

# A GEOMETRIC CONDITION FOR THE INVERTIBILITY OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON THE BERGMAN SPACE

ŽELJKO ČUČKOVIĆ AND JARI TASKINEN

ABSTRACT. Invertibility of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space and the related Douglas problem are long standing open problems. In this paper we study the invertibility problem under the novel geometric condition on the image of the symbols, which relaxes the standard positivity condition. We show that under our geometric assumption, the Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$  is invertible if and only if the Berezin transform of  $|\varphi|$  is invertible in  $L^\infty$ . It is well known that the Douglas problem is still open for harmonic functions. We study a class of rather general harmonic polynomials and characterize the invertibility of the corresponding Toeplitz operators. We also give a number of related results and examples.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

In the study of Toeplitz operators  $T_\varphi$  in the Bergman space, the characterization of the invertibility of  $T_\varphi$  in terms of the properties of the symbol  $\varphi$  and the related Douglas problem are important long standing open problems. Partial answers can be given by assuming the positivity of the symbol, which is anyway a standard assumption in the literature used for a large number of results on the boundedness and other properties of Toeplitz operators in many types of analytic function spaces. In this paper we replace the positivity assumption by a novel, much weaker geometric condition and use it to study the invertibility of Toeplitz operators  $T_\varphi$  in the Bergman space  $A^2$  on the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  of the complex plane. The condition concerns bounded symbols  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  and requires that  $\operatorname{Re} \varphi(z)$  is bounded from below by the non-negative quantity  $|\operatorname{Im} \varphi(z)|^2$ . For such symbols, we characterize the invertibility of  $T_\varphi$  in terms of the invertibility of the Berezin transform  $B(|\varphi|)$  (Theorem 3.1). As an application of the result, we consider a large class of harmonic polynomials  $P$  and characterize in Theorem 3.3 the invertibility of the operators  $T_P$ , thus contributing to the Douglas problem, which is still open in the most important case of harmonic symbols. We will also give a number of other examples and variations of the result.

In the sequel, we denote by  $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{D})$  the Hilbert-space of square integrable functions with respect to the normalized area measure  $dA = \frac{1}{\pi} r dr d\theta$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . We denote the inner produce of  $A^2$  by  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f \bar{g} dA$  and the norm by  $\|f\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$ , where  $f, g \in L^2$ . The Bergman space  $A^2 = A^2(\mathbb{D})$  is the

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B35, 47B91.

*Key words and phrases.* Toeplitz operator, Bergman space, invertibility.

closed subspace of  $L^2$  consisting of analytic functions. The orthogonal projection  $P$  from  $L^2$  onto  $A^2$  is called the Bergman projection, and it can be written as the integral operator

$$Pf(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} K(z, w)f(w)dA(w), \quad \text{where } K(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{w})^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

is the Bergman kernel. The normalized kernel

$$k_z(w) = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{(1 - w\bar{z})^2}$$

has the property  $\|k_z\|_2 = 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

Let us denote by  $L^\infty = L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  the Banach space of (essentially) bounded functions, endowed with the sup-norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . We will consider Toeplitz operators  $T_\varphi$  with symbols  $\varphi \in L^\infty$ . The operator  $T_\varphi$  is defined as the product  $PM_\varphi$ , where  $M_\varphi$  is the pointwise multiplier  $M_\varphi : f \mapsto \varphi f$  so that we can write

$$Tf(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\varphi(w)f(w)}{(1 - z\bar{w})^2}dA(w), \quad f \in A^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator  $A^2 \rightarrow A^2$  and let  $g \in L^\infty$ . The Berezin transform of  $T$ , as well as that of  $g$ , are complex-valued functions on  $\mathbb{D} \ni z$  defined by

$$(1.1) \quad B(T)(z) = \langle Tk_z, k_z \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad B(g)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_z(w)|^2 g(w) dA(w),$$

respectively. It is known that  $|B(T)(z)| \leq \|T\|$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , where  $\|T\|$  denotes the operator norm of  $T$ ; see Proposition 6.1. in [11].

R. Douglas [4] asked in the case of Hardy space  $H^2$ , if the invertibility of a Toeplitz operator with symbol belonging to  $L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{D})$  follows from the invertibility of the harmonic extension of the symbol from  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$ . In the Bergman space setting the question thus reads, if the invertibility of  $T_\varphi$  is implied by the invertibility of the Berezin transform of the symbol. We emphasize that the question is still open in the most important case that  $\varphi$  is harmonic. However, G. McDonald and C. Sundberg [7] showed that for a real harmonic symbol, the spectrum coincides with the interval  $[\inf \varphi, \sup \varphi]$ . Since  $B(\varphi)$  and  $\varphi$  coincide in this case, the result of [7] implies a positive answer to Douglas' question for real harmonic symbols. Moreover, in the case of analytic symbols, the Toeplitz operator reduces to the pointwise multiplier, hence, the invertibility is equivalent with the existence of a bounded inverse  $\varphi(z)^{-1}$  of the symbol on the disc (see the argument in [5], p. 197).

The following sufficient and necessary conditions for the invertibility of  $T_\varphi$  was proved by D. Luecking in [6]. Here, we denote by  $|A|$  the area of a set  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  and by  $D(w, \varepsilon)$  the pseudohyperbolic disc with center  $w$  and radius  $\varepsilon$  (see [11], Section 4.2).

**Theorem A.** *For a non-negative  $\varphi \in L^\infty$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *The Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$  is invertible on  $A^2$ .*

(ii) *There exists a constant  $\eta > 0$  such that*

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\varphi f|^2 dA \geq \eta \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 dA \quad \forall f \in A^2.$$

(iii) *There exist  $r, \delta > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$(1.3) \quad |G \cap D(w, \varepsilon)| > \delta |D(w, \varepsilon)| \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{D},$$

where  $G = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : \varphi(z) > r\}$ .

The formulation of Theorem A actually coincides with Lemma 2.4. of [10]. In [10], Corollary 3.5, X. Zhao and D. Zheng showed that the answer to Douglas' question is in general negative by constructing a counterexample with a symbol  $\varphi$ , which is a second order real polynomial of  $|z|$ . Also, the following result is Theorem 3.2 of [10].

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\varphi \in L^\infty$  be non-negative. The operator  $T_\varphi$  is invertible, if and only if the Berezin transform  $B(\varphi)$  is invertible in  $L^\infty$ .*

In Theorem 4.2 of [10] it was proved that if  $\varphi$  is bounded harmonic function such that for some  $\delta > 27/\sqrt{730} \approx 0.999315$  there holds  $\delta \|\varphi\|_\infty \leq |\varphi(z)| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$ , for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then  $T_\varphi$  is invertible. The invertibility problem for operators with harmonic symbols has also been considered in [1] and [8]. Moreover, in [3] the first named author and A. Vasaturo characterized the invertibility of Toeplitz operators  $T_\mu$  where the symbol is a positive measure and showed that the invertibility of  $T_\mu$  is equivalent to  $\mu$  being a Reverse Carleson measure. This led to a necessary and sufficient condition for the invertibility of Toeplitz operators whose symbols are averaging functions of these Carleson measures. The Douglas question for operators with measure symbols have recently also been considered in [2].

Here, our Theorem 3.1 generalizes Theorem B by replacing the strong positivity assumption on the symbol by the weaker geometric assumption; in addition, one needs to consider the Berezin transform  $B(|\varphi|)$  instead of  $B(\varphi)$ . This result leads to a criterion for the invertibility of operators, the symbols of which belong to a rather general class of harmonic polynomials, see Theorem 3.3.

In Section 4 we present variations of the geometric condition, which are sufficient for the invertibility of  $T_\varphi$ . Section 5 contains a reformulation of Theorem 3.1 for the case of harmonic symbols and some more examples and remarks concerning the Berezin transform. Also, in Theorem 5.6 we prove that regular enough symbols, the geometric condition implies that the invertibility and Fredholmness properties of the operator  $T_\varphi$  coincide.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section we present the preliminary considerations needed for the proofs of the main results in Sections 3–5.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded, measurable function such that  $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$  and*

$$(2.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) \geq |\varphi(z)|^2$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Moreover, assume that there exist  $S > 0$ ,  $M \geq 1$  such that the set  $G = \{z : |\varphi(z)| > S\}$  has the property

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 dA \leq M \int_G |f|^2 dA \quad \forall f \in A^2.$$

Then, the Toeplitz-operator  $T_\varphi$  is invertible on  $A^2$ , and there holds the bound  $\|T_\varphi^{-1}\| \leq MS^{-2}$ .

Proof. Using (2.1) two times implies

$$(2.3) \quad |1 - \varphi(z)|^2 = 1 - 2\operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) + \varphi(z)^2 \leq 1 - \operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) \leq 1 - |\varphi(z)|^2.$$

Following the idea in the proof of Corollary 3 of [6], the inequality (2.2) yields

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\varphi|^2 |f|^2 dA \geq \int_G |\varphi|^2 |f|^2 dA \geq S^2 \int_G |f|^2 dA \geq \frac{S^2}{M} \|f\|_2^2 =: \beta \|f\|_2^2.$$

Here, the constant  $\beta > 0$  must be smaller than one, since the assumption  $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$  implies  $\|f\|_2^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\varphi|^2 |f|^2 dA$ . Denoting by  $I$  the identity operator on  $A^2$ , (2.3), (2.4) yield

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(I - T_\varphi)f\|_2^2 &\leq \|M_{1-\varphi}f\|_2^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |1 - \varphi|^2 |f|^2 dA \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |\varphi|^2) |f|^2 dA \leq (1 - \beta) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 dA, \end{aligned}$$

hence, the invertibility of  $T_\varphi$  follows from  $\|I - T_\varphi\| \leq 1 - \beta < 1$ .

As for the upper estimate for the operator norm, we obtain from the Neumann series

$$\|T_\varphi^{-1}\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|I - T_\varphi\|^n \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \beta)^n = \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{M}{S^2}. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded, measurable function such that*

$$(2.6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) \geq |\operatorname{Im} \varphi(z)|^2$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then, for all sufficiently small constants  $R > 0$ , the function  $\psi = R\varphi(z)$  satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad \operatorname{Re} \psi(z) \geq |\psi(z)|^2.$$

Proof. We first note that that if the symbol  $\varphi$  satisfies (2.6) and we define  $\check{\psi}(z) = S\varphi(z)$  for some constant  $0 < S \leq 1$ , then  $\check{\psi}$  satisfies (2.6), too:

$$(2.8) \operatorname{Re} \check{\psi}(z) = S \operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) \geq S (\operatorname{Im} \varphi(z))^2 = S^{-1} (\operatorname{Im} \check{\psi}(z))^2 \geq (\operatorname{Im} \check{\psi}(z))^2.$$

We choose  $S \in (0, 1]$  so small that  $\|\check{\psi}\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Then, with the help of (2.8) we obtain

$$(2.9) \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \check{\psi}(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \check{\psi}(z) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \check{\psi}(z) \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \check{\psi}(z) + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{Im} \check{\psi}(z))^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{Re} \check{\psi}(z))^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{Im} \check{\psi}(z))^2 = \frac{1}{2} |\check{\psi}(z)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if  $R \in (0, 1)$  is such that  $R \leq S/2$ , we define  $\psi = R\varphi$  so that there also holds  $\psi = RS^{-1}\check{\psi}$ . We obtain, by (2.9) and the choice of  $R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \psi(z) &= \frac{R}{S} \operatorname{Re} \check{\psi}(z) \geq \frac{R}{2S} |\check{\psi}(z)|^2 = \frac{R}{2S} \left(\frac{S}{R}\right)^2 |\psi(z)|^2 \\ &= \frac{S}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{R} |\psi(z)|^2 \geq |\psi(z)|^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.3.** We will need the observation that any number smaller than or equal to  $2^{-1} \min(1, \|\varphi\|_\infty^{-1})$  can be chosen for the number  $R$ , see the above proof.

### 3. MAIN THEOREM AND EXAMPLES

Let us present the following generalization of Theorem 3.2. of [10].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded, measurable function such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) \geq |\operatorname{Im} \varphi(z)|^2 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

*Then, the Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$  is invertible on  $A^2$ , if and only if the Berezin transform  $B(|\varphi|)$  of the non-negative symbol  $|\varphi|$  is invertible in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ .*

Proof. Theorem 3.1. of [10] shows that the invertibility of the Toeplitz operator implies the invertibility of the Berezin transform.

Conversely, assume that the Berezin transform  $B(|\varphi|)$  is invertible in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Note that for any constant  $R > 0$ , the invertibility of the operator  $T_\varphi$  is equivalent to the invertibility of  $T_{R\varphi}$ . Accordingly, we choose  $R \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty^{-1}$  so small that (2.7) holds for  $\psi = R\varphi$ . Then, we redefine  $\varphi := \psi$  and note that the Berezin transform  $B(|\varphi|)$  for the new  $|\varphi|$  is still invertible and there holds  $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$ .

We proceed by using the proof of Theorem 3.2. of [10] to the non-negative symbol  $|\varphi|$ : the proof shows that the function  $|\varphi|$  satisfies (iii) of Theorem A. By the statement (ii) of Theorem A for the function  $|\varphi|$ , we thus find a constant  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\varphi|^2 |f|^2 dA \geq \eta \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 dA$$

for all  $f \in A^2$ . Now, if we choose  $S > 0$  such that  $S < \eta$  and define the set  $G = \{z : |\varphi(z)| > S\}$ , we obtain by (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |\varphi|^2 |f|^2 dA &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\varphi|^2 |f|^2 dA - \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus G} |\varphi|^2 |f|^2 dA \\ &\geq \eta \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 dA - \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus G} S |f|^2 dA \geq (\eta - S) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 dA. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, condition (2.2) in Lemma 2.1 of the present paper holds with the constant  $M = 1/(\eta - S)$ . Condition (2.1) is also satisfied, by the scaling made in the beginning of the proof, hence, Lemma 2.1 implies the invertibility of  $T_\varphi$ .  $\square$

We remark that condition (3.1) in Theorem 3.1 can be replaced by the seemingly weaker

$$(3.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) \geq \delta |\operatorname{Im} \varphi(z)|^2$$

where  $\delta \in (0, 1]$  is an arbitrary constant. Namely, if  $\varphi$  satisfies (3.3), then the symbol  $\delta\varphi$  satisfies (3.1), and the invertibility properties are the same for the symbol  $\varphi$  and  $\delta\varphi$ .

**Example.** The values of the harmonic polynomial  $P(z) = 2 + \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{2}\bar{z}^2$  are in the parabolic region (3.1): the real part of  $P(z) = P(x + iy)$  equals

$$(3.4) \quad 2 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - y^2)$$

On the circle  $y^2 = 1 - x^2$  this equals  $\frac{3}{2} + \frac{x}{2} + x^2$ , which has minimum  $1\frac{7}{16} > \frac{5}{4}$  at the point  $x = -1/4$ .

The square of the imaginary part  $\frac{1}{2}y - xy = (\frac{1}{2} - x)y$  boils down on the circle  $y^2 = 1 - x^2$  to  $(1 - x^2)(x - \frac{1}{2})^2$ . This function has its maximum at the point  $x_0 = \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{33}{4}}$ , which satisfies  $-\frac{5}{8} < x_0 < -\frac{4}{8} = -\frac{1}{2}$ , and we obtain the estimate

$$(1 - x_0^2) \left(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 < \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right) \left(-\frac{5}{8} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 < \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{9}{8}\right)^2 < 1.$$

By the previous estimate, the inequality (3.1) thus holds on the circle  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  and consequently also on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Obviously,

$$|P(z)| \geq 2 - \frac{1}{2}|z| - \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 \geq 1 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}$$

so that the Berezin transform of  $|P|$  is invertible. Theorem 3.1 thus implies that the operator  $T_P$  is invertible.

We next consider a general class of examples, which are harmonic polynomials. The following observation will be needed.

**Remark 3.2.** If the values of a mapping  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy

$$(3.5) \quad |1 - \varphi(z)| < 1$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then also (3.3) holds with  $\delta = 1/2$ . Thus, (3.1) of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied by the symbol  $\frac{1}{2}\varphi$ .

To see this, if (3.5) holds, we have  $\varphi(z) - 1 = re^{i\theta}$  with  $0 \leq r < 1$ , for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . We get

$$(3.6) \quad \operatorname{Re}\varphi(z) = 1 + \operatorname{Re}(\varphi(z) - 1) = 1 + r \cos \theta$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Im}\varphi(z)|^2 &= |\operatorname{Im}(\varphi(z) - 1)|^2 = r^2 \sin^2 \theta = r^2(1 - \cos^2 \theta) \\ &= r^2(1 + \cos \theta)(1 - \cos \theta) \leq 2r^2(1 + \cos \theta) = 2r(r + r \cos \theta) \leq 2r(1 + r \cos \theta). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.6), this is not larger than  $2\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z)$ , which implies (3.3).

In the next theorem we consider symbols, which are harmonic polynomials

$$(3.7) \quad P(z) = p_0 + \sum_{m \in M} p_m z^m + \sum_{n \in N} q_n \bar{z}^n,$$

where  $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $p_0 \geq 1$  and  $M, N \subset \mathbb{N}_1 = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  are finite sets and  $p_m, q_n \in \mathbb{C}$  are *non-zero* coefficients for all  $m \in M, n \in N$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{m \in M} |p_m| + \sum_{n \in N} |q_n| = 1.$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let the harmonic polynomial  $P$  be as in (3.7)–(3.8).*

- (i) *If  $p_0 > 1$ , then the Toeplitz operator  $T_P$  is invertible.*
- (ii) *If  $p_0 = 1$ , then  $T_P$  is not invertible, if and only if the following condition holds: there exist  $\lambda \in [0, 2\pi]$  and, for all  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$ , integers  $k_m$  and  $\ell_n$  such that*

$$(3.9) \quad m\lambda + \arg p_m = \pi + k_m 2\pi \quad \text{and} \quad -n\lambda + \arg q_n = \pi + \ell_n 2\pi.$$

Here,  $\arg z$  denotes the argument of  $z$ . Before the proof, let us consider some illuminating

**Examples.** (a) If  $R(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{3}z^3 + \frac{1}{3}\bar{z}^3$ , then  $T_R$  is not invertible. (b) If  $Q(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{3}z^2 + \frac{1}{3}\bar{z}^3$ , then  $T_Q$  is invertible.

Let us prove these claims using item (ii). As for  $R$ , in formula (3.7) we have  $M = N = \{3\}$ , and to see (3.9) we choose  $\lambda = \pi/3, k_3 = 0, \ell_3 = -1$ . Hence, with  $m = 3 = n$  we get

$$m\lambda + \arg p_m = 3\lambda = \pi = \pi + k_3 2\pi, \quad -n\lambda + \arg q_n = -3\lambda = -\pi = \pi + \ell_3 2\pi,$$

so that (3.9) holds and thus  $T_R$  is not invertible.

Concerning  $Q$ , in formula (3.7) we have  $M = \{2\}, N = \{3\}$ . Suppose  $\lambda \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $k_2, \ell_3 \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  are such that (3.9) holds. Then,  $\arg p_2 = 0 = \arg q_3$  and thus

$$\lambda = \frac{\pi}{2} + k_2 \pi = \pi \left( \frac{1}{2} + k_2 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = -\frac{\pi}{3} - \ell_3 \frac{2\pi}{3} = \pi \left( -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2\ell_3}{3} \right)$$

so that equating both expressions for  $\lambda$ , we get

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{2} + k_2 = -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2\ell_3}{3},$$

But there does not exist integers  $k_2, \ell_3$  such that (3.10) holds: on the right, the number is always integer  $+0, 1/3$  or  $2/3$ , so it cannot be integer  $+ 1/2$ . Hence, (3.9) cannot be satisfied. As a conclusion,  $T_Q$  is invertible.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. To prove the claim (i), we write  $p_0 = 1 + q_0$ , where  $q_0 > 0$ , and consider first the harmonic symbol

$$(3.11) \quad \varphi(z) = 1 + \sum_{m \in M} p_m z^m + \sum_{n \in N} q_n \bar{z}^n,$$

i.e.  $P = \varphi + q_0$ . Then, we have, by the triangle inequality and (3.8),

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} |1 - \varphi(z)| &= \left| \sum_{m \in M} p_m z^m + \sum_{n \in N} q_n \bar{z}^n \right| \leq \sum_{m \in M} |p_m| |z|^m + \sum_{n \in N} |q_n| |z|^n \\ &< \sum_{m \in M} |p_m| + \sum_{n \in N} |q_n| = 1 \end{aligned}$$

so that (3.5) and thus (3.3) hold for  $\varphi$ . Since  $\operatorname{Re} P(z) = \operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) + q_0 > \operatorname{Re} \varphi(z)$  and  $\operatorname{Im} P(z) = \operatorname{Im} \varphi(z)$ , (3.3) holds also for  $P$ .

To show that the Berezin transform of  $|P|$  is invertible on  $\mathbb{D}$ , we again use (3.8) and the triangle inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |P(z)| &\geq p_0 - \left| \sum_{m \in M} p_m z^m + \sum_{n \in N} q_n \bar{z}^n \right| \geq p_0 - \left( \sum_{m \in M} |p_m| + \sum_{n \in N} |q_n| \right) \\ &= p_0 - 1 = q_0 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . The constant function  $z \mapsto q_0$  is harmonic, hence  $B(q_0) = q_0$  so that the above estimate proves  $B(|P|)(z) \geq q_0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Thus  $B(|P|)$  is invertible, and the claim (i) follows from Theorem 3.1.

We turn to claim (ii). Let  $P$  be as in (3.5)–(3.7) with  $p_0 = 1$ . Note that now  $P$  coincides with  $\varphi$  in the proof of (i), and hence, by (3.12),  $P$  satisfies (3.5) and thus also (3.3). Thus, Theorem 3.1 applies and we need to consider the invertibility of the Berezin transform  $B(|P|)$  as a function in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ .

Let us first prove the "if"-part: we assume that one can find  $\lambda, k_m, \ell_n$  such that (3.9) holds and show that  $B(|P|)$  is not invertible. Indeed, using (3.9) and (3.8) we get

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} P(e^{i\lambda}) &= 1 + \sum_{m \in M} p_m e^{im\lambda} + \sum_{n \in N} q_n e^{-in\lambda} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{m \in M} |p_m| e^{i(\arg p_m + m\lambda)} + \sum_{n \in N} |q_n| e^{i(\arg q_n + n\lambda)} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{m \in M} |p_m| e^{i\pi} + \sum_{n \in N} |q_n| e^{i\pi} = 1 - \sum_{m \in M} |p_m| - \sum_{n \in N} |q_n| = 0. \end{aligned}$$



Thus, also  $|P|$  has a zero on the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , and since the values of  $|P|$  and  $B(|P|)$  coincide on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , we conclude that the Berezin transform of  $|P|$  is not invertible in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Theorem 3.1 shows that  $T_P$  is not invertible.

To prove the "only if"-statement we assume the numbers  $\lambda$ ,  $k_m$ ,  $\ell_n$  cannot be found for every  $m, n$  so as to satisfy (3.9). Thus, if  $\lambda \in [0, 2\pi]$  is arbitrary, there is  $m_\lambda \in M$  such that the first identity in (3.9) does not hold for any integer  $k$  (or, the second identity in (3.9) fails, which case is treated in the same way.)

We thus have

$$m_\lambda \lambda + \arg p_{m_\lambda} \neq \pi + k2\pi$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which means that

$$e^{i(\arg p_{m_\lambda} + m_\lambda \lambda)} \neq -1.$$

Every point on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , except -1, has real part bigger than -1, so that we can write

$$(3.14) \quad \operatorname{Re} e^{i(\arg p_{m_\lambda} + m_\lambda \lambda)} = -1 + \delta(\lambda)$$

for some  $\delta(\lambda) > 0$ . The compactness of the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$  implies that there is a number  $\delta' > 0$ , independent of  $\lambda$  such that

$$(3.15) \quad \operatorname{Re} e^{i(\arg p_{m_\lambda} + m_\lambda \lambda)} \geq -1 + \delta'$$

(To see this, for every  $\lambda$ , the identity (3.14) implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} e^{i(\arg p_{m_\lambda} + m_\lambda \nu)} \geq -1 + \delta(\lambda)/2$$

for  $\nu$  belonging to a small interval  $I_\lambda := (\lambda - \epsilon(\lambda), \lambda + \epsilon(\lambda))$ . The intervals  $I_\lambda$  form an open covering of  $[0, 2\pi]$ , so that by compactness, we can pick up a finite subcovering, and then define  $\delta'$  to be the smallest of the corresponding numbers  $\delta(\lambda)$ . Every  $\lambda \in [0, 2\pi]$  belongs to some of these finitely many intervals  $I_{\lambda_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , and we finally redefine  $m_\lambda \rightarrow m_{\lambda_j}$  and  $p_{m_\lambda} \rightarrow p_{m_{\lambda_j}}$  for  $\lambda \in I_{\lambda_j}$ ; a number  $\lambda$  may belong to more than one  $I_{\lambda_j}$ , but we just choose one of these for every  $\lambda$ . We thus get (3.15) for all  $\lambda \in [0, 2\pi]$ .)

Given  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $|z| \geq 1/2$  we write  $\lambda = \arg z$  and obtain by (3.15), (3.8) and a repeated use of the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} P(z) &= 1 + \operatorname{Re} (p_{m_\lambda} z^{m_\lambda}) + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{m \in M \setminus \{m_\lambda\}} p_m z^m + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n \in N} q_n \bar{z}^n \\ &= 1 + \operatorname{Re} e^{i(\arg p_{m_\lambda} + m_\lambda \lambda)} |p_{m_\lambda} z^{m_\lambda}| + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{m \in M \setminus \{m_\lambda\}} p_m z^m + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n \in N} q_n \bar{z}^n \\ &\geq 1 + \delta' |p_{m_\lambda} z^{m_\lambda}| - |p_{m_\lambda} z^{m_\lambda}| - \sum_{m \in M \setminus \{m_\lambda\}} |p_m z^m| - \sum_{n \in N} |q_n \bar{z}^n| \\ &\geq 1 + \delta' |p_{m_\lambda} z^{m_\lambda}| - \sum_{m \in M} |p_m| - \sum_{n \in N} |q_n| \geq \delta' |p_{m_\lambda}| 2^{-m_\lambda} \\ (3.16) \quad &\geq \delta' 2^{-\mu} \min_{m \in M} \{|p_m|\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu = \max_{m \in M} \{m\}$ . The number on the right of (3.16) is positive and does not depend on  $z$ . For  $|z| < 1/2$  we obtain from (3.7) and (3.8)

$$|P(z)| \geq 1 - \sum_{m \in M} |p_m| 2^{-m} - \sum_{n \in N} |q_n| 2^{-n} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{m \in M} |p_m| + \sum_{n \in N} |q_n| \right) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This and (3.16) show that  $|P(z)|$  is bounded from below by a positive constant  $\delta > 0$ . This implies that  $B(|P|)(z) \geq \delta$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , hence  $B(|P|)$  is invertible as an element of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . By Theorem 3.1, also  $T_P$  is invertible.  $\square$

#### 4. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR THE INVERTIBILITY

In this section, Theorem 4.1, we show that the assumption (3.1) can still be weakened by assuming it only for  $z$  bounded away from zero (see (i), (ii)), although one then needs another condition (iii) to control the range of  $\varphi$  near the origin. Note that the result allows  $\varphi(z)$  to have a negative real part for some  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

We first recall a known norm bound for the multiplication operator. Denote  $e_n = \sqrt{2(n+1)}z^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that these functions form an orthonormal basis of  $A^2$ . Let us denote, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by  $A_n$  the  $n$ -codimensional closed subspace of  $A^2$  spanned by monomials of degree at least  $n$ . Now, if  $\omega \subset B(0, r) = \{z : |z| \leq r\}$  for some  $0 < r < 1$  and  $\chi_\omega$  is its characteristic (indicator) function and  $M_\omega := M_{\chi_\omega}$ , then the operator norm of  $M_\omega$  satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad \|M_\omega|_{A_n}\| \leq \frac{r^{n+1}}{(1-r)^{1/2}}.$$

To see this, we have for all  $m \geq n$

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} |M_\omega z^m|^2 dA \leq \int_0^r s^{2m+1} ds = \frac{1}{2m+2} r^{2m+2}$$

hence, for  $f = \sum_{m \geq n} a_m e_m \in A_n$  we get by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| M_\omega \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m e_m \right\|_2 &\leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |a_m| \|M_\omega e_m\|_2 \leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |a_m| r^{m+1} \\ &\leq \|f\|_2 \left( \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} r^{2(m+1)} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{r^{n+1}}{(1-r)^{1/2}} \|f\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

For a set  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  we denote by  $|A|$  its area.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\varphi \in L^\infty$  and let the number  $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$  be arbitrary, where  $\rho_0$  is the constant  $\min(1, \|\varphi\|_\infty^{-1})/32$ . If*

- (i)  $\operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) \geq |\operatorname{Im} \varphi(z)|^2$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $|\varphi(z)| \geq \rho$ ,
- (ii)  $|\varphi(z)| > \rho$  for all  $z$  with  $|z| \geq \rho$ , and
- (iii) the set  $\Lambda := \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(z)| \leq \rho\}$  satisfies  $|\Lambda| \leq \rho^6$ ,

then the Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$  is invertible on  $A^2$ .

Below we also consider symbols  $\varphi$  for which the power  $\rho^6$  in (iii) can be improved into  $\rho^4$ .

Proof. Given  $\varphi$ , we first consider an arbitrary  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , assume that (i)–(iii) hold for  $\rho$  and finally show that  $T_\varphi$  is invertible, if  $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$  for a small enough  $\rho_0$ .

Let us denote by  $\chi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  the characteristic function of the subdomain  $\Omega = \mathbb{D} \setminus \Lambda = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(z)| > \rho\}$ . Then, by (i) and the definition of the set  $\Lambda$ , the function  $\chi\varphi$  satisfies condition (2.6) of Lemma 2.1. By Remark 2.3 and the bound  $\|\chi\varphi\|_\infty \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$ , (2.7) holds for the function  $R\chi\varphi$ , when we choose  $R := 2^{-1} \min(1, \|\varphi\|_\infty^{-1}) \leq 1/2$ . (Note that  $R$  does not depend on  $\rho$ , although  $\Omega$  and  $\chi$  do.) In other words, the inequality

$$(4.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \psi(z) \geq |\psi(z)|^2$$

holds for the function  $\psi = R\varphi$ , for all  $z \in \Omega$ . (Note that  $\|\psi\|_\infty \leq 1$ .) As in (2.3), we then conclude that

$$(4.5) \quad |1 - \psi(z)|^2 \leq 1 - |\psi(z)|^2 \quad \forall z \in \Omega.$$

We denote  $S = 1/R$  and  $\sigma = R\rho \in (0, 1)$ . For an arbitrary  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n z^n \in A^2$  we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad (SI - T_\varphi)f = (SP - T_\varphi)f = (SP - T_\varphi)(\chi f + (1 - \chi)f)$$

Then, we take into account  $|\psi(z)| = R|\varphi(z)| \geq R\rho = \sigma$  for all  $z \in \Omega = \mathbb{D} \setminus \Lambda$  and thus can estimate

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(SP - T_\varphi)\chi f\|_2^2 &\leq \|(SI - M_\varphi)\chi f\|_2^2 = \|(SI - SM_\psi)\chi f\|_2^2 \\ S^2 \int_{\Omega} |1 - \psi|^2 |f|^2 dA &\leq S^2 \int_{\Omega} (1 - |\psi|^2) |f|^2 dA \leq S^2 (1 - \sigma^2) \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 dA. \end{aligned}$$

where also (4.5) was used for  $\psi$ .

We write  $g(z) = f_0 + f_1 z$  and  $h(z) = f(z) - g(z) = \sum_{n \geq 2} f_n z^n$  and proceed with

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|(SP - T_\varphi)(1 - \chi)f\|_2 \\ &\leq \|(SP - T_\varphi)(1 - \chi)g\|_2 + \|(SP - T_\varphi)(1 - \chi)h\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

By (ii), the set  $\Lambda$  is contained in  $B(0, \rho) \subset \mathbb{C}$ , hence (4.1) and the definition of  $\chi$  give us

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(SP - T_\varphi)(1 - \chi)h\|_2^2 &\leq \|(S - SM_\psi)(1 - \chi)h\|_2^2 = S^2 \int_{\Lambda} |1 - \psi|^2 |h|^2 dA \\ S^2 \int_{B(0, \rho)} |h|^2 dA &= S^2 \|M_{B(0, \rho)} h\|_2^2 \leq \frac{S^2 \rho^6}{1 - \rho} \|h\|_2^2 \leq \frac{S^2 \rho^6}{1 - \rho} \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (iii),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (SP - T_\varphi)(1 - \chi)g \|_2^2 \leq \| (SI - M_\varphi)(1 - \chi)g \|_2^2 \\
& \leq \int_{\Lambda} |S - \varphi(z)|^2 (|f_0|^2 + |f_1|^2) dA(z) \\
(4.10) \quad & \leq 4(S + \rho)^2 |\Lambda| \|f\|_2^2 \leq 4(S + \rho)^2 \rho^6 \|f\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.6)–(4.10) and taking into account  $\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2} \leq 1 - \sigma^2/2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (SI - T_\varphi)f \| \leq (S\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2} + S\rho^3(1 - \rho)^{-1/2} + 2(S + \rho)\rho^3) \|f\|_2 \\
(4.11) \quad & \leq S \left( 1 - \frac{R^2\rho^2}{2} + \rho^3 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho}} + 2 + 2\rho R \right) \right) \|f\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that due to the choice of  $\rho_0$  and  $R = 2^{-1} \min(1, \|\varphi\|_\infty^{-1})$ , we have  $\rho < R^2/8$ , hence,

$$\rho^3 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho}} + 2 + 2\rho R \right) \leq \rho^2 \frac{R^2}{2} \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{7/8}} + 2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) = \rho^2 \frac{R^2 \sigma_1}{2}$$

with a constant  $\sigma_1 \in (0, 1)$ . One obtains for (4.11) the bound

$$(4.12) \quad S \left( 1 - \frac{R^2\rho^2}{2} (1 - \sigma_1) \right) \|f\|_2,$$

which yields  $\|SI - T_\varphi\| \leq \sigma_2 S$  for another positive constant  $\sigma_2 < 1$ . Hence,

$$(4.13) \quad \|I - T_{R\varphi}\| = R \|SI - T_\varphi\| \leq RS\sigma_2 = \sigma_2 < 1,$$

and we obtain from the Neumann series that  $T_{R\varphi}$  and thus also  $T_\varphi$  are invertible.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 can be modified to get other similar statements. In particular, the stronger requirement  $\operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) \geq |\operatorname{Im} \varphi(z)|$ , would allow us to replace condition (iii) by the weaker assumption that the set  $\Lambda := \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(z)| \leq \rho\}$  satisfies  $|\Lambda| \leq \rho^4$ . We leave the details for the interested reader.

The following sufficient condition for the invertibility of  $T_\varphi$  follows from Proposition 4.1. We remark that condition (3.3) could be used in the place of the first inequality of (4.14).

**Corollary 4.2.** *Assume  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is bounded, measurable and that for some  $\delta \in (0, 1]$  there holds*

$$(4.14) \quad \operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) \geq |\operatorname{Im} \varphi(z)|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \leq |\varphi(z)| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then, the Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$  is invertible on  $A^2$ .

*Proof.* If  $\varphi$  is as in (4.14), it also satisfies (i) for any  $\rho$  and (ii) for all  $\rho$  smaller than  $\delta$  of (4.14). Moreover, if  $\rho < \delta$ , then the set  $\Lambda$  is empty and (iii) automatically holds. Thus, Proposition 4.1 implies the invertibility of  $T_\varphi$ .  $\square$

We finally remark that in the case of a harmonic symbol  $\varphi$ , Corollary 4.2 yields a partial positive answer to Douglas' question for Bergman spaces, since

the latter condition in (4.14) coincides with assuming the invertibility of the Berezin transform of  $\varphi$ .

## 5. RESULTS CONCERNING HARMONIC SYMBOLS, BEREZIN TRANSFORM AND ITS ITERATIONS

Next we consider Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols. Let  $\mathcal{P} : L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  denote the Poisson extension of a bounded function on the circle to a bounded harmonic function on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . We first present a reformulation of Theorem 3.1 in this setting. Note that the assumptions in the next proposition are the same as in Douglas' theorem, except for condition (5.1).

**Proposition 5.1.** *Assume  $g : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous and satisfies the condition*

$$(5.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} g(e^{i\theta}) \geq |\operatorname{Im} g(e^{i\theta})|^2 \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

*Then, the Toeplitz operator with symbol  $\varphi = \mathcal{P}g$  is invertible on  $A^2$ , if and only if  $g(e^{i\theta}) \neq 0$  for every  $\theta$ .*

*Proof.* Assume first  $g(e^{i\theta}) \neq 0$  for every  $\theta$ . Since (5.1) holds, there cannot exist a point  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} g(e^{it}) = 0$  but  $\operatorname{Im} g(e^{it}) \neq 0$ . Since the case  $\operatorname{Re} g(e^{it}) = \operatorname{Im} g(e^{it}) = 0$  is now also excluded, we conclude that  $\operatorname{Re} g(e^{it}) > 0$  and thus, by the continuity of  $g$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} g(e^{it}) \geq c$  for some constant  $c > 0$ . Then, the continuity of  $\mathcal{P}g$  in the closed disc  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and the positivity of the Poisson kernel on  $\mathbb{D}$  implies that  $\operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) = \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{P}g(z) \geq \delta'$  for some constant  $\delta' > 0$ , for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Hence,

$$\operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) \geq \delta |\operatorname{Im} \varphi(z)|^2 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where  $\delta = \delta' \|\varphi\|_\infty^{-2}$ . By the remark around (3.3), the symbol  $\delta\varphi$  satisfies condition (3.1) of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, the Berezin transform of  $\delta\varphi$  coincides with  $\delta\varphi$  and is thus invertible in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Theorem 3.1 implies that  $T_{\delta\varphi}$  and thus also  $T_\varphi$  are invertible.

If  $g(e^{i\theta}) = 0$  for some  $\theta$ , then  $\varphi$  and thus  $B(|\varphi|)$  cannot be invertible in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . By Theorem 3.1. of [10],  $T_\varphi$  is not invertible.  $\square$

The following result yields many examples of invertible Toeplitz operators. We denote for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by  $B^n$  the  $n$ th iterate of the Berezin transform.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $\varphi \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  be such that  $|B(\varphi)(z)| \geq \delta$  for some constant  $\delta > 0$  and all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .*

*(i) For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_\psi$  with symbol  $\psi = \mathcal{P}(|\varphi|^n|_{\partial\mathbb{D}})$  is invertible in  $A^2$ .*

*(ii) If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that*

$$(5.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} B^n \varphi(z) \geq \delta |\operatorname{Im} B^n \varphi(z)|^2 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D},$$

*then the Toeplitz operator  $T_\psi$  with symbol  $\psi = B^n \varphi$  is invertible in  $A^2$ .*

Proof. (i) Since  $\varphi \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ , the values of  $\varphi$  and  $B\varphi$  coincide on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . The assumption of the proposition implies that  $|\varphi|$  and thus  $|\varphi|^n$  are non-zero on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . Denoting the restriction  $g = |\varphi|^n|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ , we find that  $g$  satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 5.1, which implies the statement (note that (5.1) holds, since  $g$  is non-negative).

(ii) Let us fix  $n \in \mathbb{D}$  such that (5.2) holds. Since  $\varphi \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ , the values of  $\varphi$  and every  $B^k\varphi$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  coincide on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , by an induction argument. Let us define the continuous function  $g = \varphi|_{\partial\mathbb{D}} = B^n\varphi|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$  on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . The continuity of the function  $B^n\varphi$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and (5.2) imply that condition (5.1) holds for  $g$ . Moreover, by the assumption  $|B(\varphi)(z)| \geq \delta$ , the function  $g$  is non-zero on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Since the integral kernel of the linear operator  $B^n$  is positive, the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5.1 shows that there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \varphi(z) \geq \delta$  and thus also  $|B^n\varphi(z)| \geq \delta$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . The invertibility of the operator  $T_\psi$  now follows from Corollary 4.2.  $\square$

Finally, we consider the relation of the condition (2.6) for the symbol itself and for its Berezin transform.

**Proposition 5.3.** *If the function  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  satisfies (2.6), then  $B(\varphi)$  also satisfies the same condition.*

Proof. Indeed, there holds

$$(5.3) \quad B(\varphi)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_z(w)|^2 \operatorname{Re} \varphi \, dA(w) + i \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_z(w)|^2 \operatorname{Im} \varphi \, dA(w).$$

so that using the Jensen inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_z(w)|^2 \varphi \, dA(w) \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_z(w)|^2 |\operatorname{Im} \varphi| \, dA(w) \right)^2 \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_z(w)|^2 (\operatorname{Im} \varphi)^2 \, dA(w) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_z(w)|^2 \operatorname{Re} \varphi \, dA(w) \\ & = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_z(w)|^2 \varphi \, dA(w). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.4 shows that the converse of implication does not hold in general.

**Proposition 5.4.** *There exist a (real valued, radial) symbol  $\varphi$  such that the Berezin transform  $B(\varphi)$  satisfies condition (2.6) in the place of  $\varphi$  and is invertible, but  $T_\varphi$  is not. Hence, condition (2.6) does not hold for the symbol  $\varphi$  itself.*

Proof. In the proof of Corollary 3.5 of [10] it is shown that for  $P(z) = |z|^2 - \frac{3}{2}|z| + 1$  there holds

$$(5.4) \quad \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} B(T_P)(z) > \frac{13}{28} =: \lambda_2$$

where  $\lambda_2$  is the lowest eigenvalue of  $T_P$ , and it corresponds to the eigenfunction  $f(z) = z^2$ . Consequently,

$$(5.5) \quad Q(z) = P(z) - \frac{13}{28} = |z|^2 - \frac{3}{2}|z| + \frac{15}{28}$$

is a real valued, radially symmetric symbol with positive Berezin transform, but  $T_Q$  is not invertible, since it has 0 as an eigenvalue.

In view of Theorem 3.1, the symbol  $\varphi$  cannot satisfy condition (2.6). As an alternative proof, one can calculate that the function  $r \mapsto Q(r)$  has two real zeros in the interval  $(0, 1) \ni r$  so that  $Q$  attains negative values on  $\mathbb{D}$  and thus (2.6) cannot hold.  $\square$

Finally, we show that if a symbol  $\varphi$  satisfies condition (3.1) and has some other general regularity properties, then the operator  $T_\varphi$  is invertible, if and only if it is Fredholm. This result follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 5.5.** *Assume  $\varphi \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  satisfies condition (3.1). If there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|B(\varphi)(z)| \geq \delta$  for all  $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ , then  $B(\varphi)$  is invertible as an element of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$ , since this can be achieved by multiplying  $\varphi$  by a small enough number belonging to  $(0, 1)$ , and it suffices to prove the lemma for this scaled symbol. By for example [11], Proposition 6.14, the Berezin transform  $B(\varphi)$  is continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  so that, for some  $\delta_1 > 0$ , the condition  $|B(\varphi)(z)| \geq \delta_1$  holds for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $|z| \geq 1 - \delta_1$ . Since  $B(\varphi)$  is defined by an integral (cf. (1.1)), there must exist a closed subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{D}$  with positive area such that  $|\varphi(z)| \geq \delta_2$  for some number  $\delta_2 > 0$  and all  $z \in \Omega$ . Using (3.1), we thus obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \varphi(w) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \varphi(w) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \varphi(w) \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \varphi(w) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \varphi(w)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \varphi(w)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \varphi(w)^2 = \frac{1}{2} |\varphi(w)|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

for  $w \in \Omega$ .

We also have

$$(5.7) \quad \inf \{ |k_z(w)| : |z| \leq 1 - \delta_1, w \in \Omega \} \geq \delta_3$$

for some  $\delta_3 > 0$ , due to the compactness of the  $z$ - and  $w$ -sets here. Noting that the real part of  $\varphi$  is by assumption (3.1) non-negative everywhere in  $\mathbb{D}$  and using (5.6) and (5.7), we get for all  $|z| \leq 1 - \delta_1$

$$\begin{aligned} |B(\varphi)(z)| &\geq \operatorname{Re} B(\varphi)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_z(w)|^2 \operatorname{Re} \varphi(w) dA(w) \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} |k_z(w)|^2 \operatorname{Re} \varphi(w) dA(w) \geq \delta_4 \end{aligned}$$

for some number  $\delta_4 > 0$ . This proves the claim, since it was observed above that  $|B(\varphi)|$  is bounded from below in the set  $\{z : |z| \geq 1 - \delta_1\}$ .  $\square$

Let us denote by  $AQ(\mathbb{D})$  the closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  consisting of functions  $\psi$  such that the Hankel operator  $H_\psi = (I - P)M_\psi$  is compact.

**Theorem 5.6.** *Assume that  $\varphi \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \cap AQ(\mathbb{D})$  satisfies condition (3.1). Then, the Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$  is invertible, if and only if it is a Fredholm operator.*

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $T_\varphi : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$  is a Fredholm operator for a symbol  $\varphi \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \cap AQ(\mathbb{D})$ . It follows from Stroethoff-Zheng -92, Toeplitz and Hankel operators on Bergman spaces, TAMS 329 (1992) 773–794, Corollary 22, that we have  $|B(\varphi)(z)| \geq \delta$  for  $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$  and some constant  $\delta > 0$ . We obtain from Lemma 5.5 that  $B(\varphi)$  and thus also  $|B(\varphi)|$  are invertible in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Theorem 3.1 yields that  $T_\varphi$  is invertible.

The other implication of the theorem is trivial.  $\square$

*Acknowledgements.* The authors wish to thank Dragan Vukotic (Madrid) for some useful remarks on the manuscript, which helped to improve the formulation of Theorem 3.1.

*Funding sources.* The second named author was partially supported by the Magnus Ehrnrooth Foundation and the Väisälä Foundation of the Finnish Academy of Sciences and Letters.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ahern, Ž. Čučković, A theorem of Brown–Halmos type for Bergman space Toeplitz operators, *J. Functional Anal.* 187, 1 (2001), 200–210.
- [2] J. Chen, Q. Leng, X. Zhao, The Douglas question on the Bergman and Fock spaces, arXiv:2405.05412.
- [3] Ž. Čučković, A. Vasaturo, Carleson measures and Douglas’ question on the Bergman space, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser* 67 (2018), 323–336.
- [4] R. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in the Theory of Toeplitz Operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1980.
- [5] P. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, 2nd ed. Graduate texts in mathematics vol. 19, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1982.
- [6] D. Luecking, Inequalities on Bergman spaces, *Illinois J. Math.* 25, 1 (1981), 1–11.
- [7] G. McDonald, C. Sundberg, Toeplitz operators on the Disc, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 28, 4 (1979), 595–611.
- [8] R. Yoneda, Invertibility of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman spaces with harmonic symbols, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 516 (2022) 126515.
- [9] X. Zhao, D. Zheng, Positivity of Toeplitz operators via Berezin transform. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 416 (2014), 881–900.
- [10] X. Zhao, D. Zheng, Invertibility of Toeplitz operators via Berezin transform. *J. Operator Th.* 75, 2 (2016), 475–495.
- [11] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, 2nd ed. Mathematical surveys and monographs vol. 138, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 2007.



DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, TOLEDO, OH 43606, USA  
*Email address:* Zeljko.Cuckovic@utoledo.edu

UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, P.O.BOX  
68, 00014 HELSINKI, FINLAND  
*Email address:* Jari.Taskinen@helsinki.fi