

SECTION CONJECTURES OVER \mathbb{C} AND KODAIRA FIBRATIONS

SIMON SHUOFENG XU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we propose and study topological and Hodge theoretic analogues of Grothendieck's section conjecture over the complex numbers. We study these questions in the context of family of curves, in particular Kodaira fibrations, and in the context of the family of Jacobians associated to a Kodaira fibration. We showed that in the case of family of curves, both the topological and Hodge-theoretic analogues of the injectivity part of the section conjecture holds, and that in the case of family of Jacobians, the topological analogue of the surjectivity part of the section conjecture does not hold in general. For family of curves, we also reduce the topological analogue of the surjectivity part of the section conjecture to the case where the families have no algebraic sections.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Some construction of Kodaira fibrations	6
3. Algebraic sections to family of Jacobians	7
4. Weak topological section conjecture and surjectivity	13
5. Hodge-theoretic section question	16
6. Family of curves	19
7. Some concluding remarks and open questions	22
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we would like to propose and study some analogues of Grothendieck's section conjecture over the complex numbers. To explain these analogues, we first recall the anabelian philosophy which motivates the present work. In [Gro97], Grothendieck conjectured that there exists a special class of schemes, called the anabelian schemes, defined over some field k that is finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} , whose behaviour is controlled by an associated short exact sequence of étale fundamental groups:

$$(1.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow 1$$

Date: July 24, 2024.

Loosely speaking, this means that maps between anabelian schemes X and Y are the same as conjugacy classes of maps of extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{f} & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow = \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\bar{k}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

where two such maps (f, \bar{f}) and (g, \bar{g}) are conjugate if their images are conjugate by some elements of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y_{\bar{k}})$. Furthermore, he conjectured that the class of anabelian schemes should satisfy the following properties (see [Fal98]):

- (1) it should contain all hyperbolic curves
- (2) it should contain the moduli stacks $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ of smooth projective curves of genus g with n marked points
- (3) it should be closed under taking fibrations, i.e., if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth proper map such that both Y and the fiber F is also in this class, then so is X .

Now if one also believes that a point $\text{Spec } k$ is anabelian, then one arrives at the Grothendieck's section conjecture for smooth proper curves of genus $g \geq 2$

Conjecture 1.1 (Grothendieck's section conjecture). Let X/k be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over some field k that is finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} . Then the section map

$$\text{sec} : \{k\text{-rational points of } X\} \rightarrow \{\text{splittings of (1.1)}\} / \text{conjugation}$$

is a bijection.

Remark 1.2. One can see that the notion of anabelian schemes is supposed to be algebraic analogues of $k(\pi, 1)$ -spaces in topology. Indeed, hyperbolic curves and $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ are all $k(\pi, 1)$ -spaces, and if we have a Serre fibration $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where both Y and the fiber F are $k(\pi, 1)$ -spaces, then so is X . However, it's worth pointing out that the analogy is not perfect and there are $k(\pi, 1)$ spaces that are not anabelian (for example, elliptic curves over a field of characteristics 0 are not anabelian but they are still $k(\pi, 1)$ spaces).

The question we would like to explore in this paper is that if we now work over the complex numbers, is there a reasonable class of schemes, and some functorial invariant F like the étale fundamental group, such that morphisms between these functorial invariants captures morphisms between the original schemes. A naïve approach to this question is that we may simply take the class of anabelian schemes proposed by Grothendieck and see if one can find any interesting functorial invariant that can replace the étale fundamental group when we work over the complex numbers.

In this paper, we study two invariants that naturally occur in complex algebraic geometry: the first one is the topological fundamental group and the other one is the category of graded-polarizable admissible \mathbb{Z} -variation of mixed Hodge structures. Furthermore, we study them in the context of families of curves over another curve, in particular Kodaira fibrations, and the family of Jacobians associated to a Kodaira fibration. We first recall what a Kodaira fibration is.

Definition 1.3 (Kodaira fibration). A *Kodaira fibration* $f : S \rightarrow C$ is a non-isotrivial fibration from a smooth projective surface S onto a smooth projective curve C such that all of the fibers are smooth projective of genus g .

Such a fibration is first constructed by Kodaira in [Kod67]; see also the work of Parshin [Par68] and Atiyah [Ati69]. For a recent survey on Kodaira fibration, see [Cat17]. By an observation of Kas [Kas68, Theorem 1.1], we know that given a Kodaira fibration $f : S \rightarrow C$, the genus of the base C is at least 2 and the genus of the fiber S_b is at least 3. In particular, they should be examples of anabelian schemes, making them ideal testing grounds for anabelian conjectures. We can now formulate the questions we study in this paper:

Topological version of the question. Given a Kodaira fibration $f : S \rightarrow C$, we have a short exact sequence of topological fundamental groups

$$(1.2) \quad 1 \rightarrow \pi_1(S_b) \rightarrow \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \pi_1(C) \rightarrow 1$$

Now any algebraic section induces a splitting of this short exact sequence, so we get a section map

$$\Phi : \{\text{algebraic sections to } f : S \rightarrow C\} \rightarrow \{\text{sections of (1.2)}\} / \text{conjugation},$$

where the conjugation action is the natural action of $\pi_1(S_b)$ on the set of sections of (1.2) defined by

$$(g \cdot f)(x) := gf(x)g^{-1} \quad \text{for all } g \in \pi_1(S_b), x \in \pi_1(C), f \text{ splittings of (1.2).}$$

The following question is then a topological analogue of Grothendieck's section conjecture (Conj. 1.1).

Question 1.4. Is the section map Φ a bijection?

Now it's worth pausing and asking why one should think that Question 1.4 may have a positive answer. Indeed, if we just consider the naïve topological analogue of Grothendieck's section conjecture for smooth projective curves X over \mathbb{C} , we will not get an one-to-one correspondence: there are infinitely many maps from $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ to X , but only one group theoretic map from $\{*\} = \pi_1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$. Therefore, the section map in this case is surjective but never injective.

However, notice that in this case, $\pi_1(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C})$ is trivial, and therefore $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ has trivial monodromy. On the other hand, in the case of a curve over a number field k , since $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(k) = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, we do have non-trivial monodromy. Therefore, in some sense, Kodaira fibrations are closer analogues to curves over number fields than Riemann surfaces. Indeed, the analogue of Faltings' theorem holds for Kodaira fibrations (see Corollary 4.2 in [McM00]), i.e. any Kodaira fibration $f : S \rightarrow C$ has only finitely many algebraic sections. Furthermore, the proof of this results uses a strategy similar to Faltings' original proof. In particular, it proves a geometric Shafarevich conjecture [McM00, Theorem 3.1], which states that for a given base C , there are only finitely many Kodaira fibrations $f : S \rightarrow C$ with fiber genus $g(S_b) = g$.

Perhaps the most relevant evidence that suggests Question 1.4 may have a positive answer is that the topological analogue of Grothendieck's section conjecture holds for the universal curve \mathcal{C}_g over the moduli stack \mathcal{M}_g of genus g curves when $g \geq 2$: for $\mathcal{C}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$,

the associated short exact sequence of topological fundamental group is the Birman short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \text{MCG}_{g,1} \rightarrow \text{MCG}_g \rightarrow 1,$$

where Σ_g is a smooth compact orientable Riemann surface of genus g , and $\text{MCG}_{g,n}$ is the mapping class group of a genus g surface with n marked points. This short exact sequence is known to be a non-split sequence whenever $g \geq 2$ [FM12, Corollary 5.1] (as we will use later, it does not even virtually split, see [CS21]). Analogous results hold for the universal n -pointed curve as well [Che19, Corollary 1.2]. Therefore, it seems to us that Question 1.4 may very well have a positive answer at least when the monodromy representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(C) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$$

associated to a Kodaira fibration $f : S \rightarrow C$ has large image, and one of the main results of this paper is that the non-injectivity phenomenon observed in the case of Riemann surfaces does not occur for Kodaira fibrations with large monodromy:

Theorem 1.5 (See Cor. 3.6 in Section 3). *If $f : S \rightarrow C$ is a Kodaira fibration whose monodromy representation ρ has no invariants, then Φ is injective.*

The main strategy to prove this theorem is to study the associated family of Jacobians $\pi : \text{Pic}_{S/C}^0 \rightarrow C$ attached to a Kodaira fibration $f : S \rightarrow C$. One could similarly ask Question 1.4 in the case of family of Jacobians and this may be viewed as an abelianized version of the topological section question for Kodaira fibrations. We proved the following results for the abelianized section map

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\pi : \text{Pic}_{S/C}^0 \rightarrow C$ be the family of Jacobians associated to a Kodaira fibration. Then*

- (1) *if the monodromy representation associated to $f : S \rightarrow C$ has no invariants, then the abelianized section map is injective (see Cor. 3.5);*
- (2) *if the Kodaria fibration has an algebraic section, then the abelianized section map is never surjective (see Cor. 3.12).*

In fact, the first part of the theorem is true for any family of principally polarized abelian varieties over a curve, and we work in that generality at the beginning of section 3. The second part of the theorem does uses the fact that we are working with a family of Jacobians associated to a Kodaira fibration $f : S \rightarrow C$ and the main input is a computation of the degree of $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S$ via Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch.

For the surjectivity part of Question 1.4, we are not able to show that the section map Φ is surjective. However, we can show that the question of the surjectivity of Φ can be deduced from the following weak topological section conjecture:

Conjecture 1.7 (Weak topological section conjecture). *Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a Kodaira fibration. Then it admits an algebraic section if and only if the short exact sequence (1.2) of fundamental groups splits.*

More precisely, we prove the following:

Proposition 1.8 (see Cor. 4.9). *The surjectivity of the section map Φ is equivalent to the weak topological section conjecture for all connected finite étale cover S' of S such that $S' \rightarrow C$ has connected fibers (i.e. $S' \rightarrow C$ is also a Kodaira fibration).*

This result also has an analogue in the arithmetic setting [Sti10, Theorem 31], which states that the surjectivity part of Grothendieck's section conjecture may be deduced from the weak section conjecture (i.e. existence of a rational points is the same as the existence of a splitting of the sequence 1.1) for geometrically connected finite étale covers of the curve. In fact, the proof is almost the same as the proof of this analogous result in [Sti10].

Hodge theoretic version of the question. Another possible candidate that one may replace the étale fundamental group with is the category of graded-polarizable admissible \mathbb{Z} -variation of mixed Hodge structures $\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, as Hodge theory has always been an extremely useful tool in the study of complex algebraic geometry. The downside of this category is that it's not a Tannakian category, and therefore, we cannot use it to define a Tannakian fundamental group. This means that we will lose the group theoretic aspect of anabelian geometry if we work with $\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Nevertheless, it still makes sense to ask if functors between these categories are in one-to-one correspondence with algebraic sections. In other words, given a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we get a section map

$$\text{sec} : \{\text{algebraic sections to } f\} \rightarrow \{\text{section functors from } \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \rightarrow \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y)\},$$

where section functors are defined to be functors that becomes isomorphic to the identity functor after composing with the pullback f^* . Then the Hodge theoretic section question asks if this Hodge theoretic section map is a bijection. See section (5) for more detailed backgrounds and a more precise formulation of the question. Furthermore, we also prove the following result:

Theorem 1.9 (see Prop. 6.1 in section 6). *For any family of curves $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. The injectivity part of the Hodge theoretic section question holds, i.e. distinct algebraic sections produce non-isomorphic section functors*

It's worth pointing out that this result does not make any assumption on the monodromy of the family. Some special cases of this theorem can be readily deduced from known results. For example, when \mathcal{B} is a point, this theorem follows from Hain and Pulte's pointed Torelli theorem (see [Pul88, Theorem 5.5] and [Hai87b, Theorem 7.5]). Hain, through private communication, also informed us that his proof of the main result in [Hai11] can be adapted to prove that this Hodge theoretic section map is a bijection in the case where $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{M}_g$ for some $g > 4$.

We by no means believe that the formulation of the Hodge theoretic section question proposed in section 5 should be the final and correct formulation, and we conclude this paper with some discussions of open questions (both in the topological setting and in the Hodge theoretic setting) and possible modifications to the Hodge theoretic section question.

Acknowledgement: I would like to first thank my advisor Daniel Litt. This paper would not have been possible without his encouragement, generosity and wisdom. I would also like to thank Peter Jossen, Richard Hain and Nick Salter for reading an earlier version of this paper and for giving me many valuable feedbacks and suggestions. Richard Hain and Sasha Shmakov point me to many useful references, for which I'm very

grateful. Finally, I would like to thank Laure Flapan, whose delightful talk on Kodaira fibration got me interested in them in the first place.

2. SOME CONSTRUCTION OF KODAIRA FIBRATIONS

In this paper, we will prove theorems about Kodaira fibrations satisfying some extra hypotheses. In this section we would like to demonstrate that those theorems are non-empty, i.e., we give some standard constructions of Kodaira fibrations, which produce Kodaira fibrations satisfying those extra hypotheses. We also take this opportunity to set up some notations.

Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a Kodaira fibration and let \mathcal{M}_g be the moduli stack of smooth projective curves of genus g . By the universal property of \mathcal{M}_g , such a Kodaira fibration $f : S \rightarrow C$ corresponds to some non-constant map $\varphi : C \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$. Therefore, to construct a Kodaira fibration, it's enough to construct complete curves inside \mathcal{M}_g . To avoid issues with stacks, we will work instead with the fine moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g[n]$ of genus g curves with fixed level $n \geq 3$ structure. The following construction, which we called the moduli construction, is fairly well-known (see for example [Fla22, Prop. 2.1]).

Moduli construction: Suppose $g \geq 4$ and consider the Satake compactification $\mathcal{M}_g[n]^*$, i.e., the closure of $\mathcal{M}_g[n]$ inside the Satake compactification $\mathcal{A}_g[n]^*$ of $\mathcal{A}_g[n]$ via the Torelli map $J : \mathcal{M}_g[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g[n]$. Since $\mathcal{M}_g[n]^*$ is projective, we may embed it into some large projective space and cut it with hyperplane sections and produce a curve. Now that when $g \geq 4$, the boundary component $\mathcal{M}_g[n]^* - J(\mathcal{M}_g[n])$ is of codimension at least 2 and the hyperelliptic locus $\mathcal{H}_g[n]$, where the Torelli map fails to be an immersion, is of codimension at least 2. Hence, a curve obtained by cutting $\mathcal{M}_g[n]^*$ with hyperplane sections can avoid the boundary component as well as the hyperelliptic locus, and corresponds to a Kodaira fibration via the universal property of $\mathcal{M}_g[n]$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a Kodaira fibration constructed via the moduli construction explained above. Then the image of the monodromy representation*

$$\rho : \pi_1(C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$$

is of finite index inside $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ and hence the monodromy action on $H^1(S_b, \mathbb{Z})$ has no invariants (i.e. $H^0(C, R^1\pi_\mathbb{Z}) = 0$).*

Proof. By Lefschetz's hyperplane theorem for quasi-projective varieties [GM88, page 153], the monodromy representation ρ factors through $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_g[n])$ via a surjection:

$$\pi_1(C) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_g[n]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}),$$

and by definition, the last map, which corresponds to the monodromy representation for the universal family over $\mathcal{M}_g[n]$, surjects onto the kernel of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, which certainly acts on $H^1(S_b, \mathbb{Z})$ with no invariants. \square

Since we are interested in studying algebraic sections of Kodaira fibrations, it would be quite pointless if there's no Kodaira fibrations with algebraic sections. The following theorem of Bregman proves that that's not the case, and that monodromy cannot obstruct the existence of an algebraic section.

Proposition 2.2. [Bre21, Prop. 4.2] *For every Kodaira fibration $f : S \rightarrow C$, there exists a Kodaira fibration $\tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ with an algebraic section such that*

- (1) *The fibers of $f : S \rightarrow C$ and $\tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ are of same genus g*
- (2) *The monodromy homomorphisms $\pi_1(C) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_g$ and $\pi_1(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_g$ have the same image.*

Sketch of a proof. The idea is similar to that of the moduli construction. First embed S into some projective space \mathbb{P}^N . Then a general hyperplane section \tilde{C} will be smooth and projective and admits a non-constant and hence finite map onto C . Then \tilde{S} can be constructed as the fiber product of \tilde{C} and S over C and the algebraic section comes from the identity map $\tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ and the natural inclusion map $\tilde{C} \rightarrow S$. The statement on monodromy homomorphism follows from a similar application of the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem as above. \square

We can also use the moduli construction to produce many families of curves over higher dimensional bases that trivially satisfy the topological analogue of Grothendieck's section conjecture (i.e. that does not have any topological section). Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a family of curve constructed by cutting $\mathcal{M}_g[n]$ with hyperplane sections, with $\dim \mathcal{B} \geq 3$. Again applying the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\Sigma_g) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\Sigma_g) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}[n]) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_g[n]) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

If the top row splits and we have a group theoretic section from $\pi_1(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{X})$, it will descend to a group theoretic section of the bottom row. However, $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_g[n])$ is a finite index subgroup of MCG_g by definition and hence the bottom row does not split as long as $g \geq 4$ [CS21, Theorem A]. It follows that the top row of this diagram also does not split and hence there's no topological section. The same argument doesn't quite work for Kodaira fibrations, since the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem only gives us a surjection $\pi_1(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_g[n])$ and sections of the top row may not always descend to a section in the bottom row.

3. ALGEBRAIC SECTIONS TO FAMILY OF JACOBIANS

In this section we study algebraic sections to the family of Jacobians associated to a Kodaira fibration. Since many results, especially the injectivity result, hold in general for any family of principally polarized abelian varieties, we first work in that generality and specialize our discussion to the case of family of Jacobians when we discuss the question of surjectivity.

3.1. Sections to family of abelian varieties. By a family of principally polarized abelian variety \mathcal{A} over a curve C , we mean a smooth proper map $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C$, where the fibers are principally polarized abelian varieties. Equivalently, this is the same as a map from C to the moduli space of g -dimensional principally polarized abelian varieties \mathcal{A}_g (the

image does not need to be contained in the Torelli locus of \mathcal{A}_g). Associated to such a map $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a short exact sequence of fundamental groups:

$$(3.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{A}_b) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow 1$$

where \mathcal{A}_b is the fiber over some point $b \in \mathcal{C}$. As before, any algebraic section of π induces a splitting of (3.1). Furthermore, it's a classical fact that splittings of (3.1) are parameterized up to isomorphism by the cohomology group $H^1(\pi_1(\mathcal{C}), H_1(\mathcal{A}_b, \mathbb{Z}))$ [Lan96, Chapter 8, Theorem 1.3]. Therefore, we get a map

$$\Phi^{ab} : H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(\mathcal{C}), H_1(\mathcal{A}_b, \mathbb{Z}))$$

which maps an algebraic section s to the cohomology class corresponding to the splitting induced by s . Here, \mathcal{A} is viewed as a sheaf on \mathcal{C} , and $H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ is the set of algebraic sections to \mathcal{A} .

We want to relate this map Φ^{ab} to the uniformization sequence associated to $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Consider the exponential short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}^* \rightarrow 0$ on \mathcal{A} . Taking derived pushforward, we get

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}^* \rightarrow R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \cdots$$

Now $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}^*)$ is surjective, so we get a short exact sequence (the uniformization sequence)

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \ker \left(R^1 \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \rightarrow R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \right) \rightarrow 0$$

Since the fibers are principally polarized, $\ker \left(R^1 \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \rightarrow R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \right) = \text{Pic}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}}^0 = \mathcal{A}$, so we see that the short exact sequence becomes $0 \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0$. Taking long exact sequence in sheaf cohomology gives us

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}, R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}, R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since \mathcal{C} is smooth projective of genus at least 1, it's a $k(\pi_1, 1)$ space and so are abelian varieties. It follows that $H^1(\mathcal{C}, R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Z})$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^1(\pi_1(\mathcal{C}), H_1(\mathcal{A}_b, \mathbb{Z}))$. The following key lemma gives an alternative description of Φ^{ab} :

Lemma 3.2. *The image of Φ^{ab} agrees with the boundary map $\tilde{\Phi} : H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}, R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Z})$ under this canonical isomorphism between $H^1(\mathcal{C}, R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^1(\pi_1(\mathcal{C}), H_1(\mathcal{A}_b, \mathbb{Z}))$.*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram of short exact sequence of sheaves:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & (R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})^{\text{cont}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{A})^{\text{cont}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where $(R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_A)^{\text{cont}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\text{cont}}$ are the sheaves of continuous sections to $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_A$ and \mathcal{A} , respectively. Taking long exact sequence in cohomology gives us the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}} & H^1(C, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(C, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, (R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O})^{\text{cont}}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, (\mathcal{A})^{\text{cont}}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} & H^1(C, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(C, (R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O})^{\text{cont}}) = 0 \end{array}$$

where $H^1(C, (R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O})^{\text{cont}}) = 0$ because $(R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O})^{\text{cont}}$ is a fine sheaf. By the commutativity of the diagram, we know that the map $\tilde{\Phi}$ agrees with the following map

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(C, (\mathcal{A})^{\text{cont}}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} H^1(C, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}).$$

Furthermore, the map Φ^{ab} certainly also factors through $H^0(C, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(C, (\mathcal{A})^{\text{cont}})$, and therefore we've reduced the problem to checking that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(C, (\mathcal{A})^{\text{cont}}) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H^1(\pi_1(C), H_1(A_b, \mathbb{Z})) \\ \tilde{\psi} \downarrow & \nearrow \cong & \\ H^1(C, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}) & & \end{array}$$

This can be checked via an explicit Cech cocycle computation using the universal cover $U \rightarrow C$. \square

3.3. Injectivity of Φ^{ab} . We now show that, under some assumption on the monodromy of $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C$, Φ^{ab} is always injective.

Proposition 3.4. *If the monodromy representation has no invariant factors, then Φ^{ab} is injective.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, it's enough to show that under this assumption, $H^0(C, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_A) = 0$. Consider the Higgs bundle associated to the variation of Hodge structure $R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$:

$$\mathcal{E} := \pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{A}/C} \oplus R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\theta} \pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{A}/C} \oplus R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O} \otimes \omega_C,$$

where the Higgs field θ is defined by the following two maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{A}/C} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O} \otimes \omega_C \\ R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{\text{zero map}} & \pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{S}/C} \oplus R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O} \otimes \omega_C \end{array}$$

Here ∇ is the flat connection associated to the vector bundle $\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{A}/C}$.

Now if $H^0(C, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_A) \neq 0$, then \mathcal{O}_C maps into $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_A$, and hence $(\mathcal{O}, 0)$ is a sub-Higgs bundle of $(R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_A, 0)$ and hence a sub-Higgs bundle of (\mathcal{E}, θ) . On the other hand, by a theorem of Simpson [Sim91, Theorem 1], Higgs bundles associated to a variation of Hodge structure are polystable, i.e., it's a direct sum of stable Higgs bundles of the same slope. Since \mathcal{O} is a line bundle, we see that $(\mathcal{O}, 0)$ must be one of the irreducible factors of (\mathcal{E}, θ) . In particular, by the non-abelian Hodge correspondence, the trivial representation should appear as a sub-representation of the monodromy representation associated to

$R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$. This contradicts the fact that the monodromy representation has no invariant factors. \square

We can immediately deduce the following corollary for family of Jacobians associated to a Kodaira fibration:

Corollary 3.5. *Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a Kodaira fibration whose monodromy action on $H^1(S_b, \mathbb{Z})$ has no invariants, and $\pi : \text{Pic}_{S/C}^0 \rightarrow C$ be the corresponding family of Jacobians, then*

$$\Phi^{ab} : H^0(C, \text{Pic}_{S/C}^0) \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(C), H_1(S_b, \mathbb{Z}))$$

is injective.

Furthermore, the abelian version of the section question is also related to the original topological section question for Kodaira fibrations:

Corollary 3.6. *Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a Kodaira fibration whose monodromy action on $H^1(S_b, \mathbb{Z})$ has no invariants, then the corresponding map*

$$\Phi : \{\text{algebraic sections to } f : S \rightarrow C\} \rightarrow \{\text{sections of (1.2)}\} / \text{conjugation}$$

is injective.

Proof. If $f : S \rightarrow C$ has no sections, then the statement is trivially true so let's assume that we have a fixed section $s_0 : C \rightarrow S$. Then we may define a C -morphism $h : S \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{S/C}^0$ which maps $x \in S_b$ to the divisor class $[s_0(f(x)) - x]$. Note that h is injective, as it's just the Abel-Jacobi map on each fiber.

Now recall that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S_b) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(C) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_1(S_b, \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_1(S_b)^{\text{ab}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\text{Pic}_{S/C}^0) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(C) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

Let s and s' be two distinct algebraic sections of $f : S \rightarrow C$. By post-composing with h and using the injectivity of h , we get two distinct sections \tilde{s} and \tilde{s}' of $\pi : \text{Pic}_{S/C}^0 \rightarrow C$. If s and s' are conjugate via some element $g \in \pi_1(S_b)$, then \tilde{s} and \tilde{s}' must be conjugate via the image of g in $H_1(S_b, \mathbb{Z})$, contradicting Cor. 3.5. Hence, Φ is injective as desired. \square

Remark 3.7. By Lemma 2.1, we see that the Kodaira fibration constructed using the moduli construction will satisfy the assumption of Corollary 3.6. On the other hand, many classical constructions of Kodaira fibration (including Kodaira's original construction [Kod67]) involves taking branched covers of a product of curves and so typically the monodromy action will have invariants. Bregman gave a partial converse to this observation in [Bre21] when the dimension of the invariants is small.

3.8. The question of surjectivity for family of Jacobians. In this section, we focus on the case of the family of Jacobians $\pi : \text{Pic}_{S/C}^0 \rightarrow C$ associated to a Kodaira fibration $f : S \rightarrow C$. Furthermore, we will assume that the Kodaira fibrations in this section all

have a distinguished algebraic section s_0 , which induces a map $h : S \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{S/C}^0$ as in the proof of Cor. 3.6.

By Lemma 3.2, we see that to check if Φ^{ab} is surjective, it's enough to understand the map $H^1(C, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(C, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O})$. Since we have a map $h : S \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{S/C}^0$, we get canonical isomorphisms $R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z} \cong R^1f_*\mathbb{Z}$ and $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O} \cong R^1f_*\mathcal{O}$, so we may work in the relative curve setting and instead study the map $\Psi : H^1(C, R^1f_*\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(C, R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_S)$. To understand this map, we first compute the degree of the vector bundle $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_S$.

Lemma 3.9. *The vector bundle $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_S$ is of negative degree.*

Proof. Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a Kodaira fibration such that the fiber S_b has genus g and the base C has genus h . By Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch, we know that

$$\text{ch}(f_!(\mathcal{O}_S)) = f_*(\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_S) \cdot \text{td}_{S/C}),$$

where ch denotes the Chern character, and $\text{td}_{S/C}$ is the Todd class of the relative tangent bundle $\mathcal{T}_{S/C}$. Since we are in the relative curve setting, we know that the higher derived pushforward $R^i f_*(\mathcal{O}_S)$ vanishes for all $i \geq 2$, so we can rewrite the the left hand side of the equation to get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(f_!(\mathcal{O}_S)) &= \text{ch}(f_*\mathcal{O}_S) - \text{ch}(R^1f_*(\mathcal{O}_S)) \\ &= \text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_C) - \text{ch}(R^1f_*(\mathcal{O}_S)) \\ &= 1 - (\text{rk}(R^1f_*(\mathcal{O}_S)) + c_1(R^1f_*(\mathcal{O}_S)) + \dots). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1$, we see that the right hand side is simply

$$\begin{aligned} f_* \text{td}_{S/C} &= f_*(\text{td}(\mathcal{T}_{S/C})) \\ &= f_* \left(1 + \frac{c_1(\mathcal{T}_{S/C})}{2} + \frac{c_1^2(\mathcal{T}_{S/C}) + c_2(\mathcal{T}_{S/C})}{12} + \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

As $\mathcal{T}_{S/C}$ is a line bundle, we know that it has no higher Chern classes. It follows then

$$\deg R^1f_*(\mathcal{O}_S) = c_1(R^1f_*(\mathcal{O}_S)) = -f_* \left(\frac{c_1^2(\mathcal{T}_{S/C})}{12} \right).$$

Thus, it's enough to compute $f_*(c_1^2(\mathcal{T}_{S/C}))$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{S/C} = \Omega_{S/C}^\vee$, we know that $c_1^2(\mathcal{T}_{S/C}) = c_1^2(\Omega_{S/C})$ so we can work with the relative differential. Consider the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f^*\Omega_C \rightarrow \Omega_S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/C} \rightarrow 0.$$

By taking the wedge power, we get the following isomorphism

$$\wedge^2 \Omega_S \cong f^*\Omega_C \otimes \Omega_{S/C}.$$

Since c_1 is a group homomorphism, we know that $c_1(\wedge^2 \Omega_S) = c_1(f^*\Omega_C) + c_1(\Omega_{S/C})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\Omega_{S/C})^2 &= (c_1(\wedge^2 \Omega_S))^2 - 2c_1(\wedge^2 \Omega_S) \cdot c_1(f^*\Omega_C) + (c_1(f^*\Omega_C))^2 \\ &= K_S^2 - 2K_S \cdot c_1(f^*(K_C)) + (c_1(f^*(K_C)))^2 \end{aligned}$$

where K_S is a canonical divisor on S , and K_C is a canonical divisor on C . Now since c_1 is functorial and f^* is a ring homomorphism, we know that

$$(c_1(f^*(K_C)))^2 = f^*(c_1(K_C)^2).$$

Because C is a curve, this has to vanish. It follows then

$$(c_1(\Omega_{S/C}))^2 = K_S^2 - 2K_S \cdot f^*K_C$$

and hence

$$f_*((c_1(\Omega_{S/C}))^2) = f_*(K_S^2) - 2f_*(K_S \cdot f^*K_C).$$

Since we may compute degrees both before and after pushing-forward, we know that $f_*(K_S^2) = K_S^2$. To understand the last term, we first use projection formula to write it as

$$2f_*(K_S \cdot f^*K_C) = 2f_*(K_S) \cdot K_C.$$

Now $\deg f_*(K_S) = K_S \cdot S_b$, and because $K_S \cdot S_b = \deg K_S|_{S_b} = \deg K_{S/C}|_{S_b}$ for any generic fiber S_b , we see that $K_S \cdot S_b = 2g - 2$. Now since K_C has degree $2h - 2$, we see that

$$f_*((c_1(\Omega_{S/C}))^2) = K_S^2 - 8(g - 1)(h - 1).$$

Hence, we have

$$\deg R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S = c_1(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S) = \frac{-K_S^2 + 8(g - 1)(h - 1)}{12} = \frac{-K_S^2 + 2\chi_S}{12},$$

where χ_S is the Euler characteristics of S . Finally, by the signature formula of Hirzebruch, Atiyah and Singer, we know that the signature $\sigma(S)$ of S is precisely given by

$$\sigma(S) = \frac{1}{3}(K_S^2 - 2\chi_S).$$

Since Kodaira fibrations necessarily have positive signatures [Cat17, Corollary 42], $\deg R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S < 0$ as desired. \square

Corollary 3.10. $\dim H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S) > 3$.

Proof. By Riemann-Roch, we know that

$$\dim H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S) = - \left(\deg R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S + \text{rk}(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S)(1 - h) - \dim H^0(C, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S) \right).$$

Lemma 3.9 says that $-\deg R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S > 0$. Furthermore, as we've mentioned in the introduction, the base curve of a Kodaira fibration has genus at least 2 and the fiber has genus at least 3, so we know that

$$\dim H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S) > \text{rk}(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S)(h - 1) \geq 3 \cdot 1 = 3,$$

as desired. \square

We will use this corollary to show that $\Psi : H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S)$ is non-zero.

Proposition 3.11. *The map $\Theta : H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S)$ is non-zero.*

Proof. First observe that this map factors through through $H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{C})$, i.e., Θ agrees with the map

$$H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}).$$

Consider the projection map coming from the Hodge decomposition of $H^2(S, \mathbb{C}) \twoheadrightarrow H^2(S, f_* \mathcal{O})$. This is induced via the inclusion $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$. Now by Leray spectral sequence, we know that $H^2(S, \mathbb{C})$ is equipped with the Leray filtration L^\bullet :

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(C, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow L^1(H^2(S, \mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow L^1(H^2(S, \mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^0(C, R^2 f_* \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

In particular, $H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{C})$ is a sub-quotient of $H^2(S, \mathbb{C})$. Now since $f : S \rightarrow C$ has a section, it restricts to a splitting of the top row, and we may canonically view $H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{C})$ as a subspace of $H^2(S, \mathbb{C})$. Since the connecting homomorphism Θ is also induced by $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$, we know that Θ may be identified with the map

$$H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{C}) \twoheadrightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S).$$

In particular, because $\dim H^0(C, R^2 f_* \mathbb{C}) = \dim H^2(C, f_* \mathbb{C}) = 1$, it follows that $H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z})$ generates a subspace of codimension 2 inside $H^2(S, \mathbb{C})$.

Again by Leray spectral sequence, we see that $H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \cong H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O})$ since we are in the setting of relative curves, so by Corollary 3.10, $\dim H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) > 3$. Thus for the map $H^2(S, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ to be surjective, it must be non-zero on the subspace generated by $H^1(C, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z})$ and hence Θ is non-zero as desired. \square

Finally, using Lemma 3.2, we arrive at the following conclusion.

Corollary 3.12. *Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a Kodaira fibration with an algebraic section, and $\pi : \text{Pic}_{S/C}^0 \rightarrow C$. The map Φ^{ab} is never surjective for these families of Jacobians.*

Remark 3.13. In the case where $f : S \rightarrow C$ has an algebraic section, one may identify $\text{Pic}_{S/C}^0$ with $\text{Pic}_{S/C}^1$, and hence our results shows that the topological section question has a negative answer for $\text{Pic}_{S/C}^1 \rightarrow C$ in the case where the associated Kodaira fibration has a section. This in fact differs from the universal case: the universal family of moduli space of degree 1 line bundles $\pi' : \text{Pic}_{\mathcal{C}_g/\mathcal{M}_g}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ does satisfies the topological section question when $g \geq 3$ in a trivial way, i.e., there's no topological section to π' (this is first proven by Morita when $g \geq 9$; see [Mor86, Corollary 3, Theorem 4]. The strengthened result is proven in [LLSS23]).

4. WEAK TOPOLOGICAL SECTION CONJECTURE AND SURJECTIVITY

In this section, we want to relate the surjectivity part of the topological section question to the weak topological section conjecture. The strategy we will use is almost identical to the strategy used to prove [Sti10, Theorem 31]. We choose to highlight the main ingredients that make this strategy work so we will first work in a fairly general setting.

Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a smooth projective map with connected fibers between smooth projective varieties. Assume that we have a short exact sequence of topological fundamental groups

$$(4.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \pi_1(X_b) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(B) \rightarrow 1$$

where X_b is the fiber over $b \in B$. In this general setting, we have the following two claims:

Statement 4.1 (Weak topological section conjecture). The map $X \rightarrow B$ admits an algebraic section if and only if the associated short exact sequence of topological fundamental groups split.

Statement 4.2 (Surjectivity of top. section question). The section map

$$\Phi : \{\text{algebraic sections to } f : X \rightarrow B\} \rightarrow \{\text{splittings of (4.1)}\} / \text{conjugation}$$

is surjective.

We prove the following proposition:

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that*

- (1) $\pi_1(X_b)$ is residually finite.
- (2) The set $X'(B)$ of algebraic sections of $X' \rightarrow B$ is finite for every finite étale connected cover $X' \rightarrow X$ such that the composed map $X' \rightarrow B$ has connected fibers.

Then Statement 4.2 being true for $X \rightarrow B$ is equivalent to Statement 4.1 being true for all finite étale connected covers X' of X such that the composed map $X' \rightarrow B$ has connected fibers.

To distinguish an algebraic section of $f : X \rightarrow B$ and a group theoretic section of (4.1), we will denote the former s and the latter x .

Definition 4.4 (Neighbourhood of a section x). Let $x : \pi_1(B) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ be a group theoretic splitting of the short exact sequence of topological fundamental groups (1.2). Then a *neighbourhood* of x is a finite étale connected cover S' of S such that $S' \rightarrow C$ has connected fibers and the finite index subgroup $\pi_1(S') \subset \pi_1(S)$ contains the image $x(\pi_1(C))$ of the section x .

Note that given a neighbourhood of x , we get a lift of x to a group theoretic section $x' : \pi_1(B) \rightarrow \pi_1(X')$ of the short exact sequence of fundamental groups associated to $X' \rightarrow B$. Furthermore, if we post-compose x' with the natural inclusion map $\pi_1(X') \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$, we recover the section x so one may alternatively define a neighbourhood of x as a pair (X', x') of finite étale covers S' with connected fibers over B and a group theoretic section x' which descends to x .

Lemma 4.5. *Let $x = x_s$ be a geometric section, i.e., it's induced by some algebraic section $s : B \rightarrow X$, then a neighbourhood of x is the same as pair $(X', x_{s'})$, where X' is a finite étale connected cover of X with connected fibers over B , and $x_{s'}$ is a group theoretic section induced by some algebraic section $s' : B \rightarrow X$ that is a lift of s .*

Proof. Recall that a finite étale connected covers is the same as a finite set with a transitive $\pi_1(X)$ action. In this case, the finite set is given by the set $\pi_1(X)/\pi_1(X')$ of cosets of

$\pi_1(X')$. Using the section $x_s : \pi_1(B) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$, we get an induced action of $\pi_1(B)$ on this set. Since $\pi_1(X')$ contains $\pi_1(B)$, it follows that this action has a fixed point. Therefore, the cover that corresponds to this action of $\pi_1(B)$ is disconnected and has a copy of B . Hence, we may lift the section s to an algebraic section $s' : B \rightarrow X$. \square

Given a group theoretic splitting $x : \pi_1(B) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$, let X_x be the pro-étale cover of X defined by the projective system $(X' \rightarrow X)$, where X' runs over all neighbourhoods of x .

Lemma 4.6. *Let x_1 and x_2 be two group theoretic sections. Suppose $\pi_1(X_b)$ is residually finite. Then $X_{x_1} = X_{x_2}$ if and only if they are conjugate to each other.*

Proof. It's enough to show that $\pi_1(X_x) = x(\pi_1(B))$. This is the case since $X_{x_1} = X_{x_2}$ is equivalent to $\pi_1(X_{x_1})$ being conjugate to $\pi_1(X_{x_2})$. Hence, if $\pi_1(X_x) = x(\pi_1(B))$, then $\pi_1(X_{x_1})$ being conjugate to $\pi_1(X_{x_2})$ is equivalent to x_1 being conjugate to x_2 .

Now to see that $\pi_1(X_x) = s(\pi_1(B))$, consider all finite index subgroups H of $\pi_1(X)$ containing $x(\pi_1(B))$. Observe that $\pi_1(X_x) = \bigcap H$ so it's enough to show that $x(\pi_1(B)) = \bigcap H$. Since we have a section, $\pi_1(X)$ can be written as a semi-direct product $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(X_b) \rtimes \pi_1(B)$. Let $N_i := \bigcap_{[\pi_1(X_b):H]=i} H \subset \pi_1(X_b)$. Note that because $\pi_1(X_b)$ is finitely generated, it admits finitely many maps into the symmetric group S_i and hence there are only finitely many index i subgroups of $\pi_1(X_b)$. In particular, this intersection is finite and N_i is again of finite index. Since every automorphism of $\pi_1(X_b)$ preserves the index of a subgroup, we see that N_i is also characteristic. It follows that $N_i x(\pi_1(B))$ are finite index subgroups of $\pi_1(X)$. Since $\pi_1(X_b)$ is residually finite, we know that $\bigcap N_i$ is trivial. It follows that $N_i x(\pi_1(B)) = x(\pi_1(B))$ and hence the intersection of all finite index subgroups of $\pi_1(X)$ containing $x(\pi_1(B))$ is $x(\pi_1(B))$ as desired. \square

Remark 4.7. The proof of this lemma is essentially a minor modification of Malcev's proof (for example, see [MSE23]) that semi-direct products of residually finite, finitely generated groups are residually finite.

Combining these two lemmas, we may give a characterization of group theoretic sections that come from algebraic geometry:

Corollary 4.8. *A group theoretic section x is conjugate to x_s for some algebraic section $s : B \rightarrow X$ if and only if s belongs to the image of the natural map $X_x(B) \rightarrow X(B)$, where $X_x(B)$ is the set of algebraic sections of $X_x \rightarrow B$ and $X(B)$ is the set of algebraic sections of $X \rightarrow B$.*

Proof. If $x : B \rightarrow X$ is an algebraic section, by lemma 4.5, it lifts to a compatible system of algebraic sections and hence it lifts to an algebraic section of $X_x \rightarrow B$.

Conversely, if s is in the image of $X_x(B) \rightarrow X(B)$, the section x and x_s have the same collection of neighbourhood and so are conjugate to each other by lemma 4.6. \square

Now we are ready to prove proposition 4.3.

Proof of Prop. 4.3. First let's deduce the weak topological section conjecture for connected finite étale covers with connected fibers over B from the surjectivity of the section map for $f : X \rightarrow B$. Suppose there exists a connected finite étale cover $X' \rightarrow X$ with connected

fibers over B and a group theoretic section x' . Then x' descends to a group theoretic section from $\pi_1(B) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ and hence by the surjectivity, there exists an algebraic section from $B \rightarrow X$. By Lemma 4.5, we may lift this to an algebraic section from B to X' , and hence the weak topological section question holds for $X' \rightarrow B$.

For the other direction, by Corollary 4.8, it's enough to show that $X_x(B) = \varprojlim X'(B)$ is non-empty, where $X'(B)$ is the set of algebraic sections of $X' \rightarrow B$. Since every neighbourhood X' has a topological section by definition, it follows from the weak topological section conjecture that $X'(B)$ is non-empty. It's finite by assumption, and therefore it's a non-empty set with a compact Hausdorff topology. Then such projective limit is always non-empty as desired. \square

Since Kodaira fibrations satisfy the assumptions of Prop. 4.3, we may deduce the following corollary:

Corollary 4.9. *Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a Kodaira fibration. The surjectivity of the section map Φ is equivalent to the weak topological section conjecture for all connected finite étale cover S' of S such that $S' \rightarrow C$ has connected fibers.*

Proof. The fact that fundamental groups of surfaces are residually finite is the main theorem of [Hem72] and the fact that the set of algebraic section is finite is [McM00, Corollary 4.2]. \square

In fact, this will hold in general for any non-isotrivial family X of smooth projective curves over some smooth projective base B . Note that because the fundamental group of a smooth projective curve has trivial center, we indeed still have a short exact sequence of fundamental groups

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(X_b) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(B) \rightarrow 1.$$

We have the following corollary:

Corollary 4.10. *Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a smooth projective family of curves corresponding to some non-constant map from B to \mathcal{M}_g . Then the surjectivity of the section map is equivalent to the weak topological section conjecture.*

Proof. It's enough to verify that such a family of curves X has only finitely many algebraic sections. If $X \rightarrow B$ has infinitely many algebraic sections, note that the locus where these infinitely many algebraic sections agree is a countable union of closed subvarieties of B , and hence we may find a smooth proper curve $C \subset B$ such that the restriction of all these sections are distinct. Furthermore, we may also choose C such that the map from B to \mathcal{M}_g restricts to a non-constant map on C . This then gives us a Kodaira fibration with infinitely many algebraic sections, contradicting [McM00, Corollary 4.2]. \square

5. HODGE-THEORETIC SECTION QUESTION

In this section we turn to Hodge theoretic section question. We give a precise formulation and discuss some natural questions one might ask about this formulation. First, we record some preliminary facts about the category $\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$.

5.1. Notations and preliminary facts. Let X be a smooth connected variety over \mathbb{C} . Let $\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ be the category of admissible, graded-polarizable, \mathbb{Z} -variation of mixed Hodge structures (VMHS) over X . For a precise definition of such an object, see [Kas86]. Note that this is an abelian tensor category. The unit object in this category is the constant variation of mixed Hodge structure $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}(0)$ and by trivial objects, we mean direct sums of the unit objects. Finally, let $\text{LS}^{\text{hdg}}(X)$ be the category of \mathbb{Z} -local systems on X which are subquotients of local systems underlying a variation of mixed Hodge structures.

Suppose X and Y are two smooth complex varieties. Any functor $F : \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \rightarrow \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y)$ is assumed to be exact, additive \otimes -functor. Note that if we have a map $f : Y \rightarrow X$, then the pull-back functor f^* satisfies these assumptions.

Remark 5.2. Admissibility is a technical condition on the behavior of a variation of mixed Hodge structure at infinity that will not play a role in the proof of our main injectivity result (Prop. 6.1). The main point is that it ensures the variation of mixed Hodge structure to have some nice properties, which all variations of mixed Hodge structure of geometric origin have. Any VMHS that comes from geometry is admissible. If one wants to ignore this technical point, one may assume that all the spaces in section 5 and section 6 are compact, in which case all graded-polarizable variation of \mathbb{Z} -mixed Hodge structures are automatically admissible.

5.3. Formulation of the question. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth projective morphism with connected fibers between two smooth connected varieties. Let X_b be the fiber over $b \in Y$, and $\iota : X_b \rightarrow X$ the natural inclusion map. We have the following sequence

$$\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \text{LS}^{\text{hdg}}(X)$$

where f^* is the pullback functor induced by f , and ι^* is defined by first pulling back a variation of mixed Hodge structure on X to a fiber and then taking the underlying local system. Furthermore, if $s : Y \rightarrow X$ is an algebraic section to f , we get a functor $s^* : \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \rightarrow \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y)$ such that $f^* \circ s^* = \text{id}_{\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y)}$. We can make a formal definition:

Definition 5.4. A functor $F : \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \rightarrow \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y)$ is a section to f^* if $f^* \circ F$ is isomorphic to the identity functor on $\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$.

Now we formulate the question we are interested in:

Question 5.5 (Hodge theoretic section question).

- (1) (injectivity) If s_1, s_2 are two distinct algebraic sections to $f : X \rightarrow Y$, can the functors s_1^* and s_2^* be isomorphic?
- (2) (surjectivity) Suppose that $F : \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \rightarrow \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y)$ is a functor which is a section to f^* . Then can we find an algebraic section $s : Y \rightarrow X$ such that F is isomorphic to s^* ?

5.6. Analogue of exactness. In [DE22], the authors showed that for any smooth connected complex variety X , we have a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(\text{LS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{hdg}}(X)) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(\text{MHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow 1,$$

where $LS_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{hdg}}(X)$ is the Tannakian category of \mathbb{Q} -local systems that are subquotients of \mathbb{Q} -local systems underlying a variation of mixed Hodge structure over X , $VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ the category of graded-polarizable \mathbb{Q} -variation of mixed Hodge structure over X , $MHS_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the category of graded polarizable \mathbb{Q} -mixed Hodge structures, and π_1^{Tann} is the Tannakian fundamental group of a neutral \mathbb{Q} -linear Tannakian category. This sequence may be viewed as a Hodge theoretic analogue of the short exact sequence of étale fundamental groups

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow 1.$$

Now given a Serre fibration of $k(\pi, 1)$ -spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we should get a (long) exact sequence of topological fundamental groups. Therefore, if we would like to view

$$VMHS_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y) \xrightarrow{f^*} VMHS_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} LS^{\text{hdg}}(X)$$

as a Hodge theoretic analogue of a sequence of topological fundamental groups, it's natural to ask if some notions of "exactness" still hold in this case. To be able to discuss exactness, in this subsection, we switch to work with $VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ and $LS_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{hdg}}(X)$ so that we are working with honest Tannakian categories. Therefore, we get a sequence of Tannakian fundamental groups

$$\pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(LS_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{hdg}}(X_b)) \xrightarrow{\pi(\iota)} \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)) \xrightarrow{\pi(f)} \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y))$$

and we may ask if this sequence is exact. The strategy is that we would like to check if this sequence is exact by analyzing the functors f^* and ι^* . The statements in the following proposition, which allow us to check exactness on the side of the categories instead of the side of the group schemes, can all be found in [DE22, Appendix A].

Proposition 5.7.

- (1) $\pi(f)$ is faithfully flat if and only if $f^*(VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y)) \subset VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ is a full subcategory stable under the operation of taking subobjects.
- (2) $\pi(\iota)$ is a closed immersion if and only if every object of $LS_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{hdg}}(X_b)$ is a subquotient of an object coming from $VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$
- (3) The sequence

$$\pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(LS_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{hdg}}(X_b)) \xrightarrow{\pi(\iota)} \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)) \xrightarrow{\pi(f)} \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y))$$

is exact in the middle if $\iota^* \circ f^*$ is trivial, ι^* sends semi-simple objects to semi-simple objects, and for every variation of mixed Hodge structure \mathcal{V} on X , the maximal trivial subobject of $\iota^*(\mathcal{V})$ comes from $f^*(\mathcal{U})$ for some $\mathcal{U} \in VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y)$.

Using this proposition, we may deduce the following:

Corollary 5.8. *Given a smooth projective morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with connected fibers between two smooth connected varieties, we have an exact sequence*

$$\pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(LS_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{hdg}}(X_b)) \xrightarrow{\pi(\iota)} \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)) \xrightarrow{\pi(f)} \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(VMHS_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y)) \rightarrow 1$$

Proof. To see that $f^*(\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y)) \subset \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ is a full subcategory, note that since f is smooth projective and \mathcal{V} is admissible, $f_*\mathcal{V}$ is naturally a variation of mixed Hodge structure. Furthermore, since f has connected fibers, we know that $f_* \circ f^* = \text{id}$. In particular, by adjunction, we know that

$$\text{Hom}(f^*\mathcal{V}, f^*\mathcal{W}) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, f_*f^*\mathcal{W}) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}).$$

It follows that $f^*(\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y))$ is a full subcategory. It's closed under taking subobjects because if \mathcal{W} is a subobject of $f^*\mathcal{V}$, then it must come from $f_*\mathcal{W} \subset f_*f^*\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}$. By part (1) of Proposition 5.7, $\pi(f)$ is faithfully flat.

Now $\iota^* \circ f^*$ is certainly trivial, as the objects in the image are local systems underlying a variation of mixed Hodge structure on X_b that are pulled back from a point. Furthermore, the semisimple objects in $\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ are exactly the pure variation of \mathbb{Q} -Hodge structures, and by Deligne's semisimplicity theorem, the underlying local system is also semisimple. Finally, we claim that the maximal trivial subobjects of $\iota^*(\mathcal{V})$ comes from $f_*\mathcal{V}$. It's enough to check this at the level of local systems. Let \mathcal{U} be the maximal trivial part of $\iota^*\mathcal{V}$; it's a constant sheaf with values in $H^0(X_b, \iota^*\mathcal{V})$. Since $\iota^*f_*f_*\mathcal{V}$ is also trivial, there's certainly a map $\iota^*f_*f_*\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. It's an isomorphism because the induced maps on stalks are the isomorphism $(f_*\mathcal{V})_b \cong H^0(X_b, \iota_*\mathcal{V})$. Therefore, by part (4) of Proposition 5.7, the sequence is exact in the middle. \square

Remark 5.9.

- (1) One may wonder why we used $\text{LS}^{\text{hdg}}(X_b)$ instead of $\text{VMHS}(X_b)$ in the formulation of our problem, and the reason is that if we use $\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_b)$, the associated sequence of Tannakian fundamental groups will never be exact in the middle since the trivial objects in $\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_b)$ are direct sums of $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}(0)$ and hence the composition $\iota^* \circ f^*$ will never be trivial.
- (2) If one wishes to work with \mathbb{Z} -variation of mixed Hodge structure, one can try to turn Proposition 5.7 into a definition, i.e., the sequence

$$\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \text{LS}^{\text{hdg}}(X)$$

is "short exact" if it satisfies the conditions in Proposition 5.7. One may still prove a similar corollary, but since semisimplicity is not very well-behaved over \mathbb{Z} , one should replace it with \mathbb{Q} -semisimplicity in Proposition 5.7.

6. FAMILY OF CURVES

In this section, we work with family of curves. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a family of smooth projective curves of genus $g \geq 2$ over some smooth connected base \mathcal{B} (which is not assumed to be proper), and $\phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ the corresponding map into \mathcal{M}_g . We study the injectivity part of the Hodge theoretic section question. In particular, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 6.1. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a family of curves as above. Then for any pair of algebraic sections $s_1, s_2 : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, if s_1^* is isomorphic to s_2^* as functors from $\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{B})$, then $s_1 = s_2$.*

To prove this proposition, we need to find a graded-polarizable, admissible \mathbb{Z} -variation of mixed Hodge structure on \mathcal{X} whose associated period map is injective (or at least injective on each fiber). We do so by using the canonical variation of mixed Hodge structure of Hain and Zucker. We first recall some definitions and facts.

Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} , and let PX be the space of piecewise-smooth paths in X endowed with the compact open topology. The free path fibration $p : PX \rightarrow X \times X$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} p : PX &\rightarrow X \times X \\ \gamma &\mapsto (\gamma(0), \gamma(1)) \end{aligned}$$

Denote the $P_{x,y}$ the fiber of $p : PX \rightarrow X \times X$ over the point (x, y) . Now there's an isomorphism $H_0(P_{x,x}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X, x)]$. Let J_x be the augmentation ideal of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X, x)]$. Note that $H_0(P_{x,y})$ carries a canonical left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X, x)]$ -module structure, so we get an induced filtration J^\bullet by the augmentation ideal J_x .

Proposition-Definition 6.2 (*r*-th canonical VMHS, Prop. 4.20 + Def. 4.21 of [HZ87]). *Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} and $x \in X$ a fixed point. Then there exists a graded-polarizable variation \mathcal{J}_x of mixed Hodge structure on X such that for any $y \in X$,*

$$\mathcal{J}_{x,y} := (\mathcal{J}_x)_y = H_0(P_{x,y}, \mathbb{Z}) / J^{r+1}.$$

We will in particular be interested in the case where $r = 1$. In this case, we have an extension of mixed Hodge structures [HZ87, Prop. 5.39]

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \{x, y\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(0) \rightarrow 0$$

In particular, when $x \neq y$, we just have $H_0(P_{x,y}, \mathbb{Z}) / J^2 \cong H_1(X, \{x, y\})$. We have the following proposition which classifies such extensions:

Proposition 6.3. [Car80] *Extensions of this form is classified by the Albanese $\text{Alb}(X)$ of X , and the map $y \mapsto H_1(X, \{x, y\})$ agrees with the Albanese mapping with basepoint x :*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_x : X &\rightarrow \text{Alb}(X) := F^1 H^1(X)^\vee / H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \\ y &\mapsto \left(\omega \mapsto \int_\gamma \omega \right) \end{aligned}$$

where γ is any path from x to y .

Theorem 6.4. [HZ87, Cor. 5.40] *This period map α_x agrees with the period map for the 1-st canonical VMHS.*

Corollary 6.5. *When (X, x) is a curve, the 1-st canonical VMHS on X with base point x has injective period map.*

Now we may proceed to the proof of Proposition 6.1.

Proof of Prop. 6.1. If $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ has no section, then the claim is trivially true, so we may without loss of generality and assume that we have an algebraic section $s_0 : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Then

as before we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{B}}^0 \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \pi \\ & & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

The fibers of $\pi : \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{B}}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is $\mathrm{Jac}(X_b) = \mathrm{Alb}(X_b)$, which we may view as a mixed period domain. In particular, $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{B}}^0$ carries a universal variation of mixed Hodge structure \mathcal{U} such that for a given point $p \in \mathrm{Jac}(X_b)$, $\mathcal{U}|_p$ is the extension class in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), H_1(X_b))$ corresponding to p . Now pulling back \mathcal{U} along h , we get a variation of mixed Hodge structure $\mathcal{J} := h^*\mathcal{U}$ on \mathcal{X} , whose period map factors through h , and which, when restricting to the fiber X_b , agrees with $J_{s_0(b),y}$. By Corollary 6.5, we know that \mathcal{V} is injective on each fiber.

Therefore, if s_1^* is isomorphic to s_2^* as functors, then for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $s_1^*\mathcal{V}|_b \cong s_2^*\mathcal{V}|_b$ or equivalently, $\mathcal{V}|_{s_1(b)} \cong \mathcal{V}|_{s_2(b)}$. By the injectivity of the period map, we see that $s_1(b) = s_2(b)$. Therefore, the desired proposition follows immediately if one can verify that \mathcal{J} is admissible. \square

Lemma 6.6. *The \mathbb{Z} -VMHS \mathcal{J} constructed in the proof above is admissible.*

This lemma, again, is automatically true when \mathcal{X} is proper. It's proven in [Hai87a] and we provide a sketch of a proof that's motivated by Beilinson-Deligne-Goncharov's construction of mixed Hodge structures on truncated fundamental groups [DG05]. For a detailed description of this construction, see section 3.6 of [BGF].

Sketch of a proof. We claim that this variation of mixed Hodge structures comes from geometry as it's the cohomology of a family of cosimplicial schemes. Since any variation of mixed Hodge structure that comes from geometry is admissible, this proves the desired claim. The main idea is to run the Beilinson-Deligne-Goncharov's construction of the mixed Hodge structure on $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X, x, y)]/J^{r+1}$ in families.

Consider the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

We get a fibration $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, where over each point $b \in \mathcal{B}$, the fiber is given by the product of curves $\varphi^{-1}(b) = X_b \times X_b$. Let \mathcal{Z}_0 be the image of the diagonal map $\Delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X}$. Let D be the image of the fixed section $s_0 : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, and let \mathcal{Z}_1 be the preimage of D in $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X}$ under the second projection map p_2 . Note that $\mathcal{Z}_0 \cap \pi^{-1}(b)$ is the closed subset $Z_0 \subset X_b \times X_b$ defined by $\{x_1 = x_2\}$, where the x_i are coordinates of $X_b \times X_b$ and $\mathcal{Z}_1 \cap \pi^{-1}(b)$ is the closed subset $Z_1 \subset X_b \times X_b$ defined by $\{x_1 = s_0(b)\}$

Let $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathcal{Z}_i}$ be the extension by zero of the constant sheaf on \mathcal{Z}_i along the natural inclusion map. We can define the following complex

$$\mathcal{K}_s : 0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathcal{Z}_0} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathcal{Z}_1} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the map $\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{Z_0} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{Z_1}$ is given by the alternating sum of the natural restriction map. Note that if we restrict this complex to $\pi^{-1}(b)$, we recover the complex of sheaves on $X_b \times X_b$ used in Beilinson-Deligne-Goncharov's construction

$$\bullet \mathcal{K}_{s(b)}\langle 1 \rangle : 0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{Z_0} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{Z_1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now the desired variation of mixed Hodge structure agrees with the variation of mixed Hodge structure defined on the local system $R^1(p_1)_*(\mathcal{K}_s)$ on \mathcal{X} , whose fiber at $y \in S_b$ is given by the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^1(X_{b,y}, \mathcal{K}_{s(b)}\langle 1 \rangle)$, which agrees with $H^1(X_b, \{s(b), y\})$ when $s(b) \neq y$. When $s(b) = y$, this hypercohomology becomes the split extension of $H^1(X_b, s(b))$ by $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}(0)$. \square

Corollary 6.7. *Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a Kodaira fibration, and s_1, s_2 two distinct algebraic sections of f . Then s_1^* is not isomorphic to s_2^* .*

7. SOME CONCLUDING REMARKS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

In this paper, we've studied the question of algebraic sections to Kodaira fibrations using topological and Hodge theoretic invariants. We've proven some partial results but clearly many interesting questions remain unanswered. We list some of these questions here.

7.1. Topological question. Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a Kodaira fibration. We proved in Corollary 3.6 that the natural map

$$\Phi : \{\text{algebraic sections to } f : S \rightarrow C\} \rightarrow \{\text{sections of 1.2}\} / \text{conjugation}$$

is injective under some assumption on the monodromy representation associated to $f : S \rightarrow C$. We conjecture that this condition on the monodromy representation is not necessary:

Conjecture 7.2. Let $f : S \rightarrow C$ be a Kodaira fibration. Then the map ϕ is always injective.

On the other hand, we do not know much about the surjectivity part.

Question 7.3. Does there exist a Kodaira fibration such that the section map Φ is not surjective?

Since the analogue of Faltings' theorem holds for Kodaira fibrations, one can also ask the following question.

Question 7.4. Does there exist a Kodaira fibration where the set

$$\{\text{sections of 1.2}\} / \text{conjugation}$$

is infinite?

Finally, in section 2, we constructed many family of curves over base of dimension at least 2 which trivially satisfy the topological section question, i.e., which do not have any topological section at all. However, the argument we presented does not work for Kodaira fibrations, and we in fact do not know examples of Kodaira fibrations with no topological sections. However, given that the universal family of curves has no topological sections, we conjecture the following

Conjecture 7.5. There is a Kodaira fibration with no topological section.

One might even guess that if one writes down a generic complete curve in \mathcal{M}_g , then the associated Kodaira fibration has no topological sections, and hence trivially satisfies the topological analogue of Grothendieck's section conjecture. However, there's not much evidence for this more ambitious guess, so we do not state it as a conjecture.

Remark 7.6.

- (1) The analogous question has an affirmative answer if one instead asks whether there are Kodaira fibrations with no algebraic sections, and one may find examples of such Kodaira fibrations among the so-called double Kodaira fibrations: these are smooth projective algebraic surface S such that S admits two distinct Kodaira fibration structure:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &\rightarrow S \xrightarrow{f_1} C_1 \\ F_2 &\rightarrow S \xrightarrow{f_2} C_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $f_1 : S \rightarrow C_1$ and $f_2 : S \rightarrow C_2$ are both Kodaira fibrations, and F_1 and F_2 are fibers of the corresponding fibration. Then if $g(C_1) > g(C_2)$ and $g(F_1) > g(C_2)$, we know that $f_2 : S \rightarrow C_2$ cannot admit an algebraic section, because C_2 cannot have any non-constant algebraic map to F_1 nor C_1 . Such an example is already given in [Kod67] (see also the work of [Che18] and Example 5.8 of [CR09]).

- (2) One may also ask if there are topological surface bundles over a Riemann surface with hyperbolic fibers and no continuous sections. Such an example is constructed in [LLSS23], and the bases in those examples are tori (and hence cannot be Kodaira fibrations). Hillman recorded an example of Endo in [Hil15], and in this example, the fiber and the base of the surface bundle are both of genus 3. However, the construction is topological in nature, and we do not know if one can put compatible complex structures on the surface bundle and the base to turn this example into a Kodaira fibration.

7.7. Hodge theoretic question. We've already hinted in section 5 that the Hodge theoretic section question we formulated in this note may not be the correct formulation. Here we discuss some possible modifications.

Modification 1: Modifying the functor. Tannakian categories are equipped with natural fiber functors. Therefore, it may make more sense to replace our variety X with pointed variety (X, x) and define fiber functor

$$\begin{aligned} F_x : \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) &\rightarrow \text{MHS}_{\mathbb{Z}} \\ \mathcal{V} &\mapsto \mathcal{V}_x \end{aligned}$$

Then we can restrict our attention to functors between category of $\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ that commute with fiber functors, i.e., for two pointed varieties (X, x) and (Y, y) , we only consider functors F such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad F \quad} & \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \\ & \searrow F_y & \swarrow F_x \\ & \text{MHS}_{\mathbb{Z}} & \end{array}$$

Note that if $f : (X, x) \rightarrow (Y, y)$ is a morphism of pointed variety, then the pullback functor f^* is a functor that commutes the fiber functors.

Furthermore, as we've pointed out, in Grothendieck's anabelian program, maps between the étale fundamental groups are not just maps of profinite groups: if X/K and Y/K are two varieties over some number field K , then the morphisms of étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(Y)$ are really $\pi_1(Y_{\bar{K}})$ -conjugacy classes of maps of short exact sequences

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{K}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow 1.$$

Now the analogous sequence in the Hodge theoretic context should be

$$\text{MHS}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \rightarrow \text{LS}^{\text{Hdg}}(X),$$

where $\text{LS}^{\text{Hdg}}(X)$ is the category of local systems on X that underlies a graded-polarizable admissible \mathbb{Z} -variation of mixed Hodge structure. This is so since if one replace \mathbb{Z} with \mathbb{Q} , the associated Tannaka group does form a short exact sequence (Cor. 4.7 of [DE22]). Now given $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we get a pair of pullback functors such that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{MHS}_{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y) & \longrightarrow & \text{LS}^{\text{Hdg}}(Y) \\ = \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{\text{VMHS}}^* & & \downarrow f_{\text{LS}}^* \\ \text{MHS}_{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{LS}^{\text{Hdg}}(X) \end{array}$$

If we take fiber functors into considerations, and consider morphisms of pointed varieties, we get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{MHS}_{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y) & \longrightarrow & \text{LS}^{\text{Hdg}}(Y) & & \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow f_{\text{VMHS}}^* & \searrow E_x & \downarrow f_{\text{LS}}^* & \searrow & \\ & & & \text{MHS}_{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ab} & \\ & & \downarrow F_y & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \text{MHS}_{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{LS}^{\text{Hdg}}(X) & & \end{array}$$

Therefore, we get a map Φ from $\text{Mor}((X, x), (Y, y))$ to the set isomorphism classes of pairs of functors $F : \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Y) \rightarrow \text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ and $G : \text{LS}^{\text{Hdg}}(Y) \rightarrow \text{LS}^{\text{Hdg}}(X)$ making the diagram above commutes. One may ask the following natural questions:

- (1) Is the map Φ is a bijection?
- (2) Suppose that $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a smooth projective morphism of smooth varieties with connected fibers. Is Φ a bijection when we restrict the domain to sections of f (after restricting the codomain to pairs of functors that are sections to f^*)?

In this note, we didn't adopt these modifications, primarily because in Grothendieck's original anabelian conjectures, the morphisms between anabelian schemes are not pointed. Furthermore, we do not know examples of functors that are sections to f^* , but fail to meet the additional restrictions (e.g. commuting with the fiber functors). One interesting question to test to see if it makes sense to put these restrictions is the following: we know

that given a Kodaira fibration $f : S \rightarrow C$, the set of algebraic sections is finite. Therefore, one may ask if the set of functors that are sections to f^* satisfying some additional restrictions is also finite. Answering this question would be a natural first step towards the surjectivity part of the Hodge theoretic section question.

Modification 2: Modifying the category. We can certainly consider other natural categories one may attach to a smooth variety using Hodge theory. In fact, part of the reason we could not say anything about the surjectivity part of Question 5.5 is that the category of variation of mixed Hodge structure is very large and not semi-simple. Therefore, it's not easy to even write down functors between these categories, and one might hope that restricting to a smaller subcategory would be helpful in this regard.

For example, one can restrict their attention to the subcategory of graded-polarizable, admissible, *unipotent* variation of mixed Hodge structures. The advantage of this subcategory is that these variation of mixed Hodge structures are classified in [HZ87]. The reason we didn't work with this subcategory is that we do not know how to construct a unipotent variation of mixed Hodge structure on a family of curves whose period map is injective (or at least injective on each fibers). Note that the variation \mathcal{J} we constructed in the proof of Proposition 6.1 is not unipotent; in fact, it's only unipotent on each fiber but not on all of \mathcal{X} . Therefore, one may ask the following natural question:

Question 7.8. Given a smooth variety X , when does X carry a graded-polarizable admissible, (unipotent) \mathbb{Z} -variation of mixed Hodge structure whose period map is injective?

In particular, we do not know if every Kodaira fibrations carries a unipotent variation of mixed Hodge structures whose period map is injective on each fibers. If such a variation exists, then we can use basically the same proof as the proof of Prop. 6.1 to obtain injectivity results.

One can also consider the category $\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of admissible, graded-polarizable, variation of \mathbb{Q} -mixed Hodge structures. This is an honest Tannakian category, and therefore we may recover the group-theoretic aspect of Grothendieck's anabelian geometry. For example, this is considered in the thesis of Ferrario [Fer20]. In this thesis, Ferrario showed that the injectivity part of the Hodge theoretic section conjecture holds for the map $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$, where $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - D$ and D is a finite set of at least 3 points, and made partial progress on this problem when X is an elliptic curve minus a point. The key tool is what Ferrario called the r -th Chen's variation of mixed Hodge structure on X , whose fiber over $x \in X$ is given by the mixed Hodge structure on $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1(X, x)]/J^r$. They are able to study this variation using iterated integrals, which are much more computable when the base is $\mathbb{P}^1 - D$ or an elliptic curve minus a point.

Furthermore, Corollary 5.8 shows that if we work with \mathbb{Q} -variation, we have an exact sequence

$$\pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(\text{LS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{hdg}}(X_b)) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y)) \rightarrow 1.$$

It's interesting to ask if the first map is ever injective. According to part (2) of Proposition 5.7, it would be the case if every local system which is a subquotient of a local system underlying a \mathbb{Q} -VMHS on X_b in fact is a subquotient of a local system underlying a \mathbb{Q} -VMHS coming from X . A similar question where one focuses on local systems underlying

variation of Hodge structure and where the curve is assumed to be very general inside $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is investigated in the recent work of [LL24], and they found strong restrictions on local systems that still underlie variation of Hodge structures after deforming the complex structure on curves. In particular, if g is much bigger than the rank of the local system, then any such local system must have finite monodromy. Therefore, it seems likely that the first map

$$\pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(\text{LS}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{hdg}}(X_b)) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{Tann}}(\text{VMHS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X))$$

is not injective in general. It's interesting to ask if it's possible to find analogues of higher homotopy groups (depending only on Y) that captures the failure of the injectivity of this map.

REFERENCES

- [Ati69] M. F. Atiyah. The signature of fibre-bundles. In *Global Analysis (Papers in Honor of K. Kodaira)*, pages 73–84. Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1969.
- [BGF] José Ignacio Burgos Gil and Javier Fresán. *Multiple zeta values: from numbers to motives*. Clay Mathematics Proceedings, to appear.
- [Bre21] Corey Bregman. On Kodaira fibrations with invariant cohomology. *Geom. Topol.*, 25(5):2385–2404, 2021.
- [Car80] James A. Carlson. Extensions of mixed Hodge structures. In *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979*, pages 107–127. Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn—Germantown, Md., 1980.
- [Cat17] Fabrizio Catanese. Kodaira fibrations and beyond: methods for moduli theory. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 12(2):91–174, 2017.
- [Che18] Lei Chen. The number of fiberings of a surface bundle over a surface. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 18(4):2245–2263, 2018.
- [Che19] Lei Chen. The universal n -pointed surface bundle only has n sections. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 11(2):293–309, 2019.
- [CR09] Fabrizio Catanese and Sönke Rollenske. Double Kodaira fibrations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 628:205–233, 2009.
- [CS21] Lei Chen and Nick Salter. The Birman exact sequence does not virtually split. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 28(2):383–413, 2021.
- [DE22] Marco D'Addezio and Hélène Esnault. On the universal extensions in Tannakian categories. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (18):14008–14033, 2022.
- [DG05] Pierre Deligne and Alexander B. Goncharov. Groupes fondamentaux motiviques de Tate mixte. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 38(1):1–56, 2005.
- [Fal98] Gerd Faltings. Curves and their fundamental groups (following Grothendieck, Tamagawa and Mochizuki). Number 252, pages Exp. No. 840, 4, 131–150. 1998. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 1997/98.
- [Fer20] Riccardo Ferrario. A complex analogue of grothendieck's section conjecture. *ETH Thesis*, 2020.
- [Fla22] Laure Flapan. Monodromy of Kodaira fibrations of genus 3. *Math. Nachr.*, 295(11):2130–2146, 2022.
- [FM12] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [GM88] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson. *Stratified Morse theory*, volume 14 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [Gro97] Alexander Grothendieck. Brief an G. Faltings. In *Geometric Galois actions, 1*, volume 242 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 49–58. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997. With an English translation on pp. 285–293.
- [Hai87a] Richard M. Hain. The de Rham homotopy theory of complex algebraic varieties. II. *K-Theory*, 1(5):481–497, 1987.

- [Hai87b] Richard M. Hain. The geometry of the mixed Hodge structure on the fundamental group. In *Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985)*, volume 46 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 247–282. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [Hai11] Richard Hain. Rational points of universal curves. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24(3):709–769, 2011.
- [Hem72] John Hempel. Residual finiteness of surface groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 32:323, 1972.
- [Hil15] Jonathan A. Hillman. Sections of surface bundles. In *Interactions between low-dimensional topology and mapping class groups*, volume 19 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 1–19. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2015.
- [HZ87] Richard M. Hain and Steven Zucker. Unipotent variations of mixed Hodge structure. *Invent. Math.*, 88(1):83–124, 1987.
- [Kas68] Arnold Kas. On deformations of a certain type of irregular algebraic surface. *Amer. J. Math.*, 90:789–804, 1968.
- [Kas86] Masaki Kashiwara. A study of variation of mixed Hodge structure. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 22(5):991–1024, 1986.
- [Kod67] K. Kodaira. A certain type of irregular algebraic surfaces. *J. Analyse Math.*, 19:207–215, 1967.
- [Lan96] Serge Lang. *Topics in cohomology of groups*, volume 1625 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. Translated from the 1967 French original by the author, Chapter X based on letters written by John Tate.
- [LL24] Aaron Landesman and Daniel Litt. Canonical representations of surface groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 199(2):823–897, 2024.
- [LLSS23] Wanlin Li, Daniel Litt, Nick Salter, and Padmavathi Srinivasan. Surface bundles and the section conjecture. *Math. Ann.*, 386(1-2):877–942, 2023.
- [McM00] Curtis T. McMullen. From dynamics on surfaces to rational points on curves. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 37(2):119–140, 2000.
- [Mor86] Shigeyuki Morita. On the homology groups of the mapping class groups of orientable surfaces with twisted coefficients. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 62(4):148–151, 1986.
- [Par68] A. N. Paršin. Algebraic curves over function fields. I. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 32:1191–1219, 1968.
- [Pul88] Michael J. Pulte. The fundamental group of a Riemann surface: mixed Hodge structures and algebraic cycles. *Duke Math. J.*, 57(3):721–760, 1988.
- [Sim91] Carlos T. Simpson. The ubiquity of variations of Hodge structure. In *Complex geometry and Lie theory (Sundance, UT, 1989)*, volume 53 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 329–348. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [Sti10] Jakob Stix. On the period-index problem in light of the section conjecture. *Amer. J. Math.*, 132(1):157–180, 2010.
- [MSE23] user1729 (<https://math.stackexchange.com/users/10513/user1729>). Residually finite extension of a finite group. Mathematics Stack Exchange URL:<https://math.stackexchange.com/q/4697033> (version: 2023-05-11).