

# New construction methods for uninorms via functions with $q$ and uninorms on bounded lattices\*

Zhen-Yu Xiu<sup>1†</sup>, Zheng-Yuan Si<sup>1‡</sup>, Xu Zheng<sup>3§</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> *College of Applied Mathematics, Chengdu University of Information Technology,  
Chengdu 610000, China*

**Abstract** In this paper, we study the construction methods for uninorms on bounded lattices via functions with the given uninorms and  $q \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  (or  $p \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ ). Specifically, we investigate the conditions under which these functions can be uninorms on bounded lattices when  $q \in (0, \mathbf{e}) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\rho}$  and  $q \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$  (or  $p \in (\mathbf{e}, 1) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\rho}$  and  $p \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$ ), respectively. Moreover, some illustrative examples and figures are provided.

**Keywords:** bounded lattices;  $t$ -norms;  $t$ -conorms; uninorms

## 1 Introduction

The concept of triangular norms ( $t$ -norms for short) and triangular conorms ( $t$ -conorms for short) on  $[0, 1]$ , introduced by Menger [38], Schweizer and Sklar [42, 43], have been widely used in several aspects, such as fuzzy logic, fuzzy set theory and so on (see, e.g., [5, 27, 37, 44, 47, 55]). And then, as the generalization of  $t$ -norms and  $t$ -conorms, the notion of uninorms on  $[0, 1]$ , proposed by Yager and Rybalov [52], is an important tool in many fields, such as fuzzy logics, neural networks, expert systems and so on (see, e.g., [18, 25, 26, 41]).

Recently, the construction methods for the above operators have been widely investigated on bounded lattices by many researchers. In fact, the researchers proposed construction methods for  $t$ -norms (resp.  $t$ -conorms) via  $t$ -norms (resp.  $t$ -conorms) (see, e.g., [9, 13, 22–24, 35, 39, 45, 46]),  $t$ -subnorms (resp.  $t$ -superconorms) (see, e.g., [49]) and closure operators (resp. interior operators) (see, e.g., [2, 3]). Afterward, the researchers also provided construction methods for uninorms via  $t$ -norms (resp.  $t$ -conorms) (see, e.g., [1, 6–8, 10–12, 14, 20, 21, 33, 48]),  $t$ -subnorms (resp.  $t$ -superconorms) (see, e.g., [30, 32, 54]),

---

\*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11871097, 12271036)

<sup>†</sup>*E-mail address:* xyz198202@163.com

<sup>‡</sup>*E-mail address:* 1161559146@qq.com

<sup>§</sup>Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* 3026217474@qq.com

closure operators (resp. interior operators) (see, e.g., [15, 28, 40, 53]), additive generators (see, e.g., [29]) and uninorms (see, e.g., [17, 49, 51]).

More especially, in [17] and [49], the researchers proposed new construction methods for uninorms on bounded lattices via a given uninorm on  $[0, \varrho]$  (or  $[\sigma, 1]$ ) of  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ , respectively. These methods both stem from given uninorms on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  and generalize some methods for uninorms,  $t$ -norms and  $t$ -conorms in the literature. In [17], G.D. Çaylı et al. gave some methods for uninorms with the uninorms on  $[0, \varrho]$  and  $t$ -conorms on  $[\varrho, 1]$  (or uninorms on  $[\sigma, 1]$  and  $t$ -norms on  $[0, \sigma]$ ) on bounded lattices under the condition that  $\varrho < \iota$  for  $\iota \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus [0, \varrho]$  (or the condition that  $\iota < \sigma$  for  $x \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus [\sigma, 1]$ ). In [49], Xiu and Zheng proposed the new construction method for uninorms on arbitrary bounded lattices with the given uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^*$  satisfying the condition that  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathbf{e}]$  implies  $(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathbf{e}]^2$  on  $[0, \varrho]$  and the  $t$ -superconorms  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\mathbb{R}(\varrho, \varrho) = \varrho$  on  $[\varrho, 1]$  (or the given uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^*$  satisfying the condition that  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \in [\mathbf{e}, 1]$  implies  $(\iota, \kappa) \in [\mathbf{e}, 1]^2$  on  $[\sigma, 1]$ ) and the  $t$ -subnorms  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\mathbb{F}(\sigma, \varrho) = \sigma$  on  $[0, \sigma]$ .

Recently, in [51], Xiu and Zheng proposed the function with a given uninorm and  $q \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  (or  $p \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ ) and then discussed how the function can be a uninorm with  $q \in \{0\} \cup I_{\mathbf{e}, \varrho} \cup [\mathbf{e}, \varrho] \cup [\varrho, 1]$  (or  $p \in \{1\} \cup I_{\mathbf{e}, \sigma} \cup [\sigma, \mathbf{e}] \cup [0, \sigma]$ ). Motivated by this, we study the functions with the given uninorm and  $q$  (or  $p$ ) on bounded lattices in this paper. That is, we try to find conditions under which the function (1) (or (2)) with the given uninorm and  $q \in (0, \mathbf{e}) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\varrho} \cup I_{\varrho}^{\mathbf{e}}$  (or  $p \in (\mathbf{e}, 1) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma} \cup I_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{e}}$ ) can be a uninorm on bounded lattices. In this case, besides the results in [51], we have discussed all the cases of  $q \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  (or  $p \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ ) with which the function (1) (or (2)) can be a uninorm on bounded lattices. In details, in Section 3, based on the given uninorm and  $q \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ , we first define the functions  $U$  by (1). Then, we discuss how the function given by (1) with  $q \in (0, \mathbf{e}) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\varrho}$  and  $q \in I_{\varrho}^{\mathbf{e}}$  can be a uninorm, respectively. Moreover, the dual results are also provided. Meanwhile, we give some examples and figures to illustrate the construction methods.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic notions of lattices and aggregation operators on bounded lattices.

**Definition 2.1** ([4]) *A lattice  $(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}, \leq)$  is bounded if it has top and bottom elements, which are written as 1 and 0, respectively, that is,  $0 \leq \iota \leq 1$  for all  $\iota \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ .*

Throughout this article,  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  is denoted as a bounded lattice with the top element 1 and the bottom element 0.

**Definition 2.2** ([4]) *Let  $\varrho, \sigma \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with  $\varrho \leq \sigma$ . A subinterval  $[\varrho, \sigma]$  of  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  is defined as*

$$[\varrho, \sigma] = \{\iota \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} : \varrho \leq \iota \leq \sigma\}.$$

*Similarly, we can define  $[\varrho, \sigma) = \{\iota \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} : \varrho \leq \iota < \sigma\}$ ,  $(\varrho, \sigma] = \{\iota \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} : \varrho < \iota \leq \sigma\}$  and  $(\varrho, \sigma) = \{\iota \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} : \varrho < \iota < \sigma\}$ . If  $\varrho$  and  $\sigma$  are incomparable, then we use the notation  $\varrho \parallel \sigma$ . If  $\varrho$  and  $\sigma$  are comparable, then we use the notation  $\varrho \not\parallel \sigma$ .*

In the following,  $I_\varrho = \{\iota \in \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B} \mid \iota \parallel \varrho\}$ .  $I^\varrho = \{\iota \in \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B} \mid \iota \not\parallel \varrho\}$ .  $I_\varrho^\sigma = \{\iota \in \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B} \mid \iota \parallel \varrho \text{ and } \iota \parallel \sigma\}$ .  $I_{\varrho,\sigma} = \{\iota \in \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B} \mid \iota \parallel \varrho \text{ and } \iota \parallel \sigma\}$ . Obviously,  $I_\varrho^\varrho = \emptyset$  and  $I_{\varrho,\varrho} = I_\varrho$ .

**Definition 2.3** ([45]) *An operation  $\mathbb{T} : \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  is called a  $t$ -norm on  $\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  if it is commutative, associative, and increasing with respect to both variables, and it has the neutral element  $1 \in \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$ .*

**Definition 2.4** ([7]) *An operation  $\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  is called a  $t$ -conorm on  $\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  if it is commutative, associative, and increasing with respect to both variables, and it has the neutral element  $0 \in \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$ .*

**Definition 2.5** ([33]) *An operation  $\mathbb{U} : \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  is called a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  (a uninorm if  $\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  is fixed) if it is commutative, associative, and increasing with respect to both variables, and it has the neutral element  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$ .*

**Proposition 2.1** ([33]) *Let  $\mathbb{U}$  be a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  with  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1)  $\mathbb{T}_\mathbf{e} = \mathbb{U} \mid [0, \mathbf{e}]^2 \rightarrow [0, \mathbf{e}]$  is a  $t$ -norm on  $[0, \mathbf{e}]$ .
- (2)  $\mathbb{S}_\mathbf{e} = \mathbb{U} \mid [\mathbf{e}, 1]^2 \rightarrow [\mathbf{e}, 1]$  is a  $t$ -conorm on  $[\mathbf{e}, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.6** ([54]) *Let  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{U}_{\min}$  the class of all uninorms  $\mathbb{U}$  on  $\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  with neutral element  $\mathbf{e}$  satisfying  $\mathbb{U}(\iota, \kappa) = \kappa$ , for all  $(\iota, \kappa) \in (\mathbf{e}, 1] \times (\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B} \setminus [\mathbf{e}, 1])$ .*

*Similarly, we denote by  $\mathcal{U}_{\max}$  the class of all uninorms  $\mathbb{U}$  on  $\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  with neutral element  $\mathbf{e}$  satisfying  $\mathbb{U}(\iota, \kappa) = \kappa$ , for all  $(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathbf{e}] \times (\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B} \setminus [0, \mathbf{e}])$ .*

**Proposition 2.2** ([32]) *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$  be subsets of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a commutative binary operation on  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\mathbb{G}$  is associative on  $\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_n$  if and only if all of the following statements hold:*

- (i) for every combination  $\{i, j, k\}$  of size 3 chosen from  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}(\iota, \mathbb{G}(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{G}(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{G}(\kappa, \mathbb{G}(\iota, \omega))$  for all  $\iota \in \mathcal{C}_i, \kappa \in \mathcal{C}_j, \omega \in \mathcal{C}_k$ ;
- (ii) for every combination  $\{i, j\}$  of size 2 chosen from  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}(\iota, \mathbb{G}(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{G}(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  for all  $\iota \in \mathcal{C}_i, \kappa \in \mathcal{C}_j, \omega \in \mathcal{C}_j$ ;
- (iii) for every combination  $\{i, j\}$  of size 2 chosen from  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}(\iota, \mathbb{G}(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{G}(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  for all  $\iota \in \mathcal{C}_i, \kappa \in \mathcal{C}_j, \omega \in \mathcal{C}_j$ ;
- (iv) for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\mathbb{G}(\iota, \mathbb{G}(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{G}(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  for all  $\iota, \kappa, \omega \in \mathcal{C}_i$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([9]) *Let  $\varrho \in \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . If  $\mathbb{V}$  is a  $t$ -norm on  $[\varrho, 1]$  and  $\mathbb{W}$  is a  $t$ -conorm on  $[0, \varrho]$ , then  $\mathbb{T} : \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  is a  $t$ -norm and  $\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$  is a  $t$ -conorm on  $\mathbb{L}_\mathbb{B}$ , where*

$$\mathbb{T}(\iota, \kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{V}(\iota, \kappa) & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in [\varrho, 1]^2, \\ \iota \wedge \kappa & \text{if } 1 \in \{\iota, \kappa\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}(\iota, \kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{W}(\iota, \kappa) & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \varrho]^2, \\ \iota \vee \kappa & \text{if } 0 \in \{\iota, \kappa\}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### 3 New construction methods for uninorms on bounded lattices

In this section, we study the construction methods for uninorms on a bounded lattice  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  via functions with the given uninorms and  $q$  (or  $p$ ). Specifically, based on functions via a given uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^*$  on the subinterval  $[0, \varrho]$  (or  $[\sigma, 1]$ ) of  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $q$  (or  $p$ ), we propose new methods to construct uninorms on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  under some conditions on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $\mathbb{U}^*$ .

For convenience, we denote by  $\mathcal{U}_b$  the class of all uninorms  $\mathbb{U}$  on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with neutral element  $\mathbf{e}$  satisfying  $\mathbb{U}(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathbf{e}]$  implies  $(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathbf{e}]^2$ . Similarly, we denote by  $\mathcal{U}_t$  the class of all uninorms  $\mathbb{U}$  on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with neutral element  $\mathbf{e}$  satisfying  $\mathbb{U}(\iota, \kappa) \in [\mathbf{e}, 1]$  implies  $(\iota, \kappa) \in [\mathbf{e}, 1]^2$ .

Let  $\varrho \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $\mathbb{U}^*$  be a uninorm on  $[0, \varrho]$  with a neutral element  $\mathbf{e}$ . We can define a function  $U : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  by

$$\mathbb{U}(\iota, \kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \varrho]^2, \\ \iota & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in (\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus [0, \varrho]) \times [0, \mathbf{e}], \\ \kappa & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathbf{e}] \times (\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus [0, \varrho]), \\ \iota \vee \kappa \vee q & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in I_{\mathbf{e}, \varrho} \times I_{\mathbf{e}, \varrho}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

**Remark 3.1** *The structure of the function  $\mathbb{U}$  given by (1) is illustrated in Fig.1.*

$I_{\mathbf{e}, \varrho}$	$\kappa$	1	1	1	1	$\iota \vee \kappa \vee q$
$I_{\varrho}^{\mathbf{e}}$	$\kappa$	1	1	1	1	1
$I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\varrho}$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	1	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	1	1
1	$\kappa$	1	1	1	1	1
$\varrho$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	1	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	1	1
$\mathbf{e}$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\iota$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\iota$	$\iota$
0						
	$\mathbf{e}$	$\varrho$	1	$I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\varrho}$	$I_{\varrho}^{\mathbf{e}}$	$I_{\mathbf{e}, \varrho}$

Fig.1. The function  $\mathbb{U}$  given by (1).

In the following, we discuss the function  $\mathbb{U}$  given by (1) with  $q \in (0, \mathbf{e}) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\varrho}$  and  $q \in I_{\varrho}^{\mathbf{e}}$ , respectively.

First, we illustrate that the function  $\mathbb{U}$  given by (1) with  $q \in (0, \mathbf{e}) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\varrho}$  can be a uninorm under some conditions.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $\varrho \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $q \in (0, \mathbf{e}) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\varrho}$ ,  $\mathbb{U}^*$  be a uninorm on  $[0, \varrho]$  with a neutral element  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  be a function given by (1). Suppose that  $\iota \vee \kappa = 1$  for all  $\iota, \kappa \in I_{\mathbf{e}, \varrho}$  with  $\iota \neq \kappa$ , and  $\iota \vee q = 1$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e}, \varrho} \cap I_q$ .*

(1) *Let us assume that  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_b$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  is a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with the neutral element  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  if and only if  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e}, \varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $\kappa \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\varrho}$ .*

(2) Moreover, let us assume that  $I_\varrho^c \cup I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  is a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with the neutral element  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_b$  and  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $\kappa \in I_\epsilon^q$ .

**Proof.** (1) Necessity. Let  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  be a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with a neutral element  $\epsilon$ . We prove that  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $\kappa \in I_\epsilon^q$ .

Assume that there exist  $\iota \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $y \in I_\epsilon^q$  such that  $\iota \not\parallel \kappa$ , i.e.,  $\kappa < \iota$  and  $q < \iota$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \iota) = \iota \vee q = \iota$ . Since  $\iota < 1$ , the increasingness property of  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  is violated. Thus  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $\kappa \in I_\epsilon^q$ .

Sufficiency. It is obvious that  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  is commutative and  $\epsilon$  is the neutral element of  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$ . Thus, we just prove the increasingness and the associativity of  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$ .

I. Increasingness: We prove that if  $\iota \leq \kappa$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) \leq \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ . It is obvious that  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) \leq \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$  if both  $\iota$  and  $\kappa$  belong to one of the intervals  $[0, \epsilon]$ ,  $I_\epsilon^q$ ,  $(\epsilon, \varrho]$ ,  $I_\varrho^c$ ,  $I_{\epsilon, \varrho}$  or  $(\varrho, 1]$  for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ . The residual proof can be split into all possible cases:

1.  $\iota \in [0, \epsilon]$ 
    - 1.1.  $\kappa \in I_\epsilon^q \cup (\epsilon, \varrho]$ 
      - 1.1.1.  $\omega \in [0, \epsilon] \cup I_\epsilon^q \cup (\epsilon, \varrho]$ 

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \mathbb{U}^*(\kappa, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$$
      - 1.1.2.  $\omega \in I_\varrho^c \cup I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1]$ 

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \omega \leq 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$$
    - 1.2.  $\kappa \in I_\varrho^c \cup (\varrho, 1]$ 
      - 1.2.1.  $\omega \in [0, \epsilon]$ 

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \iota < \kappa = \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$$
      - 1.2.2.  $\omega \in I_\epsilon^q \cup (\epsilon, \varrho]$ 

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \varrho < 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$$
      - 1.2.3.  $\omega \in I_\varrho^c \cup I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1]$ 

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \omega \leq 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$$
  - 1.3.  $\kappa \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho}$ 
    - 1.3.1.  $\omega \in [0, \epsilon]$ 

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \iota < \kappa = \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$$
    - 1.3.2.  $\omega \in I_\epsilon^q \cup (\epsilon, \varrho]$ 

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \varrho < 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$$
    - 1.3.3.  $\omega \in I_\varrho^c \cup (\varrho, 1]$ 

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \omega \leq 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$$
    - 1.3.4.  $\omega \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho}$ 

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \omega \leq \kappa \vee \omega \vee q = \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$$
2.  $\iota \in I_\epsilon^q$ 
    - 2.1.  $\kappa \in (\epsilon, \varrho]$ 
      - 2.1.1.  $\omega \in [0, \epsilon] \cup I_\epsilon^q \cup (\epsilon, \varrho]$ 

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \mathbb{U}^*(\kappa, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$$

- 2.1.2.  $\omega \in I_\varrho^c \cup I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 2.2.  $\kappa \in I_\varrho^c \cup (\varrho, 1]$
- 2.2.1.  $\omega \in [0, \mathbf{e}]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \iota < \kappa = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 2.2.2.  $\omega \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^\varrho \cup (\mathbf{e}, \varrho]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \varrho < 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 2.2.3.  $\omega \in I_\varrho^c \cup I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 2.3.  $\kappa \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho}$
- 2.3.1.  $\omega \in [0, \mathbf{e}]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \iota < \kappa = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 2.3.2.  $\omega \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^\varrho \cup (\mathbf{e}, \varrho]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \varrho < 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 2.3.3.  $\omega \in I_\varrho^c \cup (\varrho, 1]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 2.3.4.  $\omega \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho}$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = 1 = \kappa \vee \omega \vee q = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
3.  $\iota \in (\mathbf{e}, \varrho], \kappa \in I_\varrho^c \cup (\varrho, 1]$
- 3.1.  $\omega \in [0, \mathbf{e}]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \iota < \kappa = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 3.2.  $\omega \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^\varrho \cup (\mathbf{e}, \varrho]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \omega) \leq \varrho < 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 3.3.  $\omega \in I_\varrho^c \cup I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
4.  $\iota \in I_\varrho^c, \kappa \in (\varrho, 1]$
- 4.1.  $\omega \in [0, \mathbf{e}]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \iota \leq \kappa = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 4.2.  $\omega \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^\varrho \cup (\mathbf{e}, \varrho] \cup I_\varrho^c \cup I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
5.  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho}, \kappa \in I_\varrho^c \cup (\varrho, 1]$
- 5.1.  $\omega \in [0, \mathbf{e}]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \iota < \kappa = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 5.2.  $\omega \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^\varrho \cup (\mathbf{e}, \varrho] \cup I_\varrho^c \cup (\varrho, 1]$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$
- 5.3.  $\omega \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho}$   
 $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \iota \vee \omega \vee q \leq 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)$

II. Associativity: We demonstrate that  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(U_1(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  for all  $\iota, \kappa, \omega \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ . By Proposition 2.2, we need to consider the following cases:

1. If  $\iota, \kappa, \omega \in [0, \mathbf{e}] \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^\varrho \cup (\mathbf{e}, \varrho]$ , then since  $\mathbb{U}^*$  is associative, we have  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) =$

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega)).$$

2. If  $\iota, \kappa, \omega \in I_{\varrho}^c \cup (\varrho, 1]$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = 1$ .

3. Assume that  $\iota, \kappa, \omega \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho}$ .

3.1. Suppose that  $\iota, \kappa, \omega \not\parallel q$ .

3.1.1. If  $\iota \neq \kappa, \kappa \neq \omega$  and  $\iota \neq \omega$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa \vee \omega \vee q) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, 1) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota \vee \kappa \vee q, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \iota \vee \omega \vee q) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, 1) = 1$ .

3.1.2. If  $\iota = \kappa$  and  $\iota, \kappa \neq \omega$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa \vee \omega \vee q) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, 1) = 1 = \iota \vee \omega \vee q = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \iota), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = 1$ .

3.1.3. If  $\kappa = \omega$  and  $\kappa, \omega \neq \iota$ , then we also have  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega))$  by the commutativity property of  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1$ .

3.1.4. If  $\iota = \omega$  and  $\iota, \omega \neq \kappa$ , then we also have  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega))$  by the commutativity property of  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1$ .

3.1.5. If  $\iota = \kappa = \omega$ , then we can easily obtain  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega))$ .

3.2. Suppose that there exist  $\iota \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho}$  such that  $\iota \parallel q$ .

3.2.1. If  $\iota \not\parallel q$  and  $\kappa, \omega \parallel q$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa \vee \omega \vee q) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, 1) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(1, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota \vee \kappa \vee q, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \iota \vee \omega \vee q) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, 1) = 1$ .

3.2.2. If  $\iota, \kappa \not\parallel q$  and  $\omega \parallel q$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, 1) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \iota \vee \omega \vee q) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega))$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota \vee \kappa \vee q, \omega)$ . Moreover, we can obtain that if  $\iota = \kappa$ , then  $\iota \vee \kappa \vee q = \iota$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = 1$  and if  $\iota \neq \kappa$ , then  $\iota \vee \kappa \vee q = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(1, \omega) = 1$ . Thus  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega))$ .

3.2.3. If  $\iota, \kappa, \omega \parallel q$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, 1) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(1, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota \vee \kappa \vee q, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \iota \vee \kappa \vee q) = 1$ .

4. If  $\iota, \kappa \in [0, \epsilon]$  and  $\omega \in I_{\varrho}^c \cup I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1]$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega) = \omega = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$ .

5. If  $\iota, \kappa \in I_{\epsilon}^e \cup (\epsilon, \varrho]$  and  $\omega \in I_{\varrho}^c \cup I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1]$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, 1) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, 1) = 1$ . Thus  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \omega))$ .

6. If  $\iota, \kappa \in I_{\varrho}^e$  and  $\omega \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1]$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, 1) = 1 =$





Suppose that  $I_\varrho^e \cup I_{\mathfrak{e},\varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa)$  is a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ . We prove that if  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathfrak{e}]$ , then  $(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathfrak{e}]^2$ . The proof can be split into all possible cases:

(i)  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \notin [0, \mathfrak{e}]$  for all  $(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathfrak{e}] \times (I_\varrho^e \cup (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho]) \cup (I_\varrho^e \cup (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho]) \times [0, \mathfrak{e}]$ .

Now we just prove that  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \notin [0, \mathfrak{e}]$  for all  $(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathfrak{e}] \times (I_\varrho^e \cup (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho])$ , and the other case can be proved immediately by the commutativity property of  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . Assume that there exists  $(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathfrak{e}] \times (I_\varrho^e \cup (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho])$  such that  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathfrak{e}]$ . Take  $z \in I_\varrho^e \cup I_{\mathfrak{e},\varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1)$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, 1) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \omega$ . Since  $\omega \neq 1$ , the associativity property of  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa)$  is violated. Thus  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \notin [0, \mathfrak{e}]$  for all  $(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathfrak{e}] \times (I_\varrho^e \cup (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho]) \cup (I_\varrho^e \cup (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho]) \times [0, \mathfrak{e}]$ .

(ii)  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \notin [0, \mathfrak{e}]$  for all  $(\iota, \kappa) \in I_\varrho^e \times I_\varrho^e$ .

Assume that there exists  $(\iota, \kappa) \in I_\varrho^e \times I_\varrho^e$  such that  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathfrak{e}]$ . Take  $\omega \in I_\varrho^e \cup I_{\mathfrak{e},\varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1)$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, 1) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \omega$ . Since  $\omega \neq 1$ , the associativity property of  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa)$  is violated. Thus  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \notin [0, \mathfrak{e}]$  for all  $(\iota, \kappa) \in I_\varrho^e \times I_\varrho^e$ .

(iii)  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \notin [0, \mathfrak{e}]$  for all  $(\iota, \kappa) \in (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho]^2 \cup (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho] \times I_\varrho^e \cup I_\varrho^e \times (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho]$ .

Now we just prove that  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \notin [0, \mathfrak{e}]$  for all  $(\iota, \kappa) \in (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho]^2 \cup (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho] \times I_\varrho^e$ , and the other case can be proved immediately by the commutativity property of  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . By the increasingness property of  $\mathbb{U}^*$ , we can obtain that  $\kappa = \mathbb{U}^*(\mathfrak{e}, \kappa) \leq \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$ . Since  $\kappa \in I_\varrho^e \cup (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho]$ , we can obtain that  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \notin [0, \mathfrak{e}]$ . Thus  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \notin [0, \mathfrak{e}]$  for all  $(\iota, \kappa) \in (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho]^2 \cup (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho] \times I_\varrho^e \cup I_\varrho^e \times (\mathfrak{e}, \varrho]$ .

Hence,  $\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathfrak{e}]$  implies  $(\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \mathfrak{e}]^2$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2** In Theorem 3.1, whether  $q \in (0, \mathfrak{e})$  or  $q \in I_\varrho^e$ , if  $q \nparallel \iota$  for  $\iota \in I_{\mathfrak{e},\varrho}$ , i.e.  $q < \iota$ , then  $\iota \vee \kappa \vee q = \iota \vee \kappa$  for  $\kappa \in I_{\mathfrak{e},\varrho}$ . Moreover, if  $\iota \parallel q$  for  $\iota \in I_{\mathfrak{e},\varrho}$ , then  $\iota \vee \kappa \vee q = 1$  for  $\kappa \in I_{\mathfrak{e},\varrho}$ . Therefore, whether  $q \in (0, \mathfrak{e})$  or  $q \in I_\varrho^e$  do not affect the conclusion.

If we take  $\mathfrak{e} = 0$  in Theorem 3.1, then we can obtain the existing result.

**Remark 3.3** In Theorem 3.1, if taking  $\mathfrak{e} = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{U}^*$  is a  $t$ -conorm and  $I_\mathfrak{e} = I_0 = \emptyset$ . In this case, the conditions in Theorem 3.1 naturally hold.

By the above fact, if taking  $\mathfrak{e} = 0$  in Theorem 3.1, then the  $t$ -conorm  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1 : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  can be obtained as follows:

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1(\iota, \kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \varrho]^2, \\ \iota \vee \kappa & \text{if } 0 \in \{\iota, \kappa\}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1$  is just the  $t$ -conorm  $\mathbb{S}$  in Theorem 2.1.

The next example illustrates the method of uninorms with  $q \in (0, \mathfrak{e})$  in Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.1** Given a lattice  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}11} = \{0, q, \mathfrak{e}, k, c, \varrho, m, t, s, d, 1\}$  depicted in Fig.1.1 and a uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^* : [0, \varrho]^2 \rightarrow [0, \varrho]$  shown in Table 1. It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}11}$  and  $\mathbb{U}^*$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 3.1 with  $q \in (0, \mathfrak{e})$ . Based on Theorem 3.1, the uninorm  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1 : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}11}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}11}$  with the neutral element  $\mathfrak{e}$  is defined as in Table 2.




Fig.1.1. The lattice  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}11}$

Table 1: The uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^*$  on  $[0, \varrho]$ .

$\mathbb{U}^*$	0	q	e	k	c	$\varrho$
0	0	0	0	k	c	$\varrho$
q	0	q	q	k	c	$\varrho$
e	0	q	e	k	c	$\varrho$
k	k	k	k	k	c	$\varrho$
c	c	c	c	c	c	$\varrho$
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$

Table 2: The uninorm  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}11}$ .

$U_{11}$	0	q	e	k	c	$\varrho$	m	t	s	d	1
0	0	0	0	k	c	$\varrho$	m	t	s	d	1
q	0	q	q	k	c	$\varrho$	m	t	s	d	1
e	0	q	e	k	c	$\varrho$	m	t	s	d	1
k	k	k	k	k	c	$\varrho$	1	1	1	1	1
c	c	c	c	c	c	$\varrho$	1	1	1	1	1
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	1	1	1	1	1
m	m	m	m	1	1	1	m	1	1	1	1
t	t	t	t	1	1	1	1	t	1	1	1
s	s	s	s	1	1	1	1	1	s	1	1
d	d	d	d	1	1	1	1	1	1	d	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Moreover, we give the example to illustrate the construction method of uninorms in Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.2** Given a lattice  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}12} = \{0, f, e, c, q, \varrho, m, t, s, d, 1\}$  depicted in Fig.1.2 and a uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^* : [0, \varrho]^2 \rightarrow [0, \varrho]$  shown in Table 3. It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}12}$  and  $\mathbb{U}^*$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 3.1 with  $q \in I_{\varrho}^e$ . Based on Theorem 3.1, the uninorm  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1 : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}12}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}12}$  is defined as in Table 4.




Fig.1.2. The lattice  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}12}$

Table 3: The uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^*$  on  $[0, \varrho]$ .

$\mathbb{U}^*$	0	$f$	$e$	$c$	$q$	$\varrho$
0	0	0	0	$c$	$q$	$\varrho$
$f$	0	$f$	$f$	$c$	$q$	$\varrho$
$e$	0	$f$	$e$	$c$	$q$	$\varrho$
$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$q$	$\varrho$
$q$	$q$	$q$	$q$	$q$	$q$	$\varrho$
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$

Table 4: The uninorm  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}12}$ .

$U_{12}$	0	$f$	$e$	$c$	$q$	$\varrho$	$s$	$t$	$m$	$d$	1
0	0	0	0	$c$	$q$	$\varrho$	$s$	$t$	$m$	$d$	1
$f$	0	$f$	$f$	$c$	$q$	$\varrho$	$s$	$t$	$m$	$d$	1
$e$	0	$q$	$e$	$c$	$q$	$\varrho$	$s$	$t$	$m$	$d$	1
$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$q$	$\varrho$	1	1	1	1	1
$q$	$q$	$q$	$q$	$q$	$q$	$\varrho$	1	1	1	1	1
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	1	1	1	1	1
$s$	$s$	$s$	$s$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$t$	$t$	$t$	$t$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$m$	$m$	$m$	$m$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$d$	$d$	$d$	$d$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

**Remark 3.4** In Theorem 3.1, the conditions  $\iota \vee \kappa = 1$  for all  $\iota, \kappa \in I_{e, \varrho}$  with  $\iota \neq \kappa$ , and  $\iota \vee q = 1$  for all  $\iota \in I_e^q \cap I_q$  can not be omitted, in general.

The next example illustrates the fact in Remark 3.4.

**Example 3.3** Given a lattice  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}13} = \{0, q, \epsilon, \varrho, m, t, s, d, 1\}$  depicted in Fig.1.3 and a uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^* : [0, \varrho]^2 \rightarrow [0, \varrho]$  shown in Table 5. We can see that  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_b$  and  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $y \in I_\epsilon^q$ . Since  $t \vee m = d < 1$  and  $m \vee q = d < 1$ , the conditions that  $\iota \vee \kappa = 1$  for all  $\iota, \kappa \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho}$  with  $\iota \neq \kappa$  and  $\iota \vee q = 1$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\epsilon, \varrho} \cap I_q$  in Theorem 3.1 do not hold. Based on Theorem 3.1 with  $q \in (0, \epsilon)$ , we can obtain a function  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}13}$ , shown in Table 6. Since  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(t, m) = d < 1$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1(s, m) = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  does not satisfy the increasingness. Thus,  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  is not a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}13}$ .




Fig.1.3. The lattice  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}13}$

Table 5: The uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^*$  on  $[0, \varrho]$ .

$\mathbb{U}^*$	0	q	$\epsilon$	$\varrho$
0	0	0	0	$\varrho$
q	0	q	q	$\varrho$
$\epsilon$	0	q	$\epsilon$	$\varrho$
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$

Table 6: The function  $\mathbb{U}_{[0, \varrho]}^1$  on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}13}$ .

$U_{13}$	0	q	$\epsilon$	$\varrho$	s	t	m	d	1
0	0	0	0	$\varrho$	s	t	m	d	1
q	0	q	q	$\varrho$	s	t	m	1	1
$\epsilon$	0	q	$\epsilon$	$\varrho$	s	t	m	d	1
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	1	1	1	1	1
s	s	s	s	1	1	1	1	1	1
t	t	t	t	1	1	d	d	1	1
m	m	m	m	1	1	d	d	1	1
d	d	d	d	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

**Remark 3.5** (1) If  $\varrho = 1$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1 = \mathbb{U}^*$ .

(2) If  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_b$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1 \in \mathcal{U}_b$ .

(3)  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^1 \in \mathcal{U}_{max}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_{max}$ .

Next, we illustrate that the function  $\mathbb{U}$  given by (1) with  $q \in I_\varrho^e$  can be a uninorm on bounded lattices under some conditions.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\varrho \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus \{0,1\}$ ,  $q \in I_\varrho^e$ ,  $\mathbb{U}^*$  be a uninorm on  $[0,\varrho]$  with a neutral element  $e$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  be a function given by (1). Suppose that  $\iota \vee q = 1$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cap I_q$ .

(1) Let us assume that  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_b$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  is a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with the neutral element  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  if and only if  $\iota \parallel y$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $\kappa \in I_\varrho^e$ .

(2) Moreover, let us assume that  $I_\varrho^e \cup I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cup (\varrho, 1) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  is a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with the neutral element  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_b$  and  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $\kappa \in I_\varrho^e$ .

**Proof.** (1) Necessity. Let  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  be a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with a neutral element  $\mathbf{e}$ . We need to prove that  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $\kappa \in I_\varrho^e$ .

Assume that there exist  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $y \in I_\varrho^e$  such that  $\iota \not\parallel \kappa$ , i.e.,  $\kappa < \iota$  and  $\iota < q$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \kappa) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \iota) = \iota \vee q = q$ . Since  $q < 1$ , the increasingness property of  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  is violated. Thus  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $\kappa \in I_\varrho^e$ .

Sufficiency. It is obvious that  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  is commutative and  $\mathbf{e}$  is the neutral element of  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$ . Thus, we just prove the increasingness and the associativity of  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$ .

I. Increasingness: It can be obtained by the proof of Theorem 3.1 in a similar way.

II. Associativity: By Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 3.1, it is enough to check only those cases that are different from the cases in Theorem 3.1.

1. Suppose that  $\iota, \kappa, \omega \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho}$ .

1.1. If  $\iota, \kappa, \omega \not\parallel q$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \kappa \vee \omega \vee q) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, q) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(q, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota \vee \kappa \vee q, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, \iota \vee \omega \vee q) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, q) = 1$ .

1.2 Assume that there exists  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho}$  such that  $\iota \parallel q$ .

1.2.1. If  $\iota \not\parallel q$  and  $\kappa, \omega \parallel q$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \kappa \vee \omega \vee q) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, 1) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(1, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota \vee \kappa \vee q, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, \iota \vee \omega \vee q) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, 1) = 1$ .

1.2.2. If  $\iota, \kappa \not\parallel q$  and  $\omega \parallel q$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, 1) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, \iota \vee \omega \vee q) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \omega))$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \kappa), \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota \vee \kappa \vee q, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(q, \omega) = 1$ .

1.2.3. If  $\iota, \kappa, \omega \parallel q$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, 1) = 1 = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(1, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota \vee \kappa \vee q, \omega) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \kappa), \omega)$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \omega)) = \mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\kappa, 1) = 1$ .

(2) It can be proved with the proof of Theorem 3.1(2) in a similar way.  $\square$

If we take  $e = 0$  in Theorem 3.2, then we can obtain the existing result in the literature.

**Remark 3.6** *In Theorem 3.2, if taking  $\mathbf{e} = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{U}^*$  is a  $t$ -conorm and  $I_{\mathbf{e}} = I_0 = \emptyset$ . In this case, the condition in Theorem 3.2 naturally holds.*

*By the above fact, if taking  $\mathbf{e} = 0$  in Theorem 3.2, then we obtain the  $t$ -conorm  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2 : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  as follows:*

$$\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(\iota, \kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in [0, \varrho]^2, \\ \iota \vee \kappa & \text{if } 0 \in \{\iota, \kappa\}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Obviously,  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  is just the  $t$ -conorm  $\mathbb{S}$  in Theorem 2.1.*

The next example illustrates the method of uninorms in Theorem 3.2.

**Example 3.4** *Given a lattice  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}_{21}} = \{0, f, \mathbf{e}, c, \varrho, q, m, t, d, 1\}$  depicted in Fig.2.1 and a uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^* : [0, \varrho]^2 \rightarrow [0, \varrho]$  shown in Table 7. We can see that  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}_{21}}$  and  $\mathbb{U}^*$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 3.2. By Theorem 3.2, the uninorm  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2 : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}_{21}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}_{21}}$  is defined as in Table 8.*




Fig.2.1. The lattice  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}_{21}}$

Table 7: The uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^*$  on  $[0, \varrho]$ .

$\mathbb{U}^*$	0	f	$\mathbf{e}$	c	$\varrho$
0	0	0	0	c	$\varrho$
f	0	f	f	c	$\varrho$
$\mathbf{e}$	0	f	$\mathbf{e}$	c	$\varrho$
c	c	c	c	c	$\varrho$
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$

**Remark 3.7** *In Theorem 3.2, the condition that  $\iota \vee q = 1$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^c \cap I_q$  can not be omitted, in general.*

The next example illustrates the fact in Remark 3.7.

Table 8: The uninorm  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}21}$ .

$U_{21}$	0	$f$	$\mathbf{e}$	$c$	$\varrho$	$q$	$t$	$m$	$d$	1
0	0	0	0	$c$	$\varrho$	$q$	$t$	$m$	$d$	1
$f$	0	$f$	$f$	$c$	$\varrho$	$q$	$t$	$m$	$d$	1
$\mathbf{e}$	0	$q$	$\mathbf{e}$	$c$	$\varrho$	$q$	$t$	$m$	$d$	1
$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$\varrho$	1	1	1	1	1
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	1	1	1	1	1
$q$	$q$	$q$	$q$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$t$	$t$	$t$	$t$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$m$	$m$	$m$	$m$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$d$	$d$	$d$	$d$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

**Example 3.5** Given a lattice  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}22} = \{0, \mathbf{e}, \varrho, m, t, s, q, d, 1\}$  depicted in Fig.2.2 and a uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^* : [0, \varrho]^2 \rightarrow [0, \varrho]$  shown in Table 9. We can see that  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_b$  and  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e}, \varrho} \cap I^q$  and  $\kappa \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\varrho}$ . Since  $m \vee q = d < 1$ , the condition that  $\iota \vee q = 1$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\varrho} \cap I_q$  in Theorem 3.2 do not hold. By Theorem 3.2, we can obtain a function  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}22}$ , shown in Table 10. Since  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(t, m) = d < 1$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2(t, s) = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  does not satisfy the increasingness. Thus,  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  is not a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}22}$ .




Fig.2.2. The lattice  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}22}$

Table 9: The uninorm  $\mathbb{U}^*$  on  $[0, \varrho]$ .

$\mathbb{U}^*$	0	$\mathbf{e}$	$\varrho$
0	0	0	$\varrho$
$\mathbf{e}$	0	$\mathbf{e}$	$\varrho$
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$

**Remark 3.8** (1) If  $\varrho = 1$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2 = \mathbb{U}^*$ .

(2) If  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_b$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2 \in \mathcal{U}_b$ .

(3)  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2 \in \mathcal{U}_{max}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_{max}$ .

Table 10: The function  $\mathbb{U}_{[0,\varrho]}^2$  on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}22}$ .

$U_{22}$	0	$\mathbf{e}$	$\varrho$	$s$	$t$	$m$	$q$	$d$	1
0	0	0	$\varrho$	$s$	$t$	$m$	$q$	$d$	1
$\mathbf{e}$	0	$\mathbf{e}$	$\varrho$	$s$	$t$	$m$	$q$	$d$	1
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	1	1	1	1	1	1
$s$	$s$	$s$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$t$	$t$	$t$	1	1	$d$	$d$	1	1	1
$m$	$m$	$m$	1	1	$d$	$d$	1	1	1
$q$	$q$	$q$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$d$	$d$	$d$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Similarly, let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $\mathbb{U}^*$  be a uninorm on  $[\sigma, 1]$  with a neutral element  $e$ . Then we define a function  $\mathbb{U} : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  by

$$\mathbb{U}(\iota, \kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in [\sigma, 1]^2, \\ \iota & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in (\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus [\sigma, 1]) \times [\mathbf{e}, 1], \\ \kappa & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in [\mathbf{e}, 1] \times (\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus [\sigma, 1]), \\ \iota \wedge \kappa \wedge p & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in I_{\mathbf{e}, \sigma} \times I_{\mathbf{e}, \sigma}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

**Remark 3.9** *The structure of the function  $\mathbb{U}$  given by (2) is illustrated in Fig.3.*

$I_{\mathbf{e}, \sigma}$	0	0	$\kappa$	0	0	$\iota \wedge \kappa \wedge q$
$I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$	0	0	$\kappa$	0	0	0
$I_{\sigma}^{\sigma}$	0	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	0	0
1	$\iota$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\iota$	$\iota$
$\mathbf{e}$	0	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	$\mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa)$	0	0
$\sigma$	0	0	$\kappa$	0	0	0
0	$\sigma$	$\mathbf{e}$	1	$I_{\sigma}^{\sigma}$	$I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$	$I_{\mathbf{e}, \sigma}$

Fig.3. The function  $\mathbb{U}$  given by (2).

In the following, we discuss the function  $\mathbb{U}$  given by (2) with  $p \in (\mathbf{e}, 1) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$  and  $p \in I_{\sigma}^{\sigma}$ , respectively.

First, we illustrate that the function  $\mathbb{U}$  given by (2) with  $p \in (\mathbf{e}, 1) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$  and  $\mathbb{U}^*$  can be a uninorm on bounded lattices under some conditions. That is, the dual result of Theorem 3.1 is given.

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $p \in (\mathbf{e}, 1) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$ ,  $\mathbb{U}^*$  be a uninorm on  $[\sigma, 1]$  with a neutral element  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $U_{[\sigma, 1]}^3$  be a function given by (2). Suppose that  $\iota \wedge \kappa = 0$  for all  $\iota, \kappa \in I_{\mathbf{e}, \sigma}$  with  $\iota \neq \kappa$ , and  $\iota \wedge p = 0$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e}, \sigma} \cap I_p$ .*



(1) Let us assume that  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_t$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^3$  is a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with the neutral element  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  if and only if  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\sigma} \cap I^p$  and  $\kappa \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$ .

(2) Let us assume that  $I_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{e}} \cup I_{\mathbf{e},\sigma} \cup (0, \sigma) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^3$  is a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with the neutral element  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_t$  and  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\sigma} \cap I^p$  and  $\kappa \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$ .

**Proof.** It can be proved with the proof of Theorem 3.1 in a similar way.  $\square$   
If we take  $e = 1$  in Theorem 3.3, then we can obtain the existing result.

**Remark 3.10** In Theorem 3.3, if taking  $\mathbf{e} = 1$ , then  $\mathbb{U}^*$  be  $t$ -norm,  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^3$  also be  $t$ -norm, and  $I_{\mathbf{e}} = I_1 = \emptyset$ . In this case, the condition in Theorem 3.3 naturally holds.

By the above fact, if taking  $\mathbf{e} = 1$  in Theorem 3.3, then we obtain the  $t$ -conorm  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^3 : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  as follows:

$$\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^3(\iota, \kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in [\sigma, 1]^2, \\ \iota \wedge \kappa & \text{if } 1 \in \{\iota, \kappa\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^3$  is just the  $t$ -norm  $\mathbb{T}$  in Theorem 2.1.

**Remark 3.11** In Theorem 3.3, the conditions that  $\iota \wedge \kappa = 0$  for all  $\iota, \kappa \in I_{\mathbf{e},\sigma}$  with  $\iota \neq \kappa$ , and  $\iota \wedge p = 0$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\sigma} \cap I_p$  can not be omitted, in general.

**Remark 3.12** Let  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^3$  be a uninorm in Theorem 3.3.

- (1) If  $\sigma = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^3 = \mathbb{U}^*$ .
- (2) If  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_t$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^3 \in \mathcal{U}_t$ .
- (3)  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^3 \in \mathcal{U}_{min}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_{min}$ .

At last, we illustrate that the function  $U$  given by (2) with  $p \in I_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{e}}$  and  $\mathbb{U}^*$  can be a uninorm on bounded lattices under some conditions. That is, the dual result of Theorem 3.2 is given.

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $p \in I_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{e}}$ ,  $\mathbb{U}^*$  be a uninorm on  $[\sigma, 1]$  with a neutral element  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^4$  be a function given by (2). Suppose that  $\iota \wedge p = 0$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\sigma} \cap I_p$ .

(1) Let us assume that  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_t$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^4$  is a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with the neutral element  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  if and only if  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\sigma} \cap I^p$  and  $\kappa \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$ .

(2) Let us assume that  $I_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{e}} \cup I_{\mathbf{e},\sigma} \cup (0, \sigma) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^4$  is a uninorm on  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  with the neutral element  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_t$  and  $\iota \parallel \kappa$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\sigma} \cap I^p$  and  $\kappa \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$ .

**Proof.** It can be proved with the proof of Theorem 3.2 in a similar way.  $\square$

If we take  $e = 1$  in Theorem 3.4, then we can obtain the existing result in the literature.

**Remark 3.13** In Theorem 3.4, if taking  $\mathbf{e} = 1$ , then  $\mathbb{U}^*$  is a  $t$ -norm and  $I_{\mathbf{e}} = I_1 = \emptyset$ . In this case, the condition in Theorem 3.4 naturally holds.

By the above fact, if taking  $\mathbf{e} = 1$  in Theorem 3.4, then we obtain the  $t$ -conorm  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^4 : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  as follows:

$$\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^4(\iota, \kappa) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{U}^*(\iota, \kappa) & \text{if } (\iota, \kappa) \in [\sigma, 1]^2, \\ \iota \wedge \kappa & \text{if } 1 \in \{\iota, \kappa\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^4$  is the same as the  $t$ -norm  $\mathbb{T}$  in Theorem 2.1.

**Remark 3.14** In Theorem 3.4, the condition that  $\iota \wedge p = 0$  for all  $\iota \in I_{\mathbf{e},\sigma} \cap I_p$  can not be omitted, in general.

**Remark 3.15** (1) If  $\sigma = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^4 = \mathbb{U}^*$ .

(2) If  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_t$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^4 \in \mathcal{U}_t$ .

(3)  $\mathbb{U}_{[\sigma,1]}^4 \in \mathcal{U}_{min}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{U}^* \in \mathcal{U}_{min}$ .

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper, we study the construction methods for uninorms on bounded lattices via functions with the given uninorms and  $q \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  (or  $p \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ ). Specifically, we investigate the conditions under which these functions can be uninorms on bounded lattices when  $q \in (0, \mathbf{e}) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\rho}$  and  $q \in I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$  (or  $p \in (\mathbf{e}, 1) \cup I_{\mathbf{e}}^{\sigma}$  and  $p \in I_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{e}}$ ), respectively. Besides the above cases, Xiu and Zheng [51] discussed how the functions  $U$  given by (1) and (2) can be a uninorm with  $q \in \{0\} \cup I_{\mathbf{e},\varrho} \cup [\mathbf{e}, \varrho] \cup (\varrho, 1]$  and  $p \in \{1\} \cup I_{\mathbf{e},\sigma} \cup [\sigma, \mathbf{e}] \cup [0, \sigma)$ , respectively. Up to now, the functions given by (1) and (2) have been discussed with all cases of  $q \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ , respectively. Moreover, our methods generalize some methods in the literature. See Remarks 3.3, 3.6, 3.10 and 3.13.

Considering the construction methods for uninorms on bounded lattices, if  $p$  and  $q$  are included in functions, then we usually need to choose the appropriate cases of  $p$  and  $q$  to guarantee that the functions are uninorms. Moreover, it is necessary to investigate that how the functions can be uninorms with all cases of  $q \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$  or  $p \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{B}}$ . In this case, the construction methods can be studied comprehensively and then provided a novel perspective to study the constructions of uninorms and other operators.

## References

- [1] E. Aşıcı, R. Mesiar, On the construction of uninorms on bounded lattices, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 408(2021)65–85.
- [2] E. Aşıcı, On the construction of  $t$ -norms ( $t$ -conorms) by using interior (closure) operators on bounded lattices, Kybernetika 58(3)(2022)456–478.
- [3] E. Aşıcı, Construction of  $t$ -norms and  $t$ -conorms by using interior and closure operators on bounded lattices, Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst. 19(1)(2022)125–140.

- [4] G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, 3rd Edition, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 1967.
- [5] M. Baczyński, B. Jayaram, Fuzzy Implications, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [6] S. Bodjanova, M. Kalina, Construction of uninorms on bounded lattices, in: IEEE 12th International Symposium on Intelligent Systems and Informatics, SISY 2014, September 11–13, Subotica, Serbia, 2014, 61–66.
- [7] G.D. Çaylı, F. Karaçal, R. Mesiar, On a new class of uninorms on bounded lattices, Inf. Sci. 367(2016)221–231.
- [8] G.D. Çaylı, F. Karaçal, Construction of uninorms on bounded lattices, Kybernetika 53(2017)394–417.
- [9] G.D. Çaylı, On a new class of  $t$ -norms and  $t$ -conorms on bounded lattices, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 332(2018)129–143.
- [10] G.D. Çaylı, On the structure of uninorms on bounded lattices, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 357(2019)2–26.
- [11] G.D. Çaylı, Alternative approaches for generating uninorms on bounded lattices, Inf. Sci. 488(2019)111–139.
- [12] G.D. Çaylı, New methods to construct uninorms on bounded lattices, Int. J. Approx. Reason. 115(2019)254–264.
- [13] G.D. Çaylı, Some methods to obtain  $t$ -norms and  $t$ -conorms on bounded lattices, Kybernetika 55(2)(2019)273–294.
- [14] G.D. Çaylı, Uninorms on bounded lattices with the underlying  $t$ -norms and  $t$ -conorms, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 395(2020)107–129.
- [15] G.D. Çaylı, New construction approaches of uninorms on bounded lattices, Int. J. Gen. Syst. 50(2021)139–158.
- [16] G.D. Çaylı, A characterization of uninorms on bounded lattices by means of triangular norms and triangular conorms, Int. J. Gen. Syst. 47(2018) 772–793.
- [17] G.D. Çaylı, Ü. Ertuğrul, F. Karaçal, Some further construction methods for uninorms on bounded lattices, Int. J. Gen. Syst. 52(4)(2023)414–442.
- [18] B. De Baets, J. Fodor, Van Melle’s combining function in MYCIN is a representable uninorm: an alternative proof, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 104(1999)133–136.
- [19] B. De Baets, R. Mesiar, Triangular norms on product lattices, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 104(1999)61–75.
- [20] Y.X. Dan, B.Q. Hu, J.S. Qiao, New constructions of uninorms on bounded lattices, Int. J. Approx. Reason. 110(2019)185–209.

- [21] Y.X. Dan, B.Q. Hu, A new structure for uninorms on bounded lattices, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 386(2020)77–94.
- [22] Ü. Ertuğrul, M.N. Kesicioğlu, F. Karaçal, Some new construction methods for  $t$ -norms on bounded lattices, *Int. J. Gen. Syst.* 48(7)(2019)775–791.
- [23] Ü. Ertuğrul, M. Yeşilyurt, Ordinal sums of triangular norms on bounded lattices, *Inf. Sci.* 517(2020)198–216.
- [24] Ü. Ertuğrul, F. Karaçal, R. Mesiar, Modified ordinal sums of triangular norms and triangular conorms on bounded lattices, *Int. J. Intell. Syst.* 30(2015)807–817.
- [25] M. Grabisch, J.L. Marichal, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, *Aggregation functions*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [26] M. Grabisch, J.L. Marichal, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, Aggregation functions: construction methods, conjunctive, disjunctive and mixed classes, *Inf. Sci.* 181(2011)23–43.
- [27] U. Höhle, Commutative, residuated l-monoids, in: U. Höhle, E.P. Klement (Eds.), *Non-Classical Logics and Their Applications to Fuzzy Subsets: A Handbook on the Mathematical Foundations of Fuzzy Set Theory*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [28] X.J. Hua, H.P. Zhang, Y. Ouyang, Note on “Construction of uninorms on bounded lattices”, *Kybernetika* 57(2)(2021)372–382.
- [29] P. He, X.P. Wang, Constructing uninorms on bounded lattices by using additive generators, *Int. J. Approx. Reason.* 136(2021)1–13.
- [30] X.J. Hua, W. Ji, Uninorms on bounded lattices constructed by  $t$ -norms and  $t$ -subconorms, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 427(2022)109–131.
- [31] S. Jenei, B. De Baets, On the direct decomposability of  $t$ -norms on product lattices, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 139(2003)699–707.
- [32] W. Ji, Constructions of uninorms on bounded lattices by means of  $t$ -subnorms and  $t$ -subconorms, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 403(2021)38–55.
- [33] F. Karaçal, R. Mesiar, Uninorms on bounded lattices, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 261(2015)33–43.
- [34] F. Karaçal, Ü. Ertuğrul, R. Mesiar, Characterization of uninorms on bounded lattices, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 308(2017)54–71.
- [35] F. Karaçal, Ü. Ertuğrul, M. Kesicioğlu, An extension method for  $t$ -norms on subintervals to  $t$ -norms on bounded lattices, *Kybernetika* 55(6)(2019)976–993.
- [36] F. Karaçal, M. Kesicioğlu, Ü. Ertuğrul, Generalized convex combination of triangular norms on bounded lattices, *Int. J. Gen. Syst.* 49(3)(2020)277–301.
- [37] X. Liang, W. Pedrycz, Logic-based fuzzy networks: a study in system modeling with triangular norms and uninorms, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 160(2009)3475–3502.

- [38] K. Menger, Statistical metrics, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 8(1942)535–537.
- [39] J. Medina, Characterizing when an ordinal sum of  $t$ -norms is a  $t$ -norm on bounded lattices, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 202(2012)75–88.
- [40] Y. Ouyang, H.P. Zhang, Constructing uninorms via closure operators on a bounded lattice, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 395(2020)93–106.
- [41] W. Pedrycz, K. Hirota, Uninorm-based logic neurons as adaptive and interpretable processing constructs, Soft Comput. 11(1)(2007)41–52.
- [42] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 10(1960)313–334.
- [43] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces, North-Holland, New York, 1983.
- [44] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Associative functions and statistical triangular inequalities, Publ. Math. 8(1961)169–186.
- [45] S. Saminger, On ordinal sums of triangular norms on bounded lattices, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 157(2006)1403–1416.
- [46] S. Saminger, E. Klement, R. Mesiar, On extension of triangular norms on bounded lattices, Indag. Math. 19(1)(2008)135–150.
- [47] Z. Wang, TL-filters of integral residuated  $l$ -monoids, Inf. Sci. 177(2007)887–896.
- [48] A.F. Xie, S.J. Li, On constructing the largest and smallest uninorms on bounded lattices, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 386(2020)95–104.
- [49] Z.Y. Xiu, X. Zheng, New construction methods of uninorms on bounded lattices via uninorms, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 465(465)108535.
- [50] Z.Y. Xiu, Y.X. Jiang, New structures for uninorms on bounded lattices, J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 45(2)(2023)2019–2030.
- [51] Z.Y. Xiu, X. Zheng, A new approach to construct uninorms via uninorms on bounded lattices, Kybernetika, 60(2)(2024)125–149.
- [52] R.R. Yager, A. Rybalov, Uninorm aggregation operators, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 80(1996)111–120.
- [53] B. Zhao, T. Wu, Some further results about uninorms on bounded lattices, Int. J. Approx. Reason. 130(2021)22–49.
- [54] H.P. Zhang, M. Wu, Z. Wang, Y. Ouyang, B. De Baets, A characterization of the classes  $U_{\min}$  and  $U_{\max}$  of uninorms on a bounded lattice, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 423(2021)107–121.
- [55] H.J. Zimmermann, Fuzzy Set Theory and Its Applications, fourth ed., Kluwer, Aachen, 2001.