CHARACTERIZATION OF WEAKLY POROUS SETS VIA DYADIC COVERINGS

ANDREI V. VASIN

ABSTRACT. We consider the class of weakly porous sets in Euclidean spaces. As our main goal, we give a precise characterization in terms of dyadic coverings of these sets.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Background.** A set E of the unit circle \mathbb{T} is called porous if there exists C such that for any arc $I \subset \mathbb{T}$ there is a subarc $M(I) \subset I$ containing no points of E and satisfying

$$|M(I)| > C|I|$$
.

Dyn'kin proved an uniform entropy characteristic of the porous set [3]:

(1)
$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, E)} dx < \log \frac{1}{|I|} + C,$$

where C is not depending on I. There is a discrete form of (1)

(2)
$$\sum_{J \subset I, \ J \cap E = \emptyset} |J| \log \frac{1}{|J|} \le |I| \left(\log \frac{1}{|I|} + C\right)$$

with C independent of I.

The porosity condition and estimate (1) appear naturally in the free interpolation problems for different classes of analytic functions smooth up to the boundary of the unit disc $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (see [3]).

Another characterization of porosity with application for the weak embedding property of singular inner functions on the unit circle $\mathbb T$ was found by Borichev, Nicolau and Thomas in [2, Lemma7]: a set E is porous if and only if there exists C such that for any arc $I \subset \mathbb T$ one has

(3)
$$\sum_{J \cap E \neq \emptyset, \ J \in \mathcal{D}(I)} |J| \le C|I|,$$

where $\mathcal{D}(I)$ is a dyadic decomposition of I.

So, in the Dyn'kin inequality, the description of a porous set involves arcs free from points of the set, while in the Borichev-Nicolau-Thomas characterization, arcs intersecting this set are used.

Our main goal in this paper is to extend the related characterization (3) of porosity on the higher dimensional case, as well to find explicit relations between (2) and (3). Note that extension of the Dyn'kin inequality to the higher dimensional case is known as the related Aikawa condition (see [4] and references there).

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 28A75; Secondary 28A80.

Key words and phrases. weakly porous sets, BLO condition, dyadic covering.

This research was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant No. 23-11-00171).

We deal with certain generalization, and consider weakly porous sets in \mathbb{R}^d . These sets on the unit circle \mathbb{T} appeared in the study [6] of the properties of the Fuchsian groups, where it was proved that the function $\log dist^{-1}(x, E)$ belongs to the space $BLO(\mathbb{T})$ if and only if E is weakly porous. Anderson, Lehrbäck, Mudarra and Vähäkangas [1] extended both the definition of weakly porous sets in \mathbb{R}^d , as well there was found when the distance function $dist^{-\alpha}(x, E)$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt class A_1 . A precise quantitative characterization in terms of the so-called Muckenhoupt exponent of E is also given in [1].

1.2. **Dyadic decomposition.** Throughout this paper, we consider \mathbb{R}^d equipped with the Euclidean distance and the d-dimensional Lebesgue measure. The Lebesgue measure of E is denoted by |E|, and if $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then dist(x; E) denotes the distance from x to the set E.

We only consider cubes which are half-open and have sides parallel to the coordinate axes. That is, a cube in \mathbb{R}^d is a set of the form

$$Q = [a_1; b_1) \times \cdots \times [a_d; b_d)$$

with side-length $\ell(Q) = b_1 - a_1 = \cdots = b_d - a_d$. The dyadic decomposition of a cube $R \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is

$$\mathcal{D}(R) = \bigcup_{j \ge 0} \mathcal{D}_j(R)$$

where each $\mathcal{D}_j(R)$ consists of the 2^{jd} pairwise disjoint (half-open) cubes Q, with side length $\ell(Q) = 2^{-j}\ell(R)$, such that

$$R = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_j(R)} Q$$

for every $j = 0, 1, \ldots$ The cubes in $\mathcal{D}(R)$ are called dyadic cubes (with respect to R). Let j > 0 and $Q \in \mathcal{D}_j(R)$. Then there exists a unique dyadic cube $\pi Q \in \mathcal{D}_{j-1}(R)$ satisfying $Q \subset \pi Q$, and $|\pi Q| = 2^d |Q|$.

Definition 1. Let E be a nonempty set. For each cube $R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ let

$$\mathcal{D}(R, E) = \{ Q \in \mathcal{D}(R) : Q \cap E \neq \emptyset \}$$

be a family of all dyadic cubes intersecting E, and let

$$\mathcal{F}(R, E) = \{ Q' \in \mathcal{D}(R) : Q' \cap E = \emptyset, \ \pi Q' \cap E \neq \emptyset \}$$

be a family of all maximal pairwise disjoint dyadic cubes not intersecting E.

Denote by M(R) one of the largest dyadic cubes $Q \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ no containing points of E.

We start with a key result about changing the order of summation that may be of independent interest.

Proposition 1. Let $E \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a set such that closure of E has zero Lebesgue measure. Then

(4)
$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(R,E)} |Q| = \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} |Q'| \log_2 \frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(Q')}.$$

Remark 1. The integral version of Proposition 1 is better known. Given a cube R, $\ell(R) < 1$, and a set E with |E| = 0, one has a following formula of integration:

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mu(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda = \int_{R} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, E)} dx,$$

where $\mu(\lambda) = |\{x \in R : dist(x, E) < \lambda\}|$ is the distribution function.

In Proposition 1 we refer to the binary logarithm $\log_2 x$, instead of the natural logarithm $\log x$, which we use in the rest parts of the paper. The sums in (4) are bounded for a set E of finite entropy over R:

$$\int_{R} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, E)} dx < \infty.$$

It follows from a next result for sets of general type.

Proposition 2. Let $E \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a set such that closure of E has zero Lebesgue measure. Then for each cube $R \in \mathbb{R}^d$

(5)
$$\left| \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} |Q'| \log \frac{1}{\ell(Q')} - \int_R \log \frac{1}{dist(x,E)} dx \right| < C|R|,$$

and

(6)
$$\left| \log \frac{1}{\ell(M(R))} - \inf_{R} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, E)} \right| < C$$

with the constant C = C(d).

1.3. Weakly porous sets.

Definition 2. Let E be a nonempty set. A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called weakly porous, if there are constants 0 < c, $\delta < 1$ such that for each cube $R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ there exists a finite family $\mathcal{F}(R, E, \delta) \subset \mathcal{D}(R)$ of pairwise disjoint dyadic cubes $Q \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ that $Q \cap E = \emptyset$ and $|Q| \geq \delta |M(R)|$, and

(7)
$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}(R, E, \delta)} |Q| \ge c|R|.$$

Instead of dyadic cubes, also general subcubes of R could be used in the definition of weak porosity. However, the dyadic formulation [1] is convenient from the point of view of our proofs.

The following properties are easy to verify using the definition of weak porosity:

W1. If $E \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is porous, then E is weakly porous.

W2. $E \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is weakly porous if and only if the closure E is weakly porous.

W3. If $E \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is weakly porous, then |E| = 0. This is a consequence of the Lebesgue differentiation theorem.

W4. Weak porous set is nowhere dense.

By the John-Nirenberg theorem (see [5, p.145]), there is a condition of the weakly porosity equivalent to [1, Theorem 1.1].

Proposition 3 ([1, 6]). A set $E \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is weakly porous if and only if the function $\log dist^{-1}(x, E)$ belongs to the space $BLO(\mathbb{R}^d)$. That means that there exists C such that for each cube $R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ it holds

$$\frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, E)} dx \le \operatorname{essinf}_{R} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, E)} + C.$$

1.4. **Main result.** As application of Proposition 1, we give the following characterization of weakly porous sets by means of dyadic coverings.

On the one side we prove the discrete version of the BLO condition from Proposition 3, which involves the dyadic covering of complement of a weakly porous set.

On the other side we obtain the description by means of the dyadic covering of the weakly porous sets themselves.

Theorem 4. A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is weakly porous if and only if there is a constant C such that for each cube $R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ any of the following properties hold.

(i) For the family $\mathcal{F}(R, E)$ of dyadic cubes it holds

$$\sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} |Q'| \log \frac{1}{\ell(Q')} \le \left(\log \frac{1}{\ell(M(R))} + C\right) |R|.$$

(ii) For the family $\mathcal{D}(R, E)$ of dyadic cubes it holds

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(R,E)} |Q| \le \left(\log \frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(M(R))} + C\right) |R|.$$

(iii Define a family

$$\mathcal{D}_0(R, E) = \{ Q \in \mathcal{D}(R, E) : |Q| \le |M(R)| \},$$

then

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_0(R,E)} |Q| \le C|R|.$$

Remark 2. Note that in all points (i)–(iii) we have the same constant C.

For the porous sets, when $|R| \leq C|M(R)|$ with C independent of R, we have extension to \mathbb{R}^d of the results [2, 3].

Corollary 5. A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is porous if and only if there is a constant C such that for each cube $R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ any of the following properties hold.

(i) Dyn'kin inequality: for each cube $R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, one has

$$\sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} |Q'| \log \frac{1}{\ell(Q')} \le |R| \left(\log \frac{1}{\ell(R)} + C \right).$$

(ii) Borichev-Nicolau-Thomas characterization: for each cube $R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, one has

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(R,E)} |Q| \le C|R|.$$

2. Proofs

2.1. **Proof of Proposition 1.** The underlying ideas are in principle similar to those in [2], but the higher dimensional case requires several nontrivial modifications.

Cubes of the family $\mathcal{F}(R,E)$ are pairwise disjoint and

$$\bigcup_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} Q' \supseteq R \backslash \mathrm{clos}E$$

for any cube R. Since |clos E| = 0, it holds that

$$\sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} |Q'| = |R|.$$

So, for the left hand side of (4) we have, by changing summation order,

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(R,E)} |Q| = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(R,E)} \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} |Q \cap Q'|$$
$$= \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(R,E)} |Q \cap Q'|.$$

If $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ and $Q' \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, then $Q \subset Q'$ or $Q' \subset Q$. Since $Q \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $Q' \cap E = \emptyset$, it holds $Q' \subset Q$ and so, $|Q' \cap Q| = |Q'|$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(R,E)} |Q| = \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(R,E), Q \supset Q'} |Q'|$$

$$= \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} |Q'| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(R,E), Q \supset Q'} 1$$

$$= \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} |Q'| \mathcal{N}(Q \in \mathcal{D}(R,E), Q \supset Q'),$$

where $\mathcal{N}(Q \in \mathcal{D}(R, E), Q \supset Q')$ is a number of cubes from $\mathcal{D}(E, R)$ containing Q'. This equals to a number of embedded dyadic cubes in the sequence

$$Q \subset \pi Q \subset \dots \pi^n Q$$
,

where the largest cube $\pi^n Q = R$ and the smallest cube $Q = \pi Q'$. Indeed, for each cube $Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R, E)$, it holds $\pi Q' \cap E \neq \emptyset$, and hence $Q = \pi Q' \in \mathcal{D}(R, E)$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{N}(Q \in \mathcal{D}(E, R), Q \supset Q') = \log_2 \frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(Q')},$$

that is claimed.

2.2. **Proof of Proposition 2.** We need an elementary computational lemma.

Lemma 6. Let $Q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a cube with side-length $\ell(Q)$ and Lebesgue measure |Q|, and the boundary ∂Q . Then

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, \partial Q)} dx = \log \frac{1}{\ell(Q)} + C$$

with the constant C = C(d).

Proof. Take the centre M_d of a cube $Q_d = Q$, and consider the decomposition of Q_d into 2d polytopes with disjoint interiors. Each polytope $H(M_d, Q_{d-1})$ is a convex hull of the general vertex M_d and one of the (d-1)-dimensional faces Q_{d-1} of the cube Q_d .

For each polytope $H(M_d, Q_{d-1})$ take the centre M_{d-1} of Q_{d-1} , and decompose $H(M_d, Q_{d-1})$ into 2(d-1) polytopes, which are convex hulls of M_d, M_{d-1} and one of (d-2)-dimensional faces Q_{d-2} of each cube Q_{d-1} .

Continuing by this way, we obtain the decomposition

$$Q_d = \bigcup H(M_d, \dots, M_0),$$

into $2^d d!$ simplexes $H_d = H(M_d, \ldots, M_0)$ with disjoint interiors. Each simplex H_d is a convex hull of points M_d, \ldots, M_0 , where on the last step M_1 is chosen as the centre of an 1-dimensional edge Q_1 , and M_0 is a vertex of this edge Q_1 . Clearly, the vertex M_0 coincides with one of vertices of the cube Q_d , M_d is a centre of the cube

 Q_d . All the other vertices M_k , $k=1,\ldots,d-1$ are the centres of k-dimensional faces Q_k of Q_d . For any $x\in H_d$ we have

$$dist(x, \partial Q_d) = dist(x, H_{d-1}),$$

where $H_{d-1} = H(M_{d-1}, \ldots, M_0)$ is a convex hull of points M_{d-1}, \ldots, M_0 . All the simplexes are equal, and after certain shift and rotation we may assume that each simplex H_d is defined by inequalities

$$0 \le x_1 \le \ell/2,$$

$$0 \le x_2 \le x_1,$$

$$\dots$$

$$0 \le x_d \le x_{d-1}.$$

Also, for $x \in H_d$ we have $dist(x, H_{d-1}) = x_d$. It holds

$$\int_{Q} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, \partial Q)} dx = \sum_{\cup H_d} \int_{H_d} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, H)} dx$$

$$= 2^d d! \int_0^{\ell/2} dx_1 \int_0^{x_1} dx_2 \dots \int_0^{x_{d-1}} \log \frac{1}{x_d} dx_d$$

$$= \left(\log \frac{2}{\ell} + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{d}\right) \ell^d$$

$$= \left(\log \frac{1}{\ell(Q)} + C\right) |Q|$$

with $C = \log 2 + (1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{d})$. We are done.

Now, let us prove (5). Observe that for each cube $Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R, E)$ and $x \in Q'$ one has

$$dist(x, E) \le 2\sqrt{d}\ell(Q'),$$

hence

(8)
$$\log \frac{1}{\ell(Q')} \le \log \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{dist(x, E)}.$$

Therefore, since $|\cos E| = 0$, it holds

$$\sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} |Q'| \log \frac{1}{\ell(Q')} \le \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} \int_{Q'} \log \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{dist(x,E)} dx$$

$$\le \int_{R} \log \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{dist(x,E)} dx$$

$$= \int_{R} \log \frac{1}{dist(x,E)} dx + C|R|$$

with $C = \log 2\sqrt{d}$.

Conversely, we have

$$\int_{R} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, E)} dx = \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R, E)} \int_{Q'} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, E)} dx$$

$$\leq \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R, E)} \int_{Q'} \log \frac{1}{dist(x, \partial Q')} dx,$$

where $\partial Q'$ is the boundary of a cube Q'. Lemma 6 completes the proof of (5).

To prove (6) we argue as in the proof of (5). So, by (8) for each cube $Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R, E)$ and $x \in Q'$ one has

$$\log \frac{1}{\ell(M(R))} \le \log \frac{1}{\ell(Q')}$$

$$\le \log \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{dist(x, E)},$$

which follows

$$\log \frac{1}{\ell(M(R))} \leq \inf_{R} \log \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{dist(x, E)}.$$

On the other side

$$\sup_{R} dist(x, E) \ge \sup_{M(R)} dist(x, E)$$

$$\ge \frac{\ell(M(R))}{2},$$

therefore,

$$\log \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}\ell(M(R))} \leq \inf_{R} \log \frac{1}{dist(x,E)} \leq \log \frac{2}{\ell(M(R))},$$

which easily gets (6).

- 2.3. **Proof of Theorem 4.** By Proposition 2 and Proposition 3, the weakly porosity of E is equivalent to (i).
 - (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) easily follows from Proposition 1.
- (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) Arguing as in Proposition 1, replace the family $\mathcal{D}(R, E)$ by the family $\mathcal{D}_0(R, E)$, it holds

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_0(R,E)} |Q| = \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{F}(R,E)} |Q'| \log_2 \frac{\ell(M(R))}{\ell(Q')}.$$

Since both sums above are bounded by C|R| at the same time, one gets (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii), which completes the proof of Theorem 4.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anderson, T. C., Lehrbäck, J., Mudarra, C., Vähäkangas, A. V. Weakly porous sets and Muckenhoupt A_p distance functions. arXiv:2209.06284.
- 2. Borichev, A., Nicolau, A., Thomas, P. J., Weak embedding property, inner functions and entropy, Math. Ann. 368(3)(2017), 987-1015.
- 3. Dyn'kin, E.M. Free interpolation sets for Hölder classes. Mat. Sb. 109, 107-128 (1979) (Russian) [English translation in Math. USSR Sb. 37 (1980), 97-117].
- Dyda, B, Ihnatsyeva, L., Lehrbäck, J., Tuominen, H., Vähäkangas, A. V. Muccenhoupt Approperties of distance functions and applications to Hardy-Sobolev-type inequalities, Potent. Anal. 50 (2019), 83-105.
- 5. Stein, E. M. Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, Princeton Math. Ser., vol. 43, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

6. Vasin A. V. The limit set of a Fuchsian group and the Dyn'kin lemma. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI, 303(0):89-101 (2003) (Russian) [English translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.), 129:4 (2005), 3977-3984].

Admiral Makarov State University of Maritime and Inland Shipping, Dvinskaya st. $5/7,\,\mathrm{St.}$ Petersburg 198035, Russia

St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg 191023, Russia

 $Email\ address: {\tt andrejvasin@gmail.com}$