

Thermodynamics of heavy quarkonium in the spinning black hole background

Zhou-Run Zhu,^{1,*} Sheng Wang,^{2,†} Xun Chen,^{3,‡} Jun-Xia Chen,^{2,§} and Defu Hou^{2,¶}

¹*School of Physics and Telecommunications Engineering,
Zhoukou Normal University, Zhoukou 466001, China*

²*Institute of Particle Physics and Key Laboratory of Quark and Lepton Physics (MOS),
Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China*

³*School of Nuclear Science and Technology,
University of South China, Hengyang 421001, China*

Abstract

In this paper, we examine the thermodynamics of heavy quarkonium in the spinning black hole background. Specifically, we investigate the effect of angular momentum on the interquark distance, free energy, binding energy, entropy, entropic force, and internal energy of heavy quarkonium from the thermodynamic relationship. Our findings indicate that the angular momentum reduces the maximum value of interquark distance, suggesting that it promotes the dissociation of quarkonium. Additionally, we observe that the angular momentum suppresses free energy. From the results of binding energy, the angular momentum favors the melting of meson into a free quark and antiquark. Moreover, the results show that angular momentum increases the entropy and entropic force, thus accelerates the dissociation of quarkonium. The angular momentum increases the internal energy at large interquark distance. Finally, we find that the angular momentum has a more pronounced effect on quarkonium when the axis of quark pair $Q\bar{Q}$ is transverse to the direction of angular momentum.

* zhuzhourun@zknmu.edu.cn

† shengwang@mails.ccnu.edu.cn

‡ chenxun@usc.edu.cn

§ chenjunxia@mails.ccnu.edu.cn

¶ houdf@mail.ccnu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

There is a lot of evidence suggesting that quark-gluon plasma (QGP) has been created during the heavy-ion collisions experiments [1–4]. Heavy quarkonium is bounded by a quark and antiquark and the dissociation of bound states implies the presence of hot and strongly coupled medium. The direct evidence is the suppression of heavy quarkonium because of Debye screening [5]. Heavy quarkonium is considered one of the most sensitive probes for studying the properties of QGP.

AdS/CFT correspondence [6–8] is a valuable and non-perturbative tool that provides significant insights into studying the nature of strongly coupled matter. From the holographic perspective, heavy quarks are described by the endpoints of open strings on the boundary. The quark and antiquark pair is connected by the U-shape string which hangs down into the bulk. The single quark is dual to the straight string hanging from the boundary to the horizon. The dynamics of quark pairs or a single quark can be calculated from the Nambu-Goto action.

The free energy serves an important role in the theoretical research of color screening and heavy quarkonium. The authors of [9, 10] first calculated the free energy of quark pair in the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory. The holographic investigations of free energy in the strongly coupled medium have been discussed in [11–15]. The difference between the free energy of $Q\bar{Q}$ and two free quarks can be seen as the binding energy. The free energy and binding energy in non-conformal theories have been studied in [16]. Moreover, the entropy is relevant to the nature of deconfinement[17]. The results of lattice QCD[18–20] show that entropy has the maximum values near deconfinement temperature. Besides, the entropy increases with the interquark distance of $Q\bar{Q}$ and is constant at large separations. These observational results lead to the emergent entropic force, which is responsible for the dissociation of quarkonium. There are many attempts to study entropic force by using the holographic approach [21–28].

In this paper, we aim to study the thermodynamics of heavy quarkonium in the spinning black hole background. Specifically, we will calculate the effect of angular momentum on the interquark distance, free energy, binding energy, entropy, entropic force, and internal energy of heavy quarkonium. Since the strongly coupled plasma produced in non-central heavy ion collisions may carry nonzero angular momentum, it is natural to consider the rotating effects on the physical quantities [29–33]. The confinement-deconfinement phase transition

[34–36], energy loss [37–39] and thermodynamics of heavy quarkonium [40, 41] have been analysed in rotating background from holography. Other interesting works can be seen in [42–47].

Using the Lorentz transformation [48–50], the authors of [40, 41] generalize the static background to a local rotating black hole and examine the thermodynamics of heavy quarkonium. However, the resulting black hole only denotes a small neighborhood around rotating radius L and a domain range less than 2π of the rotating matter. It is significant to investigate the thermodynamics of heavy quarkonium in the spinning black hole background [51–54]. The Myers-Perry black holes are vacuum solutions under Einstein gravity and the authors of [54] study the hydrodynamic transport coefficients in these black holes background. As discussed in [54], the boundary is compact and dual gauge theory locates on $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, it was noted that the large black holes (high temperature case) correspond to a spinning medium in flat space $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. If we consider the planar limit black brane, the dual gauge theory lives on a non-compact spacetime. Based on these, we will explore the thermodynamics of heavy quarkonium in the spinning black hole background.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we review the spinning Myers-Perry black hole background. In Sec. III, we discuss the thermodynamics of heavy quarkonium in spinning black hole. In Sec. IV, we make the conclusion and discussion.

II. SPINNING MYERS-PERRY BLACK HOLE BACKGROUND

Firstly, the action of the spinning black hole background is [51]

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 = & -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} \left(dt_H - \frac{a \sin^2 \theta_H}{\Xi_a} d\phi_H - \frac{b \cos^2 \theta_H}{\Xi_b} d\psi_H \right)^2 \\
& + \frac{\Delta_{\theta_H} \sin^2 \theta_H}{\rho^2} \left(a dt_H - \frac{r_H^2 + a^2}{\Xi_a} d\phi_H \right)^2 + \frac{\Delta_{\theta_H} \cos^2 \theta_H}{\rho^2} \left(b dt_H - \frac{r_H^2 + b^2}{\Xi_b} d\psi_H \right)^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr_H^2 \\
& - \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta_{\theta_H}} d\theta_H^2 + \frac{1 + \frac{r_H^2}{L^2}}{r_H^2 \rho^2} \left(ab dt_H - \frac{b(r^2 + a^2) \sin^2 \theta_H}{\Xi_a} d\phi_H - \frac{a(r^2 + b^2) \cos^2 \theta_H}{\Xi_b} d\psi_H \right)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &= \frac{1}{r_H^2}(r_H^2 + a^2)(r_H^2 + b^2)\left(1 + \frac{r_H^2}{L^2}\right) - 2M, \\
\Delta_{\theta_H} &= 1 - \frac{a^2}{L^2}\cos^2\theta_H - \frac{b^2}{L^2}\sin^2\theta_H, \\
\rho &= r_H^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta_H + b^2\sin^2\theta_H, \\
\Xi_a &= 1 - \frac{a^2}{L^2}, \\
\Xi_b &= 1 - \frac{b^2}{L^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where ϕ_H , ψ_H and θ_H denote angular Hopf coordinates. t_H , L and r_H are time, AdS radius and AdS radial coordinate respectively. a and b denote angular momentum parameters and we consider $a = b$ in the calculations, namely spinning Myers-Perry black hole case [52, 53].

To simplify the calculation, one can use the more convenient coordinates and the mass are described by [55]

$$\begin{aligned}
t &= t_H, \\
r^2 &= \frac{a^2 + r_H^2}{1 - \frac{a^2}{L^2}}, \\
\theta &= 2\theta_H, \\
\phi &= \phi_H - \psi_H, \\
\psi &= -\frac{2at_H}{L^2} + \phi_H + \psi_H, \\
b &= a, \\
\mu &= \frac{M}{(L^2 - a^2)^3},
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Then rewrite the expression of metric (1) as

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 + \frac{r^2}{L^2}\right)dt^2 + \frac{dt^2}{G(r)} + \frac{r^2}{4}\left((\sigma^1)^2 + (\sigma^2)^2 + (\sigma^3)^2\right) + \frac{2\mu}{r^2}\left(dt + \frac{a}{2}\sigma^3\right)^2, \tag{4}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
G(r) &= 1 + \frac{r^2}{L^2} - \frac{2\mu(1 - \frac{a^2}{L^2})}{r^2} + \frac{2\mu a^2}{r^4}, \\
\mu &= \frac{r_h^4(L^2 + r_h^2)}{2L^2r_h^2 - 2a^2(L^2 + r_h^2)}, \\
\sigma^1 &= -\sin\psi dtd\theta + \cos\psi\sin\theta d\phi, \\
\sigma^2 &= \cos\psi d\theta + \sin\psi\sin\theta d\phi, \\
\sigma^3 &= d\psi + \cos\theta d\phi,
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where

$$-\infty < t < \infty, \quad r_h < r < \infty, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi, \quad 0 \leq \psi \leq 4\pi. \quad (6)$$

One can use the coordinate transformation to obtain the planar black brane [54]

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \tau, \\ \frac{L}{2}(\phi - \pi) &= x_1, \\ \frac{L}{2}\tan(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}) &= x_2, \\ \frac{L}{2}(\psi - 2\pi) &= x_3, \\ r &= \tilde{r}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $(\tau, \tilde{r}, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ represent new coordinates. Then scale these coordinates with a power of scaling factor β

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &\rightarrow \beta^{-1}\tau, \\ x_1 &\rightarrow \beta^{-1}x_1, \\ x_2 &\rightarrow \beta^{-1}x_2, \\ x_3 &\rightarrow \beta^{-1}x_3, \\ \tilde{r} &\rightarrow \beta\tilde{r}, \\ \tilde{r}_h &\rightarrow \beta\tilde{r}_h. (\beta \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The metric of Schwarzschild black brane which is boosted in $\tau - x_3$ plane can be obtained as [54]

$$ds^2 = \frac{r^2}{L^2}(-d\tau^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + \frac{r_h^4}{r^4(1 - \frac{a^2}{L^2})}(d\tau + \frac{a}{L}dx_3)^2) + \frac{L^2r^2}{r^4 - r_h^4}dr^2, \quad (9)$$

note that the Schwarzschild black brane can be recovered when $a = 0$.

To simplify the calculation, we use the z ($r = 1/z$) as the holographic fifth coordinate

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2L^2}[-d\tau^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + \frac{z^4}{z_h^4(1 - \frac{a^2}{L^2})}(d\tau + \frac{a}{L}dx_3)^2] + \frac{L^2z_h^4}{z^2(z_h^4 - z^4)}dz^2. \quad (10)$$

The temperature is [54]

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{L^2 - a^2}}{z_h\pi L^3}, \quad (11)$$

where z_h is the horizon.

III. THERMODYNAMICS OF HEAVY QUARKONIUM IN SPINNING MYERS-PERRY BLACK HOLE BACKGROUND

In this section, we will study the spectral function of charmonium and bottomonium in spinning Myers-Perry black holes background.

The Nambu-Goto action is

$$S = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d\tau d\sigma \sqrt{-\det g_{\alpha\beta}}, \quad (12)$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is the determinant of induced metric.

It should be mentioned that the metric (10) is boosted in $\tau - x_3$ plane. Thus, we can define the direction of rotation is in x_3 axis. In the calculations, we consider the transverse case and parallel case respectively. The transverse case represents the axis of $Q\bar{Q}$ pair is on the $x_1 - x_2$ plane while parallel case denotes the axis of $Q\bar{Q}$ pair is on x_3 axis.

In transverse case, the worldsheet coordinates are parameterized by

$$\tau = \xi, \quad x_1 = \eta, \quad x_2 = 0, \quad x_3 = 0, \quad z = z(\eta), \quad (13)$$

The Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{A(z) + B(z)\dot{z}^2}, \quad (14)$$

with

$$A(z) = A(z_\perp) = \frac{1}{z^4 L^4} - \frac{1}{L^4 z_h^4 (1 - \frac{a^2}{L^2})}, \quad B(z) = B(z_\perp) = \frac{z_h^4}{z^4 (z_h^4 - z^4)} - \frac{1}{(z_h^4 - z^4) (1 - \frac{a^2}{L^2})}. \quad (15)$$

In parallel case, the worldsheet coordinates are parameterized by

$$\tau = \xi, \quad x_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = 0, \quad x_3 = \eta, \quad z = z(\eta). \quad (16)$$

Then $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ are

$$A(z) = A(z_\parallel) = \frac{z_h^4 - z^4}{z^4 z_h^4 L^4}, \quad B(z) = B(z_\parallel) = \frac{z_h^4}{z^4 (z_h^4 - z^4)} - \frac{1}{(z_h^4 - z^4) (1 - \frac{a^2}{L^2})}. \quad (17)$$

The interquark distance L of particle pair is

$$L = 2 \int_0^{z_c} \sqrt{\frac{A(z_c)B(z)}{A(z)^2 - A(z)A(z_c)}} dz. \quad (18)$$

The free energy of $Q\bar{Q}$ is

$$\frac{\pi F_{Q\bar{Q}}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \int_0^{z_c} \left(\sqrt{\frac{A(z)B(z)}{A(z) - A(z_c)}} - \sqrt{A(z \rightarrow 0)} \right) dz - \int_{z_c}^{\infty} \sqrt{A(z \rightarrow 0)} dz, \quad (19)$$

where λ is 't Hooft coupling and we set $\lambda = 1$ in the calculations. In order to eliminate the divergence of free energy, one can consider the minimum subtraction [16].

The entropy of $Q\bar{Q}$ pair is

$$S = -\frac{\partial F_{Q\bar{Q}}}{\partial T}. \quad (20)$$

The free energy of a single quark is

$$\frac{F_Q}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\int_0^{z_h} \left(\sqrt{B(z)} - \frac{1}{z^2} \right) dz - \frac{1}{z_h} \right]. \quad (21)$$

As discussed in [16], the binding energy is $E_{Q\bar{Q}} = F_{Q\bar{Q}} - 2F_Q$ and the internal energy is $U_{Q\bar{Q}} = F_{Q\bar{Q}} + TS_{Q\bar{Q}}$.




FIG. 1. Interquark distance L of quarkonium versus z_c with different angular momentum a . The black, blue and red line denote $a = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, respectively. The solid line (dashed line) represents the parallel (transverse) case.

In order to study the effect of angular momentum on the thermodynamics of heavy quarkonium, we perform calculations for various properties including interquark distance, free energy, binding energy, entropy, entropic force, and internal energy in the spinning black hole background. We take $L = 1$ in the numerical calculations. As discussed in [54], the background geometry presents a planar black brane in the large black hole. We set a high

temperature $T = 100/\pi$. Moreover, we take $a = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$ as this spinning black brane exhibits stability when $a < 0.75L$.

In Fig. 1, we depict the interquark distance L of quarkonium versus z_c with different angular momentum a . We observed that the interquark distance L initially increases with z_c until it reaches a maximum value L_m , which denotes the screening distance. This behavior indicates the characteristic U-shape string (connected string) feature. Subsequently, as we further increase z_c , the interquark distance decreases. This indicates that the U-shape string becomes unstable and starts to break into a straight string (disconnected string). Additionally, one can find that angular momentum reduces the maximum value of interquark distance L_m , implying that angular momentum promotes the dissociation of quarkonium. Importantly, it is found that the angular momentum has a stronger effect on quarkonium when the axis of $Q\bar{Q}$ is transverse to the direction of angular momentum.




FIG. 2. Free energy F of quarkonium versus L with different angular momentum a . The black, blue and red line denote $a = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, respectively. The solid line (dashed line) represents the parallel (transverse) case. (b) is a partly enlarged view of (a).

In the following calculations, we will focus on the U-shape branch. In Fig. 2, we plot the free energy F of quarkonium versus L with different angular momentum a . One can obviously observed that the free energy is negative in stable branch. This behaves as a Coulomb potential which can be analytically as $F \propto -1/L$. Furthermore, it is found that the angular momentum suppresses the free energy and has a larger effect in transverse case than in parallel.




FIG. 3. Binding energy E of quarkonium versus L with different angular momentum a . The black, blue and red line denote $a = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, respectively. The solid line (dashed line) represents the parallel (transverse) case. (b) is a partly enlarged view of (a).




FIG. 4. Entropy S of quarkonium versus L with different angular momentum a . The black, blue and red line denote $a = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, respectively. The solid line (dashed line) represents the parallel (transverse) case.

In Fig. 3, we plot binding energy E of quarkonium versus L with different angular momentum a . The binding energy represents the difference between the free energy of a quark pair and the free energy of single quarks. One can find that binding energy is less than zero at small L which indicates $F_{Q\bar{Q}} < F_Q$. Binding energy approaches zero as increases L and reaches $E(L_c) = 0$ at a critical value L_c which suggests a phase transition between the connected string and the disconnected string. Binding energy is positive at large L which means the free energy of a bound pair is larger than that of an unbound pair. Moreover, the angular momentum enhances the binding energy and reduces the critical value L_c . The

physical implication is that the angular momentum favors the melting of meson into a free quark and antiquark.

In Fig. 4, we study the effect of angular momentum on entropy S . Fig. 4 shows that angular momentum leads to the increasing of the entropy. Besides, the entropy in the transverse case is larger than that of the parallel case. The entropic force is related to entropy through the equation $F_e = T\partial S/\partial L$. As is well-known, entropic force relies on the growth of the entropy which can be seen as a reason for the melting of quarkonium. Then we can infer that the angular momentum enhances the entropic force. The specific results are plotted in Fig. 5. As expected, the angular momentum increases the entropic force and promotes the dissociation of quarkonium which is consistent with the results of [40, 41]. One can also find that the entropic force is larger when the axis of $Q\bar{Q}$ is transverse to the direction of angular momentum than that in the parallel direction.




FIG. 5. Entropic force F_e of quarkonium versus L with different angular momentum a . The black, blue and red line denote $a = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, respectively. The solid line (dashed line) represents the parallel (transverse) case.




FIG. 6. Internal energy U of quarkonium versus L with different angular momentum a . The black, blue and red line denote $a = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, respectively. The solid line (dashed line) represents the parallel (transverse) case. (b) is a partly enlarged view of (a).

In Fig. 6, we plot internal energy U of quarkonium versus L with different angular momentum a . The internal energy with different angular momentum is almost the same at small interquark distance L while distinguishing at large L . The angular momentum increases the internal energy at large L and has a larger effect in the transverse case than in the parallel case.

IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we investigate the thermodynamics of heavy quarkonium in the spinning black hole background. More specifically, we study the effect of angular momentum on the interquark distance, free energy, binding energy, entropy, entropic force, and internal energy of heavy quarkonium from the thermodynamic relationship.

The presence of angular momentum has various effects on quarkonium. Firstly, the angular momentum reduces the maximum value of interquark distance L_m which implies it promotes the dissociation of quarkonium. From the results of free energy, one can observe that the angular momentum suppresses it. The angular momentum enhances the binding energy and reduces the critical value L_c which suggests the angular momentum favors the melting of meson into a free quark and antiquark. Moreover, the angular momentum increases the entropy and entropic force, thus accelerates the dissociation of quarkonium. The internal energy with different angular momentum is almost the same at small interquark distance L while distinguishing at large L . The angular momentum increases the internal

energy at large L .

In summary, the angular momentum favors the dissociation of quarkonium which is consistent with the results of [40, 41]. However, we find that angular momentum has a stronger effect on quarkonium when the axis of $Q\bar{Q}$ is transverse to the direction of angular momentum which is opposite to the result of [40]. In [40], the authors only consider a local rotating black hole while we study thermodynamics in the spinning black hole background.

It should be mentioned that the spectral function and the effect of angular momentum on the dissociation of heavy quarkonium in the spinning black hole background have been discussed in [57]. From the results of [57], the angular momentum reduces the height and enhances the peak width of spectral function which indicates it favors dissociation of heavy quarkonium. The results of [57] are consistent with that of this work.

Finally, the configuration entropy in spinning black hole background may be an interesting work. We will leave this investigation in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Defu Hou is supported in part by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Contract No.2022YFA1604900. Defu Hou is also partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grants No. 12275104. Xun Chen is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province of China under Grants No. 2022JJ40344, the Research Foundation of Education Bureau of Hunan Province, China under Grants No. 21B0402. Zhou-Run Zhu is supported by the startup Foundation projects for Doctors of Zhoukou Normal University No. ZKNUC2023018.

-
- [1] I. Arsene *et al.* [BRAHMS Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 1 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.130 [nucl-ex/0410020].
 - [2] K. Adcox *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 184 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.086 [nucl-ex/0410003].
 - [3] B. B. Back *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 28 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.084 [nucl-ex/0410022].

- [4] J. Adams *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. A **757**, 102 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.085 [nucl-ex/0501009].
- [5] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 416-422 (1986) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(86)91404-8
- [6] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231-252 (1998) doi:10.1023/A:1026654312961 [arXiv:hep-th/9711200 [hep-th]].
- [7] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253-291 (1998) doi:10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a2 [arXiv:hep-th/9802150 [hep-th]].
- [8] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B **428**, 105-114 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3 [arXiv:hep-th/9802109 [hep-th]].
- [9] S. J. Rey, S. Theisen and J. T. Yee, Nucl. Phys. B **527**, 171-186 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00471-4 [arXiv:hep-th/9803135 [hep-th]].
- [10] A. Brandhuber, N. Itzhaki, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. B **434**, 36-40 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00730-8 [arXiv:hep-th/9803137 [hep-th]].
- [11] O. Andreev and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Lett. B **645**, 437-441 (2007) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.01.002 [arXiv:hep-ph/0607026 [hep-ph]].
- [12] H. Boschi-Filho, N. R. F. Braga and C. N. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 086001 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.086001 [arXiv:hep-th/0607038 [hep-th]].
- [13] O. Andreev and V. I. Zakharov, JHEP **04**, 100 (2007) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/04/100 [arXiv:hep-ph/0611304 [hep-ph]].
- [14] T. Hayata, K. Nawa and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no.10, 101901 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.101901 [arXiv:1211.4942 [hep-ph]].
- [15] Z. R. Zhu and D. Hou, [arXiv:2305.12375 [hep-ph]].
- [16] C. Ewerz, O. Kaczmarek and A. Samberg, JHEP **03**, 088 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2018)088 [arXiv:1605.07181 [hep-th]].
- [17] D. E. Kharzeev, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no.7, 074007 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.074007 [arXiv:1409.2496 [hep-ph]].
- [18] O. Kaczmarek, F. Karsch, P. Petreczky and F. Zantow, Phys. Lett. B **543**, 41-47 (2002) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02415-2 [arXiv:hep-lat/0207002 [hep-lat]].
- [19] P. Petreczky and K. Petrov, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 054503 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.054503 [arXiv:hep-lat/0405009 [hep-lat]].

- [20] O. Kaczmarek and F. Zantow, PoS **LAT2005**, 192 (2006) doi:10.22323/1.020.0192 [arXiv:hep-lat/0510094 [hep-lat]].
- [21] K. Bitaghsir Fadafan and S. K. Tabatabaei, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no.2, 026007 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.026007 [arXiv:1512.08254 [hep-ph]].
- [22] Z. q. Zhang, D. f. Hou and G. Chen, Phys. Lett. B **768**, 180-186 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.02.055 [arXiv:1612.08826 [hep-th]].
- [23] I. Iatrakis and D. E. Kharzeev, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no.8, 086009 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.086009 [arXiv:1509.08286 [hep-ph]].
- [24] R. Critelli, R. Rougemont, S. I. Finazzo and J. Noronha, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no.12, 125019 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.125019 [arXiv:1606.09484 [hep-ph]].
- [25] D. Dudal and S. Mahapatra, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no.12, 126010 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.126010 [arXiv:1708.06995 [hep-th]].
- [26] M. Kioumarsipour and J. Sadeghi, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, no.8, 735 (2021) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09537-3 [arXiv:2210.13919 [hep-th]].
- [27] Z. q. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **101**, no.10, 106005 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.106005 [arXiv:2004.07961 [hep-th]].
- [28] S. S. Jena, B. Shukla, D. Dudal and S. Mahapatra, Phys. Rev. D **105**, no.8, 086011 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.086011 [arXiv:2202.01486 [hep-th]].
- [29] Z. T. Liang and X. N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005), 102301 [erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006), 039901] doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.102301 [arXiv:nucl-th/0410079 [nucl-th]].
- [30] F. Becattini, F. Piccinini and J. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. C **77** (2008), 024906 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.77.024906 [arXiv:0711.1253 [nucl-th]].
- [31] M. Baznat, K. Gudima, A. Sorin and O. Teryaev, Phys. Rev. C **88** (2013) no.6, 061901 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.88.061901 [arXiv:1301.7003 [nucl-th]].
- [32] L. Adamczyk *et al.* [STAR], Nature **548** (2017), 62-65 doi:10.1038/nature23004 [arXiv:1701.06657 [nucl-ex]].
- [33] Y. Jiang, Z. W. Lin and J. Liao, Phys. Rev. C **94** (2016) no.4, 044910 [erratum: Phys. Rev. C **95** (2017) no.4, 049904] doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.94.044910 [arXiv:1602.06580 [hep-ph]].
- [34] X. Chen, L. Zhang, D. Li, D. Hou and M. Huang, JHEP **07** (2021), 132 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2021)132 [arXiv:2010.14478 [hep-ph]].

- [35] N. R. F. Braga, L. F. Faulhaber and O. C. Junqueira, Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) no.10, 106003 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.106003 [arXiv:2201.05581 [hep-th]].
- [36] Y. Q. Zhao, S. He, D. Hou, L. Li and Z. Li, JHEP **04** (2023), 115 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2023)115 [arXiv:2212.14662 [hep-ph]].
- [37] J. X. Chen and D. F. Hou, Eur. Phys. J. C **84**, no.4, 447 (2024) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12708-7 [arXiv:2202.00888 [hep-ph]].
- [38] J. X. Chen, D. F. Hou and H. C. Ren, JHEP **03**, 171 (2024) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2024)171 [arXiv:2308.08126 [hep-ph]].
- [39] D. Hou, M. Atashi, K. Bitaghsir Fadafan and Z. q. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **817**, 136279 (2021) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136279
- [40] J. Zhou, X. Chen, Y. Q. Zhao and J. Ping, Phys. Rev. D **102**, no.12, 126029 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.126029
- [41] P. p. Wu, Z. q. Zhang and X. Zhu, Chin. Phys. C **46**, no.11, 113103 (2022) doi:10.1088/1674-1137/ac814e [arXiv:2207.06122 [nucl-th]].
- [42] B. McInnes, Nucl. Phys. B **887**, 246-264 (2014) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.08.011 [arXiv:1403.3258 [hep-th]].
- [43] B. McInnes, Nucl. Phys. B **911**, 173-190 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2016.08.001 [arXiv:1604.03669 [hep-th]].
- [44] Y. z. Cai and Z. q. Zhang, Chin. Phys. C **48**, no.1, 015102 (2024) doi:10.1088/1674-1137/ad061f [arXiv:2310.13865 [hep-ph]].
- [45] Z. q. Zhang, X. Zhu and D. f. Hou, Nucl. Phys. B **989**, 116149 (2023) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2023.116149
- [46] Y. Q. Zhao, X. L. Sheng, S. W. Li and D. Hou, [arXiv:2403.07468 [hep-ph]].
- [47] Y. Q. Zhao and D. Hou, Eur. Phys. J. C **83** (2023) no.11, 1076 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-12250-y [arXiv:2306.04318 [hep-ph]].
- [48] C. Erices and C. Martinez, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) no.2, 024034 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.024034 [arXiv:1707.03483 [hep-th]].
- [49] M. Bravo Gaete, L. Guajardo and M. Hassaine, JHEP **04** (2017), 092 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2017)092 [arXiv:1702.02416 [hep-th]].
- [50] A. M. Awad, Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003), 2827-2834 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/20/13/327 [arXiv:hep-th/0209238 [hep-th]].

- [51] S. W. Hawking, C. J. Hunter and M. Taylor, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 064005 (1999) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.064005 [arXiv:hep-th/9811056 [hep-th]].
- [52] G. W. Gibbons, M. J. Perry and C. N. Pope, Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 1503-1526 (2005) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/22/9/002 [arXiv:hep-th/0408217 [hep-th]].
- [53] G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu, D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 171102 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.171102 [arXiv:hep-th/0409155 [hep-th]].
- [54] M. Garbiso and M. Kaminski, JHEP **12**, 112 (2020) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2020)112 [arXiv:2007.04345 [hep-th]].
- [55] K. Murata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **121**, 1099-1124 (2009) doi:10.1143/PTP.121.1099 [arXiv:0812.0718 [hep-th]].
- [56] N. Iqbal and H. Liu, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 025023 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.025023 [arXiv:0809.3808 [hep-th]].
- [57] Z. R. Zhu, M. Sun, R. Zhou, Z. Ma and J. Han, [arXiv:2406.19661 [hep-ph]].