^{l}=2$ and $g \geq 0$. The corresponding polytope is given in Figure 7 .

In Tables 1 and 2 we list all the floor diagrams contributing to $F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), 0}^{2,1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right)$ with divergence sequence $r_{1}-l$ and $r_{2}-l$ respectively. Note that, since $c_{2}^{r}=c^{l}=0$ the domain will be

$$
\Lambda=\left\{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid x_{1}+x_{2}+y_{1}+k=0\right\}
$$

The following planes provide the subdivision of $\Lambda$ in sixteen chambers, see Figure 8 :

$$
x_{1}=0, x_{1}+k=0, x_{2}=0, x_{2}+k=0, y_{1}=0, y_{1}+k=0
$$

Remark 3.4. We note that even though we do not work with a Hirzebruch surface, the chamber complex we obtain coincides with the one in [AB17. Section 6]. This is due to the fact that the polygon in Figure 7 only differs from the polygon of a Hirzebruch surface by a vertical edge.


Figure 8. The chamber complex for $F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), 0}^{2,1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right)$
As in [AB17], we label each chamber with a triple $s_{x_{1}} s_{x_{2}} s_{y_{1}}$ where each $s_{i}$ is,+ 0 or - according to weather the corresponding variable is $>0$, between $-k$ and 0 , or $<-k$ respectively. For instance, the chamber $0+-$ is given by the inequalities:

$$
x_{1}+k>0>x_{1}, x_{2}+k>x_{2}>0, y_{1}<y_{1}+k<0 .
$$

Since $F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), g}^{2,1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right)=F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), 0}^{2,1}\left(x_{2}, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$, it is sufficient to compute this function for $x_{1} \geq x_{2}$, therefore we consider just ten of the sixteen chambers and the corresponding polynomials are listed in Table 3 The polynomials in the remaining six chambers can be obtained by symmetry.

Let us discuss the case $g=0$. The graphs listed in Tables 1 and 2 contribute to $F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), 0}^{2,1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right)$ and they are obtained by a careful analysis of the weights.

Example 3.5. Take the graphs $B 2$ and $B^{\prime} 2$. The edge weights for the graph $B 2$, from left to right, must be $x_{1},-x_{2},-x_{2},-y_{1},-x_{2}$, while for the graph $B^{\prime} 2$ they must be $x_{1},-x_{2}-k,-x_{2}-k,-y_{1},-x_{2}$. Therefore, the graph $B 2$ contributes to $F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), 0}^{2,1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right)$ with weight $\left(-y_{1}\right) x_{2}^{2}$ as long as $x_{1}>$ $0, x_{2}, y_{1}<0$; that is, in chambers $+0-,+--$ and +-0 . On the other hand, the graph $B^{\prime} 2$ contributes to $F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), 0}^{2,1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right)$ with weight $\left(-y_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}+k\right)^{2}$ as long as $x_{1}>0, x_{2}<x_{2}+k<0, y_{1}<0$; that is, in chambers +-- and +-0 .
Note that the graphs in $B 2$ and $B^{\prime} 2$ are the same, but we have different divergence sequences and for this reason we have different weights.

In general, all the graphs in Tables 1 and 2 contribute to $F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), 0}^{2,1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right) /\left|y_{1}\right|$ with weight $(w+k)^{2}$, where $w \in\left\{0, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{1}-k, x_{2}-k, y_{1}, y_{1}-k\right\}$.

|  | A | в | c | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | $00000$ |  |
| 2 |  | $0<000: 0$ |  |  |
| 3 | $0<0<0<0$ |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | Q:000: |  | Q:000: |
| 6 |  | $0<000: 0$ |  | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}^{1} 0<000: 0$ |
| 7 | $\begin{array}{l:lll:l} Q & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l:c:c:c} Q & O & \theta & \\ Q & 0 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $: \alpha 0$ | $:$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\begin{array}{cc:c:c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ : & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$ | $0000$ | : | Ooo:o |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{ccc:c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$ | $000 \cdot \frac{10}{1}$ | $00-\frac{1}{1}$ |  |
| 11 | $\begin{array}{lll:l} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 12 | $\begin{array}{lll:l} 1 & & 1 \\ : & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{13}$ |  | $0000$ |  | : |

Table 1. The floor diagrams with divergence sequence $r_{1}-l$ that contribute to $F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), 0}^{2,1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right)$


TABLE 2. The floor diagrams with divergence sequence $r_{2}-l$ that contribute to $F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), 0}^{2,1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right)$

Remark 3.6. For each graph in rows from seven to thirteen in Table 1 and for each graph in rows from five to nine in Table 2 (i. e. when $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ have the same sign), there are a priori two different possibilities of labeling the vertices in $L$ or $R$, respectively, with $\tilde{q}_{1}$ and $\tilde{q}_{2}$ or $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$. The two corresponding floor diagrams are the same for graphs in rows eight, ten, eleven and twelve in Table 1 and in rows six, seven and eight in Table 2 , and they are different for graphs in rows seven, nine and thirteen in Table 1 and in rows five and nine in Table 2

Let us discuss the case $g>0$. In each graph, we need to replace the grey vertex and its two incident edges by $g+1$ gray vertices and the corresponding $2(g+1)$ edges. The position of an intermediate white vertex can be chosen among the $g+1$ grey vertices; there are $g+3$ choices. This gives rise to the factor $g+3$ in Table 3. For example, in chamber + - - and genus $g=0$ the graphs $A 2, B 2, C 2$ are

| Chamber | Graphs (g=0) | $F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), g}^{2,1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right) /\left\|y_{1}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ++- | $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}{ }_{5} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}{ }_{5}, \mathrm{~A}_{7}, \mathrm{~A}_{7}, \mathrm{~A} 8$ | $\begin{gathered} \Gamma\left(y_{1}-k\right)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{1}\right)+ \\ +\Gamma\left(x_{1}-k\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{2}-k\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| +0- | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}^{\prime} 1, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~B} 2, \mathrm{C}_{2} \\ \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}^{\prime} 3, \mathrm{~A}_{4}, \mathrm{~A}_{5} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Gamma\left(x_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{1}-k\right)+(g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{2}-k\right)+ \\ & +\Gamma\left(y_{1}-k\right)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right)+\Gamma(0) \end{aligned}$ |
| +- | A1, A' $1 . \mathrm{A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}{ }^{2}, \mathrm{~B} 2$, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}{ }_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }^{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}^{\prime} 3$, A5 | $\begin{gathered} \Gamma\left(x_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{1}-k\right)+(g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{2}-k\right)+ \\ +(g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{2}\right)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}-k\right)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}\right)+\Gamma(0) \end{gathered}$ |
| oo- | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A}^{\prime} 8, \mathrm{~A}_{9}, \mathrm{~A}_{9}, \mathrm{~A}_{10}, \mathrm{~A}_{12}, \\ \mathrm{~A}_{13}, \mathrm{~A}_{13}, \mathrm{~B}_{13}, \mathrm{~B}_{13}, \mathrm{C}_{13}, \\ \mathrm{C}_{13}, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Gamma\left(x_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right)+\Gamma(0)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}-k\right)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}\right)+ \\ & +(g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{2}-k\right)+(g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{1}-k\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| +-0 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}{ }_{1, \mathrm{~A}}{ }_{2}, \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}{ }_{2}, \mathrm{~B} 2$, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}{ }_{2, \mathrm{C}}^{2}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }_{2,} \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{5}$, A6,B6,C6 | $\begin{aligned} & \Gamma\left(x_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{1}-k\right)+(g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{2}-k\right)+ \\ & +(g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{2}\right)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}-k\right)+\Gamma(0)+ \\ & +(g+3) \Gamma\left(y_{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| o-o | $\mathrm{A}_{9}, \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}{ }_{9}, \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}{ }_{9}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }_{9}, \mathrm{~A}_{10}$, $\mathrm{A}_{11, \mathrm{~B}}^{11, \mathrm{C}_{11}, \mathrm{~A}_{12}, \mathrm{~A}_{13},}$ $\mathrm{Al}_{13}, \mathrm{~B}_{13}, \mathrm{~B} 13, \mathrm{C}_{13}, \mathrm{C} 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Gamma\left(x_{1}\right)+\Gamma(0)+(g+3) \Gamma\left(y_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}-k\right)+ \\ & +(g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{2}-k\right)+(g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{1}-k\right)+ \\ & +(g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| ooo | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A}_{9}, \mathrm{~A}_{9}, \mathrm{~A}_{10}, \mathrm{~A}_{11}, \mathrm{~B}_{11} \\ \mathrm{C}_{11,} \mathrm{~A}_{12,} \mathrm{~A}_{13}, \mathrm{~A}_{13}, \mathrm{~B}_{13} \\ \mathrm{~B}_{13}, \mathrm{C}_{13}, \mathrm{C}_{13} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Gamma\left(x_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right)+\Gamma(0)+(g+3) \Gamma\left(y_{1}\right)+ \\ & +\Gamma\left(y_{1}-k\right)+(g+3) \Gamma(0)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}-k\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| +-+ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{1}{ }_{1, \mathrm{C}}^{1}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }_{1, \mathrm{D}}^{1} \\ \mathrm{D}^{\prime} 1, \mathrm{D}_{2}, \mathrm{D}, 2_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4}, \mathrm{~B}_{5} \\ \mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{D} 5, \mathrm{D} 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{1}\right)+(g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{1}-k\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{2}-k\right)+ \\ & +\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right)+(g+3) \Gamma(0)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}-k\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| o-+ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D'7,B9,C9,D9,D'9, } \\ \text { B10,C10,D10,D11,D13, } \\ \text { D13 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (g+3) \Gamma\left(x_{1}\right)+(g+3) \Gamma(0)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}\right)+ \\ & +\Gamma\left(y_{1}-k\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{2}-k\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{1}-k\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| -+ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D'7,D'9,D'9,B10,C10, } \\ \text { D10,D11,D13,D13 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (g+3) \Gamma(0)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(y_{1}-k\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{2}\right)+ \\ & +\Gamma\left(x_{2}-k\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{1}\right)+\Gamma\left(x_{1}-k\right) \end{aligned}$ |

Table 3. The double Gromov-Witten invariants $F_{(1,1 ; 2),(k, 0 ; 0), g}^{2,1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}\right)$
isomorphic as unoriented graphs, and they account the three possible positions of the white vertex in $C$ relative to the black and grey vertices.

Suppose $w$ to be the weight of a black-grey edge in a genus 0 graph. In the genus $g$ graph, that total weight $w$ has to be distributed among $g+1$ weights. Therefore the resulting contribution is

$$
\Gamma_{g}(w)=\sum_{w_{1}+\cdots+w_{g+1}=w} \prod_{i=1}^{g+1} w_{i}^{2}
$$

where $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{g+1}$ are positive integers. The function $\Gamma$ in Table 3 is given by

$$
\Gamma(w)=\Gamma_{g}(|w+k|)
$$

## 4. Double Gromov-Witten invariants via the bosonic Fock space

In this section, we establish a connection between our invariants $N_{\mathbf{c}, g}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \bullet}(\mathbf{d})$ and the bosonic Fock space. More precisely, we express them as matrix elements of certain operators. For this, we first need to re-organise floor diagrams. In this, we follow the notion of floor diagram introduced in [CJMR21] for the study of curves in Hirzebruch surfaces. For this, we fix the same setting as for Definition 2.1

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ a loop-free graph with vertex set $V$, edge set $E$. There are two types of edges: bounded edges which are composed of two half-edges adjacent to different vertices and unbound edges called ends with one flag. We call $\mathcal{F}$ a thickened floor diagram of multidegree $\mathbf{d}$ and relative to $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ if:

- Each vertex $v \in V$ carries a size $s_{v}$ that is either 0 or 1 .
- Each half-edge may be decorated with a thickening and for each bounded edge exactly one of its half-edges is thickened.
- At each vertex $v$, exactly $2-2 s_{v}$ half-edges are thickened.
- We have a map

$$
w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}
$$

associating to each edge $e \in E$ an expansion factor $w(e)$.

- For each vertex $v$ denote by $E_{v}^{-}$the set of incoming edges at $v$ and by $E_{v}^{+}$the set of outgoing edges at $v$. We define the divergence of $v$ as

$$
\operatorname{div}(v)=\sum_{e \in E_{v}^{+}} w(e)-\sum_{e \in E_{v}^{-}} w(e)
$$

Then, we require that

- if $s_{v}=0$, then $\operatorname{div}(v)=0$
- if $s_{v}=1$, then $\operatorname{div}(v)=l_{i}-r_{i}$, where $v$ is the $i$-th vertex with $s_{v}=1$.
- The sequence of expansion factors of non-thick ends is given by $\mathbf{x}$, while the sequence of expansion factors of thick ends is given by $\mathbf{y}$, where negative entries of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ correspond to ends pointing to the left and positive entries to ends pointing to the right.
- The ends of $\mathcal{F}$ are labelled by the parts of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$.

The genus of $\mathcal{F}$ is the first Betti number of the underlying graph.
Finally, we denote by

$$
\mu(\mathcal{F})=\prod w(e)
$$

where the product runs over all bounded edges of $\mathcal{F}$, the weight of $\mathcal{F}$.

Similarly to Theorem 2.4 thickened floor diagrams compute our invariants $N_{\mathbf{c}, 9}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \bullet}(\mathbf{d})$. More precisely, we have the following theorem which follows from the same arguments as in [CJMR21. section 5].
Theorem 4.2. Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d^{t}, \boldsymbol{d}^{r}, \boldsymbol{d}^{l}\right)>0$ be a vector of integer numbers, $g \geq 0$ an integer and $\boldsymbol{x}$ a vector with coordinates in $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. We write $\alpha(\boldsymbol{x})=\alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})=\tilde{\alpha}$. Then, for any two sequences of non-negative integer numbers $\beta=\left(\beta_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ and $\tilde{\beta}=\left(\tilde{\beta}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i} i\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}\right)=d^{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{r} d_{i}^{r}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{l} d_{j}^{l} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i} i\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}+\tilde{\beta}_{i}\right)=d^{t},
$$

one has

$$
N_{\boldsymbol{c}, g}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \bullet}(\boldsymbol{d})=\sum_{\mathcal{F}} \mu(\mathcal{F})
$$

where the sum runs over all thickened floor diagrams $\mathcal{F}$ of multidegree $\boldsymbol{d}$, genus $g$ and relative to $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ for $S(c)$.

This interpretation of $N_{\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{g}}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \bullet}(\mathbf{d})$ is the basis for the remainder of the section. Next, we introduce the bosonic Fock space and related notions.
Definition 4.3. We define the two-dimensional Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}$ as generated by $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying the commutator relations

$$
\left[a_{n}, a_{m}\right]=0, \quad\left[b_{n}, b_{m}\right]=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left[a_{n}, b_{m}\right]=n \delta_{n,-m}
$$

where $\delta_{n,-m}$ is the Kronecker symbol. Moreover, we set $a_{0}=b_{0}=0$.
We now consider the free action of $\mathcal{H}$ on the so-called vaccuum vector $v_{\emptyset}$ where we set $a_{n} \cdot v_{\emptyset}=$ $b_{n} \cdot v_{\emptyset}=0$ for $n>0$. For two partitions $\mu, \nu$, we define

$$
v_{\mu, \nu}=\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)||\operatorname{Aut}(\nu)|} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} a_{-\mu_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(\nu)} b_{-\nu_{j}} \cdot v_{\emptyset}
$$

The vectors $v_{\mu, \nu}$ form a basis of a vector space which we call the bosonic Fock space. We also define an inner product by declaring $\left\langle v_{\emptyset} \mid v_{\emptyset}\right\rangle=1, a_{n}$ as the adjoint of $a_{-n}$ and $b_{n}$ as the adjoint of $b_{-n}$. Thus, we obtain

$$
\left\langle v_{\mu, \nu} \mid v_{\mu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)|} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\nu)|} \prod \mu_{i} \prod \nu_{i} \delta_{\mu, \nu^{\prime}} \delta_{\mu^{\prime}, \nu} .
$$

Moreover, for an operator $M \in \mathcal{H}$, we denote $\langle v| M|w\rangle=\langle v \mid M w\rangle$. Finally, we define the normal ordering of a monomial of operators : $\prod \alpha_{i} \Pi \beta_{j}$ : as the product, such that all $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}$ with $i, j<0$ appear to left of the operators with positive index.

We now define the operator whose matrix elements will give our invariants.
Definition 4.4. Let $P(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ an $h$-transverse polygon, $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \Lambda$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Let

$$
\mathbf{a}_{n}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u a_{n}, \text { if } n<0 \\
a_{n} \text { if } n>0
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{b}_{n}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u b_{n}, \text { if } n<0 \\
b_{n} \text { if } n>0
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Then, we define

$$
M_{c}=u^{-1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \sum_{\substack{ \\\sum \in\left(\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}^{m}: \\ \sum z_{i}=c\right.}}: \mathbf{a}_{z_{1}} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{m}:
$$

and

$$
M=u^{-1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}: \mathbf{b}_{-m} \mathbf{b}_{m}:
$$

We are now ready to state our main theorem of this section.
Theorem 4.5. Consider the same data as in Theorem 1.7 Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{c, g}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \cdot}(\boldsymbol{d})= & \frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(\boldsymbol{x})||\operatorname{Aut}(y)|}{\prod\left|x_{i}\right| \prod\left|x_{j}\right|} \\
& \left\langle v_{x^{-}, y^{-}}\right|\left[u^{g-1+\ell\left(x^{-}\right)+\ell\left(y^{-}\right)}\right] \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\substack{\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{a}\right) \\
\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{a}\right)}} \sigma\left(\prod_{i=1}^{a} M_{l_{i}-r_{i}} M^{a+g+\ell(y)-1}\right)\left|v_{x^{+}, y^{+}}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left[u^{g-1+\ell\left(x^{-}\right)+\ell\left(y^{-}\right)}\right]$denotes the coefficient of the monomial $t^{a} u^{g-1}$ and the sum runs over all permutations of $D_{r}$ and $D_{l}$. Moreover the first sum runs over all permutations $\sigma \in S_{3 a+g+\ell(y)-1}$ that respect the ordering of $1, \ldots$, a and $\sigma\left(\prod_{i=1}^{a} M_{r_{i}-l_{i}} M^{a+g+\ell(y)-1}\right)$ denotes the permutations of the $2 a+g+\ell(\boldsymbol{y})-1$ factors induced by $\sigma$.

The proof follows from a tropical formulation of Wick's theorem [Wic50] that may be found in [BG16] Proposition 5.2] and in [CJMR21 Proposition 6.6] for the version we employ. The key idea is to construct (coloured) Feynman diagrams corresponding to matrix elements of monomials in the bosonic Fock space. The idea, also outlined after [CJMR21, Definition 6.4] is as follows: Let $m_{-}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}, m_{+}$be normally ordered monomials in the operators $a_{i}, b_{j}$, such that $m_{-}$contains only operators with negative index and $m_{+}$only operators with positive index. Then, we associate to the monomial $P=m_{+} m_{1} \cdots m_{s} m_{-}$a family of graphs, we call Feynman diagrams associated to $P$ via the following procedure:
(1) For each monomial $m_{l}$, we create a vertex $v_{l}$. For each $a_{i}$ with $i<0$ appearing in $m_{l}$, we create a half-edge $e$ adjacent to $v_{l}$ pointing to the left with expansion factor $w(e)=|i|$. For each $a_{i}$ with $i>0$ we do create a half-edge pointing to the right with expansion factor $i$. Moreover, for each $b_{j}$ appearing in $m_{l}$, we create a thickened half-edge adjacent to $v_{l}$ again pointing to the left for $j<0$ and to the right for $j>0$.
For the monomial $m_{-}$and $m_{+}$we create a set of unbounded half-edges of corresponding weight for each operator appearing in them. We thicken the half-edges coming from operators $b_{i}$.
(2) We order all pieces by moving the half-edges corresponding to $m_{+}$to the left and the half-edges corresponding to $m_{-}$to the right. All vertices $m_{l}$ are ordered linearly ordered in between respecting the ordering of the indices $l$.
(3) We connect half-edges to other according to the following rules:

- A half-edge pointing to the left is connected to a half-edge pointing to the right and the connection respects the ordering of the vertices.
- Connected half-edges must have the same expansion factor.
- All resulting edges that adjacent to two vertices have exactly one thickened half-edge.

As such the operators $M_{c}$ corresponds to vertices of size 1 and non-trivial divergence, whereas the operator $M$ yield vertices of size 0 and trivial divergence. Note that by the construction of thickened Floor diagrams in [CJMR21], all vertices of size 0 are 2 -valent.

Proposition 4.6 ([]BG16 Proposition 5.2],[[JMR21] Proposition 6.6]). The vaccum expecation of an operator $P$ as above is equal to the weighted sum of all Feynman diagrams associated to $P$, where each diagram is weighted the product of all edges (bounded and unbounded).

We end this section with the proof of Theorem $4 \cdot 5$
Proof of Theorem 4.5 Clearly all Feynman diagrams are thickend Floor diagrams and the operators $M_{c}$ and $M$ are built, such that all thickend floor diagrams contributing to $N_{\mathbf{c}, g}^{\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \bullet}(\mathbf{d})$ appear. The weights only differ by the product of the expansion factors of the ends, which is why we divide by them. A simple Euler characteristic calculation shows that all Feynman diagrams are of the right genus.
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