

INCREASING SEQUENCES OF COMPLEX MANIFOLDS WITH UNIFORM SQUEEZING CONSTANTS AND THEIR BERGMAN SPACES

JOHN ERIK FORNÆSS AND RATNA PAL

ABSTRACT. For $d \geq 2$, we discuss d -dimensional complex manifolds M that are increasing union of bounded open sets M_n 's of \mathbb{C}^d with a common uniform squeezing constant. The description of M is given in terms of the corank of the infinitesimal Kobayashi metric of M , which is shown to be identically constant on M . The main result of this article says that if M has full Kobayashi corank, then M can be written as an increasing union of the unit ball; if M has zero Kobayashi corank, then M has a bounded realization with a uniform squeezing constant; and if M has an intermediate Kobayashi corank, then M has a local weak vector bundle structure. The above description of M is used to show that the dimension of the Bergman space of $M \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$ is either zero or infinity. This settles Wiegerinck's conjecture for those pseudoconvex domains in higher dimensions that are increasing union of bounded domains with a common uniform squeezing constant.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we study the *union problem*: For $d \geq 2$, let $\{M_n\}$ be a sequence of d -dimensional complex manifolds such that $M_n \subset\subset M_{n+1}$, for all $n \geq 1$ and let $M = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$. Then the union problem asks whether M can be described in terms of its exhausting manifolds M_n 's. The problem goes back to the classical Levi Problem. This can be stated as the question of whether a domain Ω is Stein if it is locally Stein in the sense that for every boundary point p there is a neighbourhood V_p so that $\Omega \cap V_p$ is Stein. Another way to interpret the notion of local is to assume that for every compact set $K \subseteq \Omega$ there is a neighbourhood which is Stein. Then one asks whether Ω is Stein. The answer to this question is affirmative in case Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^d . In other words, if Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^d and if Ω is an increasing union of Stein open sets, then Ω is Stein ([2]). However, this result is no longer true when Ω is an arbitrary complex manifold. In [7], the first author found example of a complex manifold which is an increasing union of unit ball but fails to be Stein.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 32F45 ; Secondary :32H02, 32A36.

Key words and phrases. Union problem, Squeezing function, Kobayashi metric, Bergman space.

In the present article, we demonstrate how the notion of *squeezing functions* can be employed effectively to explore certain aspects of the union problem. The notion of squeezing function was introduced by Deng, Guan and, Zhang ([6]) almost a decade back. Squeezing function of a given domain measures the largest Euclidean ball contained in the injective holomorphic images of the domain and one of its main use is to study the metric geometry of the underlying domain. Let us recall the definition of squeezing function. In the following definition of squeezing function and later in this article, for $\rho > 0$, $B(0; \rho) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d : \|z\| < \rho\}$.

Definition 1.1. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$ be a bounded domain. For a point $p \in \Omega$ and a holomorphic embedding $f : \Omega \rightarrow B(0; 1)$, we define

$$S_\Omega(p; f) = \sup\{\rho : B(0; \rho) \subseteq f(\Omega)\},$$

and the squeezing function at p ,

$$S_\Omega(p) = \sup\{S_\Omega(p; f) : f : \Omega \rightarrow B(0; 1) \text{ holomorphic embedding}\}.$$

It follows immediately from the definition that the squeezing functions are biholomorphic invariant. Further they are positive and always bounded above by 1. A domain Ω is said to have a uniform squeezing constant if there exists an $r > 0$ such that $S_\Omega(p) > r$, for all $p \in \Omega$. Bounded domains with uniform squeezing constants are precisely the holomorphic homogeneous regular domains, introduced and christened by Liu et al. ([13]). Many interesting bounded domains fall in this class such as homogeneous domains, bounded domains covering compact Kähler manifolds, convex domains, strictly pseudocovex domains with C^2 -smooth boundaries.

In the present article, we assume that the exhausting manifolds M_n 's are bounded open sets in \mathbb{C}^d with a common positive uniform squeezing constant. In particular, M_n 's are not necessarily biholomorphic to a fixed bounded domain. This is in contrast to the existing body of work on the union problem where one typically assumes the exhausting domains to be biholomorphic to a fixed domain. In one of the earlier work on this theme, the first author and Stout in [10] showed that if M is taut and if each M_n is biholomorphic to the polydisc, then M is also biholomorphic to the polydisc. Later this result was generalized substantially by the first author and Sibony in [9]. The main result of [9] (to be discussed briefly in the next paragraph) was then obtained for C^2 -smooth strictly pseudoconvex bounded domains by Behrens [3]. The recent papers [1] by Balakumar et al. and [16] by Thu et al. describe the increasing unions of several geometrically interesting domains under the assumption that the final union M is hyperbolic. Exhausting domains considered in the papers [1], [3], and [16] are amenable to *scaling methods*, which plays the key role in obtaining structures of the final union M .

In spirit, our main result aligns with the one in [9]. We briefly discuss the main result of [9]. Suppose M_n 's are biholomorphic to a fixed manifold Ω

with $\Omega/\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ compact. Here $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ denotes the automorphism group of Ω with the standard compact open topology. The union manifold M is described in terms of the *infinitesimal Kobayashi metric* of M (see Definition 1.2), more precisely in terms of the corank (see Remark 1.6) of the Kobayashi metric. It turns out that the corank is identically constant on M in this case. Further the following holds: if the corank is zero then M is biholomorphic to Ω ; if the corank is one, then M is locally trivial holomorphic fiber bundle over A with fiber \mathbb{C} , where A is a complex closed submanifold of Ω ; structure of M may be very complicated if the corank is strictly greater than one. Note that the exhausting domains considered in [9], if bounded, are regular holomorphic homogeneous, i.e., they have uniform squeezing constants. Thus the complex manifolds M considered in [9] are subsumed by the one considered in this article when Ω is bounded. However, as in [9], the Kobayashi metric plays a crucial role in this work. Let us recall the definition of infinitesimal Kobayashi metric and Kobayashi pseudodistance.

Definition 1.2. Let M be a complex manifold. For $p \in M$ and ξ a tangent vector of M at p , the infinitesimal Kobayashi metric is defined as follows:

$$K_M(p, \xi) = \inf\{r > 0 : \rho : \Delta \rightarrow M \text{ holomorphic with } \rho(0) = p, \rho'(0) = \xi/r\},$$

where Δ is the unit disc in \mathbb{C} .

The Kobayashi pseudodistance $d_K^M : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as follows:

$$d_K^M(p, q) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_0^1 K_M(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)) dt,$$

where γ is a smooth curve joining $p, q \in M$.

Before going further, let us present two definitions pertinent to our main theorem. In the following definitions, for $0 \leq k < d$, $B^{d-k}(0; 1)$ denotes the $(d - k)$ -dimensional unit ball.

Definition 1.3. Let M be a d -dimensional manifold and let Z be a k dimensional manifold where $1 \leq k < d$. Then M is said to have a *weak vector bundle structure* of rank $(d - k)$ over Z if there exists a holomorphic function $\psi : M \rightarrow Z$ such that for every $q_0 \in Z$, there exists an open neighbourhood U of q_0 in Z and a sequence of injective holomorphic maps $\lambda_n : U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1) \rightarrow M_n \subseteq M$ satisfying

- (I) $\lambda_n(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)) \subseteq \lambda_{n+1}(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\psi^{-1}\{q\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$ for all $q \in U$;
- (II) $\lambda_n(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)) \subseteq \lambda_{n+1}(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\psi^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$;
- (III) $\psi \circ \lambda_n(q, w) = q$ for all $(q, w) \in U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)$.

Definition 1.3 is inspired by Lemma 4.5 in [9] which shows that the union manifolds considered in [9] have weak vector bundle structures when the corank of the infinitesimal Kobayashi metric is strictly greater than one and strictly less than d . Definition 1.4, a local version of Definition 1.3, is formulated in view of the structures of the union manifolds we obtain in the present article under the same assumption on the corank of the union manifolds as described above.

Definition 1.4. Let M be a d -dimensional manifold and let $1 \leq k < d$. Then M is said to have a *local weak vector bundle structure* of rank $(d - k)$ if the following holds. For each $p \in M$, there exists a holomorphic function $\psi : M \rightarrow B(0; 1)$ with $\psi(p) = 0$ and a k -dimensional submanifold $Z_\psi \subseteq B(0; 1)$ such that for every $q_0 \in Z_\psi$, there exists a sufficiently small neighbourhood U of q_0 in Z_ψ and for each $n \geq 1$, there exists a injective holomorphic maps $\lambda_n : U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1) \rightarrow M_n \subseteq M$ satisfying

- (I) $\lambda_n(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)) \subseteq \lambda_{n+1}(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\psi^{-1}\{q\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$ for all $q \in U$;
- (II) $\lambda_n(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)) \subseteq \lambda_{n+1}(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\psi^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$;
- (III) $\psi \circ \lambda_n(q, w) = q$ for all $(q, w) \in U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)$.

The main result of this article is as follows.

Theorem 1.5. For $d \geq 2$ and for each $n \geq 1$, let M_n be biholomorphic to (possibly different) bounded open sets in \mathbb{C}^d such that $M_n \subset \subset M_{n+1}$ and suppose $M = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$. Suppose the family $\{M_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ has a uniform squeezing constant, say $r > 0$. Then for each point $p \in M$, the set $\mathcal{K}_p = \{\xi : K_M(p; \xi) = 0\}$ forms a vector space and the correspondence $p \mapsto k_p$, where k_p is the dimension of \mathcal{K}_p , is identically constant on M . Further the following holds:

- (I) If $k_p \equiv d$ on M , then M can be written as an increasing union of d -dimensional unit ball $B(0; 1)$. Conversely, if M is an increasing union of unit ball and if Ω is **any** bounded domain with a uniform squeezing constant, then M can be written as an increasing union of Ω . Thus if Ω_1 and Ω_2 are two bounded domains with uniform squeezing constants r_1 and r_2 , respectively and if M is an increasing union of Ω_1 , then M can be written as an increasing union of Ω_2 and vice versa;
- (II) If $k_p \equiv 0$ on M , then there exists an injective embedding of M into the unit ball $B(0; 1)$. Further, r is a uniform squeezing constant of M ;
- (III) If $0 < k_p \equiv (d - k) < d$ on M , then M has a local weak vector bundle structure of rank $d - k$ (see Definition 1.4).

A couple of remarks are in order.

Remark 1.6. The natural number k_p at the point $p \in M$ is called the *Kobayashi corank* of M at the point p . The number $d - k_p$ is called the *Kobayashi rank* of M at the point p .

Remark 1.7. Manifolds that are increasing union of balls and on which the Kobayashi metric vanishes identically is of particular interest. Till date only two such mutually non-biholomorphic classes of manifolds are identified: Fatou-Bieberbach domains and Short \mathbb{C}^d 's. The existence of other classes of manifolds in this category is strongly believed and identifying these manifolds has been a long time pursuit. The part (I) of Theorem 1.5 attempts to give a flexibility result. Manifolds on which Kobayashi metric does not vanish identically may not enjoy this sort of flexibility under the same assumption. For example (see [9]), if M is an increasing union of balls or polydiscs, then M is biholomorphic to ball or polydiscs, respectively, when M is hyperbolic, i.e., when $k_p \equiv 0$ on M ; M is biholomorphic to a cylinder with fiber \mathbb{C} and base $(d - 1)$ -dimensional ball or $(d - 1)$ -dimensional polydisc, respectively, when Kobayashi corank of M is one, i.e., $k_p \equiv 1$ on M .

Now we discuss two interesting corollaries of Theorem 1.5. The first one is a result of Behrens ([3]). Behrens' proof relies on techniques of [9] and Pinchuk's scaling method. We give an alternative proof of her result combining techniques of [9] and properties of squeezing functions.

Corollary 1.8. *Let $d \geq 2$. Let M be a d -dimensional manifold and let M be an increasing union of a fixed bounded C^2 -smooth strictly pseudoconvex domain Ω . Then for each point $p \in M$, the set $\mathcal{K}_p = \{\xi : K_M(p; \xi) = 0\}$ forms a vector space and the function $p \mapsto k_p$, where k_p is the dimension of \mathcal{K}_p , is identically constant on M . Moreover,*

- (I) *If $k_p \equiv 0$ on M , then M is either biholomorphic to Ω or M is biholomorphic to the unit ball $B(0; 1)$;*
- (II) *If $0 < k_p \equiv (d - k) < d$ on M , then M has a weak vector bundle structure of rank k either over a submanifold A of Ω or over a submanifold A of the unit ball $B(0; 1)$.*

Remark 1.9. The same conclusion as of Corollary 1.8 can be drawn if each M_n is biholomorphic to a fixed bounded domain Ω and the squeezing function of Ω approaches to 1 near boundary.

The collection of square integrable holomorphic functions of a domain D is called the Bergman space of D and we denote it by $L^2(D)$. In [18], Wiegerinck showed that the dimension of Bergman space of any planar domain and thus of any pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C} is either zero or infinity. Whether this dichotomy continues to hold in higher dimensions for pseudoconvex domains is still unsettled. However in higher dimensions examples of nonpseudoconvex domains with finite dimensional Bergman spaces

are known. A considerable amount of effort has been put to identify several classes of pseudoconvex domains with the dimensions of Bergman spaces either zero or infinity. The following corollary identifies yet another class of domains in higher dimensions with zero or infinite dimensional Bergman spaces.

Corollary 1.10. *Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$ be an increasing union of bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^d with a fixed common positive squeezing constant. Then the dimension of the Bergman space*

$$L^2(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ holomorphic} : \int_M |f|^2 < \infty\}$$

of M is either zero or infinity. Further, in case the corank of the infinitesimal Kobayashi metric of M is strictly greater than zero, the Euclidean volume of M is infinity.

2. M WITH FULL KOBAYASHI CORANK AT SOME POINT

In this section we prove the first part of Theorem 1.5.

2.1. Proof of [(I), Theorem 1.5]. We complete the proof in three steps.

Step 1: In this step we prove that if there exists a point $p \in M$ for which $K_M(p; \xi) = 0$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^k$, then the Kobayashi metric vanishes identically on M . Without loss of generality, we assume p to be the origin. Let $L \gg 1$. Then for n sufficiently large and for any tangent vector ξ of length one, there is a holomorphic map $g_\xi : \Delta \rightarrow M_n$ so that $g_\xi(0) = 0$, $g'_\xi(0) = L\xi$. Here Δ is the unit disc in \mathbb{C} .

Since each M_n has fixed squeezing constant $r > 0$, for each $n \geq 1$, there exists injective holomorphic map $\psi_n : M_n \rightarrow B(0; 1)$ such that $\psi_n(0) = 0$ and $B(0; r) \subseteq \psi_n(M_n)$. Pick a tangent vector ξ at $0 \in M$. Consider the composition $\psi_n \circ g_\xi : \Delta \rightarrow B(0; 1)$. By the Schwarz Lemma the derivative $\|\psi'_n(0)(L\xi)\| \leq 1$. Hence $\|\psi'_n(0)(\xi)\| \leq 1/L$. Without loss of generality, we assume that ψ_n converges uniformly on compacts of M to ψ . Thus $\psi'(0) = 0$.

Claim: For any set $K \subset\subset M$ and $0 < \delta < 1$, $\psi_n(K) \subseteq B(0, \delta)$, for all n sufficiently large.

The claim follows if we prove that ψ is identically constant. Let us assume on contrary that ψ is non-constant. Without loss of generality, we can assume

$$\psi(z, 0') = (a_1 z^{l_1} + \dots, a_2 z^{l_2} + \dots, a_d z^{l_d} + \dots),$$

for $0' = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ and for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < \epsilon$, where $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. We can also assume that $2 \leq l_1, \dots, l_d < \infty$ and $a_1 \neq 0$. Pick arbitrarily large $L > 0$ and a map f from Δ to M so that $f(0) = p$ and $f'(0) = (L, 0')$. Then $\psi \circ f(t) = (a_1 L^{l_1} t^{l_1} + \dots, \dots)$. Since by generalized Schwarz-Pick lemma, it follows that the modulus of $a_1 L^{l_1}$ must be at most

1 (see [11, Proposition 1.1.2]), we get a contradiction when L is sufficiently large. Thus $\psi \equiv 0$ on M . Therefore the claim follows.

Fix a $p \in M$ and a $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} K_M(p; \xi) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{M_n}(p; \xi) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{\psi_n(M_n)}(\psi_n(p); \psi'_n(p)(\xi)) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{B(0;r)}(\psi_n(p); \psi'_n(p)(\xi)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the last equality holds since $\psi_n(p), \psi'_n(p)(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that the Kobayashi metric vanishes identically on M .

Step 2: In this step we prove that M can be written as an increasing union of unit balls. Recall that $0 \in M_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Now for each fixed n , we can find an $L_n > 0$ such that for any $z \in M_n$ there exists a curve $\gamma_z \subseteq M_n$ joining 0 and z with $\|\gamma'_z(t)\| < L_n$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Therefore, by replacing M_n 's possibly by a subsequence, we can assume that the infinitesimal Kobayashi distance of M_{n+1} restricted to $M_n \times B(0; L_n)$ is smaller than $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$. Thus without loss of generality, we can assume that the Kobayashi distance between the origin and any point $z \in M_n$ is smaller than ϵ_n , i.e., $d_K^{M_{n+1}}(0, z) < \epsilon_n$, for all $z \in M_n$ and for all $n \geq 1$. Here $d_K^{M_{n+1}}$ denotes the Kobayashi distance on M_{n+1} .

Recall that for each $n \geq 1$, $r > 0$ is the uniform squeezing constant of M_n . Thus there exists injective holomorphic map $\psi_n : M_n \rightarrow B(0; 1)$ such that $B(0; r) \subseteq \psi_n(M_n)$ with $\psi_n(0) = 0$. Therefore

$$(2.1) \quad \|\psi_{n+1}(z)\| \leq d_K^{B(0;1)}(\psi_{n+1}(z), 0) \leq d_K^{M_{n+1}}(z, 0) < \epsilon_n < r,$$

for all $z \in M_n$ and possibly for n large enough. Thus

$$M_n \subseteq \psi_{n+1}^{-1}(B(0; r)) \subseteq M_{n+1}.$$

Thus we can write M as an increasing union of Euclidean balls.

Step 3: In this step we prove that if M is an increasing union of unit balls in \mathbb{C}^d and if $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$ is a bounded domain, then M can be written as an increasing union of Ω .

Let $M = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ with $U_n \subset \subset U_{n+1}$, where each U_n is biholomorphic to the unit ball $B(0; 1)$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $0 \in U_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let $\psi_n : B(0; 1) \rightarrow U_n$ be a biholomorphism with $\psi_n(0) = 0$. Let $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$, then as in (2.1), we have

$$(2.2) \quad \|\psi_{n+1}^{-1}(z)\| \leq K_{B(0;1)}(\psi_{n+1}^{-1}(z), 0) = K_{U_{n+1}}(z, 0) < \epsilon_n$$

for all $z \in U_n$. Fix a point $p \in \Omega$ and let $S_\Omega(p) = r$. Then there exists an injective holomorphic function $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow B(0; 1)$ with $\psi(p) = 0$ and $B(0; r) \subseteq \psi(\Omega)$. Therefore, by (2.2), $\psi_{n+1}^{-1}(U_n) \subseteq B(0; r) \subseteq \psi(\Omega)$, i.e., $U_n \subseteq (\psi_{n+1} \circ \psi)(\Omega)$. Next we prove

$$(\psi_{n+1} \circ \psi)(\Omega) \subseteq (\psi_{n+2} \circ \psi)(\Omega)$$

for all n , which is equivalent to showing that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$(\psi_{n+2}^{-1} \circ \psi_{n+1})(\psi(\Omega)) \subseteq \psi(\Omega).$$

Note that the above follows from (2.2). Thus we are done.

3. M WITH ZERO KOBAYASHI CORANK AT SOME POINT

In this section we prove the second part of Theorem 1.5

3.1. Proof of [(II), Theorem 1.5]. We complete the proof in two steps.

Step 1: In this step we prove that the Kobayashi rank is identically d on M . Let $p \in M$ be such that $K_M(p; \xi) \neq 0$ for all $\xi \neq 0$. Let for each $n \geq 1$, $\psi_n : M_n \rightarrow B(0; 1)$ be an injective mapping with $\psi_n(p) = 0$ and $B(0; r) \subseteq \psi_n(\Omega)$. Since $B(0; 1)$ is taut, we can assume that $\psi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n : M \rightarrow B(0; 1)$ defines a holomorphic map with $\psi(p) = 0$. Now observe the following.

$$\begin{aligned} K_{B(0;1)}(0; \psi'_n(p)(\xi)) &\leq K_{\psi_n(M_n)}(0, \psi'_n(p)(\xi)) = K_{M_n}(p, \xi) \\ &\leq K_{B(0;r)}(0; \psi'_n(p)(\xi)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{B(0;1)}(0; \psi'_n(p)(\xi)) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{\psi_n(M_n)}(0, \psi'_n(p)(\xi)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{M_n}(p, \xi) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{B(0;r)}(0; \psi'_n(p)(\xi)), \end{aligned}$$

which in turn gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi'(p)(\xi)\| &= K_{B(0;1)}(0; \psi'(p)(\xi)) \leq K_M(p; \xi) \\ &\leq K_{B(0;r)}(0; \psi'(p)(\xi)) = \frac{1}{r} \|\psi'(p)(\xi)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\psi'(p)$ has full rank at p and thus $\det[\psi'(p)] \neq 0$. By Hurwitz's theorem ψ' has full rank at each point of M . Thus ψ is non-constant.

Moreover, running the above construction for each $z \in M$, we get that $K_M(z; \xi) \neq 0$ for all $z \in M$ and for all $\xi \neq 0$. Therefore the Kobayashi rank is identically d on M .

Step 2: In this step we prove that ψ is injective, which in turn gives that M has a bounded realization. Then by Thm 2.1 in [5], we get

$$r \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{M_n}(z) = S_M(z),$$

for all $z \in M$. Thus upto biholomorphism M is a bounded regular homogeneous domain. In particular, M is Kobayashi complete.

Let us assume that ψ is not injective. Then there exist $z_1, z_2 \in M$ such that $\psi(z_1) = \psi(z_2)$. Now since ψ' has full rank at each point of M , there is a small ball \mathcal{B}_{z_1} with centre at z_1 such that ψ is injective in a slightly larger open set \mathcal{U} containing \mathcal{B}_{z_1} . Further we can assume that ψ has no

zeros on $\partial\mathcal{B}_{z_1}$. Let $\mathcal{U} \cup \{z_2\} \subset\subset M_n$, for all $n \geq n_0$. Define $\tilde{\psi}_n(z) = \psi_n(z) - \psi_n(z_2)$, for $n \geq n_0$ and for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Similarly define $\tilde{\psi}(z) = \psi(z) - \psi(z_2)$, for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Now let $0 < \delta = \inf_{z \in \partial\mathcal{B}_{z_1}} \|\tilde{\psi}(z)\|$. Thus

$$\|\tilde{\psi}_n(z) - \tilde{\psi}(z)\| < \delta/2 < \|\tilde{\psi}(z)\|,$$

for all sufficiently large n and for all $z \in \partial\mathcal{B}_{z_1}$. Using higher dimensional Rouché's theorem, $\tilde{\psi}_n$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ have the same number of zeros counted with multiplicities in \mathcal{B}_{z_1} . Note that $\tilde{\psi}$ has a zero at z_1 but none of the $\tilde{\psi}_n$'s has any zero in \mathcal{B}_{z_1} . This is a contradiction. Thus ψ is injective on M . Consequently, M has a bounded realization.

4. M WITH INTERMEDIATE KOBAYASHI CORANK AT SOME POINT

In this section we prove the third part of Theorem 1.5 using techniques of [9] in our set-up. However, recall that unlike in [9], the exhausting domains considered in our case are not necessarily biholomorphic to a fixed domain. Further, if bounded, the class of exhausting domains considered here comes from a much larger class than the one considered in [9].

4.1. Proof of [(iii), Theorem 1.5]. We complete the proof in two steps.

Step 1: Let $p \in M$ be such that the Kobayashi corank is $d - k$. Thus the Kobayashi rank is k at p . In this step we prove that the Kobayashi rank is identically k on M . For each $n \geq 1$, let $\psi_n : M_n \rightarrow B(0; 1)$ be an injective map with $\psi_n(p) = 0$ and $B(0; r) \subseteq \psi_n(M_n)$. Since $B(0; 1)$ is taut, without loss of generality, $\psi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n : M \rightarrow B(0; 1)$ is a holomorphic function with $\psi(p) = 0$.

Note that

$$K_{B(0;1)}(0; \psi'_n(p)(\xi)) \leq K_{\psi_n(M_n)}(0; \psi'_n(p)(\xi)) \leq K_{B(0;r)}(0; \psi'_n(p)(\xi)).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{B(0;1)}(0; \psi'_n(p)(\xi)) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{\psi_n(M_n)}(0; \psi'_n(p)(\xi)) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{B(0;r)}(0; \psi'_n(p)(\xi)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi'(p)(\xi)\| &= K_{B(0;1)}(0; \psi'(p)(\xi)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{\psi_n(M_n)}(0; \psi'_n(p)(\xi)) \\ (4.1) \quad &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{M_n}(p; \xi) = K_M(p; \xi) \leq K_{B(0;r)}(0; \psi'(p)(\xi)) = \frac{1}{r} \|\psi'(p)(\xi)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the Kobayashi rank at p is k if and only if the rank of $\psi'(p)$ is k . This shows that the map ψ is not identically constant on M .

Let $B(0; r) \subseteq \psi_n(M_n) = U_n$ and let $\phi_n : U_n \rightarrow M_n$ is the inverse of ψ_n . For each $n \geq 1$, set $\alpha_n = \psi \circ \phi_n$. We restrict the domain of α_n to $B(0, r)$ as points outside $B(0, r)$ might not be in the domain of all α_n 's.

Thus each α_n maps $B(0, r)$ into $B(0, 1)$. Without loss of generality, set $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n$. Again clearly, α maps $B(0, r)$ into $B(0, 1)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $M_\psi = \psi^{-1}(B(0, r))$. Then $\alpha \circ \psi = \psi$ on M_ψ .*

Proof. Suppose that $p \in M_\psi$. Then $\psi_n(p)$ converge to $\psi(p) \in B(0, r)$. Moreover, $\{\alpha_n\}$ converges uniformly on compacts in $B(0, r)$ to α . Hence $\alpha \circ \psi(p) = \lim \alpha_n \circ \psi_n(p)$. Thus $\alpha \circ \psi(p) = \lim \psi \circ \phi_n \circ \psi_n(p) = \lim \psi(p) = \psi(p)$. \square

Let k be the maximal rank of ψ on M_ψ . The maximal rank occurs on a dense open set, so k is also the maximal rank of ψ on M_ψ . Hence for each n , the rank of $\alpha_n = \psi \circ \phi_n$ on $B(0, r)$ is at most k . So the maximal rank of α is at most k on $B(0, r)$ as well.

Lemma 4.2. *Let Z be the closed subvariety of $B(0, r)$ given by $Z = \{q \in B(0, r) : \alpha(q) = q\}$. Then the dimension of Z is at most k . If Z has dimension k at q , then Z is a k dimensional complex manifold on a neighbourhood of q .*

Proof. The proof follows by using the same argument as in [9, Lemma 4.2]. Hence the proof is omitted here. \square

By definition, $M_\psi = \psi^{-1}(B(0, r))$ and thus $\psi(M_\psi) \subseteq B(0, r)$. By Lemma 4.1, $\alpha \circ \psi = \psi$ on M_ψ . Hence $\psi(M_\psi) \subseteq Z$. Let \tilde{M}_ψ be the connected component of M_ψ containing p . Let \tilde{Z}_ψ be the connected component of Z containing $\psi(\tilde{M}_\psi)$. Since ψ has maximal rank k , it follows that \tilde{Z}_ψ has dimension at least k at some point. This implies that \tilde{Z}_ψ has at least one irreducible component of dimension k . So we can assume that $\psi(\tilde{M}_\psi)$ is contained in an irreducible component of \tilde{Z}_ψ and \tilde{Z}_ψ is a closed complex submanifold of $B(0, r)$ of dimension k .

Lemma 4.3. *The map ψ has constant rank k on the connected component \tilde{M}_ψ of M_ψ containing p . Further, the rank of the infinitesimal Kobayashi metric is identically $d - k$ on \tilde{M}_ψ .*

Proof. Let \tilde{Z}_ψ be as in the previous proof. Since α has rank k on Z_ψ , there is a neighbourhood W of \tilde{Z}_ψ in $B(0, r)$ on which the rank of α is identically k . Fix a point $q \in \tilde{M}_\psi$. Since $\psi(q) \in \tilde{Z}_\psi$, there is a neighbourhood $U \subset\subset W$ of q such that $\psi_n(q) \in U$ for all large n . The sequence of functions α_n converges uniformly on compact subsets of $B(0, r)$ to α . Hence for all large enough n , the rank of α_n is at least k for every point in U . In particular, $\alpha_n = \psi \circ \phi_n$ has rank at least k at $\psi_n(q)$. Hence ψ has rank at least k at $\phi_n \circ \psi_n(q)$. Since the rank of ψ is at most k , we have shown the first part of the lemma, i.e., ψ has constant rank k on \tilde{M}_ψ . Further, it follows from (4.1) that the Kobayashi rank is locally constant on \tilde{M}_ψ . \square

Thus the Kobayashi rank is locally constant on M , which in turn gives that the Kobayashi rank is identically constant on the whole M .

Step 2: Let $\psi(\tilde{M}_\psi) = Z_{\tilde{M}_\psi} \subseteq \tilde{Z}_\psi$. Let $q_0 \in Z_{\tilde{M}_\psi}$ and let \hat{U} be a small neighbourhood of q_0 in $B(0; r)$. Note that $U = Z_{\tilde{M}_\psi} \cap \hat{U}$ is a k -dimensional submanifold. So there exist $f_1, \dots, f_{d-k}, f_{d-k+1}, \dots, f_d : \hat{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (these functions give a local change of coordinate near q_0) such that $Z_{\tilde{M}_\psi} \cap \hat{U} = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{d-k} = 0\}$. We write $F_1 = (f_1, \dots, f_{d-k})$, $F_2 = (f_{d-k+1}, \dots, f_d)$ and $F = (F_1, F_2)$. Then the map F has rank d at q_0 . We define the map θ on \hat{U} as follows:

$$\theta(q) = (\alpha(q), F_1(q)).$$

We show that θ' has rank d at q_0 and hence that θ is one to one in a neighborhood. Note that for any fixed l_0 , $\alpha^{-1}(l_0)$ is an $d - k$ dimensional submanifold in \hat{U} . Also since α is identity on Z , $\alpha^{-1}(l_0)$ intersects $Z_{\tilde{M}_\psi}$ at a single point. Consider the function defined on $\alpha^{-1}(l_0)$ as $q \rightarrow F_1(q)$. Let $\xi \neq 0$ be a tangent vector to \hat{U} at q_0 . If ξ is tangent to $Z_{\tilde{M}_\psi}$, then $F_1'(\xi) = 0$ so $F_2'(\xi) \neq 0$. Thus the nullspace N of $F_2'(\xi)$ is $d - k$ dimensional and transverse to $Z_{\tilde{M}_\psi}$. Hence $F_1' \neq 0$ on N . But $F_1' = 0$ on the tangent space of $Z_{\tilde{M}_\psi}$. So $F_1' \neq 0$ on every vector transverse to the tangent space of $Z_{\tilde{M}_\psi}$, hence on the null space of α' . Therefore θ' has rank d . Further, one can modify θ (and possibly shrink \hat{U} a bit) as

$$\theta(q) = (\alpha(q), F_1(q)/\epsilon)$$

so that $\theta : \hat{U} \rightarrow U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)$ is holomorphic one-one and onto. The sequence of maps $\theta_m : q \mapsto (\alpha_m(q), F_1(q)/\epsilon)$ converges uniformly on compacts to the map θ on \hat{U} . Thus for all large m , the map θ_m sends a small neighbourhood \hat{U}_m biholomorphically to $U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)$, where $B^{d-k}(0; 1)$ is the $(d - k)$ -dimensional unit ball. Now consider the map

$$\lambda_m = \phi_m \circ \theta_m^{-1} : U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1) \rightarrow M_m \subseteq M.$$

Now we observe the following.

(i) $\psi \circ \lambda_m(q, w) = q$ for all $(q, w) \in U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)$.

Proof. Let $(q, w) \in U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)$. Let $p = \theta_m^{-1}(q, w)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (q, w) &= \theta_m(p) = (\alpha_m(p), F_1(p)/\epsilon) = (\psi \circ \phi_m(p), F_1(p)/\epsilon) \\ &= (\psi \circ \phi_m \circ \theta_m^{-1}(q, w), F_1(p)/\epsilon) = \psi \circ \lambda_m(q, w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof follows. \square

(ii) $\lambda_m(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)) \subseteq \lambda_{m+1}(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $\psi^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$.

Proof. Let $V_m = \lambda_m(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)) \subset\subset M_{m+l}$ for large enough l . Now $\{\psi_{m+l}\}_{l \geq 1}$ converges uniformly to ψ on V_m . By (i) we have $\psi(V_m) \subseteq U$. Since $F_1 \equiv 0$ on U , $\psi_{m+l}(V_m) \subseteq \{|F_1| < \epsilon/m\}$ for large l . In particular, $\psi_{m+l}(V_m) \subseteq \hat{U}$ for large l . Thus $\psi_{m+l}(V_m)$ is in the domain of θ_{m+l} . Now note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{m+l} \circ \psi_{m+l}(\lambda_m(q, w)) \\
&= (\alpha_{m+l} \circ \psi_{m+l}(\lambda_m(q, w)), (F_1 \circ \psi_{m+l}(\lambda_m(q, w)))/\epsilon) \\
&= (\psi \circ \phi_{m+l} \circ \psi_{m+l}(\lambda_m(q, w)), (F_1 \circ \psi_{m+l}(\lambda_m(q, w)))/\epsilon) \\
(4.2) \quad &= (\psi \circ \lambda_m(q, w), (F_1 \circ \psi_{m+l}(\lambda_m(q, w)))/\epsilon) \subseteq U \times \{|w| < 1/m\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\theta_{m+l} \circ \psi_{m+l}(\lambda_m(q, w))$ with $(q, w) \in U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)$ is in the domain of λ_{m+l} . Further note that

$$\lambda_{m+l}(\theta_{m+l} \circ \psi_{m+l}(\lambda_m(q, w))) = \phi_{m+l} \circ \theta_{m+l}^{-1} \circ \theta_{m+l} \circ \psi_{m+l}(\lambda_m(q, w)) = \lambda_m(q, w).$$

Now since $\theta_{m+l} \circ \psi_{m+l}(\lambda_m(q, w)) \subseteq U \times \{|w| < 1/m\} \subseteq U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)$, we have

$$V_m = \lambda_m(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)) \subseteq \lambda_{m+l}(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)) = V_{m+l}.$$

Hence without loss of generality, we get $V_m \subseteq V_{m+1}$.

Let $p \in \psi^{-1}(U)$. Thus $\theta \circ \psi(p) \in U \times \{0\}$. Then $\theta_m \circ \psi_m(p) \in U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)$ for all large m and thus $\lambda_m \circ \theta_m \circ \psi_m(p) = p \in V_m$. Therefore,

$$\psi^{-1}(U) \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)).$$

That $\lambda_m(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(U)$, for all $m \geq 1$, follows from (i). Hence the proof follows. \square

(iii) $\lambda_{m+1}^{-1} \circ \lambda_m(q, 0) = (q, 0)$ for all $q \in U$.

Proof. Note that $\lambda_m^{-1} \circ \lambda_1(q, 0) = (q, g_m(q))$ for all $q \in U$ for some holomorphic function g_m on U . We modify λ_m by defining $\tilde{\lambda}_m : U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1) \rightarrow M$ as follows: $\tilde{\lambda}_m(q, w) = \lambda_m(q, 2w + g_m(w))$. Thus $\tilde{\lambda}_m(q, 0) = \lambda_m \circ T_m(q, 0)$ where $T_m(q, w) = (q, 2w + g_m(w))$. Therefore, $\tilde{\lambda}_{m+1}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\lambda}_m(q, 0) = (q, 0)$ for all $q \in U$. Thus (iii) holds for $\tilde{\lambda}_m$. Note that if we replace λ_m by $\tilde{\lambda}_m$, (i), (ii), (iv) still hold. Thus there is no harm if we replace λ_m by $\tilde{\lambda}_m$ in (i), (ii), (iv). \square

(iv) $\lambda_m(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)) \subseteq \lambda_{m+1}(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $\psi^{-1}\{q\} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$ for all $q \in U$.

Proof. It follows from (i) that $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0; 1)) \subseteq \psi^{-1}\{q\}$. Now let $z \in \psi^{-1}(q)$. Then we have $\psi^{-1}(q) \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m(U \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$ by (ii). Hence it follows from (i) that $z \in \lambda_m(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0; 1))$, for some

$m \geq 1$. Next note that $\lambda_m(U \times B^{d-k}(0;1)) \subseteq \lambda_{m+1}(U \times B^{d-k}(0;1))$ by (ii). Therefore implementing (i) again we get

$$\lambda_m(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0;1)) \subseteq \lambda_{m+1}(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0;1)).$$

□

This finishes the proof that M has a local weak vector bundle structure of rank $(d - k)$. Note that statement (iii) is superfluous in this proof. However we use (iii) to prove Proposition 4.1.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.10

Proof. If the Kobayashi metric vanishes identically at some point $p \in M$, then from 1.5, it follows that the Kobayashi metric vanishes identically on M and M can be written as an increasing union of unit balls. Then the result follows from [8, Theorem 1.2].

If the Kobayashi metric does not vanish in each tangent direction at some point $p \in M$, then by Theorem 1.5, the same is true for all points of M and M has a bounded realization. Thus the result follows immediately.

Now suppose that the corank k_p of the Kobayashi metric at some point $p \in M$ lies strictly in between 0 and d . Let $k_p = d - k$. Then by Theorem 1.5, it follows that $k_z \equiv k_p = d - k$ for all $z \in M$. To complete the proof for this case, we continue using the same notations as in the proof of part (III) of Theorem 1.5. Let $0 \neq f \in L^2(M)$. Then $f(p) \neq 0$ for some $p \in M$. Construct $\psi : M \rightarrow B(0;1)$ with $\psi(p) = 0$. Also one can choose $q_0 \in \psi(\tilde{M}_0)$ to be 0. Thus $p \in \psi^{-1}(U)$. Further, we have

$$\psi^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m(U \times B^{d-k}(0;1)).$$

Claim: $L^2(\psi^{-1}(U)) = \{0\}$, which in turn gives $L^2(M) = \{0\}$.

Inspired by [9, Lemma 4.6], we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $q \in U$, then $\psi^{-1}\{q\}$ is an increasing union of $(d - k)$ -dimensional unit ball. Further, the $(d - k)$ -dimensional Kobayashi metric is identically vanishing on $\psi^{-1}\{q\}$.*

Proof. It follows from (IV) that $\psi^{-1}\{q\}$ is an increasing union of $(d - k)$ -dimensional unit ball. Note that by (IV) $\lambda_{m+1}(q, 0) = \lambda_m(q, 0)$, for all $m \geq 1$. So to show that the $(d - k)$ -dimensional Kobayashi metric is identically vanishing on $\psi^{-1}\{q\}$, by Step 1, it is sufficient to prove that at the point $\lambda_m(q, 0)$ the $(d - k)$ -dimensional Kobayashi metric is vanishing.

Let Δ be the unit disc. Let $\gamma : \Delta \rightarrow \lambda_m(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0;1))$ be a holomorphic curve such that $\gamma(0) = \lambda_m(q, 0)$ and $\gamma'(0) = Rv$ with $R > 0$ and $v \neq 0$. Consider the map $\lambda_{m+1} \circ A \circ \lambda_{m+1}^{-1} \circ \gamma : \Delta \rightarrow \lambda_{m+1}(\{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0;1))$, where $A : \{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0;1/m) \rightarrow \{q\} \times B^{d-k}(0;1)$ defined by $(q, z) \mapsto$

(q, mz) . Then note that $(\lambda_{m+1} \circ A \circ \lambda_{m+1}^{-1} \circ \gamma)(0) = \lambda_{m+1}(0)$. Further since $\lambda_{m+1}(q, 0) = \lambda_m(q, 0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_{m+1} \circ A \circ \lambda_{m+1}^{-1} \circ \gamma)'(0) &= \lambda'_{m+1}(q, 0)A'(q, 0)(\lambda_{m+1}^{-1})'(\lambda_{m+1}(q, 0))\gamma'(0) \\ &= mRv. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the $(d - k)$ -dimensional Kobayashi metric is identically vanishing on $\psi^{-1}\{q\}$. \square

Proof of the claim: Let $0 \neq f \in L^2(\psi^{-1}(U))$. Thus $\int_{\psi^{-1}(U)} |f|^2 < \infty$, which in turn gives

$$\int_{\lambda_m(U \times B^{d-k}(0;1))} |f|^2 < \infty,$$

for all $m \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{U \times B^{d-k}(0;1)} |f \circ \lambda_m|^2 |\text{Jac}(\lambda_m)|^2 < \infty,$$

for all $m \geq 1$.

Note that $\lambda_m(0) = \phi_m \circ \theta_m^{-1}(0)$. Now since $\alpha_m(0) = \psi \circ \phi_m(0) = \psi(p) = 0$ and $f_i(0) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq (d - k)$, it follows that $\theta_m(0) = 0$. Thus $\lambda_m(0) = \phi_m \circ \theta_m^{-1}(0) = \phi_m(0) = p$ and $f \circ \lambda_m(0) = f(p) \neq 0$.

Now we show that $|\text{Jac}\lambda_m(0)|$ can be taken as large as we want for sufficiently large m . First note that by (IV) we get

$$(4.4) \quad \psi^{-1}\{0\} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m \left(\{0\} \times B^{d-k}(0;1) \right).$$

Further by Proposition 4.4 it follows that the $(d - k)$ -dimensional Kobayashi metric vanishes identically on $\psi^{-1}\{0\}$. Since $\lambda_m(0) = p$, it follows that $p \in \lambda_m \left(\{0\} \times B^{d-k}(0;1) \right)$, for all $m \geq 1$. Let $R > 0$ and let $\zeta \in T_p(\psi^{-1}\{0\})$ with $\|\zeta\| = 1$. Then using the compactness of the unit sphere there exists m independent of ζ and there exists $\zeta_m : \Delta \rightarrow \lambda_m \left(\{0\} \times B^{d-k}(0;1) \right)$ such that $\zeta_m(0) = p$ and $\zeta'_m(0) = R\zeta$. Now $\lambda_m^{-1} \circ \zeta_m : \Delta \rightarrow \{0\} \times B^{d-k}(0;1)$ for all m . Thus by Schwarz lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda_m^{-1} \circ \zeta_m)'(0)\| &\leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \|(\lambda_m^{-1})'(p)\zeta'_m(0)\| &\leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \|(\lambda_m^{-1})'(p)R\zeta\| &\leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \|(\lambda_m^{-1})'(p)\zeta\| &\leq 1/R. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $|\text{Jac}(\lambda_m^{-1})(p)| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $|\text{Jac}(\lambda_m)(0)| \rightarrow \infty$. Now from (4.3), it follows that there exists some $K > 0$ such that

$$K|f(p)|^2 |\text{Jac}(\lambda_m)(0)|^2 \leq \int_{U \times B^{d-k}(0;1)} |f \circ \lambda_m|^2 |\text{Jac}(\lambda_m)|^2 < \infty.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus $f \equiv 0$. \square

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.8

Proof. Suppose that the Kobayashi corank of M is zero at some point. Then by (II) of Theorem 1.5 it follows that M is Kobayashi hyperbolic. Now suppose that for each $n \geq 1$, $\psi_n : M_n \rightarrow \Omega$ is a biholomorphism. If there exists a $z \in M$ such that $\psi_n(z)$ has a limit point in Ω , then by [15, Lemma 3.1], $M \cong \Omega$. If there exists no such point in M as above, then $\{\psi_n(z)\}_{n \geq 1}$ accumulates on $\partial\Omega$ for all $z \in M$. Now the squeezing function of a C^2 -smooth strictly pseudoconvex domain approaches to 1 near the boundary (see [5, Theorem 1.3]). Further, M has a bounded realization. Thus by [5, Theorem 2.1], we have

$$s_M(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{M_n}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_\Omega(\psi_n(z)) = 1.$$

Therefore, M is biholomorphic to the unit ball $B(0; 1)$ (See [6, Theorem 2.1]).

Now suppose that the corank k_p of the Kobayashi metric at some point $p \in M$ lies strictly in between 0 and d . Then by Theorem 1.5, it follows that $k_z \equiv k_p = d - k$ for all $z \in M$. Let for each $m \geq 1$, $g_n : M_n \rightarrow \Omega$ be a biholomorphism. If there exists a $p \in M$ such that $\{g_n(p)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is compactly contained in Ω , then using results in the Section 4 of [9] we get that M has a weak vector bundle structure of rank $d - k$ over a submanifold of Ω . Now suppose that for all $p \in M$ and for any sequence of biholomorphism $g_n : M_n \rightarrow \Omega$, the sequence $\{g_n(p)\}$ approaches to the boundary. Then $S_\Omega(g_n(p)) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, in the proof of [(III), Theorem 1.5], we can take the domain of the map α to be $B(0; 1)$. Therefore, M has a weak vector bundle structure of rank $d - k$ over a submanifold of $B(0; 1)$. \square

Acknowledgements: The second named author is partially supported by Start-up Research Grant (SRG/2023/001676) and Mathematical Research Impact Centric Support (MTR/2023/001258) from Science and Engineering Research Board of India.

REFERENCES

1. Balakumar, G. P., Borah, D., Mahajan, P., Verma, K.: *Limits of an increasing sequence of complex manifolds*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **202** (2023), 1381–1410.
2. Behnke, H., Stein, K.: *Konvergente Folgen von Regularitätsbereichen und die Mero-morphiekonvexität*. Math. Ann. **116**, 204–216.
3. Behrens, M.: *A generalisation of a theorem of Fornæss-Sibony*, Math. Ann. **273** (1985), 123–130.
4. Deng, Fusheng; Guan, Qian; Zhang, Liyou *Some properties of squeezing functions on bounded domains*. Pacific J. Math. **257** (2012)
5. Deng, Fusheng; Guan, Qi'an; Zhang, Liyou *Properties of squeezing functions and global transformations of bounded domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 368 (2016), no. 4, 2679–2696.
6. Deng, Fusheng; Guan, Qi'an; Zhang, Liyou *Some properties of squeezing functions on bounded domains*

7. Fornæss, John Erik: *An increasing sequence of Stein manifolds whose limit is not Stein*, Math. Ann. **223** (1976), no. 3, 275–277.
8. Fornæss, John Erik; Pal, Ratna: *Notes on the short \mathbb{C}^k s* J. Geom. Anal.32 (2022), no.4, Paper No. 133, 22 pp.
9. Fornæss, J. E.; Sibony, N.: *Increasing sequences of complex manifolds*, Math. Ann. **255** (1981), 351–360.
10. Fornæss, J.E., Stout, E.L.: *Polydiscs in complex manifolds*, Math. Ann. **227** (1977), 145–153
11. Jarnicki, Marek; Pflug, Peter: *Invariant distances and metrics in complex analysis*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 9. Walter de Gruyter Co., Berlin, 1993
12. Kim, Kang-Tae ; Zhang, Liyou *On the uniform squeezing property of bounded convex domains in \mathbb{C}^n* . Pacific J. Math. **282** (2016), no. 2, 341–358.
13. K. Liu, X. Sun, and S.-T. Yau, *Canonical metrics on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces I*, J. Differential Geom. 68:3 (2004), 571–637. MR 2007g:32009 Zbl 1078.30038
14. K. Liu, X. Sun, and S.-T. Yau, *Canonical metrics on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces II*, J. Differential Geom. 69:1 (2005), 163–216. MR 2007g:32010 Zbl 1086.32011
15. Mahajan, Prachi; Verma, Kaushal *Some aspects of the Kobayashi and Carathéodory metrics on pseudoconvex domains*, J. Geom. Anal. 22 (2012), no. 2, 491–560.
16. Ninh Van Thu; Trinh Huy Vu: *A note on exhaustion of hyperbolic complex manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **150**, no.5, 2083–2093.
17. S.-K. Yeung, *Geometry of domains with the uniform squeezing property*, Adv. Math. 221:2 (2009), 547–569. MR 2010b:32034 Zbl 1165.32004
18. Wiegerinck, J. J. O. O.: *Domains with finite dimensional Bergman space*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **187** (1984), 559–562

JOHN ERIK FORNÆSS: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NTNU TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

Email address: john.fornass@ntnu.no

RATNA PAL: INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR -81, MOHALI, PUNJAB-140306, INDIA

Email address: ratna.math@gmail.com, ratnapal@iisermohali.ac.in