

# HIGHER-ORDER TRACE FORMULAS FOR CONTRACTIVE AND DISSIPATIVE OPERATORS

ARUP CHATTOPADHYAY, CHANDAN PRADHAN, AND ANNA SKRIPKA

**ABSTRACT.** We establish higher order trace formulas for pairs of contractions along a multiplicative path generated by a self-adjoint operator in a Schatten-von Neumann ideal, removing earlier stringent restrictions on the kernel and defect operator of the contractions. We also derive higher order trace formulas for maximal dissipative operators under relaxed assumptions and new simplified trace formulas for unitary and resolvent comparable self-adjoint operators. The respective spectral shift measures are absolutely continuous and, in the case of contractions, the class of admissible functions includes functions whose higher order derivatives belong to the Wiener class. Both aforementioned properties are new in the mentioned generality.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Trace formulas for perturbed functions of operators in terms of a *spectral shift* have a long history in operator theory. The mathematical foundation of the subject was set in the seminal works [11, 12] that followed physics research summarized in [14]. The initial trace formulas were derived for unitary and self-adjoint operators and their trace class perturbations. Remarkable connections of the respective first order spectral shift to important objects of perturbation theory, mathematical physics and noncommutative geometry (see, e.g., [2, 30]) inspired search for generalizations of the spectral shift and extensions of the trace formulas to models involving non-trace class perturbations and/or nonnormal operators. Results of the many-decade investigation encompass non-trace class perturbations of self-adjoint and unitary operators as well as trace class perturbations of contractive and maximal dissipative operators (see, e.g., [30] and references cited therein). The objective of this paper is to address missing cases of non-trace class Schatten-von Neumann perturbations of contractive and maximal dissipative operators.

An extension of the result of [11] to Schatten-von Neumann perturbations of self-adjoint operators based on Taylor-like approximations of operator functions was suggested in [10] and implemented in [23], resulting in higher order trace formulas in terms of higher order spectral shift functions. Further advancement in the area lead to trace formulas for noncompact perturbations that naturally arise in the study of differential operators including Dirac and Schrödinger operators (see, e.g., [3, 21, 25]). Extensions to noncompact perturbations progressed concurrently with trace formulas for unitary operators due to an intrinsic connection between the two cases which was noted in [12] and further developed in [19, 25, 26, 29]. Trace formulas for unitary operators are also utilized in our approach to contractive and dissipative operators.

Trace formulas for pairs of unitaries with the trace class difference were derived in [12] and for unitaries with the Hilbert-Schmidt difference in [19, 7]. The approach of [19] involved differentiation along a multiplicative path of unitaries while the approach of [7] was based on differentiation along the linear path of contractions joining a pair of unitaries, which reduced the class of admissible functions. Higher order trace formulas were obtained in [26] for a pair of unitaries  $U_0$  and  $U_1 = e^{iA}U_0$ ,

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A55.

*Key words and phrases.* Spectral shift function; higher order trace formula; Schatten-von Neumann perturbation; contractive and dissipative operator.

with  $A = A^*$  an element of the  $n$ th Schatten-von Neumann ideal, correcting analogous formulas previously obtained in [22]. The sets of functions satisfying the respective trace formulas were substantially enlarged in [29] to encompass all functions with the  $n$ th derivatives in the Wiener class except low degree polynomials. The aforementioned result of [29] is a starting point for the main results of this paper.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the algebra of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$  the  $n$ th Schatten-von Neumann ideal of compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  (see, e.g., [8, 28] for a detailed discussion of their properties), and  $\text{Tr}$  the canonical trace on the trace class ideal  $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ . As usually,  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}$  represent the sets of natural, integer, real, and complex numbers, respectively;  $\mathbb{D}$  stands for the open unit disk and  $\mathbb{T}$  for the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Positive constants are denoted by  $c, d, \tilde{d}$  with subscripts indicating their dependencies; for example,  $c_k$  depends only on  $k$ . Let  $C(\mathbb{T})$  denote the Banach space of all continuous functions on  $\mathbb{T}$  equipped with the supremum norm and  $C^n(\mathbb{T})$  the space of all  $n$ -times continuously differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Let  $\tau$  denote the normalized arc length measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Let  $\hat{f}(k)$  denote the  $k$ th Fourier coefficient of  $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , that is,

$$\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z) \bar{z}^{k+1} dz, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathbb{T}) := \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) z^k \in C^n(\mathbb{T}) : \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |k|^n |\hat{f}(k)| < \infty, \hat{f}(k) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \right\}.$$

The following result of [29, Theorem 4.4] provides a generic trace formula for pairs of unitary operators in terms of the spectral shift function  $\eta_n$ . When a perturbation is not compact, operators are not normal, or a class of admissible functions is extended, modifications of the approximating expressions and respective remainders can become necessary (see, e.g., [19, 29] and results of this paper).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $U_0$  be a unitary operator,  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$  and denote  $U_s = e^{isA} U_0$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Then, there exists a constant  $c_n$  and a function  $\eta_n = \eta_{n, U_0, A} \in L^1(\mathbb{T}, \tau)$  satisfying*

$$\|\eta_n\|_1 \leq c_n \|A\|_n^n$$

such that

$$\text{Tr} \left( f(U_1) - f(U_0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{ds^k} \Big|_{s=0} f(U_s) \right) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(n)}(z) \eta_n(z) dz \quad (1.1)$$

for every  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathbb{T})$ .

The study of the first order trace formula and the associated spectral shift function on  $\mathbb{T}$  for a pair of contractions  $T_0, T_1$  with the trace class difference was initiated in [13, 20] and further developed in a series of papers (see, e.g., the list of references in [30, Section 5.5.2]). In all those attempts, additional assumptions on the associated defect operators and/or on  $T_0$  were imposed. Those restrictions were ultimately removed in [16]. Higher order trace formulas (1.1) for contractions with difference in  $\mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$  were established in [27] for  $n = 2$  and in [24] for  $n \geq 3$ , where the set of admissible functions  $f$  was constrained to polynomials. The set of admissible functions was enlarged in [15, 4] at a price of imposing stringent assumptions on the kernel and defect operator of the contractions (as recalled in Section 3).

In Theorem 3.5 of this paper we significantly relax the assumptions on operators made in [15, 4] and enlarge the set of admissible functions. More precisely, we establish a modification of (1.1) for

contractions  $U_0, U_1$  satisfying  $U_1 = e^{iA}U_0$  with  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ , for every  $f$  in the set

$$\mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T}) := \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k)z^k \in C^n(\mathbb{T}) : \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |k|^n |\hat{f}(k)| < \infty \right\}.$$

Moreover, all the spectral shift measures in Theorem 3.5 are absolutely continuous and the densities of those not appearing in (1.1) are trigonometric polynomials of degree at most  $n - 1$ . The latter result (in fact, its special case established in Theorem 3.2) greatly refines the trace formula of [26, Remark 4.7(ii)] for unitaries, where the absolute continuity was not confirmed for some of the measures and the admissible class of functions was restricted to those  $f$  in  $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$  for which  $\hat{f}(k) = 0$ ,  $k < 0$ . The result of Theorem 3.5 also corrects the trace formula of [29, Theorem 4.4] for unitaries and the formula of [4, Theorem 4.1] for contractions to include the set  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{T})$  of polynomials of degree up to  $n - 1$  into the set of admissible functions.

The generalization of the first order trace formulas to pairs of maximal dissipative operators was initiated in [1] and subsequently investigated by several authors (see, e.g., the list of references in [30, page 164]). In full generality the first order trace formula for pairs of maximal dissipative operators with the trace class resolvent difference was established in [16]. Second-order trace formulas for pairs of maximal dissipative operators with some stringent assumptions (as recalled in Section 4) were obtained in [15] and analogous results in the higher order setting were obtained in [4] for  $f$  in

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathbb{R}) := \left\{ \psi(\lambda) = f\left(\frac{\lambda+i}{\lambda-i}\right) : f \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathbb{T}) \right\}.$$

In Theorem 4.2 we significantly relax the assumptions on the maximal dissipative operators made in [15, 4] and enlarge the set of admissible functions to

$$\mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{R}) := \left\{ \psi(\lambda) = f\left(\frac{\lambda+i}{\lambda-i}\right) : f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T}) \right\},$$

which contains all rational functions bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$  (see, e.g., [29, Section 5]). The result of Theorem 4.2 also corrects the trace formula of [4, Theorem 5.3] for maximal dissipative operators and the trace formula of [29, Theorem 5.3] for self-adjoint operators  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  satisfying  $(H_1 - iI)^{-1} - (H_0 - iI)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$  to include

$$\text{span} \left\{ \mathbb{R} \ni \lambda \mapsto \frac{1}{a - \lambda} : \text{Im}(a) > 0 \right\}$$

into the set of admissible functions.

A variant of the first order trace formula for contractions with the integration going over  $\mathbb{D}$  was obtained in [6]. The right-hand side of the latter formula resembles the one of the Helton-Howe formula (see, e.g., [9, 5]). In Theorem 3.7 we obtain a higher order analog of the Helton-Howe type trace formula [6].

In Theorem 5.2 we considerably simplify the trace formula (1.1) for unitary operators by replacing the left-hand side with an alternative approximation remainder and in Theorem 5.4 we simplify the trace formula of [29, Theorem 5.3] for resolvent-comparable self-adjoint operators. The new  $n$ th order trace formula for unitaries holds for  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$  and the new  $n$ th order trace formula for self-adjoints holds for  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , considerably enlarging the admissible function classes attained in [25, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.5], respectively.

Our major tools are multilinear operator integration and Schäffer unitary matrix dilation. In particular, we utilize the norm bounds, perturbation formula and change of variables technique for multilinear operator integrals as well as dilate operator Taylor remainders from the case of contractions to the case of unitaries.

The paper is organized as follows: preliminaries on multilinear operator integration are collected in Section 2, higher order trace formulas for contractions are established in Section 3, higher order trace formulas for maximal dissipative operators are derived in Section 4 and simplified higher order trace formulas for unitaries and resolvent comparable self-adjoint operators are derived in Section 5.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall necessary facts on multilinear operator integration whose symbols are constructed from divided differences.

The zeroth-order divided difference of a function  $f$  is simply the function itself, denoted by  $f^{[0]} := f$ . Consider points  $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  and let  $f \in C^n(\mathbb{T})$ . The divided difference  $f^{[n]}$  of order  $n$  is defined recursively as follows:

$$f^{[n]}(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) := \begin{cases} \frac{f^{[n-1]}(z_0, z_2, \dots, z_n) - f^{[n-1]}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)}{z_0 - z_1} & \text{if } z_0 \neq z_1, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} f^{[n-1]}(z_1, \dots, z_n) & \text{if } z_0 = z_1. \end{cases}$$

It is noted in the proof of [29, Theorem 3.6] that for  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$  and for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $f^{[k]}$  can be expressed as

$$f^{[k]}(z_0, \dots, z_k) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_0(z_0, m) \cdots a_k(z_k, m) \quad (2.1)$$

for certain continuous functions  $a_0(\cdot, m), \dots, a_k(\cdot, m)$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Furthermore,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|a_0(z_0, m)\|_{\infty} \cdots \|a_k(z_k, m)\|_{\infty} < \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

The subsequent definition provides a simple yet widely applicable formulation of the multilinear operator integral, as outlined in [26, 29].

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Let  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $1 \leq \alpha, \alpha_i \leq \infty$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  be such that  $\frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha_k} = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ . Let  $U_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k$ , be unitary operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The mapping*

$$T_{f^{[k]}}^{U_0, \dots, U_k} : \mathcal{B}_{\alpha_1}(\mathcal{H}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{B}_{\alpha_k}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$$

defined by

$$T_{f^{[k]}}^{U_0, \dots, U_k}(V_1, \dots, V_k) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_0(U_0, m) V_1 a_1(U_1, m) \cdots V_k a_k(U_k, m)$$

where  $a_i(\cdot, m)$  are given by (2.1), is called a multilinear operator integral with symbol  $f^{[k]}$ . The mapping  $T_{f^{[k]}}^{U_0, \dots, U_k}$  is independent of the choice of  $a_i(\cdot, m)$  in the decomposition (2.1).

The following properties of multilinear operator integrals are essential in proving our results.

**Theorem 2.2.** [29, Theorem 3.6.] *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $1 < \alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \alpha_i < \infty$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  be such that  $\frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha_k} = \frac{1}{\alpha}$  and  $\frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha_{k-1}} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}}$ . Let  $U_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k$ , be unitary operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Then, the following assertions hold.*

(i) *The transformation  $T_{f^{[k]}}^{U_0, \dots, U_k} : \mathcal{B}_{\alpha_1}(\mathcal{H}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{B}_{\alpha_k}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$  is bounded and*

$$\|T_{f^{[k]}}^{U_0, \dots, U_k}\| \leq c_{k, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} \|f^{(k)}\|_{\infty}.$$

(ii) Let  $\phi_k(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}) := f^{[k]}(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, z_0)$ . The transformation  $T_{\phi_k}^{U_0, \dots, U_k} : \mathcal{B}_{\alpha_1}(\mathcal{H}) \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}_{\alpha_{k-1}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathcal{H})$  is bounded and

$$\|T_{\phi_k}^{U_0, \dots, U_k}\| \leq c_{k, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}} \|f^{(k)}\|_{\infty}.$$

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Let  $U_0, U_1$  be unitary operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ . Then, the following assertions hold.

(i) For  $n = 1$ ,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left( T_{f^{[1]}}^{U_0, U_0}(V_1) \right) = \mathrm{Tr} (f'(U_0)V_1)$$

and

$$\left| \mathrm{Tr} \left( T_{f^{[1]}}^{U_0, U_0}(V_1) \right) \right| \leq c_1 \|f'\|_{\infty} \|V_1\|_1. \quad (2.3)$$

(ii) For  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left( T_{f^{[n]}}^{U_0, U_1, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_1, \dots, V_n) \right) = \mathrm{Tr} \left( T_{\widetilde{f^{[n]}}}^{U_0, U_1, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}) V_n \right)$$

where  $\widetilde{f^{[n]}}(z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}) = f^{[n]}(z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_0)$ . Furthermore,

$$\left| \mathrm{Tr} \left( T_{f^{[n]}}^{U_0, U_1, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_1, \dots, V_n) \right) \right| \leq c_n \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^n \|V_k\|_n. \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* For  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ , there exist continuous functions  $a_0(\cdot, m), \dots, a_n(\cdot, m)$  satisfying (2.1) and (2.2). Hence, for  $n \geq 2$ , it follows from Definition 2.1 and cyclicity of the trace that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tr} \left( T_{f^{[n]}}^{U_0, U_1, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_1, \dots, V_n) \right) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_0(U_0, m) V_1 a_1(U_1, m) \cdots V_n a_n(U_0, m) \right) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_n(U_0, m) a_0(U_0, m) V_1 a_1(U_1, m) \cdots V_{n-1} a_{n-1}(U_0, m) \right) V_n \right] \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} \left( T_{\widetilde{f^{[n]}}}^{U_0, U_1, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}) \cdot V_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain  $\mathrm{Tr} \left( T_{f^{[1]}}^{U_0, U_0}(V_1) \right) = \mathrm{Tr} (f'(U_0)V_1)$ . The estimates (2.3) and (2.4) follow from the application of Theorem 2.2 and Hölder's inequality.  $\square$

The following perturbation formulas are particular cases of [26, Lemma 2.4(i)].

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Let  $U_0, U_1, U_2$  be unitary operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,

$$(i) f(U_1) - f(U_0) = T_{f^{[1]}}^{U_1, U_0}(U_1 - U_0) = T_{f^{[1]}}^{U_0, U_1}(U_1 - U_0),$$

(ii) for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{f^{[n-1]}}^{U_0, U_1, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}) - T_{f^{[n-1]}}^{U_0, U_2, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}) \\ &= T_{f^{[n]}}^{U_0, U_1, U_2, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_1, U_1 - U_2, V_2, \dots, V_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The formula (2.5) below is derived in [30, Theorem 5.3.4]. The formula (2.6) follows from (2.5) and properties of the divided difference.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be a bounded self-adjoint operator,  $U_0$  a unitary operator, and  $U_t = e^{itA}U_0$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, the Gâteaux derivative  $\frac{d^n}{ds^n}\Big|_{s=t}f(U_s)$  exists in the operator norm for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$  and admits the representation*

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\Big|_{t=s}f(U_t) = i^n \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\dots+l_r=n \\ l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r \geq 1}} \frac{n!}{l_1! \dots l_r!} T_{f^{(r)}}^{U_s, \dots, U_s} \left( A^{l_1} U_s, \dots, A^{l_r} U_s \right). \quad (2.5)$$

In particular, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\Big|_{t=s} U_t^k = \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\dots+l_r=n \\ l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r \geq 1}} \frac{n!}{l_1! \dots l_r!} \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_r=k \\ \alpha_0 \geq 0; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \geq 1}} U_s^{\alpha_0} (iA)^{l_1} U_s^{\alpha_1} \dots (iA)^{l_r} U_s^{\alpha_r} \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

### 3. HIGHER ORDER TRACE FORMULAS FOR CONTRACTIONS

In this section we establish higher order trace formulas for functions of contractions  $T_s$  without imposing the stringent assumptions of [4, Theorem 4.1] that  $\dim \ker(T_s) = \dim \ker(T_s^*)$  and that the defect operator  $D_{T_s} \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ . The trace formulas in Theorem 3.5 involve integration over  $\mathbb{T}$  and in Theorem 3.7 over  $\mathbb{D}$ .

We start by broadening the set of admissible functions satisfying (1.1) to include  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{T})$  and by establishing the absolute continuity of the spectral shift measures in [26, Remark 4.7(ii)]. Both goals are achieved in Theorem 3.2 below.

**Notations 3.1.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $U_0$  be a unitary operator,  $A$  a bounded self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and*

$$U_s = e^{isA}U_0, \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

Define

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, f) := f(U_1) - f(U_0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{ds^k}\Big|_{s=0} f(U_s). \quad (3.1)$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume Notations 3.1 and assume that  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,  $\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, f) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Furthermore, there exist a constant  $d_n > 0$  and functions  $\eta_n \in L^1(\mathbb{T}, \tau)$  and  $\eta_k \in \text{span}\{\bar{z}, \dots, \bar{z}^{n-k}\}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , satisfying*

$$\|\eta_k\|_1 \leq d_n \|A\|_n^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

such that

$$\text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, f) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(k)}(z) \eta_k(z) dz \quad (3.2)$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Moreover, if  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathbb{T})$ , then (3.2) holds with  $\eta_k = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

*Proof.* The representation (3.2) with  $\eta_1 = \dots = \eta_{n-1} = 0$  for  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathbb{T})$  is established in Theorem 1.1. Below we present the proof of (3.2) for  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ .

Firstly, we establish

$$\text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, f) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(k)}(z) \eta_k(z) dz \quad (3.3)$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . For every  $f \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{T})$ , we have the representation

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, f) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-1} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \Big|_{s=t} f(U_s) dt, \quad (3.4)$$

where the integral converges in the trace class norm by Theorem 2.5. By continuity of the trace,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, f) \right) \\ &= \frac{(i)^n}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j_1+\dots+j_k=n \\ j_1, \dots, j_k \geq 1}} \frac{n!}{j_1! \dots j_k!} \text{Tr} \left( T_{f^{[k]}}^{U_t, \dots, U_t} (A^{j_1} U_t, \dots, A^{j_k} U_t) \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

For every  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , consider the linear functional  $T_k$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \tau)$  given by

$$\begin{cases} T_k((z^l)^{(k)}) = \frac{(i)^n}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j_1+\dots+j_k=n \\ j_1, \dots, j_k \geq 1}} \frac{n!}{j_1! \dots j_k!} \text{Tr} \left( T_{(z^l)^{[k]}}^{U_t, \dots, U_t} (A^{j_1} U_t, \dots, A^{j_k} U_t) \right) dt \\ \hspace{15em} \text{for } l = k, \dots, n-1, \\ T_k(z^q) = 0 \hspace{15em} \text{for } q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \dots, n-k-1\}. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Let  $p(z)$  be a polynomial of degree at most  $n-1$ . By Corollary 2.3 and linearity of  $T_k$ ,

$$|T_k(p^{(k)})| \leq \tilde{d}_{k,n} \|p^{(k)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})} \|A\|_n^n. \quad (3.7)$$

Consider the trigonometric polynomial  $\tilde{p}(\theta) = p^{(k)}(e^{i\theta})$  of degree at most  $n-k-1$ . By Jackson's inequality [17, Ch. 5, (3.1.1), p. 495],  $\|\tilde{p}\|_{L^\infty[0,2\pi]} \leq 2\sqrt{n-k-1} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p(\theta)|^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Hence,

$$\|p^{(k)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})} \leq 2\sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{n-k-1} \|p^{(k)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}, \tau)}. \quad (3.8)$$

Combining (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) implies

$$\|T_k\| \leq d_{k,n} \|A\|_n^n. \quad (3.9)$$

Therefore, by the Riesz representation theorem for the functionals in  $(L^2(\mathbb{T}, \tau))^*$ , it follows from (3.5), (3.6), (3.9) that there exist functions  $\tilde{\eta}_k \in L^1(\mathbb{T}, \tau)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , satisfying

$$\|\tilde{\eta}_k\|_1 \leq d_{k,n} \|A\|_n^n$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^l \bar{z} \tilde{\eta}_k(z) dz = 0 \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \dots, n-k-1\}$  and such that (3.3) holds for every  $f \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{T})$ , where

$$\eta_k(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \bar{z} \tilde{\eta}_k(z), \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

It follows from (3.10) that

$$\tilde{\eta}_k \in \{\dots, z^{-n}, \dots, z^{-n+k}, z, \dots, z^n, \dots\}^\perp = \text{span}\{1, \dots, \bar{z}^{n-k-1}\}.$$

It is straightforward to observe that  $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{T}) + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Given  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ , let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{T})$  and  $g \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathbb{T})$  be such that  $f = p + g$ . It follows from the equations (3.10) and (3.3) that

$$\text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, p) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} p^{(k)}(z) \eta_k(z) dz = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(k)}(z) \eta_k(z) dz. \quad (3.11)$$

By the linearity of the trace, from the equations (1.1) and (3.11), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Mult}}(U_0, A, f) \right) &= \operatorname{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Mult}}(U_0, A, p) \right) + \operatorname{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Mult}}(U_0, A, g) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(k)}(z) \eta_k(z) dz + \int_{\mathbb{T}} g^{(n)}(z) \eta_n(z) dz \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(k)}(z) \eta_k(z) dz + \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(n)}(z) \eta_n(z) dz \quad (\text{since } f^{(n)} = g^{(n)}), \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of (3.2).  $\square$

Next, we extend the trace formula (3.2) to pairs of contractions by utilizing the result of Theorem 3.2, Schäffer unitary matrix dilation, and the following observation.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ , be separable Hilbert spaces. Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{H}_3)$  have the block matrix representation of the form*

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathcal{H}_1} T P_{\mathcal{H}_1} & 0 & 0 \\ P_{\mathcal{H}_2} T P_{\mathcal{H}_1} & P_{\mathcal{H}_2} T P_{\mathcal{H}_2} & 0 \\ P_{\mathcal{H}_3} T P_{\mathcal{H}_1} & P_{\mathcal{H}_3} T P_{\mathcal{H}_2} & P_{\mathcal{H}_3} T P_{\mathcal{H}_3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \mathcal{H}_1 \\ \mathcal{H}_2 \\ \mathcal{H}_3 \end{matrix},$$

where  $P_{\mathcal{H}_1}, P_{\mathcal{H}_2}, P_{\mathcal{H}_3}$  are orthogonal projections of  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{H}_3$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0$ ,  $0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus 0$ , and  $0 \oplus 0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_3$ , respectively. Then

$$\operatorname{Tr}(T) = \operatorname{Tr}(P_{\mathcal{H}_1} T P_{\mathcal{H}_1}) + \operatorname{Tr}(P_{\mathcal{H}_2} T P_{\mathcal{H}_2}) + \operatorname{Tr}(P_{\mathcal{H}_3} T P_{\mathcal{H}_3}). \quad (3.12)$$

*Proof.* Since  $\operatorname{Tr}(T) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \operatorname{Tr}(P_{\mathcal{H}_i} T P_{\mathcal{H}_j})$ , (3.12) follows from the pairwise orthogonality of  $P_{\mathcal{H}_i}$  and cyclicity of the trace.  $\square$

Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$  and define

$$\phi_+(e^{it}) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}(k) e^{ikt} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_-(e^{it}) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}(-k) e^{ikt}.$$

Then,  $\phi(e^{it}) = \phi_+(e^{it}) + \phi_-(e^{-it})$  and  $\phi_{\pm} \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . For a contraction  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , we set

$$\phi_+(T) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}(k) T^k, \quad \phi_-(T) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}(-k) T^{*k}, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(T) := \phi_+(T) + \phi_-(T). \quad (3.13)$$

The functions of contractions given by (3.13) were initially considered in [20].

**Notations 3.4.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $T_0$  be a contraction and  $B$  a bounded self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Define*

$$T_s = e^{isB} T_0, \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

Given  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ , define  $f(T_s)$  by (3.13) and set

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Mult}}(T_0, B, f) := f(T_1) - f(T_0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{ds^k} \Big|_{s=0} f(T_s). \quad (3.14)$$



**Theorem 3.5.** *Assume Notations 3.4 and assume that  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,  $\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, f) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Furthermore, there exists a constant  $d_n > 0$  and functions  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in L^1(\mathbb{T}, \tau)$  satisfying*

$$\|\eta_k\|_1 \leq d_n \|B\|_n^n, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.15)$$

such that

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, f) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(k)}(z) \eta_k(z) dz, \quad (3.16)$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Moreover, if  $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathbb{T})$ , then (3.16) holds with  $\eta_k = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\ell_2(\mathcal{H}) = \oplus_1^\infty \mathcal{H}$ . Define  $U_0 := U_{T_0}$  to be the Schäffer matrix dilation on  $\ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \ell_2(\mathcal{H})$  of  $T_0$  whose block matrix representation is given by

$$U_{T_0} = \begin{bmatrix} \ell_2(\mathcal{H}) & \mathcal{H} & \ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \\ S^* & 0 & 0 \\ D_{T_0^*} P_{\mathcal{H}} & T_0 & 0 \\ -T_0^* P_{\mathcal{H}} & D_{T_0} & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \\ \mathcal{H} \\ \ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \end{matrix}, \quad (3.17)$$

where  $S$  is the unilateral shift on  $\ell_2(\mathcal{H})$  of multiplicity  $\dim(\mathcal{H})$  and  $P_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\ell_2(\mathcal{H})$  onto  $\mathcal{H} \oplus 0 \oplus \dots$ . Let  $U_s$  be a unitary dilation on  $\ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \ell_2(\mathcal{H})$  of  $T_s$  whose block representation is given by

$$U_s = e^{isA} U_0 = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{isB} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S^* & 0 & 0 \\ D_{T_0^*} P_{\mathcal{H}} & T_0 & 0 \\ -T_0^* P_{\mathcal{H}} & D_{T_0} & S \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S^* & 0 & 0 \\ e^{isB} D_{T_0^*} P_{\mathcal{H}} & T_s & 0 \\ -T_0^* P_{\mathcal{H}} & D_{T_0} & S \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.18)$$

where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \ell_2(\mathcal{H})$  is the self-adjoint extension of  $B$ .

Note that  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n(\ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \ell_2(\mathcal{H}))$  and  $U_0$  satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2 and that

$$\|A\|_n = \|B\|_n. \quad (3.19)$$

Let  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . By a straightforward computation similar to the one in (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^l}{ds^l} \Big|_{s=0} (U_s^*)^k &= \left( \frac{d^l}{ds^l} \Big|_{s=0} U_s^k \right)^*, \\ \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} (T_s^*)^k &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(T_{t+h}^*)^k - (T_t^*)^k}{h} = \left( \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{T_{t+h}^k - T_t^k}{h} \right)^* = \left( \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} T_s^k \right)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from the above identities and (3.1) that

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, z^{-k}) = U_1^{*k} - U_0^{*k} - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l!} \frac{d^l}{ds^l} \Big|_{s=0} U_s^{*k} = \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, z^k) \right)^*. \quad (3.20)$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, z^{-k}) = \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, z^k) \right)^*. \quad (3.21)$$

Following the computation of [30, Theorem 5.3.4] for unitary operators, we obtain

$$\frac{d^l}{dt^l} \Big|_{t=s} T_t^k = \sum_{r=1}^l \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\dots+l_r=l \\ l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r \geq 1}} \frac{l!}{l_1! \dots l_r!} \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_r=k \\ \alpha_0 \geq 0; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \geq 1}} T_s^{\alpha_0} (iB)^{l_1} T_s^{\alpha_1} \dots (iB)^{l_r} T_s^{\alpha_r} \right] \quad (3.22)$$

for  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Recall that we also have a similar formula for the power of the unitary operator  $U_s^k$  (see (2.6)). Consequently, there exists  $c_l > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{d^l}{dt^l} \Big|_{t=s} T_t^k \right\| \leq c_l k^l \|B\|^l \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \frac{d^l}{dt^l} \Big|_{t=s} U_t^k \right\| \leq c_l k^l \|A\|^l \quad (3.23)$$

for  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We also have the representation

$$\frac{d^l}{dt^l} \Big|_{t=s_2} T_t^k - \frac{d^l}{dt^l} \Big|_{t=s_1} T_t^k = \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{d^{l+1}}{dt^{l+1}} \Big|_{t=s} T_t^k ds,$$

for every  $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which along with (3.23) implies

$$\left\| \frac{d^l}{dt^l} \Big|_{t=s_2} T_t^k - \frac{d^l}{dt^l} \Big|_{t=s_1} T_t^k \right\| \leq |s_2 - s_1| c_{l+1} |k|^{l+1} \|B\|^{l+1}.$$

A completely analogous bound holds for  $T_t^*$  in place of  $T_t$ . Since  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |k|^n |\hat{f}(k)| < \infty$ , we obtain that, for  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$  and  $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ ,

$$\frac{d^l}{dt^l} \Big|_{t=0} f(T_t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) \frac{d^l}{dt^l} \Big|_{t=0} T_t^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(-k) \frac{d^l}{dt^l} \Big|_{t=0} (T_t^*)^k,$$

where the series converge absolutely in the operator norm. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, f) &= f(T_1) - f(T_0) - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l!} \frac{d^l}{ds^l} \Big|_{s=0} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) T_s^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(-k) (T_s^*)^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) T_1^k - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) T_0^k - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l!} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) \frac{d^l}{ds^l} \Big|_{s=0} T_s^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(-k) \frac{d^l}{ds^l} \Big|_{s=0} (T_s^*)^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, f) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, z^k) \quad \text{and, similarly,} \\ \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, f) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, z^k), \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

where the series converge absolutely in the operator norm.

By examining the block matrix representations of  $U_1^k - U_0^k$  and  $\frac{d^l}{ds^l} \Big|_{s=0} U_s^k$ , below we confirm the block representation for the remainder

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, z^k) = \begin{bmatrix} \ell_2(\mathcal{H}) & \mathcal{H} & \ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \# & \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, z^k) & 0 \\ \# & \# & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \\ \mathcal{H} \\ \ell_2(\mathcal{H}) \end{matrix} \quad (3.25)$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where ‘#’ denotes a non-zero entry of a matrix. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1^k - U_0^k &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} U_1^j (U_1 - U_0) U_0^{k-1-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} S^* & 0 & 0 \\ e^{iB} D_{T_0^*} P_{\mathcal{H}} & T_1 & 0 \\ -T_0^* P_{\mathcal{H}} & D_{T_0} & S \end{bmatrix}^j \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (e^{iB} - I) D_{T_0^*} P_{\mathcal{H}} & T_1 - T_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S^* & 0 & 0 \\ D_{T_0^*} P_{\mathcal{H}} & T_0 & 0 \\ -T_0^* P_{\mathcal{H}} & D_{T_0} & S \end{bmatrix}^{k-1-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} S^{*j} & 0 & 0 \\ \# & T_1^j & 0 \\ \# & \# & S^j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (e^{iB} - I) D_{T_0^*} P_{\mathcal{H}} & T_1 - T_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S^{*k-j-1} & 0 & 0 \\ \# & T_0^{k-j-1} & 0 \\ \# & \# & S^{k-j-1} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \# & T_1^k - T_0^k & 0 \\ \# & \# & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.26}
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left. \frac{d^l}{ds^l} \right|_{s=0} U_s^k &= \sum_{r=1}^l \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+\dots+j_r=l \\ j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r \geq 1}} \frac{l!}{j_1! \dots j_r!} \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_r=k \\ \alpha_0 \geq 0; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \geq 1}} U_0^{\alpha_0} (iA)^{j_1} U_0^{\alpha_1} \dots (iA)^{j_r} U_0^{\alpha_r} \right] \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^l \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+\dots+j_r=l \\ j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r \geq 1}} \frac{l!}{j_1! \dots j_r!} \begin{bmatrix} S^{*\alpha_0} & 0 & 0 \\ \# & T_0^{\alpha_0} & 0 \\ \# & \# & S^{\alpha_0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (iB)^{j_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \dots \\
&\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (iB)^{j_r} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S^{*\alpha_r} & 0 & 0 \\ \# & T_0^{\alpha_r} & 0 \\ \# & \# & S^{\alpha_r} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \# & \left. \frac{d^l}{ds^l} \right|_{s=0} T_s^k & 0 \\ \# & \# & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.27}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (3.22). Thus, combining (3.26) and (3.27) yields (3.25).

The properties (3.20), (3.21), and (3.25) imply

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, z^k) = P_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, z^k)|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.28}$$

Combining (3.24) and (3.28) gives

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, f) = P_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, f)|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T}).$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.2,  $\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, f) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Combining (2.6), (3.4), and Hölder’s inequality yields

$$\|\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, z^k)\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, z^k)\|_1 \leq c_n k^n \|A\|_n^n \tag{3.29}$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Applying (3.20) and (3.21) extends (3.29) to every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By Lemma 3.3, the property  $\text{Tr}(P^*) = \text{Tr}(P)$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ , (3.20), (3.21), and (3.25),

$$\text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, z^k) \right) = \text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(U_0, A, z^k) \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.30}$$

It follows from (3.29) and (3.30) that, for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Mult}}(T_0, B, f) \right) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) \operatorname{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Mult}}(T_0, B, z^k) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) \operatorname{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Mult}}(U_0, A, z^k) \right) = \operatorname{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Mult}}(U_0, A, f) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

where the series converge absolutely.

Applying (3.2) of Theorem 3.2 on the right hand side of (3.31) completes the proof of (3.16). By Theorem 3.2 and (3.19), we obtain that the respective functions  $\eta_k$  satisfy (3.15).  $\square$

In Theorem 3.7 below we extend the first order trace formula of [6] to the higher order case. Our main tools are the higher order trace formula for contractions over  $\mathbb{T}$  derived in Theorem 3.5 and the Poisson integral extension of a function from  $\mathbb{T}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Poisson integral.** We recall that the Poisson integral  $Pf$  of  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  is defined by

$$(Pf)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{it} - z|^2} f(e^{it}) dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (3.32)$$

By noting that

$$\frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{it} - z|^2} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{z}^n e^{int} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n e^{-int}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

we obtain from (3.32) that

$$(Pf)(z) = \hat{f}(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(-n) \bar{z}^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (3.33)$$

Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  and define the extension  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  from  $\mathbb{T}$  to  $\bar{\mathbb{D}} = \mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{T}$  by

$$\tilde{f}(z, \bar{z}) := (Pf)(z) = \hat{f}(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(-n) \bar{z}^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) z^n, \quad z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}. \quad (3.34)$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$  and a contraction  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , define

$$\tilde{f}(T, T^*) := \hat{f}(0)I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(-n) T^{*n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) T^n. \quad (3.35)$$

Note that the series on the right hand sides of (3.35) converge absolutely since  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Notations 3.6.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ . Let  $T_0$  be a contraction and  $B$  be a bounded self-adjoint operator, and

$$T_s = e^{isB} T_0, \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$  and  $\tilde{f}$  given by (3.34), consider the  $n$ th Taylor remainder

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Mult}}(T_0, T_0^*, B, \tilde{f}) := \tilde{f}(T_1, T_1^*) - \tilde{f}(T_0, T_0^*) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{ds^k} \Big|_{s=0} \tilde{f}(T_s, T_s^*),$$

where  $\tilde{f}(T_s, T_s^*)$  is given by (3.35).

**Theorem 3.7.** *Assume Notations 3.6 and assume that  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,  $\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, T_0^*, B, \tilde{f}) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  for  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$  and*

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, T_0^*, B, \tilde{f}) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lim_{R \uparrow 1} \int_{\{z: |z| \leq R < 1\}} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{\eta}_k}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \widetilde{f^{(k-1)}}}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{\partial \widetilde{f^{(k-1)}}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \tilde{\eta}_k}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) dz \wedge d\bar{z}, \quad (3.36)$$

where  $\tilde{\eta}_k, \widetilde{f^{(k-1)}}$  are given by (3.34), the functions  $\eta_k$  are the spectral shift functions for the pair  $(T_0, B)$  provided by Theorem 3.5,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $dz \wedge d\bar{z}$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Note that  $\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, T_0^*, B, \tilde{f})$  equals  $\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, B, f)$  defined in (3.14). Hence, by Theorem 3.5,  $\mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, T_0^*, B, \tilde{f}) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  and there exist  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$  such that

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left( \mathcal{R}_n^{Mult}(T_0, T_0^*, B, \tilde{f}) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(k)}(z) \eta_k(z) dz. \quad (3.37)$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ , we obtain  $f^{(k)} \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(k)}(z) \eta_k(z) dz &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f^{(k)}}(l) z^l \right) \eta_k(z) dz \\ &= 2\pi i \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f^{(k)}}(l) \widehat{\eta}_k(-l+1) \\ &= 2\pi i \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} (l+1) \widehat{f^{(k-1)}}(l+1) \widehat{\eta}_k(-l+1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

The final equality arises from the relationship  $\widehat{f^{(k)}}(l) = (l+1) \widehat{f^{(k-1)}}(l+1)$ . By employing a computation akin to that in the proof of [6, (3.11)], we derive the representation for the integral

$$\lim_{R \uparrow 1} \int_{\{z: |z| \leq R < 1\}} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{\eta}_k}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \widetilde{f^{(k-1)}}}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{\partial \widetilde{f^{(k-1)}}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \tilde{\eta}_k}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 2\pi i \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} l \widehat{f^{(k-1)}}(l) \widehat{\eta}_k(-l) \quad (3.39)$$

for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Combining the equations (3.37), (3.38), and (3.39) completes the proof of (3.36).  $\square$

#### 4. HIGHER ORDER TRACE FORMULAS FOR MAXIMAL DISSIPATIVE OPERATORS

In this section we derive higher order trace formulas for a pair of maximal dissipative operators  $L_0, L_1$  without imposing the stringent assumptions of [4, Theorem 5.3] that  $\dim \ker(L_j + iI) = \dim \ker(L_j^* - iI)$  and  $\mathrm{Im} L_j = \frac{1}{2i}(L_j - L_j^*) \in \mathcal{B}_{n/2}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $j = 0, 1$ . Our result builds upon the trace formula for multiplicative paths of contractions established in Theorem 3.5.

We recall that a densely defined linear operator  $L$  (possibly unbounded) in  $\mathcal{H}$  is called dissipative if  $\mathrm{Im} \langle L\xi, \xi \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathrm{Dom}(L)$ . A dissipative operator is called maximal dissipative if it does not have a proper dissipative extension.

The Cayley transform of the maximal dissipative operator  $L$  is defined by

$$T = (L + iI)(L - iI)^{-1}. \quad (4.1)$$

It is well known that  $T$  is a contraction. Moreover, a contraction  $T$  is the Cayley transform of a maximal dissipative operator  $L$  if and only if 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $T$  (see, e.g., [18, Theorem

4.1]). In the latter case, the inverse Cayley transform of  $T$  is given by

$$L = i(T + I)(T - I)^{-1}. \quad (4.2)$$

For  $\psi(\lambda) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k \left( \frac{\lambda+i}{\lambda-i} \right)^k \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(L) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k ((L + iI)(L - iI)^{-1})^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{-k} ((L + iI)(L - iI)^{-1})^{*k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k T^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{-k} T^{*k}. \end{aligned}$$

Below we use the notation shortcut  $\frac{L+iI}{L-iI} := (L + iI)(L - iI)^{-1}$ .

**Notations 4.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $L_0$  be a maximal dissipative operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $T_0$  be its Cayley transform given by (4.1). Let  $B = B^* \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ , set  $T_1 := e^{iB}T_0$ , and let  $L_1$  be the inverse Cayley transform of  $T_1$  given by (4.2). Assume that 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $T_1$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Assume Notations 4.1. Then, there exist a constant  $c_n > 0$  and  $\gamma_k(\lambda) := (\lambda - i)^{-2} \eta_k \left( \frac{\lambda+i}{\lambda-i} \right)$  with  $\eta_k$  as in Theorem 3.2,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , satisfying

$$\|\eta_k\|_1 \leq c_n \|(L_1 - iI)^{-1} - (L_0 - iI)^{-1}\|_n^n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr} \left( \psi(L_1) - \psi(L_0) - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{r=1}^l \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\dots+l_r=l \\ l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r \geq 1}} \frac{1}{l_1! \dots l_r!} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_r=k \\ \alpha_0 \geq 0; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \geq 1}} \left( \frac{L_0 + iI}{L_0 - iI} \right)^{\alpha_0} (iB)^{l_1} \left( \frac{L_0 + iI}{L_0 - iI} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots (iB)^{l_r} \left( \frac{L_0 + iI}{L_0 - iI} \right)^{\alpha_r} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{i^{k-1}}{2^{k-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda - i)^k \frac{d^{k-1}}{d\lambda^{k-1}} \left( (\lambda - i)^k \psi'(\lambda) \right) \gamma_k(\lambda) d\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

for every  $\psi(\lambda) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k \left( \frac{\lambda+i}{\lambda-i} \right)^k \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, if  $\psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , then (4.3) holds with  $\gamma_k = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

*Proof.* The result follows upon applying the substitution (4.1) on the left-hand side of (3.16) and changing the variable on the right-hand side of (3.16) as outlined in the proof of [26, Theorem 3.5].  $\square$

## 5. SIMPLIFIED HIGHER ORDER TRACE FORMULAS FOR UNITARIES AND RESOLVENT COMPARABLE SELF-ADJOINTS

As evident from Theorems 2.5, 3.2, and 3.5, the left-hand sides of the equations (3.2) and (3.16) exhibit a highly intricate structure. In Theorem 5.2 below we derive an alternative trace formula for unitary operators that does not involve computation of the operator derivatives along multiplicative paths. As a consequence of the latter result, we obtain higher order trace formulas for resolvent comparable self-adjoint perturbations, considerably simplifying the trace formulas in [29, Theorem 5.3].

**Notations 5.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $U_0, U_1$  be unitary operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  and let

$$U_s = U_0 + s(U_1 - U_0), \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ , consider the modified Taylor remainder

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_1, U_0, f) := f(U_1) - f(U_0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} T_{f^{[k]}}^{U_0, \dots, U_0}(U_1 - U_0, \dots, U_1 - U_0).$$

**Theorem 5.2.** Assume Notations 5.1 and assume  $U_1 - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,  $\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_1, U_0, f) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . Furthermore, there exist a constant  $c_n > 0$  and a function  $\eta_n$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{T}, \tau)$ , unique up to a polynomial of degree at most  $n - 1$ , satisfying

$$\|\eta_n\|_1 \leq c_n \|U_1 - U_0\|_n^n \quad (5.1)$$

such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_1, U_0, f)) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(n)}(z) \eta_n(z) dz \quad (5.2)$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T})$ . A repeated application of Lemma 2.4 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_1, U_0, f) &= T_{f^{[1]}}^{U_0, U_1}(U_1 - U_0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} T_{f^{[k]}}^{U_0, \dots, U_0}(U_1 - U_0, \dots, U_1 - U_0) \\ &= T_{f^{[n]}}^{U_0, U_1, U_0, \dots, U_0}(U_1 - U_0, \dots, U_1 - U_0). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

It follows from Definition 2.1 that  $T_{f^{[n]}}^{U_0, U_1, U_0, \dots, U_0}(U_1 - U_0, \dots, U_1 - U_0) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  and, hence,  $\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_1, U_0, f) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ . By Corollary 2.3, we have

$$|\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_1, U_0, f))| \leq c_n \|f^{(n)}\|_\infty \|U_1 - U_0\|_n^n.$$

Hence, there exists a measure  $\mu_{n, U_0, U_1}$  satisfying

$$\|\mu_{n, U_0, U_1}\| \leq c_n \|U_1 - U_0\|_n^n \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_1, U_0, f)) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(n)}(z) d\mu_{n, U_0, U_1}. \quad (5.5)$$

Next we prove that the measure  $\mu_{n, U_0, U_1}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the circle. Since  $U_1 U_0^*$  is a unitary operator, there is a self-adjoint operator  $A$  with the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  in  $(-\pi, \pi]$  such that  $U_1 U_0^* = e^{iA}$ , and hence

$$U_1 = e^{iA} U_0.$$

Let  $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be any orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $E(\cdot)$  the spectral measure of  $A$ . Then, it follows from the spectral theorem and the inequality  $|x| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} |e^{ix} - 1|$  for  $x \in (-\pi, \pi]$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_n^n &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle |A|^n e_i, e_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\lambda|^n \|E(d\lambda) e_i\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |e^{i\lambda} - 1|^n \|E(d\lambda) e_i\|^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^n \|U_1 - U_0\|_n^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $U_1 - U_0 \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ , we conclude that  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ .

*Case 1:  $n = 2$ .*

We have

$$\mathcal{R}_2^{Lin}(U_1, U_0, f) = \mathcal{R}_2^{Mult}(U_0, A, f) - T_{f^{[1]}}^{U_0, U_0}((e^{iA} - I - iA)U_0),$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_2^{Mult}(U_0, A, f)$  is defined in (3.1). Therefore, by Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 2.3, there are  $\eta_{12}, \eta_{22}$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , and a measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\|\nu\| \leq \|e^{iA} - I - iA\|_1$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_2^{Lin}(U_1, U_0, f)) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f''(z)\eta_{22}(z) dz + \int_{\mathbb{T}} f'(z)\eta_{12}(z) dz - \int_{\mathbb{T}} f'(z)d\nu.$$

Integrating by parts yields the existence of an integrable function  $\eta_2$  such that (5.2) holds (see, e.g., the proof of [24, Theorem 3.2]).

*Case 2:  $n \geq 3$ .*

Note that

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_1, U_0, f) = \mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{Lin}(U_1, U_0, f) - T_{f^{[n-1]}}^{U_0, \dots, U_0}(U_1 - U_0, \dots, U_1 - U_0). \quad (5.6)$$

Let  $\{A_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  be a sequence of self-adjoint operators converging to  $A$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_n$  and such that

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|A_m\| \leq \|A\|.$$

Define

$$U_{0m} = e^{iA_m}U_0.$$

It follows from Corollary 2.3 and the equations (5.3) and (5.6) that there exist measures  $\mu_{n,m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfying

$$\|\mu_{n,m}\| \leq c_n \|U_1 - U_0\|_n^n$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_{0m}, U_0, f)) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(n-1)}(z) d\mu_{n,m}. \quad (5.7)$$

Integrating (5.7) by parts yields the existence of a sequence  $\{\eta_{n,m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^1(\mathbb{T})$  such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_{0m}, U_0, f)) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f^{(n)}(z)\eta_{n,m}(z) dz. \quad (5.8)$$

By the power series expansions for  $e^{iA}$  and  $e^{iA_m}$  and by telescoping,

$$\|e^{iA_m} - e^{iA}\|_n \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \frac{\|(iA)^p(iA - iA_m)(iA_m)^{k-1-p}\|_n}{k!} \leq e^{\|A\|} \|A - A_m\|_n. \quad (5.9)$$

It follows from (5.9) that  $U_{0m}$  converges to  $U_1$  in  $\mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ . Hence,

$$V_m := U_{0m} - U_0$$

forms a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ . In particular, there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|V_m\|_n \leq M.$$

By (5.3) and telescoping,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_{0m}, U_0, f)) - \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_{0p}, U_0, f)) \\ &= T_{f^{[n-1]}}^{U_0, U_{0m}, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_m, \dots, V_m) - T_{f^{[n-1]}}^{U_0, U_{0p}, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_p, \dots, V_p) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left( T_{f^{[n-1]}}^{U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_m, \dots, V_m) - T_{f^{[n-1]}}^{U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_p, \dots, V_p) \right) \\
= & T_{f^{[n-1]}}^{U_0, U_{0m}, U_{0p}, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_m, U_{0m} - U_{0p}, \dots, V_m) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[ T_{f^{[n-1]}}^{U_0, U_{0p}, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_p, \dots, V_p, \underbrace{V_m - V_p}_{k\text{-th entry}}, V_m, \dots, V_m) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - T_{f^{[n-1]}}^{U_0, U_0, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_p, \dots, V_p, \underbrace{V_m - V_p}_{k\text{-th entry}}, V_m, \dots, V_m) \right] \\
= & T_{f^{[n]}}^{U_0, U_{0m}, U_{0p}, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_m, U_{0m} - U_{0p}, \dots, V_m) + T_{f^{[n]}}^{U_0, U_{0p}, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_m - V_p, U_{0p} - U_0, V_m, \dots, V_m) \\
& + \sum_{k=3}^n T_{f^{[n]}}^{U_0, U_{0p}, U_0, \dots, U_0}(V_p, U_{0p} - U_0, V_p, \dots, V_p, \underbrace{V_m - V_p}_{k\text{-th entry}}, V_m, \dots, V_m).
\end{aligned}$$

The latter along with Corollary 2.3 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_{0m}, U_0, f)) - \text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_{0p}, U_0, f)) \right| \\
& \leq c_n M^{n-1} \|f^{(n)}\|_\infty \max \{ \|U_{0m} - U_{0p}\|_n, \|U_0 - U_{0p}\|_n, \|V_m - V_p\|_n \},
\end{aligned}$$

which further implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{T}): \|f^{(n)}\|_\infty \leq 1} \left| \text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_{0m}, U_0, f)) - \text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_n^{Lin}(U_{0p}, U_0, f)) \right| \\
& \leq c_n M^{n-1} \max \{ \|U_{0m} - U_{0p}\|_n, \|U_0 - U_{0p}\|_n, \|V_m - V_p\|_n \} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, p \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying [29, Lemma 4.3] along with (5.8) and (5.10) yields

$$\|[\eta_{m,m}] - [\eta_{m,p}]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})/\mathcal{P}_n} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, p \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, there exists an  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ -function  $\eta_n$ , unique up to an additive polynomial of degree  $n-1$ , satisfying (5.2). The estimate (5.1) follows from (5.4) and (5.5).  $\square$

We note that the trace formula (5.2) does not translate from unitaries to contractions via the Schäffer matrix dilation because the block matrix representation of  $T_{f^{[k]}}^{U_{T_0}, \dots, U_{T_0}}(U_{T_1} - U_{T_0}, \dots, U_{T_1} - U_{T_0})$  contains both upper and lower triangular matrices.

Below we apply Theorem 5.2 to derive trace formulas for resolvent comparable self-adjoint operators.

**Notations 5.3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $H_0$  be a closed, densely defined self-adjoint operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $V$  a self-adjoint operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Denote  $H_1 := H_0 + V$ . Define the unitary operators via the Cayley transforms of  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ :

$$U_0 := (H_0 + iI)(H_0 - iI)^{-1} \text{ and } U_1 := (H_1 + iI)(H_1 - iI)^{-1}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1 - U_0 &= -2i(H_1 - iI)^{-1}V(H_0 - iI)^{-1}, \\
U_1 U_0^* &= I - 2i(H_1 - iI)^{-1}V(H_0 + iI)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $(H_1 - iI)^{-1} - (H_0 - iI)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $U_1 - U_0, A \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $A = A^*$  is such that its spectrum is contained in  $(-\pi, \pi]$  and  $U_1 U_0^* = e^{iA}$  (see the proof of Theorem 5.2).

**Theorem 5.4.** *Assume Notations 5.3 and assume that*

$$(H_1 - iI)^{-1} - (H_0 - iI)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{H}).$$

*Then, there exist  $c_n > 0$  and  $\gamma_n(\lambda) := (\lambda - i)^{-2} \eta_n \left( \frac{\lambda + i}{\lambda - i} \right)$ , with  $\eta_n$  as in Theorem 5.2, satisfying*

$$\|\gamma_n\|_1 \leq c_n \|(H_1 - iI)^{-1} - (H_0 - iI)^{-1}\|_n^n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \left( \psi(H_1) - \psi(H_0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n-1} T_{\psi^{[k]}}^{H_0, H_0, \dots, H_0}(V_{j_1}, \dots, V_{j_k}) \right) \\ &= \frac{i^n}{2^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda - i)^n \frac{d^{n-1}}{d\lambda^{n-1}} ((\lambda - i)^n \psi'(\lambda)) \gamma_n(\lambda) d\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

for every  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , where

$$V_{j_i} = ((I - V(H_1 - iI))^{-1} V(H_0 - iI)^{-1})^{j_i - j_{i-1}} (I - V(H_1 - iI))^{-1} V$$

and  $j_0 := 0$ .

*Proof.* The result follows upon applying the substitution of [29, Theorem 5.2] on the left-hand side of (5.2) and changing the variable on the right-hand side of (5.2) as outlined in the proof of [26, Theorem 3.5].  $\square$

*Acknowledgment:* A. Chattopadhyay is supported by the Core Research Grant (CRG), File No: CRG/2023/004826, of SERB. A. Skripka is supported in part by Simons Foundation Grant MP-TSM-00002648. C. Pradhan acknowledges support from the IoE post-doctoral fellowship at IISc Bangalore.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. M. Adamjan, B. S. Pavlov, *Trace formula for dissipative operators*, Vestn. Leningr. Univ. Math. Mekh. Astronom. 1979 (2) (1979) 5–9, 118.
- [2] M. Sh. Birman, A. B. Pushnitski, *Spectral shift function, amazing and multifaceted. Dedicated to the memory of Mark Grigorievich Krein (1907–1989)*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 30 (1998), no. 2, 191–199.
- [3] A. Chattopadhyay, T. D. H. van Nuland, C. Pradhan, *Spectral shift functions of all orders*, (2024), preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.18422>.
- [4] A. Chattopadhyay, C. Pradhan, *Higher-order spectral shift for pairs of contractions via multiplicative path*, New York J. Math. 29 (2023), 261–285.
- [5] A. Chattopadhyay, K. B. Sinha, *On the Carey-Helton-Howe-Pincus trace formula*, J. Funct. Anal. 274 (2018), no. 8, 2265–2290.
- [6] A. Chattopadhyay, K. B. Sinha, *Trace formula for contractions and its representation in  $\mathbb{D}$* , J. Operator Theory 88 (2022), no. 2, 275–288.
- [7] F. Gesztesy, A. Pushnitski, B. Simon, *On the Koplienko spectral shift function I. Basics*, Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. 4 (2008), no. 1, 63–107, 202.
- [8] I. C. Gohberg, M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*, Translations of mathematical Monographs, vol. 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1969.
- [9] J. W. Helton, R. E. Howe, *Traces of commutators of integral operators*, Acta Math. 135 (1975), no. 3-4, 271–305.
- [10] L. S. Koplienko, *Trace formula for perturbations of nonnuclear type*, Sib. Mat. Zh. 25 (1984), no. 5, 62–71 (Russian). English transl. in Siberian Math. J. 25 (1984), 735–743.
- [11] M. G. Krein, *On the trace formula in perturbation theory*, Mat. Sbornik N.S. 33 (75) (1953), 597–626 (Russian).
- [12] M. G. Krein, *On perturbation determinants and a trace formula for unitary and self-adjoint operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 144 (1962), 268–271 (Russian).
- [13] H. Langer, *Eine Erweiterung der Spurformel der Störungstheorie*, Math. Nachr. 30 (1965) 123–135.

- [14] I. M. Lifšic, *On a problem of the theory of perturbations connected with quantum statistics*, Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.) 7 (1952), no. 1 (47), 171–180 (Russian).
- [15] S. A. M. Marcantognini, M. D. Morán, *Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formula for pairs of contractions and pairs of maximal dissipative operators*, Math. Nachr. 279 (2006), 784–797.
- [16] M. M. Malamud, H. Neidhardt, V. V. Peller, *Absolute continuity of spectral shift*, J. Funct. Anal. 276 (2019), no. 5, 1575–1621.
- [17] G. V. Milovanovic, D. S. Mitrinovic, Th. M. Rassias, *Topics in Polynomials: Extremal Problems, Inequalities, Zeros*, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1994.
- [18] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, H. Bercovici, L. Kérchy, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, Revised and enlarged edition, Universitext, New York, Springer, 2010.
- [19] H. Neidhardt, *Spectral shift function and Hilbert-Schmidt perturbation: extensions of some work of L. S. Koplienko*, Math. Nachr. 138 (1988), 7–25.
- [20] H. Neidhardt, *Scattering matrix and spectral shift of the nuclear dissipative scattering theory. II*, J. Operator Theory 19 (1988), no. 1, 43–62.
- [21] T. D. H. van Nuland, A. Skripka, *Spectral shift for relative Schatten class perturbations*, J. Spectr. Theory 12 (2022), no. 4, 1347–1382.
- [22] V. V. Peller, *Multiple operator integrals and higher operator derivatives*, J. Funct. Anal. 223 (2006) 515–544.
- [23] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, F. Sukochev, *Spectral shift function of higher order*, Invent. Math. 193 (2013), no. 3, 501–538.
- [24] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, F. Sukochev, *Higher-order spectral shift for contractions*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 108 (2014), no. 2, 327–349.
- [25] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, F. Sukochev, *Trace formulas for resolvent comparable operators*, Adv. Math. **272** (2015), 630–651.
- [26] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, F. Sukochev, *Functions of unitary operators: derivatives and trace formulas*, J. Funct. Anal. 270 (2016), no. 6, 2048–2072.
- [27] D. Potapov and F. Sukochev, *Koplienko spectral shift function on the unit circle*, Comm. Math. Phys. 309 (2012), no. 3, 693–702.
- [28] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, Second ed., Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 120, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [29] A. Skripka, *Estimates and trace formulas for unitary and resolvent comparable perturbations*, Adv. Math. 311 (2017), 481–509.
- [30] A. Skripka, A. Tomskova, *Multilinear operator integrals. Theory and applications*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2250. Springer, Cham, 2019.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GUWAHATI, GUWAHATI, 781039, INDIA  
Email address: arupchatt@iitg.ac.in, 2003arupchattopadhyay@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE, 560012, INDIA  
Email address: chandan.pradhan2108@gmail.com, chandanp@iisc.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO, 311 TERRACE STREET NE,  
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87106, USA  
Email address: skripka@math.unm.edu