MIXED TYPE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM OF ELLIPTIC EQUATION IN A THIN DOMAIN

DIAN HU AND GENGGENG HUANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the a priori estimates for two-dimensional second order homogeneous linear elliptic equations in a narrow region. In a crescentshaped area, part of the boundary is subject to an oblique derivative boundary condition, while the other part of the boundary is subject to a Dirichlet boundary condition. We show that, as the crescent-shaped area collapses into a segment under suitable conditions, the boundary value problem obeys uniform Schauder estimates and induces an asymptotic estimate.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we investigate second-order elliptic equations in a 2D crescentshaped region with mixed boundary value condition. Consider a function y = f(x)satisfying

$$f(0) = f(1) = 0, \quad f(x) > 0, \quad x \in (0, 1).$$
 (1.1)

A crescent-shaped domain Ω is defined as

$$\Omega := \{(x, y) | x \in (0, 1), 0 < y < f(x)\}, \qquad (1.2) \quad |eq: Omega$$

with the boundaries

$$\partial_{+}\Omega := \{(x, f(x)) | x \in [0, 1]\}, \quad \partial_{0}\Omega := \{(x, 0) | x \in [0, 1]\}.$$
(1.3) eq:Omega

We study the following mixed boundary value problem of linear elliptic equation

$$\mathcal{L}u := A(x, y)u_{xx} + B(x, y)u_{xy} + C(x, y)u_{yy} + D(x, y)u_x + E(x, y)u_y$$

= 0, in Ω ,
 $u(x, y) = \varphi(x)$, on $\partial_+\Omega$,
(1.4) eq:PotentialEq

$$u_y(x,y) + G(x)u_x(x,y) = 0$$
, on $\partial_0\Omega$

The coefficients A, B, C, D, E, G satisfy following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &A\xi_1^2 + B\xi_1\xi_2 + C\xi_2^2 \ge \lambda |\xi|^2, \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ &\|A\|_{C^{\gamma}([0,1]^2)} + \|B\|_{C^{\gamma}([0,1]^2)} + \|C\|_{C^{\gamma}([0,1]^2)} \\ &+ \|D\|_{C^{\gamma}([0,1]^2)} + \|E\|_{C^{\gamma}([0,1]^2)} + \|G\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([-1,2])} \le \Lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.5) \quad \text{eq:uniformellic}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35L65,35L67,35M10,35B35,76H05,76N10.

Date: July 8, 2024.

for some positive constants λ , Λ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.

Assume that f satisfies

$$\|f\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])} \le \sigma,$$
 (1.6) eq:fEst

and

$$\begin{cases} \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \left| \frac{f(x)}{\sin(\pi x)} \right| = \Pi > 0, \\ \|f\|_{C^2([0,1])} = C_f \Pi = \bar{\Pi}. \end{cases}$$
(1.7) eq:Finit

Then we have

:GlobalEstimates

Theorem 1.1. Let u be the solution of (1.4). Then there exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that for any $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0]$, one has

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} \le \bar{C} \,\|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}, \qquad (1.8) \quad \text{eq:Oblique}$$

where the constant \overline{C} depends only on γ, λ, Λ and C_f .

The Dirichlet and oblique derivative boundary value problems have been systematically studied in a vast literature. We refer readers to, for example [21, 25, 33] and references therein. Furthermore, mixed type boundary value problems in nonsmooth regions have also been discussed, see [4, 24, 29, 35, 38]. Roughly speaking, the Schauder theory for boundary value problem of second-order elliptic equations says that if all the coefficients and data are Hölder continuous, then the second order Hölder norms of the solution can be estimated by the inhomogeneous term and boundary values. How the constants of estimates depend on the settings of the region, the coefficients and the boundary value is a complicated problem. In this paper, by Theorem 1.1, we confirm that the estimate remains uniform even when the region for the mixed boundary value problem degenerates into a line segment in a specific way. Such an a priori estimate ensures uniform equicontinuity of the derivatives of the solution as the region shrinks. In other words, the rate of change of the solution and its derivatives is bounded. As the region degenerates into a line segment, the variation of the solution and its derivatives along specific directions diminishes. Thus, the solution converges to an asymptotic state. For our mixed boundary value problem, the compatibility conditions are satisfied at the corners. Otherwise, uniform estimates cannot be expected. For example, generically, if Dirichlet conditions are given along $\partial_0 \Omega$ and $\partial_+ \Omega$, then normal derivative would blow up as the region shrinks.

To our knowledge, for elliptic boundary value problem in a thin domain, its eigenvalue has been discussed by variational in many works, see [3, 6, 18, 19, 23, 27, 30, 32, 39, 40, 42, 43] and references therein. The motivation for our paper comes from the research of free boundary problems for elliptic conservation law systems. This type free boundary problem of elliptic partial differential equation does not have a variational structure, so we cannot establish the existence of solutions through

 $\mathbf{2}$

variational methods, see [1, 7, 8, 37]. We need a solution that complies with the structure of conservation laws, to serve as the starting point of a continuity method, to obtain a general solution, see [5, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17]. As the supersonic incoming flow accelerates to the limit speed, the free boundary area after the shock might shrink to a low-dimensional surface, see [2, 14, 16, 26, 28, 31, 34, 41, 44, 45, 46, 47]. In this process, the discussion for a priori estimates and asymptotic behavior of the solution would help us to investigate the flow field and the asymptotic states might play the role of initial solutions for the continuity method.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, under the assumptions of local straight boundary condition of $\partial_+\Omega$ and normal derivative boundary value condition on $\partial_0\Omega$, we proved our local estimate for (1.8). In Section 3, by applying three coordinate transformations, we extend the estimate from Section 2 to the case of general boundary conditions and proved our main theorem. In Section 4, we present a similar conclusion for a weighted norm. In Section 5, we prove an asymptotic estimate as $||f||_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])} \to 0$.

2. LOCAL STRAIGHT CORNER

In this section, we assume that the boundary $\partial_+\Omega$ satisfies the following straight corner condition:

$$\begin{cases} f'(x) = f'(0) > 0, & \text{for } x \in [0, 3/4], \\ \Omega \subset \{(x, y) | 0 < y < f'(0)x, x > 0\}, \end{cases}$$
(2.1) eq:OmegaCorner

and

G = 0 on $\partial_0 \Omega$.

Thus the boundary condition on $\partial_0 \Omega$ in (1.4) becomes Neumann boundary condition. Then we have the following theorem.

alEstimate Theorem 2.1. Let u be the solution of (1.4). Then there exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that for any $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0]$, one has

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega} \cap \{x \in [0,2/3]\})} \le C \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}, \qquad (2.2) \quad \text{eq:LocalEstimal}$$

where the two constants σ_0, \overline{C} depend only on γ, λ, Λ .

Set

$$v = u - \varphi. \tag{2.3} \quad \text{eq:} v$$

Then v solves

$$\mathcal{L}v = -\mathcal{L}(\varphi) = -A(x, y)\varphi''(x) - D(x, y)\varphi'(x), \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$v = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial_{+}\Omega,$$

$$v_{y} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial_{0}\Omega,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:GeneralDiric}}$$

and the estimate

$$\|v\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega}\cap\{x\in[0,2/3]\})} \le C \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}, \qquad (2.5) \quad \text{eq:Local}$$

induces (2.2).

To show above, for fixed $x_0 \in (0, 2/3]$, we let $P_{x_0}(x, y)$ be the following quadratic polynomial

$$P_{x_0}(x,y) := Np_{x_0}(x,y)$$

$$:= N((f(x_0)^2 - y^2) + (f^2)'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}(f^2)''(x_0)(x - x_0)^2)$$
(2.6) eq:px0

where $N = -\frac{\mathcal{L}(\varphi)(x_0, f(x_0))}{\mathcal{L}(p_{x_0})(x_0, f(x_0))}$. Then

$$-\mathcal{L}P_{x_0}(x_0, f(x_0)) = -\mathcal{L}(\varphi)(x_0, f(x_0))$$

= $-A(x_0, f(x_0))\varphi''(x_0) - D(x_0, f(x_0))\varphi'(x_0),$

and

$$\mathcal{L}(p_{x_0}) = 2A(x,y)(f''(x_0)f(x_0) + (f'(x_0))^2) - 2C(x,y) + D(x,y) \left(2f'(x_0)f(x_0) + 2(f''(x_0)f(x_0) + (f'(x_0))^2)(x-x_0)\right) - 2E(x,y)y \in [-10\Lambda, -\lambda]$$

$$(2.7) \quad eq:Lpx0$$

provided $(x, y) \in \Omega$ and $\sigma_0 \ll 1$. By Taylor's expansion, one knows

$$\begin{aligned} |(v - P_{x_0})(x, f(x))| &= |P_{x_0}(x, f(x))| \\ &\leq \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])} \|f\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}^2 |x - x_0|^{2+\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$
(2.8) eq:PfEst

and

$$\mathcal{L}(v - P_{x_0})(x, y) \le \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])} |(x, y) - (x_0, f(x_0))|^{\gamma}.$$
(2.9) eq:LPvEs

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{x_0}^{\kappa} &:= \{ (x,y) \mid x_0 - \kappa f(x_0) < x < x_0 + \kappa f(x_0), \ 0 < y < f(x) \} \,, \\ \partial_+ \Omega_{x_0}^{\kappa} &:= \partial_+ \Omega \cap \overline{\Omega_{x_0}^{\kappa}}, \quad \partial_0 \Omega_{x_0}^{\kappa} &:= \partial_0 \Omega \cap \overline{\Omega_{x_0}^{\kappa}}. \end{aligned}$$

The following estimate holds.

lem:C2HEstimates Lemma 2.2. For fixed $x_0 \in [0, 2/3]$, let u be a solution of (1.4) and v, P_{x_0} be given as above. Then there exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that for any $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0]$, one has

$$\|v - P_{x_0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega^1_{x_0})} \le \bar{C} \, \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])} \, f(x_0)^{2+\gamma} \tag{2.10} \quad \text{eq:C2HEs}$$

where the two positive constants σ_0, \bar{C} depend only on γ, λ, Λ .

Proof. Introduce the polar coordinate

$$r(x,y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \quad \theta(x,y) = \arctan \frac{y}{x}.$$

Consider the corner barrier function Y as follows

$$Y := r^{-\alpha} \cos\left(k\theta\right).$$

 α, k are two constants which will be fixed later. Since Y is even in y, one has

$$Y_y(x,0) = 0. \tag{2.11} \quad \text{eq:YyVanish}$$

A direct computation yields that

$$\mathcal{L}Y = r^{-\alpha-2} \bigg(\alpha(\alpha+1) \left[A\cos^2\theta + B\sin\theta\cos\theta + C\sin^2\theta \right] \cos(k\theta) + \alpha k \left[(C-A)\sin 2\theta + B\cos 2\theta \right] \sin(k\theta) - k^2 \left[A\sin^2\theta - B\sin\theta\cos\theta + C\cos^2\theta \right] \cos(k\theta) - \alpha \left[(A\sin^2\theta - B\sin\theta\cos\theta + C\cos^2\theta) + Dr\cos\theta + Er\sin\theta \right] \cos(k\theta) - k \left[(A-C)\sin 2\theta + B\cos 2\theta - Dr\sin\theta + Er\cos\theta \right] \sin(k\theta) \bigg).$$

$$(2.12) \quad \text{[eq:LYExpressing]}$$

Let

$$k = \frac{\pi}{8f'(0)}, \text{ and } \alpha = \pm \frac{k}{M},$$
 (2.13) [eq:kalphaDef]

for some positive constant M large to be determined later. Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}Y \le r^{-\alpha-2} \left(\frac{2k^2}{M^2} 2\Lambda + \frac{2k^2}{M} \Lambda - k^2 \lambda \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2k}{M} \Lambda + k\Lambda + 10kB + \frac{10kB}{M} \right),$$

provided $0 < f'(0) \le \sigma_0 \ll 1$ and $|\theta| \le f'(0)$.

Let $M = \frac{100\Lambda}{\lambda}$. Then we have

$$-\mathcal{L}Y \ge \frac{\lambda k^2}{8} r^{-\alpha-2}, \qquad (2.14) \quad \text{eq:LYEstimates}$$

provided k >> 1.

Denote

$$r_0 = r(x_0, f(x_0)) = \sqrt{1 + (f'(0))^2} \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(0)} \approx \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(0)}.$$
 (2.15) eq:r0

Introduce barrier function H as follows

$$H := f(x_0)^{2+\gamma} \left(\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{|\alpha|} + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-|\alpha|} \right) \cos\left(k\theta\right).$$

Then, by (2.14) and (2.11), we have

$$-\mathcal{L}H \ge f(x_0)^{2+\gamma} \frac{\lambda k^2}{8} r_0^{-2} \left(\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{|\alpha|-2} + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-|\alpha|-2} \right)$$

$$\ge f(x_0)^{\gamma} \frac{\lambda}{16} \left(\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{|\alpha|-2} + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-|\alpha|-2} \right),$$

$$(2.16) \quad \text{eq:LHEstimates}$$

and

$$H_y(x,0) = 0.$$

First, we estimate $-\mathcal{L}H$.

(1). $|x - x_0| \leq f(x_0)$. In this case, by (1.6) and Taylor's expansion, one knows

$$\left|\frac{r}{r_0} - 1\right| \le \sigma_0.$$

So (2.16) leads to

$$-\mathcal{L}H \ge \frac{\lambda}{16} y_0^{\gamma} \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-2} \left[\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{\alpha} + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-\alpha} \right]$$
$$\ge \frac{\lambda}{16} y_0^{\gamma} \ge \bar{C} \left| (x, y) - (x_0, f(x_0)) \right|^{\gamma}.$$

(2). $f(x_0) \leq x - x_0$. In this case, by (1.6) and Taylor's expansion, one knows

$$r - r_0 \ge \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)$$

then

$$\frac{r}{r_0} \ge 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{x - x_0}{r_0}$$

Hence, by (2.13) and (2.15), we have

$$\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{\left(\frac{k}{M}-2\right)\frac{1}{\gamma}} \ge \left(1+\frac{1}{2}\frac{x-x_0}{r_0}\right)^{\left(\frac{k}{M}-2\right)\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$
$$\ge 1+\frac{1}{2}\frac{x-x_0}{r_0}\left(\frac{k}{M}-2\right)\frac{1}{\gamma}$$
$$\ge 1+\delta_0\frac{x-x_0}{f(x_0)}$$

for a positive constant δ_0 depend on λ, Λ and γ . Hence

$$-\mathcal{L}H \ge \bar{C}f(x_0)^{\gamma} \left(1 + \delta_0 \frac{x - x_0}{f(x_0)}\right)^{\gamma} \ge \bar{C}f(x_0)^{\gamma} \left(\delta_0 \frac{x - x_0}{f(x_0)}\right)^{\gamma} \ge \bar{C}|x - x_0|^{\gamma}.$$

(3). For the case $-f(x_0) \ge x - x_0$, as above, we have

$$r - r_0 \le \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)$$

then

$$\frac{r}{r_0} \le 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{x - x_0}{r_0} < 1.$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{\left(-\frac{k}{M}-2\right)\frac{1}{\gamma}} \ge \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{x - x_0}{r_0}\right)^{\left(-\frac{k}{M}-2\right)\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \ge 1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{x - x_0}{r_0}\left(-\frac{k}{M}-2\right)\frac{1}{\gamma} \\ \ge 1 + \delta_0\frac{x_0 - x}{f(x_0)},$$

and

$$-\mathcal{L}H \ge \bar{C}f(x_0)^{\gamma} \left(1 + \delta_0 \frac{x_0 - x}{f(x_0)}\right)^{\gamma} \ge \bar{C}f(x_0)^{\gamma} \left(\delta_0 \frac{x_0 - x}{f(x_0)}\right)^{\gamma} \ge \bar{C}|x - x_0|^{\gamma}.$$

Similar arguments also imply on $\partial_+\Omega$

$$H \ge \bar{C}|x - x_0|^{2+\gamma}.$$

By (2.4), (2.8) and (2.9), applying standard maximum principle, we have

$$|v(x,y) - P_{x_0}(x,y)| \le \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])} H(x,y).$$
(2.17) eq: vPH

For $(x, y) \in \Omega^1_{x_0}$, we have

$$\left|\frac{r}{r_0} - 1\right| \le 3f'(0).$$

Then

Econdition

$$H \le f(x_0)^{2+\gamma} \left| \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{|\alpha|} + \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{-|\alpha|} \le 2f(x_0)^{2+\gamma} \left(1 + 3f'(0) \right)^{\frac{\pi}{8Mf'(0)}} \le \bar{C}f(x_0)^{2+\gamma}.$$

Thus, by (2.17), we complete our proof.

Remark 2.1. In the construction of barrier function H, the condition on the coefficients D, E can be weaken to

$$r|D| + r|E| \le \Lambda.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For $x_0 \in [0, 2/3]$, consider the scaling domains as follows

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Omega}_{x_{0}}^{\kappa} &:= \left\{ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) | \ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) = \frac{1}{f(x_{0})} (x - x_{0}, y), \ (x, y) \in \Omega_{x_{0}}^{\kappa} \right\}, \\ \partial_{+} \widetilde{\Omega}_{x_{0}}^{\kappa} &:= \left\{ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) | \ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) = \frac{1}{f(x_{0})} (x - x_{0}, y), \ (x, y) \in \partial_{+} \Omega_{x_{0}}^{\kappa} \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) | \ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) = \frac{1}{f(x_{0})} (x - x_{0}, y), \ (x, y) \in \partial_{0} \Omega_{x_{0}}^{\kappa} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) | \ (\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) = \frac{1}{f(x_{0})} (x - x_{0}, y), \ (x, y) \in \partial_{0} \Omega_{x_{0}}^{\kappa} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$w(x,y) = (v - P_{x_0})(x,y), \quad \tilde{w}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y}) = \frac{w(x,y)}{(f(x_0))^{2+\gamma}}$$

Then \tilde{w} solves

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\tilde{w} = -\frac{\mathcal{L}\varphi(x,y) - \mathcal{L}\varphi(x_0,y_0)}{(f(x_0))^{\gamma}} =: \tilde{g}(\tilde{x},\tilde{y})$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = A\partial_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} + B\partial_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} + C\partial_{\tilde{y}\tilde{y}} + f(x_0)(D\partial_{\tilde{x}} + E\partial_{\tilde{y}}).$$

Since

$$(-1,1) \times (-1/2,1/2) \subset \tilde{\Omega}^1_{x_0} \subset (-1,1) \times (0,2), \quad \|\partial_+ \Omega^1_{x_0}\|_{C^{2,\gamma}} \le \bar{C},$$

by the standard Schauder estimates, we have that

$$\|\tilde{w}\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\tilde{\Omega}^{1/2}_{x_0})} \le \bar{C}\Big(\|\tilde{g}\|_{C^{\gamma}(\tilde{\Omega}^1_{x_0})} + \left\|\frac{P_{x_0}}{(f(x_0))^{2+\gamma}}\right\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\partial_+\tilde{\Omega}^1_{x_0})} + \|\tilde{w}\|_{C^0(\tilde{\Omega}^1_{x_0})}\Big), \quad (2.19) \quad \text{eq:HEst}$$

where the constant \overline{C} depends only on γ, λ, Λ . It is easy to see that

$$\|\tilde{g}\|_{C^{\gamma}(\widetilde{\Omega}^{1}_{x_{0}})} \leq \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}.$$

By (2.8), one knows

$$\left\|\frac{P_{x_0}}{(f(x_0))^{2+\gamma}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial_+\tilde{\Omega}^1_{x_0})} \le \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}.$$

By a direct computation, one also has

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} P_{x_0}(x, f(x)) = -N(f'(x)^2 - f'(x_0)^2 + f''(x)f(x) - f''(x_0)f(x_0)) \in C^{\gamma}([0, 1]).$$

By Lemma 2.2 and the above estimates, (2.19) implies

$$\|\tilde{w}\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\widetilde{\Omega}^{1/2}_{x_0})} \le \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}$$

In the coordinate (x, y), since x_0 can be arbitrary in (0, 2/3), above implies

$$\sup_{\substack{(x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2)\in\bar{\Omega}\cap\{x\in[0,2/3]\}\\|x_1-x_2|\leq\frac{1}{2}\max(f(x_1),f(x_2))}} \frac{|D^2u(x_1,y_1) - D^2u(x_2,y_2)|}{|(x_1,y_1) - (x_2,y_2)|^{\gamma}} + \|u\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega}\cap\{x\in[0,2/3]\})} \leq \bar{C}\|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}.$$

Consider the following two points

$$(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \overline{\Omega} \cap \{x \in [0, 2/3]\}, \quad |x_1 - x_2| \ge \frac{1}{2} \max(f(x_1), f(x_2)).$$

Since $u_y(x,0) = 0$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} &|u_{xy}(x_1, y_1) - u_{xy}(x_2, y_2)| \\ \leq &|u_{xy}(x_1, 0) - u_{xy}(x_1, y_1)| + |u_{xy}(x_2, 0) - u_{xy}(x_2, y_2)| + |u_{xy}(x_1, 0) - u_{xy}(x_2, 0)| \\ \leq &\bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])} (y_1^{\gamma} + y_2^{\gamma}) \leq \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])} |(x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2)|^{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\|u_{xy}\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\bar{\Omega}\cap\{x\in[0,2/3]\})} \le \bar{C}\|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}$$

Notice that

$$u_{xx} + (f'(x))^2 u_{yy} = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u(x, f(x))}{\mathrm{d}x^2} - 2f'(x)u_{xy} - f''(x)u_y$$
$$= \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \varphi(x)}{\mathrm{d}x^2} - 2f'(x)u_{xy} - f''(x)u_y,$$

and (1.4)

$$Au_{xx} + Cu_{yy} = -Bu_{xy} - Du_x - Eu_y.$$

Hence for σ_0 small, we can solve u_{xx} and u_{yy} from above two to have

$$\|u_{xx}\|_{C^{\gamma}(\partial_{+}\Omega\cap\{0\leq x\leq 2/3\})} + \|u_{yy}\|_{C^{\gamma}(\partial_{+}\Omega\cap\{0\leq x\leq 2/3\})} \leq \bar{C}\|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}.$$

Then a similar argument as u_{xy} yields that

$$\|u_{xx}\|_{C^{\gamma}(\bar{\Omega}\cap\{0\leq x\leq 2/3\})} + \|u_{yy}\|_{C^{\gamma}(\bar{\Omega}\cap\{0\leq x\leq 2/3\})} \le C\|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}.$$

This ends the proof for Theorem 2.1.

3. GENERAL CASE

In this section, we remove the straight corner condition and the restriction G(x) = 0 to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.1 can be reduced to the situation discussed in Theorem 2.1 through three coordinate transformations.

(1) Change the oblique derivative condition to Neumann boundary condition. Define the trajectory x(y; s) by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}x(y;s)}{\mathrm{d}y} = G(x), \\ x(0;s) = s, \end{cases}$$

Introduce a new coordinate (s, z) by

$$\mathcal{P}_1: \begin{cases} x = x(z;s), \\ y = z. \end{cases}$$
(3.1) transformp1

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_s & x_z \\ y_s & y_z \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{y=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & G(x) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for σ_0 small, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_1^{-1}: \quad \overline{\Omega} \quad & \to \mathcal{P}_1^{-1}(\overline{\Omega}) \\ (x,y) \to \quad (s,z) \end{aligned}$$

is a $C^{2,\gamma}$ -diffeomorphism around $[0,1] \times \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_1^{-1}([0,1] \times \{0\}) = [0,1] \times \{0\}$. It remains to consider the image of $\partial_+\Omega$, i.e. (s, f(x(z;s))). By the coordinate transformation, we have

$$s = x(z;s) - \int_0^z G(x(\lambda;s))d\lambda.$$

Hence on the image of $\partial_+\Omega$, we have

$$s = x - \int_0^{f(x)} G(x(\lambda; s)) d\lambda.$$

This implies s'(x) = 1 - f'(x)G(x) > 0 for $x \in [0, 1]$ provided σ_0 is small. From this, we know $x \to s$ is a $C^{2,\gamma}$ -diffeomorphism from [0, 1] to [0, 1], i.e. the image $\mathcal{P}_1^{-1}(\partial_+\Omega)$ can be written by

$$z = f(x(z;s)) = f(s).$$

By a direct computation, the oblique derivative condition of (1.4) becomes

$$u_z = 0$$
, on $z = 0$.

Since the coordinate transformation \mathcal{P}_1 is a diffeomorphism, we can directly verify that condition (1.7) is preserved, where the constant C_f is updated to the new constant \hat{C}_f . Then, the estimates in the new coordinates naturally induce estimate (1.8). Therefore, we only need to prove the conclusion for the case when G = 0.

(2) Straighten the boundary $\partial_+\Omega = \{(x, f(x)) | x \in [0, 1]\}$ under the assumption G = 0. Consider a cut off function $\chi \in C^{\infty}([0, 1]) \to [0, 1]$ with

$$\chi = \begin{cases} 1, \ x \in [0, 3/4], \\ 0, \ x \in [13/16, 1], \end{cases}$$
(3.2) eq:chis

and introduce the following coordinate transformation:

$$\mathcal{P}_{2}: \begin{cases} s = x, \\ z = \frac{\chi(x)\bar{\Pi}x + (1-\chi(x))f(x)}{f(x)}y. \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

This transformation reduces the domain Ω to a straight corner domain $\mathcal{P}_2(\Omega)$.

(3) To get the estimate for $x \in [1/3, 1]$, we can use the coordinate transformation

$$\mathcal{P}_3: \begin{cases} s = 1 - x, \\ z = y. \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

Thus the corner (x, y) = (1, 0) is reduced to the origin O.

In the next, we explain how to use the above three coordinate transformations to get Theorem 1.1.

The transformation \mathcal{P}_1 is locally determined by G, independent of f, and smooth. Thus, the estimate under the new coordinates induces the original estimate. \mathcal{P}_3 is the same. The only trouble thing is the transformation \mathcal{P}_2 .

For $x_0 \in \partial\Omega \cap (0, 2/3]$, let v, P_{x_0} be given by (2.3), (2.6) respectively. We first need to show that the estimate (2.10) holds. Denote the elliptic operator in the original coordinate (x, y) by \mathcal{L}_0 , in (s, z) by \mathcal{L}_1 . It can be checked directly that estimate (2.8) and (2.9) hold in (s, z)-coordinate. In fact, we need compute the Jacobi matrix for the coordinate transformation \mathcal{P}_2 .

Denote

$$f_1(x) := \chi(x)\overline{\Pi}x + (1 - \chi(x))f(x), \qquad (3.5) \quad \text{eq:f1Expression}$$

we have

$$\frac{f_1}{f} = 1$$
, for $x \in [13/16, 1]$. (3.6) eq:f1Expression

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_x & s_y \\ z_x & z_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{f_1'f - f_1 f'}{f^2} y & \frac{f_1}{f} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{cases} s_{xx} = s_{xy} = s_{yy} = z_{yy} = 0, \ z_{xy} = \frac{f_1'f - f_1 f'}{f^2}, \\ z_{xx} = \frac{f_1''f - f_1 f''}{f^2} y + 2\frac{f_1'f' f - f_1(f')^2}{f^3} y, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.7) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:jacobixysz}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_1 u = A_1 u_{ss} + B_1 u_{sz} + C_1 u_{zz} + D_1 u_s + E_1 u_z,$$

where

$$A_{1} = As_{x}^{2} + Bs_{x}s_{y} + Cs_{y}^{2}, B_{1} = 2As_{x}z_{x} + Bs_{y}z_{x} + Bs_{x}z_{y} + 2Cs_{y}z_{y}$$
$$C_{1} = Az_{x}^{2} + Bz_{y}z_{x} + Cz_{y}^{2}, D_{1} = As_{xx} + Bs_{xy} + Cs_{yy} + Ds_{x} + Es_{y},$$
$$E_{1} = Az_{xx} + Bz_{xy} + Cz_{yy} + Dz_{x} + Ez_{y}.$$

Now we estimate the Jacobi matrix (3.7). By (1.7), we know

$$\frac{f_1}{f} = (1 - \chi(x)) + \chi(x) \frac{\overline{\Pi}x}{f(x)}$$
$$\leq 1 + C_f,$$

and also

$$\frac{f_1}{f} \ge \min\left(1, C_f\right) = 1.$$

Moreover, since $y \leq f$, there holds

$$\left|\frac{f_1'f - f_1f'}{f^2}y\right| \le |f_1'| + \left|\frac{f_1f'}{f}\right| \le C\bar{\Pi} + \bar{\Pi}\left|\frac{f_1}{f}\right| \le \bar{C}(1 + C_f)^2\bar{\Pi} << 1.$$

Above three imply $\begin{pmatrix} s_x & s_y \\ z_x & z_y \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ for $\overline{\Pi}$ small enough, i.e. \mathcal{P}_2 is a Lipschitz diffeomorphism. Hence the estimates (2.8) and (2.9) also hold in (s, z)-coordinate.

The key to the proof of Lemma 2.2 is the existence of barrier function H. By Remark 2.1, we only need to check that $\sqrt{s^2 + z^2}|D_1|$ and $\sqrt{s^2 + z^2}|E_1|$ are uniformly bounded. It is enough to estimate the following two terms

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sqrt{s^2 + z^2} \frac{f_1' f - f_1 f'}{f^2} \right| &= \left| \sqrt{s^2 + z^2} \left(\frac{f_1}{f} \right)' \right| \\ &\leq \bar{C} \left| s \frac{f_1' f - f_1 f'}{f^2} \right| \text{ for } s \in [0, 3/4] \\ &= \bar{C} \left| x \frac{f_1' f - f_1 f'}{f^2} \right| \text{ for } x \in [0, 3/4] \\ &\leq \bar{C} (1 + C_f)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \sqrt{s^2 + z^2} \left(\frac{f_1''f - f_1 f''}{f^2} y - 2 \frac{f_1'f'f - f_1 (f')^2}{f^3} y \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \bar{C} \frac{s}{f} \left(|f_1''|f + |f''|f_1 + |f_1'f'| + |f'|^2 \frac{f_1}{f} \right) \text{ for } s \in [0, 3/4]$$

$$\leq \bar{C} (1 + C_f)^2,$$

where we utilize (3.6). Above two imply $\sqrt{s^2 + z^2}|D_1|$ and $\sqrt{s^2 + z^2}|E_1|$ are uniformly bounded.

From the above discussion, we can construct the barrier function H as in Lemma 2.2 to get the desired estimate

$$|(v - P_{x_0})(s_0, z_0)| \le \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])} f(s_0)^{2+\gamma}, \text{ for } s_0 \in [0, 2/3]$$

The above estimate will give the corresponding growth estimate in the original coordinate (x, y)

$$\|(v - P_{x_0})\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega^{1/2}_{x_0})} \le \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])} f(x_0)^{2+\gamma}, \quad \text{for } x_0 \in [0, 2/3].$$
(3.8)

Once we have estimate (3.8), we do the same argument as in Theorem 2.1 in (x, y)coordinate. The proof is complete.

4. UNBOUNDED DIRICHLET CONDITION

In this section, we extend Theorem 1.1 to the weighted Hölder spaces. For m > 0, define the weighted Hölder norms as follows

$$\begin{split} \|\varphi\|_{C_{k,\gamma}^{(-m)}([0,1])} &= \max_{i=0,\dots,k} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left| x^{m+i} \varphi^{(i)}(x) \right| \\ &+ \sup_{0 \le x_1 < x_2 \le 1} \left| x_1^{m+k+\gamma} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(x_1) - \varphi^{(k)}(x_2)}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\gamma}} \right|, \end{split}$$

12

eq:wgrowt

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{C_{k,\gamma}^{(-m)}(\overline{\Omega})} &= \max_{i=0,\dots,k} \sup_{(x,y)\in\Omega} \left| r^{m+i} \nabla^{i} u(x,y) \right| \\ &+ \sup_{0 \leq r_{1} \leq r_{2} \leq 1} \left| r_{1}^{m+k+\gamma} \frac{\nabla^{k} u(x_{1},y_{1}) - \nabla^{k} u(x_{2},y_{2})}{|(x_{1},y_{1}) - (x_{2},y_{2})|^{\gamma}} \right|, \end{split}$$
where $r = \sqrt{x^{2} + y^{2}}, r_{i} = \sqrt{x_{i}^{2} + y_{i}^{2}}, i = 1, 2$, and

 $\|f\|_{C_{2,\gamma}^{(1+\gamma)}([0,1])} = \max_{i=0,1,2} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left| xf^{(i)}(x) \right| + \sup_{0 \le x_1 < x_2 \le 1} \left| x_1 \frac{f^{(2)}(x_1) - f^{(2)}(x_2)}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\gamma}} \right|.$

From Lemma 2.1 in [20], one also knows

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |x^{1-\gamma} f''(x)| + \|f\|_{C^{1,\gamma}([0,1])} \le \bar{C} \|f\|_{C^{(1+\gamma)}([0,1])}.$$
(4.1) eq:h-embed

We also replace (1.6) and (1.7) by the following conditions:

$$|f|_{C_{2,\gamma}^{(1+\gamma)}([0,1])} \le \sigma, \tag{4.2}$$
 eq:fEstimatesW

and

$$\begin{cases} \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \left| \frac{f(x)}{\sin(\pi x)} \right| = \Pi > 0, \\ \|f\|_{C_{2,\gamma}^{(1+\gamma)}([0,1])} = C_f \Pi = \bar{\Pi}. \end{cases}$$
(4.3) eq:FiniteGrowC

Then, we have

EstimatesW Theorem 4.1. Let u be the solution of (1.4). Assume that A, B, C, D, E and G satisfy (1.5) and f satisfies (4.2) and (4.3). Then there exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that for any $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0]$, we have

$$\|u\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}(\Omega)} \le \bar{C} \,\|\varphi\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])}\,,\tag{4.4}$$

where the two positive constants σ_0, \overline{C} depend only on $\gamma, \lambda, \Lambda, C_f, m$.

Recall that $v = u - \varphi$, $P_{x_0}(x, y) = N p_{x_0}(x, y)$ are given by (2.3) and (2.6). Since for $0 < x_1 < x_2 < 1$, from (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\frac{|f''(x_1)f(x_1) - f''(x_2)f(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\gamma}} \leq \frac{|f(x_1)|}{|x_1} \left| x_1 \frac{f''(x_1) - f''(x_2)}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\gamma}} \right| + (x_2^{1-\gamma}|f''(x_2)|) \frac{|f(x_1) - f(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|} \frac{|x_1 - x_2|^{1-\gamma}}{x_2^{1-\gamma}} \quad (4.5) \quad \boxed{eq:h001} \leq \bar{C} \|f\|_{C_{2,\gamma}^{(1+\gamma)}}^2.$$

Hence, by the definition of p_{x_0} , we have

$$|p_{x_0}(x, f(x))| \le \bar{C} ||f||^2_{C^{(1+\gamma)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])} |x - x_0|^{2+\gamma}.$$

Also for σ_0 small enough, (2.7) still holds in the present situation. Moreover, a simple calculation yields that

$$|\mathcal{L}(\varphi)(x_0, f(x_0))| \le \bar{C} x_0^{-(m+2)} \|\varphi\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])}.$$

Then we have the estimates for $P_{x_0}(x, f(x))$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |(v - P_{x_0})(x, f(x))| &= \frac{|\mathcal{L}(\varphi)(x_0, f(x_0))|}{\mathcal{L}(p_{x_0}(x_0, f(x_0)))} |p_{x_0}(x, f(x))| \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C_{2,\gamma}^{(-m)}([0,1])} \|f\|_{C_{2,\gamma}^{(1+\gamma)}([0,1])}^2}{x_0^{m+2}} |x - x_0|^{2+\gamma} \,. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.6) \quad \text{eq:PfEst}$$

Similarly, we have

$$|\mathcal{L}(v - P_{x_0})| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C_{2,\gamma}^{(-m)}([0,1])}}{x^{m+2+\gamma}} | (x,y) - (x_0,y_0)|^{\gamma}, \text{ for } x \leq \frac{x_0}{2}; \\ \frac{\bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C_{2,\gamma}^{(-m)}([0,1])}}{x_0^{m+2+\gamma}} | (x,y) - (x_0,y_0)|^{\gamma}, \text{ for } x \in [\frac{x_0}{2},1]. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.7) \quad eq: LPvE$$

Our proof is similar to Theorem 1.1. We first prove that the estimate holds with the straight corner condition, and then obtain our theorem through three coordinate transformations.

em:C2HEstimatesW Lemma 4.2. Under the assumption of Theorem 4.1, there exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that for any $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0]$, we have

$$\|v - P_{x_0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega^1_{x_0})} \le \bar{C}x_0^{-(m+2+\gamma)} \|\varphi\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])} f(x_0)^{2+\gamma}$$
(4.8) eq:C2HE

where the two constants σ_0, \overline{C} depend only on $\gamma, \lambda, \Lambda, C_f, m$.

Proof. We first deal with **straight corner case**. Define the barrier function H with weight as follows

$$H = x_0^{-(m+2+\gamma)} f(x_0)^{2+\gamma} \left(\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{|\alpha|} + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-|\alpha|} \right) \cos\left(k\theta\right)$$

where α, k is given by Lemma 2.2. The proof here is similar to Lemma 2.2, one only needs to take into account the impact of the weight terms. Here, we briefly list the key steps. As (2.16),

$$-\mathcal{L}H \ge x_0^{-(m+2+\gamma)} f(x_0)^{2+\gamma} \frac{\lambda k^2}{8} r_0^{-2} \left(\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{|\alpha|-2} + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-|\alpha|-2} \right)$$
$$\ge x_0^{-(m+2+\gamma)} f(x_0)^{\gamma} \frac{\lambda}{16} \left(\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{|\alpha|-2} + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-|\alpha|-2} \right).$$

Then the discussion in Lemma 2.2 also implies

$$-\mathcal{L}H \ge \bar{C}x_0^{-(m+2+\gamma)} | (x,y) - (x_0, f(x_0)) |^{\gamma}, \quad x \ge \frac{x_0}{2}.$$

It remains to estimate the case $x \leq \frac{x_0}{2}$. Since

$$r \approx x$$
, and $r_0 \approx x_0$,

we may assume $\frac{r_0}{r} \geq \frac{3}{2}$. By (2.13), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}H \geq & \bar{C}x_0^{-m-2-\gamma} (f(x_0))^{\gamma} \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{\frac{\kappa}{2M}} \\ \geq & \bar{C}x_0^{-m-2-\gamma} \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{\frac{k}{4M}} x_0^{\gamma} \times (f'(x_0))^{\gamma} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{4M}} \\ \geq & \bar{C}x_0^{-m-2-\gamma} \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{\frac{k}{4M}} x_0^{\gamma} \times (f'(x_0))^{\gamma} \left(\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{4\gamma M}}\right)^{\gamma} \\ \geq & \bar{C}x_0^{-m-2-\gamma} \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{\frac{k}{4M}} x_0^{\gamma} \times (f'(x_0))^{\gamma} \left(\frac{k}{8\gamma M}\right)^{\gamma} \\ \geq & \bar{C}x^{-(m+2+\gamma)} | (x,y) - (x_0,f(x_0))|^{\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

for $\frac{k}{4M} \ge m + 2 + \gamma$ and σ_0 small enough.

Overall, we have proved that

$$-\mathcal{L}(H) \ge \begin{cases} \bar{C}x^{-(m+2+\gamma)} | (x,y) - (x_0, f(x_0))|^{\gamma}, \text{ for } x \in \left[0, \frac{x_0}{2}\right];\\ \bar{C}x_0^{-(m+2+\gamma)} | (x,y) - (x_0, f(x_0))|^{\gamma}, \text{ for } x \in \left[\frac{x_0}{2}, 1\right]. \end{cases}$$

Similar arguments also implies on $\partial \Omega$

$$H \ge \frac{C}{x_0^{m+2+\gamma}} \left| x - x_0 \right|^{2+\gamma}$$

As the proof of Lemma 2.2, in view of (4.6) and (4.7), we deduce (4.8) via above two.

For the **general case**, we apply the coordinates transformation \mathcal{P}_2 to get the estimate under (s, z) coordinates

$$\|v - P_{x_0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_2(\Omega^1_{x_0}))} \le \bar{C}x_0^{-(m+2+\gamma)} \|\varphi\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])} z(x_0, f(x_0))^{2+\gamma}.$$

Upon careful verification, we can find that the three coordinate transformations of Theorem 1.1 are still valid under the assumptions (4.2) and (4.3).

As the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

IESTIMATEW Theorem 4.3. Under the assumption of Theorem 4.1, there exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that for any $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0]$, we have

$$\|u\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega}\cap\{x\in[0,2/3]\})} \le \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])},$$

where the two positive constants σ_0 , \overline{C} depend only on γ , λ , Λ , C_f , m.

Proof. Denote

$$w(x,y) = (v - P_{x_0})(x,y), \quad \widetilde{w}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}) = \frac{x_0^{m+2+\gamma}w(x,y)}{(f(x_0))^{2+\gamma}}$$

where the coordinate transformation is given by (2.18). Then

$$\begin{split} -\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\widetilde{w} =& \widetilde{A} \frac{x_0^{m+2+\gamma}(\varphi''(x_0+f(x_0)\widetilde{x})-\varphi''(x_0))}{(f(x_0))^{\gamma}} & \text{in} \quad \widetilde{\Omega}_{x_0}^1 \\ &+ \widetilde{D} \frac{x_0^{m+2+\gamma}(\varphi'(x_0+f(x_0)\widetilde{x})-\varphi'(x_0))}{(f(x_0))^{\gamma}} = \widetilde{g}(\widetilde{x}), \end{split}$$

with the following boundary conditions

$$\|\widetilde{w}\|_{L^{\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega}^1_{x_0})}+\|\widetilde{w}\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\partial_+\widetilde{\Omega}^1_{x_0})}\leq \bar{C}\|\varphi\|_{C^{-m}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])},\quad \frac{\partial\widetilde{w}}{\partial\widetilde{y}}|_{\partial_0\widetilde{\Omega}^1_{x_0}}=0.$$

Also we know

$$\|\tilde{g}\|_{C^{\gamma}(\overline{\tilde{\Omega}^{1}_{x_{0}}})} \leq \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{-m}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])}.$$

Similarly as (2.19), one gets

$$\begin{split} \|\widetilde{w}\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\overline{\widetilde{\Omega}_{x_0}^{1/2}})} \\ \leq \bar{C} \left(\|\widetilde{g}\|_{C^{\gamma}(\overline{\widetilde{\Omega}_{x_0}^{1}})} + \|\widetilde{w}\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\partial_{+}\widetilde{\Omega}_{x_0}^{1})} + \|\widetilde{w}\|_{L^{\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{x_0}^{1})} \right) \leq \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{-m}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])}. \end{split}$$

$$\tag{4.9} \quad \boxed{\text{eq:HEst}}$$

In the original coordinate (x, y), (4.9) implies that $\forall x_0 \in (0, 2/3)$ there holds

$$\max_{i=0,1,2} \sup_{(x,y)\in\Omega_{x_0}^{1/2}} \left| x_0^{m+i} \nabla^i u(x,y) \right|
+ \sup_{(x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2)\in\Omega_{x_0}^{1/2}} \left| x_0^{m+k+\gamma} \frac{\nabla^2 u(x_1,y_1) - \nabla^2 u(x_2,y_2)}{|(x_1,y_1) - (x_2,y_2)|^{\gamma}} \right| \le \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C_{2,\gamma}^{-m}([0,1])}.$$

In the following, we only need to consider

$$(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \overline{\Omega} \cap \{x \in (0, 2/3)\}, \quad x_2 - x_1 \ge \frac{1}{2} \max(f(x_1), f(x_2)).$$

Since $u_y(x,0) = 0$, there holds

$$|u_{xy}(x_1, y_1) - u_{xy}(x_2, y_2)|$$

$$\leq |u_{xy}(x_1, 0) - u_{xy}(x_1, y_1)| + |u_{xy}(x_2, 0) - u_{xy}(x_2, y_2)| + |u_{xy}(x_1, 0) - u_{xy}(x_2, 0)|$$

$$\leq \bar{C}x_1^{-m-2-\gamma} \|\varphi\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])} (y_1^{\gamma} + y_2^{\gamma}).$$

This implies

$$\|u_{xy}\|_{C^{(-m-2)}_{0,\gamma}(\bar{\Omega}\cap\{x\in[0,2/3]\})} \le \bar{C}\|\varphi\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])}.$$

Notice that

$$u_{xx} + (f'(x))^2 u_{yy} = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u(x, f(x))}{\mathrm{d}x^2} - 2f'(x)u_{xy} - f''(x)u_y$$
$$= \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \varphi(x)}{\mathrm{d}x^2} - 2f'(x)u_{xy} - f''(x)u_y,$$

and (1.4)

$$Au_{xx} + Cu_{yy} = -Bu_{xy} - Du_x - Eu_y.$$

Hence for σ_0 small, there holds

$$|u_{xx}(x_1, f(x_1)) - u_{xx}(x_2, f(x_2))| + |u_{yy}(x_1, f(x_1)) - u_{yy}(x_2, f(x_2))|$$

$$\leq \bar{C}x_1^{-m-2-\gamma} \|\varphi\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])} |(x_1, f(x_1)) - (x_2, f(x_2))|^{\gamma}.$$

Then a similar argument as u_{xy} yields that

$$\|u_{xx}\|_{C_{0,\gamma}^{(-m-2)}(\bar{\Omega}\cap\{x\in[0,2/3]\})} + \|u_{yy}\|_{C_{0,\gamma}^{(-m-2)}(\bar{\Omega}\cap\{x\in[0,2/3]\})} \leq \bar{C}\|\varphi\|_{C_{2,\gamma}^{(-m)}([0,1])}.$$

We complete our proof of Theorem 4.3.

We complete our proof of Theorem 1.0.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. It suffices to show

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega} \cap \{x \in [1/2,1]\})} \le \bar{C} \, \|\varphi\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])} \, .$$

We cannot directly use the reflection transformation \mathcal{P}_3 to obtain the corresponding estimate as in the proof of Section 3. Because in the present section, the function blows up around the other vertex. The barrier function H fails to work there. We need to make a modification to the proof.

In fact, by Theorem 4.3, we have

$$|u||_{x=1/3} \le \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])}.$$

Then for $x_0 \in [1/2, 1]$

$$|v - P_{x_0}| \Big|_{x=1/3} \le \bar{C} \|\varphi\|_{C^{(-m)}_{2,\gamma}([0,1])}.$$

And for the barrier function H (the r corresponds to a center at point (x, y) = (1, 0)), we have

$$H|_{x=1/3} \ge \bar{C} > 0.$$

Thus, we apply the maximum principle in the domain $\overline{\Omega} \cap \{x \in [1/3, 1]\}$ to obtain the estimate (2.10). The rest of the proof is the same.

5. Asymptotic States as $f \to 0$

In this section, we see that the estimate (1.8) leads to an asymptotic estimate as $f \rightarrow 0$. First, in (1.4), we can differentiate the boundary value condition along the boundary to obtain the following derivatives list

$$\begin{cases} u(x, f(x)) = \varphi(x), \\ l_f u(x, f(x)) = u_x(x, f(x)) + f'(x)u_y(x, f(x)) = \varphi'(x), \\ l_0 u(x, 0) = u_y(x, 0) + G(x)u_x(x, 0) = 0, \\ L_f u(x, f(x)) = u_{xx}(x, y) + 2f'(x)u_{xy}(x, f(x)) + (f'(x))^2 u_{yy}(x, f(x)) \\ + f''(x)u_y(x, f(x)) = \varphi''(x), \\ Lu(x, y) = A(x, y)u_{xx} + B(x, y)u_{xy} + C(x, y)u_{yy} + D(x, y)u_x + E(x, y)u_y = 0, \\ L_0 u(x, 0) = u_{xy}(x, 0) + G(x)u_{xx}(x, 0) + G'(x)u_x(x, 0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

In above, we set f(x) = 0 to define the asymptotic operators l_f^*, l_0^*, L_f^*, L^* and L_0^* and the asymptotic state u^* over $[0, 1] \times \{0\}$ as follows

$$\begin{cases} u^*(x,0) = \varphi(x), \\ l_f^*u^*(x,0) = u_x^*(x,0) = \varphi'(x), \\ l_0^*u^*(x,0) = l_0u^*(x,0) = u_y^*(x,0) + G(x)u_x^*(x,0) = 0, \\ L_f^*u^*(x,0) = u_{xx}^*(x,0) = \varphi''(x), \\ L^*u^*(x,0) = A(x,0)u_{xx}^* + B(x,0)u_{xy}^* + C(x,0)u_{yy}^* + D(x,0)u_x^* + E(x,0)u_y^* = 0, \\ L_0^*u^*(x,0) = L_0u^*(x,0) = u_{xy}^*(x,0) + G(x)u_{xx}^*(x,0) + G'(x)u_x^*(x,0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This is a linear equation of the following form derivatives

$$\left(u^*(x,0), u^*_x(x,0), u^*_y(x,0), u^*_{xx}(x,0), u^*_{xy}(x,0), u^*_{yy}(x,0)\right)$$

and can be unique solved over $[0, 1] \times \{0\}$.

We can compare u(x, y) and $u^*(x, 0)$ under these operators. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| L_{f}^{*}u(x,y) - L_{f}^{*}u^{*}(x,0) \right| &= \left| L_{f}^{*}u(x,y) - L_{f}u(x,f(x)) \right| \\ &\leq \sigma_{0} \left\| u \right\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\Omega)} + f(x)^{\gamma} \left\| u \right\|_{C^{2,\gamma}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \sigma_{0}^{\gamma} \left\| \varphi \right\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{cases} u(x,y) - u^*(x,0) = \mathcal{O}_1, \\ l_f^* (u(x,y) - u^*(x,0)) = \mathcal{O}_2, \\ l_0^* (u(x,y) - u^*(x,0)) = \mathcal{O}_3, \\ L_f^* (u(x,y) - u^*(x,0)) = \mathcal{O}_4, \\ L^* (u(x,y) - u^*(x,0)) = \mathcal{O}_5, \\ L_0^* (u(x,y) - u^*(x,0)) = \mathcal{O}_6, \end{cases}$$

where $|\mathcal{O}_i| \leq \bar{C}\sigma_0^{\gamma} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}$. Therefore, $\nabla^i u^*(x,0) - \nabla^i u(x,y)$ satisfy a linear equation whose non-homogeneous terms can be estimated by $\bar{C}\sigma_0^{\gamma} \|\varphi\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}$. To conclude, we have

Theorem 5.1. Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, $u, u_x, u_y, u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{yy}$ is the perturbation of $u^*, u^*_x, u^*_y, u^*_{xx}, u^*_{yy}$ and we have

$$\left|\nabla^{i} u^{*}(x,0) - \nabla^{i} u(x,y)\right| \leq \bar{C} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma} \left\|\varphi\right\|_{C^{2,\gamma}([0,1])}.$$
(5.1) eq:Asymptotic eq:Asymptotic

Remark 5.1. Here there is an unreasonable definition. Because function u^* is only defined on line segment $[0,1] \times \{0\}$, how can we define its derivatives in the y direction? In fact, it can be understood in two ways. On the one hand, we can consider some function U defined in the neighbourhood of line segment $[0,1] \times \{0\}$ and $\nabla^i U(x,0) = \nabla^i u^*(x,0)$. On the other hand, we can consider that there is

ymptoticEstimate

a sequence of functions, each defined within the neighbourhood of line segment $[0,1] \times \{0\}$, and the derivatives of the sequence of functions converge to the form derivatives of u^* on $[0,1] \times \{0\}$. The introduction of u^* has the benefit in providing a more optimal asymptotic estimate (5.1) than the a priori estimate (1.8).

Acknowledgments

The second author is partial supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China 12141105.

References

 Alt, H. W., Caffarelli, L. A., Existence and regularity for a minimum problem with free boundary. J. Reine Angew. Math. 325 (1981), 105–144.

Caffarelli

Anderson

atoPereira

amKreyszig

BaeXiang

sovFreitas

Caffarelli

relliSalsa

enFeldman1

enFeldman2

ldmanXiang

KuangXiang

ChenGQ

- [2] Anderson, J., Hypersonic and High-Temperature Gas Dynamics, AIAA Education Series, 2nd ed., Reston, 2006.
- [3] Arrieta, J. M., Nakasato, J. C., Pereira, M. C., The p-Laplacian equation in thin domains: the unfolding approach. J. Differential Equations 274 (2021), pp. 1–34.
- [4] Azzam, A., Kreyszig, E., On solutions of elliptic equations satisfying mixed boundary conditions, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 13 (1982), 254–262.
- [5] Bae, M., Xiang, W., Detached shock past a blunt body, Acta Appl. Math., 188, Paper No. 7, 79 pp, 2023.
- [6] Borisov, D., Freitas, P., Asymptotics of Dirichlet eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on thin domains in (R^d), J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010), no. 3, 893–912.
- [7] Caffarelli, L. A., Free boundary problems. A survey. In Topics in Calculus of Variations (Montecatini Terme, 1987), Lecture Notes in Math., 1365, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 31–61.
- [8] Caffarelli, L., Salsa, S., A geometric approach to free boundary problems, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 68, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [9] Chen, G. Q., Partial differential equations of mixed type—analysis and applications, Notices of the American Mathematical Society 70 (2023), no. 1, 8–23.
- ChenFang [10] Chen, G. Q., Fang, B. X., Stability of transonic shock-fronts in three-dimensional conical steady potential flow past a perturbed cone, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 23 (2009), no. 1-2, 85–114.
 - [11] Chen, G. Q., Feldman, M., The mathematics of shock reflection-diffraction and von Neumann's conjectures, Ann. of Math. Stud., 197, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, xiv+814 pp, 2018.
 - [12] Chen, G. Q., Feldman, M., Multidimensional transonic shock waves and free boundary problems, Bull. Math. Sci., 12(1), Paper No. 2230002, 85 pp, 2022.
 - [13] Chen, G. Q., Feldman, M., Xiang, W., Convexity of self-similar transonic shocks and free boundaries for the Euler equations for potential flow, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 238(1), 47-124, 2020.
 - [14] Chen, G. Q., Kuang, J., Zhang, Y. Q., Stability of conical shocks in the three-dimensional steady supersonic isothermal flows past Lipschitz perturbed cones, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 53, no. 3, 2811–2862, 2021.
 - ChenS [15] Chen, S. X., High speed flight and partial differential equations, Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B, 43 (2022), no. 5, 855–868.

	20	DIAN HU AND GENGGENG HUANG
ChenXinYin	[16]	Chen, S. X., Xin, Z. P., Yin, H. C., Global shock waves for the supersonic flow past a perturbed cone. Comm. Math. Phys., 228(1), 47-84, 2002.
EllingLiu	[17]	Elling, V., Liu, T. P., Supersonic flow onto a solid wedge, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 61(10), 1347-1448, 2008.
raressoProvenzano	[18]	Ferraresso, F., Provenzano, L., On the eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator with Neumann boundary conditions on a thin set, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 55 (2023), 1154–1177.
iedlanderSolomyak	[19]	Friedlander, L., Solomyak, M., On the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian in a narrow strip, Israel J. Math. 170 (2009), 337–354.
GilbargHormander	[20]	Gilbarg, D., Hörmander, L., Intermediate Schauder estimates. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 74 (1980), no. 4, pp. 297–318.
GilbargTrudinger	[21]	Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N. S., Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Second edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 224, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
Grieser	[22]	Grieser, D., Spectra of graph neighborhoods and scattering, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 97 (2008), no. 3, 718–752.
Grieser	[23]	Grieser, D., Thin tubes in mathematical physics, global analysis and spectral geometry, in Analysis on graphs and its applications, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 77, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, 565–593.
Grisvard	[24]	Grisvard, P., Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains, Classics in Applied Mathematics, 69, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2011, xx+410 pp.
HanLin	[25]	Han, Q., Lin, F., Elliptic Partial Differential Equations, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011, x+147 pp.
HuZhang	[26]	Hu, D., Zhang, Y. Q., Global conic shock wave for the steady supersonic flow past a curved cone, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 51(3), 2372-2389, 2019.
JimboKurata	[27]	Jimbo, S., Kurata K., Asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues of the Laplacian on a thin domain under the mixed boundary condition, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 65(3), 867-898, 2016.
JinQuYuan1	[28]	Jin, Y. J., Qu, A. F., Yuan, H. R., Radon measure solutions for steady compressible hypersonic-limit Euler flows passing cylindrically symmetric conical bodies, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 20, no. 7-8, 2665–2685, 2021.
zlovMaz'yaRossman	[29]	Kozlov, V. A., Maz'ya, V. G., Rossman, J., Spectral Problems Associated with Corner Singularities of Solutions of Elliptic Equations, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 85, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
Krejcirik	[30]	Krejčiřík, D., Spectrum of the Laplacian in a narrow curved strip with combined Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 15 (2009), no. 3, 555–568.
KuangXiangZhang	[31]	Kuang, J., Xiang, W., Zhang, Y. Q., Hypersonic similarity for the two dimensional steady po- tential flow with large data, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire, 37, no. 6, 1379–1423, 2020.
KuchmentZeng	[32]	Kuchment, P., Zeng, H., Asymptotics of spectra of Neumann Laplacians in thin domains, in Advances in differential equations and mathematical physics, Contemp. Math., 327, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, 199–213.
onnikovUral'tseva	[33]	Ladyženskaja, O. A., Solonnikov, V. A., Ural'tseva, N. N., Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1967. (Translated from Russian by S. Smith.)
LiWittYin	[34]	Li, J., Witt, I., Yin, H. C., On the global existence and stability of a multi-dimensional supersonic conic shock wave, Comm. Math. Phys., 329(2), 609-640, 2014.

[35] Lieberman, G. M. Oblique Derivative Problems for Elliptic Equations, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2013, xvi+509 pp.

LienLiu

Van

WangZhang

XinYin

XuYin

- [36] Lien, W. C., Liu, T. P., Nonlinear stability of a self-similar 3-dimensional gas flow, Comm. Math. Phys., 204(3), 525-549, 1999.
- [37] Lin, F. Lectures on elliptic free boundary problems, in Lectures on the Analysis of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. Part 4, Morningside Lect. Math., vol. 4, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2016, pp. 115–193.
- Miller [38] Miller, K. Barriers on cones for uniformly elliptic operators, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 76 (1967), 93-105.
- reiraSilva [39] Pereira, M. C., Silva, R. P. Remarks on the p-Laplacian on thin domains. In Contributions to Nonlinear Elliptic Equations and Systems, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 86, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2015, pp. 389–403.
 - Post [40] Post, O. Spectral convergence of quasi-one-dimensional spaces, Ann. Henri Poincaré 7 (2006), no. 5, 933–973.
 - [41] Qu, A. F., Yuan, H. R., Zhao, Q., Hypersonic limit of two-dimensional steady compressible Euler flows passing a straight wedge, ZAMM Z. Angew. Math. Mech., 100, no. 3, e201800225, 14 pp, 2020.
- Schatzman1 [42] Rubinstein, J., Schatzman, M. Variational problems on multiply connected thin strips. I. Basic estimates and convergence of the Laplacian spectrum, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 160 (2001), no. 4, 271–308.
 - [43] Rubinstein, J., Schatzman, M. Variational problems on multiply connected thin strips. II. Convergence of the Ginzburg-Landau functional, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 160 (2001), no. 4, 309–324.
 - [44] Van Dyke, M. D., A study of hypersonic small-disturbance theory, NACA Rep., no. 1194, ii+21 pp, 1954.
 - [45] Wang, Z. J., Zhang, Y. Q., Steady supersonic flow past a curved cone, J. Differential Equations, 247(6), 1817-1850, 2009.
 - [46] Xin, Z. P., Yin, H. C., Global multidimensional shock wave for the steady supersonic flow past a three-dimensional curved cone, Anal. Appl. (Singap.), 4(2), 101-132, 2006.
 - [47] Xu, G., Yin, H. C., Global multidimensional transonic conic shock wave for the perturbed supersonic flow past a cone, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 41(1), 178-218, 2009.

D. HU: SCHOOL OF SCIENCES, EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHANGHAI, 200237, CHINA.

Email address: hudianaug@qq.com and hudianaug@gmail.com

G. HUANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200433, CHINA.

Email address: genggenghuang@fudan.edu.cn