

THE USTC-NERCSLIP SYSTEMS FOR THE ICMC-ASR CHALLENGE

Minghui Wu^{1,†}, Luzhen Xu^{1,†}, Jie Zhang^{1,*}, Haitao Tang², Yanyan Yue², Ruizhi Liao², Jintao Zhao², Zhengzhe Zhang¹, Yichi Wang¹, Haoyin Yan¹, Hongliang Yu², Tongle Ma², Jiachen Liu², Chongliang Wu², Yongchao Li², Yanyong Zhang¹, Xin Fang², Yue Zhang³

¹University of Science and Technology of China, China

²Anhui iFLYTEK Universal Language Technology, China; ³Huawei Technology, China

ABSTRACT

This report describes the submitted system to the In-Car Multi-Channel Automatic Speech Recognition (ICMC-ASR) challenge, which considers the ASR task with multi-speaker overlapping and Mandarin accent dynamics in the ICMC case. We implement the front-end speaker diarization using the self-supervised learning representation based multi-speaker embedding and beamforming using the speaker position, respectively. For ASR, we employ an iterative pseudo-label generation method based on fusion model to obtain text labels of unsupervised data. To mitigate the impact of accent, an Accent-ASR framework is proposed, which captures pronunciation-related accent features at a fine-grained level and linguistic information at a coarse-grained level. On the ICMC-ASR eval set, the proposed system achieves a CER of 13.16% on track 1 and a cpCER of 21.48% on track 2, which significantly outperforms the official baseline system and obtains the first rank on both tracks.

Index Terms—ICMC-ASR challenge, speaker diarization, multi-channel beamforming, pseudo-label.

1. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Front-end processing: For the front-end processing, we employ the guided source separation (GSS) framework [1], but with several modifications, including channel selection and beamforming. We propose a multi-source sound localization module using energy and phase differences to select the channel for the target speaker. Compared to the traditional maximum signal-noise ratio (SNR) selection criterion, it can alleviate the impact of non-target speakers with high speaking volume. The recursive smoothing technique is exploited for the estimation of power spectral density matrices in the design of MVDR beamformer, which is shown to be more effective to suppress interference sources and noises and provide higher-quality single-channel audio for the downstream ASR.

[†]Contributed to this work equally. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (62101523) and the joint AI laboratory of CMB-USTC (FTIT2022058).

*Corresponding author (jzhang6@ustc.edu.cn).

Table 1. Training Data Description.

Dataset	Data type	Label type	Hours
ICMC	Far+Near+speed \times 3	supervised	386
ICMC+addNoise	Far+speed \times 3		1544
3D-SPEAKER	Near	unsupervised (text is not allowed to use)	1124
AliMeeting	Far+Near		236
AISHELL-4	Far+Near		240
Aidatatang	Near		200
MagicData	Near		180
KeSpeech	Near		1542
WenetSpeech	Near (drama, talk, interview)		5500

Data resource: All data resources used for the proposed system are summarized in Table 1, which include two categories. One originates from the official ICMC-ASR labelled data [2], and the other from the external openSLR unlabelled data following the official rules [3]. For the far-field data, the single-channel audio is extracted by oracle diarization and GSS. For unsupervised data, we propose an iterative pseudo-label generation (PLG) method based on the fusion ASR model. To enhance the generalization of the fusion model, we consider different input features and encoder structures: 1) conformer encoder-decoder (ED) model based on self-supervised learning representation (SSLR), and 2) ebranchformer ED model using Fbank. The former is employed to adapt long-duration audio, while the latter is used for short-duration counterparts. The SSLR is extracted by the adaptive wavLM model [1], which is trained using the WenetSpeech full 2.5wh dataset. For pseudo-label generation, a small amount of supervised data B_0 is initially used to train and fuse into PLG_0 . The unsupervised data is then split into different batches, say B_1, \dots, B_N . Subsequently, the pseudo-labels for the unsupervised data in B_N are generated by PLG_{N-1} in a cyclic iterative fashion, and PLG_N is trained and fused by B_N . As such, we can obtain 10,952 hours of data for ASR training in total.

Speaker Diarization: The speaker diarization system trained on 1544 hours data in Table 1 consists of three components: multi-channel voice activity detection (VAD), clustering-based speaker diarization (CSD) and multi-channel target-speaker VAD (MC-TS-VAD) [1]. Due to the additional




Fig. 1. The proposed Accent-ASR framework.

non-target speaker (recorder) in the official data, traditional i-vector can not effectively distinguish between target and non-target speakers. To improve the generalization of speaker embeddings, we consider the fusion of the SSLR-based x-vector for the i-vector in the MC-TS-VAD module. This embedding fusion enables the diarization system to fully exploit speaker information and is thus helpful for speaker separation.

Speech Recognition: Due to the impact of accents on Mandarin ASR, we propose an Accent-ASR framework, which enables fine-grained units to capture pronunciation-related accent characteristics and coarse-grained units to learn linguistic information [4]. As shown in Fig. 1, the proposed Accent-ASR is based on multi-task learning for aligning Pinyin sequences, and combines aligned Pinyin features with acoustic features of encoder through twin cross-attention fusion and contrastive learning, such that fine-grained units can better capture pronunciation details. Note that the aligned Pinyin features are derived from embedding and transformer modules. In the fusion layer, in addition to the aforementioned two types of features, frame-segment level speaker information is further introduced to help distinguish coarse-grained units that are generated by speakers of different accents. Besides, in order to increase the diversity of the Accent-ASR fusion model, we modify and combine the front and back sub-modules of encoder, where the front-end module includes Conv2D, VGG and gateCNN, and the backbone module consists of conformer and ebranchformer [5]. But the decoder always adopts the transformer structure.

2. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Results on the Dev and Eval Sets of Track 1: The CER performance of the pseudo-label iterative generation based on the PLG fusion model is shown in Table 2. As the number of iterations increases, the performance of PLG significantly improves. Additionally, we observe that the wavLM-based ED model has competitive results given a relatively small amount of data. However, as the data amount increases to 5000 hours, wavLM-based ED model becomes inferior to that of Fbank-based ED model. Table 3 shows the results of ASR single and fusion models on Track 1 dev and eval sets. M0 rep-

Table 2. Pseudo-Label Iterative Generation Results (CER%).

Iteration	Data(hrs)	wavLM+ conformer ED	conv2d+ ebranchformer ED	Fusion
B0	396	29.77	30.64	27.8
B1	3910	25.32	26.4	23.77
B2	5452	22.94	21.9	22.87
B3	10952	22.94 (5452h)	21.14	20.83

Table 3. Results of single/fusion models on Track 1 (CER%).

ID	Model based on Accent-ASR	Dev	Eval
M0	Official Baseline	32.92	26.24
M1	conv2d+conformer	20.87	-
M2	conv2d+ebranchformer	20.68	-
M3	VGG+ebranchformer	20.31	-
M4	gateCNN+conformer	19.83	-
M5	gateCNN+ebranchformer	18.53	14.72
M6	fusion models based on M1-M5	15.54	13.16

Table 4. The ASDR results on Track 2 (%).

Model	Metric	Dev	Eval
Speaker Diarization	DER (%)	10.21	-
M0 Official Baseline	cpCER (%)	65.90	72.88
M6 Fusion models		16.31	21.48

resents the official baseline. M1-M5 are single Accent-ASR model composed of different encoder front-end and back-end sub-modules. M6 denotes the post fusion based on weight adaptation, which achieves a relative improvement of 52.8% and 49.8% compared to M0 on dev and eval sets, respectively.

Results on the Dev and Eval Sets of Track 2: Table 4 presents the automatic speaker diarization and recognition (ASDR) results on Track 2 dev and eval sets. Our speaker diarization system achieves a diarization error rate (DER) of 10.21%. In comparison to the official baseline, our system achieves a cpCER of 16.31% on the dev set, which relatively improves by 75.3%. Note that there is a difference of smaller than 5% compared to Track 1 with oracle diarization. On the eval set, our system outperforms the official baseline with a relative improvement of 70.5%.

3. REFERENCES

- [1] Ruoyu Wang, Maokui He, et al., “The ustc-nercslip systems for chime-7 challenge,” in *Proc. CHiME 2023*, 2023, pp. 13–18.
- [2] He Wang, Pengcheng Guo, et al., “Icmc-asr: The icassp 2024 in-car multi-channel automatic speech recognition challenge,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.03473*, 2024.
- [3] Kazuya Kawakami, Luyu Wang, et al., “Learning robust and multilingual speech representations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.11128*, 2020.
- [4] Qijie Shao, Pengcheng Guo, et al., “Decoupling and interacting multi-task learning network for joint speech and accent recognition,” *IEEE/ACM TASLP*, vol. 32, 2023.
- [5] Weitai Zhang, Zhongyi Ye, et al., “The ustc-nelslip offline speech translation systems for iwslt 2022,” in *Proc. IWSLT*, 2022, pp. 198–207.