

July 8, 2024

ON A GENERALIZED HEXAGONAL DART BOARD PROBLEM

ANDRÁS BEZDEK AND OWEN HENDERSCHIEDT

ABSTRACT. Jung's theorem says that planar sets of diameter 1 can be covered by a closed circular disc of radius $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. In this paper we will restrict ourselves to finite point sets and consider a fractional Jung-type problem. Let \mathcal{P}_n be the family of all finite sets of n points of diameter 1. Let the function value $N_n(r)$ ($0 < r \leq 1$) be the largest integer k so that for every point set $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ there is a circle of radius r which covers at least k points of P . We give many lower and upper bounds for $N_n(r)$ and determine intervals of $(0, 1]$ where exact values of $N_n(r)$ can be determined. Among others we show the $n \leq N_{3n}(\frac{1}{2}) \leq n + 1$ and $N_n(\frac{1}{4}) = \lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil$ for all $n \neq 7$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Historically, number theory and geometry are fields which are rich of challenging exercises whose solutions use the pigeonhole principle. Take for example the following exercise:

Assume we have a hexagonal dart board with an edge length of $\sqrt{3}$ and 25 darts have landed on it. Show that there exists a unit circle which covers at least 5 darts.

To solve this, all what one has to do is to split the hexagon into 6 equilateral triangles and apply the pigeonhole principle with 6 pigeonholes and 25 pigeons. In [MB22], M. Buck attributes the following observations to Bob Ruyle. Ruyle noticed that the above dartboard contains a square of side length greater than 2 (see Figure 1). Thus, if one places 4 darts at each vertex of this square, then no unit circle can contain 5 darts. On the other hand he presented an arrangement of 5 unit circles covering the above dartboard (see Figure 1) and argued again by pigeonhole principle, that if only 21 darts are used, then at least one of the circles must contain at least 5 darts.




FIGURE 1. The hexagonal dart board with 16 and 21 darts

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52C15.

Key words and phrases. n points, diameter, covering by circle.

With this paper we start a systematic study of the following general dart board problem. Instead of restricting ourselves to a regular hexagonal dart board of edge length $\sqrt{3}$ with 25 darts and a circle of radius 1, we generalize the problem to dart boards of any shape with diameter not exceeding 1, fixing both the number of darts landed and the radius of a circle in $(0, 1]$. Our goal is to determine for each pair (n, r) the largest number k so that there exist a circle of radius r which covers k of the darts. Formally, we consider the following problem:

Problem 1 (Generalization of the hexagonal dart board problem). *Let n be a fixed positive integer. Let \mathcal{P}_n be the family of all sets of n points, so that in any set, the distance between any two points is at most 1. Let the function value $N_n(r)$ ($0 < r \leq 1$) be the largest integer k so that for every point set $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ there exists a circle of radius r which covers at least k points in P .*

Remark 1. Let us revisit the original dart board problem. Scaling the dart board by a factor of $\frac{2}{3}$ reduces the diameter of the board to 1. This scaling changes the radius of the covering circle to $\frac{1}{3}$. Using our terminology the initial pigeonhole argument gives $N_{25}(\frac{1}{3}) \geq 5$ and the improvements of Ruyle showed that both $N_{21}(\frac{1}{3}) \geq 5$ and $N_{16}(\frac{1}{3}) \leq 4$. It would be interesting to know the smallest n such that $N_n(\frac{1}{3}) \geq 5$.

Remark 2. Coincidentally, the Bulgarian 2023 Math Olympiad included a geometry question concerning unit diameter point sets. The question asked to show that if the cardinality of the point set is fixed and it is assumed to be divisible by 3, then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ so that if r belongs to the interval $(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, \frac{1}{2})$ then there exist a circle of radius r which covers at least one third of the points and that is the maximum number of points which such a circle is guaranteed to cover. Using our terminology one had to prove that $N_{3n}(r) = n$ for any $r \in (\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, \frac{1}{2})$. We will use an approach different from that of the posted solution (see [BMO23]), which explains why we will have the same answer on a much larger interval. Let us mention also that for a good reason, the case $r = \frac{1}{2}$ was not part of the Olympiad question. It turns out that this case is not only much more involved. Theorem 2 proves that there exists a circle of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ which covers at least n points, and Theorem 3 proves that $n+1$ is an upper bound for number of points a circle of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ is guaranteed to cover.

Our goal is to establish both lower and upper bounds for $N_n(r)$ and to determine its exact values over specific intervals of r . For a fixed r , values of $N_n(r)$ will be influenced by the divisibility of n which requires ceiling functions in our theorem statements. To complement this, we also consider the asymptotic behavior of $c(r) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_n(r)}{n}$. We now outline the rest of the paper. In Section 2, we describe the main strategies for finding the lower bounds of $N_n(r)$. In Section 3, we similarly describe the methods for determining upper bounds of $N_n(r)$. In Section 4, we provide both a table of our results for $N_n(r)$ as well as a graph depicting the current understanding of the function $c(r) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_n(r)}{n}$. In Section 5, we present the proofs that support these findings.

2. MAIN IDEA FOR FINDING LOWER BOUNDS OF $N_n(r)$

To establish lower bounds for $N_n(r)$ we use the following straightforward strategy. We start with a convex disc, capable of covering every point sets of diameter one, otherwise known as a *universal cover*. It is not hard to see that the regular hexagon whose opposite sides are at distance one, or a square of edge length 1 are universal covers. If we can then

cover a universal cover with k congruent circles of radius r , then by applying the pigeonhole principle we obtain that one of these circles contains at least $\frac{n}{k}$ points. Thus, we readily have $N_n(r) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil$.

Fortunately, in discrete geometry, numerous papers deal with such finite circle coverings. For example, the circle coverings in Figure 2 come from a paper of Y. Liu [Y22] who wants to find the smallest radius such that k congruent circles can cover a specific regular hexagon. They approach this problem algorithmically and obtain improved bounds for k between 1 and 10. By scaling the hexagons appropriately, we also includes in Figure 2 (i) k , the number of circles used in the covering, (ii) the approximate decimal value of the radii in the specific covering, and (iii) the lower bound of $N_n(r)$ implied by the circle covering. Finally note that since $N_n(r)$ is an increasing function the 8 inequalities of Figure 2 give a step function which is below the graph of $N_n(r)$.




FIGURE 2. Circle coverings of a regular hexagon found by Y. Liu in [Y22]

3. MAIN IDEA FOR FINDING UPPER BOUNDS OF $N_n(r)$

It seems quite difficult to determine $N_n(r)$ for all possible values of r . Note that the above pigeonhole arguments always lead to a radius r and an integer k so that $N_n(r) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil$ (which then implies $c(r) \geq \frac{1}{k}$). Therefore it seemed reasonable to find among these pairs (r, k) those for which $N_n(r) = \lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil$ (and $c(r) = \frac{1}{k}$) also holds. The advantage of considering pairs (r, k) for which we already know that $N_n(r) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil$ is very simple: in order to prove that $N_n(r) = \lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil$ all we need is a concrete arrangement of n points so that no circle of radius r can cover more than $\lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil$ points. These special point sets are at the heart of our

paper and we will call and label them *Examples*. To emphasize that these are at the heart of our paper, we introduce and analyze two simple examples here.

Example 1. $N_n(r) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$ for any $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $N_n(r) \leq \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ for any $r \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3})$.

Proof. Take an equilateral triangle of side length 1 and place $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ points on each vertex. Then, each of the remaining 0, 1, or 2 points are placed on different vertices (see the triangle in Figure 3). Certainly this point set has diameter 1, and if $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ then a circle of radius r can cover at most one vertex. Likewise, if $r \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3})$ then a circle of radius r can cover at most two vertices. In the first case no circle can cover more than $\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$ points and in the second no circle can cover more than $\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ points. \square

Example 2. $N_n(r) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{\pi} \sin^{-1}(2r) \rceil$ for any $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Proof. Consider a circle O with radius $\frac{1}{2}$ and uniformly distribute all n points around the boundary of O (see the circle in Figure 3). Certainly this point set has diameter 1. If $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ then a circle of radius r say O' can cover an arc of length at most $\sin^{-1}(2r)$. This maximum is achieved when the intersection of O and O' occur at antipodal points of O' . Since the point set is equally spaced around O , O' covers at most $\lceil \frac{n}{\pi} \sin^{-1}(2r) \rceil$ points. \square




FIGURE 3. Example 1 (left) and Example 2 (right)

Proving Example 2 addresses a specific instance of a broader question: Given two shapes, S_1 and S_2 , how should S_1 be positioned to maximize the coverage of S_2 's boundary? Here, S_1 is a circle with radius $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, and S_2 is a circle with radius $\frac{1}{2}$. While this question is interesting in its own right, one may use this strategy to search for other example candidates.

4. SUMMARY OF KNOWLEDGE OF THE FUNCTIONS $N_n(r)$ AND $c(r)$

We first present Table 1 summarizing the key findings in the paper. To help the reader navigate through the theorems, and examples in this paper, certain intervals and points in Figure 4 will be labelled with bold letters from **A** to **I** and these letters will be used as reference letters in the theorems throughout the paper (uppercase for intervals and lowercase for points).

Additionally, Figure 4 illustrates our partial knowledge of $c(r) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_n(r)}{n}$ where exact values are indicated in black, lower bounds in dark gray, and upper bounds in light gray. (Note: the r -axis is not drawn to scale for visual interest). Similarly, Example 1 and Example 2 from Section 3 are indicated by **E** and **F** respectively.

Up to this point one may notice that the exact values of $c(r)$ seem to follow a step function. It remains an open problem to determine whether or not $c(r)$ is in fact a step function.

	Labels	Interval	Decimal Approx.	$N_n(r)$ result
Theorem 1	Interval A	$r \in [\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, 1]$	$r \in [.577, 1]$	$N_n(r) = n$
Theorem 2	Interval B	$r \in [\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$	$r \in [.433, .5]$	$N_n(r) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$
Theorem 3	Point b	$r = \frac{1}{2}$	$r = .5$	$n \leq N_{3n}(r) \leq n + 1$
Theorem 4	Point c	$r = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$	$r \approx .354$	$N_n(r) = \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$
Theorem 5	Point d	$r = \frac{1}{4}$	$r = .25$	$N_n(r) = \lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil, n \neq 7$
Example 1	Interval E	$r \in [\frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3})$	$r \in [.518, .577]$	$N_n(r) \leq \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$
Example 2	Interval F	$r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$	$r \in (0, .5)$	$N_n(r) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{\pi} \sin^{-1}(2r) \rceil$
Example 3	Interval G	$r \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{\sqrt{2}})$	$r \in [.5, .518]$	$N_n(r) \leq \lceil \frac{3n}{5} \rceil$
Lemma 1	Interval H	$r \in [\sqrt{\frac{13}{48}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3})$	$r \in [.52, .577]$	$N_n(r) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$
Figure 2	Intervals I	$r \in [.209, .433]$ for bounds on $N_n(r)$ see *		

* The gray step function labeled **I** in Table 1 and Figure 4 correspond to the lower bounds we derived in Section 2.

TABLE 1. A summary of the results of $N_n(r)$ presented in this paper




FIGURE 4. Partial knowledge of the graph of $c(r) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_n(r)}{n}$.

5. PROOFS

We now present the proofs for the results found in Table 1 and Figure 4. For each known exact value of $N_n(r) = k$, we divide the proof into two parts: first, establishing the lower bound $N_n(r) \geq k$, and second, providing a sharp example to demonstrate $N_n(r) \leq k$.

Theorem 1. [On the interval A] $N_n(r) = n$ for any $r \in [\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, 1]$.

Proof. Jung's theorem [HJ01] in the plane, says that planar sets of diameter 1 can be covered by a closed circular disc of radius $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Moreover, Example 1 shows that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ is the smallest such radius. \square

Theorem 2. [On the interval B] $N_n(r) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$ for any $r \in [\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Proof. Part 1: Proving the lower bound. C. Borsuk [B33, Z21] proved that any set of diameter 1 can be partitioned into three pieces each with diameter < 1 . The proof of Borsuk's theorem starts by saying that the point set can be enclosed in a regular hexagon whose opposite sides are at a distance 1. Then Borsuk partitioned this hexagon into three congruent pentagons by dropping perpendiculars to every second side (Figure 5). By pigeonhole principle, one of the pentagons contains at least $\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$ points. Since the circumradii of these pentagons are equal to $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$ we obtain $N_n(r) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$, when $r \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$.




FIGURE 5. Proof of lower bound for Theorem 2.

Part 2: Finding a sharp example. Indeed, as seen in Example 1, any circle of radius r can cover only one of the three vertices of this triangle. This example proves $N_n(r) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$ for any $r \in [\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$. \square

We note that Example 1, the construction placing points at the vertices of equilateral triangle is insufficient for improving the upper bound of $N_n(\frac{1}{2})$. This is because a circle with radius $\frac{1}{2}$ can cover two of the triangle's vertices. Consequently, we had to explore more complex constructions in hopes to find the correct function value.

Remark 3. Initially, we wanted to see if $N_n(\frac{1}{2}) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. The following 9 point example ruled out this plan. Start with a Reuleaux triangle of diameter one. Place one point at each the vertices and place 6 distinct points on the boundary of the Reuleaux triangle a distance $\epsilon > 0$ from the vertices (see left half of Figure 6). Notice no circle of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ can cover more than 4 of the 9 points, By stacking this example we can see that $N_n(\frac{1}{2}) \leq \lceil \frac{4n}{9} \rceil$.

Closer inspection of the properties of this 9 point arrangement lead to modifications reducing the upper bound.

Theorem 3. [At the point **b**] $n \leq N_{3n}(\frac{1}{2}) \leq n + 1$.

Proof. By Theorem 2 Part 1, we see that $N_{3n}(\frac{1}{2}) \geq n$. We now present an example to show the upper bound, that is a diameter 1, $3n$ point-set such that no circle of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ can cover more than $n + 1$ points.

Consider the following $3n$ point arrangement. Take the Reuleaux triangle UVW of diameter 1. On the boundary of this triangle, outside of the centrally placed circle of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ we will place a total of $3n$ points, n on each side, so that the final arrangement has a 3-fold symmetry. Note that the final arrangement will not have the n points on each side equally spaced (see right half of Figure 6). Since the final arrangement will have 3-fold symmetry, by describing the points along side UV this determines the placement of the points on sides VW and UW . The following is the recursive instructions to place n points $X_1 \dots X_n$ on the curved side UV . Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n and Z_1, \dots, Z_n be the points on VW , and UW respectively.

- First chose X_1 to be very close to U (note this will also determine Y_1 and Z_1). As part of the iterative process, when we choose sequential X_i 's, we will revisit the choice of the initial closeness and make necessary adjustments.
- Now the recursive step: Once we have X_i chose X_{i+1} (note this will also determine Y_{i+1} and Z_{i+1}) so that the circumradius of the triangle $X_i Y_i Y_{i+1}$ is more than $\frac{1}{2}$ (see Figure 7). We may assume that Y_{i+1} is as close to V as we wish, otherwise chose X_1 even closer to U and start over. This is the adjustments mentioned in the previous step of the iterative process.




FIGURE 6. Remark 3 and Theorem 3.

Certainly, this point-set has diameter at most 1, and now we must show that in such an arrangement no circle of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ can cover more than $n+1$ of the points. First, we will show that given two sides of the of the triangle UVW , without loss of generality say UV and VW ,




FIGURE 7. A magnified image of the right half of Figure 6

a circle of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ cannot cover $n+2$ points from $X_1 \dots X_n, Y_1 \dots Y_n$. Otherwise, there are two indices, $i < j$, with the property that the points X_i, Y_i, X_j, Y_j are covered by the circle. This implies that X_i, Y_i, Y_{i+1} is also covered by the circle. This however is not possible since by construction this triplet has a circumradius greater than $\frac{1}{2}$. Since no circle of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ can cover a point from each of the three n -tuples, we have that $N_{3n}(\frac{1}{2}) \leq n+1$. \square


Corollary 1. $\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil \leq N_n(\frac{1}{2}) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil + 1$

Proof. Using the proof of Theorem 3, if n is not a multiple of three we get $\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil \leq N_n(\frac{1}{2}) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil + 1$. \square

Theorem 4. [At the point **c**] $N_n(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}) = \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$.

Proof. Part 1: Proving the lower bound. Let us enclose a point set of diameter 1 in a square of side length 1. Partition the square into four squares of side length $\frac{1}{2}$ (see Figure 8). By pigeonhole principle, one of them contains at least $\frac{1}{4}n$ points. Thus $N_n(r) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$ whenever $r \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$.

Part 2: Finding a sharp example. By Example 2 when $r = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$ we get $N_n(r) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$. \square

FIGURE 8. Proof of a lower bound of $N_n(r)$ for $r \in [\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}, 1]$

Theorem 5. [At the point **d**] $N_n(\frac{1}{4}) = \lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil$ for all $n \neq 7$ and $1 \leq N_7(\frac{1}{4}) \leq 2$.

Part 1: Proving the the lower bound

Proof. The lower bound follows immediately from the circle covering depicted in the lower left corner of Figure 2. Indeed, as Figure 7 shows 7 congruent circles of radii $\frac{1}{4}$ which cover a regular hexagon whose opposite sides are at distance 1. This implies by the pigeonhole principle that $N_n(r) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil$, when $r \geq \frac{1}{4}$.

Part 2: Finding a sharp example. For $n \leq 5$ we can see that a regular n -gon of diameter 1 has side lengths greater than $\frac{1}{2}$, and hence placing a point on each vertex gives $N_n(\frac{1}{4}) = 1$. When $n = 6$ we can take a circle of radius $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$ for some small $\epsilon > 0$ and place 5 points uniformly around the circle with one point in the center. Since no two points on the circle are antipodal, with a sufficiently small ϵ , these 6 points have diameter at most 1. As similarly mentioned in the regular 5-gon, a circle of radius $\frac{1}{4}$ can only cover one point.

We now let $n \geq 7$. Consider a circle of radius $\frac{1}{2}$. Distribute uniformly $\lfloor \frac{6}{7}n \rfloor$ points along the boundary of this circle. Next distribute the remaining $\lceil \frac{1}{7}n \rceil$ points uniformly along the boundary of a concentric circle with radius $\epsilon > 0$ (See Figure 9). Now consider a circle O of radius $\frac{1}{4}$ and notice that when ϵ is sufficiently small, if O covers at least two points along the boundary of the outer circle then it does not cover any points from the inner circle. Thus if O covers at least two points from the outer circle, the total number points it can cover is fully contained in the outer circle, which is at most $\frac{1}{6} \cdot \lfloor \frac{6}{7}n \rfloor \leq \frac{n}{7}$.

If O doesn't cover any points from the outer circle than clearly O covers at most $\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil$. Now we are left to consider the case when O covers exactly 1 point from the outer circle. If $n > 7$ then there are at least two points on the inner circle of radius ϵ . Since these points are uniformly distributed along the boundary, and since the center of the inner circle is contained on the boundary of O , at least one point from the inner circle is not covered by O . Thus, at most $\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil$ points are covered. If $n = 7$ the inner circle has only one point on it, and O can cover it, along with a point on the outer circle and hence $N_7(\frac{1}{4}) \leq 2$. \square




FIGURE 9. Example for the upper bound of Theorem 5

While any $r \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3})$ will not be of the form $r = \frac{1}{k}$ for some integer k , as mentioned in Section 3, it would still be interesting to know the correct values of $N_n(r)$ and $c(r)$. Here we present some straightforward upper and lower bounds for some such radii.

Example 3. [On the interval \mathbf{G}] $N_n(r) \leq \lceil \frac{3n}{5} \rceil$ for any $r \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{\sqrt{2}})$

Proof. Let $r < \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and let O be a circle of radius r . Consider the Reuleaux UVW of diameter 1. Let M_1 and M_2 be the midpoints of the circular arcs UV and UW respectively. Now consider the arrangement of n points with $\lfloor \frac{n}{5} \rfloor$ points placed on the vertices $\{U, V, W, M_1, M_2\}$. If n is not a multiple of 5, place the remaining points each on a distinct vertex, favoring $\{U, V, W\}$. Since $r < \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{\sqrt{2}} < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$ as mentioned in Example 1, O covers at most two of the vertices in $\{U, V, W\}$. Now suppose for contradiction O can cover more than $\lceil \frac{3n}{5} \rceil$ of the points. Then without loss of generality O must cover $\{U, V, M_1, M_2\}$. It is an easy computation to verify that this would require $r \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{\sqrt{2}}$ a contradiction. \square

Lemma 1. [On the interval **H**] $N_n(r) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ for any $r \in [\sqrt{\frac{13}{48}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3})$.

Proof. All what we need is to remember that according to Borsuk [B33, Z21], the regular hexagon with opposite sides at distance 1 covers every unit diameter set. Let us cut the hexagon into two congruent pentagons by the perpendicular bisector of one of its sides. Figure 10 shows that the circumradius of this pentagon is $r = \sqrt{\frac{13}{48}} \approx 0.52$. By the pigeonhole principal one of the pentagons contain at least half of the points, thus $N_n(r) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. \square




FIGURE 10. Proof of $N_n(r) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ for $r \geq \sqrt{\frac{13}{48}}$

REFERENCES

- [Z21] C. Zong, C. Borsuk’s partition conjecture. *Jpn. J. Math.* 16, 185–201 (2021)
- [B33] C. Borsuk, Drei Satze ueber die n-dimensionale Euklidische Sphaere. *Fund. Math.*, vol. 20. 177-190 (1933)
- [Y22] Y. Liu, Two lower-bounding algorithms for the p-center problem in an area. *Computational Urban Science*, (2022)
- [FTG17] G. Fejes Toth, *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, J.E. Goodman, J. O’Rourke, and C. D. Tóth (editors), 3rd edition, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (2017)
- [BMO23] 2023 Bulgarian math olympiad problems and solution <https://dgrozev.wordpress.com/category/bulgarian-math-olympiad/>, (2023)
- [MB22] M. W. Buck <https://mirangu.com/the-hexagonal-dart-board/>, (2023)

- [HJ01] H. W. E. Jung, Über die kleinste Kugel, die eine räumliche Figur einschliesst. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal), 241-257 (1901)

,

A. BEZDEK, MTA RÉNYI INSTITUTE, 13-15 RÉALTANODA U., BUDAPEST, HUNGARY
also: MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, AUBURN UNIV., AUBURN, AL 36849-5310, USA
Email address: bezdean@auburn.edu

O. HENDERSCHIEDT, MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, AUBURN UNIV., AUBURN, AL 36849-5310, USA
Email address: olh0011@auburn.edu