

# A Lie characterization of the Bousfield-Kan $\mathbb{Q}$ -completion and $\mathbb{Q}$ -good spaces

Yves Félix, Mario Fuentes and Aniceto Murillo\*

July 4, 2024

## Abstract

We prove that the unit of the Quillen pair  $\mathbf{sset} \xrightleftharpoons[\langle \cdot \rangle]{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{cdgl}$  given by the model and realization functor is, up to homotopy, the Bousfield-Kan  $\mathbb{Q}$ -completion.

## Introduction

The Bousfield-Kan  $\mathbb{Q}$ -completion [4] is a coaugmented functor

$$(\cdot)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge}: \mathbf{sset} \longrightarrow \mathbf{sset}$$

which extends the classical rationalization for nilpotent spaces and it satisfies the following property: a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  induces an isomorphism on rational homology if and only if  $f_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge}: X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge}$  is a weak homotopy equivalence.

On the other hand, in [7], the authors introduce a Quillen pair, model and realization,

$$\mathbf{sset} \xrightleftharpoons[\langle \cdot \rangle]{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{cdgl}$$

between the categories of simplicial sets and complete differential graded Lie algebras (cdgl's henceforth) whose restriction

$$\mathbf{sset}_0 \xrightleftharpoons[\langle \cdot \rangle]{\mathcal{L}^a} \mathbf{cdgl}_0$$

---

\*The authors have been partially supported by the grant PID2020-118753GB-I00 of the Spanish Government and the Junta de Andalucía grant ProyExcel-00827. The second author has been also supported by the grant ANR-11-LABX-0040 of the LabEx CIMI.

to reduced simplicial sets and connected cdgl's extend, up to homotopy, the classical model and realization functors of Quillen [14]. Here,  $\mathfrak{L}^a$  is the component of  $\mathfrak{L}$  at the 0-simplex  $a$  (see §1).

We prove:

**Theorem 0.1.** *For any reduced simplicial set  $X$ , the unit of the adjunction*

$$X \longrightarrow \langle \mathfrak{L}_X^a \rangle$$

*is weakly equivalent to the Bousfield-Kan  $\mathbb{Q}$ -completion of  $X$*

$$X \longrightarrow X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge}.$$

In general, for any simplicial set  $X = \coprod_{i \in I} X_i$  non necessarily connected,  $\langle \mathfrak{L}_X \rangle$  is weakly homotopy equivalent to  $\coprod_{i \in I} \langle \mathfrak{L}_{X_i}^{a_i} \rangle \coprod \{*\}$ , with  $a_i$  any vertex of  $X_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Thus, the previous result provides:

**Corollary 0.2.** *For any simplicial set  $X$ ,  $\langle \mathfrak{L}_X \rangle \simeq \coprod_{X_i \in \pi_0(X)} (X_i)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge} \coprod \{*\}$ . □*

Recall that the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -completion is not an idempotent functor. In fact  $X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge} \simeq (X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge}$  if and only if the natural map  $X \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge}$  induces a rational homology isomorphism. These are the so called  $\mathbb{Q}$ -good spaces. For instance, and quite remarkably, the wedge of two circles is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -bad space [12]. It turns out that  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -good if and only if  $X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -good, and this happens if and only if  $X \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge}$  is the Bousfield  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology localization [3]. Hence, Theorem 0.1 has the following direct consequence:

**Corollary 0.3.** *(i) A reduced simplicial set  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -good if and only if the unit  $X \rightarrow \langle \mathfrak{L}_X^a \rangle$  induces an isomorphism in rational homology.*

*(ii) The model functor induces an injective-on-objects functor*

$$\mathrm{Ho} \, \mathrm{sset}_{0, \mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}^a} \mathrm{Ho} \, \mathrm{cdgl}_0$$

*between the homotopy categories of reduced,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -good,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -local simplicial sets and connected cdgl's. □*

Also, Theorem 0.1 together with [2, Theorem 6.6], see also [7, Theorem 12.18], immediately imply the following, under no finiteness restrictions:

**Corollary 0.4.** *For any cdga model  $A$  and any Lie model  $L$  of the reduced simplicial sets  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively, the realization of the cdgl  $A \widehat{\otimes} L$  is weakly equivalent to the mapping space  $\mathrm{map}(X, Y_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge})$ . □*

Finally, when restricting to nilpotent spaces we get:

**Theorem 0.5.** *The model and realization functors induce equivalences*

$$\mathbf{Ho\,sset}_{0,\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{N}} \xrightleftharpoons[\langle \cdot \rangle]{\mathfrak{g}^a} \mathbf{Ho\,cdgl}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$$

between the homotopy categories of reduced nilpotent rational simplicial sets and homologically nilpotent connected cdgl's.

Section 2 is entirely devoted to the proof of Theorem 0.1 and its previous version for nilpotent spaces, while in Section 1 we include a very brief compendium of the facts we will use from the homotopy theory of cdgl's.

## 1 Preliminaries

All that follows can be found in the detailed reference [7] or the original sources [5, 6].

The ground field of any considered vector space will always be  $\mathbb{Q}$ . A *filtration* of a differential graded Lie algebra (dgl for short)  $L$ , or  $(L, d)$  if we want to specify the differential, is a decreasing sequence of differential Lie ideals,

$$L = F^1 \supset \dots \supset F^n \supset F^{n+1} \supset \dots$$

such that  $[F^p, F^q] \subset F^{p+q}$  for  $p, q \geq 1$ . The lower central series,

$$L^1 \supset \dots \supset L^n \supset L^{n+1} \supset \dots$$

with  $L^1 = L$  and  $L^n = [L, L^{n-1}]$  for  $n > 1$ , is a fundamental example. A *complete differential graded Lie algebra*, cdgl henceforth, is a dgl  $L$  equipped with a filtration  $\{F^n\}_{n \geq 1}$  for which the natural map

$$L \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_n L/F^n$$

is a dgl isomorphism. We denote by **cdgl** the category of cdgl's and morphisms which are dgl maps preserving the corresponding filtrations.

Given a dgl  $L$  filtered by  $\{F^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , its *completion* is the dgl  $\widehat{L} = \varprojlim_n L/F^n$  which is always complete with respect to the filtration  $\widehat{F}^n = \ker(\widehat{L} \rightarrow L/F^n)$  since  $\widehat{L}/\widehat{F}^n = L/F^n$ . In particular, denote by

$$\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V) = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{L}(V)/\mathbb{L}(V)^n$$

the completion of the free Lie algebra  $\mathbb{L}(V)$  generated by the graded vector space  $V$ .

*Caution:* In the following it is important not to confuse the filtration degree with the length of a word. If we denote by  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}^q(V)$  the vector spaces generated by words of bracket length exactly  $q$ ,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{L}}^{\geq n}(V) = \prod_{q \geq n} \mathbb{L}^q(V), \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

A *Maurer-Cartan* or MC element of a given dgl  $L$  is an element  $a \in L_{-1}$  for which  $da = -\frac{1}{2}[a, a]$ . Given  $a \in \text{MC}(L)$ , we denote by  $d_a = d + \text{ad}_a$  the *perturbed differential* where  $\text{ad}$  is the usual adjoint operator. The *component* of  $L$  at  $a$  is the connected sub dgl  $L^a$  of  $(L, d_a)$  given by

$$L_p^a = \begin{cases} \ker d_a & \text{if } p = 0, \\ L_p & \text{if } p > 0. \end{cases}$$

There is a pair of adjoint functors, (*global*) *model* and *realization*,

$$\mathbf{sset} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}} \\ \xleftarrow{\langle \cdot \rangle} \end{array} \mathbf{cdgl}, \quad (1)$$

which restricts to adjoint functors

$$\mathbf{sset}_0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}^a} \\ \xleftarrow{\langle \cdot \rangle} \end{array} \mathbf{cdgl}_0 \quad (2)$$

between the categories of reduced simplicial sets and connected cdgl's. Here, for any simplicial set  $X$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}_X^a$  is the component of  $\mathfrak{L}_X$  at the 0-simplex  $a$  of  $X$  which is naturally identified to a Maurer-Cartan element of  $\mathfrak{L}_X$ .

These functors are based on the cosimplicial cdgl  $\mathfrak{L}_\bullet = \{\mathfrak{L}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  which we briefly recall. For each  $n \geq 0$ , see [7, Chapter 6],

$$\mathfrak{L}_n = (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(s^{-1}\Delta^n), d)$$

in which  $s^{-1}\Delta^n$  denotes the desuspension  $s^{-1}N_*(\underline{\Delta}^n)$  of the non-degenerate simplicial chains on  $\underline{\Delta}^n$ . Hence, generators of degree  $p-1$  of  $s^{-1}\Delta^n$ , with  $p \geq 0$ , are written as  $a_{i_0 \dots i_p}$  with  $0 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_p \leq n$ . The cofaces and codegeneracies in  $\mathfrak{L}_\bullet$  are induced by those on the cosimplicial chain complex  $s^{-1}N_*\underline{\Delta}^\bullet$ , and the differential  $d$  on each  $\mathfrak{L}_n$  is the only one (up to cdgl isomorphism) satisfying:

- (1) For each  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , the generators of  $s^{-1}\Delta^n$ , corresponding to vertices, are MC elements.
- (2) The linear part of  $d$  is induced by the boundary operator of  $s^{-1}\Delta^n$ .
- (3) The cofaces and codegeneracies are cdgl morphisms.

The realization of a given cdgl  $L$  is the simplicial set

$$\langle L \rangle = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{cdgl}}(\mathfrak{L}_\bullet, L).$$

In particular, if  $L$  is connected,  $\langle L \rangle$  is reduced and there are isomorphisms

$$\pi_n \langle L \rangle \cong H_{n-1}(L), \quad \text{for any } n \geq 1. \quad (3)$$

Here, the group structure in  $H_0(L)$  is considered with the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff (BCH) product. Moreover, the action of  $\pi_1\langle L \rangle$  on  $\pi_*\langle L \rangle$  is identified to the *exponential action*

$$H_0(L) \times H(L) \longrightarrow H(L), \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto e^{\text{ad}_\alpha}(\beta). \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, the global model of a simplicial set  $X$  is the cdgl

$$\mathfrak{L}_X = \varinjlim_{\sigma \in X} \mathfrak{L}_{|\sigma|}.$$

As a complete Lie algebra,  $\mathfrak{L}_X = \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(s^{-1}X)$  where  $s^{-1}X$  denotes the desuspension  $s^{-1}N_*(X)$  of the chain complex of non-degenerate simplicial chains on  $X$ . Moreover, the differential  $d$  on  $\mathfrak{L}_X$  is completely determined by the following:

- (1) The 0-simplices of  $X$  are Maurer-Cartan elements.
- (2) The linear part of  $d$  is the desuspension of the differential in  $N_*(X)$ .
- (3) If  $j: Y \subset X$  is a subsimplicial set, then  $\mathfrak{L}_j = \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(s^{-1}N_*(j))$ .

The category **cdgl** inherits by transfer from **sset** a *cofibrantly generated model structure* [7, Chapter 8], for which the functors in (1) become a Quillen pair with the classical model structure on **sset**. Quasi-isomorphisms are weak equivalences and fibrations are surjections only for cdgl morphisms between connected cdgl's. In particular, a quasi-isomorphism of connected cdgl's of the form

$$(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} L$$

makes of  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d)$  a cofibrant replacement of  $L$  and we say that it is a (*Lie*) *model of  $L$* . If  $d$  has no linear term we say that  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d)$  is *minimal* and is unique up to cdgl isomorphism.

A fundamental object in this theory is the following [7, Chapter 8.4]: let  $X$  be a reduced simplicial and let  $a$  be its only 0-simplex. The *minimal model of  $X$*  is, by definition, the minimal model  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d)$  of  $\mathfrak{L}_X^a$ . In the same way, a *Lie model of  $X$*  is a model (non necessarily minimal) of  $\mathfrak{L}_X^a$ .

If  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d)$  is the minimal model of  $X$  then  $sV \cong \widetilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . More generally, if  $\varphi: (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d) \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(W), d)$  is a Lie model of the map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in **sset**<sub>0</sub>, then  $H_*(f; \mathbb{Q})$  is identified with the morphism  $H(Q\varphi): H(V, d_0) \rightarrow H(W, d_0)$  induced by  $\varphi$  in the homology of the indecomposables of the models.

We finally remark, see [8], that the Quillen pair (2) extend, up to homotopy, the classical functors of Quillen [14]. Moreover, for any cdgl  $L$ , its realization  $\langle L \rangle$  is a strong deformation retract of the *Hinich content* of  $L$  [10] which, in turn, extends the *Deligne groupoid* of  $L$ .

## 2 A Lie characterization of the Bousfield-Kan $\mathbb{Q}$ -completion

This section is mostly devoted to the proof of Theorem 0.1. We begin with an observation of general nature, most of it well known, which will be often used. Recall that a Lie algebra is said to be *degreewise nilpotent* if for each  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $L_p^n = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *For a connected complete Lie algebra  $L$  the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L_0$  is a nilpotent group with the BCH product and the exponential action on  $L$  is nilpotent.
- (ii)  $L_0$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra and acts nilpotently on  $L$ .
- (iii)  $L$  is degreewise nilpotent.

*Proof.* The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is an easy exercise. On the other hand, the well known Malcev equivalence between nilpotent Lie algebras and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -local nilpotent groups tells us precisely that  $L_0$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra if and only if it is a nilpotent group with the BCH product. Also, if  $L_0$  acts nilpotently on  $L$  in the usual way, it does so via the exponential action as the successive commutators of this action raises the bracket length.

Conversely, write  $\varphi_x = e^{\text{ad}_x} - \text{id}$  for any  $x \in L_0$ . Consequently, the exponential action is nilpotent if there is an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that

$$\varphi_{x_1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{x_n} = 0 \quad \text{for any } x_1, \dots, x_n \in L_0.$$

Note that

$$\text{ad}_x = \log(e^{\text{ad}_x}) = \log(\varphi_x + \text{id}) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \varphi_x^k,$$

so that, in

$$\text{ad}_{x_1} \circ \cdots \circ \text{ad}_{x_n} = \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \varphi_{x_1}^k \right) \circ \cdots \circ \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \varphi_{x_n}^k \right),$$

any summand vanishes. Hence,  $\text{ad}_{x_1} \circ \cdots \circ \text{ad}_{x_n} = 0$  for any  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in L_0$  which implies that  $L_0$  acts nilpotently on  $L$  in the usual way.  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.** A connected cdgl  $L$  is *homologically nilpotent* if  $H(L)$  satisfies any of the equivalent properties of the previous result.

For the remaining of the section we fix  $L = (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d)$ , a connected minimal cdgl.

## 2.1 A model of $\langle L/L^n \rangle$ is $L/L^n$

In this subsection we prove:

**Proposition 2.3.** *For each  $n \geq 2$  the counit*

$$\varepsilon: \mathfrak{L}_{\langle L/L^n \rangle}^a \xrightarrow{\cong} L/L^n$$

*is a quasi-isomorphism. In other terms, the minimal model of  $\langle L/L^n \rangle$  is that of  $L/L^n$ .*

For it we need:

**Lemma 2.4.** *The model and realization functor induce equivalences*

$$\mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{sset}_{0, \mathbb{Q}}^{\mathcal{N}f} \xrightleftharpoons[\langle \cdot \rangle]{\mathfrak{L}^a} \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{cdgl}_0^{\mathcal{N}f} \quad (5)$$

*between the homotopy categories of reduced, nilpotent, rational simplicial sets of finite type and connected, homologically nilpotent cdgl's whose homology is of finite type.*

*Proof.* First, note that for any connected cdgl  $M$ , its realization  $\langle M \rangle$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -local simplicial set in the Bousfield sense [3, §2]. That is, any map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  inducing an isomorphism in rational homology induces a weak homotopy equivalence

$$f^*: \mathrm{map}(Y, \langle M \rangle) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{map}(X, \langle M \rangle).$$

Indeed, choose  $\varphi: A \xrightarrow{\cong} B$  a connected cdga model of  $f$  which is necessarily a quasi-isomorphism. Then\*,  $\varphi \widehat{\otimes} \mathrm{id}_M: A \widehat{\otimes} M \xrightarrow{\cong} B \widehat{\otimes} M$  is also a quasi-isomorphism of connected cdgl's so that its realization

$$\langle \varphi \widehat{\otimes} \mathrm{id}_M \rangle: \langle A \widehat{\otimes} M \rangle \xrightarrow{\cong} \langle B \widehat{\otimes} M \rangle$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence which is weakly equivalent to  $f^*$  by [2, Theorem 6.6], see also [7, Theorem 12.18].

Now, in view of (3) and (4) together with Proposition 2.1, the realization  $\langle M \rangle$  of any  $M \in \mathbf{cdgl}_0^{\mathcal{N}f}$  is a reduced, nilpotent simplicial set of finite type which, being  $\mathbb{Q}$ -local, is then rational.

On the other hand, any reduced, nilpotent, rational simplicial set  $X$  of finite type is weakly equivalent to  $\langle \mathfrak{L}_X^a \rangle$  by [7, Theorem 11.14]. As a result, again by (3) and (4) and Proposition 2.1,  $\mathfrak{L}_X^a \in \mathbf{cdgl}_0^{\mathcal{N}f}$  and the unit  $\eta$  of the adjunction (5) is equivalent to the identity.

Finally, for any  $M \in \mathbf{cdgl}_0^{\mathcal{N}f}$ , and denoting by  $\varepsilon$  the counit of (5), it follows by the appropriate triangle identity that  $\langle \varepsilon(M) \rangle \circ \eta \langle M \rangle = \mathrm{id}_{\langle M \rangle}$ . Thus,  $\langle \varepsilon(M) \rangle$  is a weak equivalence which implies that  $\varepsilon(M): \mathfrak{L}_{\langle M \rangle}^a \xrightarrow{\cong} M$  is a quasi-isomorphism and the lemma follows.  $\square$

\*The complete tensor product of a cdga  $A$  and a cdgl  $M$  is defined as  $A \widehat{\otimes} M = \varprojlim_n A \otimes M/F^n$  where  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is the filtration for which  $M$  is complete.

*Proof of Proposition 2.3.* Let  $\{L_{[i]}\}_{i \in I}$  be the family of finitely generated sub cdgl's of  $L$  and write

$$L = \cup_{i \in I} L_{[i]} = \varinjlim_{i \in I} L_{[i]}.$$

Then, for each  $n \geq 2$ ,  $L_{[i]}/L_{[i]}^n$  is a finite type sub cdgl of  $L/L^n$  and

$$L/L^n = \cup_{i \in I} L_{[i]}/L_{[i]}^n = \varinjlim_{i \in I} L_{[i]}/L_{[i]}^n.$$

Moreover,  $\langle L_{[i]}/L_{[i]}^n \rangle$  is a sub simplicial set of  $\langle L/L^n \rangle$  and

$$\langle L/L^n \rangle = \cup_{i \in I} \langle L_{[i]}/L_{[i]}^n \rangle = \varinjlim_{i \in I} \langle L_{[i]}/L_{[i]}^n \rangle.$$

Indeed a  $q$ -simplex of  $\langle L/L^n \rangle$  is a cdgl morphism  $\mathfrak{L}_q \rightarrow L/L^n$  whose image is clearly finitely generated so it factors through some  $L_{[i]}/L_{[i]}^n$ . Therefore, since the model functor preserves inductive limits

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\langle L/L^n \rangle}^a \cong \varinjlim_{i \in I} \mathfrak{L}_{\langle L_{[i]}/L_{[i]}^n \rangle}^a.$$

Finally, by Lemma 2.4, the counit of (5) provides quasi-isomorphisms

$$\varepsilon_i: \mathfrak{L}_{\langle L_{[i]}/L_{[i]}^n \rangle}^a \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{[i]}/L_{[i]}^n$$

and thus

$$\varepsilon = \varinjlim_{i \in I} \varepsilon_i: \mathfrak{L}_{\langle L/L^n \rangle}^a \xrightarrow{\cong} L/L^n$$

is also a quasi-isomorphism. □

## 2.2 The minimal model of $L/L^n$ with a quadratic differential

In this subsection we assume that the differential in  $L = (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d)$  is quadratic, i.e.,  $dV \subset [V, V]$  and denote by  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}^m(V) \cong L^m/L^{m+1}$  the subspace of  $L$  generated by elements of bracket length  $m$  so that  $L = \prod_{m \geq 1} \widehat{\mathbb{L}}^m(V)$ . Since  $d\widehat{\mathbb{L}}^m(V) \subset \widehat{\mathbb{L}}^{m+1}(V)$  it follows that the homology of  $L$  inherits an *upper* grading for which

$$H(L) \cong \prod_{n \geq 1} H^n(L).$$

We fix  $n \geq 2$  and determine the minimal model of  $L/L^n$  following the approach in [9, §2] for its counterpart in the ‘‘commutative setting’’.

The bigrading in  $L$  trivially induces a bigrading in  $L/L^n$  for which the projection

$$\varrho_n: L \longrightarrow L/L^n.$$



is also bigraded. Observe that, in the upper grading,  $H^m(\rho_n)$  is an isomorphism if  $m \leq n-2$  and is injective if  $m = n-1$ . Define the graded vector space  $U^{n-1}$  of homogeneous upper degree  $n-1$  to be isomorphic to coker  $H^{n-1}(\varrho_n)$  and set  $dU^{n-1} = 0$ . Extend the bigrading on  $U^{n-1}$  to  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus U^{n-1})$  bracketwise by declaring  $V = V^1$  and extend  $\varrho_n$  to a bigraded morphism

$$\varphi: \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus U^{n-1}) \longrightarrow L/L^n$$

consistently with the definition of  $U^{n-1}$ .

Define also the graded vector space  $W_{[1]}^{n-1}$ , again of upper degree  $n-1$ , to be isomorphic to  $\ker H^n(\varphi) = H^n(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus U^{n-1}), d)$ . Set the differential accordingly in  $W_{[1]}^{n-1}$ , endow  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus U^{n-1} \oplus W_{[1]}^{n-1}), d)$  with the obvious bigrading, and extend  $\varphi$  to this cdgl so that it vanishes in  $W_{[1]}^{n-1}$ .

Recursively, define  $W_{[r]}^{n-1} \cong \ker H^n(\varphi)$ , with

$$\varphi: (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus W_{<[r]}^{n-1}), d) \rightarrow L/L^n$$

and extend  $d$  and  $\varphi$  to  $W_{[r]}^{n-1}$  as before. Finally, write

$$W^{n-1} = \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} W_{[r]}^{n-1}, \quad Z^{n-1} = U^{n-1} \oplus W^{n-1} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\varphi: \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z^{n-1}) \longrightarrow L/L^n$$

so that  $H^m(\varphi)$  is an isomorphism if  $m \leq n$  and vanishes for  $m > n$ . Now, we inductively construct a bigraded quasi-isomorphism

$$\varphi: (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z), d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} L/L^n \quad (7)$$

where  $Z = \bigoplus_{m \geq n-1} Z^m$  and  $d$  is of degree 1 with respect to the upper degree.

**Remark 2.5.** Some key observations are in order. First, as an immediate consequence of (7),

$$H^{\geq n}(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z), d) = 0. \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, the differential in  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)$  is decomposable so that this is the minimal model of  $L/L^n$ . Moreover, by construction,

$$dZ^{n-1} \subset [V, Z^{n-1}] \oplus \widehat{\mathbb{L}}^n(V). \quad (9)$$

Finally, observe that  $Z$  is concentrated in positive (homological degrees), i.e.,  $Z = Z_{\geq 1}$ , so that  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(Z) = \mathbb{L}(Z)$ .

Next, we consider the bigraded cdgl  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(Z), \bar{d}) = (\mathbb{L}(Z), \bar{d})$  with the induced differential and equip  $Z^{n-1}$  with the trivial Lie bracket.

**Proposition 2.6.** *The projection*

$$(\mathbb{L}(Z), \bar{d}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (Z^{n-1}, 0)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of cdgl's.

*Proof.* We will use the following fact: any connected minimal cdgl  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d)$ , non necessarily quadratic, admits an extension  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus \bar{V}), d)$  in which  $\bar{V} = sV$ ,  $d\bar{v} - v$  is decomposable and the induced differential in  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(\bar{V})$  is trivial. In particular  $H(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus \bar{V}), d) = 0$ . For it, which is classical in the simply connected setting, choose the cylinder of  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d)$ , see [7, Definition 8.20],

$$(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus V' \oplus \bar{V}), D)$$

and quotient out by the ideal generated by  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V'), D)$ .

Whenever the differential in  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V)$  is quadratic we can equip  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus \bar{V})$  with an upper grading for which  $d$  has degree 1: declare an element of  $\bar{V}$  to have upper degree zero, as always  $V = V^1$ , and extend this grading bracket-wise. Using this, extend (7) to a quasi-isomorphism of bigraded cdgl's

$$(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z \oplus \bar{V}), d) \xrightarrow{\cong} (L/L^n \widehat{\Pi} \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(\bar{V}), d)$$

where  $\widehat{\Pi}$  stands for the coproduct in the category **cdgl**. This can be pre-composed with a quasi-isomorphism  $(\mathbb{L}(Z), \bar{d}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z \oplus \bar{V}), d)$  to yield a bigraded quasi-isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{L}(Z), \bar{d}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (L/L^n \widehat{\Pi} \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(\bar{V}), d).$$

However,  $L/L^n \widehat{\Pi} \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(\bar{V})$  vanishes in upper degrees bigger than or equal to  $n$  while  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(Z)$  does so in degrees less than or equal to  $n - 2$ .

Hence,  $H(\mathbb{L}(Z), \bar{d}) = H^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}(Z), \bar{d}) = Z^{n-1}$  and the proposition follows.  $\square$

Note that the inclusion

$$(Z^{n-1}, 0) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{L}(Z), \bar{d}) \tag{10}$$

is then a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes.

### 2.3 A model of $L/L^n$

We now attack the general case and consider  $L = (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d)$  a minimal cdgl in which the differential  $d$  is not necessarily quadratic so that it decomposes as

$$d = \sum_{m \geq 1} d_m \quad \text{with} \quad d_m V \subset \widehat{\mathbb{L}}^{m+1}(V).$$

In particular  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d_1)$  is a minimal cdgl with quadratic differential. Hence, for a fix  $n \geq 2$ , we have, as in (7), a bigraded quasi-isomorphism

$$\varphi: (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z), d_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} (L/L^n, d_1).$$

In what follows we denote by  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)^m$  the subspace of  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)$  generated by elements of upper degree exactly  $m$ . Recall that the upper grading is given by extending bracket-wise the grading  $V = V^1$  and  $Z = \bigoplus_{r \geq n-1} Z^r$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *There is a differential  $d$  in  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)$  extending that of  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V)$  for which  $(d - d_1)(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)^m) \subset \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)^{\geq m+2}$ , and*

$$\varphi: (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z), d) \xrightarrow{\cong} L/L^n$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

*Proof.* We follow a standard perturbation argument to build  $d$  in  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)$  of the form

$$d = \sum_{m \geq 1} d_m, \quad \text{with } d_m Z^r \subset \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)^{m+r}, \quad \text{for } r \geq n-1, \quad (11)$$

so that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} d_i d_{r-i} = 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq 2.$$

Recall that  $Z = \bigoplus_{r \geq n-1} Z^r$  and assume  $d_2$  defined in  $Z_{<p}^{n-1}$  for  $p \geq 1$  so that  $d_1 d_2 + d_2 d_1 = 0$  and  $Z_{<p}^{n-1} \oplus \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V) \oplus [\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), Z_{<p}^{n-1}]$  is stable under  $d_2$ . Then, for  $z \in Z_p^{n-1}$ , it follows that  $d_2 d_1 z$  is a  $d_1$ -cycle in  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)^{n+2}$ . Hence, by (8) of Remark 2.5,  $d_2 d_1 z = d_1 \Phi$  with  $\Phi \in \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)^{n+1}$ . Define  $d_2 z = \Phi$ .

Having constructed  $d_1, \dots, d_{m-1}$  in  $Z^{n-1}$  and  $d_m$  in  $Z_{<p}^{n-1}$ , let  $z \in Z_p^{n-1}$  and note that  $(d_2 d_{m-1} + \dots + d_m d_1)(z)$  is a  $d_1$ -cycle in  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)^{n+m}$ . Again by (8),  $(d_2 d_{m-1} + \dots + d_m d_1)(z) = d_1 \Psi$  with  $\Psi \in \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)^{n+m-1}$ . Define  $d_m z = \Psi$  so that  $d_m|_{Z^{n-1}}$  has been defined for any  $m \geq 1$ .

For  $r \geq n$ , the same procedure applies and we have maps  $d_m$  defined on  $Z^r$ , for any  $m \geq 1$  and any  $r \geq n-1$ , satisfying the required properties.

However, we have to check that, for a given  $z \in Z$ , the formula

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} d_m z \quad (12)$$

is a well defined element in  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)$ .

For it, assume first that  $V$  is of finite type. Then  $Z$ , which is concentrated in positive degrees by Remark 2.5, is also of finite type and it follows that  $\mathbb{L}(Z_{<p})_{<p}$  is of finite dimension for any  $p$ . Let  $K$  be the biggest upper degree of the elements of a given basis of this finite dimensional vector space. Then, necessarily,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z_{<p})_{<p}^r \subset \widehat{\mathbb{L}}^{\geq r-K}(V \oplus Z). \quad (13)$$

Here, whenever  $r - K \leq 0$ , we replace it by 1. That is, every element of upper degree  $r$  in  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z_{<p})_{<p}$  is of bracket length at least  $r - K$ .

In particular, for any  $z \in Z_p^r$  and any  $m \geq 1$ ,  $d_m z$  is of bracket length at least  $r + m - K$  which increases with  $r$  and (12) is well defined.

Assumed now that  $V$  is of arbitrary dimension and note that, since  $d_1$  is quadratic,

$$(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d_1) = \cup_{\alpha \in I} (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V_\alpha), d_1) \quad (14)$$

where  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  denotes the family of finite dimensional subspaces of  $V$  for which  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V_\alpha)$  is  $d_1$ -stable. For every  $\alpha \in I$  apply the above to find  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V_\alpha \oplus Z_\alpha), d)$  with the required properties and such that  $Z_\alpha \subset Z_\beta$  whenever  $V_\alpha \subset V_\beta$ . Then, write  $Z = \cup_{\alpha \in I} Z_\alpha$  so that the cdgl

$$\varinjlim_{\alpha \in I} (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V_\alpha \oplus Z_\alpha), d) \cong (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(\varinjlim_{\alpha \in I} V_\alpha \oplus Z), d) \cong (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z), d) \quad (15)$$

satisfies the demanded properties.

To finish note that, by construction,  $d$  commutes with  $\varphi$  so that

$$\varphi: (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z), d) \longrightarrow L/L^n$$

is indeed a cdgl morphism. Consider in the domain and codomain the filtrations given by  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)^{\geq q}$  and  $(L/L^n)^{\geq q}$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Then,  $\varphi$  respects the filtration and the induced map between the  $E_1$ -pages of the corresponding spectral sequences is precisely the quasi-isomorphism

$$\varphi: (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z), d_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} (L/L^n, d_1).$$

□

## 2.4 The proof

We complete here the proof of Theorem 0.1. To start, fix a reduced simplicial set  $X$ , let  $a$  be the only 0-simplex, and consider  $X \rightarrow \langle \mathfrak{L}_X^a \rangle$  the unit of the adjunction (2). For the rest of the section denote by  $L = (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d)$  the minimal model of  $X$ . Then  $X \rightarrow \langle \mathfrak{L}_X^a \rangle$  is homotopic to a map of the form

$$X \longrightarrow \langle L \rangle \cong \langle \varprojlim_n L/L^n \rangle \cong \varprojlim_n \langle L/L^n \rangle,$$

which is induced by a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & X & & & \\ & & f_1 \swarrow & \downarrow f_3 & \searrow f_n & & \\ * & \leftarrow \langle L/L^2 \rangle & \leftarrow \langle L/L^3 \rangle & \leftarrow \dots & \leftarrow \langle L/L^n \rangle & \leftarrow \dots & \\ & p_1 & p_2 & & p_n & & \end{array} \quad (16)$$

The core of the proof is to show that this is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -tower for  $X$  in the sense of [4, Chapter III, §6.1]. That is, provided  $p_n$  is a fibration for each  $n \geq 1$ , the diagram induces a pro-isomorphism for each  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$\{H_i(X; \mathbb{Q})\}_{n \geq 1} \cong \{H_i(\langle L/L^n \rangle; \mathbb{Q})\}_{n \geq 1}.$$

In other words, see [4, Chapter III, §2.1] or the original source [1], for each  $i \geq 1$  and each  $n \geq 1$ , there is an integer  $m \geq n$  and a map  $H_i(\langle L/L^m \rangle; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i(X; \mathbb{Q})$  such that the following commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_i(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & H_i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \\ H_i(f_n) \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow H_i(f_m) \\ H_i(\langle L/L^n \rangle; \mathbb{Q}) & \xleftarrow{H_i(p_n \circ \dots \circ p_{m-1})} & H_i(\langle L/L^m \rangle; \mathbb{Q}). \end{array} \quad (17)$$

Note that, in view of (3), (4) and Proposition 2.1, the simplicial sets  $\langle L/L^n \rangle$  are nilpotent for  $n \geq 2$ . Moreover, see the proof of Lemma 2.4, these are all rational spaces so that so that  $\langle L/L^n \rangle \simeq \langle L/L^n \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge}$ . Hence, by Lemmas 6.3 or 6.4 of [4, Chapter III, §6], if (16) were a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -tower, then  $\langle L \rangle \simeq \varprojlim_n \langle L/L^n \rangle$  would be weakly homotopy equivalent to  $X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\wedge}$  and Theorem 0.1 would follow.

Begin by noting that each projection  $q_n: L/L^{n+1} \rightarrow L/L^n$  is a cdgl fibration so that  $p_n = \langle q_n \rangle$  is a Kan fibration of simplicial sets.

Next, by Proposition 2.3, and for each  $n \geq 2$ , a Lie model of  $f_n$  is given by the projection  $L \rightarrow L/L^n$  which, by Proposition 2.7 can be factored as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L \hookrightarrow & (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z(n)), d) & \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \simeq \varphi_n \\ & & L/L^n \end{array}$$

where  $d$  is of the form in (11).

**Proposition 2.8.** *For each  $n \geq 2$  there is a morphism*

$$\rho^n: (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z(n+1)), d) \longrightarrow (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z(n)), d)$$

*which fixes  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V)$ , it makes commutative the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z(n)), d) & \xleftarrow{\rho^n} & (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z(n+1)), d) \\ \varphi_n \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow \varphi_{n+1} \\ L/L^n & \xleftarrow{q_n} & L/L^{n+1}, \end{array}$$

and can be written as

$$\rho^n = \sum_{m \geq 0} \rho_m^n, \quad \text{with } \rho_m^n(Z^r(n+1)) \subset \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z(n))^{r+m} \quad \text{for all } r \geq n. \quad (18)$$

*Proof.* For simplicity in the notation fix  $n \geq 2$  and replace  $Z(n+1)$ ,  $Z(n)$ ,  $q_n$ ,  $\varphi_n$  and  $\rho^n$  by  $Y$ ,  $Z$ ,  $q$ ,  $\varphi$  and  $\rho$  respectively. Recall from (6) that  $Y^n = U^n \oplus W^n$  where the differential is null on  $U^n$ . Then, set  $\rho(U^n) = 0$ . To define  $\rho$  on  $W^n \oplus Y^{>n}$  we follow again a standard perturbation argument. The map  $\rho$  with the required decomposition would commute with differentials if and only if

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \rho_i d_{m+1-i} = \sum_{i=0}^m d_{m+1-i} \rho_i, \quad m \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

Let  $\rho_0, \dots, \rho_{k-1}$  be constructed on  $Y$  so that (18) and (19) hold for  $r \geq n$  and  $0 \leq m \leq k-1$ . Assume also  $\rho_k$  defined on  $Y_{<p}^{\leq s}$  for some  $s \geq n$  and some  $p \geq 1$  so that (18) and (19) are satisfied for  $n \leq r \leq s$  and  $m = k$ . Then, for any  $y \in Y_p^s$ , a short computation shows that

$$\left( \sum_{i=0}^k \rho_i d_{m+1-i} - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} d_{m+1-i} \rho_i \right)(y)$$

is a  $d_1$ -cycle in  $\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)^{s+k+1}$  which is sent to zero by the quasi-isomorphism  $\varphi$ . Thus, it is  $d_1\Phi$  with  $\Phi \in \widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z)^{s+k}$ . Define  $\rho(y) = \Phi$  and the induction is complete.

It remains to check that  $\rho = \sum_{m \geq 0} \rho_m$  is well defined, that is, for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $\rho(y)$  does not contain an infinite series in  $Y$ . In view of (13), this is clear if  $V$  is of finite type. If not, write  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d_1)$  as in (14) so that  $(\mathbb{L}(V \oplus Z), d)$  and  $(\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Y), d)$  are like in (15). By the above, for each  $\alpha \in I$  there are morphism

$$\rho_\alpha: (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V_\alpha \oplus Y_\alpha), d) \longrightarrow (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V_\alpha \oplus Z_\alpha), d)$$

satisfying the required properties. Define  $\rho = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in I} \rho_\alpha$  and the proposition follows.  $\square$

As a consequence, we obtain:

**Corollary 2.9.** *For each  $n \geq 2$  the induced map*

$$\overline{\rho}^n: (\mathbb{L}(Z(n+1)), d) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{L}(Z(n)), d)$$

*is homotopically trivial.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.8 the morphism  $\overline{\rho}^n$  respects the upper filtrations and the induced morphism at the  $E_1$ -page between the corresponding spectral sequences is

$$E_1(\overline{\rho}) = \overline{\rho}_0^n: (\mathbb{L}(Z(n+1)), d_1) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{L}(Z(n)), d_1).$$

Pre-composing  $\overline{\rho_0^n}$  with the quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes in (10) and composing it with the quasi-isomorphism of Proposition 2.6 we obtain a map of bigraded chain complexes

$$(Z(n+1)^n, 0) \longrightarrow (Z(n)^{n-1}, 0)$$

which is then necessarily trivial. Hence,  $H(\overline{\rho_0^n}) = E_2(\overline{\rho}) = 0$  and the corollary follows.  $\square$

Next, in view of Proposition 2.3, each triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ f_n \swarrow & & \searrow f_{n+1} \\ \langle L/L^n \rangle & \xleftarrow{p_n} & \langle L/L^{n+1} \rangle \end{array}$$

is modeled by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V), d) & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z(n)), d) & \xleftarrow{\rho_n} & (\widehat{\mathbb{L}}(V \oplus Z(n+1)), d). \end{array}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \\ H_*(f_n) \swarrow & & \searrow H_*(f_{n+1}) \\ H_*(\langle L/L^n \rangle; \mathbb{Q}) & \xleftarrow{H_*(p_n)} & H_*(\langle L/L^{n+1} \rangle; \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

is identified with

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & V & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ H(V \oplus Z(n), d_0) & \xleftarrow{H(Q(\rho^n))} & H(V \oplus Z(n+1), d_0) \end{array}$$

where  $Q(\rho^n): (V \oplus Z(n+1), d_0) \rightarrow (V \oplus Z(n), d_0)$  denotes the map induced by  $\rho_n$  on indecomposables. Note that the diagonal maps are inclusions so that

$$H(V \oplus Z(n+1), d_0) = V \oplus R.$$

Moreover, by Corollary 2.9,  $H(Q(\rho^n))(R) = 0$ . Indeed, this follows from the fact that  $H(Q(\overline{\rho^n}))$  is trivial and no element of  $V$  belong to the image of  $H(Q(\rho^n))|_R$ . Hence, the

retraction  $r: V \oplus R \rightarrow V$  fits in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & V & \\
 \swarrow & & \nwarrow \\
 H(V \oplus Z(n), d_0) & \xleftarrow{H(Q(\rho^n))} & H(V \oplus Z(n+1), d_0)
 \end{array}$$

In other terms, for each  $i \geq 1$ , we have a commutative diagram as in (17)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_i(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H_i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \\
 H_i(f_n) \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow H_i(f_{n+1}) \\
 H_i(\langle L/L^n \rangle; \mathbb{Q}) & \xleftarrow{H_i(p_n)} & H_i(\langle L/L^{n+1} \rangle; \mathbb{Q})
 \end{array}$$

and thus (16) is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -tower of  $X$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 0.1.

## 2.5 On nilpotent spaces

When restricting to nilpotent spaces Theorem 0.1 implies the following:

**Theorem 2.10.** *The model and realization functors induce equivalences*

$$\mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{sset}_{0, \mathbb{Q}}^{\mathcal{N}} \xrightleftharpoons[\langle \cdot \rangle]{\mathfrak{L}^a} \mathrm{Ho} \mathbf{cdgl}_0^{\mathcal{N}}$$

between the homotopy category of reduced nilpotent rational simplicial sets and homologically nilpotent connected  $cdgl$ 's.

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathbb{Q}$ -completion coincides with rationalization in the homotopy category of connected nilpotent spaces and the latter is idempotent. Hence, by Theorem 0.1, the unit  $X \xrightarrow{\cong} \langle \mathfrak{L}_X^a \rangle$  is a weak homotopy equivalence for any rational nilpotent reduced simplicial set. In view of (3), (4) and Proposition 2.1, this also shows that  $\mathfrak{L}_X^a \in \mathbf{cdgl}_0^{\mathcal{N}}$ .

On the other hand, recall from the proof of Lemma 2.4, that for any connected  $cdgl$   $L$ , its realization  $\langle L \rangle$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -local simplicial set. In particular, if  $L \in \mathbf{cdgl}_0^{\mathcal{N}}$ , then  $\langle L \rangle$  is nilpotent and thus rational. To finish, as in the proof of Lemma 2.4, the suitable triangle identity provides that the counit  $\mathfrak{L}_{\langle L \rangle}^a \xrightarrow{\cong} L$  is a quasi-isomorphism for any  $L \in \mathbf{cdgl}_0^{\mathcal{N}}$ .  $\square$

## 2.6 A final remark

Since the Bousfield  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology localization [3] is closely related to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -completion as it coincides with it for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -good spaces, we have deemed it appropriate to include the following observation. In  $\mathbf{sset}$  consider the model category structure introduced by Bousfield in [3],



§10] in which weak equivalences ( $\text{we}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) are rational homology isomorphisms and cofibrations are, like in the classical structure, injections. Hence, if we denote by  $\text{we}$ ,  $\text{fib}$  and  $\text{cof}$  the weak equivalences, fibrations and cofibration in the usual structure, it follows that  $\text{we} \subset \text{we}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $\text{cof} = \text{cof}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $\text{fib} \subset \text{fib}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Moreover, trivial fibrations are the same in both structures.

Note then that the realization and model functors also constitute a Quillen pair when **sset** is considered with the new structure. Indeed,  $\mathfrak{L}$  trivially preserves cofibrations. On the other hand,  $\varphi$  is a fibration in **cdgl** if and only if  $\langle \varphi \rangle$  is a Kan fibration, whose domain and codomain are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -local. By [11, Proposition 3.3.16(1)] it is a fibration in the Bousfield model structure.

**Acknowledgment.** The authors thank Prof. Joost Nuiten for a helpful discussion.

## References

- [1] M. Artin and B. Mazur, *Etale Homotopy*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 100, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1969.
- [2] A. Berglund, *Rational homotopy theory of mapping spaces via Lie theory for  $L_{\infty}$ -algebras*, *Homology, Homotopy Appl.* **17** (2015), 343–369.
- [3] A. K. Bousfield, *The localization of spaces with respect to homology*, *Topology* **14** (1975), 133–150.
- [4] A. K. Bousfield and A.M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [5] U. Buijs, Y. Félix, A. Murillo, and D. Tanré, *Homotopy theory of complete Lie algebras and Lie models of simplicial sets*, *J. Topol.* **11** (2018), 799–825.
- [6] U. Buijs, Y. Félix, A. Murillo, and D. Tanré, *Lie models of simplicial sets and representability of the Quillen functor*, *Isr. J. Math.* (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-020-2026-8>.
- [7] U. Buijs, Y. Félix, A. Murillo, and D. Tanré, *Lie Models in Topology*, *Progress in Mathematics* **335**, Birkhäuser-Springer Nature, 2021.
- [8] Y. Félix, M. Fuentes and A. Murillo, *All known realizations of complete Lie algebras coincide*, Accepted in *Alg. Geom. Topology*, [arXiv:2207.10886v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.10886v2).
- [9] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, C. Jacobsson, C. Löfwal and J.C. Thomas, *The radical of the homotopy Lie algebra*, *Amer. Jour. Math.* **110** (1988), 301–322.
- [10] V. Hinich, *Descent of Deligne groupoids*, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **5** (1997), 223–239.

- [11] P. S. Hirschhorn, *Model Categories and Their Localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **99**, AMS 2002.
- [12] S. Ivanov and R. Mikhailov, *A finite  $\mathbb{Q}$ -bad space*, *Geometry & Topology* **23** (2019), 1237–1249.
- [13] S. Ivanov, *An overview of rationalization theories of non-simply connected spaces and non-nilpotent groups*, *Acta Mathematica Sinica* **38** (Special Issue for Banghe Li's 80th Anniversary) (2022), 1705–1721.
- [14] D. Quillen, *Rational Homotopy Theory*, *Ann. of Math.* **90** (1969), 205–295.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET PHYSIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN,  
CHEMIN DU CYCLOTRON 2, 1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIQUE.  
yves.felix@uclouvain.be

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE, UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULOUSE 3 PAUL  
SABATIER, 118 RTE DE NARBONNE, 31400 TOULOUSE, FRANCE.  
mario.fuentes.rumi@gmail.com

DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA, GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA,  
BULEVAR LOUIS PASTEUR 31, 29010 MÁLAGA, SPAIN.  
aniceto@uma.es