

Dirac-Schrödinger operators, index theory, and spectral flow

Koen van den Dungen*

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn
Endenicher Allee 60, D-53115 Bonn

Abstract

In this article we study generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operators in arbitrary signatures (with or without gradings), providing a general KK-theoretic framework for the study of index pairings and spectral flow. We provide a general Callias-type Theorem, which allows to compute the index (or the spectral flow, or abstractly the K-theory class) of Dirac-Schrödinger operators from their restriction to a compact hypersurface. Furthermore, if the zero eigenvalue is isolated in the spectrum of the Dirac operator, we relate the index (or spectral flow) of Dirac-Schrödinger operators to the index (or spectral flow) of corresponding Toeplitz-type operators. Our results generalise various known results from the literature, while presenting these results in a common unified framework.

Keywords: Dirac-Schrödinger operators, index theory, spectral flow, Callias Theorem, Toeplitz operators, KK-theory.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 19K35, 19K56, 58J20.

1 Introduction

A Dirac-Schrödinger operator on a (typically non-compact, complete) Riemannian manifold M consists of a Dirac-type operator \mathcal{D} together with a *potential* \mathcal{S} . Classically, the potential is given by a self-adjoint endomorphism on some auxiliary vector bundle (of finite rank) over M . Most commonly, one considers an *odd-dimensional* manifold M (for which the Dirac operator is *ungraded*) along with an *ungraded* potential; we will refer to this case as the ‘odd-odd’ case. Nevertheless, some results have appeared in the literature also for even-dimensional manifolds (for which the Dirac operator is naturally \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded). Altogether, we may distinguish between the following four cases, labelled by their signature (p, q) with $p, q \in \{0, 1\}$:

- the odd-odd signature $(p, q) = (1, 1)$: \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{D} are both ungraded;
- the even-even signature $(p, q) = (0, 0)$: \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{D} are both \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded;
- the even-odd signature $(p, q) = (0, 1)$: \mathcal{S} is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded and \mathcal{D} is ungraded;
- the odd-even signature $(p, q) = (1, 0)$: \mathcal{S} is ungraded and \mathcal{D} is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded.

*Email: kdungen@uni-bonn.de

In each of these signatures, we will denote the corresponding Dirac-Schrödinger operator by $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$; its precise construction depends on the signature (p, q) and will be described in Definition 3.1 below.

In this paper, our aim is to prove the following three types of results on Dirac-Schrödinger operators, in a rather general setting, and in particular for each of the four possible signatures (p, q) :

Pairings: A Dirac-Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm, and it represents (via its index, or via its spectral flow, or abstractly via its class in K-theory) the pairing of the K-theory class of the potential \mathcal{S} with the K-homology class of the elliptic operator \mathcal{D} .

Callias-type Theorems: The index (or spectral flow, or class in K-theory) of a Dirac-Schrödinger operator can be computed from its restriction to a suitable compact hypersurface.

Toeplitz-type Theorems: The Dirac-Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$ and its corresponding Toeplitz operator, obtained by compressing the potential \mathcal{S} to the kernel of \mathcal{D} , have the same index (or the same spectral flow, or the same class in K-theory).

Such results have previously appeared in various forms in the literature, and in various of the four signatures described above. For instance, Bunke [Bun95] first showed that Dirac-Schrödinger operators represent pairings of K-theory with K-homology in both the odd-odd signature and the even-even signature. The pairing in the odd-odd signature was also generalised to potentials consisting of families of unbounded self-adjoint operators by Kaad and Lesch [KL13, §8] (see also [Dun19b]). Abstract versions of these pairings (on spectral triples) in the odd-odd and even-even signatures have recently been studied in [SS23].

The classical Callias Theorem is well-established in the odd-odd signature [Cal78, Ang90, BM92, Ang93, Råd94, Bun95, Kuc01, GW16], and a generalisation for potentials consisting of families of *unbounded* operators is given in [Dun23]. An analogue of the Callias Theorem exists also in the even-even signature, as described by Bunke [Bun95, §2.4].

Toeplitz-type theorems were given in the even-even signature for the *index* of Toeplitz operators [GH96, Bun00] and in the odd-even signature for the *spectral flow of a family* of Toeplitz operators by Braverman [Bra19] (Bunke [Bun00] also observed that the odd-odd signature is trivial, under the imposed assumptions).

Our main goal in this paper is thus to present a single, unified framework, which neatly incorporates all of the aforementioned results. Let us briefly introduce this framework and summarise our main results. Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold, and let \mathcal{D} be an essentially self-adjoint elliptic first-order differential operator on M (for instance, a Dirac-type operator). Moreover, we fix a C^* -algebra A , and we consider a family of regular self-adjoint operators $\{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in M}$ with compact resolvents and with common domain on a Hilbert C^* -module E over A , such that $\{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ is uniformly invertible outside a compact subset $K \subset M$ (see assumption (A) in Section 3). Furthermore, we assume that $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ is weakly differentiable and that $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)](\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i)^{-1}$ is well-defined and bounded (see assumption (B) in §3.2). We provide in Section 3 a construction of a *generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operator* $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$ in arbitrary signatures (p, q) . We continue in §3.1 to generalise

the K-theoretic relative index theorem [Dun23, Theorem 3.4] (which goes back to [Bun95]) to arbitrary signatures. In §3.2 and §3.3 we prove that the generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm, and that it represents the $K_{p+q}(A)$ -valued pairing of the K-theory class of the potential $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ with the K-homology class of \mathcal{D} :

$$\begin{aligned} K_p(C_0(M, A)) \times K^q(C_0(M)) &\rightarrow K_{p+q}(A), \\ [\mathcal{S}(\cdot)] \times [\mathcal{D}] &\mapsto [\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}]. \end{aligned}$$

Most of the literature on this topic considers the case $A = \mathbb{C}$. Then, for the odd-odd and even-even signatures, the class $[\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}] \in K_0(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the Fredholm index of $(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D})_+$ in $K_0(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. For the odd-even and even-odd signatures, for which $p+q = 1$, the pairing $K_p(C_0(M)) \times K^q(C_0(M)) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$ is of course trivial. However, in these signatures one can still obtain a non-trivial pairing by considering instead the C^* -algebra $A = C(S^1)$, and this is in fact our main reason for including this auxiliary C^* -algebra A . For instance, the setting under consideration in [Bra19] takes $A = C(S^1)$ with the odd-even signature $(p, q) = (1, 0)$, and the pairing then corresponds to the *spectral flow* of the family of Dirac-Schrödinger operators $\{\mathcal{S}_t \times \mathcal{D}\}_{t \in S^1}$ on M :

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(C_0(M \times S^1)) \times K^0(C_0(M)) &\rightarrow K_1(C(S^1)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}, \\ [\{\mathcal{S}_t(\cdot)\}_{t \in S^1}] \times [\mathcal{D}] &\mapsto \text{sf}(\{\mathcal{S}_t \times \mathcal{D}\}_{t \in S^1}) \end{aligned}$$

A similar approach would be possible also in the even-odd signature (though the author is not aware of this case being present in the literature).

Next, in Section 4 we provide a generalised Callias-type theorem, generalising the main result of [Dun23] to arbitrary signatures. We recall that the potential $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ is assumed to be invertible outside of a compact subset $K \subset M$; we now assume that K has a smooth boundary $N = \partial K$. The generalised Callias theorem (Theorem 4.3 below) then states that, under suitable assumptions, the class of the Dirac-Schrödinger operator can be computed from its restriction to the compact hypersurface N :

$$[\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}] = \text{rel-ind}_{p+1}(P_+(\mathcal{S}_N(\cdot)), P_+(\mathcal{T}(\cdot))) \otimes_{C(N)} [\mathcal{D}_N] \in K_{p+q}(A).$$

Here $[\mathcal{D}_N] \in K^{q+1}(C(N))$ is the K-homology class of the ‘restriction’ of \mathcal{D} to N . The operator $\mathcal{S}_N(\cdot) = \{\mathcal{S}(y)\}_{y \in N}$ is simply the restriction of the potential $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ to N . The operator $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)$ is given by a constant family $\{\mathcal{T}\}_{y \in N}$, where the invertible regular self-adjoint operator \mathcal{T} is a relatively compact perturbation of $\mathcal{S}(x)$ for any $x \in K$ (the result is independent of this choice). Finally, rel-ind_{p+1} denotes the *even* (if $p+1 = 0$) or *odd* (if $p+1 = 1$) relative index of the positive spectral projections $P_+(\mathcal{S}_N(\cdot))$ and $P_+(\mathcal{T}(\cdot))$, which yields a K-theory class in $K_{p+1}(C(N, A))$. Here the even relative index is the ‘usual’ relative index of pairs of projections. The case $p = 0$ requires us to consider also the *odd* relative index, as described in [Wah07, §8.1] and briefly recalled in §2.2.

In §4.2, we specialise to the case where A is unital and E is finitely generated and projective. To emphasise this restriction, we will denote the potential by \mathcal{F} instead of \mathcal{S} . In the odd-odd signature, the Callias Theorem yields a pairing between the even K-theory $K_0(C(N, A))$ and the even K-homology $K^0(C(N))$, and we will show that, as in the classical Callias Theorem, the index of the Dirac-Schrödinger operator can be computed

via the *even index pairing* (see Theorem 4.9):

$$[\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}] = [P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)] \otimes_{C(N)} [\mathcal{D}_N] = \text{Index}(P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)\mathcal{D}_N P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)) \in K_0(A).$$

Furthermore, in the even-even signature, we have instead a pairing between the odd K-theory $K_1(C(N, A))$ and odd K-homology $K^1(C(N))$, which can now be computed in terms of the *odd index pairing* or the *spectral flow pairing* on N (see Theorem 4.10, generalising a result from [Bun95, Theorem 2.16]).

As a consequence of the Callias Theorem, one can formulate the cobordism invariance of the index, as we describe in §4.3. Although one might not really need our generalisation of the Callias Theorem to prove cobordism invariance, it is nevertheless an advantage of our approach, considering all possible signatures simultaneously, that we can easily formulate cobordism invariance results also in other signatures. As an example, we present the cobordism invariance of the spectral flow pairing in Corollary 4.13.

In Section 5, we continue with the special case where A is unital and E is finitely generated and projective, and we again denote the potential by \mathcal{F} (instead of \mathcal{S}). Our main additional assumption is now that zero is an isolated point of the spectrum of \mathcal{D} . We can then consider the *generalised Toeplitz operator*, given by the potential \mathcal{F} compressed to the kernel of \mathcal{D} :

$$T_{\mathcal{F}} = P \circ (\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}) \circ P,$$

where P denotes the projection onto the kernel of \mathcal{D} . Our final result is that the Dirac-Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}$ and its corresponding Toeplitz operator $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ represent the same class in K-theory:

$$[T_{\mathcal{F}}] = [\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}] \in K_{p+q}(A).$$

We will see that the assumption on \mathcal{D} implies that this class is in fact trivial in the case $q = 1$. However, as described in Section 5.1, we obtain a non-trivial index theorem in the even-even signature $(p, q) = (0, 0)$ (generalising results from [GH96, Bun00], with $A = \mathbb{C}$), as well as a non-trivial spectral flow theorem in the odd-even signature $(p, q) = (1, 0)$ (generalising a result from [Bra19], with $A = C(S^1)$).

Notation

Throughout this paper, let A be a σ -unital C^* -algebra, and let E be a (possibly \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded) countably generated Hilbert C^* -module over A (or Hilbert A -module for short) with A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$. (The reader unfamiliar with C^* -modules may consider the special case $A = \mathbb{C}$, so that E is simply a separable Hilbert space. For an introduction to Hilbert C^* -modules, we refer to [Lan95].) The norm of $\psi \in E$ is given by $\|\psi\| = \|\langle \psi | \psi \rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The space of adjointable linear operators $E \rightarrow E$ is denoted by $\text{End}_A(E)$. For any $\psi, \eta \in E$, the rank-one operators $\theta_{\psi, \eta}$ are defined by $\theta_{\psi, \eta} \xi := \psi \langle \eta | \xi \rangle$ for $\xi \in E$. The compact endomorphisms $\text{End}_A^0(E)$ are given by the closure of the space of finite linear combinations of rank-one operators. For two Hilbert A -modules E_1 and E_2 , the adjointable linear operators $E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ are denoted by $\text{Hom}_A(E_1, E_2)$.

A densely defined operator S is called *regular* if S is closed, the adjoint S^* is densely defined, and $1 + S^*S$ has dense range (note that on a Hilbert space, every closed operator is regular). A densely defined, closed, symmetric operator S is regular and self-adjoint if and only if the operators $S \pm i$ are surjective [Lan95, Lemma 9.8].

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Matthias Lesch for interesting discussions and helpful suggestions.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect several preliminaries regarding Fredholm operators on Hilbert modules. Furthermore, we recall the definitions and properties of the (even or odd) relative index of a pair of projections and of the (even or odd) spectral flow of a path of Fredholm operators. The casual reader may feel free to skip to Section 3, and to refer back to this section when needed.

We will usually view the homotopy equivalence class of a Fredholm operator as an element in the KK-theory group $\mathrm{KK}^p(\mathbb{C}, A)$ ($p = 0, 1$), rather than as an element in the isomorphic K-theory group $\mathrm{K}_p(A)$. One good reason for this is that KK-theory provides the most convenient formalism for considering all four possible signatures (p, q) (with $p, q = 0, 1$, as described in the Introduction) simultaneously. Moreover, pairings between K-theory and K-homology will be computed by using the Kasparov product in KK-theory.

2.1 Fredholm operators and KK-theory

A regular operator T on a Hilbert A -module E is called *Fredholm* if there exists a *parametrix* Q such that (the closure of) $QT - 1$ and $TQ - 1$ are compact endomorphisms on E .

For an adjointable Fredholm operator F on E , we can define its index in $\mathrm{K}_0(A)$ as

$$\mathrm{Index}(F) := \partial[q(F \oplus 1)] \in \mathrm{K}_0(A).$$

Here $F \oplus 1$ is the Fredholm operator on the standard Hilbert A -module \mathcal{H}_A obtained via Kasparov stabilisation $E \oplus \mathcal{H}_A \simeq \mathcal{H}_A$, $q: \mathrm{End}_A(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_A(\mathcal{H}_A)/\mathrm{End}_A^0(\mathcal{H}_A)$ is the quotient map onto the Calkin algebra, and the connecting map is an isomorphism $\partial: \mathrm{K}_1(\mathrm{End}_A(\mathcal{H}_A)/\mathrm{End}_A^0(\mathcal{H}_A)) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{End}_A^0(\mathcal{H}_A)) \simeq \mathrm{K}_0(A)$. Given a regular Fredholm operator \mathcal{D} on E , we define $\mathrm{Index}(\mathcal{D})$ as the index of $\mathcal{D}(1 + \mathcal{D}^*\mathcal{D})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

An odd resp. even regular self-adjoint Fredholm operator T on E yields a well-defined class $[T]$ in $\mathrm{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A)$ resp. $\mathrm{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, A)$. We briefly recall here the construction of this KK-class, following [Dun19b, §2.2], which in turn is largely based on [Wah07, §2].

Definition 2.1 ([Wah07, Definition 2.3]). A *normalising function* for a regular self-adjoint operator \mathcal{D} on a Hilbert A -module E is an odd non-decreasing smooth function $\chi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\chi(0) = 0$, $\chi'(0) > 0$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \chi(x) = 1$, and $\chi(\mathcal{D})^2 - 1 \in \mathrm{End}_A^0(E)$.

Definition 2.2 ([Dun19b, Definition 2.13]). Let \mathcal{D}_0 and \mathcal{D}_1 be (even or odd) regular self-adjoint Fredholm operators on (\mathbb{Z}_2 -graded) Hilbert A -modules E_0 and E_1 , respectively. A *homotopy* between (E_0, \mathcal{D}_0) and (E_1, \mathcal{D}_1) is an (even or odd) regular self-adjoint Fredholm operator $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ on a (\mathbb{Z}_2 -graded) Hilbert $C([0, 1], A)$ -module \tilde{E} such that $\mathrm{ev}_j(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}) \simeq (E_j, \mathcal{D}_j)$ (for $j = 0, 1$). Here \simeq denotes unitary equivalence, and $\mathrm{ev}_t(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}) := (\tilde{E} \hat{\otimes}_{\rho_t} A, \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \hat{\otimes} 1)$, where the $*$ -homomorphism $\rho_t: C([0, 1], A) \rightarrow A$ is given by $\rho_t(b) := b(t)$. We say that \mathcal{D}_0 and \mathcal{D}_1 are *homotopic* if there exists a homotopy between (E_0, \mathcal{D}_0) and (E_1, \mathcal{D}_1) .

Proposition 2.3 ([Dun19b, Proposition 2.14]). *An odd resp. even, regular self-adjoint Fredholm operator \mathcal{D} on a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert A -module E yields a well-defined class $[\mathcal{D}] := [\chi(\mathcal{D})]$ in $\mathrm{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A)$ resp. $\mathrm{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, A)$, where χ is any normalising function for \mathcal{D} . Furthermore, if two such operators \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' are homotopic, then $[\mathcal{D}] = [\mathcal{D}']$.*

Consider the special case when \mathcal{D} is not only Fredholm, but in fact has compact resolvents. Then $(\mathbb{C}, E_A, \mathcal{D})$ is an unbounded Kasparov module. In this case, the ‘bounded transform’ $b: x \mapsto x(1+x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a normalising function for \mathcal{D} . Hence the class $[\mathcal{D}]$ defined above agrees with the usual class associated to the unbounded Kasparov module $(\mathbb{C}, E_A, \mathcal{D})$.

We consider the following standard isomorphisms (see [Wah07, §2.1]) of $\mathrm{KK}^\bullet(\mathbb{C}, A)$ with $\mathrm{K}_\bullet(A)$. In the even (\mathbb{Z}_2 -graded) case, consider an odd Fredholm operator

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

on the standard \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert module $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_A = \mathcal{H}_A \oplus \mathcal{H}_A$. The standard isomorphism $\mathrm{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_0(A)$ maps the class $[\mathcal{D}]$ to the Fredholm index of \mathcal{D}_+ . In the odd case, the standard isomorphism $\mathrm{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_1(\mathrm{End}_A^0(\mathcal{H}_A)) \simeq \mathrm{K}_1(A)$ assigns to the class represented by a bounded Kasparov module (\mathcal{H}_A, T) the element $[e^{i\pi(T+1)}] \in \mathrm{K}_1(\mathrm{End}_A^0(\mathcal{H}_A))$.

Let T be a regular self-adjoint operator on E . A densely defined operator R on E is called *relatively T -compact* if $\mathrm{Dom}(T) \subset \mathrm{Dom}(R)$ and $R(T \pm i)^{-1}$ is compact. For more details on relatively compact operators in the setting of Hilbert C^* -modules, we refer the reader to [Dun23, Appendix §A.3].

Proposition 2.4 ([Dun23, Proposition 2.1]). *Let T be a regular self-adjoint Fredholm operator on E , and let R be a symmetric operator on E which is relatively T -compact. Then:*

- (1) $T + R$ is also regular, selfadjoint, and Fredholm, and any parametrix for T is also a parametrix for $T + R$.
- (2) $[T + R] = [T] \in \mathrm{KK}^p(\mathbb{C}, A)$ (where $p = 0$ if R, T are odd, and $p = 1$ otherwise).

2.1.1 Clifford symmetries

We show next that the presence of a ‘Clifford symmetry’ implies that a Fredholm operator is homotopically trivial. The following definition is adapted from [DM20, Definition 4.12].

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{D} be a regular self-adjoint Fredholm operator on a Hilbert A -module E . Then \mathcal{D} is called *Clifford symmetric* if there exists a self-adjoint unitary $\gamma \in \mathrm{End}_A(E)$ such that $\gamma \cdot \mathrm{Dom} \mathcal{D} \subset \mathrm{Dom} \mathcal{D}$ and $\gamma \mathcal{D} = -\mathcal{D} \gamma$. If E is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded and \mathcal{D} is odd, then we require in addition that γ is also odd. We refer to γ as the *Clifford symmetry* of \mathcal{D} .

Lemma 2.6. *If \mathcal{D} is a Clifford symmetric, odd resp. even, regular self-adjoint Fredholm operator on a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert A -module E , then $[\mathcal{D}] = 0$ in $\mathrm{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A)$ resp. $\mathrm{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, A)$.*

Proof. Since any normalising function χ is odd, we have (cf. [DM20, Lemma 1.14]) $\gamma \chi(\mathcal{D}) = -\chi(\mathcal{D}) \gamma$. Then $F_t := \cos(\frac{\pi t}{2}) \chi(\mathcal{D}) + \sin(\frac{\pi t}{2}) \gamma$ yields an operator-homotopy from $\chi(\mathcal{D})$ to γ , and since γ is degenerate we conclude $[\mathcal{D}] = [\chi(\mathcal{D})] = [\gamma] = 0 \in \mathrm{KK}^\bullet(\mathbb{C}, A)$. \square

The following statement generalises [Dun19b, Lemma 4.6] to KK^1 . It shows that if \mathcal{D} is ‘almost Clifford symmetric’, then it is equivalent to a Clifford symmetric operator.

Proposition 2.7. *Let \mathcal{D} be an odd resp. even, regular self-adjoint Fredholm operator on a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert A -module E . Suppose there exists a self-adjoint unitary $\gamma \in \text{End}_A(E)$ such that $\gamma \cdot \text{Dom } \mathcal{D} \subset \text{Dom } \mathcal{D}$ and $\gamma\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}\gamma$ is relatively \mathcal{D} -compact. If \mathcal{D} is odd, then we require in addition that γ is also odd. Then $[\mathcal{D}] = 0$ in $\text{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A)$ resp. $\text{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, A)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D} + \gamma\mathcal{D}\gamma$ is symmetric and relatively \mathcal{D} -compact, we know from Proposition 2.4 that $[\mathcal{D}] = [\mathcal{D}']$, where $\mathcal{D}' := \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D} - \gamma\mathcal{D}\gamma) = \mathcal{D} - \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D} + \gamma\mathcal{D}\gamma)$. Since \mathcal{D}' has the Clifford symmetry γ , it follows from Lemma 2.6 that $[\mathcal{D}] = [\mathcal{D}'] = 0$. \square

2.2 The (odd) relative index

Consider two projections $P, Q \in \text{End}_A(E)$, such that the difference $P - Q$ is a compact endomorphism on E . We will consider a relative index both in the graded and in the ungraded case. We briefly recall the definitions and some useful properties; for more details, we refer to [Wah07, §3.2 & §8.1].

We will use $p = 0, 1$ to distinguish between the ungraded case $p = 0$ and the graded case $p = 1$. We first consider the *ungraded* case $p = 0$, as in [Dun23, §2.3], following [Wah07, §3.2]. Since $P - Q$ is compact, the operator $Q: \text{Ran}(P) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(Q)$ is a Fredholm operator with parametrix $P: \text{Ran}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(P)$. The index of such a Fredholm operator is defined in Section 2.1.

Next, in the *graded* case $p = 1$, we assume $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded, and we require in addition that $2P - 1$ and $2Q - 1$ are odd. It then follows that E^+ and E^- are unitarily isomorphic. More precisely, since $2P - 1$ is an odd self-adjoint unitary, we can write

$$2P - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U_P^* \\ U_P & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad P = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U_P^* \\ U_P & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $U_P: E^+ \rightarrow E^-$ is unitary. Since $P - Q$ is compact, also $U_P - U_Q$ is compact. It follows that $1 - U_P U_Q^*$ is compact on E^- , and therefore $U_P U_Q^*$ lies in the minimal unitisation of the compact endomorphisms on E^- .

We recall that for any unitary operator V in the minimal unitisation of $\text{End}_A^0(E)$, we can use Kasparov stabilisation $E \oplus \mathcal{H}_A \simeq \mathcal{H}_A$ to obtain a class $[V] \in K_1(A)$ defined by

$$[V] := [V \oplus 1] \in K_1(\text{End}_A^0(E \oplus \mathcal{H}_A)) \simeq K_1(\text{End}_A^0(\mathcal{H}_A)) \simeq K_1(A).$$

Definition 2.8. Consider projections $P, Q \in \text{End}_A(E)$ with $P - Q \in \text{End}_A^0(E)$. We define the (even or odd) relative index $\text{rel-ind}_p(P, Q) \in K_p(A)$ as follows. In the ungraded case $p = 0$, we define the *(even) relative index of (P, Q)* by

$$\text{rel-ind}_0(P, Q) := \text{Index}(Q: \text{Ran}(P) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(Q)) \in K_0(A).$$

In the graded case $p = 1$, we additionally require $2P - 1$ and $2Q - 1$ to be odd, and define the *odd relative index of (P, Q)* [Wah07, §8.1] by

$$\text{rel-ind}_1(P, Q) := \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & U_P U_Q^* \end{pmatrix} \right] \in K_1(A),$$

where U_P and U_Q are obtained from P and Q as in Eq. (2.1).

Here we denote the (even or odd) relative index rel-ind_p with a subscript p , to remind us that it takes values in $K_p(A)$. We record two important properties of the relative index:

Lemma 2.9 ([Wah07, §3.2 & §8.1]). • (Additivity.) *Let $P, Q, R \in \text{End}_A(E)$ be projections with $P - Q$ and $Q - R$ compact. (If $p = 1$, we assume $2P - 1$, $2Q - 1$, and $2R - 1$ to be odd.) Then*

$$\text{rel-ind}_p(P, R) = \text{rel-ind}_p(P, Q) + \text{rel-ind}_p(Q, R).$$

• (Homotopy invariance.) *Let $\{P_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ and $\{Q_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be strongly continuous paths of projections such that $P_t - Q_t$ is compact for each $t \in [0, 1]$. (If $p = 1$, we assume $2P_t - 1$ and $2Q_t - 1$ to be odd.) Then*

$$\text{rel-ind}_p(P_0, Q_0) = \text{rel-ind}_p(P_1, Q_1).$$

For future convenience we also record the following simple result.

Lemma 2.10. *Consider a projection $P \in \text{End}_A(E)$ and a unitary $U \in \text{End}_A(E)$ with $[P, U] \in \text{End}_A^0(E)$. Then $\text{rel-ind}_0(P, U^*PU) = \text{Index}(PUP)$.*

Proof. We note that the assumption implies that $P - U^*PU$ is compact (so that the relative index is well-defined) and that PUP is Fredholm (with parametrix PU^*P) on $\text{Ran } P$. We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rel-ind}_0(P, U^*PU) &= \text{Index}(U^*PUP: \text{Ran}(P) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(U^*PU)) \\ &= \text{Index}(PUP: \text{Ran}(P) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(PU)) \\ &= \text{Index}(PUP: \text{Ran}(P) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(P)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

2.3 The (odd) spectral flow

We follow the conventions and definitions for the spectral flow as given in [Dun23, §2.4] (see also references therein, in particular [Wah07, §3]). Here we shall only briefly adapt the definitions to the graded case, following the approach of [Wah07, §8].

In the graded case $p = 1$, we assume E is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded, and we consider *odd* operators \mathcal{D} on E or *odd* families of operators $\{\mathcal{D}(x)\}_{x \in X}$ on E , defining *odd* operators $\mathcal{D}(\cdot)$ on $C(X, E)$ (where X is a compact Hausdorff space). In this case, we require trivialising operators and (locally) trivialising families to be *odd* as well. The following lemma shows that the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading is compatible with the positive spectral projection.

Lemma 2.11. *Let \mathcal{D} be an odd invertible regular self-adjoint operator on a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert A -module E , and let $P_+(\mathcal{D})$ denote the positive spectral projection of \mathcal{D} . Then the operator $2P_+(\mathcal{D}) - 1$ is also odd.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{D} is invertible, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ does not intersect the spectrum of \mathcal{D} . We can then write $2P_+(\mathcal{D}) - 1 = \phi(\mathcal{D})$ for any odd function $\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ with $\phi(r) = -1$ for all $r \leq -\epsilon$ and $\phi(r) = 1$ for all $r \geq \epsilon$. The statement then follows because $\phi(\mathcal{D})$ is odd for any odd function ϕ (cf. [DM20, Lemma 1.14]). \square

Now let \mathcal{D} be a regular self-adjoint Fredholm operator on E , and let \mathcal{B}_0 and \mathcal{B}_1 be two trivialisating operators for \mathcal{D} . We note that our trivialisating operators, defined as in [Dun23, Definition 2.5], are required to be relatively compact but not necessarily bounded (in contrast with [Wah07, Definition 3.4]). We consider the ungraded case ($p = 0$) and the graded case ($p = 1$) simultaneously (if $p = 1$, E is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded and $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1$ are odd). By [Dun23, Corollary A.10], the difference of spectral projections $P_+(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{B}_1) - P_+(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{B}_0)$ is compact (if $p = 1$, the operators $2P_+(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{B}_j) - 1$ are odd by Lemma 2.11). Hence we can define

$$\text{ind}_p(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1) := \text{rel-ind}_p(P_+(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{B}_1), P_+(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{B}_0)). \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.12 (cf. [Wah07, Definition 3.10] & [Dun23, Definition 2.7]). Let $p = 0$ or $p = 1$. Let $\mathcal{D}(\cdot) = \{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a regular self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert $C([0,1], A)$ -module $C([0,1], E)$, for which locally trivialisating families exist. (If $p = 1$, we require E to be \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded and \mathcal{D} (as well as all trivialisating families) to be odd.) Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$ be such that there is a trivialisating family $\{\mathcal{B}^i(t)\}_{t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]}$ of $\{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]}$ for each $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Let \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{A}_1 be trivialisating operators of $\mathcal{D}(0)$ and $\mathcal{D}(1)$. Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sf}_p(\{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}; \mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1) &:= \\ &\text{ind}_p(\mathcal{D}(0), \mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{B}^0(0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \text{ind}_p(\mathcal{D}(t_i), \mathcal{B}^{i-1}(t_i), \mathcal{B}^i(t_i)) + \text{ind}_p(\mathcal{D}(1), \mathcal{B}^{n-1}(1), \mathcal{A}_1) \\ &\in K_p(A), \end{aligned}$$

where ind_p is defined in Eq. (2.2).

If the endpoints $\mathcal{D}(0)$ and $\mathcal{D}(1)$ are invertible, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sf}_p(\{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}) &:= \text{sf}_p(\{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}; 0, 0) \\ &= \text{ind}_p(\mathcal{D}(0), 0, \mathcal{B}^0(0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \text{ind}_p(\mathcal{D}(t_i), \mathcal{B}^{i-1}(t_i), \mathcal{B}^i(t_i)) + \text{ind}_p(\mathcal{D}(1), \mathcal{B}^{n-1}(1), 0). \end{aligned}$$

We call sf_0 the (even) *spectral flow* and sf_1 the *odd spectral flow* of the family $\{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$.

As in [Wah07], the definition of the spectral flow is independent of the choice of subdvision and the choice of trivialisating families $\{\mathcal{B}^i(t)\}_{t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]}$. In particular, using [Wah07, Lemma 3.5], we may choose the trivialisating families to be *bounded*, and thus we recover the definition of the (even) spectral flow given in [Wah07, Definition 3.10] or the odd spectral flow in [Wah07, §8.1].

2.3.1 Relatively compact perturbations

We can adapt [Dun23, Proposition 4.2] to the graded case $p = 1$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{D}(\cdot) = \{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a regular self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert $C([0,1], A)$ -module $C([0,1], E)$. In the graded case $p = 1$, E is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded and \mathcal{D} is odd. Assume that*

- *the endpoints $\mathcal{D}(0)$ and $\mathcal{D}(1)$ are invertible;*

- $\mathcal{D}(t): \text{Dom } \mathcal{D}(0) \rightarrow E$ depends norm-continuously on t ; and
- $\mathcal{D}(t) - \mathcal{D}(0)$ is relatively $\mathcal{D}(0)$ -compact for each $t \in [0, 1]$.

Then the following statements hold:

- (1) There exists a trivialising family for $\{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$.
- (2) We have the equality

$$\text{sf}_p(\{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0, 1]}) = \text{rel-ind}_p(P_+(\mathcal{D}(1)), P_+(\mathcal{D}(0))). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. The statement is proven in [Dun23, Proposition 4.2] for the ungraded case $p = 0$, but the same proof works also in the graded case $p = 1$. \square

As a consequence of the above proposition, we obtain an abstract generalisation of the classical “desuspension” result by Booss-Bavnbek and Wojciechowski [BW93, Theorem 17.17]. We note that, as a special case, we also obtain a “family version” (cf. [DZ98, Theorem 4.4]) by considering $A = C(X)$ for a compact space X .

Corollary 2.14. *Let \mathcal{D} be an invertible regular self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert A -module E . Consider a unitary operator $u \in \text{End}_A(E)$ such that $[\mathcal{D}, u]$ is relatively \mathcal{D} -compact. For $t \in [0, 1]$ we define $\mathcal{D}(t) := (1 - t)\mathcal{D} + tu^*\mathcal{D}u = \mathcal{D} + tu^*[\mathcal{D}, u]$. Then we have the equality*

$$\text{sf}_0(\{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0, 1]}) = -\text{Index}(P_+(\mathcal{D})uP_+(\mathcal{D})) \in K_0(A).$$

Proof. From Proposition 2.13 we have

$$\text{sf}_0(\{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0, 1]}) = \text{rel-ind}_0(P_+(\mathcal{D}(1)), P_+(\mathcal{D}(0))) = \text{rel-ind}_0(P_+(u^*\mathcal{D}u), P_+(\mathcal{D})).$$

Using Lemma 2.10 we thus obtain

$$\text{rel-ind}_0(P_+(u^*\mathcal{D}u), P_+(\mathcal{D})) = \text{rel-ind}_0(u^*P_+(\mathcal{D})u, P_+(\mathcal{D})) = -\text{Index}(P_+(\mathcal{D})uP_+(\mathcal{D})).$$

\square

2.3.2 Bott periodicity

The following result shows that the spectral flow is closely related to the Bott periodicity isomorphism $\beta: K_{p+1}(C_0(\mathbb{R}, A)) \rightarrow K_p(A)$. Let $\mathcal{D}(\cdot) = \{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ be a regular self-adjoint Fredholm operator on the Hilbert $C([0, 1], A)$ -module $C([0, 1], E)$, with $\mathcal{D}(0)$ and $\mathcal{D}(1)$ invertible. In the graded case $p = 1$, E is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded and each $\mathcal{D}(t)$ is odd. We extend the family to \mathbb{R} by setting $\mathcal{D}(t) := \mathcal{D}(0)$ for all $t < 0$ and $\mathcal{D}(t) := \mathcal{D}(1)$ for all $t > 1$, and we view $\mathcal{D}(\cdot)$ as a regular self-adjoint Fredholm operator on the Hilbert $C_0(\mathbb{R}, A)$ -module $C_0(\mathbb{R}, E)$, defining a class $[\mathcal{D}(\cdot)] \in \text{KK}^{p+1}(\mathbb{C}, C_0(\mathbb{R}, A))$. We note that the Bott periodicity isomorphism is implemented by taking the Kasparov product with the class $[-i\partial_t] \in \text{KK}^1(C_0(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{C})$:

$$\beta([\mathcal{D}(\cdot)]) = [\mathcal{D}(\cdot)] \otimes_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} [-i\partial_t] \in K_p(A).$$

The following result shows that we can view the (even or odd) spectral flow sf_p as implementing the Bott periodicity isomorphism $\text{KK}^{p+1}(\mathbb{C}, C_0(\mathbb{R}, A)) \rightarrow \text{KK}^p(\mathbb{C}, A) \simeq K_p(A)$ (whenever it is defined).

Proposition 2.15. *If there exist locally trivialising families for $\{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, then*

$$\mathrm{sf}_p(\{\mathcal{D}(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}) = \beta([\mathcal{D}(\cdot)]) = [\mathcal{D}(\cdot)] \otimes_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} [-i\partial_t] \in K_p(A).$$

Proof. We obtain the equality $\beta([\mathcal{D}(\cdot)]) = \mathrm{sf}_p(\{\mathcal{D}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}})$ for $p = 0$ from [Wah07, Proposition 4.2 & Theorem 4.4] and for $p = 1$ from [Wah07, Theorem 8.6]. \square

3 Generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operators

In this section, we introduce our construction of generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operators, following the definitions of [Dun23, §3], but allowing for arbitrary signatures and \mathbb{Z}_2 -gradings (the setting of [Dun23] corresponds to the odd-odd case described below).

Assumption (A). Let A be a (trivially graded) σ -unital C^* -algebra, and let E be a countably generated Hilbert A -module. Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold (typically non-compact), and let \mathcal{D} be an essentially self-adjoint elliptic first-order differential operator on a hermitian vector bundle $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow M$. Let $\{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in M}$ be a family of regular self-adjoint operators with common domain on E satisfying the assumptions

- (A1) The domain $W := \mathrm{Dom} \mathcal{S}(x)$ is independent of $x \in M$, and the inclusion $W \hookrightarrow E$ is compact (where W is viewed as a Hilbert A -module equipped with the graph norm of $\mathcal{S}(x_0)$, for some $x_0 \in M$).
- (A2) The map $\mathcal{S}: M \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(W, E)$ is norm-continuous.
- (A3) There is a compact subset $K \subset M$ such that $\mathcal{S}(x)$ is uniformly invertible on $M \setminus K$.

Furthermore, let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$ be a self-adjoint unitary operator on the Hilbert A -module E (preserving the domain of $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$), and let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$ be a self-adjoint unitary smooth endomorphism on the bundle $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow M$. We consider the following four different cases, labelled by their signatures $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$:

the odd-odd signature $(p, q) = (1, 1)$: $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathrm{Id}_E$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{F}}$;

the even-even signature $(p, q) = (0, 0)$: $\mathcal{S}(x)$ anti-commutes with $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$ (for all $x \in M$), and \mathcal{D} anti-commutes with $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$;

the odd-even signature $(p, q) = (1, 0)$: $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathrm{Id}_E$, and \mathcal{D} anti-commutes with $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$;

the even-odd signature $(p, q) = (0, 1)$: $\mathcal{S}(x)$ anti-commutes with $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$ (for all $x \in M$), and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{F}}$.

By convention, we will always compute p and q modulo 2 (e.g., if $p = 1$, then $p+1 \equiv 0$). In the following, we will consider all four signatures (p, q) simultaneously.

Given the family of operators $\{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in M}$ on E , we obtain a closed symmetric operator $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ on $C_0(M, E)$, which is defined as the closure of the operator $(\mathcal{S}(\cdot)\psi)(x) := \mathcal{S}(x)\psi(x)$ on the initial dense domain $C_c(M, W)$. The operator $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ on the Hilbert $C_0(M, A)$ -module $C_0(M, E)$ is regular self-adjoint and Fredholm by [Dun19b, Proposition 3.4], so by Proposition 2.3 it defines a class

$$[\mathcal{S}(\cdot)] \in \mathrm{KK}^p(\mathbb{C}, C_0(M, A)).$$

Furthermore, since \mathcal{D} is an essentially self-adjoint first-order differential operator, and since the ellipticity of \mathcal{D} ensures that \mathcal{D} also has locally compact resolvents [HR00, Proposition

10.5.2], we know that $(C_0^1(M), L^2(M, \mathbf{F}), \mathcal{D})$ is an (even or odd) spectral triple, which represents a K-homology class

$$[\mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^q(C_0(M), \mathbb{C}).$$

We consider the balanced tensor product $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F}) := C_0(M, E) \otimes_{C_0(M)} L^2(M, \mathbf{F})$. The operator $\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \otimes 1$ is well-defined on $\text{Dom } \mathcal{S}(\cdot) \otimes_{C_0(M)} L^2(M, \mathbf{F}) \subset L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F})$, and is denoted simply by $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ as well. By [Lan95, Proposition 9.10], $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ is regular self-adjoint on $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F})$.

The operator $1 \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is not well-defined on $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F})$. Instead, using the canonical isomorphism $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F}) \simeq E \otimes L^2(M, \mathbf{F})$, we consider the operator $1 \otimes \mathcal{D}$ on $E \otimes L^2(M, \mathbf{F})$ with domain $E \otimes \text{Dom } \mathcal{D}$. Alternatively, we can extend the exterior derivative on $C_0^1(M)$ to an operator

$$d: C_0^1(M, E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} E \otimes C_0^1(M) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes d} E \otimes \Gamma_0(T^*M) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Gamma_0(E \otimes T^*M).$$

Denoting by σ the principal symbol of \mathcal{D} , we can define an operator $1 \otimes_d \mathcal{D}$ on the Hilbert space $C_0(M, E) \otimes_{C_0(M)} L^2(M, \mathbf{F})$ by setting

$$(1 \otimes_d \mathcal{D})(\xi \otimes \psi) := \xi \otimes \mathcal{D}\psi + (1 \otimes \sigma)(d\xi)\psi.$$

Under the isomorphism $C_0(M, E) \otimes_{C_0(M)} L^2(M, \mathbf{F}) \simeq E \otimes L^2(M, \mathbf{F})$, the operator $1 \otimes \mathcal{D}$ on $E \otimes L^2(M, \mathbf{F})$ agrees with $1 \otimes_d \mathcal{D}$ on $C_0(M, E) \otimes_{C_0(M)} L^2(M, \mathbf{F})$. We will denote this operator on $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F})$ simply as \mathcal{D} . The operator \mathcal{D} is regular self-adjoint on $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F})$ (see also [KL13, Theorem 5.4]).

Finally, we also consider on $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F})$ the self-adjoint unitaries

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} \equiv \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \equiv 1 \otimes \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

We define the Hilbert A -module \tilde{E} as

$$\tilde{E} := \begin{cases} L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F})^{\oplus 2}, & \text{if } (p, q) = (1, 1), \\ L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Definition 3.1. Consider M , \mathcal{D} , and $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ satisfying assumption (A). In each of the four possible signatures, we define the *product operator* $\mathcal{S} \times_{p,q} \mathcal{D}$ on \tilde{E} as follows.

the odd-odd signature $(p, q) = (1, 1)$: We define

$$\mathcal{S} \times_{1,1} \mathcal{D} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D} + i\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \\ \mathcal{D} - i\mathcal{S}(\cdot) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

on the initial domain $(C_c^1(M, \text{Dom } \mathcal{S}(x_0)) \otimes_{C_0^1(M)} \text{Dom } \mathcal{D})^{\oplus 2}$. The operator $\mathcal{S} \times_{1,1} \mathcal{D}$

is odd with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

the even-even signature $(p, q) = (0, 0)$: We define

$$\mathcal{S} \times_{0,0} \mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}(\cdot),$$

on the initial domain $C_c^1(M, \text{Dom } \mathcal{S}(x_0)) \otimes_{C_0^1(M)} \text{Dom } \mathcal{D}$. The operator $\mathcal{S} \times_{0,0} \mathcal{D}$ is odd with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $\Gamma := \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$.

the odd-even signature $(p, q) = (1, 0)$: We define (cf. [BMS16, Example 2.38])

$$\mathcal{S} \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D} + \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{S}(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}(\cdot) & \mathcal{D}^- \\ \mathcal{D}^+ & -\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \end{pmatrix},$$

on the initial domain $C_c^1(M, \text{Dom } \mathcal{S}(x_0)) \otimes_{C_0^1(M)} \text{Dom } \mathcal{D}$. The operator $\mathcal{S} \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D}$ is ungraded.

the even-odd signature $(p, q) = (0, 1)$: We define (cf. [BMS16, Example 2.37])

$$\mathcal{S} \times_{0,1} \mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}(\cdot),$$

on the initial domain $C_c^1(M, \text{Dom } \mathcal{S}(x_0)) \otimes_{C_0^1(M)} \text{Dom } \mathcal{D}$. The operator $\mathcal{S} \times_{0,1} \mathcal{D}$ is ungraded.

For arbitrary degrees (p, q) , we will often denote the product operator $\mathcal{S} \times_{p,q} \mathcal{D}$ simply as $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$. The product operator is symmetric (hence closable), and (with slight abuse of notation) we will denote the closure simply by $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$ as well.

The product operator $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$ is called a *generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operator* if $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$ is regular, self-adjoint, and Fredholm. In this case, it yields a class (Proposition 2.3)

$$[\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^{p+q}(\mathbb{C}, A).$$

We note that, despite our use of the term ‘Dirac-Schrödinger’ operator, we do not assume that the operator \mathcal{D} is of Dirac-type (although a Dirac-type operator is of course a typical example). Furthermore, we note that regularity, self-adjointness, and the Fredholm property of $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$ do not follow automatically from assumption (A).

3.1 Relative index theorem

We will generalise the relative index theorem of [Dun23, Theorem 3.4] to arbitrary degrees $p, q = 0, 1$. For $j = 1, 2$, let $\mathbf{F}^j \rightarrow M^j$, \mathcal{D}^j , and $\mathcal{S}^j(\cdot)$ be as in assumption (A), and assume that the operators $\{\mathcal{S}^j(x)\}_{x \in M^j}$ act on the same Hilbert A -module E . Suppose we have partitions $M^j = \overline{U}^j \cup_{N^j} \overline{V}^j$, where N^j are smooth compact hypersurfaces. Let C^j be open tubular neighbourhoods of N^j , and assume that there exists an isometry $\phi: C^1 \rightarrow C^2$ (with $\phi(N^1) = N^2$) covered by an isomorphism $\Phi: \mathbf{F}^1|_{C^1} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^2|_{C^2}$, such that $\mathcal{D}^1|_{C^1} \Phi^* = \Phi^* \mathcal{D}^2|_{C^2}$ and $\mathcal{S}^2(\phi(x)) = \mathcal{S}^1(x)$ for all $x \in C^1$.

We will identify C^1 with C^2 (as well as N^1 with N^2) via ϕ , and we simply write C (and N). Define two new Riemannian manifolds

$$M^3 := \overline{U}^1 \cup_N \overline{V}^2, \quad M^4 := \overline{U}^2 \cup_N \overline{V}^1.$$

Moreover, we glue the bundles using Φ to obtain hermitian vector bundles $\mathbf{F}^3 \rightarrow M^3$ and $\mathbf{F}^4 \rightarrow M^4$. For $j = 3, 4$, we then obtain corresponding operators \mathcal{D}^j and $\mathcal{S}^j(\cdot)$ satisfying assumption (A).

Theorem 3.2 (Relative index theorem). *Assume that $\mathcal{S}^1 \times \mathcal{D}^1$ and $\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{D}^2$ are generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operators with locally compact resolvents. Then $\mathcal{S}^3 \times \mathcal{D}^3$ and $\mathcal{S}^4 \times \mathcal{D}^4$ are also generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operators with locally compact resolvents. Moreover, we have the equality*

$$[\mathcal{S}^1 \times \mathcal{D}^1] + [\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{D}^2] = [\mathcal{S}^3 \times \mathcal{D}^3] + [\mathcal{S}^4 \times \mathcal{D}^4] \in \text{KK}^{p+q}(\mathbb{C}, A).$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proofs of [Dun19b, Theorem 4.7] and [Dun23, Theorem 3.4], and therefore we will skip most of the details.

First, we need to check that $\mathcal{S}^3 \times \mathcal{D}^3$ and $\mathcal{S}^4 \times \mathcal{D}^4$ are also regular self-adjoint and Fredholm with locally compact resolvents. This is proven in [Dun23, Theorem 3.4] for the case $(p, q) = (1, 1)$. However, in the three other cases the same proof follows through (taking $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^j := \mathcal{D}^j$).

Second, we need to prove that $[\mathcal{S}^1 \times \mathcal{D}^1] + [\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{D}^2] = [\mathcal{S}^3 \times \mathcal{D}^3] + [\mathcal{S}^4 \times \mathcal{D}^4]$. The proof is given in [Dun19b, Theorem 4.7] for the case $(p, q) = (1, 1)$ (and essentially the same proof works for $(p, q) = (0, 0)$). We will adapt the proof such that it works in all degrees (p, q) . For $j = 1, \dots, 4$, we write $\tilde{E}^j := L^2(M^j, E \otimes \mathbb{F}^j)^{\oplus 2}$ if $(p, q) = (1, 1)$ and $\tilde{E}^j := L^2(M^j, E \otimes \mathbb{F}^j)$ otherwise (as in Eq. (3.1)). If $p + q \equiv 0$, each \tilde{E}^j is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded with grading operator Γ^j . We consider the Hilbert A -module $\tilde{E}^1 \oplus \tilde{E}^2 \oplus \tilde{E}^3 \oplus \tilde{E}^4$, which we equip with the grading operator $\Gamma^1 \oplus \Gamma^2 \oplus (-\Gamma^3) \oplus (-\Gamma^4)$ if $p + q \equiv 0$. We consider the operator

$$T := (\mathcal{S}^1 \times \mathcal{D}^1) \oplus (\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{D}^2) \oplus -(\mathcal{S}^3 \times \mathcal{D}^3) \oplus -(\mathcal{S}^4 \times \mathcal{D}^4).$$

Since $[T] = [\mathcal{S}^1 \times \mathcal{D}^1] + [\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{D}^2] - [\mathcal{S}^3 \times \mathcal{D}^3] - [\mathcal{S}^4 \times \mathcal{D}^4] \in \text{KK}^{p+q}(\mathbb{C}, A)$, we need to show that $[T] = 0$. With the maps α_{ij} defined as in [Dun19b, Proof of Theorem 4.7], we now define (instead of X) the operator

$$\gamma := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \alpha_{13}^* & \alpha_{14}^* \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{23}^* & -\alpha_{24}^* \\ \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_{14} & -\alpha_{24} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\gamma = \gamma^*$, $\gamma^2 = 1$, and if $p + q \equiv 0$, γ is odd. Moreover, we compute

$$T\gamma + \gamma T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & [\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^1, \chi_{U^1}] & [\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^1, \chi_{V^1}] \\ 0 & 0 & [\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2, \chi_{V^2}] & [-\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2, \chi_{U^2}] \\ -[\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^1, \chi_{U^1}] & -[\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2, \chi_{V^2}] & 0 & 0 \\ -[\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^1, \chi_{V^1}] & [\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2, \chi_{U^2}] & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we write $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^j := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}^j \\ \mathcal{D}^j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ if $(p, q) = (1, 1)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^j := \mathcal{D}^j$ otherwise. Since $T\gamma + \gamma T$ is relatively T -compact, it then follows from Proposition 2.7 that $[T] = 0 \in \text{KK}^{p+q}(\mathbb{C}, A)$. \square

3.2 The Fredholm index

For $p = 0, 1$ we define the (anti)commutator $[T_1, T_2]_p := T_1 T_2 + (-1)^p T_2 T_1$. Thus $[\cdot, \cdot]_0$ denotes the anticommutator whereas $[\cdot, \cdot]_1$ denotes the commutator. From here on, we consider in addition to assumption (A) also the following assumption:

Assumption (B). Let M , \mathcal{D} , and $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ satisfy assumption (A). We furthermore assume:
 (B1) the map $\mathcal{S}(\cdot): M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(W, E)$ is weakly differentiable (i.e., for each $\psi \in W$ and $\eta \in E$, the map $x \mapsto \langle \mathcal{S}(x)\psi | \eta \rangle$ is differentiable), and the weak derivative $d\mathcal{S}(x): W \rightarrow E \otimes T_x^*(M)$ is bounded for all $x \in M$.

(B2) the operator $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_p (\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i)^{-1}$ is well-defined and bounded (in the sense of [KL12, Assumption 7.1] and [Dun19b, Definition 5.5]): there exists a core $\mathcal{E} \subset \text{Dom } \mathcal{D}$ for \mathcal{D} such that for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ and for all $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ we have the inclusions

$$(\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i\mu)^{-1}\xi \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{S}(\cdot) \cap \text{Dom } \mathcal{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i\mu)^{-1}\xi \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{S}(\cdot),$$

and the map $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_p (\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i\mu)^{-1}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbb{F})$ extends to a bounded operator for all $\mu \in (0, \infty)$.

Proposition 3.3 (cf. [KL12, Theorem 7.10]). (1) *If $p = 1$, then $(\mathcal{D} \pm i\mathcal{S}(\cdot))^* = \mathcal{D} \mp i\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ on the domain $\text{Dom } \mathcal{S}(\cdot) \cap \text{Dom } \mathcal{D}$.*

(2) *If $p = 0$, then $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ is self-adjoint on the domain $\text{Dom } \mathcal{S}(\cdot) \cap \text{Dom } \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. The case $p = 1$ is precisely the statement of [KL12, Theorem 7.10]. The case $p = 0$ then follows from a standard matrix trick; see e.g. [DR16, §2.2] for details. \square

Corollary 3.4. *The product operator $\mathcal{S} \times_{p,q} \mathcal{D}$ is regular self-adjoint on the domain $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{S} \times_{1,1} \mathcal{D}) = (\text{Dom } \mathcal{S}(\cdot) \cap \text{Dom } \mathcal{D})^{\oplus 2}$ if $(p, q) = (1, 1)$ or $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{S} \times_{p,q} \mathcal{D}) = \text{Dom } \mathcal{S}(\cdot) \cap \text{Dom } \mathcal{D}$ otherwise.*

Proof. The odd-odd case $(p, q) = (1, 1)$ is precisely the statement of Proposition 3.3.(1). For the even-even case $(p, q) = (0, 0)$ and the even-odd case $(p, q) = (0, 1)$, the self-adjointness of $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ is given by Proposition 3.3.(2). Finally, for the odd-even case $(p, q) = (1, 0)$, it follows from assumption (B2) that also $[\mathcal{D}, \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_0 (\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i)^{-1}$ is well-defined and bounded, and hence the self-adjointness of $\mathcal{S} \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} + \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ follows again from Proposition 3.3.(2). \square

Proposition 3.5 (cf. [KL13, Theorem 6.7]). *Let $\phi \in C_0(M)$. Then $\phi(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D} \pm i)^{-1}$ is a compact operator on \tilde{E} . Moreover, if $(\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i)^{-1}$ is compact on $C_0(M, E)$, then $(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D} \pm i)^{-1}$ is also compact.*

Proof. The statement is proven in [KL13, Theorem 6.7] for the case $(p, q) = (1, 1)$, but in fact the same proof also works for the other cases. Moreover, if $(\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i)^{-1}$ is in fact compact, then we can repeat the argument with $\phi = 1$ to conclude that also $(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D} \pm i)^{-1}$ is compact. \square

Theorem 3.6 (cf. [Dun23, Theorem 3.8]).

- (1) *There exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ the product operator $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm and thus a generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operator.*
- (2) *Suppose there exists a compact subset $\hat{K} \supset K$ such that $\hat{\delta} < \frac{\hat{c}^2}{\hat{c}+1}$, where*

$$\hat{\delta} := \sup_{x \in M \setminus \hat{K}} \left\| [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_p(x) (\mathcal{S}(x) \pm i)^{-1} \right\|, \quad \hat{c} := \inf_{x \in M \setminus \hat{K}} \left\| \mathcal{S}(x)^{-1} \right\|^{-1}.$$

Then the first statement holds with $\lambda_0 = 1$. In particular, $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm and thus a generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operator.

Proof. The case $(p, q) = (1, 1)$ is given by [Dun23, Theorem 3.8]. However, the same proof also works for the other cases, with the following notational modifications: we set $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} := \mathcal{D}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\cdot) := \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ (if $(p, q) = (1, 0)$) or $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\cdot) := \mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ (otherwise), $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + \lambda\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\cdot) = (\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$, and we define $\delta_x := \left\| [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_p(x)(\mathcal{S}(x) \pm i)^{-1} \right\|$. \square

Proposition 3.7 (cf. [Dun23, Proposition 3.9]). *Suppose that $\{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in M}$ is uniformly invertible on all of M . Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ the generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operator $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$ is also invertible.*

Theorem 3.6 shows the Fredholm property of $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$ only for λ ‘sufficiently large’; under suitable conditions, we can take $\lambda = 1$. The following result provides sufficient conditions such that we can take any $\lambda > 0$.

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose that the operator $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_p(\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i)^{-1}$ vanishes at infinity, (in the sense that the function $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto \left\| [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_p(x)(\mathcal{S}(x) \pm i)^{-1} \right\|$ vanishes at infinity). Then for any $\lambda > 0$, the product operator $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm.*

Proof. Fix $\lambda > 0$. We note that the family $\lambda\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ also satisfies assumptions (A) and (B). Let $c_\lambda := \inf_{x \in M \setminus K} \|\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{S}(x)^{-1}\|^{-1}$. By assumption, there exists a compact subset $\hat{K} \supset K$ such that for all $x \in M \setminus \hat{K}$ we have $\left\| [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_p(x)(\mathcal{S}(x) \pm i)^{-1} \right\| \leq \min(\lambda^{-1}, 1) \frac{c_\lambda^2}{c_\lambda + 1}$. We can estimate

$$\left\| (\mathcal{S}(x) \pm i)(\lambda\mathcal{S}(x) \pm i)^{-1} \right\| \leq \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{s \pm i}{\lambda s \pm i} \right| = \max(1, \lambda^{-1}).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\delta}_\lambda &:= \sup_{x \in M \setminus \hat{K}} \left\| [\mathcal{D}, \lambda\mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_p(x)(\lambda\mathcal{S}(x) \pm i)^{-1} \right\| \\ &\leq \lambda \cdot \max(1, \lambda^{-1}) \cdot \sup_{x \in M \setminus \hat{K}} \left\| [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_p(x)(\mathcal{S}(x) \pm i)^{-1} \right\| \leq \frac{c_\lambda^2}{c_\lambda + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $K \subset \hat{K}$, we have $c_\lambda \leq \hat{c}_\lambda := \inf_{x \in M \setminus \hat{K}} \|\lambda^{-1}\mathcal{S}(x)^{-1}\|^{-1}$, and therefore also

$$\hat{\delta}_\lambda \leq \frac{c_\lambda^2}{c_\lambda + 1} \leq \frac{\hat{c}_\lambda^2}{\hat{c}_\lambda + 1}.$$

It then follows from Theorem 3.6.(2) that $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm. \square

As in [Bun95, Proposition 2.8], we have the following vanishing result.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $(p, q) = (1, 1)$. Suppose that*

- \mathcal{D} has a gap in the spectrum; and
- the operator $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_p(\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i)^{-1}$ vanishes at infinity.

Then $[\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}] = 0 \in \text{KK}^{q+1}(\mathbb{C}, A)$.

Proof. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{D} - \mu$ is invertible. For $s, t \in [0, 1]$ consider the Dirac-Schrödinger operator $(t\mathcal{S}) \times (\mathcal{D} - s\mu)$ satisfying the assumptions (A), (B) (note that (B2)

is satisfied because $p = 1$ and $[\mathcal{S}(\cdot), \mu] = 0$. By assumption, we know for all s that $[\mathcal{D} - s\mu, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)](\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i)^{-1}$ vanishes at infinity. By Proposition 3.8, $(t\mathcal{S}) \times (\mathcal{D} - s\mu)$ is Fredholm for all $t > 0$ (and for all s). Furthermore, the operator $0 \times (\mathcal{D} - \mu)$ is invertible. Hence $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}$ is homotopic (within the space of Fredholm operators) to $\mathcal{S} \times (\mathcal{D} - \mu)$, which in turn is homotopic to the invertible operator $0 \times (\mathcal{D} - \mu)$. Thus we conclude that $[\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}] = 0$. \square

In fact, we obtain a similar vanishing result also in the signature $(p, q) = (0, 1)$, with a somewhat stronger assumption on the spectrum of \mathcal{D} .

Proposition 3.10. *Let $(p, q) = (0, 1)$. Suppose that*

- \mathcal{D} has a ‘symmetric gap’ in the spectrum, i.e., there exists $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\mathcal{D} + \mu$ and $\mathcal{D} - \mu$ are both invertible; and
- the operator $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_0(\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i)^{-1}$ vanishes at infinity.

Then $[\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{D}] = 0 \in \text{KK}^q(\mathbb{C}, A)$.

Proof. For $s, t \in [0, 1]$ consider the (ungraded) operator

$$T_{t,s} := (t\mathcal{S}) \times_{0,1} \mathcal{D} + s\mu\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{D} + t\mathcal{S}(\cdot) + s\mu\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D} + s\mu & t\mathcal{S}_-(\cdot) \\ t\mathcal{S}_+(\cdot) & \mathcal{D} - s\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $[\mathcal{S}(\cdot), \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}]_0 = 0$, we have for all s that $[\mathcal{D} + s\mu\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{S}(\cdot)]_0(\mathcal{S}(\cdot) \pm i)^{-1}$ vanishes at infinity. As in Proposition 3.8, it follows that $T_{t,s}$ is Fredholm for all $t > 0$ (and for all s). Furthermore, for $t = 0$ and $s = 1$, the operator $T_{0,1} = \mathcal{D} + \mu\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$ is invertible. Hence $T_{1,0} = \mathcal{S} \times_{0,1} \mathcal{D}$ is homotopic (within the space of Fredholm operators) to $T_{1,1} = \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{S}(\cdot) + \mu\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$, which in turn is homotopic to the invertible operator $T_{0,1}$. Thus we conclude that $[\mathcal{S} \times_{0,1} \mathcal{D}] = 0$. \square

3.3 The Kasparov product

As in [Dun23, Proposition 3.10], we can apply the relative index theorem (Theorem 3.2) to replace M by a manifold with cylindrical ends, without affecting the index of the generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operator.

Proposition 3.11 (cf. [Dun23, Proposition 3.10]). *There exist a precompact open subset U of M and a generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operator $(\lambda\mathcal{S}') \times \mathcal{D}'$ on $M' := \overline{U} \cup_{\partial U} (\partial U \times [0, \infty))$ satisfying Assumptions (A) and (B), such that*

- (1) the operators \mathcal{D}' and $\mathcal{S}'(\cdot)$ on M' agree with \mathcal{D} and $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ on M when restricted to U ;
- (2) the metric and the operators \mathcal{D}' and $\mathcal{S}'(\cdot)$ on M' are of product form on $\partial U \times [1, \infty)$;
- (3) we have, for λ sufficiently large, the equality $[(\lambda\mathcal{S}') \times \mathcal{D}'] = [(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^{p+q}(\mathbb{C}, A)$.

In particular, M' is complete and \mathcal{D}' has bounded propagation speed.

Proposition 3.12 (cf. [Dun23, Proposition 3.11]). *Let M' , \mathcal{D}' , and $\mathcal{S}'(\cdot)$ be as in Proposition 3.11. Then we have the equality $[\mathcal{S}'(\cdot)] \otimes_{C_0(M')} [\mathcal{D}'] = [(\lambda\mathcal{S}') \times \mathcal{D}']$.*

Proof. This follows from the same arguments in [Dun23, Proposition 3.11] and [Dun19b, Proposition 5.10] (which prove the case $(p, q) = (1, 1)$), noting that also in the other cases we have defined the product operator in Definition 3.1 in such a way that it correctly corresponds to the construction of the Kasparov product as in [BMS16, 2.34–2.39]. \square

Theorem 3.13 (cf. [Dun23, Theorem 3.12]). *Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold, and let $\{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in M}$ and \mathcal{D} satisfy assumptions (A) and (B). Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ the class $[(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^{p+q}(\mathbb{C}, A)$ is the (internal) Kasparov product (over $C_0(M)$) of $[\mathcal{S}(\cdot)] \in \text{KK}^p(\mathbb{C}, C_0(M, A))$ with $[\mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^q(C_0(M), \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. The case $(p, q) = (1, 1)$ is given by [Dun23, Theorem 3.12], but the same proof works for arbitrary (p, q) . Indeed, from Proposition 3.11, we obtain a complete manifold M' and a generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operator satisfying assumptions (A) and (B), such that \mathcal{D}' has bounded propagation speed, and such that $[(\lambda\mathcal{S}') \times \mathcal{D}'] = [(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}]$ (for λ sufficiently large). We then have the equalities

$$[(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}] = [(\lambda\mathcal{S}') \times \mathcal{D}'] = [\mathcal{S}'(\cdot)] \otimes_{C_0(M')} [\mathcal{D}'] = [\mathcal{S}(\cdot)] \otimes_{C_0(M)} [\mathcal{D}],$$

where the second equality is given by Proposition 3.12, and the third equality is obtained as in the proof of [Dun19b, Theorem 5.15]. \square

Remark 3.14 (Equivariant spectral flow). The presence of the auxiliary C^* -algebra A in the above theorem allows us to immediately obtain also an ‘equivariant version’, where the potential $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ is obtained from a family of G -equivariant operators. As an application, we briefly describe here the equivariant spectral flow (as studied for instance in [HY24]).

Consider a complete Riemannian manifold X and a unimodular, locally compact group G acting cocompactly, properly, and isometrically on X . Let \mathcal{S} be a G -equivariant, self-adjoint, elliptic, first-order differential operator on a G -equivariant hermitian vector bundle over X (where G acts via isometries between the fibres). Kasparov [Kas16] has then constructed a Hilbert $C^*(G)$ -module $\mathcal{E}^0(E)$. The operator \mathcal{S} can then be viewed as a regular self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{E}^0(E)$ [Guo21, Proposition 5.5].

Now, instead of a single operator \mathcal{S} , we consider a family of operators $\mathcal{S}(\cdot) = \{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in M}$ parametrised by another manifold M . If M , \mathcal{D} , and $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ satisfy assumptions (A) and (B), then it follows from Theorem 3.13 (with the signature $(p, q) = (1, 1)$) that

$$\text{Index}(\mathcal{D} - i\lambda\mathcal{S}(\cdot)) = [(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}] = [\mathcal{S}(\cdot)] \otimes_{C_0(M)} [\mathcal{D}] \in K_0(C^*(G)).$$

This recovers (a slight variation of) a recent result by Hochs and Yanes [HY24, Theorem 3.3.7]. In the special case $M = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{D} = -i\partial_x$, provided there exist locally trivialising families for $\{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$, we obtain from Proposition 2.15 an equivariant version of the classical ‘index = spectral flow’ equality (see also [Dun19b, Corollary 5.16]):

$$\text{Index}(\partial_x + \lambda\mathcal{S}(\cdot)) = \text{sf}(\{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}) \in K_0(C^*(G)).$$

4 Generalised Callias-type operators

Definition 4.1. Let M , F , and \mathcal{D} be as in assumption (A). Let $N \subset M$ be a compact hypersurface with a collar neighbourhood $C \simeq (-2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon) \times N$. We can identify $F|_C$ with the pullback of $F|_N \rightarrow N$ to C , so that $\Gamma^\infty(F|_C) \simeq C^\infty((-2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)) \otimes \Gamma^\infty(F|_N)$. We distinguish between the graded case $q = 0$ (with E \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded and \mathcal{D} odd) and the ungraded case $q = 1$. We will then say that \mathcal{D} is of product form on C if the following holds:

$q = 0$: We have a vector bundle $\mathbf{F}_N \rightarrow N$ such that $\mathbf{F}|_N \simeq \mathbf{F}_N \oplus \mathbf{F}_N$. On \mathbf{F}_N we have an essentially self-adjoint elliptic first-order differential operator \mathcal{D}_N . On the collar neighbourhood C , we have

$$\mathcal{D}|_C \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_r + \mathcal{D}_N \\ -\partial_r + \mathcal{D}_N & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}|_C \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$q = 1$: On the vector bundle $\mathbf{F}_N := \mathbf{F}|_N \rightarrow N$, we have an essentially self-adjoint elliptic first-order differential operator \mathcal{D}_N and a self-adjoint unitary $\Gamma_N \in \Gamma^\infty(\text{End } \mathbf{F}_N)$ satisfying $\Gamma_N \mathcal{D}_N = -\mathcal{D}_N \Gamma_N$. On the collar neighbourhood C , we have

$$\mathcal{D}|_C \simeq -i\partial_r \otimes \Gamma_N + 1 \otimes \mathcal{D}_N.$$

Throughout this section, we will assume the following:

Assumption (C). Let M , \mathcal{D} and $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ satisfy assumptions (A) and (B) such that $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm (and hence a generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operator) for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 > 0$. Without loss of generality, assume that the compact subset K from Assumption (A3) has a smooth compact boundary N . We assume furthermore that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (C1) The operator \mathcal{D} is of product form on a collar neighbourhood $C \simeq (-2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon) \times N$ of N (with $(-2\varepsilon, 0) \times N$ in the interior of K).
- (C2) For any $x, y \in K$, $\mathcal{S}(x) - \mathcal{S}(y)$ is relatively $\mathcal{S}(x)$ -compact.

Moreover, we fix an (arbitrary) invertible regular self-adjoint operator \mathcal{T} on E with domain $\text{Dom } \mathcal{T} = W$, such that $\mathcal{S}(x) - \mathcal{T}$ is relatively \mathcal{T} -compact for some (and hence, by (C2), for every) $x \in K$. If $p = 0$, \mathcal{T} is also assumed to anti-commute with $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Definition 4.2. If assumptions (A), (B), and (C) are satisfied, then the generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operator $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$ is called a *generalised Callias-type operator*.

(We always implicitly assume that $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 > 0$ such that $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm.)

We consider the invertible regular self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)$ on $C(N, E)$ corresponding to the constant family $\mathcal{T}(y) := \mathcal{T}$ (for $y \in N$). The restriction of the potential $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ to the hypersurface N also yields an invertible regular self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{S}_N(\cdot) = \{\mathcal{S}(y)\}_{y \in N}$ on $C(N, E)$. We recall that $\mathcal{S}(y) - \mathcal{T}$ is relatively \mathcal{T} -compact for each $y \in N$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}(y)(\mathcal{T} \pm i)^{-1}$ depends norm-continuously on y by Assumption (A2). Hence $\mathcal{S}_N(\cdot) - \mathcal{T}(\cdot)$ is relatively $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)$ -compact. We then know from [Dun23, Corollary A.10] that $P_+(\mathcal{S}_N(\cdot)) - P_+(\mathcal{T}(\cdot))$ is compact. Note that for $p = 0$, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{S}_N(\cdot)$ are graded, whereas for $p = 1$, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{S}_N(\cdot)$ are ungraded. In both cases, the (odd or even) relative index $\text{rel-ind}_{p+1}(P_+(\mathcal{S}_N(\cdot)), P_+(\mathcal{T}(\cdot)))$ is well-defined (see Definition 2.8). We are now ready to state our Generalised Callias Theorem for arbitrary $p, q = 0, 1$.

Theorem 4.3 (Generalised Callias Theorem). *Let $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$ be a generalised Callias-type operator. Then we have the equality*

$$[(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}] = \text{rel-ind}_{p+1}(P_+(\mathcal{S}_N(\cdot)), P_+(\mathcal{T}(\cdot))) \otimes_{C(N)} [\mathcal{D}_N] \in K_{p+q}(A).$$

4.1 Proof of the theorem

Let $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$ be a generalised Callias-type operator. We will show that we can replace the manifold M by a cylindrical manifold $\mathbb{R} \times N$, without changing the KK-class of $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$. Thus we can reduce the proof of our generalised Callias Theorem below from the general statement to the case of a cylindrical manifold. This reduction is made possible by the relative index theorem (Theorem 3.2).

Lemma 4.4. *We may replace the collar neighbourhood C by a smaller collar neighbourhood $C' \simeq (-2\varepsilon', 2\varepsilon') \times N$ (with $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$) and the potential $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ by a potential $\mathcal{S}'(\cdot)$ satisfying:*

- for all $x \in K \setminus C'$: $\mathcal{S}'(x) = \mathcal{T}$;
- for all $x = (r, y) \in C'$: $\mathcal{S}'(x) = \varrho(r)\mathcal{T} + (1 - \varrho(r))\mathcal{S}(y)$, for some function $\varrho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \varrho(r) \leq 1$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varrho(r) = 1$ for all r in a neighbourhood of $(-\infty, -\varepsilon']$, and $\varrho(r) = 0$ for all r in a neighbourhood of $[0, \infty)$,

such that $[\mathcal{S}(\cdot)] = [\mathcal{S}'(\cdot)] \in \text{KK}^p(\mathbb{C}, C_0(M, A))$ and (for λ sufficiently large) $[(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}] = [(\lambda\mathcal{S}') \times \mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^{p+q}(\mathbb{C}, A)$.

Proof. The proof given in [Dun23, Lemma 4.5] for the case $(p, q) = (1, 1)$ also works for the other cases, using Theorem 3.13 instead of [Dun23, Theorem 3.12]. \square

Definition 4.5. Consider the cylindrical manifold $\mathbb{R} \times N$, along with the pullback vector bundle $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}$ obtained from $\mathbf{F}|_N \rightarrow N$. We identify $\Gamma_c^\infty(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}) \simeq C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \Gamma^\infty(\mathbf{F}|_N)$, and consider the essentially self-adjoint elliptic first-order differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}$ on $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}$ given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N} := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_r + \mathcal{D}_N \\ -\partial_r + \mathcal{D}_N & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } q = 0, \\ -i\partial_r \otimes \Gamma_N + 1 \otimes \mathcal{D}_N, & \text{if } q = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\varrho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be as in Lemma 4.4. We define the family $\{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(r, y)\}_{(r, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times N}$ on E given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(r, y) := \varrho(r)\mathcal{T} + (1 - \varrho(r))\mathcal{S}(y).$$

If $q = 0$, the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}$ has the same \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading as in Definition 4.1, and therefore yields an even K-homology class $[\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}] \in \text{KK}^0(C_0(\mathbb{R} \times N), \mathbb{C})$. In this case, \mathcal{D}_N is ungraded and defines an odd K-homology class $[\mathcal{D}_N] \in \text{KK}^1(C(N), \mathbb{C})$.

If $q = 1$, the ungraded operator $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}$ yields an odd K-homology class $[\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}] \in \text{KK}^1(C_0(\mathbb{R} \times N), \mathbb{C})$. The operator Γ_N from Definition 4.1 provides a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading on \mathbf{F}_N , yielding the decomposition $\mathbf{F}_N = \mathbf{F}_N^+ \oplus \mathbf{F}_N^-$. By assumption, \mathcal{D}_N is odd with respect to this \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading, and thus \mathcal{D}_N defines an even K-homology class $[\mathcal{D}_N] \in \text{KK}^0(C(N), \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 4.6. *The external Kasparov product of $[-i\partial_r] \in \text{KK}^1(C_0(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{C})$ with $[\mathcal{D}_N] \in \text{KK}^{q+1}(C(N), \mathbb{C})$ equals $[\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}] \in \text{KK}^q(C_0(\mathbb{R} \times N), \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. The statement follows from the description of the odd-even resp. odd-odd (internal) Kasparov product given in [BMS16, Example 2.38] resp. [BMS16, Example 2.39] (up to unitary isomorphism, and noting that the argument remains valid in the simpler case of an external Kasparov product). \square

The following theorem already provides the core statement of our generalised Callias Theorem (Theorem 4.3), namely: the class of $(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}$ in $K_{p+q}(A)$ depends only on the product form of \mathcal{D} near N , and on the restriction of $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ to N .

Theorem 4.7. *Consider the cylindrical manifold $\mathbb{R} \times N$ with the operators $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(\cdot)$ from Definition 4.5. Then, for λ sufficiently large,*

$$[(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}] = [(\lambda\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}) \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}].$$

Proof. The proof given in [Dun23, Theorem 4.8] for the signature $(p, q) = (1, 1)$ also works for the other signatures, using the relative index theorem for arbitrary signatures (Theorem 3.2) instead of [Dun23, Theorem 3.4]. \square

We are now ready to prove our Generalised Callias Theorem.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. The proof is similar to the proof of [Dun23, Theorem 4.9], which we adapt to all four possible signatures (p, q) . Consider the cylindrical manifold $\mathbb{R} \times N$ with the operators $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(\cdot)$ from Definition 4.5. From Propositions 2.13 and 2.15 we have

$$\text{rel-ind}_{p+1}(P_+(\mathcal{S}_N(\cdot)), P_+(\mathcal{T}(\cdot))) = \text{sf}_{p+1}(\{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(r)\}_{r \in [-\epsilon, 0]}) = [\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(\cdot)] \otimes_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} [-i\partial_r].$$

We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} ([\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(\cdot)] \otimes_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} [-i\partial_r]) \otimes_{C(N)} [\mathcal{D}_N] &= [\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(\cdot)] \otimes_{C_0(\mathbb{R} \times N)} ([-i\partial_r] \otimes [\mathcal{D}_N]) \\ &= [\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(\cdot)] \otimes_{C_0(\mathbb{R} \times N)} [\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}], \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from the properties of the Kasparov product, and the second equality is given by Lemma 4.6. Furthermore, since the operators $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}$ on the manifold $\mathbb{R} \times N$ satisfy the assumptions (A) and (B), we obtain from Theorems 3.13 and 4.7 the equalities

$$[\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}(\cdot)] \otimes_{C_0(\mathbb{R} \times N)} [\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}] = [(\lambda\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}) \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times N}] = [(\lambda\mathcal{S}) \times \mathcal{D}]. \quad \square$$

4.2 The ‘classical’ Callias theorem and index pairings

Let M , \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{F} satisfy assumptions (A), (B), (C) with signature (p, q) , such that $(\lambda\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm (and hence a generalised Callias-type operator) for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 > 0$. In this section we consider the special case where A is a unital C^* -algebra and the Hilbert A -module E is finitely generated and projective. In order to constantly remind the reader of this additional assumption, we denote the potential by the symbol \mathcal{F} instead of \mathcal{S} . This is still a generalisation of the classical setting of Dirac-Schrödinger or Callias-type operators, since by introducing the auxiliary C^* -algebra A we replace vector spaces by finitely generated projective modules over A , matrix-valued functions by $\text{End}_A(E)$ -valued functions, etc.

For a projection $P \in \text{End}_A(E)$ we denote its class in even K-theory by

$$[P]_0 := [P] \in K_0(A).$$

In the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded case, assuming that $2P - 1$ is odd, we consider instead the class in odd K-theory given by

$$[P]_1 := [U_P] \in K_1(A),$$

where U_P is obtained from P as in Eq. (2.1) in §2.2. The following result generalises [Dun23, Corollary 4.11] to arbitrary signatures.

Corollary 4.8. *Let $(\lambda\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{D}$ be a generalised Callias-type operator with signature (p, q) , where A is unital and E is finitely generated and projective over A . Then*

$$[(\lambda\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{D}] = [P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)]_{p+1} \otimes_{C(N)} [\mathcal{D}_N] \in K_{p+q}(A).$$

Proof. Let us first consider the case $p = 1$. The assumptions on A and E ensure that all operators on E are compact. In particular, the operator $\mathcal{T} := -1$ is a relatively compact perturbation of each $\mathcal{S}_N(y)$. With $P_+(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ we therefore obtain

$$\text{rel-ind}_0(P_+(\mathcal{F}_N), 0) = \text{Index}(0: \text{Ran } P_+(\mathcal{F}_N) \rightarrow \{0\}) = [P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)]_0.$$

Next, we consider the case $p = 0$. We now choose the operator \mathcal{T} with the positive spectral projection $P_+(\mathcal{T})$ given by

$$\mathcal{T} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_+(\mathcal{T}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and we compute

$$\text{rel-ind}_1(P_+(\mathcal{F}_N), P_+(\mathcal{T})) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & U_{P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)} \end{pmatrix} \right] = [U_{P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)}] = [P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)]_1.$$

In both cases, we thus obtain from the Generalised Callias Theorem 4.3 the equality

$$[(\lambda\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{D}] = \text{rel-ind}_{p+1}(P_+(\mathcal{F}_N), 0) \otimes_{C(N)} [\mathcal{D}_N] = [P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)]_{p+1} \otimes_{C(N)} [\mathcal{D}_N]. \quad \square$$

The main advantage of considering this special case, is that we can reformulate the Callias Theorem in terms of index (or spectral flow) pairings which are reminiscent of various results from the literature.

4.2.1 The even index pairing

Let us consider the odd-odd signature $(p, q) = (1, 1)$, which is the case originally considered by Callias [Cal78] and which has been most extensively studied since then. In this signature, the class $[(\lambda\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A)$ corresponds to $\text{Index}(\mathcal{D} - i\lambda\mathcal{F}) \in K_0(A)$. Under the present assumption that A is unital and E is finitely generated and projective, we can rephrase the Callias Theorem in terms of an even index pairing over the hypersurface N . We refer to §A.1 for a description of this index pairing.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $(\lambda\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{D}$ be a generalised Callias-type operator of signature $(p, q) = (1, 1)$. Suppose that A is unital, and that E is finitely generated and projective. Assume furthermore that \mathcal{F} is sufficiently smooth, such that $P_+(\mathcal{F}_N): N \rightarrow \text{End}_A(E)$ is a differentiable function. Then*

$$\text{Index}(\mathcal{D} - i\lambda\mathcal{F}) = \text{Index}(P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)\mathcal{D}_N P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)) \in K_0(A).$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.8, we need to compute $[P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)] \otimes_{C(N)} [\mathcal{D}_N]$, which is given by the even index pairing by Theorem A.1. \square

4.2.2 The odd index pairing and the spectral flow

In the paper [Bun95], Bunke considered not only the previously studied odd-odd signature $(p, q) = (1, 1)$, but also was the first to consider the even-even signature $(p, q) = (0, 0)$. In the latter case, the KK-class $[(\lambda\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{D}]$ of the Callias-type operator corresponds to the index of $(\mathcal{D} + \lambda\mathcal{F})_+$. Bunke showed that this index can be computed by the index of a suitable Dirac operator on $S^1 \times N$, which can also be obtained from a spectral flow pairing [Bun95, Theorem 2.16]. Below we will provide a similar result as a consequence of our generalised Callias Theorem, describing the index in terms of the odd index pairing (see the Appendix, §A.2) and the spectral flow pairing.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $(\lambda\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{D}$ be a generalised Callias-type operator of signature $(p, q) = (0, 0)$. Suppose that A is unital, and that $E = E_0 \oplus E_0$ with \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}} = 1 \oplus (-1)$, where E_0 is finitely generated and projective. Assume furthermore that the potential \mathcal{F} has the form*

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U^* \\ U & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.1)$$

for a function $U: M \rightarrow \text{End}_A(E_0)$ such that $U(x)$ is unitary for all $x \in M \setminus K$. Then

$$\text{Index}((\mathcal{D} + \lambda\mathcal{F})_+) = -\text{Index}(P_+(\mathcal{D}_N)U_N P_+(\mathcal{D}_N)) = \text{sf}_0(\mathcal{D}_N, U_N^* \mathcal{D}_N U_N) \in K_0(A),$$

where $U_N := U|_N: N \rightarrow \text{End}_A(E_0)$ is the restriction of U . Here $\text{sf}_0(\mathcal{D}_N, U_N^* \mathcal{D}_N U_N)$ is defined to be the spectral flow of the family $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto (1 - t)\mathcal{D}_N + tU_N^* \mathcal{D}_N U_N = \mathcal{D}_N + tU_N^*[\mathcal{D}_N, U_N]$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.8, we need to compute $[P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)]_1 \otimes_{C(N)} [\mathcal{D}_N]$. Since \mathcal{F}_N is self-adjoint and unitary, we have $U_{P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)} = U_N$ and hence $[P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)]_1 = [U_N]$. We know from Theorem A.4 that the pairing between odd K-theory and odd K-homology can be computed by:

$$[U_N] \otimes_{C(N)} [\mathcal{D}_N] = -\text{Index}(P_+(\mathcal{D}_N)U_N P_+(\mathcal{D}_N)).$$

Finally, from Corollary 2.14 we obtain

$$-\text{Index}(P_+(\mathcal{D}_N)U_N P_+(\mathcal{D}_N)) = \text{sf}_0(\mathcal{D}_N, U_N^* \mathcal{D}_N U_N). \quad \square$$

4.3 Cobordism invariance

Corollary 4.11. *Let $(\lambda\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{D}$ be a generalised Callias-type operator with signature (p, q) , where A is unital and E is finitely generated and projective over A . Assume that the potential \mathcal{F} is uniformly invertible on all of M . Then*

$$[P_+(\mathcal{F}_N)]_{p+1} \otimes_{C(N)} [\mathcal{D}_N] = 0 \in K_{p+q}(A).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.7, the invertibility of the potential implies that the class of $(\lambda\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{D}$ vanishes (for λ large enough), so the result follows immediately from Corollary 4.8. \square

Let us consider two special cases of the above result, using the even and odd index pairings from the previous two subsections. First, we recover the well-known cobordism invariance of the index:

Corollary 4.12. *Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold, and let \mathcal{D} be an essentially self-adjoint elliptic first-order differential operator on a hermitian vector bundle $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow M$ (which is ungraded). Let $K \subset M$ be a compact subset with smooth compact boundary N . Assume that \mathcal{D} is of product form on a collar neighbourhood of N (as in Definition 4.1), and let \mathcal{D}_N be the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded operator on N . Then*

$$\text{Index}((\mathcal{D}_N)_+) = 0 \in K_0(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. We can consider the constant potential $\mathcal{F}(x) = 1$ (for all $x \in M$) acting on the Hilbert space $E = \mathbb{C}$, so that M , \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{F} satisfy the assumptions (A)-(C) with the signature $(p, q) = (1, 1)$. We note that $P_+(\mathcal{F}_N) = 1 \in C(N)$. The statement then follows from Theorem A.1 and Corollary 4.11. \square

Of course, the above result is nothing new, and could have been proven without the elaborate methods of our generalised Callias Theorem 4.3. Somewhat more interesting is that in our approach one can easily formulate similar cobordism invariance results also in other signatures. As an example, we present here the cobordism invariance of the spectral flow pairing:

Corollary 4.13. *Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold, and let \mathcal{D} be an odd essentially self-adjoint elliptic first-order differential operator on a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded hermitian vector bundle $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow M$. Let $K \subset M$ be a compact subset with smooth compact boundary N . Assume that \mathcal{D} is of product form on a collar neighbourhood of N (as in Definition 4.1), and let \mathcal{D}_N be the corresponding operator on N . Let $U_N \in C^1(N) \otimes M_n(A)$ be unitary, such that it can be extended to a unitary differentiable function on all of M . Then*

$$\text{sf}_0(\mathcal{D}_N, U_N^* \mathcal{D}_N U_N) = 0 \in K_0(A).$$

Proof. Let U be the unitary extension of U_N to M . Then we obtain an invertible potential \mathcal{F} as in Eq. (4.1), so that M , \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{F} satisfy the assumptions (A)-(C) with the signature $(p, q) = (0, 0)$. The statement then follows from Corollaries 2.14 and 4.11 and Theorem A.4. \square

Remark 4.14. The above results in particular apply to manifolds of the form $M = K \cup_N ([0, \infty) \times N)$. We can view K as a compact manifold with boundary N . In this case, the assumption on U_N is really about being able to extend U_N to a unitary on K (since a unitary extension on the cylindrical end always exists).

5 Generalised Toeplitz operators

The index of a classical Dirac-Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}$ can also be related to the index of a corresponding Toeplitz operator (given by \mathcal{F} compressed to the kernel of \mathcal{D}) [GH96, Bun00]. A similar result by Braverman [Bra19], but shifted in degree, considered the case where \mathcal{D} is equipped with a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading and where the potential is given by a smooth matrix-valued function f on $M \times S^1$ (or equivalently, by a family $\{f_t\}_{t \in S^1}$ of potentials on M), and showed that the index of the Dirac-Schrödinger operator corresponds to the *spectral flow* of a *family* of Toeplitz operators on S^1 . Braverman applied this equality

to the bulk-edge correspondence for topological insulators. The appendix [Dun19a] to Braverman's paper explains Braverman's main result in terms of the Kasparov product. In this section, we generalise these results and provide a unified approach for arbitrary signatures (p, q) . As in §4.2, we consider only potentials on finitely generated projective modules over unital C^* -algebras, and to emphasise this assumption we denote the potential by \mathcal{F} instead of \mathcal{S} .

Throughout this section, we will assume the following:

Assumption (T). Let A be a (trivially graded) unital C^* -algebra, and let E be a finitely generated projective Hilbert A -module. Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold (typically non-compact), and let \mathcal{D} be an essentially self-adjoint elliptic first-order differential operator on a hermitian vector bundle $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow M$. Let $\mathcal{F}: M \rightarrow \text{End}_A(E)$ be a bounded differentiable function, which is uniformly invertible outside of a compact subset of M , such that $\mathcal{F}(x) = \mathcal{F}(x)^*$ for all $x \in M$. Moreover, we consider self-adjoint unitaries $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$ as in assumption (A), depending on the signature (p, q) . We assume furthermore that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (T1) Zero is an isolated point of the spectrum of $\mathcal{D} \equiv 1 \otimes_d \mathcal{D}$ on $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F})$.
- (T2) The operator $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}]_p$ vanishes at infinity (in the sense that the function $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto \|[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}]_p(x)\|$ vanishes at infinity).

Remark 5.1. • We note that assumption (T) implies the assumptions (A) and (B) (taking $\mathcal{S} \equiv \mathcal{F}$), and therefore we may freely use all the results from Section 3. In particular, using (T2), we know from Proposition 3.8 that the product operator $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm.

- We note that, while assumption (C2) is also clearly satisfied, we do not consider any hypersurface $N \subset M$ and therefore also do not require any product structure as in (C1).

Remark 5.2. In the setting of assumption (T), the Dirac-Schrödinger operators $\mathcal{F} \times_{p,1} \mathcal{D}$ with $q = 1$ represent the trivial class in KK-theory. Indeed, in the signature $(p, q) = (1, 1)$, it follows from Proposition 3.9 that $[\mathcal{F} \times_{1,1} \mathcal{D}] = 0$. This vanishing was already observed by Bunke [Bun95, Proposition 2.8]. Similarly, in the signature $(p, q) = (0, 1)$, it follows from Proposition 3.10 that $[\mathcal{F} \times_{0,1} \mathcal{D}] = 0$ (here we use that, since 0 is an isolated point in the spectrum of \mathcal{D} , there exists a small $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(-\epsilon, 0) \cup (0, \epsilon)$ does not intersect the spectrum). For this reason, we will ignore the case $q = 1$ in the following definition. For the two signatures $(p, q) = (0, 0)$ and $(p, q) = (1, 0)$ we will see non-trivial examples in §5.1.

Definition 5.3. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F})$ denote the kernel of $\mathcal{D} \equiv 1 \otimes_d \mathcal{D}$, and consider the corresponding orthogonal projection denoted by $P: L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. We define the *generalised Toeplitz operator* $T_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ by compressing the product operator onto the range of P :

$$T_{\mathcal{F}} := P \circ (\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}): \mathcal{N} \rightarrow L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}.$$

We have the following explicit formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{even-even signature } (p, q) = (0, 0) : \quad T_{\mathcal{F}} &= P\mathcal{F}P; \\ \text{odd-even signature } (p, q) = (1, 0) : \quad T_{\mathcal{F}} &= P\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{F}P. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we shall sometimes write $T_{\mathcal{F}} = P\tilde{\mathcal{F}}P$, with $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := \mathcal{F}$ if $(p, q) = (0, 0)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{F}$ if $(p, q) = (1, 0)$.

Remark 5.4. Our main aim below is to prove that $[\mathcal{F} \times_{p,q} \mathcal{D}] = [T_{\mathcal{F}}] \in \text{KK}^{p+q}(\mathbb{C}, A)$ for the case $q = 0$. The same equality in fact trivially also holds for the case $q = 1$. Indeed, as mentioned in Remark 5.2, we have for $q = 1$ the vanishing result $[\mathcal{F} \times_{p,1} \mathcal{D}] = 0 \in \text{KK}^{p+1}(\mathbb{C}, A)$, and we can show directly that the class of the corresponding Toeplitz operator also vanishes (to be precise, one should first check that the Toeplitz operator is self-adjoint and Fredholm, but this follows as in the proof of Theorem 5.6).

First, in the odd-odd signature $(1, 1)$, the Toeplitz operator is given on $\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ by

$$T_{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iP\mathcal{F}P \\ -iP\mathcal{F}P & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its KK-class $[T_{\mathcal{F}}] \in \text{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A)$ then corresponds to $\text{Index}(-iP\mathcal{F}P) = \text{Index}(P\mathcal{F}P) \in \text{K}_0(A)$. Since $P\mathcal{F}P$ is self-adjoint, this index vanishes, so we have $[T_{\mathcal{F}}] = 0$. (Alternatively, we can observe that $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ has a Clifford symmetry which interchanges the two copies of \mathcal{N} , and obtain the vanishing of its class from Lemma 2.6.)

Second, in the even-odd signature $(0, 1)$, the Toeplitz operator is given by

$$T_{\mathcal{F}} = P\mathcal{F}P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P\mathcal{F}_-P \\ P\mathcal{F}_+P & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now observe that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ yields a Clifford symmetry for $T_{\mathcal{F}}$, so by Lemma 2.6 we again have $[T_{\mathcal{F}}] = 0$.

Proposition 5.5. *The commutator $[P, \mathcal{F}]$ on $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbb{F})$ is compact.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of [Bun00, Lemma 2.4] and [Bra19, Lemma 5.2], but we take care that it works in both signatures.

First, by Rellich's Lemma, the operator \mathcal{D} on $L^2(M, \mathbb{F})$ has locally compact resolvents. Since A is unital and E is finitely generated projective, the identity operator on E is compact, and it follows that also $\mathcal{D} \equiv 1 \otimes_d \mathcal{D}$ on $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbb{F})$ also has locally compact resolvents. We compute

$$[\mathcal{F}, (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}] = (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1} (\mathcal{D}[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}]_p - (-1)^p [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}]_p \mathcal{D}) (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}.$$

Since \mathcal{D} has locally compact resolvents and $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}]_p$ vanishes at infinity (assumption (T2)), it follows that $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}]_p (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}$ and therefore also $[\mathcal{F}, (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}]$ is compact.

By assumption (T1), there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $P = \phi(\mathcal{D})$ for any even function $\phi \in C_c(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ with $\phi(0) = 1$. By Stone–Weierstrass, the subalgebra of even functions in $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is generated by the function $t \mapsto (1 + t^2)^{-1}$. Thus it follows that also $[P, \mathcal{F}] = [\phi(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{F}]$ is compact. \square

Theorem 5.6. *Consider the setting of assumption (T) with signature (p, q) . Then the generalised Toeplitz operator $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a (bounded) self-adjoint Fredholm operator, and we have the equalities*

$$[T_{\mathcal{F}}] = [\mathcal{F} \times_{p,q} \mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^{p+q}(\mathbb{C}, A).$$

Proof. Since the case $q = 1$ is trivial (see Remark 5.4), we focus on the case $q = 0$ and use the notation of Definition 5.3. Nevertheless, the proof also works in the signatures $(p, 1)$, requiring at most some notational changes.

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is bounded and self-adjoint in each signature, the Toeplitz operator $T_{\mathcal{F}} = P\tilde{\mathcal{F}}P$ is also bounded and self-adjoint. We mentioned in Remark 5.1 that $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm. We need to show that $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}$ and $T_{\mathcal{F}} = P(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})P$ represent the same class in $\text{KK}^{p+q}(\mathbb{C}, A)$. Let us write $Q := 1 - P$. From Proposition 5.5, we know that

$$P(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})Q = P\tilde{\mathcal{F}}Q = [P, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}]Q = \begin{cases} [P, \mathcal{F}]Q, & (p, q) = (0, 0), \\ \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}[P, \mathcal{F}]Q, & (p, q) = (1, 0) \end{cases}$$

is compact. Similarly, also $Q(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})P$ is compact. In particular, $P(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})P \oplus Q(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})Q$ is a compact perturbation of the Fredholm operator $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}$, which implies that both $T_{\mathcal{F}} = P(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})P$ and $Q(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})Q$ are Fredholm, and that

$$[\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}] = [P(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})P] + [Q(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})Q] = [T_{\mathcal{F}}] + [Q(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})Q].$$

Rescaling \mathcal{F} by a positive constant $\lambda > 0$ and using Proposition 3.8, we find similarly that $Q((\lambda\mathcal{F}) \times \mathcal{D})Q$ is Fredholm for any $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, in the case $\lambda = 0$ we know that $Q(0 \times \mathcal{D})Q$ is invertible (on the complement of the kernel of \mathcal{D}). Thus $Q(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})Q$ is homotopic to an invertible operator, and therefore $[Q(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D})Q] = 0$. \square

5.1 Special cases

5.1.1 The even-even signature

Toeplitz operators with the even-even signature $(p, q) = (0, 0)$ were considered by Bunke in [Bun00]. (In fact, Bunke also considered the odd-odd signature $(p, q) = (1, 1)$, which he showed to be trivial, as mentioned in Remark 5.1.) Here we shall prove a generalisation of [Bun00, Proposition 2.6].

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f^* \\ f & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfy assumption (T) with signature $(p, q) = (0, 0)$. Then*

$$\text{Index}(T_f^+) - \text{Index}(T_f^-) = \text{Index} \left(\begin{pmatrix} f & \mathcal{D}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_+ & -f^* \end{pmatrix} \right) \in K_0(A),$$

where we define $T_f^\pm := P_\pm f P_\pm$, with P_\pm denoting the projection onto the kernel of \mathcal{D}_\pm .

Proof. Let us first obtain a convenient expression for $\mathcal{F} \times_{0,0} \mathcal{D}$. On the decomposition

$$L^2(M, E \otimes \mathbf{F}) = L^2(M, E_+ \otimes \mathbf{F}_+) \oplus L^2(M, E_- \otimes \mathbf{F}_+) \oplus L^2(M, E_+ \otimes \mathbf{F}_-) \oplus L^2(M, E_- \otimes \mathbf{F}_-),$$

we can write

$$\mathcal{F} \times_{0,0} \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f^* & \mathcal{D}_- & 0 \\ f & 0 & 0 & -\mathcal{D}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_+ & 0 & 0 & f^* \\ 0 & -\mathcal{D}_+ & f & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} P_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_+ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_- & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P_- \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under the unitary transformation given by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain the unitarily equivalent operators

$$U^*(\mathcal{F} \times_{0,0} \mathcal{D})U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & f^* & \mathcal{D}_- \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{D}_+ & -f \\ f & \mathcal{D}_- & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{D}_+ & -f^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U^*PU = \begin{pmatrix} P_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_- & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P_- \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, under the standard isomorphism $\mathrm{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{K}_0(A)$, the class $[\mathcal{F} \times_{0,0} \mathcal{D}] = [U^*(\mathcal{F} \times_{0,0} \mathcal{D})U] \in \mathrm{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A)$ is given by the index of $(\mathcal{F} \times_{0,0} \mathcal{D})_+ := \begin{pmatrix} f & \mathcal{D}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_+ & -f^* \end{pmatrix}$.

Furthermore, from Theorem 5.6 we have the equality $[U^*T_{\mathcal{F}}U] = [U^*(\mathcal{F} \times_{0,0} \mathcal{D})U]$, and therefore the index of $(\mathcal{F} \times_{0,0} \mathcal{D})_+$ is equal to the index of

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_+ & 0 \\ 0 & P_- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f & \mathcal{D}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_+ & -f^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_+ & 0 \\ 0 & P_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_+ f P_+ & 0 \\ 0 & -P_- f^* P_- \end{pmatrix}.$$

The index of the latter is indeed given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Index}(P_+ f P_+) + \mathrm{Index}(P_- f^* P_-) &= \mathrm{Index}(P_+ f P_+) - \mathrm{Index}(P_- f P_-) \\ &= \mathrm{Index}(T_f^+) - \mathrm{Index}(T_f^-). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 5.8. In the special case where $P_- = 0$, we obtain the equality

$$\mathrm{Index} \left(\begin{pmatrix} f & \mathcal{D}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_+ & -f^* \end{pmatrix} \right) = \mathrm{Index}(P_+ f P_+).$$

This situation occurs for instance in the setting of [GH96], where M is a strongly pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n with the Dolbeault–Dirac operator \mathcal{D} , and $f: M \rightarrow M_N(\mathbb{C})$ is a matrix-valued function acting on a finite-dimensional vector space $E = \mathbb{C}^N$ (with $A = \mathbb{C}$).

5.1.2 The odd-even signature

In both the odd-even and even-odd cases, we have $p + q = 1$, so we therefore consider classes of Dirac–Schrödinger operators in $\mathrm{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, A) \simeq \mathrm{K}_1(A)$. Of course, for $A = \mathbb{C}$, this K-theory group is trivial. In order to obtain a non-trivial index, the easiest example to consider would be to take $A = C(S^1)$. Indeed, such a setting has already been considered by Braverman [Bra19], who related the index of a Dirac–Schrödinger operator to the spectral flow of a family of Toeplitz operators (parametrised by the circle).

Here we consider the odd-even signature $(p, q) = (1, 0)$, as in [Bra19]. Let us introduce some notation. Since $q = 0$, the operator \mathcal{D} on the bundle $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_+ \oplus \mathbf{F}_- \rightarrow M$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded, and we write

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by P_{\pm} the projection onto the kernel of \mathcal{D}_{\pm} .

Now suppose that $A = C(S^1, B)$ and $E = C(S^1, V)$ for a Hilbert B -module V . Then the potential \mathcal{F} on $C_0(M, E) \simeq C(S^1, C_0(M, V))$ can be viewed as a family of operators $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in S^1}$ on $C_0(M, V)$. We introduce the corresponding Toeplitz operators

$$T_t^{\pm} := P_{\pm} \mathcal{F}_t P_{\pm} \quad \text{on} \quad \text{Ker } \mathcal{D}_{\pm} \subset L^2(M, V \otimes \mathbb{F}).$$

The following result is a generalisation of [Bra19, Theorem 2.1] (see also the reformulation in [Bra19, Proposition A.1]).

Proposition 5.9. *Consider the setting of assumption (T) with signature $(p, q) = (1, 0)$. Assume that $A = C(S^1, B)$ for some (trivially graded) unital C^* -algebra B , and that $E = C(S^1, V)$ for some finitely generated projective module V over B . Then the image of $[\mathcal{F} \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, C(S^1, B))$ in $\text{K}_0(B)$ under the standard isomorphism is given by*

$$[\mathcal{F} \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{sf}(\{\mathcal{F}_t \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D}\}_{t \in S^1}) = \text{sf}(\{T_t^+\}_{t \in S^1}) - \text{sf}(\{T_t^-\}_{t \in S^1}).$$

Proof. We recall that the product operator is an ungraded operator of the form

$$\mathcal{F} \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D} + \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F} & \mathcal{D}^- \\ \mathcal{D}^+ & -\mathcal{F} \end{pmatrix},$$

describing a class $[\mathcal{F} \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, A)$. From Theorem 5.6 we have $[\mathcal{F} \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D}] = [T_{\mathcal{F}}]$, where the generalised Toeplitz operator $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ is of the form

$$T_{\mathcal{F}} = P(\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{F})P = \begin{pmatrix} P_+ \mathcal{F} P_+ & 0 \\ 0 & -P_- \mathcal{F} P_- \end{pmatrix}.$$

This operator $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\mathcal{N} = E \otimes \text{Ker } \mathcal{D} \simeq C(S^1, V \otimes \text{Ker } \mathcal{D})$ is given by a family of operators $\{T_{\mathcal{F}_t}\}_{t \in S^1}$ on the Hilbert B -module $V \otimes \text{Ker } \mathcal{D} = (V \otimes \text{Ker } \mathcal{D}_+) \oplus (V \otimes \text{Ker } \mathcal{D}_-)$, where

$$T_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \begin{pmatrix} P_+ \mathcal{F}_t P_+ & 0 \\ 0 & -P_- \mathcal{F}_t P_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_t^+ & 0 \\ 0 & -T_t^- \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under the isomorphism $\text{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, C(S^1, B)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{K}_0(B)$ given by the spectral flow, the KK-classes of $\mathcal{F} \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D}$ and $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ correspond to

$$[\mathcal{F} \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{sf}(\{\mathcal{F}_t \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D}\}_{t \in S^1}),$$

$$[T_{\mathcal{F}}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{sf} \left(\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} T_t^+ & 0 \\ 0 & -T_t^- \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{t \in S^1} \right) = \text{sf}(\{T_t^+\}_{t \in S^1}) - \text{sf}(\{T_t^-\}_{t \in S^1}). \quad \square$$

Remark 5.10. In some applications, one has $\text{ker } \mathcal{D}_- = \{0\}$. In this case, the above proposition yields the equality $[\mathcal{F} \times_{1,0} \mathcal{D}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{sf}(\{P_+ \mathcal{F}_t P_+\}_{t \in S^1})$. This situation occurs for instance for the Dolbeault–Dirac operator on a strongly pseudoconvex domain, as described in [Bra19, §3] (where $B = \mathbb{C}$ and $V = \mathbb{C}^k$).

A Index pairings

A.1 The even index pairing

Given an even Fredholm module (B, \mathcal{H}, F) and a projection $p \in M_N(B)$, it is well-known that pFp is a Fredholm operator on $p\mathcal{H}^{\oplus N}$, and that its index computes the pairing of the K-theory class $[p] \in K_0(B)$ with the K-homology class $[F] \in K^0(B)$:

$$[p] \otimes_B [F] \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Index}(pF_+p),$$

where F_+ is one of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded components of F . A similar result holds also for an even spectral triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$, provided that p preserves the domain of \mathcal{D} and $[\mathcal{D}, p]$ is bounded. Here we prove a slightly more general result in the presence of an auxiliary C^* -algebra A , and thus we consider a projection $p \in M_N(A \otimes B)$. We recall that its K-theory class $[p] \in K_0(A \otimes B)$ corresponds (under the standard isomorphism) to the KK-class of the (unbounded) Kasparov \mathbb{C} - $A \otimes B$ module $(\mathbb{C}, p \cdot (A \otimes B)^{\oplus N}, 0)$ in $\text{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A \otimes B)$.

Given an even spectral triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ representing a class $[\mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^0(B, \mathbb{C})$, there is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, with respect to which we can write

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi(b) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_+(b) & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_-(b) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall b \in B.$$

The projection $p \in M_N(A \otimes B)$ yields a projection $\pi(p) \in A \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\oplus N})$ by extending the representation $\pi = \pi_+ \oplus \pi_-$ from \mathcal{H} to $\mathcal{H}^{\oplus N}$. Similarly, we also obtain projections $p_+ \equiv \pi_+(p) \in A \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_+^{\oplus N})$ and $p_- \equiv \pi_-(p) \in A \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_-^{\oplus N})$. We recall that the representation $\pi: B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is *non-degenerate* if $\text{span}\{bh \mid b \in B, h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} .

Theorem A.1. *Let A and B be trivially graded unital C^* -algebras. Consider now an even spectral triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ over B , representing a class $[\mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^0(B, \mathbb{C})$ (we assume, without loss of generality, that the representation $\pi: B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is non-degenerate). Furthermore, consider a projection $p \in M_N(A \otimes B)$, such that p preserves the domain of \mathcal{D} , and $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(p)]$ is bounded. Then the pairing $[p] \otimes_B [\mathcal{D}] \in \text{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A)$ is given under the standard isomorphism $\text{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(A)$ by*

$$[p] \otimes_B [\mathcal{D}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Index}(p_- \mathcal{D}_+ p_+) \in K_0(A).$$

Proof. Since the representation of B on \mathcal{H} is non-degenerate, we have the isomorphism

$$(p \cdot (A \otimes B)^{\oplus N}) \otimes_B \mathcal{H} \simeq \pi(p) \cdot (A \otimes \mathcal{H})^{\oplus N}.$$

Since the Kasparov module representing the K-theory class $[p]$ is given by the zero operator on $p \cdot (A \otimes B)^{\oplus N}$, the Kasparov product $[p] \otimes_B [\mathcal{D}]$ is represented by any \mathcal{D} -connection on $\pi(p) \cdot (A \otimes \mathcal{H})^{\oplus N}$. Now the operator $\pi(p)\mathcal{D}\pi(p)$ (where we simply write \mathcal{D} for the diagonal operator on $(A \otimes \mathcal{H})^{\oplus N}$) is such a \mathcal{D} -connection. With respect to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ we write

$$\pi(p)\mathcal{D}\pi(p) = \begin{pmatrix} p_+ & 0 \\ 0 & p_- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}_- \\ \mathcal{D}_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_+ & 0 \\ 0 & p_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_+ \mathcal{D}_- p_- \\ p_- \mathcal{D}_+ p_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, under the standard isomorphism, the KK-class $[\pi(p)\mathcal{D}\pi(p)] \in \text{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A)$ corresponds to $\text{Index}(p_- \mathcal{D}_+ p_+) \in K_0(A)$. \square

A.2 The odd index pairing

Given an odd Fredholm module (B, \mathcal{H}, F) and a unitary $u \in M_N(B)$, it is well-known that $PuP + 1 - P$ is a Fredholm operator on $\mathcal{H}^{\oplus N}$ (where $P = P_+(F)$ denotes the positive spectral projection of F), and that its index computes the pairing of the K-theory class $[u] \in K_1(B)$ with the K-homology class $[F] \in K^1(B)$, at least up to a sign:

$$[u] \otimes_B [F] \xrightarrow{\cong} \pm \text{Index}(PuP + 1 - P).$$

We include a proof of this fact for two reasons. First, the sign in front of the index depends on sign conventions, in particular on the choices of ‘standard’ isomorphisms $\text{KK}^p(\mathbb{C}, A) \simeq K_p(A)$ and $\text{KK}^1(A, B) \simeq \text{KK}^0(A \otimes \mathbb{C}1, B)$. We therefore need to determine this sign with our conventions. Second, we wish to prove a slightly more general result in the presence of an auxiliary C^* -algebra A (i.e., for a K-theory class $[u] \in K_1(A \otimes B)$). We start with the following basic lemma.

Lemma A.2. *Let (A, E_B, F) be a (bounded) Kasparov A - B -module, such that $F^* = F$ and $\|F\| \leq 1$. Let $\chi \in C([-1, 1])$ be an odd function with $|\chi| \leq 1$ everywhere, $\chi(-1) = -1$, and $\chi(1) = 1$. Then*

$$[(A, E_B, F)] = [(A, E_B, \chi(F))] \in \text{KK}(A, B).$$

Proof. By Stone–Weierstrass, the C^* -algebra $C_0((-1, 1))$ is generated by the functions $x \mapsto 1 - x^2$ and $x \mapsto (1 - x^2)x$. For each $a \in A$, the operators $a(1 - F^2)$ and $a(1 - F^2)F$ are compact, so it follows that $a\varphi(F)$ is compact for every $\varphi \in C_0((-1, 1))$. Applying this to $\varphi(x) := x - \chi(x)$, we conclude that $a(F - \chi(F))$ is compact for all $a \in A$, and therefore F and $\chi(F)$ represent the same KK-class. \square

We recall the following useful construction of the Kasparov product in a special case.

Theorem A.3 ([Bla98, Proposition 18.10.1]). *Let A, B, C be $(\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded) σ -unital C^* -algebras, with C separable. Let (C, E_{1A}, F_1) and (A, E_{2B}, F_2) be (bounded) Kasparov modules, where we assume that $F_1^* = F_1$ and $\|F_1\| \leq 1$. Let \tilde{F}_2 be an F_2 -connection on $E_1 \otimes_A E_2$. Assume that $[F_1 \hat{\otimes} 1, c]$ is compact for all $c \in C$. Then we have a Kasparov C - B -module*

$$(C, (E_1 \hat{\otimes}_A E_2)_B, F_1 \hat{\otimes} 1 + ((1 - F_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\otimes} 1)\tilde{F}_2)$$

which represents the Kasparov product (over A) of (C, E_{1A}, F_1) and (A, E_{2B}, F_2) .

Below we will be interested in the case $C = \mathbb{C}$; then the compactness assumption of $[F_1 \hat{\otimes} 1, c]$ will be trivially satisfied.

Now consider an odd (bounded) Kasparov \mathbb{C} - $A \otimes B$ module $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H}_{A \otimes B}, T)$ representing a class $[T] \in \text{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, A \otimes B)$. Let $u := e^{\pi i(T+1)}$, so that $[u] \in K_1(A \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \simeq K_1(A \otimes B)$ is the image of $[T]$ under the standard isomorphism $\text{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, A \otimes B) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(A \otimes B)$.

Furthermore, consider an odd Fredholm module (B, \mathcal{H}, F) , representing a class $[F] \in \text{KK}^1(B, \mathbb{C})$. We shall denote by $P_+(F)$ the positive spectral projection of F .

Theorem A.4. *Let A and B be trivially graded unital C^* -algebras. Consider an odd Kasparov \mathbb{C} - $A \otimes B$ module $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H}_{A \otimes B}, T)$ and an odd Fredholm module (B, \mathcal{H}, F) (we assume,*

without loss of generality, that $T^* = T$ and $\|T\| \leq 1$, and that B is represented non-degenerately on \mathcal{H} . Then the pairing $[u] \otimes_B [F] \in \text{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A)$ is given under the standard isomorphism $\text{KK}^0(\mathbb{C}, A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{K}_0(A)$ by

$$[u] \otimes_B [F] \xrightarrow{\cong} -\text{Index}(P_+(F)uP_+(F) + 1 - P_+(F)) \in \text{K}_0(A).$$

Proof. The proof follows similar arguments as given in the proof of [KNR12, Theorem 7.8] (but with different sign conventions). For ease of notation, let us write $P := P_+(F)$ and $Q := (T + 1)/2$. We note that we can equivalently represent the class $[F]$ by the operator $2P - 1$. Moreover, applying Lemma A.2 with the function $\chi(x) := -\cos(\pi(x + 1)/2)$, we can represent the class $[u] \simeq [T]$ by the operator $-\cos(\pi Q)$. Thus we wish to compute the Kasparov product

$$[u] \otimes_B [F] = [-\cos(\pi Q)] \otimes_{A \otimes B} \tau_A([2P - 1]).$$

The class $\tau_A([2P - 1])$ is represented by the Kasparov $A \otimes B$ - A -module $(A \otimes B, (A \otimes \mathcal{H})_A, 1 \otimes (2P - 1))$. The interior tensor product of $\mathcal{H}_{A \otimes B}$ with $A \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to

$$(\mathcal{H}_{A \otimes B}) \otimes_{A \otimes B} (A \otimes \mathcal{H}) \simeq (\mathcal{H}_A \otimes B) \otimes_{A \otimes B} (A \otimes \mathcal{H}) \simeq \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H},$$

where we used that the representation of B on \mathcal{H} is non-degenerate. Under this isomorphism, we obtain a $(2P - 1)$ -connection \tilde{F} on $(\mathcal{H}_{A \otimes B}) \otimes_{A \otimes B} (A \otimes \mathcal{H})$ from the operator $1 \otimes (2P - 1)$ on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}$. For simplicity, we will denote this connection operator simply as $2P - 1$. Combining Theorem A.3 with the usual doubling trick for odd Kasparov modules (see e.g. [Con94, Proposition IV.A.13]), the latter Kasparov product is given by the (bounded) Kasparov module

$$\left(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\cos(\pi Q) - i \sin(\pi Q)(2P - 1) \\ -\cos(\pi Q) + i \sin(\pi Q)(2P - 1) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Here we have used that $-1 \leq T \leq 1$ and hence $0 \leq Q \leq 1$, so that $\sin(\pi Q) \geq 0$ and we can write $(1 - \cos(\pi Q))^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sin(\pi Q)$. Under the standard isomorphism, the KK-class of the above Kasparov module then corresponds to

$$\text{Index}(-\cos(\pi Q) + i \sin(\pi Q)(2P - 1)) \in \text{K}_0(A).$$

We introduce the unitary $v := ie^{-\pi i Q} = i \cos(\pi Q) + \sin(\pi Q)$, so that we can write $u^* = -v^2$. Multiplying the operator $-\cos(\pi Q) + i \sin(\pi Q)(2P - 1)$ by the unitary iv clearly does not change the index. Using that the commutators $[P, v \cos(\pi Q)]$ and $[P, v \sin(\pi Q)]$ are compact, we compute (here \sim denotes equality modulo compact operators)

$$\begin{aligned} & -iv \cos(\pi Q) - v \sin(\pi Q)(2P - 1) \\ &= -iv \cos(\pi Q)P - iv \cos(\pi Q)(1 - P) - v \sin(\pi Q)P + v \sin(\pi Q)(1 - P) \\ &\sim -iPv \cos(\pi Q)P - i(1 - P)v \cos(\pi Q)(1 - P) \\ &\quad - Pv \sin(\pi Q)P + (1 - P)v \sin(\pi Q)(1 - P) \\ &= P(-v^2)P + (1 - P)vv^*(1 - P) \end{aligned}$$

$$= Pu^*P + (1 - P).$$

Thus we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Index}(-\cos(\pi Q) + i\sin(\pi Q)(2P - 1)) &= \text{Index}(Pu^*P + (1 - P)) \\ &= -\text{Index}(PuP + (1 - P)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

References

- [Ang90] N. Anghel, *L²-index formulae for perturbed Dirac operators*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **128** (1990), no. 1, 77–97.
- [Ang93] ———, *On the index of Callias-type operators*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **3** (1993), no. 5, 431–438.
- [Bla98] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, 2nd ed., Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [BM92] J. Brüning and H. Moscovici, *L²-index for certain Dirac-Schrödinger operators*, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), no. 2, 311–336.
- [BMS16] S. Brain, B. Mesland, and W. D. van Suijlekom, *Gauge theory for spectral triples and the unbounded Kasparov product*, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **10** (2016), 135–206.
- [Bra19] M. Braverman, *Spectral flows of Toeplitz operators and bulk-edge correspondence*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **109** (2019), no. 10, 2271–2289.
- [Bun95] U. Bunke, *A K-theoretic relative index theorem and Callias-type Dirac operators*, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995), no. 1, 241–279.
- [Bun00] ———, *On the index of equivariant Toeplitz operators*, *Lie Theory and its Applications in Physics III* (H.-D. Doebner, V. K. Dobrev, and J. Hilgert, eds.), World Scientific, 2000, pp. 176–184.
- [BW93] B. Booss-Bavnbek and K. P. Wojciechowski, *Elliptic boundary problems for Dirac operators*, Mathematics Theory & Applications, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [Cal78] C. Callias, *Axial anomalies and index theorems on open spaces*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **62** (1978), no. 3, 213–234.
- [Con94] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [DM20] K. van den Dungen and B. Mesland, *Homotopy equivalence in unbounded KK-theory*, *Ann. K-theory* **5** (2020), no. 3, 501–537.
- [DR16] K. van den Dungen and A. Rennie, *Indefinite Kasparov modules and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **17** (2016), no. 11, 3255–3286.
- [Dun19a] K. van den Dungen, *A perspective from (unbounded) KK-theory*, 2019, Appendix to: M. Braverman, *Spectral flows of Toeplitz operators and bulk-edge correspondence*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **109** (2019), 2271–2289, doi:10.1007/s11005-019-01187-7.
- [Dun19b] ———, *The index of generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operators*, *J. Spectr. Theory* **9** (2019), 1459–1506.
- [Dun23] ———, *Generalised Dirac-Schrödinger operators and the Callias theorem*, 2023, arXiv:2312.17600.

- [DZ98] X. Dai and W. Zhang, *Higher spectral flow*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **157** (1998), no. 2, 432–469.
- [GH96] E. Guentner and N. Higson, *A note on Toeplitz operators*, *Int. J. Math.* **07** (1996), no. 04, 501–513.
- [Guo21] H. Guo, *Index of equivariant Callias-type operators and invariant metrics of positive scalar curvature*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **31** (2021), 1–34.
- [GW16] F. Gesztesy and M. Waurick, *The Callias index formula revisited*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer International Publishing, 2016.
- [HR00] N. Higson and J. Roe, *Analytic K-Homology*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [HY24] P. Hochs and A. Yanes, *Equivariant spectral flow for families of Dirac-type operators*, 2024, arXiv:2403.00575.
- [Kas16] G. G. Kasparov, *Elliptic and transversally elliptic index theory from the viewpoint of KK-theory*, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **10** (2016), 1303–1378.
- [KL12] J. Kaad and M. Lesch, *A local global principle for regular operators in Hilbert C^* -modules*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262** (2012), no. 10, 4540–4569.
- [KL13] ———, *Spectral flow and the unbounded Kasparov product*, *Adv. Math.* **248** (2013), 495–530.
- [KNR12] J. Kaad, R. Nest, and A. Rennie, *KK-theory and spectral flow in von Neumann algebras*, *J. K-Theory* **10** (2012), no. 2, 241–277.
- [Kuc01] D. Kucerovsky, *A short proof of an index theorem*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (2001), no. 12, 3729–3736.
- [Lan95] E. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules: A toolkit for operator algebraists*, Lecture note series: London Mathematical Society, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [Råd94] J. Råde, *Callias’ index theorem, elliptic boundary conditions, and cutting and gluing*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **161** (1994), no. 1, 51–61.
- [SS23] H. Schulz-Baldes and T. Stoiber, *Callias-type operators associated to spectral triples*, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **17** (2023), 527–572.
- [Wah07] C. Wahl, *On the noncommutative spectral flow*, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **22** (2007), no. 2, 135–187.